Well-posedness of an asymptotic model for capillarity-driven free boundary Darcy flow in porous media in the critical Sobolev space

Stefano Scrobogna
Departamento de Análisis Matemático & IMUS, Universidad de Sevilla, Sevilla, España
email: *scrobogna@us.es*

October 11, 2021

arXiv:2009.14117v2 [math.AP] 8 Feb 2021

Abstract

We prove that the quadratic approximation of the capillarity-driven free-boundary Darcy flow, derived in [28], is well posed in $\dot{H}^{3/2}(\mathbb{S}^1)$, and globally well-posed if the initial datum is small in $\dot{H}^{3/2}(\mathbb{S}^1)$.

1 Presentation of the problem

Fluid moving in porous media, such as sand or wood, are a common occurrence in nature. The simplest equation describing such physical phenomenon is the Darcy law

$$\frac{\mu}{\beta}u = -\nabla p - \rho g\, \mathrm{e}_2, \tag{1.1}$$

where u, p, ρ and μ are the velocity, pressure, density and dynamic viscosity of the fluid, respectively. The constant β describes a property of the porous media and its known as the permeability. The term $\rho g \mathrm{e}_2$ stands for the acceleration due to gravity in the direction $\mathrm{e}_2 = (0,1)^\intercal$. From now on we use the renormalization $\mu/\beta \equiv 1$ so that (1.1) becomes

$$u = -\nabla p - \rho g\, \mathrm{e}_2,$$

Darcy law is valid for slow and viscous flows, and it was first derived experimentally by Henry Darcy (1856) and then derived theoretically from the Navier-Stokes equations via homogenization (cf. [41]). The free boundary Darcy flow, also known as Muskat problem (cf. [39, 42]), is often used in order to model the dynamics of aquifiers or oil wells. When the free-interface is the graph $\Gamma(t) = \{(x, h(x)) \mid x \in \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{S}^1\}$, which divides the space in the regions

$$\Omega^{\pm}(t) = \left\{ (x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \text{ or } \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{R} \;\middle|\; y \lessgtr h(x,t) \right\},$$

with fluid of density

$$\rho(x,y,t) = \begin{cases} \rho_- & \text{if} \quad (x,y) \in \Omega^-(t) \\ \rho_+ & \text{if} \quad (x,y) \in \Omega^+(t) \end{cases},$$

(i.e. the fluid with density ρ_+ lies below and the fluid with density ρ_- lies above), the evolution of the Muskat problem can be expressed as the contour equation

$$h_t = G[h]\left(\left(\rho_+ - \rho_-\right) g h - \gamma \kappa\right), \tag{1.2}$$

where $G[h]\psi$ is the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator (cf. [33]), $\kappa = \frac{h''}{\left(1+(h')^2\right)^{3/2}}$ is the mean curvature of the interface, $\gamma \geq 0$ is the capillarity coefficient and $g \geq 0$ is the gravitational acceleration.

The mathematical analysis of the Muskat problem has flourished in the past 20 years, see [**?**, 1–3, 13, 15–20, 24, 36, 37] and the survey articles [23, 26], but only recently due to the works of Córdoba & Lazar [21], Gancedo & Lazar [25] and Alazard & Nguyen [5, 6] the problem of solvability of the Muskat problem in the critical Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$, where d is the dimension of the free interface, has been addressed. The three

works [5, 6, 21] study the stable, two phase gravity driven Muskat problem in $\mathbb{R}^2$, i.e. $\rho_+ > \rho_-$, $g > 0$, $\gamma = 0$ and the two fluids fill the two-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^2$. In such setting (1.2) writes in the simplified form (here g is normalized to one)

$$h_t(x) = \frac{\rho_+ - \rho_-}{2\pi} \text{ p.v.} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \partial_x \arctan\left(\frac{h(x) - h\big(x-y\big)}{y}\right) \mathrm{d}y.$$

In [21] a global well posedness result was proved for initial data $f_0 \in \dot{H}^{3/2} \cap \dot{H}^{5/2}$ with smallness assumption on $\|f_0\|_{\dot{H}^{3/2}}$ only, thus allowing initial data with arbitrarily large, albeit finite, slopes. In [6] a global well-posedness result was proved when the initial is small w.r.t. the non-homogeneous norm

$$\|u\|^2_{H^{\frac{3}{2},\frac{1}{3}}} = \int \big(1+|\xi|^2\big)^{3/2} \big(\log(4+|\xi|)\big)^{1/3} |\hat{u}(\xi)|^2 \mathrm{d}\xi,$$

thus allowing initial data to have infinite slope, while [21] and [25] address the problem of global solvability for initial data in $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \cap \dot{W}^{1,\infty}$ with smallness assumption in $\dot{H}^{\frac{d}{2}+1}$ only.

