Regularity of Non-cutoff Boltzmann Equation with Hard Potential

Dingqun DENG *

January 6, 2022

Abstract

This article proves the regularity for the Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff with hard potential. By sharpening the coercivity and upper bound estimate on the collision operator, analyzing the Poisson bracket between the transport operator and some weighted pseudo-differential operator, we prove the regularizing effect in space and velocity variables when the initial data has mild regularity.

Keywords: Regularity, Smoothing effect, pseudo-differential calculus, Boltzmann equation without cutoff.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 35Q20 Boltzmann equations, 35B65 Smoothness and regularity of solutions to PDEs, 35D35 Strong solutions to PDEs, 76P05 Rarefied gas flows, Boltzmann equation in fluid mechanics.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
	1.1 Preliminary Result	3
	1.2 Main Result	5
2	Basic Lemmas	7
3	Regularity on x, v	9
4	Appendix	22

*email: dingqdeng2-c@my.cityu.edu.hk, Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, ORCID: 0000-0001-9678-314X

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the regularity of Boltzmann equation in d-dimension, which describes the dynamics of dilute gas:

$$F_t + v \cdot \nabla_x F = Q(F, F), \tag{1}$$

where the unknown $F(x, v, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ represents the density of particles at time t, position $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and velocity $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $d \geq 3$. The Boltzmann collision operator Q(F, G)is a bilinear operator, describing the particle interactions, defined for sufficiently smooth functions F, G by

$$Q(F,G)(v) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{S^{d-1}} B(v - v_*, \sigma) (F'_*G' - F_*G) \, d\sigma dv_*,$$

where F' = F(x, v', t), $G'_* = G(x, v'_*, t)$, F = F(x, v, t), $G_* = G(x, v_*, t)$, and (v, v_*) are the velocities of two gas particles before collision while (v', v'_*) are the velocities after collision satisfying the following conservation laws of momentum and energy,

$$v + v_* = v' + v'_*, |v|^2 + |v_*|^2 = |v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2.$$

As a consequence, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$, the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^d , we have the σ -representation:

$$v' = \frac{v + v_*}{2} + \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\sigma, \quad v'_* = \frac{v + v_*}{2} - \frac{|v - v_*|}{2}\sigma$$

Also we define the angle θ in the standard way

$$\cos\theta = \frac{v - v_*}{|v - v_*|} \cdot \sigma$$

where \cdot denotes the inner product in \mathbb{R}^d .

Collision Kernel The collision kernel cross section B is defined as

$$B(v - v_*, \sigma) = |v - v_*|^{\gamma} b(\cos \theta),$$

for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and function *b*. Without loss of generality, we can assume $B(v - v_*, \sigma)$ is supported on $(v - v_*) \cdot \sigma \geq 0$, which corresponds to $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$, since *B* can be replaced by its symmetrized form $\overline{B}(v - v_*, \sigma) = B(v - v_*, \sigma) + B(v - v_*, -\sigma)$ in Q(f, f). Moreover, we are going to work on the collision kernel without angular cutoff, which corresponds to the case of inverse power interaction laws between particles. That is,

$$b(\cos\theta) \approx \theta^{-d+1-2s} \quad \text{on } \theta \in (0, \pi/2),$$
(2)

and

$$s \in (0,1), \quad \gamma \in (-d,\infty). \tag{3}$$

For the Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff, the condition $\gamma + 2s < 0$ is called soft potential while $\gamma + 2s > 0$ is called hard potential. For mathematical theory of Boltzmann equation, one may refer to [5, 6, 17, 32] for more introduction. Our regularity results are restricted to the range of parameters $\gamma + 2s > 0$.

1.1 Preliminary Result

In this paper, we write L^2 space to be the space on x, v, i.e. $L^2 := L^2(\mathbb{R}^d_x \times \mathbb{R}^d_v)$. Also we will denote the Sobolev norms for $k, m \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\|f\|_{H^k_v H^m_x} := \|\langle D_x \rangle^m \langle D_v \rangle^k f\|_{L^2_{x,v}},$$

where $\langle D_x \rangle^m f = \mathscr{F}_x^{-1}(\langle y \rangle^m \mathscr{F}_x f(y)), \ \langle D_v \rangle^k f = \mathscr{F}_v^{-1}(\langle \eta \rangle^m \mathscr{F}_v f(\eta)).$

We would like to apply the symbolic calculus in [9,21] for our study as the following. One may refer to the appendix as well as [28] for more information about pseudo-differential calculus. Let $\Gamma = |dv|^2 + |d\eta|^2$ be an admissible metric. Define

$$a(v,\eta) := \langle v \rangle^{\gamma} (1 + |\eta|^2 + |\eta \wedge v|^2 + |v|^2)^s + K_0 \langle v \rangle^{\gamma+2s}$$
(4)

to be a Γ -admissible weight, where $K_0 > 0$ is chosen as following and $|\eta \wedge v| = |\eta| |v| \sin \theta_0$ with θ_0 being the angle between η , v. Applying theorem 4.2 in [9] and lemma 2.1 and 2.2 in [22], there exists $K_0 > 0$ such that the Weyl quantization $a^w : H(ac) \to H(c)$ and $(a^{1/2})^w : H(a^{1/2}c) \to H(c)$ are invertible, with c being any Γ -admissible metric. The weighted Sobolev space H(c) is defined by (59). The symbol a is real and gives the formal self-adjointness of Weyl quantization a^w . By the invertibility of $(a^{1/2})^w$, we have equivalence

$$\|(a^{1/2})^w(\cdot)\|_{L^2_v} \approx \|\cdot\|_{H(a^{1/2})_v}$$

as in appendix and hence we will equip $H(a^{1/2})_v$ with norm $||(a^{1/2})^w(\cdot)||_{L^2_v}$.

We will study the Boltzmann equation (1) near the global Maxwellian equilibrium

$$\mu(v) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} e^{-|v|^2/2}.$$

So we set $F = \mu + \mu^{\frac{1}{2}} f$ and then the Boltzmann equation (1) becomes

$$f_t + v \cdot \nabla_x f = Lf + \mu^{-1/2} Q(\mu^{1/2} f, \mu^{1/2} f),$$

where L is called the linearized Boltzmann operator given by

$$Lf = \mu^{-1/2}Q(\mu, \mu^{1/2}f) + \mu^{-1/2}Q(\mu^{1/2}f, \mu) = L_1f + L_2f,$$

where L_1, L_2 are defined as the following, by applying (60),

$$L_{1}f = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}, |h| \ge \varepsilon} dh \int_{E_{0,h}} d\alpha \, \tilde{b}(\alpha, h) \mathbf{1}_{|\alpha| \ge |h|} \frac{|\alpha + h|^{\gamma + 1 + 2s}}{|h|^{d + 2s}} \mu^{1/2} (v + \alpha - h) \left((\mu^{1/2} (v + \alpha) f(v - h) - \mu^{1/2} (v + \alpha - h) f(v)) \right),$$
(5)

$$L_{2}f = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}, |h| \ge \varepsilon} dh \int_{E_{0,h}} d\alpha \, \tilde{b}(\alpha, h) \mathbf{1}_{|\alpha| \ge |h|} \frac{|\alpha + h|^{\gamma + 1 + 2s}}{|h|^{d + 2s}} \mu^{1/2} (v + \alpha - h) \\ \Big(\mu^{1/2} (v - h) f(v + \alpha) - \mu^{1/2} (v) f(v + \alpha - h) \Big), \tag{6}$$

which are well-defined for Schwartz function according to [9,22]. Here we use the principal value on h in order to assure the integral are well-defined when the two terms in the parentheses is separated into two integral, where change of variable can be applied. By section 3 in [22], $L = L_1 + L_2$ can be regarded as the standard pseudo-differential operator with symbols in S(a). Then by the unique extension of continuous operator, L is a linear continuous operator from H(ac) into H(c) for any Γ -admissible weight function c. Also we define for multi-index α that

$$\mathcal{T}(g, f, \partial_v^{\alpha}(\mu^{1/2})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dv_* \int_{S^{d-1}} d\sigma B(v - v_*, \sigma) \partial_v^{\alpha}(\mu^{1/2})(v_*)(g'_*f' - g_*f),$$
$$\Gamma(g, f) = \mathcal{T}(g, f, \mu).$$

Then $\Gamma(f, f) = \mu^{-1/2} Q(\mu^{1/2} f, \mu^{1/2} f).$

To better understanding the behavior of Γ and L, we consider weighted Sobolev norm $||(a^{1/2})^w(\cdot)||_{L^2}$, triple norm $||\cdot||$ in [4] and the norm $|\cdot|_{N^{s,\gamma}}$ in [23], where

$$|||f|||^{2} := \int B(v - v_{*}, \sigma) \left(\mu_{*}(f' - f)^{2} + f_{*}^{2}((\mu')^{1/2} - \mu^{1/2})^{2} \right) d\sigma dv_{*} dv$$
$$|f|_{N^{s,\gamma}}^{2} := ||\langle v \rangle^{\gamma/2+s} f||_{L^{2}}^{2} + \int (\langle v \rangle \langle v' \rangle)^{\frac{\gamma+2s+1}{2}} \frac{(f' - f)^{2}}{d(v, v')^{d+2s}} \mathbf{1}_{d(v, v') \le 1},$$

with $d(v, v') := \sqrt{|v - v'|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(|v|^2 - |v'|^2)^2}$. Then by (2.13)(2.15) in [23], Proposition 2.1 in [4] and Theorem 1.2 in [9], for $f \in \mathscr{S}, l \in \mathbb{R}$, we have the equivalence of norms:

$$\|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v}^2 \approx \|\|f\|\|^2 \approx \|f\|_{N^{s,\gamma}}^2 \approx (-Lf, f)_{L^2_v} + \|\langle v \rangle^l f\|_{L^2_v},\tag{7}$$

with constants depending on l. These norms essentially describe the behavior of Boltzmann collision operator.

Also it's necessary to describe the weight $\langle v \rangle$. So we apply the norm $|f|_{N_l^{s,\gamma}}$ in [23] to estimate linearized Boltzmann operator L and collision term Γ , where for hard potential $\gamma + 2s \geq 0$,

$$|f|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}^{2} = |\langle v \rangle^{l+\gamma/2+s} f|_{L_{v}^{2}}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} dv \, \langle v \rangle^{2l+\gamma+2s+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} dv' \, \frac{(f'-f)^{2}}{d(v,v')^{d+2s}} \mathbf{1}_{d(v,v') \le 1},\tag{8}$$

$$||f||_{N_l^{s,\gamma}}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f|_{N_l^{s,\gamma}}^2 \, dx.$$
(9)

,

Notice that by Plancherel's Theorem,

$$\|f\|_{N_l^{s,\gamma}}^2 = \|\widehat{f}\|_{N_l^{s,\gamma}}^2,\tag{10}$$

where \widehat{f} is Fourier transform of f with respect to x. The Lemma 2.6, (2.10) in [23] gives the following coercive inequality. We would like to use norm $\|\cdot\|_{N_l^{s,\gamma}}$ when l > 0 and norm $\|(a^{1/2})^w(\cdot)\|_{L^2}$ when l = 0.

