

THE QUIVER OF AN AFFINE MONOID

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN AND B. STEINBERG

ABSTRACT. If R is a finite commutative ring, then the affine monoid of R is the monoid of all affine mappings $x \mapsto ax + b$ on R . Alternatively, it is the semidirect product of the multiplicative monoid of R with the additive group of R . In this paper we compute the Gabriel quiver of the complex algebra of the affine monoid of any finite commutative ring.

1. INTRODUCTION

The representation theory of monoids provides an interesting bridge between group representation theory and the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras. On the one hand, monoid algebras are seldom semisimple and so questions about their quivers, indecomposable modules and homological properties are interesting. On the other hand, their irreducible representations are constructed from irreducible representations of finite groups and so it is often possible to apply group representation theory to answer questions about monoid representation theory; see for instance [15, 16, 20]. Monoid representation theory also has applications to combinatorics [12, 13] and probability theory as it can be used to compute eigenvalues for random walks coming from monoids [3, 6, 20].

In a recent paper [4], Ayyer and the second author studied Markov chains coming from random applications of $ax + b$ mappings on a finite commutative ring R using the representation theory of the affine monoid $\text{Aff}(R)$ of all such maps. Note that in this paper all rings are assumed to be unital. Using this theory, they were able to compute the spectrum of the transition matrix of the random walk under certain restrictions on the underlying probability measure. Finite group representation theory, in particular, induced representations, Mackey theory and Frobenius reciprocity, played a key role. It is therefore natural to consider further the representation theory of the complex algebra $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(R)$. This is not a semisimple algebra for any non-zero ring R . In fact, if F is a finite field it can be deduced from the results of [14, Section 6.2] that $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(F)$ is a hereditary algebra that is Morita equivalent to the direct product of the ring of 2×2 upper triangular matrices over \mathbb{C} with $|F| - 1$ copies of \mathbb{C} .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M30, 20M25.

Key words and phrases. monoid representation theory, simple modules, affine monoids, quivers of algebras.

In this paper we compute the quiver of $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(R)$ for any finite commutative ring R . It was observed in [4] that the idempotents of $\text{Aff}(R)$ form a left regular band, that is, a monoid of idempotents in which each principal right ideal has a unique generator. Such monoids belongs to a class of monoids whose quivers are described in a paper of Margolis and the second author [16]. But this description is more theoretical than practical. To each pair V and W of simple modules of the monoid, they associate finite groups G_V and G_W and simple modules \tilde{V} and \tilde{W} for these groups such that the number of arrows from V to W is the multiplicity of the simple $\mathbb{C}[G_W \times G_V]$ -module $\tilde{W} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{V}, \mathbb{C})$ in a certain $\mathbb{C}[G_W \times G_V]$ -module constructed as a submodule of a permutation module built from monoid data. Our goal in this paper is to work this out for $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(R)$ in order to get a concrete description of the quiver.

The basic strategy is to observe that a finite commutative ring is a direct product of local rings and the affine monoid is a direct product of the corresponding affine monoids. Since the algebra of a direct product of monoids is a tensor product of their respective algebras and there is a well-known method to compute the quiver of a tensor product from the quivers of the factors [10], we can reduce to the case of a local ring R . For a local ring R , the only groups that arise as G_V and G_W are the trivial group and the affine group of all $ax + b$ mappings with a a unit. This latter group is a semidirect product of abelian groups and so its representation theory can be understood using the Mackey machine.

It turns out that if R is a principal ideal ring, then there are no multiple edges in the quiver. In general, there can be multiple edges. We remark that if R is reduced (or equivalently semisimple), then $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(R)$ is Morita equivalent to a tensor product of path algebras of acyclic quivers and so a full quiver presentation can be obtained using the methods of [10]. It would be interesting to find a quiver presentation in general.

The paper is organized as follows. We begin with a preliminary section on monoids, finite dimensional algebras and the representation theory of monoids. We then turn to the computation of the quiver and explicitly draw the quivers of $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$, $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$, $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_8)$ and $\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_9)$ to illustrate the method.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Monoids. For standard notation and terminology relating to monoids, we refer the reader to [1, 7, 17]. Let M be a finite monoid. Let $a, b \in M$. We say that $a \mathcal{R} b$ if $aM = bM$, $a \mathcal{L} b$ if $Ma = Mb$ and $a \mathcal{J} b$ if $MaM = MbM$. The relations \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{J} are equivalence relations first introduced by Green [9] and are known as Green's relations. We denote by R_a , L_a and J_a , respectively, the \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{J} -class containing a .

An element e of M is an *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. The set of all idempotents of M is denoted by $E(M)$. An idempotent e of M is the identity of the

monoid eMe . The group of units G_e of eMe is called the *maximal subgroup* of M at e .

An element m of M is (von Neumann) *regular* if there exists an element $n \in M$ such that $mnm = m$. Note that an element m is regular if and only if $m \mathcal{L} e$, for some $e \in E(M)$, if and only if $m \mathcal{R} f$, for some $f \in E(M)$. A \mathcal{J} -class J of a finite monoid is *regular* if all its elements are regular or, equivalently, if J contains an idempotent.

Let $e \in E(M)$. The monoid M acts on the right of the \mathcal{R} -class R_e by partial mappings. The definition is as follows: for $m \in M$ and $r \in R_e$,

$$r \cdot m = \begin{cases} rm, & \text{if } rm \in R_e \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The monoid M is \mathcal{R} -trivial if $mM = nM$ implies $m = n$. If the idempotents of M generate an \mathcal{R} -trivial monoid, then the above action is by partial injections (cf. [17, Theorem 4.8.3]).

The group of units of a monoid M will be denoted by $U(M)$; we use the same notation for the group of units of a ring.

Let M be a monoid. The equivalence relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ is defined on M by $m \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} n$ if, for all idempotents $e \in E(M)$, we have $me = m$ if and only if $ne = n$ [8]. Note that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$. The $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ -class of m is denoted \widetilde{L}_m . We put for $n \in M$, $I(n) = \{m \in M \mid n \notin MmM\}$. Note that $I(n)$ is a two-sided ideal.

2.2. Finite dimensional algebras. Fix an algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} . The reader is referred to [2] for background on the representation theory of finite dimensional algebras. In this paper, modules are by default left modules. If A is a finite dimensional algebra over \mathbb{K} , then the (Gabriel) *quiver* of A is the directed graph $Q(A)$ with vertex set $Q(A)_0$ the set of isomorphism classes of simple A -modules and with edge set $Q(A)_1$ described as follows. Let $[S]$ and $[S']$ be isomorphism classes of simple A -modules. The number of arrows from $[S]$ to $[S']$ is $\dim \text{Ext}_A^1(S, S')$.

