

# NONDISTURBING QUANTUM MEASUREMENT MODELS

Stan Gudder  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Denver  
Denver, Colorado 80208  
sgudder@du.edu

## Abstract

A measurement model is a framework that describes a quantum measurement process. In this article we restrict attention to *MMs* on finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Suppose we want to measure an observable  $A$  whose outcomes  $A_x$  are represented by positive operators (effects) on a Hilbert Space  $H$ . We call  $H$  the base or object system. We interact  $H$  with a probe system on another Hilbert space  $K$  by means of a quantum channel. The probe system contains a probe (or meter or pointer) observable  $F$  whose outcomes  $F_x$  are measured by an apparatus that is frequently (but need not be) classical in practice. The *MM* protocol gives a method for determining the probability of an outcome  $A_x$  for any state of  $H$  in terms of the outcome  $F_x$ . The interaction channel usually entangles this state with an initial probe state of  $K$  that can be quite complicated. However, if the channel is nondisturbing in a sense that we describe, then the entanglement is considerably simplified. In this article, we give formulas for observables and instruments measured by nondisturbing *MMs*. We begin with a general discussion of nondisturbing operators relative to a quantum context. We present two examples that illustrate this theory in terms of unitary nondisturbing channels.

## 1 Introduction

This section discusses the basic concepts and definitions that are needed in the sequel. For more details and motivation we refer the reader to [1–6]. We

shall only consider finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces  $H$  and  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  be the set of linear operators on  $H$ . For  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  we write  $S \leq T$  if  $\langle \phi, S\phi \rangle \leq \langle \phi, T\phi \rangle$  for all  $\phi \in H$ . We define the set of *effects* on  $H$  by

$$\mathcal{E}(H) = \{a \in \mathcal{L}(H) : 0 \leq a \leq I\}$$

where  $0, I$  are the zero and identity operators, respectively. Effects correspond to yes-no measurements and when the result of a measuring  $a$  is yes, we say that  $a$  *occurs*. A one-dimensional projection  $P_\phi = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$  where  $\|\phi\| = 1$  is an effect called an *atom*. We call  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(H)$  a *partial state* if  $\text{tr}(\rho) \leq 1$  and  $\rho$  is a *state* if  $\text{tr}(\rho) = 1$ . We denote the set of partial states by  $\mathcal{S}_p(H)$  and the set of states by  $\mathcal{S}(H)$ . If  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{E}(H)$ , we call  $\mathcal{P}_\rho(a) = \text{tr}(\rho a)$  the *probability* that  $a$  *occurs in the state*  $\rho$  [1, 4, 6].

Let  $\Omega_A$  be a finite set. A (finite) *observable with outcome-space*  $\Omega_A$  is a subset

$$A = \{A_x : x \in \Omega_A\} \subseteq \mathcal{E}(H)$$

that satisfies  $\sum_{x \in \Omega_A} A_x = I$ . We interpret  $A_x$  as the effect that occurs when  $A$  has outcome  $x$ . We denote the set of observables on  $H$  by  $\mathcal{O}(H)$ . If  $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ , we define the *effect-valued measure*  $X \rightarrow A_X$  from  $2^{\Omega_A}$  to  $\mathcal{E}(H)$  by  $A_X = \sum_{x \in X} A_x$ . We interpret  $A_X$  as the event that  $A$  has an outcome in  $X$ . If  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$ , the *probability that  $A$  has an outcome in  $X \in \Omega_A$*  when the system is in state  $\rho$  is  $\mathcal{P}_\rho(A_X) = \text{tr}(\rho A_X)$ . Notice that  $X \mapsto \mathcal{P}_\rho(A_X)$  is a probability measure on  $\Omega_A$  [1, 4].