Lemma 1.1. For any $l \ge 0$, there exists $\nu_0 > 0$, C > 0 such that

$$\left(-\langle v \rangle^{2l} Lg, g\right)_{L^2_v} \ge \nu_0 |g|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} - C ||g||^2_{L^2_v}.$$
(11)

By (6.6) in [23], we have the trilinear upper bound: for multi-index β , $l \ge 0$,

$$\left|\left(\langle v\rangle^{2l}\mathcal{T}(g,f,\partial_v^\beta(\mu^{1/2})),h\right)_{L^2_v}\right| \le C_\alpha \|\langle v\rangle^l g\|_{L^2_v} |f|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} |h|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l}.$$
(12)

Theorem 1.3 in my previous paper [21] proves the global existence of Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff for hard potential. We define

$$B = \overline{-v \cdot \nabla_x + L},$$

as the closure of $(B, H(a\langle v \rangle \langle y \rangle))$ in $L^2_{x,v}$. Then

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1.3, [21]). Suppose $d \ge 3$, $m > \frac{d}{2}$, $\gamma + 2s \ge 0$. There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ so small that if

$$\|f_0\|_X \le \varepsilon_0,\tag{13}$$

where X is defined as

$$(f,g)_X = \delta(f,g)_{L^2_v H^m_x} + \int_0^\infty (e^{\tau B} f, e^{\tau B} g)_{L^2_v H^m_x} d\tau$$

then there exists an unique global weak solution f to Boltzmann equation

$$f_t = Bf + \Gamma(f, f), \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0,$$

satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^{\infty}([0,\infty);L^{2}_{v}H^{m}_{x})} + \|f\|_{L^{2}([0,\infty);H(a^{1/2})H^{m}_{x})} \le C\varepsilon_{0},$$
(14)

with some constant C > 0.

By [21], the norm X satisfies that for $p \in [1, \frac{2d}{d+2})$,

 $||f_0||_X \lesssim ||f_0||_{L^2_v H^m_x} + ||(a^{-1/2})^w f_0||_{L^2_v (L^p_x)}$

1.2 Main Result

Our main result is the regularity of Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff for hard potential. That is, the weak solution we obtain in Theorem 1.2 is actually smooth in spatial variable x and velocity variable v. The previous works such as [3, 10, 18] require the solution to have some regularity at the beginning, while our work require only the assumption on the initial data (15). That is, we start from the weak solution (14) and find out its regularity directly.

Theorem 1.3. Assume $\gamma + 2s > 0$ and $m_0 > \frac{d}{2}$. Suppose $f_0 \in L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}$. There exists sufficiently small $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\|f_0\|_X \le \varepsilon_0,\tag{15}$$

then the weak solution f to Boltzmann equation near Maxwellian obtained in Theorem 1.2:

$$\partial_t f = Bf + \Gamma(f, f), \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0, \tag{16}$$

satisfies that for $\tau > 0, m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\langle v \rangle^k \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle D_x \rangle^m f \|_{L^{\infty}([\tau,\infty);L^2_v H^{m_0}_x)}^2 \le C_\tau \varepsilon_0^2.$$

Consequently, $f(t) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_x; \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d_v))$ for t > 0.

In order to obtain the regularity on x, we follow the idea in [18] and define

$$b(v,y) = (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1},$$

$$\theta(v,\eta) = (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1-1}(y\cdot \eta+(v\wedge y)\cdot (v\wedge \eta))\chi(v,\eta),$$

The Poisson bracket between $v \cdot \nabla_x$ and θ can give us the regularity of f with order $b^{1/2}(v, y)$. In brief, this yields regularity on x with order $\delta_1/2$. Noting the definition of δ_1 in Theorem 3.3, we require $\gamma + 2s > 0$ in order to obtain a positive order δ_1 , which is also the only place that require $\gamma + 2s$ to be strictly positive. The assumption on initial data f_0 comes from Theorem 1.2, which is natural for the existence theory of Boltzmann equation in the whole space in both cutoff and non cutoff cases.

The smoothing effect of the Boltzmann equation non-cutoff collision kernel were discussed in many context. At the beginning, entropy production estimate for non cutoff assumption were established, as in [1,29]. Their result were widely applied in the theory of non-cutoff Boltzmann equation. Later on, many works discover the optimal regular estimate of Boltzmann collision operator in v in different setting. We refer to [2,9,11,24,31] for the dissipation estimate of collision operator, and [3,7,8,10,12,13,18-20,25-27] for smoothing effect of the solution to Boltzmann equation in different aspect. These works show that the Boltzmann operator behaves locally like a fractional operator:

$$Q(f,g) \sim (-\Delta_v)^s g$$
 + lower order terms.

More precisely, according to the symbolic calculus developed by Alexandre-Hérau-Li [9], the linearized Boltzmann operator behaves essentially as

$$L \sim \langle v \rangle^{\gamma} (-\Delta_v - |v \wedge \partial_v|^2 + |v|^2)^s + \text{lower order terms.}$$

This diffusion property shows that the spatially homogeneous Boltzmann equation behaves like fractional heat equation, while the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann behaves as the generalized Kolmogorov equation. We refer to [13, 25, 27] for Kac equation, the one dimensional model of Boltzmann equation, and [30] for similar kinetic equation.

Organization of the article Our analysis is organized as follows. In Section 2, we provide some basic lemmas that is applied from time to time in our analysis. In section 3, we give the rigorous argument for obtaining regularity on x and v. The appendix gives some general theory on pseudodifferential calculus.

Notations Throughout this article, we shall use the following notations. C represents the constants which might be changed from line to line. $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R}^d . For any $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we denote $\langle v \rangle = (1 + |v|^2)^{1/2}$. The gradient in v is denoted by ∂_v . The notation $a \approx b$ (resp. $a \gtrsim b$, $a \leq b$) for positive real function a, b means there exists C > 0 not depending on possible free parameters such that $C^{-1}a \leq b \leq Ca$ (resp. $a \geq C^{-1}b$, $a \leq Cb$) on their domain. Re(a) means the real part of complex number a. [a,b] = ab - ba is the commutator between operators. $\{a(v,\eta), b(v,\eta)\} = \partial_{\eta}a_1\partial_v a_2 - \partial_v a_1\partial_{\eta}a_2$ is the Poisson bracket. $\Gamma = |dv|^2 + |d\eta|^2$ be the admissible metric and $S(m) = S(m, \Gamma)$ be the symbol class.

For pseudo-differential calculus, we write $(x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is the space-velocity variable and $(y, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is the corresponding variable in dual space (the variable after Fourier transform).

2 Basic Lemmas

Assume $\gamma + 2s > 0$ and fix $m_0 > \frac{d}{2}$. In this section, we give the sketch proof of our main theorem, the iteration of our solution. Also, some pseudo-differential calculus and the estimate on collision term $\Gamma(f, f)$ are discussed.

Iteration As in [21], we will prove our main result by using iteration. That is, we set $f_0 \in L^2_v H^{m_0}_x$ satisfying (15) and $f^0 = 0$. Then we solve linear equation

$$\partial_t f^{n+1} = B f^{n+1} + \Gamma(f^n, f^{n+1}), \quad f^{n+1}|_{t=0} = f_0, \tag{17}$$

to get the sequence $\{f^n\}(n \in \mathbb{N})$. Theorem 1.3 in [21] shows that the sequence $\{f^n\}$ is strongly convergent to a limit f in space $L_t^{\infty}([0,\infty), L^2H_x^{m_0})$.

So in the followings, we will firstly analyze linear equation

$$\partial_t f = Bf + \Gamma(g, f), \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0. \tag{18}$$

We also set up the iteration assumption: Fix $\varepsilon_1 \in (0,1]$ and for $m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, we assume

$$\|g\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} \le C\varepsilon_0,$$

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|t^{\kappa m} \langle v \rangle^l \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle D_x \rangle^m g\|^2_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} \le \varepsilon_1,$$
(19)

In order to make the solution f smooth enough to substitute as a test function, we consider the vanishing method. That is, we define for sufficiently large $N > \gamma + 2s + 2$ that

$$M = \langle v \rangle^N \langle D_v \rangle^N \langle D_x \rangle^N,$$

and let $f = f_{\varepsilon}$ to be the solution of linear equation

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + \varepsilon M^* M f = L f + \Gamma(g, f), \quad f|_{t=0} = f_{0,\varepsilon}, \tag{20}$$

with mollified initial data $f_{0,\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{S}$, which converge to f_0 in $L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}$. Then this $f = f_{\varepsilon}$ is smooth enough to become the test function.

Pseudodifferential Calculus Here we mainly give some control on invertible pseudodifferential operator, which is applied in our analysis from time to time. Let $m_K(v,\eta)$ be a Γ -admissible weight function depending on K, c be any Γ -admissible weight. Then lemma 2.1 and 2.3 in [22] can be reformulated as the following.

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 2.1, [22]). Assume $a_K \in S(m_K)$, $\partial_n(a_K) \in S(K^{-\kappa}m_K)$ uniformly in K and $|a_K| \gtrsim m_K$. Then

(1) $a_K^{-1} \in S(m_K^{-1})$, uniformly in K, for K > 1. (2) There exists $K_0 > 1$ sufficiently large such that for all $K > K_0$, $a_K^w : H(m_K c) \to H(c)$ is invertible and its inverse $(a_{K,l}^w)^{-1}: H(c) \to H(m_K c)$ satisfies

$$(a_K^w)^{-1} = G_{1,K}(a_K^{-1})^w = (a_K^{-1})^w G_{2,K},$$

where $G_{1,K} \in \mathscr{L}(H(m_K c)), G_{2,K} \in \mathscr{L}(H(c))$ with operator norm smaller than 2. Also, by the equivalence of invertibility, $(a_K^w)^{-1} \in Op(m_K^{-1})$.