Let e_1, \dots, e_r be an orthogonal set of primitive idempotents of A such that each projective indecomposable A -module is isomorphic to exactly one Ae_i . Then $e = e_1 + \dots + e_r$ is an idempotent and eAe is the unique (up to isomorphism) basic algebra Morita equivalent to A [2, Chapter I.6]. Recall that B is *basic* if $B/\text{rad}(B) \cong \mathbb{K}^n$ for some $n \geq 1$. Note that $Q(A) \cong Q(eAe)$ and that eAe is the quotient of the path algebra of $Q(A)$ by an admissible ideal by a famous result of Gabriel; see [2, Theorem II.3.7]. Recall that a finite dimensional algebra A is *hereditary* if each submodule of a projective module is projective. The algebra A is hereditary if and only if its basic algebra is isomorphic to the path algebra of an acyclic quiver by a result of Gabriel [2, Theorem VII.1.7].

Since \mathbb{K} is an algebraically closed field, if A and B are \mathbb{K} -algebras and e_1, \dots, e_m and f_1, \dots, f_n form a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of A and B , respectively, then the $e_i \otimes f_j$ form a complete set of orthogonal primitive idempotents of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B$ and, moreover, $(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B)(e_i \otimes f_j) \cong (A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B)(e_k \otimes f_\ell)$ if and only if $Ae_i \cong Ae_k$ and $Bf_j \cong Bf_\ell$. This is proved in [19, Proposition 11.3] for the special case where B is the opposite algebra of A , but the proof is the same in the general case. In particular, if e, f are the idempotents constructed from these sets with eAe and fBf the basic algebras of A and B respectively, then $e \otimes f$ is an idempotent with $(e \otimes f)(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B)(e \otimes f) \cong eAe \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} fBf$ the basic algebra of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B$. It follows that the basic algebra of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B$ is the tensor product of the basic algebras of A and B .

An important fact is that if A and B are basic \mathbb{K} -algebras, then $Q(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B)$ can be built from $Q(A)$ and $Q(B)$ as follows (see [10]): $Q(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B)_0 = Q(A)_0 \times Q(B)_0$; $Q(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B)_1 = (Q_1(A) \times Q_0(B)) \cup (Q_0(A) \times Q_1(B))$ where if e is an edge from v to w in $Q(A)$ and z is a vertex of $Q(B)$, then (e, z) goes from (v, z) to (w, z) and, similarly, if f is an edge from r to s in $Q(B)$ and v is a vertex of $Q(A)$, then (v, f) goes from (v, r) to (v, s) . The admissible ideal for $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B$ (which is basic) can likewise be constructed from the admissible ideals for A and for B [10].

We put the observations of the previous two paragraphs into a single proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field and A, B finite dimensional \mathbb{K} -algebras. Then $Q(A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B)$ has vertex set $Q(A)_0 \times Q(B)_0$ and edge set $(Q_1(A) \times Q_0(B)) \cup (Q_0(A) \times Q_1(B))$ with source and terminal vertices of edges described as above.*

This will be useful for us because of the following well-known fact.

Proposition 2.2. *If M and N are finite monoids and \mathbb{K} is a field, then $\mathbb{K}[M \times N] \cong \mathbb{K}M \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}N$.*

If G is a finite group, \mathbb{K} is a field and V is a $\mathbb{K}G$ -module, then the contragredient module is $D(V) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(V, \mathbb{K})$ where $(gf)(v) = f(g^{-1}v)$ for $g \in G$, $v \in V$ and $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ linear. Note that in the case that \mathbb{K} is the field of complex numbers, the character of $D(V)$ is the complex conjugate of the character of V . Margolis and the second author described the quiver of $\mathbb{K}M$ for a nice class of monoids as follows [16].

Theorem 2.3. *Let M be a finite monoid whose idempotents generate an \mathcal{R} -trivial monoid and \mathbb{K} an algebraically closed field whose characteristic does not divide the order of any maximal subgroup of M . Let e_1, \dots, e_n be a complete set of idempotent representatives of the regular \mathcal{J} -classes of M . Then the quiver of $\mathbb{K}M$ is isomorphic to the directed graph that has vertices indexed by pairs $(e_i, [V])$ where V is a simple $\mathbb{K}G_{e_i}$ -module and edges as follows. If $(e, [V])$ and $(f, [W])$ are two vertices, then the number of arrow*

from $(e, [V])$ to $(f, [W])$ is the multiplicity of $W \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} D(V)$ as an irreducible constituent of the $\mathbb{K}[G_f \times G_e]$ -module U^\flat defined as follows.

Let \equiv be the least equivalence relation on fMe such that:

- (1) $x \equiv y$ if $x, y \in fI(f)I(e)e$;
- (2) $zx \equiv zy$ if $x, y \in L_e, z \in fI(f)$ and x, y act as the same partial injection on the right of R_e .

Let X be the set of equivalence classes of elements of fMe not meeting $fI(f)I(e)e$; it is naturally a $G_f \times G_e$ -set via $(g, h)[m] = [gmh^{-1}]$. Let U^\flat be the submodule of the permutation module $\mathbb{K}X$ spanned by differences $[x]_{\equiv} - [y]_{\equiv}$ with $x, y \in L_e$ such that x and y act as the same partial injection on the right of R_e and by those $[z]_{\equiv} \in X$ such that $z \in (fM \cap \tilde{L}_e) \setminus L_e$.

The simple $\mathbb{K}M$ -module associated to $(e, [V])$ can be defined as the simple top of the indecomposable module $\mathbb{K}L_e \otimes_{\mathbb{K}G_e} V$ where G_e acts on the right of L_e by multiplication and M acts on the left of $\mathbb{K}L_e$ via

$$m \cdot x = \begin{cases} mx, & \text{if } mx \in L_e \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

for $m \in M$ and $x \in L_e$. See [20, Chapter 5] for details.

2.3. Affine monoids. Let R be a finite commutative ring. The set of all mappings on R of the form $x \mapsto ax + b$ with $a, b \in R$ with composition as the binary operation constitutes the affine monoid $\text{Aff}(R)$. So the product of $ax + b$ and $cx + d$ is $acx + ad + b$. Note that $\text{Aff}(R)$ is the semidirect product $R \rtimes M(R)$ of the multiplicative monoid $M(R)$ of R with the additive group R , where $M(R)$ acts on R by multiplication.