We denote with $\mathcal{H}$ the Hilbert transform, with $\Lambda = \mathcal{H}\partial_x$ the Calderón operator on $\mathbb{S}^1$ or $\mathbb{R}$ and with $[\![A, B]\!] f = A\big(Bf\big) - B\big(Af\big)$. In [28] the equation

$$f_t + g\Lambda f + \gamma\Lambda^3 f = \partial_x [\![\mathcal{H}, f]\!] \big(g\Lambda f + \gamma\Lambda^3 f\big), \tag{1.3}$$

was derived, a thorough analysis of the case $g > 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$ was performed in [31]. The equation (1.3) captures the dynamics of the one-phase Muskat problem (alternatively known as the Hele-Shaw problem) subject to gravity and surface tension up to quadratic order of the nonlinearity in small amplitude number regime, we refer the reader to [8, 12, 14, 22, 27, 29, 30, 34, 38] for further results on asymptotic models for free boundary systems. The equation we are interested to study in the present manuscript is the capillarity-driven version of (1.3), i.e. setting $\big(g, \gamma\big) = (0, 1)$ we obtain the equation[1]

$$\begin{cases} f_t + \Lambda^3 f = \partial_x [\![\mathcal{H}, f]\!] \Lambda^3 f, \\ f\big|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

It is immediate to see that the transformation

$$f(x,t) \mapsto \frac{1}{\lambda} f\big(\lambda x, \lambda^3 t\big), \qquad\qquad f_0(x) \mapsto \frac{1}{\lambda} f_0(\lambda x) \tag{1.5}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ if the space domain is $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^\star$ if the space domain is $\mathbb{S}^1$, generates a one-parameter family of solutions for (1.4), it is hence a classical consideration in the analysis of nonlinear partial differential equations to look for solutions in functional spaces whose norm is invariant w.r.t. the transformation (1.5), a simple example of such spaces is (recall that here the space dimension is one)

$$L^\infty\big(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{3/2}\big) \cap L^2\big(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^3\big), \qquad\qquad L^4\big(\mathbb{R}_+; \dot{H}^{9/4}\big).$$

We prove in particular that for any $f_0 \in \dot{H}^{3/2}$ there exists a $T = T\big(f_0\big) > 0$ and a unique solution in $L^4\big([0,T]; \dot{H}^{9/4}\big)$ of (1.4) stemming from f_0, which is global if f_0 is small in $\dot{H}^{3/2}$. Such result is more general than any well posedness result known, up to date, for the full Muskat problem[2]. This is rather surprising since asymptotic models tend to be less regular compared to the full-models from which they derive (cf. [7, 9, 10]) lacking some fine nonlinear cancellation which is present in the full system. Such result is possible thanks to a surprising commutation property of the bilinear truncation of the Dirichlet-Neumann operator. We refer the interested reader to Lemma 3.2 for a detailed statement of the key commutation which is the fundamental tool that allows us to prove the main result of the present manuscript.

[1] The author would like to mention the very recent manuscript [35] in which the authors prove local solvability for arbitrary initial data in $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R})$, $p \in (1,2]$, $s \in \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}, 2\right)$ for the two-phases full Muskat problem, i.e. the full system of the two-phase version of (1.4).

[2] The author would like to point out that shortly after the publication of the preprint version of the present manuscript T. Alazard and Q.-H. Nguyen proved in [4] that the gravity-driven two-phases 1D Muskat problem is locally well-posed in the *nonhomogeneous* critical Sobolev space $H^{3/2}$.

2 Main result and notation

We denote with C a positive constant whose explicit value may vary from line to line. Given a metric space (X, d_X), any $x_0 \in X$ and $r > 0$ we denote with $B_X(x_0, r)$ the open ball of center x_0 and radius r w.r.t. the distance function d_X. We denote with $\mathbb{i} = \sqrt{-1}$ the imaginary unit and with X' the dual space of X.

