

# Charmed $\Omega_c$ weak decays into $\Omega$ in the light-front quark model

Yu-Kuo Hsiao,<sup>1,\*</sup> Ling Yang,<sup>1,†</sup> Chong-Chung Lih,<sup>2,‡</sup> and Shang-Yuu Tsai<sup>1,§</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*School of Physics and Information Engineering,  
Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, China*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Optometry, Central Taiwan University  
of Science and Technology, Taichung 40601*

(Dated: January 5, 2022)

## Abstract

More than ten  $\Omega_c^0$  weak decay modes have been measured with the branching fractions relative to that of  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+$ . In order to extract the absolute branching fractions, the study of  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+$  is needed. In this work, we predict  $\mathcal{B}_\pi \equiv \mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+) = (5.1 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3}$  with the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition form factors calculated in the light-front quark model. We also predict  $\mathcal{B}_\rho \equiv \mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \rho^+) = (14.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_e \equiv \mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- e^+ \nu_e) = (5.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ . The previous values for  $\mathcal{B}_\rho/\mathcal{B}_\pi$  have been found to deviate from the most recent observation. Nonetheless, our  $\mathcal{B}_\rho/\mathcal{B}_\pi = 2.8 \pm 0.4$  is able to alleviate the deviation. Moreover, we obtain  $\mathcal{B}_e/\mathcal{B}_\pi = 1.1 \pm 0.2$ , which is consistent with the current data.

---

\* yukuohsiao@gmail.com

† yangling@ihep.ac.cn

‡ cclih@phys.nthu.edu.tw

§ shangyuu@gmail.com

## I. INTRODUCTION

The lowest-lying singly charmed baryons include the anti-triplet and sextet states  $\mathbf{B}_c = (\Lambda_c^+, \Xi_c^0, \Xi_c^+)$  and  $\mathbf{B}'_c = (\Sigma_c^{(0,+,++)}, \Xi_c'^{(0,+)}, \Omega_c^0)$ , respectively. The  $\mathbf{B}_c$  and  $\Omega_c^0$  baryons predominantly decay weakly [1–5], whereas the  $\Sigma_c$  ( $\Xi_c'$ ) decays are strong (electromagnetic) processes. There have been more accurate observations for the  $\mathbf{B}_c$  weak decays in the recent years, which have helped to improve the theoretical understanding of the decay processes [6–14]. With the lower production cross section of  $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Omega_c^0 X)$  [4], it is an uneasy task to measure  $\Omega_c^0$  decays. Consequently, most of the  $\Omega_c^0$  decays have not been reanalysed since 1990s [15–23], except for those in [24–29].

One still manages to measure more than ten  $\Omega_c^0$  decays, such as  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \rho^+$ ,  $\Xi^0 \bar{K}^{(*)0}$  and  $\Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ , but with the branching fractions relative to  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+)$  [5]. To extract the absolute branching fractions, the study of  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+$  is crucial. Fortunately, the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+$  decay involves a simple topology, which benefits its theoretical exploration. In Fig. 1a,  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+$  is depicted to proceed through the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition, while  $\pi^+$  is produced from the external  $W$ -boson emission. Since it is a Cabibbo-allowed process with  $V_{cs}^* V_{ud} \simeq 1$ , a larger branching fraction is promising for measurements. Furthermore, it can be seen that  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+$  has a similar configuration to those of  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \rho^+$  and  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ , as drawn in Fig. 1, indicating that the three  $\Omega_c^0$  decays are all associated with the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition. While  $\Omega$  is a decuplet baryon that consists of the totally symmetric identical quarks  $sss$ , behaving as a spin-3/2 particle, the form factors of the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition can be more complicated, which hinders the calculation for the decays. As a result, a careful investigation that relates  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+$ ,  $\Omega^- \rho^+$  and  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$  has not been given yet, despite the fact that the topology associates them together.

Based on the quark models, it is possible to study the  $\Omega_c^0$  decays into  $\Omega^-$  with the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition form factors. However, the validity of theoretical approach needs to be tested, which depends on if the observations, given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \rho^+)}{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+)} &= 1.7 \pm 0.3 [4] (> 1.3 [5]), \\ \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- e^+ \nu_e)}{\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+)} &= 2.4 \pm 1.2 [5], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

can be interpreted. Since the light-front quark model has been successfully applied to the heavy hadron decays [27, 30–46], in this report we will use it to study the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition




FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams for (a)  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+ (\rho^+)$  and (b)  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$  with  $\ell^+ = e^+$  or  $\mu^+$ .

form factors. Accordingly, we will be enabled to calculate the absolute branching fractions of  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+ (\rho^+)$  and  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$ , and check if the two ratios in Eq. (1) can be well explained.