Note that if $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$, then $\text{Aff}(R_1 \times R_2) \cong \text{Aff}(R_1) \times \text{Aff}(R_2)$ via the map $(a, c)x + (b, d) \mapsto (ax + b, cx + d)$. Every finite commutative ring R is isomorphic to a finite direct product of local rings $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ [5]. Then $\text{Aff}(R) \cong \text{Aff}(R_1) \times \cdots \times \text{Aff}(R_n)$ and hence

$$\mathbb{K} \text{Aff}(R) \cong \mathbb{K} \text{Aff}(R_1) \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K} \text{Aff}(R_n)$$

by Proposition 2.2. Thus computing the quiver of the algebra of the affine monoid will reduce to the local case by Proposition 2.1.

We remark that if R is a finite commutative local ring with principal maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (p)$, then there exists a minimum $n \geq 0$ with $0 = \mathfrak{m}^n = (p^n)$. In this case, each element of R can be written in the form $a = up^k$ with u a unit and $0 \leq k \leq n$. The integer k is uniquely determined as the largest k with $a \in \mathfrak{m}^k$. Note that the annihilator of p is (p^{n-1}) .

Ayyer and the second author described the simple $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R)$ -modules [4]. Since we only need the case of a local ring R , we specialize to this case. There is the trivial module \mathbb{C} (where each element of $\text{Aff}(R)$ acts via the identity) and each simple $\mathbb{C}U(\text{Aff}(R))$ -module V extends to a simple $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R)$ -module by having all elements of $\text{Aff}(R) \setminus U(\text{Aff}(R))$ annihilate V . In other words, if $a \in \mathfrak{m}$, then $ax + b$ annihilates V where \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal of R .

As $U(\text{Aff}(R)) = R \rtimes U(R)$, the Mackey machine constructs all the irreducible representations of $U(\text{Aff}(R))$. We recall the construction. See [18, Proposition 25] for details.

If $H \leq G$ is a subgroup and V is a $\mathbb{C}H$ -module, then the induced $\mathbb{C}G$ -module is $\text{Ind}_H^G V = \mathbb{C}G \otimes_{\mathbb{C}H} V$. On the other hand, if V is a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module, then we write $\text{Res}_H^G V$ for the restriction of V to a $\mathbb{C}H$ -module. Note that Frobenius reciprocity says that $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}G}(\text{Ind}_H^G V, W) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}H}(V, \text{Res}_H^G W)$ for a $\mathbb{C}G$ -module W and a $\mathbb{C}H$ -module V . We shall frequently use the Mackey decomposition theorem [18, Proposition 22], which determines the restriction to a subgroup K of a module induced from a subgroup H .

If G acts transitively on a set X , then the permutation module $\mathbb{C}X$ is isomorphic to $\text{Ind}_H^G 1_H$ where H is the stabilizer of an element of X and 1_H is the trivial $\mathbb{C}H$ -module.

If A is an abelian group, let $\widehat{A} = \text{Hom}(A, U(\mathbb{C}))$ be the group of characters of A , that is, the Pontryagin dual of A . The left action of $U(R)$ on the additive group of R by left multiplication induces a right action of $U(R)$ on \widehat{R} by duality, which we can then view as a left action since $U(R)$ is commutative. More precisely, $U(R)$ acts on \widehat{R} via $(r\chi)(a) = \chi(ra)$ for $r \in U(R)$, $\chi \in \widehat{R}$ and $a \in R$.

Let $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$ be the stabilizer of χ in $U(R)$, for $\chi \in \widehat{R}$. For $\rho \in \widehat{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)}$, there is a degree one character

$$\chi \otimes \rho: R \rtimes \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi) \rightarrow U(\mathbb{C})$$

given by $(\chi \otimes \rho)(ax + b) = \chi(b)\rho(a)$ for $a \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$ and $b \in R$.

The following result is a special case of the Mackey Machine theory, restricted to our setting; see [18, Proposition 25].

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be a finite commutative ring. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_m$ be the orbits of $U(R)$ on \widehat{R} and fix $\chi_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$. Then a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple $\mathbb{C}U(\text{Aff}(R))$ -modules is given by the modules*

$$W_{(\mathcal{O}_i, \rho)} = \text{Ind}_{R \rtimes \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi_i)}^{U(\text{Aff}(R))} \chi_i \otimes \rho$$

with $\rho \in \widehat{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi_i)}$.

3. THE QUIVER OF THE AFFINE MONOID OF A FINITE COMMUTATIVE RING

In this section we will compute the quiver of $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R)$ when R is a finite commutative local ring. The quiver $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R)$ for a finite commutative ring R can then be computed using Propositions 2.1 and 2.2.

So let R be local with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} . Since R is artinian, $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$ for some $n \geq 1$, and so R has no idempotents except 0 and 1. Then the only idempotents in $\text{Aff}(R)$ are the identity map x and the constant mappings. In particular, the idempotents form an \mathcal{R} -trivial submonoid and so we can apply Theorem 2.3. Also note that the only regular \mathcal{J} -classes of $\text{Aff}(R)$ are

the group of units and the constant maps. Note that $\widetilde{L}_0 = L_0$ is the set of constant maps and $\widetilde{L}_x = \text{Aff}(R) \setminus L_0$ where we write 0 for the constant map to 0 and x for the identity map $f(x) = x$.

We can use the constant map to 0 and the identity map x as our idempotent representatives of the regular \mathcal{J} -classes. Note that $G_0 = \{0\}$ and $G_x = U(\text{Aff}(R))$. In the language of Theorem 2.3, the trivial module corresponds to the unique simple module for $\mathbb{C}G_0$. The simple modules obtained by extending the simple $\mathbb{C}U(\text{Aff}(R))$ -modules by having elements of $\text{Aff}(R) \setminus U(\text{Aff}(R))$ act as zero correspond to themselves in Theorem 2.3, viewed as irreducible representations of $G_x = U(\text{Aff}(R))$.

In summary, the regular \mathcal{J} -classes are $J_x = U(\text{Aff}(R)) = L_x = R_x = G_x$ and $J_0 = L_0$, which consists of the constant mappings. The \mathcal{L} -classes are $\widetilde{L}_0 = L_0 = J_0$ and $\widetilde{L}_x = \text{Aff}(R) \setminus L_0$.