Lemma 2.2 (Lemma 2.3, [22]). Let m, c be Γ -admissible weight and $A \in S(m)$. Assume A^w : $H(mc) \rightarrow H(c)$ is invertible. If $B \in S(m)$, then there exists C > 0, depending only on the seminorms of symbols to $(A^w)^{-1}$ and B^w , such that for $f \in H(mc)$,

$$||B(v, D_v)f||_{H(c)} + ||B^w(v, D_v)f||_{H(c)} \le C ||A^w(v, D_v)f||_{H(c)}.$$

Corollary 2.3. Let m, m_1, m_2 be Γ -admissible weight. (1) If $A^w: H(m_1) \to L^2 \in Op(m_1), B^w: H(m_2) \to L^2 \in Op(m_2)$ are invertible, then for $f \in \mathscr{S}$,

 $\|B^{w}A^{w}f\|_{L^{2}} \approx \|A^{w}B^{w}f\|_{L^{2}},$

where the constant depends only on seminorms of symbols to $A^w, B^w, (A^w)^{-1}, (B^w)^{-1}$. (2) If $A^w : H(m) \to L^2 \in Op(m), B_1^w : H(m_1) \to L^2 \in Op(m_1), B_2^w : H(m_2) \to L^2 \in Op(m_2)$ are invertible and $m \leq m_1 + m_2$, then

$$||A^w f||_{L^2} \le C(||B_1^w f||_{L^2} + ||B_2^w f||_{L^2})$$

Proof. The first assertion is easily follows from Lemma 2.2. That is, for $f \in \mathscr{S}$,

$$\|B^{w}A^{w}f\|_{L^{2}} = \|\underbrace{B^{w}A^{w}(B^{w})^{-1}}_{\in Op(m_{1})}B^{w}f\|_{L^{2}} \lesssim \|A^{w}B^{w}f\|_{L^{2}}.$$

The contrary is similar. For the second assertion, we use the weighted Sobolev space (59). Since $A^{w}, B_{1}^{w}, B_{2}^{w}$ are invertible, we can write $\|f\|_{H(m)} = \|A^{w}f\|_{L^{2}}, \|f\|_{H(m_{1})} = \|B_{1}^{w}f\|_{L^{2}}, \|f\|_{H(m_{2})} =$ $||B_2^w f||_{L^2}$. Thus, by $m \leq m_1 + m_2$,

$$\begin{split} \|A^{w}f\|_{L^{2}} &= \|f\|_{H(m)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H(m_{1})} + \|f\|_{H(m_{2})} \\ &\lesssim \|B_{1}^{w}f\|_{L^{2}} + \|B_{2}^{w}f\|_{L^{2}}. \end{split}$$

For a direct application, we have that for $k, l \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathscr{S}$

$$\|(\langle v \rangle^k \langle \eta \rangle^l)^w f\|_{L^2_v} = \|\langle v \rangle^k \langle D_v \rangle^l f\|_{L^2}.$$
(21)

Estimate of $\Gamma(g, f)$ Denote \hat{f} to be the Fourier transform of f on x. By (12), we have for $m > \frac{d}{2}, f, g, h \in \mathscr{S}$ that

$$\begin{split} & \left| \left(\left(\langle v \rangle^{2l} \langle D_x \rangle^m \mathcal{T}(g, f, \partial_v^{\alpha}(\mu^{1/2})), \langle D_x \rangle^m h \right)_{L^2_{x,v}} \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\langle v \rangle^{2l} \langle y \rangle^m \int \mathcal{T}(\widehat{g}(y-z), \widehat{f}(z), \partial_v^{\alpha}(\mu^{1/2})) \, dz, \langle y \rangle^m \widehat{h} \right)_{L^2_{y,v}} \right| \\ &\leq \int \int \langle y \rangle^m \left| \left(\langle v \rangle^{2l} \mathcal{T}(\widehat{g}(y-z), \widehat{f}(z), \partial_v^{\alpha}(\mu^{1/2})), \langle y \rangle^m \widehat{h}(y) \right)_{L^2_v} \right| \, dz \, dy \\ &\leq C_m \int \int \| \langle v \rangle^l \langle y-z \rangle^m \widehat{g}(y-z) \|_{L^2_v} |\widehat{f}(z)|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} |\langle y \rangle^m \widehat{h}(y)|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} \, dz \, dy \\ &+ C_m \int \int \| \langle v \rangle^l \widehat{g}(y-z) \|_{L^2_v} |\langle z \rangle^m \widehat{f}(z)|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} |\langle y \rangle^m \widehat{h}(y)|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} \, dz \, dy \\ &\leq C_m \| \langle v \rangle^l \langle D_x \rangle^m g \|_{L^2_{x,v}} \| |\widehat{f}(y)|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} \|_{L^1_y} \| \langle D_x \rangle^m \widehat{h} \|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} \\ &+ C_m \big\| \| \langle v \rangle^l \widehat{g}(y) \|_{L^2_v} \|_{L^1_y} \| \langle D_x \rangle^m f \|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} \| \langle D_x \rangle^m h \|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l} , \end{split}$$

by Hölder's inequality and Fubini's theorem. Notice that $\|\widehat{g}\|_{L^1_y} \leq C \|\langle y \rangle^m \widehat{g}\|_{L^2_y}$, since m > d/2.

$$\left(\langle v \rangle^{2l} \mathcal{T}(g, f, \partial_v^{\alpha}(\mu^{1/2})), h\right)_{L_v^2 H_x^m} \leq C_m \|\langle D_x \rangle^m \langle v \rangle^l f\|_{L_{x,v}^2} \|\langle D_x \rangle^m g\|_{N_l^{s,\gamma}} \|\langle D_x \rangle^m h\|_{N_l^{s,\gamma}}.$$
(22)

3 Regularity on x, v

In this section, we will complete the iteration on linear equation (18) and prove the regularity of the Boltzmann equation on x and v. As the beginning, we will analyze the regularity estimate of mollified linear equation (20).

Theorem 3.1. Let $T \in (0, 1]$, $m_0 > \frac{d}{2}$. Assume g satisfies (19). Let f be the solution to equation (20). We can choose ε_0 in (15) so small that

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|f(t)\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^T \|Mf\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 dt + \nu_0 \int_0^T \|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 dt \le C\varepsilon_0^2,$$
(23)

Proof. Let $t \in (0, T]$. We write f to be the solution to equation (20). Then

$$\left(\partial_t f + \varepsilon M^* M f + v \cdot \nabla_x f, f\right)_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} = \left(Lf + \Gamma(g, f), f\right)_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}.$$

Taking the real part, applying the coercive estimate (11) of L, the equivalence (7), and estimate (22) of Γ ,

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \|f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \|Mf\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \nu_0 \|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \\
\leq C \|f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + C \|g\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} \|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2,$$

Since $\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|g\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} < \sqrt{2}\varepsilon_0$, we choose $\varepsilon_0 << 1$ that $\sqrt{2}C\varepsilon_0 < \frac{\nu_0}{2}$.

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \|f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \|Mf\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \frac{\nu_0}{2} \|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \le C \|f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2.$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|f(t)\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_0^T \|Mf\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 dt + \nu_0 \int_0^T \|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 dt \\ \le e^{2CT} \|f(0)\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \\ \le C\varepsilon_0^2, \end{split}$$

where the constant C is independent of time T, since $T \leq 1$.

For higher order derivative, we will need to mollify f with $t^{\kappa(m+k)}$ in order to eliminate the influence of initial data. So we have the following Theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $T \in (0,1]$, $m_0 > \frac{d}{2}$. Assume g satisfies (19). Let f be the solution to equation (20). Let $m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ to be the index for derivative of x, v and weight $\langle v \rangle$ respectively. For sufficiently large $\kappa > 1$ and small ε_0 , the following estimate are valid. For derivative of v,

$$\partial_{t} \| t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_{v}^{k} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} + \varepsilon \| M t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_{v}^{k} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} + \nu_{0} \| (a^{1/2})^{w} t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_{v}^{k} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} \\ \leq C_{\kappa,k} \big(\varepsilon \| M f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} + \| (a^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} + \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{k} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} \big).$$

$$(24)$$

For derivative of x,

$$\partial_{t} \|t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + \varepsilon \|Mt^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + \nu_{0} \|(a^{1/2})^{w} t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} \\ \leq \delta \|(b^{1/2})^{w} t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + C_{\delta} \|(a^{1/2})^{w} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m_{0}}_{x}}^{2}.$$

$$(25)$$

For the weight $\langle v \rangle$,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|\langle v \rangle^l f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|M \langle v \rangle^l f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 dt + \nu_0 \int_0^T \|\langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f \|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l}^2 dt \le C \varepsilon_0^2.$$
(26)

Proof. Take a large constant $\kappa > 1$ to be chosen later. We mollify f with $t^{\kappa(m+k)}$. Then

$$\partial_t (t^{\kappa(m+k)} f) = (t^{\kappa(m+k)})_t f + t^{\kappa(m+k)} f_t$$

= $(t^{\kappa(m+k)})_t f + t^{\kappa(m+k)} (-\varepsilon M^* M f - v \cdot \nabla_x f + L f + \Gamma(g, f)).$

Take muti-index $|\alpha| \leq m$, $|\beta| \leq k$. We use $(-\partial_x)^{\alpha} (-\partial_v)^{\beta} \langle v \rangle^{2l} \langle D_x \rangle^{2m_0} t^{\kappa(m+k)} \partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_v^{\beta} f$ as the test function. Notice that $\langle D_x \rangle$ commutes with $M, v \cdot \nabla_x$ and L. Thus when m + k > 0,

$$\left(\partial_{t}(t^{\kappa(m+k)}\langle v\rangle^{l}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}f), t^{\kappa(m+k)}\langle v\rangle^{l}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}f\right)_{L^{2}} = \left(C_{\kappa,m,k}t^{\kappa(m+k)-1}\langle v\rangle^{l}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}f - \varepsilon t^{\kappa(m+k)}M^{*}M\langle v\rangle^{l}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}f + t^{\kappa(m+k)}[M^{*}M, \langle v\rangle^{l}\partial_{v}^{\beta}]\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}f - t^{\kappa(m+k)}v\cdot\nabla_{x}\langle v\rangle^{l}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}f + t^{\kappa(m+k)}\langle v\rangle^{l}[v\cdot\nabla_{x},\partial_{v}^{\beta}]\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}f + t^{\kappa(m+k)}L\langle v\rangle^{l}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}f - t^{\kappa(m+k)}[L, \langle v\rangle^{l}\partial_{v}^{\beta}]\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}f + t^{\kappa(m+k)}\langle v\rangle^{l}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}\Gamma(g, f), t^{\kappa(m+k)}\langle v\rangle^{l}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}\partial_{v}^{\beta}f\right)_{L^{2}},$$
(27)

