

A P_{K+2} POLYNOMIAL LIFTING OPERATOR ON POLYGONS AND POLYHEDRONS

XIU YE* AND SHANGYOU ZHANG†

Abstract. A P_{k+2} polynomial lifting operator is defined on polygons and polyhedrons. It lifts discontinuous polynomials inside the polygon/polyhedron and on the faces to a one-piece P_{k+2} polynomial. With this lifting operator, we prove that the weak Galerkin finite element solution, after this lifting, converges at two orders higher than the optimal order, in both L^2 and H^1 norms. The theory is confirmed by numerical solutions of 2D and 3D Poisson equations.

Key words. weak Galerkin, finite element methods, Poisson, polytopal meshes

AMS subject classifications. Primary: 65N15, 65N30; Secondary: 35J50

1. Introduction. In weak Galerkin finite element methods [12, 13], discontinuous polynomials, u_0 defined inside each element and u_b defined on each face of element, are employed to form an approximation space. In particular, on triangular/tetrahedral grids, the P_k - P_{k+1} (P_k inside a triangle, P_{k+1} on an edge) weak Galerkin finite element solution is two-order superconvergent in both L^2 and H^1 -like norms [2]. Further, with a careful construction of weak gradient, such P_k - P_{k+1} weak Galerkin finite element is also two-order superconvergent on general polygonal and polyhedral meshes [14]. Here the super-convergence is defined for the difference between finite element solution u_0 and the local L^2 projection $Q_h u$ of the exact solution.

In this paper, we construct a P_{k+2} polynomial lifting operator. It lifts an $(n+1)$ -piece polynomial, $\{u_0, u_b\}$, on a n -polygon/polyhedron T to a one-piece P_{k+2} polynomial on T . After such a lifting/post-processing, the weak Galerkin finite element solution is two-order super-convergent to the exact solution, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - u_h\|_0 + h|u - u_h|_{1,h} &\leq Ch^{k+1}|u|_{k+1}, \\ \|u - L_h u_h\|_0 + h|u - L_h u_h|_{1,h} &\leq Ch^{k+3}|u|_{k+3}, \end{aligned}$$

where u_h and u are the finite element solution and the exact solution, respectively, and h is the mesh size.

This polynomial lifting operator is different from traditional polynomial lifting operators [1, 3, 4, 5, 10]. These operators only lift a polynomial trace on the boundary of an element to a polynomial inside the element, stably, i.e., subject to the minimum or a small energy. But here we lift both trace data and interior data to a polynomial, subject to the P_{k+2} accuracy. Additionally, even the trace (of boundary polynomials) is discontinuous here. Well, such a discontinuous-trace polynomial lifting is studied in [7, 8, 9], but for $H(\text{curl})$ and $H(\text{div})$ polynomial lifting.

2. Weak Galerkin finite element. For solving a model Poisson equation,

$$(2.1) \quad -\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(2.2) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

*Department of Mathematics, University of Arkansas at Little Rock, Little Rock, AR 72204 (xxye@ualr.edu). This research was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant DMS-1620016.

†Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716 (szhang@udel.edu).

where Ω is a polytopal domain in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 , we subdivide the domain into shape-regular polygons/polyhedrons of size h , \mathcal{T}_h . For polynomial degree $k \geq 1$, we define the weak Galerkin finite element spaces by

$$(2.3) \quad V_h = \{v_h = \{v_0, v_b\} : v_0|_T \in P_k(T), v_b|_e \in P_{k+1}(e), e \subset \partial T, T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad V_h^0 = \{v_h : v_h \in V_h, v_b = 0 \text{ on } e \subset \partial\Omega\}.$$

The weak Galerkin finite element function assumes one d -dimensional P_k polynomial inside each element T , and one $(d-1)$ -dimensional P_{k+1} polynomial on each face edge/polygon e .

On an element $T \in \mathcal{T}_h$, we define the weak gradient $\nabla_w v_h$ of a weak function $v_h = \{v_0, v_b\} \in V_h$ by the solution of polynomial equation on T :

$$(2.5) \quad \int_T \nabla_w v_h \mathbf{q} d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\partial T} v_b \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS - \int_T v_0 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} d\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{q} \in \Lambda_k(T),$$

where $\Lambda_k(T)$ is a piece-wise polynomial space, but with one piece polynomial divergence and one piece polynomial trace on each face, on a sub-triangular/tetrahedral subdivision of $T = \{T_i, i = 1, \dots, n\}$,

$$\Lambda_k(T) = \{\mathbf{q} \in H(\text{div}, T) : \mathbf{q}|_{T_i} \in P_{k+1}^d(T_i), T_i \subset T, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q} \in P_k(T), \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}|_e \in P_{k+1}(e)\}.$$

Here \mathbf{n} is a fixed normal vector on edge/polygon e . To get a simplicial subdivision on T , some face edges/polygons have to be subdivided. That is, in addition to $T = \cup_i \bar{T}_i$, $e = \cup_j \bar{e}_j$, where $\{e_j\}$ is the set of face edges/triangles of $\{T_i\}$.

