arXiv:2009.12720v1 [cond-mat.quant-gas] 27 Sep 2020

Novel soliton in dipolar BEC caused by the quantum fluctuations

Pavel A. Andreev*
Faculty of physics, Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991.
(Dated: February 24, 2022)

Solitons in the extended hydrodynamic model of the dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate with quantum fluctuations are considered. This model includes the continuity equation for the scalar field of concentration, the Euler equation for the vector field of velocity, the pressure evolution equation for the second rank tensor of pressure, and the evolution equation for the third rank tensor. Large amplitude soliton solution caused by the dipolar part of quantum fluctuations is found. It appears as the bright soliton. Hence, it is the area of compression of the number of particles. Moreover, it exists for the repulsive short-range interaction.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Hh, 03.75.Kk, 67.85.Pq
Keywords: quantum hydrodynamics, pressure evolution equation, extended hydrodynamics, quantum fluctuations, dipolar BEC.

Dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) demonstrate the formation of quantum droplets [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [16], [17], [18], [19], [20]. Each droplet is the small cloud of atoms, which can be considered as the soliton-like area of increased concentration. System of droplets is the highly nonlinear structure explained via the dipolar part of the quantum fluctuations via the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation including the fourth order nonlinearity.

The traditional GP equation contains one nonlinear term which is proportional to the third degree of the macroscopic wave function [21]. This nonlinearity is caused by the major part of the short-range interaction contribution. In spite the fact that the BECs is the collection of particles in the quantum state with the lowest energy, a part of particles can exist in the exited states even at the zero temperature. It happens via the interaction beyond the mean-field approximation. This phenomenon is called the BEC depletion caused by the quantum fluctuations. It is studied both theoretically [22], [23], [24], [25] and experimentally [26], [27], [28]. If one considers the quantum fluctuations caused by the short-range interaction within the Bogoliubov-de Gennes theory one can find additional nonlinear term in the GP equation which is also caused by the short-range interaction, but it is proportional to the fourth degree of the macroscopic wave function. It contains same interaction constant as the traditional GP equation.

The dipolar BEC has long history of study over the last 20 years [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38]. The nonsuperfluid fermionic dipolar gases are also considered in literature [39], [40]. Traditionally the condensate depletion is studied in terms of Bogoliubov-de Gennes theory [41], [42], [43]. It includes the depletion of the dipolar BECs. However, here we present the microscopic many-particle quantum hydrodynamic theory of the quantum fluctuations.

Although, the dipole-dipole interaction modifies properties of nonlinear structure in BECs including the width and the amplitude of the bright and dark solitons in the BECs [44]. To the best of our knowledge, the dipole-dipole interaction brings no novel soliton formation. In Ref. [44] the analytical solutions are demonstrated for the dipole-dipole interaction of the point-like objects. Here, it is found that the dipolar part of quantum fluctuations causes the soliton solution.

Recently, the microscopically justified quantum hydrodynamic model describes the quantum fluctuations via the equations additional to the Euler and continuity equations [45], [46]. The interparticle interaction creates the source of the third rank tensor $Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$, which gives the nonzero value of kinetic pressure $p^{\alpha\beta}$. Both the kinetic pressure and the third rank tensor $Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are related to the occupation of the excited states. Their nonzero values for the BECs are the consequence of the quantum fluctuations in BECs. The pressure evolution equation contains no trace of the interaction. Hence, its value depends on the third rank tensor $Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ only. The third rank tensor evolution equation contains the gradient of the concentration square n^2 multiplied by the additional interaction constant for the short-range interaction. The long-range dipole-dipole interaction leads to the third derivative of the macroscopic potential of the dipole-dipole interaction. However, no contribution of the external field, such as the trapping potential, is present in this equation.

The description of collisions of solitons in BECs requires models obtained beyond the mean-field approximation [47], [48], [49], [50]. In this paper we demonstrate that the described above beyond mean-field model provides a novel soliton solution in dipolar BECs.

Novel soliton solution in dipolar BECs is found in terms of the extended quantum hydrodynamic model, where the continuity equation for the scalar field of concentration n, the Euler equation for the vector field of velocity $\mathbf{v}$, the pressure evolution equation for the second rank tensor of pressure $p^{\alpha\beta}$, and the evolution equation for the third rank tensor $Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ are used. In this model,

*Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

the quantum fluctuations are presented in the equation for the third rank tensor evolution. This model represents the microscopic motion of the quantum particles via the functions describing the collective dynamics [51], [52], [53], [54], [55], [56], [57], [58], which is described by the many-particle Schrodinger equation:

$$\imath\hbar\partial_t\Psi(R,t) = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^2}{2m} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i,t)\right)\right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j\neq i} U_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\sum_{i,j\neq i}\frac{1-3r_{z,ij}^2/r_{ij}^2}{r_{ij}^3}\right]\Psi(R,t), \quad (1)$$

where m is the mass of the atom, $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i = -\imath\hbar\nabla_i$ is the momentum of i-th particle, $\hbar$ is the Planck constant, μ is the magnetic moment of the atom, $\Psi(R,t)$ is the wave function for the system of N quantum particles, $R = \{\mathbf{r}_1,...,\mathbf{r}_N\}$, V_{ext} is the external potential. The short-range part of boson-boson interaction is presented via potential $U_{ij} = (r_{ij})$, where $r_{ij} =\mid \mathbf{r}_{ij} \mid$, and $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$. The long-range dipole-dipole interaction of align dipoles is presented by the last term of the Schrodinger equation (1).