Notice that $\operatorname{Re}(v \cdot \nabla_x h, h)_{L^2} = 0$ for sufficiently smooth h. Taking the real part and the summation on $|\alpha| \leq m$, $|\beta| \leq k$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_t \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^l f \right\|_{H^k_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \left\| M t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^l f \right\|_{H^k_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 \le C_{\kappa,m,k} (J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5), \quad (28)$$

where

$$J_{1} = \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}} \langle v \rangle^{l} f \right\|_{H_{v}^{k}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2},$$

$$J_{2} = \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa(m+k)} [M^{*}M, \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{v}^{\beta}] \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f, t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} f \right)_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m_{0}}}$$

$$J_{3} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} [v \cdot \nabla_{x}, \partial_{v}^{\beta}] \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f, t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} f \right)_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m_{0}}}$$

$$J_{4} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa(m+k)} L \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} f + t^{\kappa(m+k)} [L, \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{v}^{\beta}] \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f, t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} f \right)_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m_{0}}}$$

$$J_{5} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} \Gamma(g, f), t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} f \right)_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m_{0}}}.$$

In the case of k = m = 0, the only different term in (27) is the first term, in whose case $(t^{\kappa(m+k)})_t \langle v \rangle^l \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f = 0$, and hence we set

$$J_1 = 0 \tag{29}$$

when k = m = 0. If k + m > 0, for $\delta > 0$ and sufficiently large $\kappa >> 1$, by Young's inequality and (56),

$$t^{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left(\left(\delta a^{1/2} \right)^{\frac{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}}{\kappa(m+k)}} t^{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{\kappa(m+k)}{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}}} + \left(\left(\delta a^{1/2} \right)^{-\frac{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}}{\kappa(m+k)}} \right)^{2\kappa(m+k)},$$

$$\lesssim \delta a^{1/2} t^{\kappa(m+k)} + C_{\delta} \langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle \eta \rangle^{-k},$$

$$t^{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left(\left(\delta b^{1/2} \right)^{\frac{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}}{\kappa(m+k)}} t^{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{\frac{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}}{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}}} + \left(\left(\delta b^{1/2} \right)^{-\frac{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}}{\kappa(m+k)}} \right)^{2\kappa(m+k)},$$

$$\lesssim \delta b^{1/2} t^{\kappa(m+k)} + C_{\delta} \langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle y \rangle^{-m}.$$

Thus $t^{\kappa(m+k)-\frac{1}{2}} \in S(\delta a^{1/2}t^{\kappa(m+k)} + C_{\delta}\langle v \rangle^{-l}\langle \eta \rangle^{-k}) \cap S(\delta b^{1/2}t^{\kappa(m+k)} + C_{\delta}\langle v \rangle^{-l}\langle y \rangle^{-m})$ uniformly in δ, t . To apply the Corollary 2.3, we need to check that $\delta(a^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa(m+k)}$, $C_{\delta}\langle v \rangle^{-l}\langle D_v \rangle^{-k}$ and $\delta(b^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa(m+k)}$, $C_{\delta}\langle v \rangle^{-l}\langle D_x \rangle^{-m}$ are invertible pseudodifferential operator and their seminorms are uniform in t and δ . The symbol of b^w only depends on v and y and is invertible since it is multiplication on v and is multiplier on x. $(a^{1/2})^w$ is invertible by our choice of K_0 in (4). Also $\langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle D_v \rangle^{-k}$ and $\langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle D_x \rangle^{-m}$ are obviously invertible and their inverse are $\langle D_v \rangle^k \langle v \rangle^l$ and $\langle D_x \rangle^m \langle v \rangle^l$ respectively. By Corollary 2.3, we have

$$J_{1} \leq \min \left\{ \delta \| (a^{1/2})^{w} t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} f \|_{H_{v}^{k} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C_{\delta} \| f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2}, \\ \delta \| (b^{1/2})^{w} t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} f \|_{H_{v}^{k} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C_{\delta} \| f \|_{H_{v}^{k} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} \right\}.$$
(30)

For J_2 , if l = k = 0, then

$$J_2 = 0. (31)$$

If l + k > 0, noticing that $M = \langle v \rangle^N \langle D_v \rangle^N \langle D_x \rangle^N$ is invertible and $M^* \in S(\langle v \rangle^N \langle \eta \rangle^N \langle y \rangle^N)$, by the estimate of commutator in appendix, we have

$$[M^*M, \langle v \rangle^l \partial_v^\beta] \in Op(\langle v \rangle^{2N+l-1} \langle \eta \rangle^{2N+k-1} \langle y \rangle^{2N}).$$

Thus Lemma 2.2 gives that

$$J_{2} \leq \varepsilon \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq k} \left| \left(t^{\kappa(m+k)} \underbrace{\langle v \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} (M^{*})^{-1} [M^{*}M, \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{v}^{\beta}] \partial_{x}^{\alpha}}_{\in Op(\langle v \rangle^{N+l-1/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{N+k-1/2} \langle y \rangle^{N+m})} t^{\kappa(m+k)} \underbrace{\langle v \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}} M \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta}}_{\in Op(\langle v \rangle^{N+l-1/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{N+k-1/2} \langle y \rangle^{N+m})} f \right)_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} \right|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} (\langle v \rangle^{l-1/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{k-1/2})^{w} M f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2}$$

$$\leq \varepsilon (\delta \| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} M f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C_{\delta} \| t^{\kappa m} M f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} \right), \qquad (32)$$

where the last inequality follows from Corollary 2.3, $\langle v \rangle^{l-1/2} \langle \eta \rangle^{k-1/2} \in S(\delta \langle v \rangle^l \langle \eta \rangle^k + C_{\delta})$ and $t \leq 1$.

For J_3 , if k = 0, then

$$J_3 = 0. (33)$$

If $k \neq 0$, noticing that

$$[v \cdot \nabla_x, \partial_v^\beta] \in Op(\langle \eta \rangle^{k-1} \langle y \rangle)$$

and

$$\|\langle D_v \rangle^{k-1} \langle D_x \rangle h\|_{L^2} = \|\langle \eta \rangle^{k-1} \langle y \rangle h\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq \delta \| \langle D_v \rangle^k h \|_{L^2} + C_\delta \| \langle D_x \rangle^k h \|_{L^2},$$

then we know

$$J_{3} \leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq k} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \underbrace{\langle v \rangle^{l} [v \cdot \nabla_{x}, \partial_{v}^{\beta}]}_{\in Op(\langle \eta \rangle^{k-1} \langle y \rangle \langle v \rangle^{l})} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}$$

$$\leq \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k-1} \langle D_{x} \rangle \langle v \rangle^{l} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} \langle v \rangle^{l} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}$$

$$\leq \delta \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} \langle v \rangle^{l} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}} + C_{\delta} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{k} \langle v \rangle^{l} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}.$$
(34)

For J_4 , when l = 0, by (7) and (11), there exists $\nu_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa(m+k)} L \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f, t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \right)_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq -\nu_{0} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \left(\left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \right\|_{N^{s,\gamma}}^{2} + C \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \underbrace{\partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta}}_{\in Op(\langle y \rangle^{m} \langle \eta \rangle^{k})} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \right)$$

$$\leq -\nu_{0} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} (a^{1/2})^{w} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} + C \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m+m_{0}} f \right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$
(35)

The last inequality follows from Corollary 2.3. For the first term in (35), we observe

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} (a^{1/2})^w \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f \right\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \partial_v^\beta (a^{1/2})^w \partial_x^\alpha f \right\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 - \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} [(a^{1/2})^w, \partial_v^\beta] \partial_x^\alpha f \right\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{2C} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle D_x \rangle^m (a^{1/2})^w f \right\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 - \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_v \rangle^{k-1} \langle D_x \rangle^m (a^{1/2})^w \partial_x^\alpha f \right\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2$$

$$\ge \frac{1}{4C} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle D_x \rangle^m (a^{1/2})^w f \right\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 - C \left\| t^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^m (a^{1/2})^w f \right\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2, \tag{36}$$

since $\langle \eta \rangle^{k-1} \in S(\delta \langle \eta \rangle^k + C_{\delta}).$

For the second term in J_4 , we observe that from the definition of L, when l = 0,

$$[L,\partial_v^\beta]\partial_x^\alpha f = -\sum_{\beta_1+\beta_2+\beta_3=\beta,\beta_1<\beta} C_{\beta_1,\beta_2,\beta_3,\beta} \big(\mathcal{T}(\partial_v^{\beta_2}\mu^{1/2},\partial_v^{\beta_1}\partial_x^\alpha f,\partial_v^{\beta_3}\mu^{1/2}) + \mathcal{T}(\partial_v^{\beta_1}\partial_x^\alpha f,\partial_v^{\beta_2}\mu^{1/2},\partial_v^{\beta_3}\mu^{1/2}) \big)$$

Thus by (22), when l = 0,

$$\sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa(m+k)} [L, \langle v \rangle^l \partial_v^\beta] \partial_x^\alpha f, t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^l \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f \right)_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} \\ \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \sum_{|\beta_1| \le |\beta| - 1} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} (a^{1/2})^w \partial_v^{\beta_1} \partial_x^\alpha \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f \right\|_{L^2} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} (a^{1/2})^w \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f \right\|_{L^2} \\ \le C_\delta \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_v \rangle^{k-1} (a^{1/2})^w f \right\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \delta \sum_{|\alpha| \le m, |\beta| \le k} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} (a^{1/2})^w \partial_x^\alpha \partial_v^\beta f \right\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \\ \le C_\delta \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} (a^{1/2})^w f \right\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + 2\delta \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_v \rangle^k (a^{1/2})^w f \right\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2.$$

$$(37)$$

Therefore, if l = 0, by substituting (35)(36)(37) into J_4 , choosing δ small, we have

$$J_{4} \leq -\frac{\nu_{0}}{4C} \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} (a^{1/2})^{w} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C \left\| t^{\kappa m} (a^{1/2})^{w} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C \left\| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} f \right\|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2}.$$

$$\tag{38}$$

Notice that the last term is bounded above by J_1 .

If k = 0, then by (11)(10),

$$J_{4} = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle v \rangle^{l} L \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f, t^{\kappa m} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \right)_{L^{2}}$$

$$\leq -\nu_{0} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \| t^{\kappa m} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}^{2} - C \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \| t^{\kappa m} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{L^{2}}^{2}$$

$$\leq -\nu_{0} \| t^{\kappa m} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m+m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}^{2} + \| t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2}.$$
(39)

Here the last term is bounded above by J_1 .