## II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

### A. General Formalism

To start with, we present the effective weak Hamiltonians  $\mathcal{H}_{H,L}$  for the hadronic and semileptonic charmed baryon decays, respectively [47]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_H &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs}^* V_{ud} [c_1 (\bar{u}d)(\bar{s}c) + c_2 (\bar{s}d)(\bar{u}c)], \\ \mathcal{H}_L &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs}^* (\bar{s}c)(\bar{u}_\nu v_\ell),\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where  $G_F$  is the Fermi constant,  $V_{ij}$  the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix elements,  $c_{1,2}$  the effective Wilson coefficients,  $(\bar{q}_1 q_2) \equiv \bar{q}_1 \gamma_\mu (1 - \gamma_5) q_2$  and  $(\bar{u}_\nu v_\ell) \equiv \bar{u}_\nu \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) v_\ell$ . In terms of  $\mathcal{H}_{H,L}$ , we derive the amplitudes of  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+ (\rho^+)$  and  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$  as [48, 49]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_h &\equiv \mathcal{M}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- h^+) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs}^* V_{ud} a_1 \langle \Omega^- | (\bar{s}c) | \Omega_c^0 \rangle \langle h^+ | (\bar{u}d) | 0 \rangle, \\ \mathcal{M}_\ell &\equiv \mathcal{M}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs}^* \langle \Omega^- | (\bar{s}c) | \Omega_c^0 \rangle (\bar{u}_\nu v_\ell),\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where  $h = (\pi, \rho)$ ,  $\ell = (e, \mu)$ , and  $a_1 = c_1 + c_2/N_c$  results from the factorization [50], with  $N_c$  the color number.

With  $\mathbf{B}'_c$  ( $\mathbf{B}'$ ) denoting the charmed sextet (decuplet) baryon, the matrix elements of the  $\mathbf{B}'_c \rightarrow \mathbf{B}'$  transition can be parameterized as [28, 43]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^\mu \rangle &\equiv \langle \mathbf{B}'(P', S', S'_z) | \bar{q} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) c | \mathbf{B}'_c(P, S, S_z) \rangle \\ &= \bar{u}_\alpha(P', S'_z) \left[ \frac{P^\alpha}{M} \left( \gamma^\mu F_1^V + \frac{P^\mu}{M} F_2^V + \frac{P'^\mu}{M'} F_3^V \right) + g^{\alpha\mu} F_4^V \right] \gamma_5 u(P, S_z) \\ &\quad - \bar{u}_\alpha(P', S'_z) \left[ \frac{P^\alpha}{M} \left( \gamma^\mu F_1^A + \frac{P^\mu}{M} F_2^A + \frac{P'^\mu}{M'} F_3^A \right) + g^{\alpha\mu} F_4^A \right] u(P, S_z), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $(M, M')$  and  $(S, S') = (1/2, 3/2)$  represent the masses and spins of  $(\mathbf{B}'_c, \mathbf{B}')$ , respectively, and  $F_i^{V,A}$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, 4$ ) the form factors to be extracted in the light-front quark model. The matrix elements of the meson productions are defined as [5]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(p) | (\bar{u}d) | 0 \rangle &= i f_\pi q^\mu, \\ \langle \rho(\lambda) | (\bar{u}d) | 0 \rangle &= m_\rho f_\rho \epsilon_\lambda^{\mu*}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $f_{\pi(\rho)}$  is the decay constant, and  $\epsilon_\lambda^\mu$  is the polarization four-vector with  $\lambda$  denoting the helicity state.

## B. The light-front quark model

The baryon bound state  $\mathbf{B}'_{(c)}$  contains three quarks  $q_1, q_2$  and  $q_3$ , with the subscript  $c$  for  $q_1 = c$ . Moreover,  $q_2$  and  $q_3$  are combined as a diquark state  $q_{[2,3]}$ , behaving as a scalar or axial-vector. Subsequently, the baryon bound state  $|\mathbf{B}'_{(c)}(P, S, S_z)\rangle$  in the light-front quark model can be written as [31]