Proposition 3.1. *There are no arrows in $Q(\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(R))$ ending at the trivial module.*

Proof. If f is the constant map to 0 and e is either the identity or the constant map to 0, then $f \text{Aff}(R)e = \{f\}$, from which it follows that $U^b = 0$ in both cases; in the first case since $\widetilde{L}_x \cap f \text{Aff}(R) = \emptyset$ and in the second since $\widetilde{L}_0 \cap f \text{Aff}(R) = \{f\} = f \text{Aff}(R) \cap L_0$ and $[f]_{\equiv} - [f]_{\equiv} = 0$. Thus there are no arrows in the quiver ending at the trivial module. \square

We first deal with the case that R is a field, as this case is slightly different (and simpler). This case follows from the results of [14, Section 6.2], which computes the quiver of a monoid obtained from a permutation group by adjoining the constant mappings, but we give an alternative proof using Theorem 2.3.

Let us recall some basic facts about characters of finite fields. Let F be a finite field of characteristic p and $[F : \mathbb{F}_p] = n$ where \mathbb{F}_p is the field of p elements. Then since F has exponent p as an abelian group, the characters $\chi : F \rightarrow U(\mathbb{C})$ take values in the group μ_p of p^{th} -roots of unity, which being cyclic we can identify with the additive group of \mathbb{F}_p . Moreover, any additive homomorphism between \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces is automatically \mathbb{F}_p -linear. Thus we can identify \widehat{F} with $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(F, \mathbb{F}_p)$, which has $|F|$ elements; this correspondence sends the trivial character of F to the zero map. The corresponding group action of $U(F)$ is given by $(u\alpha)(a) = \alpha(ua)$ for $u \in U(F)$, $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(F, \mathbb{F}_p)$ and $a \in F$. The trace map $\tau : F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ given by $\tau(a) = a + a^p + a^{p^2} + \cdots + a^{p^{n-1}}$ is a non-zero \mathbb{F}_p -linear map (as F is a separable, even Galois, extension of \mathbb{F}_p). Moreover, the orbit of τ under $U(F)$ consists of the $|F| - 1$ non-zero elements of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(F, \mathbb{F}_p)$; see [11, Theorem 2.24]. We conclude that the non-trivial characters in \widehat{F} are in a single $U(F)$ -orbit and the stabilizer in $U(F)$ of any non-trivial character of F is trivial. It follows from Theorem 2.4 that the irreducible representations of $U(\text{Aff}(F))$ are the $|F| - 1$ one-dimensional characters of $U(F)$ (inflated

to $U(\text{Aff}(F))$) and the $(|F| - 1)$ -dimensional simple module $\text{Ind}_F^{U(\text{Aff}(F))} \chi$, where χ is any non-trivial character of F (the module is independent of the choice of χ as they all lie in the same orbit).

Theorem 3.2. *Let F be a finite field. The vertices of $Q(\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(F))$ are the trivial module, the $|F| - 1$ one-dimensional characters of $U(F)$ and an $(|F| - 1)$ -dimensional simple module $\text{Ind}_F^{U(\text{Aff}(F))} \chi$, where χ is a fixed non-trivial character of F . There is an arrow from the trivial $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(F)$ -module to $\text{Ind}_F^{U(\text{Aff}(F))} \chi$.*

Proof. We can use the constant map to 0 and the identity as our idempotent representatives of the regular \mathcal{J} -classes. Note that $\text{Aff}(F) = U(\text{Aff}(F)) \cup L_0$. We know by Proposition 3.1 that no edges end at the trivial module.

There are also no arrows between $\mathbb{C}U(\text{Aff}(F))$ -modules because if e is the identity, then $eI(e)I(e)e$ is the set of constant maps and the relation \equiv on $L_e = U(\text{Aff}(F))$ is the equality relation. As no two elements of $U(\text{Aff}(F))$ act as the same partial injection on the right of $R_e = U(\text{Aff}(F))$, we deduce that $U^\flat = 0$. Thus the only possible arrows are from trivial module to a simple $\mathbb{C}U(\text{Aff}(F))$ -module.

Next, let f be the identity and e the constant map to 0. Note that $I(e) = \emptyset$ and $I(f) = L_e$. It follows that \equiv is just the equality relation (since $fI(f)$ consists of constant maps and all elements of L_e act as the same partial injection on $R_e = \{e\}$) and $X = L_e$ is the set of constant maps. The action of $U(\text{Aff}(F))$ on X can be identified with its action on F by mappings. Then U^\flat is the span of all differences $a - b$ with $a, b \in F$, which is the submodule of vectors in $\mathbb{C}F$ whose coefficients sum to 0. Since $U(\text{Aff}(F))$ acts doubly transitively on F , we have that U^\flat is a simple module of dimension $|F| - 1$ by classical group representation theory [18] and hence is $\text{Ind}_F^{U(\text{Aff}(F))} \chi$ where χ is a non-trivial character of F . \square

Note that it follows from Theorem 3.2 that if F is a field, then $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(F)$ is a hereditary algebra as its quiver contains no paths of length 2. Therefore, if $R = F_1 \times \cdots \times F_r$ is a direct product of fields (that is, R is reduced or semisimple), then the basic algebra of $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R)$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of hereditary algebras and so its quiver presentation can be computed using [10].

Next let R be a finite commutative local ring which is not a field. Suppose that the maximal ideal is \mathfrak{m} and note that $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$ since R is not a field. The simple $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R)$ -modules are the trivial module and the simple $\mathbb{C}U(\text{Aff}(R))$ -modules.

We already know that no edges of the quiver end at the trivial module from Proposition 3.1. We now characterize the edges emanating from the trivial module. It turns out that there is exactly one.

Note that $\widehat{R/\mathfrak{m}}$ embeds in \widehat{R} via inflation of characters as precisely the characters χ with $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \ker \chi$. Moreover, if χ inflates θ , then $u\chi$ inflates

$(u + \mathfrak{m})\theta$ for $u \in U(R)$. Since $U(R) \rightarrow U(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is surjective (R being local) and $U(R/\mathfrak{m})$ acts transitively on the non-trivial characters of R/\mathfrak{m} , we conclude that the characters χ with $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \ker \chi \subsetneq R$ form a $U(R)$ -orbit. Note that, $\ker \chi = \mathfrak{m}$ if and only if R/\mathfrak{m} is a prime field.