For J_5 , we compute

$$\partial_x^{\alpha} \partial_v^{\beta} \Gamma(g, f) = \sum_{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = \alpha} \sum_{\beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 = \beta} C_{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3} \mathcal{T}(\partial_x^{\alpha_1} \partial_v^{\beta_2} g, \partial_x^{\alpha_2} \partial_v^{\beta_2} f, \partial_v^{\beta_3}(\mu^{1/2})).$$

Then by the estimate (22) of Γ ,

$$J_{5} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq k} \sum_{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} = \alpha} \sum_{\beta_{1} + \beta_{2} + \beta_{3} = \beta} \| t^{\kappa(|\alpha_{1}| + |\beta_{1}|)} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial_{v}^{\beta_{1}} g \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} \| t^{\kappa(|\alpha_{2}| + |\beta_{2}|)} \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} \partial_{v}^{\beta_{2}} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}$$

$$\times \| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \partial_{v}^{\beta} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}},$$

Here we will apply (19) on g and divide the summation into two parts: $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$ and else. In the second case, we have $|\alpha_2| < m$ or $|\beta_2| < k$ and then one can apply Young's inequality to second term to eliminate derivatives on x or v. When m = l = 0, by (7) and Corollary 2.3,

$$J_{5} \leq C \sum_{|\beta| \leq k} \left(\varepsilon_{0} \sum_{|\beta_{2}| \leq k} \| t^{\kappa k} (a^{1/2})^{w} \partial_{v}^{\beta_{2}} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} + \sum_{|\beta_{2}| \leq k-1} \varepsilon_{1} \| t^{\kappa k} (a^{1/2})^{w} \partial_{v}^{\beta_{2}} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} \right) \| t^{\kappa k} (a^{1/2})^{w} \partial_{v}^{\beta} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}$$

$$\leq C \left(\varepsilon_{0} \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} (a^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} + \varepsilon_{1} \| t^{\kappa (k-1)} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k-1} (a^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} \right) \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} (a^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}$$

$$\leq (\varepsilon_{0} C + \varepsilon_{1} C \delta) \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_{v} \rangle^{k} (a^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1} C_{\delta} \| (a^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}}^{2}.$$

$$\tag{40}$$

In the case of k = 0, we use (10) and Young's inequality to get

$$J_{5} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \sum_{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2} = \alpha} \| t^{\kappa |\alpha_{1}|} \langle v \rangle^{l} \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{1}} g \|_{L_{v}^{2} H_{x}^{m_{0}}} \| t^{\kappa |\alpha_{2}|} \partial_{x}^{\alpha_{2}} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}} \| t^{\kappa m} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}$$

$$\leq (\varepsilon_{0}C + \varepsilon_{1}C\delta) \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \| t^{\kappa m} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1}C_{\delta} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \| t^{\kappa m} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}^{2}$$

$$\leq (\varepsilon_{0}C + 2\varepsilon_{1}C\delta) \| t^{\kappa m} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m+m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1}C_{\delta} \| t^{\kappa m} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} f \|_{N_{l}^{s,\gamma}}^{2}, \qquad (41)$$

Similarly, if m = k = 0, we have

$$J_5 \le \varepsilon_0 C \| \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f \|_{N_t^{s,\gamma}}^2.$$

$$\tag{42}$$

In a summary, if m = l = 0, substituting (30)(32)(34)(38)(40) into (28),

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \| t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 &+ \varepsilon \| M t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \nu_0 \| t^{\kappa (m+k)} \langle D_v \rangle^k (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 \\ &\leq C_{\kappa,k} \big(\delta \| (a^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 + C_\delta \| f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 \\ &+ \varepsilon \delta \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_v \rangle^k M f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \varepsilon C_\delta \| M f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 \\ &+ \delta \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_v \rangle^k f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 + C_\delta \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_x \rangle^k f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 \\ &+ \| (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 + J_1 \\ &+ (\varepsilon_0 C + \varepsilon_1 C \delta) \| t^{\kappa k} (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \varepsilon_1 C_\delta \| (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 \Big). \end{aligned}$$

Choosing constant δ and ε_0 sufficiently small, applying $\varepsilon_1 \leq 1$, noting $\|\cdot\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|(a^{1/2})^w(\cdot)\|_{L^2}$, we obtain

$$\partial_t \| t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \varepsilon \| M t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \nu_0 \| (a^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa k} f \|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 \\ \leq C_{\kappa,k} \Big(\varepsilon \| M f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \| (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \| t^{\kappa k} \langle D_x \rangle^k f \|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 \Big)$$

If k = l = 0, a similar computation by substituting (30)(31)(33)(39)(41) into (28), we obtain

$$\partial_t \|t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \|M t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \nu_0 \|(a^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2$$

$$\leq \delta \|(b^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + C_\delta \|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2.$$

The last case is the simplest one: m = k = 0, we substitute (29)(32)(33)(39)(42) into (28), then

$$\partial_t \| \langle v \rangle^l f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \| M \langle v \rangle^l f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \nu_0 \| \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f \|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l}^2 \le C \left(\varepsilon \| M f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \| f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \right).$$
(43)

Integrate (43) on t and apply (23), we have

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|\langle v \rangle^l f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|M \langle v \rangle^l f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 dt + \nu_0 \int_0^T \|\langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f\|_{N^{s,\gamma}_l}^2 dt \le C \varepsilon_0^2.$$

Next we establish the smoothing estimate of x. The idea here is to consider the Poisson bracket between $v \cdot \nabla_x$ and our chosen function θ . It will give us $b^{1/2}$ regularity for solution f to (20).

Theorem 3.3. Let $T \in (0,1]$, $m_0 > \frac{d}{2}$. Assume g satisfies (19). Let f be the solution to equation (20). Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ $(m \ge 1)$ to be the index for derivative of x and weight $\langle v \rangle$ respectively. For sufficiently large $\kappa > 1$,

$$C_0 \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|t^{\kappa m} f(t)\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + C_0 \varepsilon \int_0^T \|M t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 dt$$

$$+ C_0 \nu_0 \int_0^T \| (a^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 dt + \int_0^T \| t^{\kappa m} (b^{1/2})^w f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 dt$$

$$\leq C_0 C \varepsilon_0^2.$$
(44)

Proof. Assume $\gamma + 2s > 0$. We define constants $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ as the followings. Let $c = \max\{0, -\frac{\gamma}{2}\}$. If $\gamma + 3s - c \leq 1$, we let

$$\delta_1 = \frac{-s+c}{\gamma+s+c-2}, \qquad \delta_2 = \frac{-1}{\gamma+s+c-2}.$$

If $\gamma + 3s - c \ge 1$, we let

$$\delta_1 = \frac{s-c}{2s-2c+1}, \qquad \delta_2 = \frac{1}{2s-2c+1}$$

Then in each case, since $s - c \in (0, 1)$, by direct calculation we have the following estimates.

$$\delta_1 \le 1, \quad \delta_1 \le \delta_2, \quad \delta_1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta_2}{2} \le 0, \quad \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2} + c \le s, \quad \frac{\delta_1 - 1}{\delta_2} \le \gamma + 2s - 2.$$
 (45)

Let χ_0 be a smooth cutoff function such that $\chi_0(z)$ equal to 1 when $|z| < \frac{1}{2}$ and equal to 0 when $|z| \ge 1$. Define

$$b(v,y) = (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1},$$

$$\chi(v,\eta) = \chi_0 \left(\frac{1+|v|^2+|\eta|^2+|v\wedge \eta|^2}{(1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_2}}\right),$$

and

$$\theta(v,\eta) = (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1-1}(y\cdot \eta+(v\wedge y)\cdot (v\wedge \eta))\chi(v,\eta).$$

We first check that $\theta \in S(1)$ and compute $\{\theta, v \cdot y\}$. Indeed, using the support of χ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\theta(v,\eta)| &\lesssim (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1-1/2}(|\eta|+|v\wedge \eta|)\chi(v,\eta) \\ &\lesssim (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1-1/2+\delta_2/2} \\ &\lesssim 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $\delta_1 - 1/2 + \delta/2 \leq 0$. Same argument are valid for the derivatives of θ by Leibniz's formula and hence $\theta \in S(1)$. Therefore θ^w is a linear bounded operator on L^2 . On the other hand,

$$\{\theta, v \cdot y\} = \partial_{\eta} \theta \cdot \partial_{v} (v \cdot y)$$

= $(1 + |v|^{2} + |y|^{2} + |v \wedge y|^{2})^{\delta_{1} - 1} (|y|^{2} + |v \wedge y|^{2}) \chi(v, \eta)$
+ $(1 + |v|^{2} + |y|^{2} + |v \wedge y|^{2})^{\delta_{1} - 1} (y \cdot \eta + (v \wedge y) \cdot (v \wedge \eta)) \chi_{\eta} \cdot y$
= $(1 + |v|^{2} + |y|^{2} + |v \wedge y|^{2})^{\delta_{1}} + I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3},$ (46)

where

$$I_{1} = (1 + |v|^{2} + |y|^{2} + |v \wedge y|^{2})^{\delta_{1}}(\chi - 1),$$

$$I_{2} = -\langle v \rangle^{2} (1 + |v|^{2} + |y|^{2} + |v \wedge y|^{2})^{\delta_{1} - 1}\chi,$$

$$I_{3} = (1 + |v|^{2} + |y|^{2} + |v \wedge y|^{2})^{\delta_{1} - 1}(y \cdot \eta + (v \wedge y) \cdot (v \wedge \eta))\chi_{\eta} \cdot y.$$

