

COMPLETE CLASSIFICATION OF RATIONAL SOLUTIONS OF A_{2n} -PAINLEVÉ SYSTEMS.

DAVID GÓMEZ-ULLATE, YVES GRANDATI, AND ROBERT MILSON

ABSTRACT. We provide a complete classification and an explicit representation of rational solutions to the fourth Painlevé equation P_{IV} and its higher order generalizations known as the A_{2n} -Painlevé or Noumi-Yamada systems. The construction of solutions makes use of the theory of cyclic dressing chains of Schrödinger operators. Studying the local expansions of the solutions around their singularities we find that some coefficients in their Laurent expansion must vanish, which express precisely the conditions of trivial monodromy of the associated potentials. The characterization of trivial monodromy potentials with quadratic growth implies that all rational solutions can be expressed as Wronskian determinants of suitably chosen sequences of Hermite polynomials. The main classification result states that every rational solution to the A_{2n} -Painlevé system corresponds to a cycle of Maya diagrams, which can be indexed by an oddly coloured integer sequence. Finally, we establish the link with the standard approach to building rational solutions, based on applying Bäcklund transformations on seed solutions, by providing a representation for the symmetry group action on coloured sequences and Maya cycles.

Keywords. Painlevé equations, Noumi-Yamada systems, rational solutions, Darboux dressing chains, Maya diagrams, Wronskian determinants, Hermite polynomials.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Higher order Painlevé equations and dressing chains	4
2.1. Factorization chains of Schrödinger operators	6
3. Maya diagrams and Trivial monodromy potentials	7
3.1. Maya diagrams	7
3.2. Trivial monodromy	9
4. Characterization of rational solutions to odd-cyclic dressing chains	12
5. Cyclic Maya diagrams	18
5.1. Modular decomposition and colouring.	21
6. Classification of rational solutions to A_{2n} -Painlevé	24
6.1. Coloured sequences.	25
6.2. Enumeration and construction of explicit examples	27
7. Connection with the symmetry group approach	29
7.1. Symmetries on Maya cycles	30
7.2. Seed solutions	32
7.3. Orbits of the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$	34
8. Degenerate solutions	36
9. Summary and Outlook	37

1. INTRODUCTION

The solutions of Painlevé equations are considered to be the nonlinear analogues of special functions, [11, 22, 32]. In general, they are transcendental functions, but for special values of the parameters, Painlevé equations (except the first one) possess solutions that can be expressed via rational or special functions. For a review of rational solutions to Painlevé equations, see the recent book by Van Assche, [51].

In this paper we focus on the rational solutions of Painlevé's fourth equation (P_{IV}) (1.1)

$$(1.1) \quad \text{P}_{\text{IV}} : \quad u'' = \frac{(u')^2}{2u} + \frac{3}{2}u^3 + 4zu^2 + 2(z^2 - \alpha)u + \frac{\beta}{u}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C},$$

and its higher order generalizations, known as the A_{2n} -Painlevé or Noumi-Yamada systems.

Lukasevich [35] found by direct inspection the first few rational solutions of P_{IV}. Okamoto [46] developed the theory of symmetry transformations of this equation, finding a Hamiltonian structure, birational canonical transformations, parameters for which rational solutions exist and some special solutions that now bear his name.

The scalar 2nd order equation P_{IV} is equivalent to the following system of three first order ODEs

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} f'_0 + f_0(f_1 - f_2) &= \alpha_0, \\ \text{sP}_{\text{IV}} : \quad f'_1 + f_1(f_2 - f_0) &= \alpha_1, \\ f'_2 + f_2(f_0 - f_1) &= \alpha_2, \end{aligned}$$

with $' \equiv d/dz$ and α_j , $j = 0, 1, 2$ constants, subject to the normalization conditions

$$(1.3) \quad f_0 + f_1 + f_2 = z, \quad \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1.$$

System (1.2) possesses a symmetry group of Bäcklund transformations acting on the tuple of solutions and parameters $(f_0, f_1, f_2 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, [43]. This symmetry group is the affine Weyl group $A_2^{(1)}$, generated by the operators $\{\pi, \mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2\}$ whose action on the tuple $(f_0, f_1, f_2 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2)$ is given by:

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_0(f_0) &= f_0, & \mathbf{s}_0(f_1) &= f_1 - \frac{\alpha_0}{f_0}, & \mathbf{s}_0(f_2) &= f_2 + \frac{\alpha_0}{f_0} \\ \mathbf{s}_0(\alpha_0) &= -\alpha_0, & \mathbf{s}_0(\alpha_1) &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_0, & \mathbf{s}_0(\alpha_2) &= \alpha_2 + \alpha_0 \\ \pi(f_0) &= f_1, & \pi(f_1) &= f_2, & \pi(f_2) &= f_0 \\ \pi(\alpha_0) &= \alpha_1, & \pi(\alpha_1) &= \alpha_2, & \pi(\alpha_2) &= \alpha_0 \end{aligned}$$

with the action of $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2$ obtained by cyclically permuting the indices in the action of \mathbf{s}_0 .