From now on we consider the space domain on which (1.4) is defined to be the one-dimensional torus $\mathbb{S}^1$, though the computations performed in the present article can be easily adapted to the case of the one dimensional real line $\mathbb{R}$. We denote with $\mathcal{S}$ the space of Schwartz functions on $\mathbb{S}^1$ and with $\mathcal{S}_0$ the space of Schwartz functions with zero average. Let us denote with $\mathbf{e}_n(x) = e^{\mathbb{i}nx}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and let us consider a $v \in \mathcal{S}'$, the Fourier transform of v is defined as

$$\hat{v}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \langle v, \mathbf{e}_{-n} \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} v(x)\, e^{-\mathbb{i}nx} \mathrm{d}x, \qquad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

since for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the function $\mathbf{e}_n \in \mathcal{S}$ the above integral is well defined. For details we refer the interested reader to [32]. We define the Calderón operator Λ as the Fourier multiplier $\widehat{\Lambda v}(n) = |n|\, \hat{v}(n)$, and for any $b \in \mathcal{C}((0,\infty); [0,\infty))$ we define the operator $\widehat{b(\Lambda) v}(n) = b(|n|)\, \hat{v}(n)$. We denote with

$$\dot{H}^s = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{S}_0' \;\middle|\; \Lambda^s v \in L^2 \right\},$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The space $\dot{H}^s$ is endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_{\dot{H}^s}^2 = \left\|\Lambda^s v\right\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_n |n|^{2s} |\hat{v}(n)|^2 .$$

Let us remark that the homogeneous Sobolev space $\dot{H}^s$ is the subset of the more familiar non-homogeneous Sobolev space $H^s = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{S}' \;\middle|\; (1+\Lambda)^s v \in L^2 \right\}$ with zero average. We use the abbreviated notation

$$\|v\|_s = \|v\|_{\dot{H}^s}, \qquad \|v\|_{L^p_T \dot{H}^s} = \|v\|_{L^p([0,T];\dot{H}^s)}, \qquad \|v\|_{L^p \dot{H}^s} = \|v\|_{L^p_\infty \dot{H}^s}, \qquad T \in (0,\infty],\ p \in [1,\infty].$$

The main result we prove is the following one

Theorem 2.1. *Given $f_0 \in \dot{H}^{3/2}$ there exists a $T = T(f_0) > 0$ such that the system* (1.4) *has a unique solution in the space $L^4\left([0,T]; \dot{H}^{9/4}\right)$, which, in addition, belongs to the space*

$$f \in \mathcal{C}\left([0,T]; \dot{H}^{3/2}\right) \cap L^2\left([0,T]; \dot{H}^3\right).$$

There exists an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\left\|f_0\right\|_{3/2} \leq \varepsilon_0,$$

then $T = \infty$ and the solution is global.

In Section 3 we introduce some preliminary results which we use in Section 4 in order to prove Theorem 2.1.

3 Preliminaries

Let us state the *Minkowsky integral inequality* (cf. [40, Appendix A]) : let us consider (S_1, μ_1) and (S_2, μ_2) two σ–finite measure spaces and let $f : S_1 \times S_2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable, $p \in [1,\infty)$, then the following inequality holds true:

$$\left[\int_{S_2} \left| \int_{S_1} f(x,y)\, \mu_1(\mathrm{d}x) \right|^p \mu_2(\mathrm{d}y) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \leqslant \int_{S_1} \left(\int_{S_2} |f(x,y)|^p\, \mu_2(\mathrm{d}y) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu_1(\mathrm{d}x). \tag{3.1}$$

The above equality holds as well when $p = \infty$ with obvious modifications.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 relies on a fixed point argument, in particular the fixed point theorem we rely on is the following one (see [11, Lemma 5.5 p. 207])

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a Banach space, $\mathcal{B}$ a continuous bilinear map form $X \times X$ to X and $r > 0$ such that*

$$r < \frac{1}{4\|\mathcal{B}\|}, \qquad \|\mathcal{B}\| = \sup_{u,v \in B_X(0,1)} \|\mathcal{B}(u,v)\|_X .$$