On the support of $\chi - 1$, we have $(1 + |v|^2 + |y|^2 + |v \wedge y|^2)^{\delta_2} \le 2(1 + |v|^2 + |\eta|^2 + |v \wedge \eta|^2)$. Since $\delta_1 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1| &\lesssim (1+|v|^2+|\eta|^2+|v\wedge\eta|^2)^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}} \\ &\lesssim \langle v \rangle^{\gamma} (1+|v|^2+|\eta|^2+|v\wedge\eta|^2)^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}+\max\{0,-\frac{\gamma}{2}\}} \\ &\lesssim a(v,\eta), \end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2} + \max\{0, -\frac{\gamma}{2}\} \leq s$. Since the support of derivative of $\chi - 1$ is contained in the support of $\chi - 1$, same control holds true for the derivatives of I_1 by Leibniz's formula, and hence $I_1 \in S(a)$. Similarly, since $\delta_1 \leq 1$

$$|I_2| \le \langle v \rangle^2 (1 + |v|^2 + |\eta|^2 + |v \wedge \eta|^2)^{(\delta_1 - 1)/\delta_2} \le \langle v \rangle^{2 + (\delta_1 - 1)/\delta_2} \le a(v, \eta),$$

since $2 + (\delta_1 - 1)/\delta_2 \leq \gamma + 2s$. Same control is valid for the derivatives of I_2 by Leibniz's formula, and hence $I_2 \in S(a)$. For the last term, since $\delta_1 - \delta_2 \leq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &\leq (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1}(|\eta|+|v\wedge \eta|)|\chi_{\eta}| \\ &\lesssim (1+|v|^2+|y|^2+|v\wedge y|^2)^{\delta_1-\delta_2}(|\eta|+|v\wedge \eta|)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\text{support of }\chi} \\ &\lesssim (1+|v|^2+|\eta|^2+|v\wedge \eta|^2)^{\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2}} \\ &\lesssim a(v,\eta), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from I_1 . Therefore, $I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \in S(a)$ and hence (58)(46) gives that for $h \in \mathscr{S}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(b^{1/2})^{w}h\|_{L^{2}}^{2} &= \left(b^{1/2}\widehat{h},\widehat{h}\right)_{L^{2}} = \left(\{\theta, v \cdot y\}^{w}\widehat{h},\widehat{h}\right)_{L^{2}} + \left((I_{1} + I_{2} + I_{3})^{w}\widehat{h},\widehat{h}\right)_{L^{2}} \\ &\leq 2\operatorname{Re}(2\pi i v \cdot y\widehat{h},\theta^{w}\widehat{h})_{L^{2}} + C\|(a^{1/2})^{w}\widehat{h}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq 2\operatorname{Re}(v \cdot \nabla_{x}h,(\theta^{w}\widehat{h})^{\vee})_{L^{2}} + C\|(a^{1/2})^{w}h\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$
(47)

where \hat{h} is the Fourier transform of h on spatial variable x. By density, estimate (47) is valid for $h \in H(\langle v \rangle^{1+\gamma+2s} \langle \eta \rangle^{2s} \langle y \rangle).$

Now we take multi-index $|\alpha| \leq m$ and f to be the solution to equation (20). Then we can substitute $t^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha} f$ into (47),

$$\|t^{\kappa m}(b^{1/2})^w \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha} f\|_{L^2_{y,v}}$$

$$\leq 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha} (v \cdot \nabla_x f), (t^{\kappa m} \theta^w \langle y \rangle^{m_0} \widehat{\partial_x^{\alpha} f})^{\vee} \right)_{L^2} + C \|t^{\kappa m} (a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2.$$

$$(48)$$

By equation (20), we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(t^{\kappa m}\langle D_x\rangle^{m_0}\partial_x^{\alpha}\left(v\cdot\nabla_x f\right), (t^{\kappa m}\theta^w\langle y\rangle^{m_0}\widehat{\partial_x^{\alpha}f})^{\vee}\right)_{L^2} = \operatorname{Re}\left(t^{\kappa m}\langle D_x\rangle^{m_0}\partial_x^{\alpha}\left(-\partial_t f - \varepsilon M^*Mf + Lf + \Gamma(g,f)\right), (t^{\kappa m}\theta^w\langle y\rangle^{m_0}\widehat{\partial_x^{\alpha}f})^{\vee}\right)_{L^2} = K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4 + K_5,$$

where

$$\begin{split} K_{1} &= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{t} \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f, (t^{\kappa m} \theta^{w} \langle y \rangle^{m_{0}} \widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}} f)^{\vee} \right)_{L^{2}} \\ K_{2} &= -C_{\kappa,m} \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa m-1} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f, (t^{\kappa m} \theta^{w} \langle y \rangle^{m_{0}} \widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}} f)^{\vee} \right)_{L^{2}} \\ K_{3} &= -\varepsilon \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} M^{*} M f, (t^{\kappa m} \theta^{w} \langle y \rangle^{m_{0}} \widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}} f)^{\vee} \right)_{L^{2}} \\ K_{4} &= \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} L f, (t^{\kappa m} \theta^{w} \langle y \rangle^{m_{0}} \widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}} f)^{\vee} \right)_{L^{2}} \\ K_{5} &= \operatorname{Re} \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} \Gamma(g, f), (t^{\kappa m} \theta^{w} \langle y \rangle^{m_{0}} \widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}} f)^{\vee} \right)_{L^{2}}. \end{split}$$

For K_2 , for $\delta > 0$, we choose κ so large that

$$\begin{split} t^{\kappa m-1} &\lesssim \left((\delta b^{1/2})^{\frac{\kappa m-1}{\kappa m}} t^{\kappa m-1} \right)^{\frac{\kappa m}{\kappa m-1}} + \left((\delta b^{1/2})^{-\frac{\kappa m-1}{\kappa m}} \right)^{\kappa m}, \\ &\lesssim \delta t^{\kappa m} b^{1/2} + C_{\delta} \langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle y \rangle^{-m}, \end{split}$$

by (56). Then we have $t^{\kappa m-1} \in S(\delta t^{\kappa m} b^{1/2} + C_{\delta} \langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle y \rangle^{-m})$ uniformly in t, δ . Hence by lemma 2.3 and $\theta \in S(1), |\alpha| \leq m$,

$$K_{2} \leq C_{\kappa,m} \left\| t^{\kappa m-1} \langle D_{x} \rangle^{m_{0}} \partial_{x}^{\alpha} f \right\|_{L^{2}} \left\| (t^{\kappa m} \theta^{w} \langle y \rangle^{m_{0}} \widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}} f)^{\vee} \right\|_{L^{2}} \\ \leq \delta \left\| t^{\kappa m} (b^{1/2})^{w} f \right\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + C_{\delta} \left\| f \right\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m}_{x}}^{2} + C_{\delta} \left\| t^{\kappa m} f \right\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2}.$$

For K_3 and K_4 , we use $L \in S(a)$ and $M = \langle v \rangle^N \langle D_v \rangle^N \langle D_x \rangle^N$ to obtain

$$|K_3| + |K_4| \le \varepsilon C ||t^{\kappa m} M f||_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + C ||t^{\kappa m} (a^{1/2})^w f||_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2.$$

For K_5 , we compute

$$\partial_x^{\alpha} \Gamma(g, f) = \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \Gamma(\partial_x^{\beta} g, \partial_x^{\alpha - \beta} f).$$

Then by the estimate (22) of Γ and assumption (19) on g, a similar computation to (40) with the help of (10) yields that

$$K_5 \le C \Big| \sum_{\beta \le \alpha} \Big(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \Gamma(\partial_x^\beta g, \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} f), (t^{\kappa m} \theta^w \langle y \rangle^{m_0} \widehat{\partial_x^\alpha f})^{\vee} \Big)_{L^2} \Big|$$

$$\leq C \sum_{\beta \leq \alpha} \|t^{\kappa|\beta|} \partial_x^{\beta} g\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} \|t^{\kappa|\alpha-\beta|} \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha-\beta} f\|_{N^{s,\gamma}} \|t^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{m+m_0} f\|_{N^{s,\gamma}}$$

$$\leq (\varepsilon_0 C + \varepsilon_1 C \delta) \|t^{\kappa m} (a^{1/2})^w \langle D_x \rangle^{m+m_0} f\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon_1 C_\delta \|(a^{1/2})^w \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Substitute these estimate into (48) and choose δ sufficiently small, we see

$$\frac{1}{2} \| t^{\kappa m} (b^{1/2})^w \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha} f \|_{L^2}^2
\leq -C \partial_t \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha} f, (t^{\kappa m} \theta^w \langle y \rangle^{m_0} \widehat{\partial_x^{\alpha} f})^{\vee} \right)_{L^2} + C \| t^{\kappa m} (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2
+ C \| f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon C \| t^{\kappa m} M f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon_1 C \| (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2.$$

Taking summation on $|\alpha| \leq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|t^{\kappa m}(b^{1/2})^{w}f\|_{L^{2}_{v}H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} \\ &\leq -C\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\partial_{t}\left(t^{\kappa m}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}f,(t^{\kappa m}\theta^{w}\langle y\rangle^{m_{0}}\widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}f})^{\vee}\right)_{L^{2}} + C\|t^{\kappa m}(a^{1/2})^{w}f\|_{L^{2}_{v}H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} \\ &+ C\|f\|_{L^{2}_{v}H^{m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + \varepsilon C\|t^{\kappa m}Mf\|_{L^{2}_{v}H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1}C\|(a^{1/2})^{w}f\|_{L^{2}_{v}H^{m_{0}}_{x}}^{2}. \end{aligned}$$
(49)

We multiply (25) with a large constant C_0 and add to (49), then

$$C_{0}\partial_{t} \|t^{\kappa m}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C_{0}\varepsilon \|Mt^{\kappa m}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C_{0}\nu_{0}\|(a^{1/2})^{w}t^{\kappa m}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + \|(b^{1/2})^{w}t^{\kappa m}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} \leq C_{0}\delta\|(b^{1/2})^{w}t^{\kappa m}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C_{0}C_{\delta}\|(a^{1/2})^{w}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m}}^{2} - C\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\partial_{t}\left(t^{\kappa m}\langle D_{x}\rangle^{m_{0}}\partial_{x}^{\alpha}f, (t^{\kappa m}\theta^{w}\langle y\rangle^{m_{0}}\widehat{\partial_{x}^{\alpha}f})^{\vee}\right)_{L^{2}} + C\|(a^{1/2})^{w}t^{\kappa m}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + C\|f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m}}^{2} + \varepsilon C\|t^{\kappa m}Mf\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m+m_{0}}}^{2} + \varepsilon_{1}C\|(a^{1/2})^{w}f\|_{L_{v}^{2}H_{x}^{m}}^{2}.$$

Taking $C_0 > 1$ sufficiently large, and then picking δ sufficiently small which depends on C_0 , we get $C_0 \partial_t \| t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + C_0 \varepsilon \| M t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + C_0 \nu_0 \| (a^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \| (b^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \delta C_0 C_\delta (\| f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \| (a^{1/2})^w f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2) - C \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \partial_t \left(t^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha} f, (t^{\kappa m} \theta^w \langle y \rangle^{m_0} \overline{\partial_x^{\alpha} f})^{\vee} \right)_{L^2}.$