An *operation* is a completely positive map  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{S}_p(H) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_p(H)$  [4, 6]. Every operation has a *Kraus decomposition* [4–6]

$$\mathcal{A}(\rho) = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i \rho S_i^*$$

where  $S_i \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i^* S_i \leq I$ . An operation  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *channel* if  $\mathcal{A}(\rho) \in \mathcal{S}(H)$  for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ . In this case,  $\sum_{i=1}^n S_i^* S_i = I$  and we denote the set of channels by  $\mathcal{C}(H)$ . For a finite set  $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$ , an *instrument with outcome-set*  $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$  is a set of operations  $\mathcal{I} = \{\mathcal{I}_x : x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}\}$  satisfying [1–4]

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{I}} = \sum \{\mathcal{I}_x : x \in \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}\} \in \mathcal{C}(H)$$

Defining  $\mathcal{I}_X = \sum_{x \in X} \mathcal{I}_x$  for  $X \subseteq \Omega_{\mathcal{I}}$  we see that  $X \mapsto \mathcal{I}_X$  is an *operation-valued measure* on  $H$ . We denote the set of instruments on  $H$  by  $\text{In}(H)$ . We say that  $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{I}(H)$  *measures*  $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$  if  $\Omega_{\mathcal{I}} = \Omega_A$  and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rho}(Ax) = \text{tr} [\mathcal{I}_x(\rho)]$$

for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ ,  $x \in \Omega_A$ . There is a unique  $A \in \mathcal{O}(H)$  that  $\mathcal{I}$  measures and we write  $A = \widehat{\mathcal{I}}$  [2–4].

A *measurement model* (MM) is a 5-tuple  $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F)$  where  $H, K$  are finite-dimensional Hilbert spaces called the *base* and *probe* systems, respectively,  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(K)$  is an *initial probe state*,  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(H \otimes K)$  is a channel describing the measurement interaction between the base and probe systems and  $F \in \mathcal{O}(K)$  is the *probe* (or *meter*) *observable* [1–4]. We say that  $\mathcal{M}$  *measures the model instrument*  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} \in \text{In}(H)$  where  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$  is the unique instrument satisfying

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) = \text{tr}_K [\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)(I \otimes F_x)] \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ ,  $x \in \Omega_F$ . In (1.1),  $\text{tr}_K$  is the partial trace over  $K$  [1, 4, 6]. We also say that  $\mathcal{M}$  *measures the model observable*  $\mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$ .

We thus have three levels of abstraction. At the basic level is an observable that we seek to measure. At the next level is an instrument  $\mathcal{I}$  which is an apparatus that can be employed to measure an observable  $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$ . Although  $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$  is unique, there are many instruments that can be used to measure an observable. Moreover,  $\mathcal{I}$  gives more information than  $\widehat{\mathcal{I}}$  because, depending on the outcome  $x$  (or event  $X$ ),  $\mathcal{I}$  updates the input state  $\rho$  to give the output partial state  $\mathcal{I}_x(\rho)$  (or  $\mathcal{I}_X(\rho)$ ). At the highest level is a measurement model  $\mathcal{M}$  that measures a unique model instrument  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$  and unique observable  $\mathcal{M}^{\wedge\wedge}$ . Again, there are many MMs that measure any instrument or observable and  $\mathcal{M}$  contains more information on how the measurement is performed.

## 2 Nondisturbing Operators

Let  $H$  and  $K$  be finite dimensional complex Hilbert spaces for the base and probe systems, respectively. Let  $\{\psi_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be an orthonormal basis for  $H$  and let  $C = \{P_{\psi_i}\}$  be the corresponding atomic observables

on  $H$ . We call  $C$  a *context* for  $H$  and think of  $C$  as a particular way of viewing the base system. Of course, there are many contexts and each provides a different view of  $H$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  has the form  $S = \sum c_i P_{\psi_i}$ ,  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$ , we say that  $S$  is *measurable* with respect to  $C$ . In particular, any self-adjoint operator that commutes with  $P_{\psi_i}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  is measurable with respect to  $C$ . Letting  $I_K$  be the identity operator on  $K$ , an operator  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$  is  *$C$ -nondisturbing* if

$$A(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K) = (P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)A$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We think of  $C$ -nondisturbing operators as those operators on  $H \otimes K$  that leave the context invariant. For example, if  $A = D \otimes E$  where  $D$  is measurable with respect to  $C$ , then  $A$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing. Of course, if  $A$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing,  $A$  may not be  $C'$ -nondisturbing for a different context  $C'$ . The  $C$ -nondisturbing operators form a  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (a) *The following statements are equivalent: (i)  $A$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing. (ii) There exist operators  $B_i \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi$  for all  $\phi \in K$ . (iii) There exist operators  $B_i \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  such that  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n (P_{\psi_i} \otimes B_i)$ . (b) The operators in (ii) and (iii) are unique and satisfy*

$$B_i \phi = \sum_j \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) \rangle \phi_j \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $\phi \in K$  and every orthonormal basis  $\phi_j$  for  $K$ .