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{B}'_{(c)}(P, S, S_z)\rangle &= \int \{d^3 p_1\} \{d^3 p_2\} 2(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\tilde{P} - \tilde{p}_1 - \tilde{p}_2) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \Psi^{SS_z}(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) |q_1(p_1, \lambda_1) q_{[2,3]}(p_2, \lambda_2)\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $\Psi^{SS_z}$  is the momentum-space wave function, and  $(p_i, \lambda_i)$  stand for momentum and helicity of the constituent (di)quark, with  $i = 1, 2$  for  $q_1$  and  $q_{[2,3]}$ , respectively. The tilde notations represent that the quantities are in the light-front frame, and one defines  $P = (P^-, P^+, P_\perp)$  and  $\tilde{P} = (P^+, P_\perp)$ , with  $P^\pm = P^0 \pm P^3$  and  $P_\perp = (P^1, P^2)$ . Besides,  $\tilde{p}_i$  are given by

$$\tilde{p}_i = (p_i^+, p_{i\perp}), \quad p_{i\perp} = (p_i^1, p_i^2), \quad p_i^- = \frac{m_i^2 + p_{i\perp}^2}{p_i^+}, \quad (7)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1 &= m_{q_1}, \quad m_2 = m_{q_1} + m_{q_2}, \\
p_1^+ &= (1-x)P^+, \quad p_2^+ = xP^+, \\
p_{1\perp} &= (1-x)P_\perp - k_\perp, \quad p_{2\perp} = xP_\perp + k_\perp,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $x$  and  $k_\perp$  are the light-front relative momentum variables with  $k_\perp$  from  $\vec{k} = (k_\perp, k_z)$ , ensuring that  $P^+ = p_1^+ + p_2^+$  and  $P_\perp = p_{1\perp} + p_{2\perp}$ . According to  $e_i \equiv \sqrt{m_i^2 + \vec{k}_i^2}$  and  $M_0 \equiv e_1 + e_2$  in the Melosh transformation [30], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
x &= \frac{e_2 - k_z}{e_1 + e_2}, \quad 1-x = \frac{e_1 + k_z}{e_1 + e_2}, \quad k_z = \frac{xM_0}{2} - \frac{m_2^2 + k_\perp^2}{2xM_0}, \\
M_0^2 &= \frac{m_1^2 + k_\perp^2}{1-x} + \frac{m_2^2 + k_\perp^2}{x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Consequently,  $\Psi^{SS_z}$  can be given in the following representation [41–44]:

$$\Psi^{SS_z}(\tilde{p}_1, \tilde{p}_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \frac{A^{(\prime)}}{\sqrt{2(p_1 \cdot \bar{P} + m_1 M_0)}} \bar{u}(p_1, \lambda_1) \Gamma_{S,A}^{(\alpha)} u(\bar{P}, S_z) \phi(x, k_\perp), \tag{10}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \sqrt{\frac{3(m_1 M_0 + p_1 \cdot \bar{P})}{3m_1 M_0 + p_1 \cdot \bar{P} + 2(p_1 \cdot p_2)(p_2 \cdot \bar{P})/m_2^2}}, \\
\Gamma_S &= 1, \quad \Gamma_A = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \gamma_5 \not{\epsilon}^*(p_2, \lambda_2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$A' = \sqrt{\frac{3m_2^2 M_0^2}{2m_2^2 M_0^2 + (p_2 \cdot \bar{P})^2}}, \quad \Gamma_A^\alpha = \epsilon^{*\alpha}(p_2, \lambda_2), \tag{11}$$

where the vertex function  $\Gamma_{S(A)}$  is for the scalar (axial-vector) diquark in  $\mathbf{B}'_c$ , and  $\Gamma_A^\alpha$  for the axial-vector diquark in  $\mathbf{B}'$ . We have used the variable  $\bar{P} \equiv p_1 + p_2$  to describe the internal motions of the constituent quarks in the baryon [32], which leads to  $(\bar{P}_\mu \gamma^\mu - M_0)u(\bar{P}, S_z) = 0$ , different from  $(P_\mu \gamma^\mu - M)u(P, S_z) = 0$ . For the momentum distribution,  $\phi(x, k_\perp)$  is presented as the Gaussian-type wave function, given by

$$\phi(x, k_\perp) = 4 \left( \frac{\pi}{\beta^2} \right)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{e_1 e_2}{x(1-x)M_0}} \exp \left( \frac{-\vec{k}_\perp^2}{2\beta^2} \right), \tag{12}$$

where  $\beta$  shapes the distribution.