A weak Galerkin finite element approximation for (2.1)-(2.2) is defined by the unique solution $u_h = \{u_0, u_b\} \in V_h^0$ satisfying

$$(2.6) \quad (\nabla_w u_h, \nabla_w v_h) = (f, v_0) \quad \forall v_h = \{v_0, v_b\} \in V_h^0.$$

In [14], both (2.5) and (2.6) are proved to have a unique solution.

THEOREM 2.1. ([14]) *Let u and u_h be the solutions of (2.1) and (2.6), respectively. The following two-order superconvergence holds*

$$(2.7) \quad \|Q_h u - u_h\|_0 + h \|Q_h u - u_h\| \leq C h^{k+3} |u|_{k+3},$$

where $Q_h u = \{Q_0 u, Q_b u\} \in V_h^0$ (Q_0 and Q_b are local L^2 -projection on T and e respectively), and $\|v_h\| = (\nabla_w v_h, \nabla_w v_h)^{1/2}$.

3. A P_{k+2} polynomial lifting operator. On an m -face polygon/polyhedron T we have $(m+1)$ pieces of polynomials from a weak Galerkin finite element function. We need to lift these polynomials to a one-piece P_{k+2} polynomial, preserving P_{k+2} polynomials in the sense that $L_h Q_h u = u$ if u is a P_{k+2} polynomial.

THEOREM 3.1. *The local L^2 projection $Q_h : u \in P_{k+2}(T) \rightarrow u_h = \{Q_0 u, Q_b u\} \in V_h$ is an injection, i.e.,*

$$Q_h u = 0 \text{ if and only if } u = 0.$$

Proof. Let $u \in P_{k+2}(T)$ and $Q_h u = 0$. For any vector polynomial $\mathbf{q}_{k+1} \in [P_{k+1}(T)]^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \nabla u \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k+1} d\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{e \subset \partial T} \int_e u \mathbf{q}_{k+1} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS - \int_T u \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k+1} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= \sum_{e \subset \partial T} \int_e Q_b u \mathbf{q}_{k+1} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS - \int_T Q_0 u \nabla \cdot \mathbf{q}_{k+1} d\mathbf{x} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\nabla u = \mathbf{0}$ everywhere and $u = C$. Since $Q_0 u = 0$, $C = 0$ and $u = 0$. \square

THEOREM 3.2. *The P_{k+2} polynomial lifting operator L_h , defined in (3.3) below, is P_{k+2} polynomial preserving in the sense that*

$$(3.1) \quad L_h Q_h u = u, \quad \text{if } u \in P_{k+2}(T).$$

Consequently we have

$$(3.2) \quad \|u - L_h Q_h u\|_0 + h|u - L_h Q_h u|_{1,h} \leq Ch^{k+3}|u|_{k+3}.$$

Proof. Let $P_h : u_h = \{u_0, u_b\} \in V_h \rightarrow \{(P_h u_h)_0, (P_h u_h)_b\} \in V_h$ be the local, discrete $L^2(T)$ projection on to the image space $Q_h P_{k+2}(T)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_T (P_h u_h)_0 Q_0 p_{k+2} d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{e \subset \partial T} \int_e (P_h u_h)_b Q_b p_{k+2} dS \\ &= \int_T u_0 Q_0 p_{k+2} d\mathbf{x} + \sum_{e \subset \partial T} \int_e u_b Q_b p_{k+2} dS \quad \forall p_{k+2}(T). \end{aligned}$$

The above equation has a unique solution as the left hand side bilinear form is coercive. By last theorem, Q_h is one-to-one from $P_{k+2}(T)$ on to the image space $P_h V_h$. Its inverse defines a unique lifting operator:

$$(3.3) \quad L_h u_h = Q_h^{-1}(P_h u_h) \in \prod_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} P_{k+2}(T).$$

By definition, (3.1) holds. Further, because $L_h Q_h$ is a stable, local preserving P_{k+2} polynomial operator, by [11], it is an optimal-order interpolation operator and (3.2) holds. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let u and u_h be the solutions of (2.1) and (2.6), respectively. Then*

$$\|u - L_h u_h\|_0 + h|u - L_h u_h|_{1,h} \leq Ch^{k+3}|u|_{k+3},$$

where $|u|_{1,h}^2 = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} (\nabla u, \nabla u)$.