*Proof.* (a) To show that (i) implies (ii) suppose that  $A$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing. Let  $\{\phi_j\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $K$  and define  $B_i \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  by (2.1). We then have that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) &= A(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = (P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) \\ &= \sum_{r,s} \langle \psi_r \otimes \phi_s, (P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) \rangle \psi_r \otimes \phi_s \\ &= \sum_s \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_s, A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) \rangle \psi_i \otimes \phi_s = \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (ii) holds. To show that (ii) implies (iii) suppose (ii) holds. We conclude that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i)(\psi_j \otimes \phi) = \psi_j \otimes B_j \phi = A(\psi_j \otimes \phi)$$

for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\phi \in K$ . Hence, (iii) holds. To show that (iii) implies (i) suppose that (iii) holds. We then obtain

$$A(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K) = P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_j = (P_{\psi_j} \otimes I_K)A$$

so (i) holds. (b) If (ii) holds, then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} B_i \phi &= \sum_j \langle \phi_j, B_i \phi \rangle \phi_j = \sum_j \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi \rangle \phi_j \\ &= \sum_j \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) \rangle \phi_j \end{aligned}$$

so (2.1) holds.  $\square$

If  $A$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing, the operators  $B_i \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  in Theorem 2.1 are called the corresponding *probe operators*.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$  be  $C$ -nondisturbing with probe operators  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  (a)  $B_i = \text{tr}_H [A(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)]$ . (b)  $\text{tr}_H(A) = \sum B_i$ . (c)  $\text{tr}_K(A) = \sum \text{tr}(B_i)P_{\psi_i}$  so  $\text{tr}_K(A)$  is  $C$ -measurable.*

*Proof.* (a) If  $\{\phi_k\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $K$  we have by Theorem 2.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} B_i &= \sum_{k,l} \langle \phi_k, B_i \phi_l \rangle |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_l| = \sum_{k,l} \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_k, \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi_l \rangle |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_l| \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_k, A(\psi_i \otimes \phi_l) \rangle |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_l| \\ &= \sum_{j,k,l} \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_k, A(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)(\psi_j \otimes \phi_l) \rangle |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_l| \\ &= \text{tr}_H [A(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)] \end{aligned}$$

(b) Similar to (a) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i B_i &= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \phi_j, B_i \phi_k \rangle |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_k| = \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi_k \rangle |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_k| \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, A(\psi_i \otimes \phi_k) \rangle |\phi_j\rangle \langle \phi_k| = \text{tr}_H(A) \end{aligned}$$

(c) By the definition of  $\text{tr}_K$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}_K(A) &= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, A(\psi_k \otimes \phi_j) \rangle |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_k| \\
&= \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, \psi_k \otimes B_k \phi_j \rangle |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_k| \\
&= \sum_{i,j} \langle \phi_j, B_i \phi_j \rangle |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i| = \sum_i \text{tr}(B_i) P_{\psi_i} \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from Corollary 2.2(c) that  $\text{tr}_K(A)$  is unitary, self-adjoint, an effect or a projection if and only if  $|\text{tr}(B_i)| = 1$ ,  $\text{tr}(B_i) \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 \leq \text{tr}(B_i) \leq 1$  or  $\text{tr}(B_i) \in (0, 1)$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$  be  $C$ -nondisturbing with probe operators  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (a) For any  $\phi \in K$  and orthonormal basis  $\{\phi_j\}$  for  $K$  we have that*

$$B_i^* \phi = \sum_j \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, A^*(\psi_i \otimes \phi) \rangle \phi_j$$