Using  $|\mathbf{B}'_c(P, S, S_z)\rangle$  and  $|\mathbf{B}'(P', S', S'_z)\rangle$  from Eq. (6) and their components in Eqs. (10), (11) and (12), we derive the matrix elements of the  $\mathbf{B}'_c \rightarrow \mathbf{B}'$  transition in Eq. (4) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{T}^\mu \rangle &\equiv \langle \mathbf{B}'(P', S', S'_z) | \bar{q} \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) c | \mathbf{B}'_c(P, S, S_z) \rangle \\ &= \int \{d^3 p_2\} \frac{\phi'(x', k'_\perp) \phi(x, k_\perp)}{2\sqrt{p_1^+ p_1'^+ (p_1 \cdot \bar{P} + m_1 M_0)(p'_1 \cdot \bar{P}' + m'_1 M'_0)}} \\ &\times \sum_{\lambda_2} \bar{u}_\alpha(\bar{P}', S'_z) \left[ \bar{\Gamma}'^\alpha(\not{p}'_1 + m'_1) \gamma^\mu (1 - \gamma_5) (\not{p}_1 + m_1) \Gamma_A \right] u(\bar{P}, S_z), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

with  $m_1 = m_c$ ,  $m'_1 = m_q$  and  $\bar{\Gamma} = \gamma^0 \Gamma^\dagger \gamma^0$ . We define  $J_{5j}^\mu = \bar{u}(\Gamma_5^{\mu\beta})_j u_\beta$  and  $\bar{J}_{5j}^\mu = \bar{u}(\bar{\Gamma}_5^{\mu\beta})_j u_\beta$  with  $j = 1, 2, \dots, 4$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (\Gamma_5^{\mu\beta})_j &= \{\gamma^\mu P^\beta, P'^\mu P^\beta, P^\mu P^\beta, g^{\mu\beta}\} \gamma_5, \\ (\bar{\Gamma}_5^{\mu\beta})_j &= \{\gamma^\mu \bar{P}^\beta, \bar{P}'^\mu \bar{P}^\beta, \bar{P}^\mu \bar{P}^\beta, g^{\mu\beta}\} \gamma_5. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Then, we multiply  $J_{5j}$  ( $\bar{J}_{5j}$ ) by  $\langle T \rangle$  ( $\langle \bar{T} \rangle$ ) as  $F_{5j} \equiv J_{5j} \cdot \langle T \rangle$  and  $\bar{F}_{5j} \equiv \bar{J}_{5j} \cdot \langle \bar{T} \rangle$  with  $\langle T \rangle$  and  $\langle \bar{T} \rangle$  in Eqs. (4) and (13), respectively, resulting in [43]

$$\begin{aligned} F_{5j} &= Tr \left\{ u_\beta \bar{u}_\alpha \left[ \frac{P^\alpha}{M} \left( \gamma^\mu F_1^V + \frac{P^\mu}{M} F_2^V + \frac{P'^\mu}{M'} F_3^V \right) + g^{\alpha\mu} F_4^V \right] \gamma_5 \bar{u}(\Gamma_{5\mu}^\beta)_j \right\}, \\ \bar{F}_{5j} &= \int \{d^3 p_2\} \frac{\phi'(x', k'_\perp) \phi(x, k_\perp)}{2\sqrt{p_1^+ p_1'^+ (p_1 \cdot \bar{P} + m_1 M_0)(p'_1 \cdot \bar{P}' + m'_1 M'_0)}} \\ &\times \sum_{\lambda_2} Tr \left\{ u_\beta \bar{u}_\alpha \left[ \bar{\Gamma}'^\alpha(\not{p}'_1 + m'_1) \gamma^\mu (\not{p}_1 + m_1) \Gamma_A \right] u(\bar{\Gamma}_{5\mu}^\beta)_j \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the connection of  $F_{5j} = \bar{F}_{5j}$ , we construct four equations. By solving the four equations, the four form factors  $F_1^V$ ,  $F_2^V$ ,  $F_3^V$  and  $F_4^V$  can be extracted. The form factors  $F_i^A$  can be obtained in the same way.

### C. Branching fractions in the helicity basis

One can present the amplitude of  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- h^+ (\Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell)$  in the helicity basis of  $H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_{h(\ell)}}$  [28, 43], where  $\lambda_\Omega = \pm 3/2, \pm 1/2$  represent the helicity states of the  $\Omega^-$  baryon, and  $\lambda_{h,\ell}$  those of  $h^+$  and  $\ell^+ \nu_\ell$ . Substituting the matrix elements in Eqs. (3) with those in Eqs. (4) and (5), the amplitudes in the helicity basis now read  $\sqrt{2} \mathcal{M}_h = (i) \sum_{\lambda_\Omega, \lambda_h} G_F V_{cs}^* V_{ud} a_1 m_h f_h H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_h}$  and  $\sqrt{2} \mathcal{M}_\ell = \sum_{\lambda_\Omega, \lambda_\ell} G_F V_{cs}^* H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_\ell}$ , where  $H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_f} = H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_f}^V - H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_f}^A$  with  $f = (h, \ell)$ . Explicitly,  $H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_f}^{V(A)}$  is written as [28]

$$H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_f}^{V(A)} \equiv \langle \Omega^- | \bar{s} \gamma_\mu (\gamma_5) c | \Omega_c^0 \rangle \varepsilon_f^\mu, \quad (16)$$