Noumi and Yamada soon realized that the structure of (1.2) can be generalized to any number of equations [42], leading to the A_N -Painlevé or the Noumi-Yamada system. Systems with an even or odd number of equations have a rather different behaviour, and we restrict in this paper to the analysis of the A_{2n} -Painlevé system, whose equations are given by

$$(1.5) \quad A_{2n}\text{-Painlevé:} \quad f'_i + f_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n f_{i+2j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^n f_{i+2j} \right) = \alpha_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}$$

subject to the normalization conditions

$$(1.6) \quad f_0 + \cdots + f_{2n} = z, \quad \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_{2n} = 1.$$

This system can be considered the natural higher order generalization of sP_{IV} (which corresponds to $n = 1$), since its symmetry group is the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$, acting by Bäcklund transformations as in (1.4). The system passes the Painlevé-Kowalevskaya test, [53].

The standard technique to construct rational solutions of (1.5) is to start from a number of very simple rational *seed solutions*, and successively apply the Bäcklund transformations (1.4) to generate new solutions, which are rational by construction. However, this method does not produce *per se* explicit representations of the solutions. For this reason, other more explicit representations have been investigated, most notably via recursion relations [24, 46], determinantal representations [33, 43] or Schur functions, exploiting suitable reductions of the KP hierarchy in Sato's theory of integrable systems, [48, 50]. Perhaps the simplest representation of the rational solutions of P_{IV} is via Wronskian determinants of certain sequences of Hermite polynomials:

$$(1.7) \quad H_{m,n}(z) = \text{Wr}(H_m, H_{m+1}, \dots, H_{m+n-1}),$$

$$(1.8) \quad Q_{m,n}(z) = \text{Wr}(H_1, H_4, \dots, H_{1+3(m-1)}, H_2, H_5, \dots, H_{2+3(n-1)}),$$

which are known as *generalized Hermite* and *generalized Okamoto* polynomials, respectively.

Regarding higher order systems, rational solutions of A_4 -Painlevé have been investigated in [21, 39] and classified recently in [15], which lays the ground for the construction of solutions in this paper. For systems of arbitrary order N , Tsuda [49] has described one special family of solutions in terms of Schur functions associated to N -reduced partitions, which can be regarded as a generalization of (1.8). Indeed, the families (1.7) and (1.8) can be generalized to the higher order system (1.5), but they represent only a small part of all the solutions, those corresponding to the minimal and maximal shifts.

The special polynomials associated with rational solutions of Painlevé equations have attracted much interest for various reasons. First, they appear in a number of applications, in connection with random matrix theory [10, 23], supersymmetric quantum mechanics [6, 7, 36, 44], vortex dynamics with quadrupole background flow [14], recurrence relations for orthogonal polynomials [16, 51], exceptional orthogonal polynomials [25] or rational-oscillatory solutions of the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation [13].

Second, the complex zeros of these special polynomials form remarkably regular patterns in the complex plane, as it has been mostly studied by Clarkson, [12]. The zeros of generalized Hermite polynomials (1.7) form rectangular patterns, and for large m, n with m/n fixed they fill densely a curvilinear rectangle whose boundary is described by Buckingham [8] using the steepest descent method for a Riemann-Hilbert problem. The distribution of these zeros is also studied recently by Masoero and Raffolsen in other asymptotic regimes, [37, 38]. The roots of generalized Okamoto polynomials form patterns that combine rectangular and triangular filled regions, and recently Buckingham and Miller [9] have extended their analysis to provide a rigorous description of the boundaries. Remarkably, the zeros and poles of rational solutions to higher order A_{2n} -Painlevé systems show much richer structures, which so far have only been investigated numerically, [15].

Construction methods are able to prove existence of rational solutions and equivalence of different representations, but the question of establishing that *all of the rational solutions* are obtained is much harder, and has only been addressed in very few papers. Parameters for

which rational solutions exist have been identified by Murata [40] for P_{IV} and by Kitaev, Law and McLeod [34] for P_V . These results are obtained by direct computation on local expansions, and they do not scale well to higher order systems due to increasing complexity and branching. By contrast, Veselov was able to establish that rational solutions of A_{2n} -Painlevé are in one-to-one correspondence with Schrödinger operators whose potentials have quadratic growth at infinity and trivial monodromy. His paper [52], which has received comparatively less attention, is the basis for the characterization of rational solutions performed in this work, together with [18, 45].