For any x_0 in the ball $B_X(0,r) = \{y \in X : \|y\|_X < r\}$, there exists a unique $x \in B_X(0,2r)$ such that

$$x = x_0 + \mathcal{B}(x,x).$$

The next result we need is a particular commutation property which is specific to the nonlinearity of the equation (1.4)

Lemma 3.2. *Let $s, \sigma \geq 0$, $\alpha \in [0,\sigma]$ and $\phi \in \dot{H}^{s+\frac{1}{4}+\alpha}$, $\psi \in \dot{H}^{\sigma+\frac{1}{4}-\alpha}$ then we have that*

$$\left\|\Lambda^s\left(\left[\!\left[\mathcal{H},\phi\right]\!\right]\Lambda^\sigma\psi\right)\right\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\phi\|_{s+\frac{1}{4}+\alpha}\|\psi\|_{\sigma+\frac{1}{4}-\alpha}.$$

Proof. Let us remark that

$$\widehat{\left[\!\left[\mathcal{H},\phi\right]\!\right]\Lambda^\sigma\psi}(n) = \mathbb{i}\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\left(-\operatorname{sgn}(n)+\operatorname{sgn}(n-k)\right)|n-k|^\sigma\hat{\phi}(k)\hat{\psi}(n-k).$$

Now we have that

$$-\operatorname{sgn}(n)+\operatorname{sgn}(n-k)\neq 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (n>0\wedge n-k<0)\vee(n<0\wedge n-k>0) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad 0<|n|<|k|,$$

as a consequence we obtain that

$$|n|<|k|, \qquad \text{and} \qquad |n-k|<|k|. \tag{3.2}$$

Using the monotonicity property (3.2) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Lambda^s\left(\left[\!\left[\mathcal{H},\phi\right]\!\right]\Lambda^\sigma\psi\right)\right\|_{L^2}^2 &\leq C\sum_n |n|^{2s}\left|\sum_k |n-k|^\sigma\left|\hat{\phi}(k)\right|\left|\hat{\psi}(n-k)\right|\right|^2,\\
&\leq C\sum_n\left|\sum_k |k|^{s+\alpha}|n-k|^{\sigma-\alpha}\left|\hat{\phi}(k)\right|\left|\hat{\psi}(n-k)\right|\right|^2,\\
&\leq C\left\|\Lambda^{s+\alpha}\Phi\,\Lambda^{\sigma-\alpha}\Psi\right\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\hat{\Phi}(n) = \left|\hat{\phi}(n)\right|, \qquad \hat{\Psi}(n) = \left|\hat{\psi}(n)\right|.$$

We use now the Hölder inequality and the embedding $\dot{H}^{1/4} \hookrightarrow L^4$

$$\left\|\Lambda^{s+\alpha}\Phi\,\Lambda^{\sigma-\alpha}\Psi\right\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\Phi\|_{s+\frac{1}{4}+\alpha}\|\Psi\|_{\sigma+\frac{1}{4}-\alpha} = C\|\phi\|_{s+\frac{1}{4}+\alpha}\|\psi\|_{\sigma+\frac{1}{4}-\alpha},$$

concluding the proof. □

Let ϕ, ψ be given functions, let us denote with $U = U(\phi,\psi)$ the solution to the linear fractional-diffusion equation with forcing

$$\begin{cases} U(\phi,\psi)_t + \Lambda^3 U(\phi,\psi) = \partial_x\left[\!\left[\mathcal{H},\phi\right]\!\right]\Lambda^3\psi, \\ U(\phi,\psi)\big|_{t=0} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\phi \in L^4_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{4}}$, $s \geq 1/2$ and $\psi \in L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}$ the following inequality holds true*

$$\left\|U(\phi,\psi)\right\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{4}}} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{4}}}\|\psi\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}}.$$

Proof. We write U instead of $U(\phi,\psi)$ for sake of simplicity. A standard energy estimate combined with Lemma 3.2 give that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\|U\|_s^2+\|U\|_{s+\frac{3}{2}}^2 &= \int \Lambda^s\left(\partial_x[\![\mathcal{H},\phi]\!]\Lambda^3\psi\right)\Lambda^s U\mathrm{d}x,\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|U\|_{s+\frac{3}{2}}^2+C\left\|\Lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}}\left([\![\mathcal{H},\phi]\!]\Lambda^3\psi\right)\right\|_{L^2}^2,\\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\|U\|_{s+\frac{3}{2}}^2+C\|\phi\|_{s+\frac{3}{4}}^2\|\psi\|_{9/4}^2,\end{aligned}$$

hence, integrating in time, we obtain the bound

$$\|U\|^2_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^s}+\|U\|^2_{L^2_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{2}}}\leq C\|\phi\|^2_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{4}}}\|\psi\|^2_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}}.$$