Proposition 3.3. *There is exactly one edge of $Q(\mathbb{C}\text{Aff}(R))$ with initial vertex the trivial module. It ends at the vertex $W_{(\mathcal{O},1)}$ where \mathcal{O} is the orbit of a character χ of R with $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \ker \chi \subsetneq R$ and 1 is the trivial representation of $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$.*

Proof. We compute the module U^\flat from Theorem 2.3. Here e is the constant map to 0, f is the identity, $I(e) = \emptyset$ and $fI(f) = I(f) = \text{Aff}(R) \setminus U(\text{Aff}(R))$. So the elements of $f\text{Aff}(R)e$ not meeting $fI(f)I(e)e$ is just the set of constant mappings, which we identify with R . Note that $\tilde{L}_e = L_e$ is the set of constant mappings.

Observe that $R_e = \{e\}$ and all constant mappings act the same on R_e . We claim $r \equiv s$ if and only if $r + \mathfrak{m} = s + \mathfrak{m}$. First note that if $t, u \in R$ and $ax + b \in I(f)$, then $a \in \mathfrak{m}$ and so $(at + b) - (au + b) = a(t - u) \in \mathfrak{m}$. Thus $at + b + \mathfrak{m} = au + b + \mathfrak{m}$. It follows that \equiv is contained in the equivalence relation of congruence modulo \mathfrak{m} .

On the other hand, if $r + \mathfrak{m} = s + \mathfrak{m}$, then $r - s \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then $(r - s)x + s \in fI(f)$ takes 0 to s and 1 to r . Thus $r \equiv s$. We deduce that $\mathbb{C}X$ is the inflation of the permutation module of $U(\text{Aff}(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ acting on R/\mathfrak{m} via the projection $\gamma: U(\text{Aff}(R)) \rightarrow U(\text{Aff}(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ (which is surjective since R is local and hence each element of $U(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is the image of an element of $U(R)$). Then U^\flat is the subspace spanned by all differences of elements of R/\mathfrak{m} , which is the simple $U(\text{Aff}(R/\mathfrak{m}))$ -module $\text{Ind}_{R/\mathfrak{m}}^{U(\text{Aff}(R/\mathfrak{m}))} \theta$ where θ is a non-trivial character of R/\mathfrak{m} , as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. This inflates to the simple module $W_{(\mathcal{O},1)}$ where \mathcal{O} is the orbit of the inflation of θ , i.e., of a character χ with kernel $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \ker \chi \subsetneq R$. \square

Put $G = U(\text{Aff}(R))$. Our next step is to compute the $\mathbb{C}[G \times G]$ -module U^\flat for the case $e = f$ is the identity. In this case no two elements of $L_e = G = R_e$ act as the same partial injection on the right of R_e and $I(e) = I(f) = fI(f) = \text{Aff}(R) \setminus G$. Also $\tilde{L}_e \setminus L_e = \{ax + b \mid a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \{0\}\}$. It follows that U^\flat is spanned by the elements of $I(f) \setminus I(f)^2$, which is the set of all mappings $ax + b$ with $a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Indeed, $I(f)^2$ is clearly the set of mappings $ax + b$ with $a \in \mathfrak{m}^2$ as it consists of all compositions $(cx + d) \circ (fx + g)$ with $c, f \in \mathfrak{m}$. Let $J = \{ax + b \mid a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2\}$. Then $U^\flat = \mathbb{C}J$. Moreover, it is the permutation module associated to the action of $G \times G$ on J given by $(ax + b, cx + d) \cdot (ex + f) = (ax + b) \circ (ex + f) \circ (cx + d)^{-1} = (ax + b) \circ (ex + f) \circ (c^{-1}x - c^{-1}d)$. Note that J is not usually a single \mathcal{J} -class, although it is when \mathfrak{m} is a principal ideal.

Recall that two elements $a, b \in R$ are *associates* if $a = ub$ with $u \in U(R)$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let R be a finite commutative local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \neq 0$. Let p_1, \dots, p_r consist of one element from each set of associates of elements of $\mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$. Let \mathfrak{a}_i be the annihilator ideal of p_i , for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then in $Q(\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R))$:*

- (1) *there is an arrow from the trivial module to $W_{(\mathcal{O}, 1)}$ where \mathcal{O} is the orbit of a character $\chi: R \rightarrow U(\mathbb{C})$ with $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \ker \chi \subsetneq R$ and 1 the trivial character of $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$;*
- (2) *for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, if \mathcal{O} is an orbit of a character χ with $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \chi$ and ρ is a character of $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$ with $1 + \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \rho$, then there is one arrow from $W_{(\mathcal{O}, \rho)}$ to each simple module $W_{(\mathcal{O}', \rho')}$ such that \mathcal{O}' is the orbit of a character χ' with $\chi'(p_i a) = \chi(a)$ for all $a \in R$ and $\rho|_{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')} = \rho'$, where we note that $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \subseteq \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$ (note that, multiple edges can arise from different choices of i).*

Proof. In light of Propositions 3.1 and 3.3, we are left with computing arrows between simple $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules.

Let V, W be simple $\mathbb{C}G$ -modules. We need to find the multiplicity of $W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D(V)$ in $\mathbb{C}J$. Note that $\mathbb{C}J$ is a permutation module for $G \times G$. We claim that the orbits are the sets $\mathcal{O}_i = \{up_i x + b \mid u \in U(R), b \in R\}$. Indeed, if $a \in \mathfrak{m} \setminus \mathfrak{m}^2$, then $a = up_i$ with $u \in U(R)$. Then $ax + b = (ux + b, x) \cdot p_i x$. Conversely, if $(ax + b, cx + d) \in G \times G$, then $(ax + b) \circ p_i x \circ (cx + d)^{-1} = (ap_i x + b) \circ (c^{-1}x - c^{-1}d) = ac^{-1}p_i x - ac^{-1}p_i d + b$. Since p_i, p_j are not associates if $i \neq j$, the claim follows.