with  $\varepsilon_h^\mu = (q^\mu/\sqrt{q^2}, \epsilon_\lambda^{\mu*})$  for  $h = (\pi, \rho)$ . For the semi-leptonic decay, since the  $\ell^+\nu_\ell$  system behaves as a scalar or vector,  $\varepsilon_\ell^\mu = q^\mu/\sqrt{q^2}$  or  $\epsilon_\lambda^{\mu*}$ . The  $\pi$  meson only has a zero helicity state, denoted by  $\lambda_\pi = \bar{0}$ . On the other hand, the three helicity states of  $\rho$  are denoted by  $\lambda_\rho = (1, 0, -1)$ . For the lepton pair, we assign  $\lambda_\ell = \lambda_\pi$  or  $\lambda_\rho$ . Subsequently, we expand  $H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_f}^{V(A)}$  as

$$H_{\frac{1}{2}\bar{0}}^{V(A)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \frac{Q_\pm^2}{q^2}} \left( \frac{Q_\pm^2}{2MM'} \right) (F_1^{V(A)} M_\pm \mp F_2^{V(A)} \bar{M}_+ \mp F_3^{V(A)} \bar{M}'_- \mp F_4^{V(A)} M), \quad (17)$$

for  $\varepsilon_f^\mu = q^\mu/\sqrt{q^2}$ , where  $M_\pm = M \pm M'$ ,  $Q_\pm^2 = M_\pm^2 - q^2$ , and  $\bar{M}_\pm^{(\prime)} = (M_+ M_- \pm q^2)/(2M^{(\prime)})$ .

We also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\frac{3}{2}1}^{V(A)} &= \mp \sqrt{Q_\mp^2} F_4^{V(A)}, \\ H_{\frac{1}{2}1}^{V(A)} &= -\sqrt{\frac{Q_\mp^2}{3}} \left[ F_1^{V(A)} \left( \frac{Q_\pm^2}{MM'} \right) - F_4^{V(A)} \right], \\ H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^{V(A)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{3} \frac{Q_\pm^2}{q^2}} \left[ F_1^{V(A)} \left( \frac{Q_\pm^2 M_\mp}{2MM'} \right) \mp \left( F_2^{V(A)} + F_3^{V(A)} \frac{M}{M'} \right) \left( \frac{|\vec{P}'|^2}{M'} \right) \mp F_4^{V(A)} \bar{M}'_- \right], \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

for  $\varepsilon_f^\mu = \epsilon_\lambda^{\mu*}$ , with  $|\vec{P}'| = \sqrt{Q_+^2 Q_-^2}/(2M)$ . Note that the expansions in Eqs. (17) and (18) have satisfied  $\lambda_{\Omega_c} = \lambda_\Omega - \lambda_f$  for the helicity conservation, with  $\lambda_{\Omega_c} = \pm 1/2$ . The branching fractions then read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_h &\equiv \mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- h^+) = \frac{\tau_{\Omega_c} G_F^2 |\vec{P}'|}{32\pi m_{\Omega_c}^2} |V_{cs} V_{ud}^*|^2 a_1^2 m_h^2 f_h^2 H_h^2, \\ \mathcal{B}_\ell &\equiv \mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell) = \frac{\tau_{\Omega_c} G_F^2 |V_{cs}|^2}{192\pi^3 m_{\Omega_c}^2} \int_{m_\ell^2}^{(m_{\Omega_c} - m_\Omega)^2} dq^2 \left( \frac{|\vec{P}'|(q^2 - m_\ell^2)^2}{q^2} \right) H_\ell^2, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H_\pi^2 &= |H_{\frac{1}{2}\bar{0}}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2}\bar{0}}|^2, \\ H_\rho^2 &= |H_{\frac{3}{2}1}|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2}1}|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2}0}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2}0}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{1}{2}-1}|^2 + |H_{-\frac{3}{2}-1}|^2, \\ H_\ell^2 &= \left( 1 + \frac{m_\ell^2}{2q^2} \right) H_\rho^2 + \frac{3m_\ell^2}{2q^2} H_\pi^2, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

with  $\tau_{\Omega_c}$  the  $\Omega_c^0$  lifetime.

### III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In the Wolfenstein parameterization, the CKM matrix elements are adopted as  $V_{cs} = V_{ud} = 1 - \lambda^2/2$  with  $\lambda = 0.22453 \pm 0.00044$  [5]. We take the lifetime and mass of the

TABLE I. The  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition form factors with  $F(0)$  at  $q^2 = 0$ , where  $\delta \equiv \delta m_c/m_c = \pm 0.04$  from Eq. (21).