Proof. Noting the weak gradient of $u_h - P_h u_h$ is a piece-wise higher order, $\|\cdot\|$ -orthogonal polynomial over the polynomial $\nabla L_h u_h$, we have

$$|L_h u_h|_{1,h}^2 = \|P_h u_h\|^2 = \|u_h\|^2 - \|(I - P_h)u_h\|^2 \leq \|u_h\|^2.$$

By the triangle inequality, (3.2) and (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} |u - L_h u_h|_{1,h} &\leq |u - L_h Q_h u|_{1,h} + |L_h(Q_h u - u_h)|_{1,h} \\ &\leq Ch^{k+3}|u|_{k+3} + \|Q_h u - u_h\| \\ &\leq Ch^{k+2}|u|_{k+3}. \end{aligned}$$

By the finite dimensional norm equivalence with scaling, the trace inequality and the definition of weak gradient, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_h u_h\|_0^2 &\leq C \sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}_h} \left(\|P_h u_0\|_T^2 + 2h \|P_h(u_0 - u_b)\|_{\partial T}^2 \right) \\ &\leq Ch \|L_h u_h\|_0 \| (I - P_h) u_h \|_0. \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality, (3.2) and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u - L_h u_h\|_0 &\leq \|u - L_h Q_h u\|_0 + \|L_h(Q_h u - u_h)\|_0 \\ &\leq Ch^{k+3}|u|_{k+3}. \end{aligned}$$

□




FIG. 4.1. The first three levels of quadrilateral grids, for Table 4.1.

TABLE 4.1
Errors and orders of convergence by the P_1 - P_2 WG finite element on quadrilateral grids shown in Figure 4.1 for (4.1).

level	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ u - L_h u_h\ _0$	rate
5	0.7356E-03	2.00	0.9360E-06	4.00	0.1308E-05	4.00
6	0.1838E-03	2.00	0.5851E-07	4.00	0.8178E-07	4.00
7	0.4595E-04	2.00	0.3663E-08	4.00	0.5116E-08	4.00
	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ $	rate	$ u - L_h u_h _{1,h}$	rate
5	0.5049E-01	1.00	0.2156E-03	3.00	0.2101E-03	3.00
6	0.2524E-01	1.00	0.2696E-04	3.00	0.2627E-04	3.00
7	0.1262E-01	1.00	0.3371E-05	3.00	0.3284E-05	3.00

4. Numerical Experiments. We solve the 2D Poisson equation (2.1) on the unit square domain. The exact solution is chosen as

$$(4.1) \quad u = \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y).$$

We compute the solution (4.1) on a perturbed quadrilateral grids, shown in Figure 4.1. We have two orders of superconvergence in L^2 -norm and in H^1 -like norm, shown

TABLE 4.2

Errors and orders of convergence by the P_2 - P_3 WG finite element on quadrilateral grids shown in Figure 4.1 for (4.1).

level	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ u - L_h u_h\ _0$	rate
4	0.2229E-03	3.00	0.7659E-06	4.98	0.8555E-06	4.98
5	0.2787E-04	3.00	0.2404E-07	4.99	0.2682E-07	5.00
6	0.3484E-05	3.00	0.7521E-09	5.00	0.8390E-09	5.00
	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ $	rate	$ u - L_h u_h _{1,h}$	rate
4	0.1293E-01	2.00	0.1487E-03	3.99	0.9441E-04	3.99
5	0.3233E-02	2.00	0.9307E-05	4.00	0.5911E-05	4.00
6	0.8084E-03	2.00	0.5819E-06	4.00	0.3696E-06	4.00

in Tables 4.1-4.2. In particular, the error after lifting is two orders higher than that of the original error.

Next we solve again the 2D Poisson equation (2.1) on the unit square domain with exact solution (4.1). We use quadrilateral-pentagon-hexagon hybrid grids, shown in Figure 4.2. Again the error after lifting is two orders higher, shown in Table 4.3.




FIG. 4.2. The first three levels of mixed-polygon grids, for Tables 4.3.