Transition to the description of the collective motion of bosons is made via the introduction of the concentration (number density) [54], [59], [60], [61]:

$$n = \int dR\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\Psi^*(R,t)\Psi(R,t). \quad (2)$$

The integral in equation (2) contains the element of volume in $3N$ dimensional space $dR = \prod_{i=1}^{N} d\mathbf{r}_i$.

The derivation [60] shows that the concentration (2) obeys the continuity equation

$$\partial_t n + \nabla\cdot(n\mathbf{v}) = 0. \quad (3)$$

The current of particles is proportional to the momentum density and presented by the following equation

$$\mathbf{j} = \int dR\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\times$$

$$\times\frac{1}{2m_i}(\Psi^*(R,t)\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i\Psi(R,t) + c.c.), \quad (4)$$

with $c.c.$ is the complex conjugation. The current allows to define the velocity vector field: $\mathbf{v} = \frac{\mathbf{j}}{n}$.

The evolution of the current (4) follows from the Schrodinger equation (1) and can be presented by the Euler equation:

$$mn\partial_t v^\alpha + mn(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)v^\alpha - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m}n\nabla^\alpha\frac{\triangle\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}} + \partial_\beta T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$+ n\partial^\alpha V_{ext} = -gn\partial^\alpha n - n\partial^\alpha\Phi_d, \quad (5)$$

where $\triangle = \partial_\beta\partial_\beta$, and the Einstein's rule for the summation on the repeating subindex is applied.

Major contribution of the short-range interaction appears in the mean-field approximation [21] corresponding to the first order by the interaction radius [60]. It is presented by the first term on the right-hand side of the Euler equation (5). It contains the interaction constant g expressed via the potential:

$$g = \int d\mathbf{r}U(r). \quad (6)$$

The long-range of interaction is presented in the correlationless form corresponding to the main contribution of the interaction via the macroscopic electrostatic potential:

$$\Phi_d = \mu^2\int d\mathbf{r}'\frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|^3}\left(1-3\frac{(z-z')^2}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|^2}\right)n(\mathbf{r}',t). \quad (7)$$

The dipole-dipole interaction presented by potential (7) is not full dipole-dipole interaction, but the long-range asymptotics of atom-atom interaction. Potential (7) satisfies the following differential equation

$$\triangle\Phi_d = 4\pi\mu^2(\partial_z^2 n - \triangle n), \quad (8)$$

which is the modification of the Poisson equation. Equation (8) is derived for the arbitrary directions of the pair of dipoles with the further transition to the pair of aligned dipoles.

The evolution of the particles current $\mathbf{j}$ (4) leads to the flux of momentum $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$ which is defined as follows

$$\Pi^{\alpha\beta} = \int dR\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\frac{1}{4m^2}[\Psi^*(R,t)\hat{p}_i^\alpha\hat{p}_i^\beta\Psi(R,t)$$

$$+ \hat{p}_i^{\alpha*}\Psi^*(R,t)\hat{p}_i^\beta\Psi(R,t) + c.c.]. \quad (9)$$

Equation (5) contains the momentum flux represented via the velocity field.

The continuity equation and the Euler equation are presented via the velocity field. Transition of the general equations to this form can be made by the representation of the macroscopic wave function $\Psi(R,t)$ via the real functions $\Psi(R,t) = a(R,t)\exp(\imath S(R,t)/\hbar)$. The real functions can be called the amplitude of the wave function $a(R,t)$, and the phase of the wave function $S(R,t)$. The gradient of the wave function gives the velocity of the quantum particle: $\mathbf{v}_i(R,t) = \nabla_i S(R,t)/m_i$. The deviation of the velocity of quantum particle from the average velocity $\mathbf{u}_i(\mathbf{r},R,t) = \mathbf{v}_i(R,t) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r},t)$ can be called the thermal velocity, or it is the velocity in the local comoving frame.

Final expression for the momentum flux is obtained in the following form:

$$\Pi^{\alpha\beta} = nv^\alpha v^\beta + p^{\alpha\beta} + T^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (10)$$

where the tensor function $p^{\alpha\beta}$ in equation (10) is the kinetic pressure

$$p^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{r},t)=\int dR\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)a^2(R,t)m_iu_i^{\alpha}u_i^{\beta}, \quad (11)$$

and the simplified form of the second rank tensor $T^{\alpha\beta}$ is found as follows:

$$T^{\alpha\beta}=-\frac{\hbar^2}{4m}\left(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}n-\frac{1}{n}(\partial^{\alpha}n)(\partial^{\beta}n)\right)+T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (12)$$

The BEC is the collection of particles in the quantum state with the lowest energy. It corresponds to the zero temperature $T=p^{\beta\beta}/3n$. Therefore, the zero kinetic pressure is the characteristic of the BEC. However, we can consider the pressure evolution equation. Its derivation is made for the arbitrary distribution of particles over quantum states. It corresponds to the arbitrary temperatures. Transition to the zero temperature is made after derivation of the general equation.