Taking integral on $t \in (0, \tau)$, applying $\theta \in S(1)$ and (23), we have

$$C_{0} \| \tau^{\kappa m} f(\tau) \|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + C_{0} \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\tau} \| M t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} dt + C_{0} \nu_{0} \int_{0}^{\tau} \| (a^{1/2})^{w} t^{\kappa m} f \|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{\tau} \| t^{\kappa m} (b^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} dt \leq C_{0} C_{\delta} \int_{0}^{\tau} \left(\| f \|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + \| (a^{1/2})^{w} f \|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m}_{x}}^{2} \right) dt$$

$$-C\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \left(\tau^{\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{m_0} \partial_x^{\alpha} f(\tau), (\tau^{\kappa m} \theta^w \langle y \rangle^{m_0} \widehat{\partial_x^{\alpha} f(\tau)})^{\vee}\right)_{L^2}$$
$$\leq C_0 C_\delta \varepsilon_0^2 + C \|\tau^{\kappa m} f(\tau)\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2.$$

Finally, notice that the second constant C is independent of δ , so we can pick C_0 sufficiently large to absorb the second term. Then for $T \in (0, 1]$

$$C_{0} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|t^{\kappa m} f(t)\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} + C_{0} \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T} \|Mt^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} dt + C_{0} \nu_{0} \int_{0}^{T} \|(a^{1/2})^{w} t^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} dt + \int_{0}^{T} \|t^{\kappa m} (b^{1/2})^{w} f\|_{L^{2}_{v} H^{m+m_{0}}_{x}}^{2} dt \le C_{0} C \varepsilon_{0}^{2}.$$

Finally, we can summarize the estimate on regularity for f and complete the iteration to obtain the regularity for solution to Boltzmann equation.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $m, k, l \ge 0$. Let f be the solution to (20). Estimate (44) and (26) gives that for $m, l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|t^{\kappa m} f(t)\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|Mt^{\kappa m} f\|_{L^2_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 dt + \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|\langle v \rangle^l f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|M\langle v \rangle^l f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 dt \le C\varepsilon_0^2.$$
(50)

The constants are independent of ε . We integral (24) on t and apply (50), then for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|t^{\kappa k} f\|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T \|M t^{\kappa k} f\|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 dt + \nu_0 \int_0^T \|(a^{1/2})^w t^{\kappa k} f\|_{H_v^k H_x^{m_0}}^2 dt$$
$$\le C_{\kappa,k} \Big(\varepsilon \int_0^T \|M f\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 dt + \int_0^T \|(a^{1/2})^w f\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 dt + \int_0^T \|t^{\kappa k} \langle D_x \rangle^k f\|_{L_v^2 H_x^{m_0}}^2 dt\Big)$$
$$\le C_{\kappa,k} \varepsilon_0^2. \tag{51}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{split} \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^l \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle D_x \rangle^m f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}}^2 \\ &= \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \left(t^{2\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{2m} \langle v \rangle^{2l} f, t^{2\kappa k} \langle v \rangle^{-2l} \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle v \rangle^{2l} \langle D_v \rangle^k f \right)_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x} \\ &\le C \Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| t^{2\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{2m} \langle v \rangle^{2l} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| t^{2\kappa k} f \|_{H^{2k}_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \Big) \\ &\le C \Big(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| \langle v \rangle^{4l} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| t^{4\kappa m} \langle D_x \rangle^{4m} f \|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 + \sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| t^{2\kappa k} f \|_{H^{2k}_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \Big) \end{split}$$

$$\leq C\varepsilon_0^2. \tag{52}$$

Noticing that the constants are independent of ε , by Banach-Alaoglu theorem, the solution $f = f_{\varepsilon}$ to equation (20) weakly^{*} converges to the weak solution f to equation (18) in the corresponding spaces as $\varepsilon \to 0$, which satisfies that for $m, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^l \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle D_x \rangle^m f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \le C\varepsilon_0^2,$$
(53)

where the constant C is independent of T. Now we ε_0 sufficiently small that the solution f to (18) satisfies

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|t^{\kappa m} \langle v \rangle^l \langle D_v \rangle^k \langle D_x \rangle^m f\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \le \varepsilon_1.$$
(54)

This is the iteration assumption (19) and hence we can begin the iteration stated in section 2. Let $f^0 = 0$ and f^{n+1} $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ be the solution to

$$\partial_t f^{n+1} = B f^{n+1} + \Gamma(f^n, f^{n+1}), \qquad f|_{t=0} = f_0.$$

Then f^n $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfies the iteration assumption (19). Also the initial data satisfies (15). Thus by iteration, the regularity estimate (53) gives that for $k, m, l, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \| t^{\kappa(m+k)} \langle v \rangle^l f^{n+1} \|_{H^k_v H^{m+m_0}_x}^2 \le C \varepsilon_0^2,$$
(55)

Thus the approximation sequence $\{f^n(t)\}$ is bounded in corresponding spaces and hence by Banach-Alaoglu theorem, it has a weak^{*} limit, which is exactly the solution f to Boltzmann equation (16) by the uniqueness of the solution to Boltzmann equation (cf. Theorem 1.2). Also f satisfies (53).

But on the other hand, we have the uniform bound (14) that

$$\sup_{0 \le t < \infty} \|f(t)\|_{L^2_v H^{m_0}_x}^2 \le C \varepsilon_0^2.$$

This allows us to recover the regularity on any time interval $[T_1, T_2]$ $(T_2 - T_1 \leq 1)$ (regarding $f(T_1)$ as the initial data and do the above calculation on $[T_1, T_2]$ instead of [0, T]), since the weak solution f in Theorem 1.2 is unique and our analysis is independent of time t, i.e. the constant C is independent of time $T \leq 1$. So then we get the uniform bound on time t: for $\tau > 0$,

$$\|\langle v\rangle^l \langle D_v\rangle^k \langle D_x\rangle^m f\|_{L^{\infty}([\tau,\infty);L^2_v H^{m_0}_x)}^2 \le C_{\tau} \varepsilon_0^2.$$

By Sobolev embedding theorem, we have that the solution f(t) to Boltzmann equation belongs to $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d_x; \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d_v))$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$.

4 Appendix

The function a and b can regenerate regularity on v and y respectively. That is for $K, J, k, l \ge 0$, we have

$$a^{-K-J} \leq \langle v \rangle^{-(\gamma+2s)K} \langle v \rangle^{-\gamma J} \langle \eta \rangle^{-2sJ}$$

$$\leq \langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle \eta \rangle^{-k},$$

$$b^{-K} \leq \langle v \rangle^{-l} \langle y \rangle^{-k},$$

(56)

for sufficiently large K >> J >> 1. Notice that γ may be negative in this paper, since $\gamma + 2s > 0$ is our only restriction.

Pseudo-differential calculus We recall some notation and theorem of pseudo differential calculus. For details, one may refer to Chapter 2 in the book [28], Proposition 1.1 in [15] and [14,16] for details. Set $\Gamma = |dv|^2 + |d\eta|^2$, but also note that the following are also valid for general admissible metric. Let M be an Γ -admissible weight function. That is, $M : \mathbb{R}^{2d} \to (0, +\infty)$ satisfies the following conditions:

(a). (slowly varying) there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$, $|X - Y| \leq \delta$ implies

$$M(X) \approx M(Y);$$

(b) (temperance) there exists $C > 0, N \in \mathbb{R}$, such that for $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$,

$$\frac{M(X)}{M(Y)} \le C\langle X - Y \rangle^N.$$

A direct result is that if M_1, M_2 are two Γ -admissible weight, then so is $M_1 + M_2$ and M_1M_2 . Consider symbols $a(v, \eta, \xi)$ as a function of (v, η) with parameters ξ . We say that $a \in S(\Gamma) = S(M, \Gamma)$ uniformly in ξ , if for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d$, $v, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\left|\partial_v^{\alpha}\partial_{\eta}^{\beta}a(v,\eta,\xi)\right| \le C_{\alpha,\beta}M,$$

with $C_{\alpha,\beta}$ a constant depending only on α and β , but independent of ξ . The space $S(M, \Gamma)$ endowed with the seminorms

$$||a||_{k;S(M,\Gamma)} = \max_{0 \le |\alpha| + |\beta| \le k} \sup_{(v,\eta) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}} |M(v,\eta)^{-1} \partial_v^{\alpha} \partial_{\eta}^{\beta} a(v,\eta,\xi)|,$$

becomes a Fréchet space. Sometimes we write $\partial_{\eta}a \in S(M, \Gamma)$ to mean that $\partial_{\eta_j}a \in S(M, \Gamma)$ $(1 \leq j \leq d)$ equipped with the same seminorms. We formally define the pseudo-differential operator by

$$(op_t a)u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i(x-y)\cdot\xi} a((1-t)x + ty,\xi)u(y) \, dyd\xi,$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $f \in \mathscr{S}$. In particular, denote $a(v, D_v) = op_0 a$ to be the standard pseudo-differential operator and $a^w(v, D_v) = op_{1/2} a$ to be the Weyl quantization of symbol a. We write $A \in Op(M, \Gamma)$

to represent that A is a Weyl quantization with symbol belongs to class $S(M, \Gamma)$. One important property for Weyl quantization of a real-valued symbol is the self-adjoint on L^2 with domain \mathscr{S} .

Let $a_1(v,\eta) \in S(M_1,\Gamma), a_2(v,\eta) \in S(M_2,\Gamma)$, then $a_1^w a_2^w = (a_1 \# a_2)^w, a_1 \# a_2 \in S(M_1M_2,\Gamma)$ with

$$a_{1} \# a_{2}(v,\eta) = a_{1}(v,\eta)a_{2}(v,\eta) + \int_{0}^{1} (\partial_{\eta}a_{1} \#_{\theta}\partial_{v}a_{2} - \partial_{v}a_{1} \#_{\theta}\partial_{\eta}a_{2}) d\theta,$$

$$g \#_{\theta}h(Y) := \frac{2^{2d}}{\theta^{-2n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} e^{-\frac{4\pi i}{\theta}\sigma(X-Y_{1})\cdot(X-Y_{2})} (4\pi i)^{-1} \langle \sigma \partial_{Y_{1}}, \partial_{Y_{2}} \rangle g(Y_{1})h(Y_{2}) dY_{1}dY_{2}$$

with $Y = (v, \eta), \sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For any non-negative integer k, there exists l, C independent of $\theta \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\|g\#_{\theta}h\|_{k;S(M_{1}M_{2},\Gamma)} \leq C \|g\|_{l,S(M_{1},\Gamma)} \|h\|_{l,S(M_{2},\Gamma)}.$$
(57)

Thus if $\partial_{\eta}a_1, \partial_{\eta}a_2 \in S(M'_1, \Gamma)$ and $\partial_{\nu}a_1, \partial_{\nu}a_2 \in S(M'_2, \Gamma)$, then $[a_1, a_2] \in S(M'_1M'_2, \Gamma)$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the commutator defined by [A, B] := AB - BA.