	$F(0)$	$a$	$b$		$F(0)$	$a$	$b$
$F_1^V$	$0.54 + 0.13\delta$	-0.27	1.65	$F_1^A$	$2.05 + 1.38\delta$	-3.66	1.41
$F_2^V$	$0.35 - 0.36\delta$	-30.00	96.82	$F_2^A$	$-0.06 + 0.33\delta$	-1.15	71.66
$F_3^V$	$0.33 + 0.59\delta$	0.96	9.25	$F_3^A$	$-1.32 - 0.32\delta$	-4.01	5.68
$F_4^V$	$0.97 + 0.22\delta$	-0.53	1.41	$F_4^A$	$-0.44 + 0.11\delta$	-1.29	-0.58

$\Omega_c^0$  baryon and the decay constants  $(f_\pi, f_\rho) = (132, 216)$  MeV from the PDG [5]. With  $(c_1, c_2) = (1.26, -0.51)$  at the  $m_c$  scale [47], we determine  $a_1$ . In the generalized factorization,  $N_c$  is taken as an effective color number with  $N_c = (2, 3, \infty)$  [28, 29, 46, 50], in order to estimate the non-factorizable effects. For the  $\Omega_c^+(css) \rightarrow \Omega^-(sss)$  transition form factors, the theoretical inputs of the quark masses and parameter  $\beta$  in Eq. (15) are given by [34, 40]

$$m_1 = m_c = (1.35 \pm 0.05) \text{ GeV}, \quad m'_1 = m_s = 0.38 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_2 = 2m_s = 0.76 \text{ GeV},$$

$$\beta_c = 0.60 \text{ GeV}, \quad \beta_s = 0.46 \text{ GeV}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\beta_{c(s)}$  is to determine  $\phi^{(\prime)}(x^{(\prime)}, k_\perp^{(\prime)})$  for  $\Omega_c^0$  ( $\Omega^-$ ). We hence extract  $F_i^V$  and  $F_i^A$  in Table I. For the momentum dependence, we have used the double-pole parameterization:

$$F(q^2) = \frac{F(0)}{1 - a(q^2/m_F^2) + b(q^4/m_F^4)}, \quad (22)$$

with  $m_F = 1.86$  GeV. Using the theoretical inputs, we calculate the branching fractions, whose results are given in Table II.

#### IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

In Table II, we present  $\mathcal{B}_\pi$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$  with  $N_c = (2, 3, \infty)$ . The errors come from the form factors in Table I, of which the uncertainties are correlated with the charm quark mass. By comparison,  $\mathcal{B}_\pi$  and  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$  are compatible with the values in Ref. [28]; however, an order of magnitude smaller than those in Refs. [20, 22], whose values are obtained with the total decay widths  $\Gamma_{\pi(\rho)} = 2.09a_1^2(11.34a_1^2) \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and  $\Gamma_{\pi(\rho)} = 1.33a_1^2(4.68a_1^2) \times 10^{11} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. We also predict  $\mathcal{B}_e = (5.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$  as well as  $\mathcal{B}_\mu \simeq \mathcal{B}_e$ , which is much smaller than the value of  $127 \times 10^{-3}$  in [24]. Only the ratios  $\mathcal{R}_{\rho/\pi}$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{e/\pi}$  have been actually observed so far. In our work,  $\mathcal{R}_{\rho/\pi} = 2.8 \pm 0.4$  is able to alleviate the inconsistency between the previous



TABLE II. Branching fractions of (non-)leptonic  $\Omega_c^0$  decays and their ratios, where  $\mathcal{R}_{\rho(e)/\pi} \equiv \mathcal{B}_{\rho(e)}/\mathcal{B}_\pi$ . The three numbers in the parenthesis correspond to  $N_c = (2, 3, \infty)$ , and the errors come from the uncertainties of the form factors in Table I.

$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R})$	our work	Ref. [20]	Ref. [22]	Ref. [28]	Ref. [24]	data [4, 5]
$10^3 \mathcal{B}_\pi$	$(5.1 \pm 0.7, 6.0 \pm 0.8, 8.0 \pm 1.0)$	(56.6, 66.5, 88.9)	(36.0, 42.3, 56.6)	$(-, -, 2)$		
$10^3 \mathcal{B}_\rho$	$(14.4 \pm 0.4, 17.0 \pm 0.5, 22.1 \pm 0.6)$	(307.0, 361.1, 482.5)	(126.7, 149.0, 199.1)	$(-, -, 19)$		
$10^3 \mathcal{B}_e$	$5.4 \pm 0.2$				127	
$10^3 \mathcal{B}_\mu$	$5.0 \pm 0.2$					
$\mathcal{R}_{\rho/\pi}$	$2.8 \pm 0.4$	5.4	3.5	9.5		$1.7 \pm 0.3 (> 1.3)$
$\mathcal{R}_{e/\pi}$	$(1.1 \pm 0.2, 0.9 \pm 0.1, 0.7 \pm 0.1)$					$2.4 \pm 1.2$