Hence, the derivation of the momentum flux evolution equation is made by the consideration of the time derivative of function $\Pi^{\alpha\beta}$ (9). Next, we introduce the velocity field in accordance with the method shown before equation (10). The final equation reduces to the equation for the part of the quantum Bohm potential $T^{\alpha\beta}$ (12) caused by the quantum fluctuations $T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}$ (it can be also interpreted as the part of pressure caused by the quantum fluctuations):

$$\partial_tT_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}+\partial_{\gamma}(v^{\gamma}T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta})+T_{qf}^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}v^{\beta}+T_{qf}^{\beta\gamma}\partial_{\gamma}v^{\alpha}+\partial_{\gamma}Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}=0. \quad (13)$$

All terms in equation (13) are proportional to $T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}$ and the flux of pressure in the comoving frame $Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$. Hence, tensor $Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ goes to zero together with the kinetic pressure at the zero temperature. Hence, equation (13) gives the identity 0=0. However, it is the quasi-classical description of the pressure evolution equation. To understand the full quantum picture, we need to derive the equation for the third rank tensor evolution $Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ at the arbitrary temperature. Afterwords, we make the transition to the zero temperature in the derived equation. As the result we get equation (18) presented below. Equation (18) shows that $\partial_tQ_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}\neq 0$ at the zero temperature due to the nonzero contribution of the interaction. Hence, there is the interaction related source of $Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ and consequently it gives the source of $T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}$ via the pressure evolution equation. Therefore, the interaction causes the occupation of the quantum states with nonminimal energies. This description corresponds to the well-known nature of the quantum fluctuations [23], [41], [42], [43].

No interaction gives contribution in the pressure evolution equation (13). The form of the trapping potential does not affect the pressure evolution.

The evolution of the second rank tensor of pressure leads to the flux of the momentum flux:

$$M^{\alpha\beta\gamma}=\int dR\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)\frac{1}{8m_i^3}\Big[\Psi^*(R,t)\hat{p}_i^{\alpha}\hat{p}_i^{\beta}\hat{p}_i^{\gamma}\Psi(R,t)$$

$$+\hat{p}_i^{\alpha *}\Psi^*(R,t)\hat{p}_i^{\beta}\hat{p}_i^{\gamma}\Psi(R,t)+\hat{p}_i^{\alpha *}\hat{p}_i^{\gamma *}\Psi^*(R,t)\hat{p}_i^{\beta}\Psi(R,t)$$

$$+\hat{p}_i^{\gamma *}\Psi^*(R,t)\hat{p}_i^{\alpha}\hat{p}_i^{\beta}\Psi(R,t)+c.c.\Big]. \quad (14)$$

Calculations give the following representation of the third rank tensor $M^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ via the velocity field and other hydrodynamic functions:

$$M^{\alpha\beta\gamma}=nv^{\alpha}v^{\beta}v^{\gamma}+v^{\alpha}p^{\beta\gamma}+v^{\beta}p^{\alpha\gamma}$$

$$+v^{\gamma}p^{\alpha\beta}+Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}+T^{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad (15)$$

where we have two new functions. One of them the quasi-classic third rank tensor in the comoving frame:

$$Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\mathbf{r},t)=\int dR\sum_{i=1}^{N}\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}_i)a^2(R,t)u_i^{\alpha}u_i^{\beta}u_i^{\gamma}. \quad (16)$$

The quantum part of the tensor $M^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is found:

$$T^{\alpha\beta\gamma}=\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2}\Big[-\frac{1}{6}n(\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}v^{\gamma}+\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\gamma}v^{\beta}+\partial^{\beta}\partial^{\gamma}v^{\alpha})$$

$$+T^{\beta\gamma}\cdot v^{\alpha}+T^{\alpha\beta}\cdot v^{\gamma}+T^{\alpha\gamma}\cdot v^{\beta}\Big]. \quad (17)$$

Equation for the evolution of quantum-thermal part of the third rank tensor is [45], [46]:

$$\partial_tQ_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}+\partial_{\delta}(v^{\delta}Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma})+Q_{qf}^{\alpha\gamma\delta}\partial_{\delta}v^{\beta}+Q_{qf}^{\beta\gamma\delta}\partial_{\delta}v^{\alpha}+Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\delta}\partial_{\delta}v^{\gamma}$$

$$=\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}n\Big(g_2I_0^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial^{\delta}n+\partial^{\alpha}\partial^{\beta}\partial^{\gamma}\Phi_d\Big)$$

$$+\frac{1}{mn}(T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}\partial^{\delta}T_{qf}^{\gamma\delta}+T_{qf}^{\alpha\gamma}\partial^{\delta}T_{qf}^{\beta\delta}+T_{qf}^{\beta\gamma}\partial^{\delta}T_{qf}^{\alpha\delta}), \quad (18)$$

where

$$I_0^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}=\delta^{\alpha\beta}\delta^{\gamma\delta}+\delta^{\alpha\gamma}\delta^{\beta\delta}+\delta^{\alpha\delta}\delta^{\beta\gamma}. \quad (19)$$

The main contribution of the short-range interaction is proportional to the second interaction constant:

$$g_2=\frac{2}{3}\int d\mathbf{r}U''(r). \quad (20)$$

It is obtained in the first order by the interaction radius [60].

Different versions of the extended hydrodynamics for various physical systems are presented in Refs. [54], [59], [62], [63], [64]. Novel approaches to the development of hydrodynamics are recently presented in Refs. [65] and [66].

Consider solitons in the uniform boundless BEC with no restriction on the amplitude of soliton. Hence, we have no external potential $V_{ext} = 0$.

Consider the simplified form of the hydrodynamic equations giving main contribution in the soliton solution. The continuity equation (3) requires no simplification. No simplification of the equation of field (8) is required too.