As mentioned in [54], our aim in this paper is to combine the strength of the τ -function and geometric approach of the Japanese school [24, 41, 43, 46, 49, 50] with that of the dressing chains and trivial monodromy approach of the Russian school [2, 45, 52, 53], to attain our goal of giving a complete classification of the rational solutions to higher order Painlevé systems. Given the breadth of both points of view, beyond this goal there is much to be learnt from their common interplay.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall the equivalence between the A_{2n} -Painlevé system and cyclic dressing chains of Darboux transformations obtained as factorizations of Schrödinger operators, [2, 53]. Section 3 introduces the class of rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator and identifies them as the only potentials with quadratic growth at infinity and trivial monodromy [45]. It also introduces Hermite pseudo-Wronskians indexed by Maya diagrams, and recalls some of their basic properties [29]. The main result in this section is Proposition 3.12 that provides all quasi-rational eigenfunctions of Schrödinger operators belonging to that class of potentials. In Section 4 we follow the work of Veselov [52] on rational solutions to odd-cyclic dressing chains. Studying the Laurent expansions of these solutions, the constraints imposed on the coefficients of the expansion are identified precisely as the conditions that express trivial monodromy of the associated potentials of the chain. The main result in this section is Theorem 4.12, which establishes that all rational solutions of an odd-cyclic dressing chain must necessarily be expressible as Wronskian determinants of Hermite polynomials. Section 5 studies cycles of Maya diagrams and introduces all the necessary concepts (genus, interlacing, block coordinates) to achieve a complete classification, which is described in Proposition 5.9. Section 6 uses all the previously derived results to state and prove the main Theorem 6.10 on the classification of rational solutions of odd-cyclic dressing chains. The result not only provides a full classification, but also allows for an explicit representation of all solutions in terms of oddly coloured sequences. To illustrate this, some explicit examples are given in §6.2. Finally, in Section 7 we study the action of the symmetry group (1.4) on the representation of the solutions given by Theorem 6.10, thus providing a connection with the standard approach [41]. Possible extensions of this work are discussed in Section 9, where we formulate a conjecture on the equivalent result for even cyclic chains.

2. HIGHER ORDER PAINLEVÉ EQUATIONS AND DRESSING CHAINS

The A_{2n} -Painlevé system is the following set of $2n + 1$ nonlinear differential equations for the functions $f_i = f_i(z)$ and parameters $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(2.1) \quad f'_i + f_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n f_{i+2j-1} - \sum_{j=1}^n f_{i+2j} \right) = \alpha_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}$$

subject to the normalization conditions

$$(2.2) \quad f_0 + \cdots + f_{2n} = z, \quad \alpha_0 + \cdots + \alpha_{2n} = 1.$$

Definition 2.1. A *rational solution* of the A_{2n} -Painlevé system (2.1) is a tuple of functions and parameters $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n} | \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ where $f_i = f_i(z)$ are rational functions of z .

Remark 2.2. As we shall see later, for every solution of an A_{2m} -Painlevé system, one can build an infinite number of degenerate solutions of a higher order A_{2n} -Painlevé system, with $n > m$. One of them corresponds to trivially setting some of the f_i and α_i to zero, but many other non-trivial embeddings also exist.

It will be convenient throughout the paper to work with a different set of functions and parameters, namely the set of functions that satisfy a Darboux dressing chain, which we define next.

Definition 2.3. A $(2n+1)$ -cyclic *dressing chain* with shift Δ is a sequence of $2n+1$ functions w_0, \dots, w_{2n} and complex numbers a_0, \dots, a_{2n} that satisfy the following coupled system of $2n+1$ Riccati-like ordinary differential equations

$$(2.3) \quad (w_i + w_{i+1})' + w_{i+1}^2 - w_i^2 = a_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}$$

subject to the condition

$$(2.4) \quad a_0 + \cdots + a_{2n} = -\Delta.$$

Note that by adding the $2n+1$ equations (2.3) we immediately obtain a first integral of the system

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{2n} w_j = \frac{1}{2}z \sum_{j=0}^{2n} a_j = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta z.$$

The system (2.3) has a group of symmetries that will be discussed in Section 7. For now, we will just observe that it is invariant under two obvious transformations of functions and parameters:

i) reversal symmetry

$$(2.6) \quad w_i \mapsto -w_{-i}, \quad a_i \mapsto -a_{-i}, \quad \Delta \mapsto -\Delta$$

ii) cyclic symmetry

$$(2.7) \quad w_i \mapsto w_{i+1}, \quad a_i \mapsto a_{i+1}, \quad \Delta \mapsto \Delta$$

for $i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}$.