Thus to find the multiplicity of $W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D(V)$ in $\mathbb{C}J$, it suffices to compute its multiplicity in the permutation module $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_i$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. Let us compute the stabilizer H of $p_i x$. Note that $p_i x = (ax + b, cx + d) \cdot p_i x$ if and only if $p_i x = (ap_i x + b) \circ (c^{-1}x - c^{-1}d) = ac^{-1}p_i x - ac^{-1}p_i d + b$. Thus occurs if and only if $ac^{-1}p_i = p_i$ and $b = p_i d$. So $H = \{(ax + p_i d, cx + d) \mid a + \mathfrak{a}_i = c + \mathfrak{a}_i\}$ since \mathfrak{a}_i is the annihilator of p_i . Therefore, $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_i = \text{Ind}_H^{G \times G} 1_H$ where 1_H is the trivial $\mathbb{C}H$ -module.

Suppose that $V = W_{(\mathcal{O}, \rho)}$ and $W = W_{(\mathcal{O}', \rho')}$ where \mathcal{O} is the orbit of a character $\chi \in \widehat{R}$ and $\rho \in \widehat{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)}$ and \mathcal{O}' is the orbit of a character $\chi' \in \widehat{R}$ with $\rho' \in \widehat{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')}$. Note that $D(V) = W_{\overline{\mathcal{O}, \bar{\rho}}}$ where we recall that if $\theta \in \widehat{A}$ for an abelian group A , then $\overline{\theta}(a) = \overline{\theta(a)}$ (the complex conjugate). Also, since θ takes values in the unit circle, we have that $\overline{\theta(a)} = \theta(a^{-1})$.

Note that $G \times G \cong U(\text{Aff}(R \times R))$ and $W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D(V)$ is the simple $\mathbb{C}[G \times G]$ -module $\text{Ind}_{R^2 \rtimes (\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \times \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi))}^{G \times G} \chi' \overline{\chi} \otimes \rho' \bar{\rho}$ where $\chi' \overline{\chi}(a, b) = \chi'(a)\overline{\chi(b)}$ and $\rho' \bar{\rho}(c, d) = \rho'(c)\overline{\rho(d)}$. For convenience put $K = R^2 \rtimes (\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \times \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi))$.

So by Frobenius reciprocity, the multiplicity of $W \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} D(V)$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{O}_i$ is the multiplicity of 1_H in $\text{Res}_H^{G \times G} \text{Ind}_K^{G \times G} \chi' \overline{\chi} \otimes \rho' \bar{\rho}$. To compute this multiplicity, we make use of the Mackey decomposition. Let $L = \{(a, c) \in U(R)^2 \mid a + \mathfrak{a}_i = c + \mathfrak{a}_i\}$. Note that K and

$$HK = R^2 \rtimes ((\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \times \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi))L)$$

are normal subgroups of $G \times G$. Thus we can identify $H \backslash (G \times G) / K$ with $(G \times G) / HK$. Also, $H \cap K = \{(ax + p_i d, cx + d) \mid (a, c) \in (\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \times \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)) \cap L\}$.

Let S be a set of coset representatives for

$$U(R) / \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)(1 + \mathfrak{a}_i)$$

containing 1. Then we claim that the elements of the form (x, sx) with $s \in S$ form a set of coset representatives for $(G \times G) / HK$.

Since K contains R^2 , clearly $(ax + b, cx + d)HK = (ax, cx)HK$. But $(a^{-1}x, a^{-1}x) \in H$ and so $(ax, cx)HK = (x, ca^{-1}x)HK$. Now we can write $ca^{-1} = svvw$ with $s \in S$, $u \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$, $v \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')$ and $w \in 1 + \mathfrak{a}_i$. Then $(vx, vwx) \in H$, $(v^{-1}x, ux) \in K$ and $(x, sx)(vx, vwx)(v^{-1}x, ux) = (x, svwux) = (x, ca^{-1}x)$. Thus $(ax + b, cx + d)HK = (x, sx)HK$.

Suppose that $(x, sx)HK = (x, s'x)HK$ with $s, s' \in S$. Then $(x, s^{-1}s'x) = (ax + p_i d, cx + d)(ex + f, gx + h)$ with $a + \mathfrak{a}_i = c + \mathfrak{a}_i$ and $e \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')$ and $g \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$. Note that we must have $ae = 1$, $cg = s^{-1}s'$. Note that $ce + \mathfrak{a}_i = ae + \mathfrak{a}_i = 1 + \mathfrak{a}_i$ and so $c \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')(1 + \mathfrak{a}_i)$. Thus $s^{-1}s' \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)(1 + \mathfrak{a}_i)$ and so $s = s'$.

We now obtain from the Mackey decomposition, using that K is normal,

$$\text{Res}_H^{G \times G} \text{Ind}_K^{G \times G} \chi' \bar{\chi} \otimes \rho' \bar{\rho} \cong \bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Ind}_{H \cap K}^H \text{Res}_{H \cap K}^K \chi'(s \bar{\chi}) \otimes \rho' \bar{\rho}.$$

An application of Frobenius reciprocity shows that the multiplicity of 1_H in the right hand side of the above equation is the multiplicity of $1_{H \cap K}$ in $\bigoplus_{s \in S} \text{Res}_{H \cap K}^K \chi'(s \bar{\chi}) \otimes \rho' \bar{\rho}$.

Now if $(ax + p_i d, cx + d) \in H \cap K$, then we have

$$(\chi'(s \bar{\chi}) \otimes \rho' \bar{\rho})(ax + p_i d, cx + d) = \chi'(p_i d) \bar{\chi}(sd) \rho'(a) \bar{\rho}(c). \quad (3.1)$$

The right hand side of (3.1) is 1 for all elements of $H \cap K$ if and only if for all $d \in R$, we have $\chi'(p_i d) = \chi(sd) = (s\chi)(d)$ and for all $a \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')$ and $c \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$ with $a + \mathfrak{a}_i = c + \mathfrak{a}_i$ we have that $\rho'(a) = \rho(c)$. Note that by definition of S , if $s \neq s'$, then $s\chi \neq s'\chi$ and so there is at most one $s \in S$ so that $\chi'(p_i d) = (s\chi)(d)$ for all $d \in R$. Replacing χ' by $s^{-1}\chi'$, which is in the same orbit as χ' , we may assume that if the multiplicity of $1_{H \cap K}$ is non-zero, then $\chi'(p_i d) = \chi(d)$ for all $d \in R$. In particular, if $a \in \mathfrak{a}_i$, then $\chi(a) = \chi'(p_i a) = \chi'(0) = 1$ and so $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \chi$. Also note that if $a \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')$, then $(a\chi)(d) = \chi(ad) = \chi'(p_i ad) = (a\chi')(p_i d) = \chi'(p_i d) = \chi(d)$, and so we have that $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \subseteq \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$.