We drop the traditional part of the quantum Bohm potential in the Euler equation (5):

$$mn\partial_t v^\alpha + mn(\mathbf{v}\cdot\nabla)v^\alpha + \partial_\beta T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= -gn\partial^\alpha n - n\partial^\alpha \Phi_d. \tag{21}$$

The pressure evolution equation simplifies to two terms:

$$\partial_t T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\gamma Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = 0, \tag{22}$$

where the quantum Bohm potential $T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}$ is caused purely by the flux $Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$.

Equation for the evolution of quantum-thermal part of the third rank tensor is:

$$\partial_t Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} n\Big(g_2 I_0^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\partial^\delta n + \partial^\alpha\partial^\beta\partial^\gamma\Phi_d\Big), \tag{23}$$

where the interaction on the right-hand sides represents the quantum fluctuations. It is assumed that the evolution of the tensor $Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is mainly caused by the interaction.

There is no independent source of interaction in the pressure evolution equation (23). Hence, the third rank tensor $Q_{qf}^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the single source of interaction in the pressure evolution equation. As it is mentioned above, we focus on the pressure or the quantum Bohm potential caused by the quantum fluctuations. Hence, we consider the interaction caused $T_{qf}^{\alpha\beta}$ and drop the kinematic terms (see equation (23)).

We consider the one dimensional solution. We chose the direction of wave propagation perpendicular to the direction of titled dipoles. We seek the stationary solutions of the nonlinear equations. We assume the steady state in the comoving frame. Therefore, the dependence of the time and space coordinates is combined in the single variable $\xi = x - ut$. Parameter u is the constant velocity of the nonlinear solution. Therefore, all hydrodynamic functions depend on ξ and u. We also assume that perturbations vanish at $\xi \to \pm\infty$. It gives the following reduction of equations (3), (8), (21), (22), (23).

Equation (38) can be integrated to obtain the "energy integral" in the following manner

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\xi n)^2 + \frac{m^2u^2}{\pi\mu^2\hbar^2}V_{eff}(n) = 0, \tag{24}$$

where $V_{eff}(n)$ is the Sagdeev potential [67], [68], [69], [70], [71], [72], [73]:

$$V_{eff}(n) = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(g - 4\pi\mu^2 - \frac{1}{u^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}3g_2 - \frac{mu^2}{n}\right)\right](n-n_0)^2. \tag{25}$$

Details of derivation of equations (24) and (25) are presented in the Supplementary Materials. Moreover, the estimation of the area of applicability of equations (21), (22), and (23) is discussed in Supplementary Materials either.

In order the soliton to exist, the effective potential $V_{eff}(n)$ should have a local maximum in the point $n = 0$. Moreover, equation $V_{eff}(n) = 0$ should have at least one real solution $n' \neq 0$. This value of concentration n' determines the amplitude n' of the soliton as the function of velocity u.

Equation $V_{eff} = 0$ can be solved analytically for $n \neq n_0$:

$$n' = \frac{mu^2}{g - 4\pi\mu^2 - \frac{1}{u^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}3g_2}. \tag{26}$$

Equation (41) allows to introduce the effective dimensionless interaction constant $G = (n_0/mu^2)(g - 4\pi\mu^2 - 3\hbar^2 g_2/4m^2u^2)$.

Let us consider the limit of the small dipole-dipole interaction and the small short-range part of the quantum fluctuations in compare with the mean-field of the short-range interaction presented by the Gross-Pitaevskii interaction constant g. Hence, we have $G \approx 2g/mu^2$. We have soliton solution for the positive interaction constant $G \sim g > 0$ which corresponds to the repulsive short-range interaction.

The solitary waves exist due to the balance between the nonlinearities caused by the GP interaction, dipole-dipole interaction, and short-range part of quantum fluctuations and the dispersion induced by the dipolar part of quantum fluctuations. The dipole-dipole interaction and short-range part of quantum fluctuations introduce an additional negative contribution to the interaction constant of the GP approximation.

To understand the possibility of the soliton existence in the regime under consideration we study the form of the Sagdeev potential (41).

The Sagdeev potential (41) is plotted in Fig. (1) for the positive effective interaction constant. This regime is chosen since it shows the existence of the soliton solution.

Figures (1) and (2) show that the amplitude of soliton (the value n', where $V(n') = 0$) decreases with the increase of the effective interaction constant G. The increase of the velocity of soliton u decreases constant G and, consequently, decreases the amplitude. The increase



FIG. 1: The Sagdeev potential as the function of the dimensionless deviation $\Delta = (n-n_0)/n_0$ of the concentration n from the equilibrium value n_0 (the concentration at the infinity $n_0 = n(x = \pm\infty)$) is demonstrated for the value of Δ below 1 in accordance with the area of applicability of the simplified hydrodynamic equations in accordance with Supplementary materials. The Sagdeev potential depends on one parameter G, which is the combination of the interaction constants including the effective interaction constant for the dipole-dipole interaction $g_d = 4\pi\mu^2$. The figure shows that there is the "potential gap" in the area of positive deviations Δ. It means that there is a bright soliton (the area of increased concentration).



FIG. 2: The dimensionless soliton amplitude value for concentration n'/n_0 as the function of the effective interaction constant is demonstrated. This dependence is given analytically by equation (26). Here, the decrease of amplitude n' corresponds to the decrease of area of negative potential in Fig. 1. Decrease of G gives the monotonic increase of amplitude n'. Hence, the area of applicability of obtained solution can be broken. Therefore, this figure shows the restrictions on the effective interaction constant G.

of the soliton velocity u diminish the role of the short-range interaction part of the quantum fluctuations in the effective interaction constant.