value and the most recent observation. We obtain  $\mathcal{R}_{e/\pi} = 1.1 \pm 0.2$  with  $N_c = 2$  to be consistent with the data, which indicates that  $(\mathcal{B}_\pi, \mathcal{B}_\rho) = (5.1 \pm 0.7, 14.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$  with  $N_c = 2$  are more favorable.

The helicity amplitudes can be used to better understand how the form factors contribute to the branching fractions. With the identity  $H_{-\lambda_\Omega - \lambda_f}^{V(A)} = \mp H_{\lambda_\Omega \lambda_f}^{V(A)}$  for the  $\mathbf{B}'_c(J^P = 1/2^+)$  to  $\mathbf{B}'(J^P = 3/2^+)$  transition [28],  $H_\pi^2$  in Eq. (20) can be rewritten as  $H_\pi^2 = 2(|H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^V|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A|^2)$ . From the pre-factors in Eq. (17), we estimate the ratio of  $|H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^V|^2/|H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A|^2 \simeq 0.05$ , which shows that  $H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A$  dominates  $\mathcal{B}_\pi$ , instead of  $H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^V$ . More specifically, it is the  $F_4^A$  term in  $H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A$  that gives the main contribution to the branching fraction. By contrast, the  $F_{1,3}^A$  terms in  $H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A$  largely cancel each other, which is caused by  $F_1^A M_- \simeq F_3^A \bar{M}'_-$  and a minus sign between  $F_1^A$  and  $F_3^A$  (see Table I); besides, the  $F_2^A$  term with a small  $F_2^A(0)$  is ignorable.

Likewise, we obtain  $H_\rho^2 = 2(|H_\rho^V|^2 + |H_\rho^A|^2)$  for  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ , where  $|H_\rho^{V(A)}|^2 = |H_{\frac{3}{2}1}^{V(A)}|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2}1}^{V(A)}|^2 + |H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^{V(A)}|^2$ . We find that  $|H_\rho^A|^2$  is ten times larger than  $|H_\rho^V|^2$ . Moreover,  $H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A$  is similar to  $H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A$ , where the  $F_{1,3}^A$  terms largely cancel each other,  $F_2^A$  is ignorable, and  $F_4^A$  gives the main contribution. While  $F_1^A$  and  $F_4^A$  in  $H_{\frac{1}{2}1}^A$  have a positive interference, giving 20% of  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ ,  $F_4^A$  in  $H_{\frac{3}{2}1}^A$  singly contributes 35%. In Eq. (20), the factor of  $m_\ell^2/q^2$  with  $m_\ell \simeq 0$  should be much suppressed, such that  $H_\ell^2 \simeq H_\rho^2$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}_\ell$  receives the main contributions from the  $F_4^A$  terms in  $H_{\frac{1}{2}0}^A$ ,  $H_{\frac{1}{2}1}^A$  and  $H_{\frac{3}{2}1}^A$ , which is similar to the analysis for  $\mathcal{B}_\rho$ .

In summary, we have studied the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+, \Omega^- \rho^+$  and  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \ell^+ \nu_\ell$  decays, which proceed through the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition and the formation of the meson  $\pi^+(\rho^+)$  or lepton pair from the external  $W$ -boson emission. With the form factors of the  $\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^-$  transition, calculated in the light-front quark model, we have predicted  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- \pi^+, \Omega^- \rho^+) = (5.1 \pm 0.7, 14.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$  and  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega_c^0 \rightarrow \Omega^- e^+ \nu_e) = (5.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-3}$ . While the previous studies have given the  $\mathcal{R}_{\rho/\pi}$  values deviating from the most recent observation, we have presented  $\mathcal{R}_{\rho/\pi} = 2.8 \pm 0.4$  to alleviate the deviation. Moreover, we have obtained  $\mathcal{R}_{e/\pi} = 1.1 \pm 0.2$ , consistent with the current data.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

YKH was supported in part by National Science Foundation of China (No. 11675030). CCL was supported in part by CTUST (No. CTU109-P-108).