Next observe that if $u \in 1 + \mathfrak{a}_i$, say $u = 1 + r$ with $r \in \mathfrak{a}_i$, then $(u\chi)(a) = \chi(ua) = \chi(a + ra) = \chi(a)$, as $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \chi$. Thus $1 + \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$ and $(x, ux) \in H \cap K$ and so $1 = \rho'(1) = \rho(u)$. Thus $1 + \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \rho$. Also, if $a \in \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \subseteq \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$, then we have $(ax, ax) \in H \cap K$ and so $\rho'(a) = \rho(a)$, that is, $\rho' = \rho|_{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')}$.

Conversely, if $\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \chi$, $1 + \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \rho$, $\chi'(p_i d) = \chi(d)$ for all $d \in R$ and $\rho' = \rho|_{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')}$ (which makes sense since $\chi'(p_i d) = \chi(d)$ implies

$\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \subseteq \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$, as we saw above), then for $(ax + p_id, cx + d) \in H \cap K$, we just need to check that $\chi'(p_id) = \chi(d)$, which we are given, and that $\rho'(a) = \rho(c)$. This latter follows since $1 + \mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq \ker \rho$ and hence $\rho(c) = \rho(a) = \rho'(a)$, as $a + \mathfrak{a}_i = c + \mathfrak{a}_i$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Retaining the above notation, note that there are r loops based at the trivial module of $U(\text{Aff}(R))$ because χ, χ' and ρ, ρ' all trivial meet the above condition for each $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Let us specialize to the case of a principal ideal ring.

Corollary 3.5. *Let R be a finite commutative local principal ideal ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (p)$ with $p \neq 0$ and $n \geq 2$ minimal with $\mathfrak{m}^n = 0$. Then in $Q(\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R))$:*

- (1) *there is an arrow from the trivial module to $W_{(\mathcal{O},1)}$ where \mathcal{O} is the orbit of a character $\chi: R \rightarrow U(\mathbb{C})$ with $(p) \subseteq \ker \chi \subsetneq R$ and 1 the trivial character of $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$;*
- (2) *if \mathcal{O} is an orbit of a character χ with $(p^{n-1}) \subset \ker \chi$ and ρ is a character of $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$ with $1 + (p^{n-1}) \subseteq \ker \rho$, then there is one arrow from $W_{(\mathcal{O},\rho)}$ to each simple module $W_{(\mathcal{O}',\rho')}$ such that \mathcal{O}' is the orbit of a character χ' with $\chi'(pa) = \chi(a)$ for all $a \in R$ and $\rho|_{\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi')} = \rho'$, where we note that $\text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi') \subseteq \text{St}_{U(R)}(\chi)$.*

In particular, there are no multiple edges in the quiver.

Finite commutative local principal ideal rings are also called chain rings as these are precisely the finite commutative rings whose ideals form a chain. Note that in the case $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}$ with p prime, the condition $(p) \subseteq \ker \chi \subsetneq R$ is equivalent to $(p) = \ker \chi$.

Remark 3.6. Let \mathcal{O} and \mathcal{O}' be orbits of $U(R)$ on \widehat{R} . Suppose that there is one arrow from a simple module $W_{(\mathcal{O},\rho)}$ to a simple module $W_{(\mathcal{O}',\rho')}$. If $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\zeta' \in \mathcal{O}'$ then ζ and ζ' do not necessarily satisfy the conditions of Corollary 3.5.(2). However there exist characters $\chi \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\chi' \in \mathcal{O}'$ such that they satisfy the conditions of the second part of corollary. To check that there is an arrow between two simple modules we have to carry out this procedure with all the characters of R .

The quiver of $\mathbb{C} \text{Aff}(R)$ can now be computed for any finite commutative unital ring R using Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 and Propositions 2.1 and 2.2.

Example 3.7 (Quiver of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$). The orbit representatives of \mathbb{Z}_4^\times acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_4}$ and the characters of the group \mathbb{Z}_4^\times are in the following tables, respectively:

\mathbb{Z}_4	χ_0	χ_1	χ_2		\mathbb{Z}_4^\times	ρ_0	ρ_1
0	1	1	1		1	1	1
1	1	i	-1		3	1	-1
2	1	-1	1				
3	1	$-i$	-1				

By Corollary 3.5 the quiver of the complex algebra of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$ is then as in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. Quiver of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_4)$

Example 3.8 (Quiver of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_8)$). The orbit representatives of \mathbb{Z}_8^\times acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_8$ are in the following table:

\mathbb{Z}_8	χ_0	χ_1	χ_2	χ_3
0	1	1	1	1
1	1	$e^{\pi i/4}$	i	-1
2	1	i	-1	1
3	1	$e^{3\pi i/4}$	$-i$	-1
4	1	-1	1	1
5	1	$e^{5\pi i/4}$	i	-1
6	1	$-i$	-1	1
7	1	$e^{7\pi i/4}$	$-i$	-1


We have $\text{St}_{\mathbb{Z}_8^\times}(\chi_0) = \text{St}_{\mathbb{Z}_8^\times}(\chi_3) = \mathbb{Z}_8^\times$, $\text{St}_{\mathbb{Z}_8^\times}(\chi_1) = \{1\}$ and $\text{St}_{\mathbb{Z}_8^\times}(\chi_2) = \{1, 5\}$. The characters of the group \mathbb{Z}_8^\times and the subgroup $\{1, 5\}$ are in the following tables:

\mathbb{Z}_8^\times	θ_0	θ_1	θ_2	θ_3
1	1	1	1	1
3	1	-1	1	-1
5	1	1	-1	-1
7	1	-1	-1	1

$\{1, 5\}$	α_0	α_1
1	1	1
5	1	-1

By Corollary 3.5, the quiver of the complex algebra of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_8)$ is as in Figure 2.