The interpolation estimate

$$\|U\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{4}}}\leq\|U\|^{1/2}_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^s}\|U\|^{1/2}_{L^2_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{2}}}\leq C\left(\|U\|_{L^\infty_T\dot{H}^s}+\|U\|_{L^2_T\dot{H}^{s+\frac{3}{2}}}\right),$$

combined with the Young inequality $ab\leq\frac{1}{2}(a^2+b^2)$ provides the desired control. □

4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

Solving the Cauchy problem (1.4) is equivalent to find a solution to the integral equation

$$f(x,t)=e^{-t\Lambda^3}f_0(x)+\int_0^t e^{-(t-t')\Lambda^3}\partial_x[\![\mathcal{H},f]\!]\Lambda^3 f(x,t')\,\mathrm{d}t',\qquad (x,t)\in\mathbb{S}^1\times[0,T],\tag{4.1}$$

we hence prove that the map

$$f\longmapsto e^{-t\Lambda^3}f_0+U(f,f),\tag{4.2}$$

where $U(f,f)$ is defined as the solution of (3.3), has a unique fixed point in the space $L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}$. Indeed for any $T\in(0,\infty]$ and $\phi,\psi\in L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}$ we invoke Lemma 3.3 obtaining that

$$\|U(\phi,\psi)\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}}\leq C\|\phi\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}}\|\psi\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}},$$

thus proving that U is a continuous bilinear map form $\left(L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}\right)^2$ onto $L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}$. What remains to prove in order to apply Lemma 3.1 to the functional equality (4.2) is that for any $f_0\in\dot{H}^{3/2}$ here exists a $T=T(f_0)\in(0,\infty]$ such that $\left\|e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3}f_0\right\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}}$ can be made arbitrarily small. We treat at first the case of small initial datum: we use (3.1) in order to compute

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3}f_0\right\|_{L^4_T\dot{H}^{9/4}} &= \left(\int_0^T\left(\sum_n e^{-2t|n|^3}|n|^{9/2}\left|\hat{f}_0(n)\right|^2\right)^2\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/4},\\ &\leq\left(\sum_n\left(\int_0^T e^{-4t|n|^3}|n|^9\left|\hat{f}_0(n)\right|^4\mathrm{d}t\right)^{1/2}\right)^{1/2},\\ &\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f_0\|_{3/2}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

The bound derived above is independent of $T>0$, hence

$$\left\|e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3}f_0\right\|_{L^4\dot{H}^{9/4}}\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f_0\|_{3/2}.$$

The above inequality proves that the application $f_0\mapsto e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3}f_0$ is continuous in zero as an application from $\dot{H}^{3/2}$ to $L^4\dot{H}^{9/4}$, hence there exists a $\varepsilon_0>0$ s.t. if $\|f_0\|_{3/2}\leq\varepsilon_0$ the conditions of Lemma 3.1 are satisfied and there exists a unique solution of (1.4) in the space $L^4(\mathbb{R}_+;\dot{H}^{9/4})$.

We consider now the case of large initial data in $\dot{H}^{3/2}$. Let us set $\rho > 0$ and define $f_0 = \bar{f}_0 + \underline{f_0}$ where $\hat{\bar{f}}_0(n) = \mathbf{1}_{\{|n|>\rho\}}(n)\,\hat{f}_0(n)$. Since $\bar{f}_0$ is localized on high-frequencies, we exploit (4.3) in order to obtain that

$$\left\| e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3} \bar{f}_0 \right\|_{L^4_T \dot{H}^{9/4}} \leq C \left\| \bar{f}_0 \right\|_{3/2} = o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to \infty,$$

and thus can be made arbitrarily small letting $\rho = \rho\left(f_0\right)$ be large enough. Next we use the localization in the Fourier space in order to argue that

$$\left\| e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3} \underline{f_0} \right\|_{L^4_T \dot{H}^{9/4}} \leq \rho^{3/4} \left\| e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3} \underline{f_0} \right\|_{L^4_T \dot{H}^{3/2}},$$