The traditional bright and dark solitons in neutral atomic BEC are caused by the nonlinearity created by the short-range interaction in the Gross-Pitaevskii approximation. There are different generalizations of the Gross-Pitaevkii model include effects beyond the mean-field approximation. An example of the beyond mean-field model of BEC has been derived in this paper. The quantum fluctuations have been included here via the extended hydrodynamic model which includes the continuity equation for the scalar field of concentration, the Euler equation for the vector field of velocity, the pressure evolution equation for the second rank tensor of pressure, and the evolution equation for the third rank tensor.

The dipolar part of quantum fluctuations is presented by the term proportional to the third derivative of the electrostatic potential. For one dimensional perturbations in the single fluid species the potential is proportional to the variation of the concentration from the equilibrium value.

Hence, the high derivatives of the concentration appears in term presenting the dipolar part of quantum fluctuations.

We acknowledge that the work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 20-02-00476).

[1] H. Kadau, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, C. Wink, T. Maier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, T. Pfau, Nature **530**, 194 (2016).
[2] I. Ferrier-Barbut, H. Kadau, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, and T. Pfau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 215301 (2016).
[3] D. Baillie, R. M. Wilson, R. N. Bisset, and P. B. Blakie, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 021602(R) (2016).
[4] R. N. Bisset, R. M. Wilson, D. Baillie, P. B. Blakie, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 033619 (2016).
[5] F. Wachtler and L. Santos, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 061603R (2016).
[6] F. Wachtler and L. Santos, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 043618 (2016).
[7] P. B. Blakie, Phys. Rev. A **93**, 033644 (2016).
[8] A. Boudjemaa and N. Guebli, Phys. Rev. A **102**, 023302

(2020).
[9] V. Heinonen, K. J. Burns, and J. Dunkel, Phys. Rev. A **99**, 063621 (2019).
[10] B. A. Malomed, Physica D **399**, 108 (2019).
[11] E. Shamriz, Z. Chen, and B. A. Malomed, Phys. Rev. A **101**, 063628 (2020).
[12] Z. Li, J.-S. Pan, and W. Vincent Liu, Phys. Rev. A **100**, 053620 (2019).
[13] E. Aybar and M. O. Oktel, Phys. Rev. A **99**, 013620 (2019).
[14] P. Examilioti, and G. M. Kavoulakis, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **53**, 175301 (2020).
[15] T. Miyakawa, S. Nakamura, H. Yabu, Phys. Rev. A **101**, 033613 (2020).
[16] F. Bottcher, Jan-Niklas Schmidt, J. Hertkorn, Kevin S. H. Ng, Sean D. Graham, M. Guo, T. Langen, and T. Pfau, arXiv:2007.06391.
[17] R. N. Bisset, L. A. Pea Ardila, and L. Santos, arXiv:2007.00404.
[18] Y. Wang, L. Guo, S. Yi, and T. Shi, arXiv:2002.11298.
[19] M. J. Edmonds, T. Bland, and N. G. Parker, arXiv:2002.07958.
[20] D. Baillie and P. B. Blakie, Phys. Rev. A **101**, 043606 (2020).
[21] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 463 (1999).
[22] T. D. Lee, K. Huang, and C. N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **106**, 1135 (1957).
[23] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4541 (1998).
[24] E. Braaten and J. Pearson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 255 (1999).
[25] G. E. Astrakharchik, R. Combescot, X. Leyronas, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 030404 (2005).
[26] K. Xu, Y. Liu, D. E. Miller, J. K. Chin, W. Setiawan, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 180405 (2006).
[27] A. Altmeyer, S. Riedl, C. Kohstall, M. J. Wright, R. Geursen, M. Bartenstein, C. Chin, J. Hecker Denschlag, and R. Grimm, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 040401 (2007).
[28] S. B. Papp, J. M. Pino, R. J. Wild, S. Ronen, C. E. Wieman, D. S. Jin, and E. A. Cornell, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 135301 (2008).
[29] K. Goral, K. Rzazewski, and T. Pfau, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 051601(R) (2000).
[30] L. Santos, G.V. Shlyapnikov, P. Zoller, and M. Lewenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1791 (2000).
[31] S. Yi, L. You, Phys. Rev. A, **61**, 041604(R) (2000).
[32] A. Griesmaier, J. Werner, S. Hensler, J. Stuhler, and T. Pfau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 160401 (2005).
[33] K.-K. Ni, S. Ospelkaus, D. Wang, G. Quemener, B. Neyenhuis, M. H. G. de Miranda, J. L. Bohn, J. Ye, D. S. Jin, Nature (London) **464**, 1324 (2010).
[34] L. D. Carr, J. Ye, New J. Phys. **11**, 055009 (2009).
[35] L. D. Carr, D. DeMille, R. V. Krems and J. Ye, New J. Phys. **11**, 055049 (2009).
[36] R. M. Wilson, S. T. Rittenhouse and J. L. Bohn, New J. Phys. **14**, 043018 (2012).
[37] T. Lahaye, T. Koch, B. Frohlich, M. Fattori, J. Metz, A. Griesmaier, S. Giovanazzi, T. Pfau, Nature **448**, 672 (2007).
[38] T. Lahaye, C. Menotti, L. Santos, M. Lewenstein, and T. Pfau, Rep. Prog. Phys. **72**, 126401 (2009).
[39] A. R. P. Lima, A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 021606(R) (2010).
[40] A. R. P. Lima, A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 063629 (2010).
[41] A. R. P. Lima, A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 041604 (2011).
[42] A. R. P. Lima, A. Pelster, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 063609 (2012).
[43] P. B. Blakie, D. Baillie, and R. N. Bisset, Phys. Rev. A **88**, 013638 (2013).
[44] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuzmenkov, Eur. Phys. J. D **68**, 270 (2014).
[45] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:2005.13503.
[46] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:2007.15045.
[47] G. C. Katsimiga, G. M. Koutentakis, S. I. Mistakidis, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher, New J. Phys. **19**, 073004 (2017).
[48] G. C. Katsimiga, S. I. Mistakidis, G. M. Koutentakis, P. G. Kevrekidis and P. Schmelcher, New J. Phys. **19**, 123012 (2017).
[49] G. C. Katsimiga, S. I. Mistakidis, G. M. Koutentakis, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher, Phys. Rev. A **98**, 013632 (2018).
[50] S. I. Mistakidis, G. C. Katsimiga, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher, New J. Phys. **20**, 043052 (2018).
[51] T. Koide, Phys. Rev. C **87**, 034902 (2013).
[52] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, Eur. Phys. Lett. **113**, 17001 (2016).
[53] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, Appl. Phys. Lett. **108**, 191605 (2016).
[54] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:2001.02764.
[55] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, Theor. Math. Phys. **118**, 227 (1999).
[56] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuzmenkov and M. I. Trukhanova, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 245401 (2011).
[57] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, and V. V. Fedoseev, Theor. Math. Phys. **126**, 110 (2001).
[58] K. Renziehausen, and I. Barth, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2018**, 013A05 (2018).
[59] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:1912.00843.
[60] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, Phys. Rev. A **78**, 053624 (2008).
[61] P. A. Andreev, Laser Phys. **29**, 035502 (2019).
[62] I. Tokatly, O. Pankratov, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 15550 (1999).
[63] I. V. Tokatly, O. Pankratov, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 2759 (2000).
[64] P. A. Andreev, K. V. Antipin, M. Iv. Trukhanova, arXiv:2003.12547.
[65] B. Bertini, M. Collura, J. De Nardis, and M. Fagotti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 207201 (2016).
[66] P. Ruggiero, P. Calabrese, B. Doyon, and J. Dubail, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 140603 (2020).
[67] R. Z. Sagdeev, in Reviews of Plasma Physics, edited by M. A. Leontovich (Consultants Bureau, New York, 1966), Vol. 4, p. 23.
[68] H. Schamel, M. Y. Yu, and P. K. Shukla, Phys. Fluids **20**, 1286 (1977).
[69] E. Witt and W. Lotko, Phys. Fluids **26**, 2176 (1983).
[70] A. A. Mamun, Phys. Rev. E **55**, 1852 (1997).
[71] H. A. Shah, M. N. S. Qureshi, and N. Tsintsadze, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 032312 (2010).
[72] M. Marklund, B. Eliasson, and P. K. Shukla, Phys. Rev. E **76**, 067401 (2007).
[73] M. Akbari-Moghanjoughi, Phys. Plasmas **24**, 052302 (2017).

I. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

A. One dimensional limit of hydrodynamic equations

We consider the one dimensional solution. We chose the direction of wave propagation perpendicular to the direction of titled dipoles. We seek stationary solutions of the nonlinear equations. We assume the steady state in the comoving frame. Therefore, the dependence of the time and space coordinates is combined in the single variable $\xi = x - ut$. Parameter u is the constant velocity of the nonlinear solution. Therefore, all hydrodynamic functions depend on ξ and u. We also assume that perturbations vanish at $\xi \to \pm\infty$. It gives the following reduction of equations (3), (8), (21), (22), (23).

The reduced continuity equation is

$$-u\partial_\xi n + \partial_\xi(nv^x) = 0, \tag{27}$$

where the time derivatives ∂_t is replaced by $-u\partial_\xi$ in accordance with the variable ξ introduced for the stationary solution.

The reduced Euler equation is

$$-umn\partial_\xi v^x + mnv^x\partial_\xi v^x + \partial_\xi T^{xx}_{qf}$$

$$= -gn\partial_\xi n - n\partial_\xi\Phi_d. \tag{28}$$

The Poisson equation (8) transforms to

$$\partial^2_\xi\Phi_d = -4\pi\mu^2\partial^2_\xi n. \tag{29}$$

The reduced pressure evolution equation is

$$-u\partial_\xi T^{xx}_{qf} + \partial_\xi Q^{xxx}_{qf} = 0. \tag{30}$$

Equation for the evolution of quantum-thermal part of the third rank tensor is:

$$-u\partial_\xi Q^{xxx}_{qf} = \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}n\Big(3g_2\partial_\xi n + \partial^3_\xi\Phi_d\Big), \tag{31}$$

where we have the source for the dispersion of the nonlinear wave presented by the third derivative of the potential Φ_d (7).

The neglecting of the quantum Bohm potential (12) in the Euler equation corresponds to the following restriction for the velocities of the soliton propagation $u^2 \ll \mu^2 n_0$.

B. Derivation of the soliton solution

The continuity equation (27) can be integrated

$$n(v^x - u) = -un_0, \tag{32}$$

where we use the boundary conditions with nonzero concentration at infinity $n(\xi \to \pm\infty) = n_0$, and zero value of velocity at infinity $v^x(\xi \to \pm\infty) = 0$.