(b)  $A^*(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes B_i^* \phi$ . (c)  $A$  is self-adjoint or unitary or a projection if and only if  $B_i$  are self-adjoint or unitary or projections,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , respectively. (d) If  $C_i \in \mathcal{L}(K)$  are the probe operators for a  $C$ -nondisturbing operator  $D \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$ , then  $A \leq D$  if and only if  $B_i \leq C_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (e)  $A \in \mathcal{E}(H \otimes K)$  if and only if  $B_i \in \mathcal{E}(K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (f)  $A \in \mathcal{E}(H \otimes K)$  with  $\text{tr}_H(A) = I$  if and only if  $\{B_i\} \in \mathcal{O}(K)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Applying (2.1) we obtain for every  $\phi \in K$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_i^* \phi &= \sum_j \langle \phi_j, B_i^* \phi \rangle \phi_j = \sum_j \langle B_i \phi_j, \phi \rangle \phi_j = \sum_j \langle \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi_j, \psi_i \otimes \phi \rangle \phi_j \\
&= \sum_j \langle A(\psi_i \otimes \phi_j), \psi_i \otimes \phi \rangle \phi_j = \sum_j \langle \psi_i \otimes \phi_j, A^*(\psi_i \otimes \phi) \rangle \phi_j
\end{aligned}$$

(b) This follows from (a). (c) If  $B_i$  are self-adjoint,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then by (b) we obtain

$$A^*(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes B_i^* \phi = \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi = A(\psi_i \otimes \phi)$$

Hence,  $A^* = A$  so  $A$  is self-adjoint. If  $A$  is self-adjoint, then by (b) we have that

$$\psi_i \otimes B_i \phi = A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = A^*(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes A^* \phi$$

Hence,  $B_i = B_i^*$  so  $B_i$  is self-adjoint,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . That  $A$  is unitary if and only if  $B_i$  are unitary,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , is similar. Since  $A^2 = A$  is equivalent to

$$A^2(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes B_i^2 \phi = A(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes B_i \phi$$

which is equivalent to  $B_i = B_i^2$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we conclude that  $A$  is a projection if and only if  $B_i$  are projections,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (d) If  $A \leq D$  then  $A(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K) \leq D(P_{\psi_i} \otimes I_K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $\text{tr}_H$  preserves order it follows from Corollary 2.2(a) that  $B_i \leq C_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Conversely, if  $B_i \in C_i$ , then  $P_{\psi_i} \otimes B_i \leq P_{\psi_i} \otimes C_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Applying Theorem 2.1(iii) we conclude that  $A \leq D$ . (e) This follows from (c) and (d). (f) This follows from (e) and Corollary 2.2(b).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $A \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$  be  $C$ -nondisturbing with probe operators  $B_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . (a) For any  $B \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ ,  $D \in \mathcal{L}(K)$  we have that*

$$A(B \otimes D)A^* = \sum_{i,j} (P_{\psi_i} B P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i D B_j^*) \quad (2.2)$$

(a) For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we obtain

$$A(P_{\psi_k} \otimes I_K)A^* = P_{\psi_k} \otimes B_k B_k^*$$

*Proof.* (a) This follows from Theorem 2.1(iii). (b) Applying (a) we conclude that

$$A(P_{\psi_k} \otimes I_K)A^* = \sum_{i,j} (P_{\psi_i} P_{\psi_k} P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i I_K B_j^*) = P_{\psi_k} \otimes B_k B_k^* \quad \square$$

### 3 Nondisturbing Channels

A channel  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(H \otimes K)$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing if  $\nu$  has a Kraus decomposition  $\nu(\sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^m S_k \sigma S_k^*$  where  $\sum S_k^* S_k = I$  and each  $S_k$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing.

**Theorem 3.1.** *A channel  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(H \otimes K)$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing if and only if there exist channels  $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $\Gamma_i(\eta) = \sum_{k=1}^m B_i^k \eta B_i^{k*}$  and we have that*

$$\nu(\rho \otimes \eta) = \sum_{i,j,k} (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*}) \quad (3.1)$$

for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ ,  $\eta \in \mathcal{S}(K)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(H \otimes K)$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing. Then  $\nu$  has a Kraus decomposition  $\nu(\sigma) = \sum S_k \sigma S_k^*$  where each  $S_k$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing. Let  $B_i^k$  be the probe operators for  $S_k$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 we obtain

$$\nu(\rho \otimes \eta) = \sum_k S_k(\rho \otimes \eta)S_k^* = \sum_{i,j,k} (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*})$$