For composition of pseudodifferential operator we have $a^w b^w = (a \# b)^w$ with

$$a\#b = ab + \frac{1}{4\pi i} \{a, b\} + \sum_{2 \le k \le \nu} 2^{-k} \sum_{|\alpha| + |\beta| = k} \frac{(-1)^{|\beta|}}{\alpha!\beta!} D^{\alpha}_{\eta} \partial^{\beta}_{x} a D^{\beta}_{\eta} \partial^{\alpha}_{x} b + r_{\nu}(a, b),$$
(58)

where $X = (v, \eta)$,

$$r_{\nu}(a,b)(X) = R_{\nu}(a(X) \otimes b(Y))|_{X=Y},$$

$$R_{\nu} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-\theta)^{\nu-1}}{(\nu-1)!} \exp\left(\frac{\theta}{4\pi i} \langle \sigma \partial_{X}, \partial_{Y}\right) d\theta \left(\frac{1}{4\pi i} \langle \sigma \partial_{X}, \partial_{Y}\right)^{\nu}.$$

We can define a Hilbert space $H(M, \Gamma) := \{ u \in \mathscr{S}' : ||u||_{H(M,\Gamma)} < \infty \}$, where

$$\|u\|_{H(M,\Gamma)} := \int M(Y)^2 \|\varphi_Y^w u\|_{L^2}^2 |g_Y|^{1/2} \, dY < \infty,$$
(59)

and $(\varphi_Y)_{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}}$ is any uniformly confined family of symbols which is a partition of unity. If $a \in S(M)$ is a isomorphism from H(M') to $H(M'M^{-1})$, then $(a^w u, a^w v)$ is an equivalent Hilbertian structure on H(M). Moreover, the space $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in H(M) and $H(1) = L^2$.

Let $a \in S(M, \Gamma)$, then $a^w : H(M_1, \Gamma) \to H(M_1/M, \Gamma)$ is linear continuous, in the sense of unique bounded extension from \mathscr{S} to $H(M_1, \Gamma)$. Also the existence of $b \in S(M^{-1}, \Gamma)$ such that b # a = a # b = 1 is equivalent to the invertibility of a^w as an operator from $H(MM_1, \Gamma)$ onto $H(M_1, \Gamma)$ for some Γ -admissible weight function M_1 .

For the metric $\Gamma = |dv|^2 + |d\eta|^2$, the map $J^t = \exp(2\pi i D_v \cdot D_\eta)$ is an isomorphism of the Fréchet space $S(M, \Gamma)$, with polynomial bounds in the real variable t, where $D_v = \partial_v/i$, $D_\eta = \partial_\eta/i$. Moreover, $a(x, D_v) = (J^{-1/2}a)^w$. **Carleman representation and cancellation lemma** Now we have a short review of some useful facts in the theory of Boltzmann equation. One may refer to [1,9] for details. The first one is the so called Carleman representation. For measurable function $F(v, v_*, v', v'_*)$, if any sides of the following equation is well-defined, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} b(\cos\theta) |v - v_*|^{\gamma} F(v, v_*, v', v'_*) \, d\sigma dv_* \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d_h} \int_{E_{0,h}} \tilde{b}(\alpha, h) \mathbf{1}_{|\alpha| \ge |h|} \frac{|\alpha + h|^{\gamma + 1 + 2s}}{|h|^{d + 2s}} F(v, v + \alpha - h, v - h, v + \alpha) \, d\alpha dh,$$
(60)

where $\tilde{b}(\alpha, h)$ is bounded from below and above by positive constants, and $\tilde{b}(\alpha, h) = \tilde{b}(|\alpha|, |h|), E_{0,h}$ is the hyper-plane orthogonal to h containing the origin. The second is the cancellation lemma. Consider a measurable function $G(|v - v_*|, |v - v'|)$, then for $f \in \mathscr{S}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} G(|v - v_*|, |v - v'|) b(\cos \theta) (f'_* - f_*) \, d\sigma dv_* = S *_{v_*} f(v),$$

where S is defined by, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$S(z) = 2\pi \int_0^{\pi/2} b(\cos\theta) \sin\theta \left(G(\frac{|z|}{\cos\theta/2}, \frac{|z|\sin\theta/2}{\cos\theta/2}) - G(|z|, |z|\sin(\theta/2)) \right) d\theta.$$

References

- R. Alexandre, L. Desvillettes, C. Villani, and B. Wennberg. Entropy Dissipation and Long-Range Interactions. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 152(4):327–355, jun 2000.
- [2] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, and T. Yang. The boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: Qualitative properties of solutions. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 202(2):599–661, jun 2011.
- [3] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C. J. Xu, and T. Yang. Global existence and full regularity of the boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 304(2):513–581, apr 2011.
- [4] R. Alexandre, Y. Morimoto, S. Ukai, C.-J. Xu, and T. Yang. The Boltzmann equation without angular cutoff in the whole space: I, Global existence for soft potential. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 262(3):915–1010, feb 2012.
- [5] R. Alexandre and C. Villani. On the Boltzmann equation for long-range interactions. Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, 55(1):30-70, 2001.
- [6] Radjesvarane Alexandre. A review of boltzmann equation with singular kernels. Kinetic & Related Models, 2(4):551–646, 2009.
- [7] Radjesvarane Alexandre and Mouhamad Elsafadi. Littlewood-paley theory and regularity issues in boltzmann homogeneous equations I. non cutoff case and maxwellian molecules. *Mathematical Models and Methods in Applied Sciences*, 15(06):907–920, jun 2005.
- [8] Radjesvarane Alexandre and Mouhamad Elsafadi. Littlewood-paley theory and regularity issues in boltzmann homogeneous equations II. non cutoff case and non maxwellian molecules. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - A, 24(1):1–11, 2009.

- [9] Radjesvarane Alexandre, Frédéric Hérau, and Wei-Xi Li. Global hypoelliptic and symbolic estimates for the linearized Boltzmann operator without angular cutoff. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 126:1– 71, jun 2019.
- [10] Radjesvarane Alexandre, Yoshinori Morimoto, Seiji Ukai, Chao-Jiang Xu, and Tong Yang. Regularizing effect and local existence for the non-cutoff boltzmann equation. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 198(1):39–123, jan 2010.
- [11] Radjesvarane Alexandre, Yoshinori Morimoto, Seiji Ukai, Chao-Jiang Xu, and Tong Yang. Local existence with mild regularity for the Boltzmann equation. *Kinetic & Related Models*, 6(4):1011–1041, 2013.
- [12] Jean-Marie Barbaroux, Dirk Hundertmark, Tobias Ried, and Semjon Vugalter and. Strong smoothing for the non-cutoff homogeneous boltzmann equation for maxwellian molecules with debye-yukawa type interaction. *Kinetic & Related Models*, 10(4):901–924, 2017.
- [13] Jean-Marie Barbaroux, Dirk Hundertmark, Tobias Ried, and Semjon Vugalter. Gevrey smoothing for weak solutions of the fully nonlinear homogeneous boltzmann and kac equations without cutoff for maxwellian molecules. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 225(2):601–661, apr 2017.
- [14] Richard Beals. Weighted distribution spaces and pseudodifferential operators. Journal d'Analyse Mathématique, 39(1):131–187, dec 1981.
- [15] Jean-Michel Bony. Sur l'inégalité de Fefferman-Phong. Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique), 1998-1999. talk:3.
- [16] Jean-Michel Bony and Jean-Yves Chemin. Espaces fonctionnels associés au calcul de Weyl-Hörmander. Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France, 122(1):77–118, 1994.
- [17] Carlo Cercignani, Reinhard Illner, and Mario Pulvirenti. The Mathematical Theory of Dilute Gases, volume 106 of Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer Science+Business Media New York, 1994.
- [18] Hua Chen, Xin Hu, Wei-Xi Li, and Jinpeng Zhan. Gevrey smoothing effect for the spatially inhomogeneous Boltzmann equations without cut-off.
- [19] Yemin Chen and Lingbing He. Smoothing estimates for boltzmann equation with full-range interactions: Spatially homogeneous case. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 201(2):501–548, jan 2011.
- [20] Yemin Chen and Lingbing He. Smoothing estimates for boltzmann equation with full-range interactions: Spatially inhomogeneous case. Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis, 203(2):343–377, jan 2012.
- [21] Dingqun Deng. Global Existence of Non-cutoff Boltzmann Equation in Critical Weighted Sobolev Space.
- [22] Dingqun Deng. Dissipation and semigroup on \$h^k_n\$: Non-cutoff linearized boltzmann operator with soft potential. SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis, 52(3):3093–3113, jan 2020.
- [23] Philip T. Gressman and Robert M. Strain. Global classical solutions of the boltzmann equation without angular cut-off. Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 24(3):771–771, sep 2011.
- [24] Philip T. Gressman and Robert M. Strain. Sharp anisotropic estimates for the boltzmann collision operator and its entropy production. Advances in Mathematics, 227(6):2349–2384, aug 2011.
- [25] Nadia Lekrine, and Chao-Jiang Xu. Gevrey regularizing effect of the cauchy problem for non-cutoff homogeneous kac's equation. *Kinetic and Related Models*, 2(4):647–666, 2009.
- [26] N. Lerner, Y. Morimoto, K. Pravda-Starov, and C.-J. Xu. Gelfand-shilov smoothing properties of the radially symmetric spatially homogeneous boltzmann equation without angular cutoff. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 256(2):797–831, jan 2014.
- [27] N. Lerner, Y. Morimoto, K. Pravda-Starov, and C.-J. Xu. Gelfand-shilov and gevrey smoothing effect for the spatially inhomogeneous non-cutoff kac equation. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 269(2):459–535, jul 2015.

- [28] Nicolas Lerner. Metrics on the Phase Space and Non-Selfadjoint Pseudo-Differential Operators. Birkhäuser Basel, 2010.
- [29] Pierre-Louis Lions. Régularité et compacité pour des noyaux de collision de boltzmann sans troncature angulaire. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences - Series I - Mathematics, 326(1):37–41, jan 1998.
- [30] Yoshinori Morimoto and Chao-Jiang Xu. Ultra-analytic effect of cauchy problem for a class of kinetic equations. Journal of Differential Equations, 247(2):596–617, jul 2009.
- [31] Clément Mouhot and Robert M. Strain. Spectral gap and coercivity estimates for linearized boltzmann collision operators without angular cutoff. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 87(5):515–535, may 2007.
- [32] Cdric Villani. A Review of Mathematical Topics in Collisional Kinetic Theory. Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, 1, 12 2002.