- 
- [1] D. Cronin-Hennessy *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3730 (2001).
  - [2] R. Ammar *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 171803 (2002).
  - [3] B. Aubert *et al.* (BaBar Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 062001 (2007).
  - [4] J. Yelton *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D **97**, 032001 (2018).
  - [5] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Phys. Rev. D **98**, 030001 (2018).
  - [6] C.D. Lu, W. Wang and F.S. Yu, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 056008 (2016).
  - [7] C.Q. Geng, Y.K. Hsiao, Y.H. Lin and L.L. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **776**, 265 (2017).
  - [8] C.Q. Geng, Y.K. Hsiao, C.W. Liu and T.H. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D **97**, 073006 (2018).
  - [9] C.Q. Geng, Y.K. Hsiao, C.W. Liu and T.H. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 073003 (2019).
  - [10] Y.K. Hsiao, Y. Yu and H.J. Zhao, Phys. Lett. B **792**, 35 (2019).
  - [11] H.J. Zhao, Y.L. Wang, Y.K. Hsiao and Y. Yu, JHEP **2002**, 165 (2020).
  - [12] J. Zou, F. Xu, G. Meng and H.Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 014011 (2020).
  - [13] Y.K. Hsiao, Q. Yi, S.T. Cai and H.J. Zhao, arXiv:2006.15291 [hep-ph].
  - [14] P.Y. Niu, J.M. Richard, Q. Wang and Q. Zhao, arXiv:2003.09323 [hep-ph].
  - [15] M. Avila-Aoki, A. Garcia, R. Huerta and R. Perez-Marcial, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 2944 (1989).
  - [16] R. Perez-Marcial, R. Huerta, A. Garcia and M. Avila-Aoki, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 2955 (1989).

- [17] R.L. Singleton, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 2939 (1991).
- [18] F. Hussain and J. Korner, Z. Phys. C **51**, 607 (1991).
- [19] J. Korner and M. Kramer, Z. Phys. C **55**, 659 (1992).
- [20] Q. Xu and A. Kamal, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 3836 (1992).
- [21] H.Y. Cheng and B. Tseng, Phys. Rev. D **48**, 4188 (1993).
- [22] H.Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 2799 (1997).
- [23] M.A. Ivanov, J. Korner, V.E. Lyubovitskij and A. Rusetsky, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 5632 (1998).
- [24] M. Pervin, W. Roberts and S. Capstick, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 025205 (2006).
- [25] R. Dhir and C. Kim, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 114008 (2015).
- [26] C.Q. Geng, Y.K. Hsiao, C.W. Liu and T.H. Tsai, JHEP **1711**, 147 (2017).
- [27] Z.X. Zhao, Chin. Phys. C **42**, 093101 (2018).
- [28] T. Gutsche, M.A. Ivanov, J.G. Korner and V.E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 074011 (2018).
- [29] S. Hu, G. Meng and F. Xu, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 094033 (2020).
- [30] H.J. Melosh, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 1095 (1974).
- [31] H.G. Dosch, M. Jamin and B. Stech, Z. Phys. C **42**, 167 (1989).
- [32] W. Jaus, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 2851 (1991).
- [33] F. Schlumpf, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 4114 (1993); Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6246 (1994)].
- [34] C.Q. Geng, C.C. Lih and W.M. Zhang, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **15**, 2087 (2000).
- [35] C.R. Ji and C. Mitchell, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 085020 (2000).
- [36] B.L.G. Bakker and C.R. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 073002 (2002).
- [37] B.L.G. Bakker, H.M. Choi and C.R. Ji, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 113007 (2003).
- [38] H.Y. Cheng, C.K. Chua and C.W. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074025 (2004).
- [39] H.M. Choi and C.R. Ji, Few Body Syst. **55**, 435 (2014).
- [40] C.Q. Geng and C.C. Lih, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2505 (2013).
- [41] H.W. Ke, X.H. Yuan, X.Q. Li, Z.T. Wei and Y.X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 114005 (2012).
- [42] H.W. Ke, N. Hao and X.Q. Li, J. Phys. G **46**, 115003 (2019).
- [43] Z.X. Zhao, Eur. Phys. J. C **78**, 756 (2018).
- [44] X.H. Hu, R.H. Li and Z.P. Xing, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 320 (2020).
- [45] H.W. Ke, N. Hao and X.Q. Li, Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, 540 (2019).
- [46] Y.K. Hsiao, S.Y. Tsai, C.C. Lih and E. Rodrigues, JHEP **2004**, 035 (2020).
- [47] G. Buchalla, A. J. Buras and M. E. Lautenbacher, Rev. Mod. Phys. **68**, 1125 (1996).

- [48] Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. C **77**, 714 (2017).
- [49] Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **782**, 728 (2018).
- [50] Y.K. Hsiao, S.Y. Tsai and E. Rodrigues, Eur. Phys. J. C **80**, 565 (2020).