which gives (3.1). Since  $\sum S_k^* S_k = I$ , we conclude from Lemma 2.3(b) that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i \otimes \phi &= \sum_k S_k^* S_k(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \sum_k S_k^*(\psi_i \otimes B_i^k \phi) = \sum_k (\psi_i \otimes B_i^{k*} B_i^k \phi) \\ &= \psi_i \otimes \sum_k B_i^{k*} B_i^k \phi \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\phi \in K$ . Hence,  $\sum_k B_i^{k*} B_i^k = I_K$  so  $\Gamma_i(\eta) = \sum_k B_i^k \eta B_i^{k*}$  is a channel,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Conversely, suppose we have these channels  $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{C}(K)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and (3.1) holds. Define the operators  $S_k \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$  by  $S_k(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes B_i^k \phi$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . By Theorem 2.1(a),  $S_k$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and by (3.1) and our previous calculation we obtain  $\nu(\rho \otimes \eta) = \sum S_k(\rho \otimes \eta)S_k^*$ . Since any  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes K)$  is a linear combination of product states, we conclude that  $\nu(\sigma) = \sum S_k \sigma S_k^*$  for all  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(H \otimes K)$ .  $\square$

It is interesting to note that if  $\rho$  is  $C$ -measurable so that  $\rho P_{\psi_i} = \lambda_i P_{\psi_i}$ ,  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , then by (3.1)

$$\nu(\rho \otimes \eta) = \sum_i (\lambda_i P_{\psi_i} \otimes \sum_k B_i^k \eta B_i^{k*}) = \sum_i [\lambda_i P_{\psi_i} \otimes \Gamma_i(\eta)]$$

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(H \otimes K)$  is a  $C$ -nondisturbing channel, then  $\nu$  has the form (3.1) and*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_K [\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)] &= \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr} (B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*}) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \\ \text{tr}_H [\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)] &= \sum_j \langle \psi_j, \rho \psi_j \rangle \Gamma_j(\eta) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* Applying (3.1) gives

$$\text{tr}_K [\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)] = \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr}_K (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*})$$



$$= \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr} (B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*}) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_H [\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)] &= \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr}_H (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*}) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr} (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j}) B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*} \\ &= \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \sum_k B_i^k \eta B_i^{k*} = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \Gamma_i(\eta) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We see from (3.2) that for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$  the map  $\eta \mapsto \text{tr}_H [\nu(\rho \otimes \eta)]$  is a channel on  $K$  that is a convex combination of the channels  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

We now arrive at our most important definition. We say that a *MM*  $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F)$  is *C-nondisturbing* if the channel  $\nu$  is *C-nondisturbing*.

**Theorem 3.3.** *If  $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F)$  is a C-nondisturbing MM, then  $\nu$  is given by (3.1). The instrument and observable measured by  $\mathcal{M}$  become*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) = \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr} (B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*} F_x) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge} = \sum_i \text{tr} [\Gamma_i(\eta) F_x] P_{\psi_i} \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* For any  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ , applying (2.1) and (3.1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) &= \text{tr}_K [(\rho \otimes \eta)(I \otimes F_x)] = \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr}_K (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*} F_x) \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k} \text{tr} (B_i^k \eta B_j^{k*} F_x) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_x = \sum_i \text{tr} [\Gamma_i(\eta) F_x] P_{\psi_i}$  as in (3.4). Applying (3.3) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} [\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho)] &= \sum_{i,k} \text{tr} (B_i^k \eta B_i^{k*} F_x) \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_i \text{tr} [\Gamma_i(\eta) F_x] \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle = \text{tr} (\rho A_x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $A_x = \mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge}$  which proves (3.4).  $\square$

Notice that  $\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge}$  is  $C$ -measurable and the effects  $\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge}$  commute for all  $x \in \Omega_F$ . This gives a restriction on the measured observable for a  $C$ -nondisturbing  $MM$ . We conclude that not all observables can be measured by a  $C$ -nondisturbing  $MM$ . In general  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho)$  need not be  $C$ -measurable. However, if  $\rho$  is  $C$ -measurable so that  $\rho\psi_i = \lambda_i\psi_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then (3.3) becomes:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) = \sum_{i,k} \text{tr}(B_i^k \eta B_i^{k*} F_x) \lambda_i P_{\psi_i} = \sum_i \lambda_i \text{tr}[\Gamma_i(\eta) F_x] P_{\psi_i}$$

which is  $C$ -measurable.