TABLE 4.3

Errors and orders of convergence, by the P_1 - P_2 WG finite element on mixed-polygon grids shown in Figure 4.2 for (4.1).

level	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ u - L_h u_h\ _0$	rate
5	0.8444E-03	2.00	0.1504E-05	4.00	0.1973E-05	4.00
6	0.2110E-03	2.00	0.9406E-07	4.00	0.1234E-06	4.00
7	0.5273E-04	2.00	0.5875E-08	4.00	0.7707E-08	4.00
	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ $	rate	$ u - L_h u_h _{1,h}$	rate
5	0.5891E-01	1.00	0.4798E-03	3.00	0.3272E-03	3.00
6	0.2945E-01	1.00	0.6001E-04	3.00	0.4090E-04	3.00
7	0.1472E-01	1.00	0.7502E-05	3.00	0.5113E-05	3.00

Finally we solve the 3D Poisson equation (2.1) on the unit cube, with exact solution

$$(4.2) \quad u = \sin(\pi x) \sin(\pi y) \sin(\pi z).$$

We use a wedge-type grids shown in Figure 4.3. The lifted finite element solution has two orders of superconvergence, shown in Table 4.4.




FIG. 4.3. The first three levels of wedge grids used in Table 4.4.

TABLE 4.4
Errors and orders of convergence, by the P_1 - P_2 WG finite element on 3D wedge-type polyhedral grids shown in Figure 4.3 for (4.2).

level	$\ u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ _0$	rate	$\ u - L_h u_h\ _0$	rate
4	0.9655E-02	2.0	0.1608E-03	3.9	0.2626E-03	3.9
5	0.2398E-02	2.0	0.1022E-04	4.0	0.1658E-04	4.0
6	0.5987E-03	2.0	0.6419E-06	4.0	0.1039E-05	4.0
	$ u - u_h _{1,h}$	rate	$\ Q_h u - u_h\ $	rate	$ u - L_h u_h _{1,h}$	rate
4	0.2289E+00	1.0	0.2500E-01	3.0	0.1269E-01	3.0
5	0.1145E+00	1.0	0.3136E-02	3.0	0.1595E-02	3.0
6	0.5724E-01	1.0	0.3923E-03	3.0	0.1997E-03	3.0

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ainsworth and C. Parker, H^2 -stable polynomial liftings on triangles, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 58 (2020), no. 3, 1867-1892.
- [2] A. Al-Taweel, X. Wang, X. Ye and S. Zhang, A stabilizer free weak Galerkin element method with supercloseness of order two, Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations, accepted, arXiv:2004.11192.
- [3] C. Bernardi, M. Dauge, and Y. Maday, The lifting of polynomial traces revisited, Math. Comp., 79 (2010), 47-69.
- [4] C. Bernardi and Y. Maday, Relevement polynomial de traces et applications, ESAIM Math. Model. Numer. Anal., 24 (1990), 557-611.
- [5] B. Guo and I. Babuska, Local Jacobi operators and applications to the p-version of finite element method in two dimensions, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 48 (2010), no. 1, 147-163.
- [6] F. Brezzi and M. Fortin, Mixed and Hybrid Finite Elements, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [7] L. Demkowicz, J. Gopalakrishnan, and J. Schoberl, Polynomial extension operators: Part I, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 46 (2008), 3006-3031.
- [8] L. Demkowicz, J. Gopalakrishnan, and J. Schoberl, Polynomial extension operators: Part II, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2009), 3293-3324.
- [9] L. Demkowicz, J. Gopalakrishnan, and J. Schoberl, Polynomial extension operators: Part III, Math. Comp., 81 (2012), 1289-1326.
- [10] R. Muoz-Sola, Polynomial liftings on a tetrahedron and applications to the h-p version of the finite element method in three dimensions, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 34 (1997), no. 1, 282-314.
- [11] L. R. Scott and S. Zhang, Finite element interpolation of nonsmooth functions satisfying boundary conditions, Math. Comp. 54 (1990), no. 190, 483-493.
- [12] J. Wang and X. Ye, A weak Galerkin finite element method for second-order elliptic problems. J. Comput. Appl. Math., 241 (2013), 103-115.
- [13] X. Ye and S. Zhang, A stabilizer-free weak Galerkin finite element method on polytopal meshes, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 371 (2020) 112699. arXiv:1906.06634.
- [14] X. Ye and S. Zhang, A stabilizer free weak Galerkin finite element method on polytopal mesh: Part III, arXiv:2009.08536.