while using again (3.1) we obtain that

$$\left\| e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3} \underline{f_0} \right\|_{L^4_T \dot{H}^{3/2}} \leq \left(\sum_n |n|^3 \sqrt{\frac{1 - e^{-4T|n|^3}}{4|n|^3}} \left| \underline{\hat{f}_0}(n) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq C \sqrt[4]{T} \left\| f_0 \right\|_{3/2},$$

which in turn implies that

$$\left\| e^{-\bullet\Lambda^3} \underline{f_0} \right\|_{L^4_T \dot{H}^{9/4}} \leq C \sqrt[4]{\rho^3 T} \left\| f_0 \right\|_{3/2},$$

thus proving that if $T \ll 1/\rho^3$ we can again apply Lemma 3.1 proving the existence part of the statement of Theorem 2.1 for arbitrary data in $\dot{H}^{3/2}$. The fact that

$$f \in L^\infty\left([0,T]; \dot{H}^{3/2}\right) \cap L^2\left([0,T]; \dot{H}^3\right),$$

follows by a $\dot{H}^{3/2}$ energy estimate on the equation (1.4), while using the Duhamel formulation (4.1) we obtain that, fixed $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the application $t \mapsto \hat{f}(n,t)$ is continuous over $[0,T]$, the Lebesgue dominated convergence allows us to conclude that $f \in \mathcal{C}\left([0,T]; \dot{H}^{3/2}\right)$, concluding the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

Acknowledgments

The research of S.S. is supported by the European Research Council through the Starting Grant project H2020-EU.1.1.-639227 FLUID-INTERFACE.

References

[1] Thomas Alazard. Convexity and the Hele-Shaw equation. *Water Waves*, 2020.

[2] Thomas Alazard and Didier Bresch. Functional inequalities and strong Lyapunov functionals for free surface flows in fluid dynamics. https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.03440.

[3] Thomas Alazard and Omar Lazar. Paralinearization of the Muskat equation and application to the Cauchy problem. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 237(2):545–583, 2020.

[4] Thomas Alazard and Quoc-Hung Nguyen. Endpoint Sobolev theory for the Muskat equation. https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.06915.

[5] Thomas Alazard and Quoc-Hung Nguyen. On the Cauchy problem for the Muskat equation. II: Critical initial data. https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.08442.

[6] Thomas Alazard and Quoc-Hung Nguyen. On the Cauchy problem for the Muskat equation with non-Lipschitz initial data. https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.04343.

[7] David M. Ambrose, Jerry L. Bona, and Timur Milgrom. Global solutions and ill-posedness for the Kaup system and related Boussinesq systems. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 68(4):1173–1198, 2019.

[8] David M. Ambrose, Jerry L. Bona, and David P. Nicholls. Well-posedness of a model for water waves with viscosity. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B*, 17(4):1113–1137, 2012.

[9] David M. Ambrose, Jerry L. Bona, and David P. Nicholls. On ill-posedness of truncated series models for water waves. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 470(2166):20130849, 16, 2014.

[10] Hantaek Bae and Rafael Granero-Belinchón. Singularity formation for the Serre-Green-Naghdi equations and applications to abcd-Boussinesq systems. https://arxiv.org/abs/2001.11937.

[11] Hajer Bahouri, Jean-Yves Chemin, and Raphaël Danchin. *Fourier analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, volume 343 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.

[12] Gabriele Bruell and Rafael Granero-Belinchón. On the thin film Muskat and the thin film Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 21(2):Paper No. 33, 31, 2019.

[13] Ángel Castro, Diego Córdoba, Francisco Gancedo, and Rafael Orive. Incompressible flow in porous media with fractional diffusion. *Nonlinearity*, 22(8):1791–1815, 2009.

[14] Arthur Cheng, Rafael Granero-Belinchón, Steve Shkoller, and John Wilkening. Rigorous Asymptotic Models of Water Waves. *Water Waves*, 2019.

[15] C. H. Arthur Cheng, Rafael Granero-Belinchón, and Steve Shkoller. Well-posedness of the Muskat problem with H^2 initial data. *Adv. Math.*, 286:32–104, 2016.

[16] Peter Constantin, Diego Córdoba, Francisco Gancedo, and Robert M. Strain. On the global existence for the Muskat problem. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 15(1):201–227, 2013.