Although  $\text{tr}$  is cyclic in the sense that  $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$ , this need hold for  $\text{tr}_H$ . For example, in general we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}_H[(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D)] &= \text{tr}_H(AC \otimes BD) = \text{tr}(AC)BD \neq \text{tr}(CA)DB \\ &= \text{tr}_H[(C \otimes D)(A \otimes B)] \end{aligned}$$

Until now we have studied instruments and observables for the base system. It is sometimes of interest to consider these for the probe system. We define the *post-interaction probe instrument*  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\rho \in \text{In}(K)$  for all  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(K)$  by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\rho(\sigma) = \text{tr}_H \left[ (I \otimes F_x)^{1/2} \nu(\rho \otimes \sigma) (I \otimes F_x)^{1/2} \right] \quad (3.5)$$

We did not define  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\rho(\sigma)$  to be  $\text{tr}_H[(\nu \otimes \sigma)(I \otimes F_x)]$  as one might expect because the lack of cyclicity of  $\text{tr}_H$  prevents this latter definition from being self-adjoint. We also define the *post-interaction probe observable* to be  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\rho)^\wedge$ . Corresponding to a channel  $\Gamma(\sigma) = \sum S_i \sigma S_i^*$  on  $\mathcal{S}(K)$  we define the *dual channel*  $\Gamma^*(a) = \sum S_i^* a S_i$  on  $\mathcal{E}(K)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $C$ -nondisturbing  $MM$  with  $\nu$  given by (3.1). For every  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(K)$  we have that*

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\rho(\sigma) = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle F_x^{1/2} \Gamma_i(\sigma) F_x^{1/2} \quad (3.6)$$

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\rho)_x^\wedge = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \Gamma_i^*(F_x) \quad (3.7)$$

*Proof.* For  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ ,  $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}(K)$  we have from (3.5) that

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\rho(\sigma) = \sum_{i,j,k} \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_j \rangle \text{tr}_H \left( |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_j| \otimes F_x^{1/2} B_i^k \sigma B_j^{k*} F_x^{1/2} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i,k} \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle F_x^{1/2} B_i^k \sigma B_i^{k*} F_x^{1/2} \\
&= \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle F_x^{1/2} \Gamma_i(\sigma) F_x^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

which verifies (3.6). To verify (3.7), we see from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr} \left[ \sigma (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\rho)_x^\wedge \right] &= \text{tr} \left[ \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\rho(\sigma) \right] = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \text{tr} [\Gamma_i(\sigma) F_x] \\
&= \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \text{tr} [\sigma \Gamma_i^*(F_x)] = \text{tr} \left[ \sigma \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \Gamma_i^*(F_x) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

and (3.7) follows.  $\square$

Since  $\{\Gamma_i^*(F_x)\}$  is an observable for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , it follows from (3.7) that  $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\rho)_x^\wedge$  is a convex combination of these observables depending on  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ . This shows that the post-interaction probe observable is obtained by first running the initial probe observable through the channel and then taking a convex combination depending on the input state  $\rho$ .

The simplest case for the previous theory is when  $\nu$  is a unitary  $C$ -nondisturbing channel so that  $\nu(\rho \otimes \eta) = U(\rho \otimes \eta)U^*$  where  $U \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$  is unitary. We then have that  $U(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes V_i \phi$  where  $V_i \in \mathcal{L}(K)$  are unitary,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We then obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(\rho \otimes \eta) &= \sum_{i,j} (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \times V_i \eta V_j^*) \\
\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) &= \sum_{i,j} \text{tr}(V_i \eta V_j^* F_x) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge} = \sum_i \text{tr}(V_i \eta V_i^* F_x) P_{\psi_i} \tag{3.9}$$

Moreover, (3.6), (3.7) become

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_x^\rho(\sigma) = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle F_x^{1/2} V_i \sigma V_i^* F_x^{1/2} \tag{3.10}$$

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\rho)_x^\wedge = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle V_i^* F_x V_i \tag{3.11}$$

Notice that if  $\eta V_i = V_i \eta$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then we obtain the simple form  $\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge} = \text{tr}(\eta F_x) I_H$ .