The Euler equation (28) can not be integrated at this stage due to the third term, which is caused by the quantum fluctuations, which is proportional to $\frac{\partial_\xi T^{xx}_{qf}}{n}$. It will be considered later after the analysis of solution for T^{xx}_{qf}.

The derivative of the second rank tensor T^{xx}_{qf} is presented via the derivative of the third rank tensor Q^{xxx}_{qf} by equation (30). Equation (30) can be integrated, but it is not necessary since we need the derivative of the second rank tensor $\partial_\xi T^{xx}_{qf}$ for the Euler equation (28).

The derivative of the third rank tensor $\partial_\xi Q^{xxx}_{qf}$ is found from equation (31). The extra multiplier n in front of the third derivative of the potential Φ_d is canceled in expression $\frac{\partial_\xi T^{xx}_{qf}}{n}$, so the final form of the Euler equation can be integrated.

Equation (29) can be integrated twice. After first integration including the zero derivatives of the concentration and the potential of electric field at infinity we have

$$\partial_\xi\Phi_d = -4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi n. \tag{33}$$

Next, we make the second integration to find the expression of the potential:

$$\Phi_d = -4\pi\mu^2(n - n_0). \tag{34}$$

The final expression for the derivative of the second rank tensor is following:

$$\partial_\xi T^{xx}_{qf} = -\frac{1}{u^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}n\Big(3g_2\partial_\xi n + \partial^3_\xi\Phi_d\Big). \tag{35}$$

It gives the following form of the Euler equation:

$$m(-u)\partial_\xi v^x - \frac{1}{u^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}\Big(3g_2\partial_\xi n - 4\pi\mu^2\partial^3_\xi n\Big)$$

$$+ mv^x\partial_\xi v^x = -g\partial_\xi n + 4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi n, \tag{36}$$

where the potential of electric field Φ_d is expressed via the concentration in accordance with equation (33).

We integrate Euler equation (36)

$$m(-u)v_x + \frac{1}{2}mv^2_x - \frac{1}{u^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}\Big(3g_2(n - n_0) - 4\pi\mu^2\partial^2_\xi n\Big)$$

$$+ (g - 4\pi\mu^2)(n - n_0) = 0. \tag{37}$$

The third and fourth terms can be combined together since they are proportional to $n - n_0$. Hence, the quantum fluctuations caused by the short-range interaction gives the variation of the Gross-Pitaevskii interaction constant g.

The dipolar part of the quantum fluctuations plays the crucial contribution in the formation of novel soliton. Equation (37) becomes the differential equation due



FIG. 3: The Sagdeev potential as the function of the dimensionless deviation $\Delta = (n - n_0)/n_0$ of the concentration n from the equilibrium value n_0 is demonstrated for the relatively large values of the effective interaction constant G. Disappearance of the area of negative potential is demonstrated at the increase of the parameter G.



FIG. 4: The Sagdeev potential as the function of the dimensionless deviation $\Delta = (n-n_0)/n_0$ of the concentration n from the equilibrium value n_0 is demonstrated for the relatively large values of Δ. Here, the amplitude of soliton becomes large enough to brake regime of existence of the simplified hydrodynamic equations.

to the dipolar part of the quantum fluctuations. If we drop the dipolar part of the quantum fluctuations we obtain the constant value of concentration. It means that no soliton exist in this limit.

Using the integral of the continuity equation (32) we express the velocity via the concentration. Hence, equation (37) becomes the equation relatively one function:

$$\partial_\xi^2 n + \frac{m^3 u^2}{\pi\mu^2\hbar^2} f(n) = 0, \tag{38}$$

where

$$f(n) = -\frac{1}{2} m u^2 \frac{n^2 - n_0^2}{n^2} - \frac{1}{u^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} 3g_2(n - n_0)$$

$$+ (g - 4\pi\mu^2)(n - n_0). \tag{39}$$

Equation (38) can be integrated to obtain the "energy integral" in the following manner

$$\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\xi n)^2 + \frac{m^2 u^2}{\pi\mu^2\hbar^2} V_{eff}(n) = 0, \tag{40}$$

where $V_{eff}(n)$ is the Sagdeev potential

$$V_{eff}(n) = -\frac{1}{2} m u^2 \frac{(n - n_0)^2}{n}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\left(g - 4\pi\mu^2 - \frac{1}{u^2}\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} 3g_2\right)(n - n_0)^2. \tag{41}$$

C. Justification of the simplified equations

Consider full set of equations (3), (5), (13), (18) for the one dimensional case to estimate the contribution of the dropped terms:

$$\partial_t n + \partial_x (n v^x) = 0, \tag{42}$$

$$mn\partial_t v^x + mnv^x\partial_x v^x + gn\partial_x n + n\partial_x \Phi_d$$

$$+ \partial_x T_{qf}^{xx} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} n\partial_x \frac{\partial_x^2\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}, \tag{43}$$

$$\partial_t T_{qf}^{xx} + \partial_x Q_{qf}^{xxx} = -v^x\partial_x T_{qf}^{xx} - 3T_{qf}^{xx}\partial_x v^x, \tag{44}$$

and

$$\partial_t Q_{qf}^{xxx} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2} n\left(3g_2\partial_x n + \partial_x^3\Phi_d\right)$$

$$= -v^x\partial_x Q_{qf}^{xxx} - 4Q_{qf}^{xxx}\partial_x v^x + \frac{3}{mn} T_{qf}^{xx}\partial_x T_{qf}^{xx}, \tag{45}$$

where the left-hand side in equations (43), (44), (45) contains the terms used in the simplified regime. The right-hand side gives the terms dropped earlier for their further estimation.