[17] Peter Constantin, Francisco Gancedo, Roman Shvydkoy, and Vlad Vicol. Global regularity for 2D Muskat equations with finite slope. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 34(4):1041–1074, 2017.

[18] Antonio Cordoba, Diego Cordoba, and Francisco Gancedo. The Rayleigh-Taylor condition for the evolution of irrotational fluid interfaces. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 106(27):10955–10959, 2009.

[19] Antonio Córdoba, Diego Córdoba, and Francisco Gancedo. Interface evolution: the Hele-Shaw and Muskat problems. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 173(1):477–542, 2011.

[20] Diego Córdoba and Francisco Gancedo. Contour dynamics of incompressible 3-D fluids in a porous medium with different densities. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 273(2):445–471, 2007.

[21] Diego Cordoba and Omar Lazar. Global well-posedness for the 2D stable Muskat problem in $\dot{H}^{3/2}$. to appear in *Annales scienfiques de l'ENS*.

[22] Joachim Escher, Anca-Voichita Matioc, and Bogdan-Vasile Matioc. Modelling and analysis of the Muskat problem for thin fluid layers. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.*, 14(2):267–277, 2012.

[23] Francisco Gancedo. A survey for the Muskat problem and a new estimate. *SeMA J.*, 74(1):21–35, 2017.

[24] Francisco Gancedo, Rafael Granero-Belinchón, and Stefano Scrobogna. Surface tension stabilization of the Rayleigh-Taylor instability for a fluid layer in a porous medium. *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C, Analyse non linéaire*, 2020.

[25] Francisco Gancedo and Omar Lazar. Global well-posedness for the 3d muskat problem in the critical sobolev space. `https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.01787`.

[26] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Omar Lazar. Growth in the Muskat problem. *Math. Model. Nat. Phenom.*, 15:Paper No. 7, 23, 2020.

[27] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Stefano Scrobogna. Global well-posedness and decay of a viscous water wave model. `https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.11966`.

[28] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Stefano Scrobogna. Asymptotic models for free boundary flow in porous media. *Phys. D*, 392:1–16, 2019.

[29] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Stefano Scrobogna. Models for damped water waves. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 79(6):2530–2550, 2019.

[30] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Stefano Scrobogna. Well-posedness of water wave model with viscous effects. *to appear in Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 2019.

[31] Rafael Granero-Belinchón and Stefano Scrobogna. On an asymptotic model for free boundary Darcy flow in porous media. *SIAM J. Math. Anal., to appear*, 2020.

[32] Rafael José Iorio, Jr and Valéria de Magalhães Iorio. *Fourier Analysis and Partial Differential Equations*, chapter Periodic Distributions and Sobolev Spaces. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

[33] David Lannes. *The water waves problem: mathematical analysis and asymptotics*, volume 188. American Mathematical Soc., 2013.

[34] Philippe Laurençot and Bogdan-Vasile Matioc. Self-similarity in a thin film Muskat problem. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 49(4):2790–2842, 2017.

[35] Anca-Voichita Matioc and Bogdan-Vasile Matioc. The Muskat problem with surface tension and equal viscosities in subcritical L_p-Sobolev spaces. Preprint `https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.12261`.

[36] Bogdan-Vasile Matioc. Viscous displacement in porous media: the Muskat problem in 2D. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 370(10):7511–7556, 2018.

[37] Bogdan-Vasile Matioc. The Muskat problem in two dimensions: equivalence of formulations, well-posedness, and regularity results. *Anal. PDE*, 12(2):281–332, 2019.

[38] Bogdan-Vasile Matioc and Georg Prokert. Hele-Shaw flow in thin threads: a rigorous limit result. *Interfaces Free Bound.*, 14(2):205–230, 2012.

[39] M. Muskat and H. G. Botset. Flow of gas through porous materials. *Physics*, 1(1):27–47, 1931.

[40] Elias M. Stein. *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions.* Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.

[41] Stephen Whitaker. Flow in porous media I: A theoretical derivation of Darcy's law. *Transport in Porous Media*, 1(1):3–25, Mar 1986.

[42] R. D. Wyckoff, H. G. Botset, and M. Muskat. Flow of liquids through Porous Media under the Action of Gravity. *Physics*, 3(2):90–113, 1932.