If  $\Omega_A$  is a finite set, an *apparatus on  $H \otimes K$  with outcome-set  $\Omega_A$*  is a map  $A: \mathcal{S}(H) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(K)$  satisfying  $x \mapsto A(\rho, x)$  is an observable on  $K$  for every  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$  and  $\rho \mapsto A(\rho, x)$  is affine for  $x \in \Omega_A$ . Thus, we have that

$$A\left(\sum \lambda_i \rho_i, x\right) = \sum \lambda_i A(\rho_i, x)$$

whenever  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ ,  $\sum \lambda_i = 1$ . A *state-dependent MM* is a set of MMs of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_\rho = (H, K, \eta, \nu, G(\rho, x))$$

where  $G$  is an apparatus on  $H \otimes K$ . The motivation behind this definition is the following. Input a state  $\rho$  and run the MM  $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \eta, \nu, F)$  to produce the post-interaction probe observable which gives the apparatus  $A(\rho, x) = (\widehat{\mathcal{M}^\rho})_x^\wedge$ . We then have the state-dependent MM given by  $\mathcal{M}_\rho = (H, K, \eta, \nu, A(\rho, x))$  which is the post-interaction MM resulting from the input state  $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(H)$ .

Now let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $C$ -nondisturbing MM with  $\nu$  given by (3.1). Applying (3.7) the measured apparatus is

$$A(\rho, x) = \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \Gamma_i^*(F_x)$$

If we now remeasure using the stat-dependent MM  $\mathcal{M}_\rho$ , applying (3.4) we obtain the apparatus

$$\begin{aligned} B(\rho, x) &= \sum_j \text{tr} \left[ \Gamma_j(\eta) \sum_i \langle \psi_i, \rho \psi_i \rangle \Gamma_i^*(F_x) \right] P_{\psi_i} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \text{tr} [\Gamma_j(\eta) \Gamma_i^*(F_x)] P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_i} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

In the case of a unitary  $C$ -nondisturbing MM, (3.12) becomes

$$B(\rho, x) = \sum_{i,j} \text{tr} [V_i V_j \eta (V_i V_j)^* F_x] P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_i}$$

## 4 Two Examples

This section presents two examples of  $C$ -nondisturbing MMs with unitary channels  $\nu(\rho \otimes P_{\phi_1}) = U(\rho \otimes P_{\phi_1})U^*$  where  $P_{\phi_1}$  is the initial pure probe state. We write  $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \phi_1, U, F)$ .

**Example 1.** Suppose  $\dim H = \dim K = n$  and  $\{\phi_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , is an orthonormal basis for  $K$ . Let  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be unitary swap operators on  $K$  defined by  $V_i(\phi_1) = \phi_i$ ,  $V_i(\phi_i) = \phi_1$  and  $V_i(\phi_j) = \phi_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . Defining the unitary operator  $U \in \mathcal{L}(H \otimes K)$  by  $U(\psi_i \otimes \phi) = \psi_i \otimes V_i(\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in K$ , we have that  $U$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing. Letting  $\nu$  be the unitary channel on  $H \otimes K$  given by  $\nu(\sigma) = U\sigma U^*$  we have that  $\nu$  is  $C$ -nondisturbing. Form the  $C$ -nondisturbing MM  $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \phi_1, U, F)$ . Applying (3.7), the measured instrument is

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) &= \sum_{i,j} \text{tr}(V_i P_{\phi_1} V_j^* F_x) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} = \sum_{i,j} \text{tr}(|V_i \phi_1\rangle \langle V_j \phi_1| F_x) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \text{tr}(|\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j| F_x) P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} = \sum_{i,j} \langle \phi_j, F_x \phi_i \rangle P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j}\end{aligned}\quad (4.1)$$

By (3.8) the measured observable is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge} &= \sum_i \text{tr}(V_i P_{\phi_1} V_i^* F_x) P_{\psi_i} = \sum_i \text{tr}(|V_i \phi_1\rangle \langle V_i \phi_1| F_x) P_{\psi_i} \\ &= \sum_i \text{tr}(|\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i| F_x) P_{\psi_i} = \sum_i \langle \phi_i, F_x \phi_i \rangle P_{\psi_i}\end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) the channel becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\nu(\rho \otimes P_{\phi_1}) &= \sum_{i,j} (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes V_i P_{\phi_1} V_j^*) = \sum_{i,j} (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes |V_i \phi_1\rangle \langle V_j \phi_1|) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} (P_{\psi_i} \rho P_{\psi_j} \otimes |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j|)\end{aligned}\quad (4.2)$$