Example 3.9 (Quiver of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_9)$). The orbit representatives of \mathbb{Z}_9^\times acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_9$ are in the following table:

FIGURE 2. Quiver of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_8)$

\mathbb{Z}_9	χ_0	χ_1	χ_2
0	1	1	1
1	1	$e^{2\pi i/9}$	$e^{2\pi i/3}$
2	1	$e^{4\pi i/9}$	$e^{4\pi i/3}$
3	1	$e^{2\pi i/3}$	1
4	1	$e^{8\pi i/9}$	$e^{2\pi i/3}$
5	1	$e^{10\pi i/9}$	$e^{4\pi i/3}$
6	1	$e^{4\pi i/3}$	1
7	1	$e^{14\pi i/9}$	$e^{2\pi i/3}$
8	1	$e^{16\pi i/9}$	$e^{4\pi i/3}$

We have $\text{St}_{\mathbb{Z}_9^\times}(\chi_0) = \mathbb{Z}_9^\times$, $\text{St}_{\mathbb{Z}_9^\times}(\chi_1) = \{1\}$ and $\text{St}_{\mathbb{Z}_9^\times}(\chi_2) = \{1, 4, 7\}$. The characters of the group \mathbb{Z}_9^\times and the subgroup $\{1, 4, 7\}$ are in the following tables:

\mathbb{Z}_9^\times	θ_0	θ_1	θ_2	θ_3	θ_4	θ_5
1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2	1	$e^{\pi i/3}$	$e^{2\pi i/3}$	-1	$e^{4\pi i/3}$	$e^{5\pi i/3}$
4	1	$e^{2\pi i/3}$	$e^{4\pi i/3}$	1	$e^{2\pi i/3}$	$e^{4\pi i/3}$
5	1	$-e^{2\pi i/3}$	$e^{4\pi i/3}$	-1	$e^{2\pi i/3}$	$-e^{4\pi i/3}$
7	1	$-e^{\pi i/3}$	$e^{2\pi i/3}$	1	$e^{4\pi i/3}$	$-e^{5\pi i/3}$
8	1	-1	1	-1	1	-1

$\{1, 4, 7\}$	α_0	α_1	α_2
1	1	1	1
4	1	$e^{2\pi i/3}$	$e^{4\pi i/3}$
7	1	$e^{4\pi i/3}$	$e^{2\pi i/3}$

By Corollary 3.5, the quiver of the complex algebra of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_9)$ is as in Figure 3.

Example 3.10 (Quiver of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$). Note that $\mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$. So we can compute the quiver by applying Theorem 3.2 and Propositions 2.1 and 2.2. The orbit representatives of \mathbb{Z}_2^\times acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_2$, the orbit representatives of




FIGURE 3. Quiver of the affine monoid \mathbb{Z}_9

\mathbb{Z}_3^\times acting on $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ and the characters of the group \mathbb{Z}_3^\times are in the following tables, respectively:

\mathbb{Z}_2	χ_0	χ_1
0	1	1
1	1	-1

\mathbb{Z}_3	χ'_0	χ'_1
0	1	1
1	1	$e^{2\pi i/3}$
2	1	$e^{4\pi i/3}$

\mathbb{Z}_3^\times	ρ_0	ρ_1
1	1	1
2	1	-1

By Theorem 3.2 the quivers of the complex algebra of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ are as in Figure 4, respectively.





FIGURE 4. Quivers of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$

Now, by Proposition 2.1 the quiver of the complex algebra of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$ is as in Figure 5, where we have omitted the labelling of the vertices.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author was partially supported by CMUP, which is financed by national funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the project UIDB/00144/2020. The first author also acknowledges FCT support through a contract based on the “Lei do Emprego Científico” (DL 57/2016). This work was performed while the first author was visiting

FIGURE 5. Quiver of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{Z}_6)$

the City College of New York. He thanks the College for its warm hospitality. The second author was supported in part by a PSC-CUNY grant. We are grateful to the anonymous referee for their careful reading of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Almeida. *Finite semigroups and universal algebra*, volume 3 of *Series in Algebra*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1994. Translated from the 1992 Portuguese original and revised by the author.
- [2] I. Assem, D. Simson, and A. Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Techniques of representation theory.
- [3] A. Ayyer, A. Schilling, B. Steinberg, and N. M. Thiéry. Markov chains, \mathcal{R} -trivial monoids and representation theory. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 25(1-2):169–231, 2015.
- [4] A. Ayyer and B. Steinberg. Random walks on rings and modules. *Algebr. Comb.*, 3(2):309–329, 2020.
- [5] G. Bini and F. Flamini. *Finite commutative rings and their applications*, volume 680 of *The Kluwer International Series in Engineering and Computer Science*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, MA, 2002. With a foreword by Dieter Jungnickel.
- [6] K. S. Brown. Semigroups, rings, and Markov chains. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 13(3):871–938, 2000.
- [7] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups. Vol. I*. Mathematical Surveys, No. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [8] J. Fountain, G. M. S. Gomes, and V. Gould. Enlargements, semiabundancy and unipotent monoids. *Comm. Algebra*, 27(2):595–614, 1999.
- [9] J. A. Green. On the structure of semigroups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 54:163–172, 1951.
- [10] Z. Leszczyński. On the representation type of tensor product algebras. *Fund. Math.*, 144(2):143–161, 1994.
- [11] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter. *Finite fields*, volume 20 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1997. With a foreword by P. M. Cohn.
- [12] S. Margolis, F. Saliola, and B. Steinberg. Combinatorial topology and the global dimension of algebras arising in combinatorics. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(12):3037–3080, 2015.

- [13] S. Margolis, F. Saliola, and B. Steinberg. Cell complexes, poset topology and the representation theory of algebras arising in algebraic combinatorics and discrete geometry. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [14] S. Margolis and B. Steinberg. The quiver of an algebra associated to the Mantaci-Reutenauer descent algebra and the homology of regular semigroups. *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, 14(1):131–159, 2011.
- [15] S. Margolis and B. Steinberg. Quivers of monoids with basic algebras. *Compos. Math.*, 148(5):1516–1560, 2012.
- [16] S. Margolis and B. Steinberg. Projective indecomposable modules and quivers for monoid algebras. *Comm. Algebra*, 46(12):5116–5135, 2018.
- [17] J. Rhodes and B. Steinberg. *The q -theory of finite semigroups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [18] J.-P. Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Translated from the second French edition by Leonard L. Scott, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 42.
- [19] A. Skowroński and K. Yamagata. *Frobenius algebras. I*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011. Basic representation theory.
- [20] B. Steinberg. *Representation theory of finite monoids*. Universitext. Springer, Cham, 2016.

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN, CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA,
FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS, UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE, 687,
4169-007 PORTO, PORTUGAL

Email address: `m.h.shahzamanian@fc.up.pt`

B. STEINBERG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CITY COLLEGE OF NEW YORK, CON-
VENT AVENUE AT 138TH STREET, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10031, USA.

Email address: `bsteinberg@ccny.cuny.edu`