Equation (40) shows existence of the soliton solution for the concentration. Equations (27)-(35) allows to express other hydrodynamic functions via the concentration:

$$\tilde{v}_x = u\left(1 - \frac{n_0}{n}\right). \tag{46}$$

Transition to the frame comoving with the soliton we change ∂_t on $-u\partial_\xi$ and $\partial_x = \partial_\xi$. Equation (31) can be integrated and we obtain corresponding simplified expression of tensor Q^{xxx}:

$$u\tilde{T}_{qf}^{xx} = \tilde{Q}_{qf}^{xxx} = \frac{3\hbar^2}{8m^2 u} g_2 n^2 + \frac{\pi\hbar^2\mu^2}{m^2 u} n\partial_\xi^2 n - \frac{\pi\hbar^2\mu^2}{2m^2 u}(\partial_\xi n)^2, \tag{47}$$

where equation (30) is used to get solution for T_{qf}^{xx} in the simplifies regime. Next, we use this solution to integrate the right-hand side of equation (45).

The right-hand side of equation (45) contains the following combination of the hydrodynamic functions $\tilde{v}^x\partial_x\tilde{Q}_{qf}^{xxx}+4\tilde{Q}_{qf}^{xxx}\partial_x\tilde{v}^x$. Using solution (47) this combination can be found as the function of the concentration

$$\tilde{v}^x\partial_x\tilde{Q}_{qf}^{xxx}+4\tilde{Q}_{qf}^{xxx}\partial_x\tilde{v}^x=-\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}(n-n_0)[3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n]$$

$$-\frac{3\hbar^2}{m^2}g_2n_0\frac{(\partial_\xi n)^2}{n}+\frac{4\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)}{n}\partial_\xi^2 n-\frac{2\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)^3}{n^2}. \tag{48}$$

Here, we obtain the generalized expression for the derivative of the third rank tensor via the concentration

$$\partial_\xi Q_{qf}^{xxx}=-\frac{1}{u}\left\{\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}n\left(3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n\right)\right.$$

$$+\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}(n-n_0)[3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n]+\frac{3\hbar^2}{m^2}g_2n_0(\partial_\xi n)$$

$$\left.-\frac{4\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)}{n}\partial_\xi^2 n+\frac{2\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)^3}{n^2}\right\}, \tag{49}$$

where the last term of equation (45) $\frac{3}{mn}T_{qf,0}^{xx}\partial_xT_{qf,0}^{xx}$ is dropped since it is proportional to $\hbar^4$ (see equation (47)).

Next, we use equation (49) to find the right-hand side of equation (45) and we use (47) to find the right-hand side of equation (45)

$$\partial_\xi T_{qf}^{xx}=-\frac{1}{u^2}\left\{\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}n\left(3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n\right)\right.$$

$$+\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2}(n-n_0)[3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n]+\frac{15\hbar^2}{8m^2}g_2n_0(\partial_\xi n)$$

$$\left.-\frac{7\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)}{n}\partial_\xi^2 n+\frac{7\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{2m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)^3}{n^2}\right\}, \tag{50}$$

where the right-hand side is given by

$$\tilde{v}^x\partial_x\tilde{T}_{qf}^{xx}+3\tilde{T}_{qf}^{xx}\partial_x\tilde{v}^x$$

$$=\left(1-\frac{n_0}{n}\right)\partial_x\tilde{Q}_{qf}^{xxx}+3n_0\tilde{Q}_{qf}^{xxx}\frac{\partial_x n}{n^2}$$

$$=-\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2u}(n-n_0)[3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n]$$

$$+3n_0\frac{\partial_\xi n}{n^2}\left[\frac{3\hbar^2g_2}{8m^2u}n^2+\frac{\pi\hbar^2\mu^2}{m^2u}n\partial_\xi^2 n-\frac{\pi\hbar^2\mu^2}{2m^2u}(\partial_\xi n)^2\right]. \tag{51}$$

Expressions (46) and (50) are substituted in the Euler equation (43) to get equation for the concentration n. It gives the generalization of equation (36)

$$-umn\partial_\xi v^x+mnv^x\partial_\xi v^x+gn\partial_\xi n+n\partial_\xi\Phi_d$$

$$=\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}n\partial_\xi\frac{\partial_\xi^2\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n}}+\frac{1}{u^2}\left\{\frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2}n\left(3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n\right)\right.$$

$$+\frac{\hbar^2}{2m^2}(n-n_0)[3g_2\partial_\xi n-4\pi\mu^2\partial_\xi^3 n]+\frac{15\hbar^2}{8m^2}g_2n_0(\partial_\xi n)$$

$$\left.-\frac{7\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)}{n}\partial_\xi^2 n+\frac{7\pi\mu^2\hbar^2n_0}{2m^2}\frac{(\partial_\xi n)^3}{n^2}\right\}. \tag{52}$$

The second term on the right-hand side causes the soliton obtained in this paper. The third term has similar structure, but $(n-n_0)$ is placed instead of n. Therefore, the third term can be dropped if $n\approx n_0$. Consequently, parameter $\Delta\ll 1$ is small.