If  $\rho$  is  $C$ -measurable so that  $\rho \psi_i = \lambda_i \psi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then (4.1) and (4.2) have the simple forms

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) &= \sum_i \lambda_i \langle \phi_i, F_x \phi_i \rangle P_{\psi_i} \\ \nu(\rho \otimes P_{\phi_1}) &= \sum_i (\lambda_i P_{\psi_i} \otimes P_{\phi_i}) \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.** Let  $\dim H = n$ ,  $\dim K = m$  and let  $\{\phi_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $K$ . Let  $i = \sqrt{-1}$  be in imaginary unit and define the unitary operators

$$V_j(\phi_r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{s=1}^m e^{2\pi i j r s / m} \phi_s$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $r, s = 1, 2, \dots, m$  [4]. Define the unitary operator  $U = \sum_{j=1}^n (P_{\psi_j} \otimes V_j)$  so that

$$U = (\psi_j \otimes \phi_r) = \psi_j \otimes U_j \phi_r = \psi_j \otimes \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{s=1}^m e^{2\pi i j r s / m} \phi_s$$

Then  $U$  and the unitary channel  $\nu(\sigma) = U\sigma U^*$  and  $C$ -nondisturbing. Form the  $C$ -nondisturbing  $MM$   $\mathcal{M} = (H, K, \phi_1, U, F)$ . As in (4.2) the channel becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\rho \otimes P_{\phi_1}) &= \sum_{j,k} (P_{\psi_j} \rho P_{\psi_k} \otimes |V_j \phi_1\rangle \langle V_k \phi_1|) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j,k} \left( P_{\psi_j} \rho P_{\psi_k} \otimes \left| \sum_{s=1}^m e^{2\pi i j s / m} \phi_s \right\rangle \left\langle \sum_{t=1}^m e^{2\pi i k t / m} \phi_t \right| \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ s,t}} e^{2\pi i (j s - k t) / m} (P_{\psi_j} \rho P_{\psi_k} \otimes |\phi_s\rangle \langle \phi_t|) \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way, we obtain

$$\text{tr} (|V_j \phi_1\rangle \langle V_k \phi_1| F_x) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{s,t} e^{2\pi i (j s - k t) / m} \langle \phi_t, F_x \phi_s \rangle \quad (4.3)$$

and applying (3.8), the measured instrument becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\mathcal{M}}_x(\rho) &= \sum_{j,k} \text{tr} (|V_j \phi_1\rangle \langle V_k \phi_1| F_x) P_{\psi_j} \rho P_{\psi_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{\substack{j,k \\ s,t}} e^{2\pi i (j s - k t) / m} \langle \phi_t, F_x \phi_s \rangle P_{\psi_j} \rho P_{\psi_k} \end{aligned}$$

Applying (3.9) and (4.3), the measured observable becomes

$$\mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j,s,t} e^{2\pi i j (s-t) / m} \langle \phi_t, F_x \phi_s \rangle P_{\psi_j} \quad (4.4)$$

As a simple example, suppose  $\phi_k$  are eigenvectors of  $F_x$  for all  $x \in \Omega_F$ . Then  $F_x \phi_s = c_x^s \phi_s$  for all  $x, s$ , where  $0 \leq c_x^s \leq 1$ . Then by (4.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_x^{\wedge\wedge} &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j,s,t} e^{2\pi i j (s-t) / m} \langle \phi_t, c_x^s \phi_s \rangle P_{\psi_j} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j,s} c_x^s P_{\psi_j} \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_s c_x^s \right) I_H = \langle F_x \rangle I_H \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle F_x \rangle$  is the average eigenvalue of  $F_x$ . □

## References

- [1] P. Busch, M. Grabowski and P. Lahti, *Operational Quantum Physics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [2] S. Gudder, Finite quantum instruments, arXiv:quant-ph 2005.13642 (2020).
- [3] S. Gudder, Parts and composites of quantum systems, arXiv:quant-ph 2009.07371 (2020).
- [4] T. Heinosaari and M. Ziman, *The Mathematical Language of Quantum Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
- [5] K. Kraus, *States, Effects and Operations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
- [6] M. Nielson and I. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.