The equivalence between the A_{2n} -Painlevé system (2.1) and the $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain (2.3) is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *The tuple of functions and complex numbers $(w_0, \dots, w_{2n} | a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ satisfy (2.3) (2.5), the relations of a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic Darboux dressing chain with shift Δ , if and only if the tuple $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n} | \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ defined by*

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} f_i(z) &= c(w_i + w_{i+1})(cz), \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}, \\ \alpha_i &= c^2 a_i, \\ c^2 &= -\frac{1}{\Delta} \end{aligned}$$

satisfies the A_{2n} -Painlevé system (2.1) subject to the normalization (2.2).

Proof. It suffices to invert the linear transformation

$$(2.9) \quad f_i = w_i + w_{i+1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}$$

to obtain

$$(2.10) \quad w_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{2n} (-1)^j f_{i+j}, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1},$$

which imply the relations

$$(2.11) \quad w_{i+1} - w_i = \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} (-1)^j f_{i+j+1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}.$$

Inserting (2.9) and (2.11) into the equations of the cyclic dressing chain (2.3) leads to the A_{2n} -Painlevé system (2.1). For any constant $c \in \mathbb{C}$, the scaling transformation

$$f_i \mapsto cf_i, \quad z \mapsto cz, \quad \alpha_i \mapsto c^2 \alpha_i$$

preserves the form of the equations (2.1). The choice $c^2 = -\frac{1}{\Delta}$ ensures that the normalization (2.2) always holds, for dressing chains with different shifts Δ . \square

2.1. Factorization chains of Schrödinger operators. We next recall the relation between dressing chains and sequences of Schrödinger operators related by Darboux transformations, following the theory developed by Veselov and Shabat [53] and Adler [2].

Consider the following sequence of Schrödinger operators

$$(2.12) \quad L_i = -D_z^2 + U_i, \quad D_z = \frac{d}{dz}, \quad U_i = U_i(z), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where each operator is related to the next by a Darboux transformation, i.e. by the following factorization

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} L_i &= (D_z + w_i)(-D_z + w_i) + \lambda_i, & w_i &= w_i(z), \\ L_{i+1} &= (-D_z + w_i)(D_z + w_i) + \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

Eliminating the derivative terms we see that (2.13) is equivalent to

$$(2.14) \quad w'_i + w_i^2 = U_i - \lambda_i, \quad -w'_i + w_i^2 = U_{i+1} - \lambda_i.$$

Equivalently, we can characterize w_i as the log-derivative of ψ_i , the seed function of the Darboux transformation that maps L_i to L_{i+1}

$$(2.15) \quad L_i \psi_i = \lambda_i \psi_i, \quad \text{where } w_i = \frac{\psi'_i}{\psi_i}.$$

Using (2.12) and (2.13), the potentials of the dressing chain are then related by

$$(2.16) \quad U_i - U_{i+1} = 2w'_i,$$

$$(2.17) \quad U_i + U_{i+1} = 2w_i^2 + 2\lambda_i.$$

It follows that if (2.13) holds with non-constant U_i , then the corresponding w_i, λ_i are determined uniquely by the potentials $U_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 2.5. We say that a sequence of Schrodinger operators $L_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ forms a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic factorization chain with shift $\Delta \in \mathbb{C}$ if in addition to (2.13) we also have

$$(2.18) \quad U_{i+2n+1} = U_i + \Delta, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proposition 2.6. Suppose that the Schrödinger operators $L_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a factorization chain with shift Δ . Then, the corresponding w_0, \dots, w_{2n} and

$$(2.19) \quad a_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n$$

form a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic Darboux dressing chain with shift Δ .

Proof. Eliminating the potentials in (2.14) and setting (2.19), we obtain the system of coupled equations

$$(w_i + w_{i+1})' + w_{i+1}^2 - w_i^2 = a_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}$$

whose form coincides with (2.3). Relation (2.18) implies that $w_{i+2n+1} = w_i$ and that $\lambda_{i+2n+1} = \lambda_i + \Delta$. The latter implies that $a_{i+2n+1} = a_i$ also. Hence the infinite chain of equations relating w_i, w_{i+1}, a_i closes onto the finite system (2.3). Since $\lambda_{2n+1} = \lambda_0 + \Delta$, from (2.19) it follows that (2.4) holds. \square

3. MAYA DIAGRAMS AND TRIVIAL MONODROMY POTENTIALS

In this Section we introduce the main elements and results needed for the classification of rational solutions of odd-cyclic dressing chains. In Section 4 we will prove the main characterization result, namely that all rational solutions of an odd-cyclic dressing chain can be expressed as log-derivatives of Wronskian determinants whose entries are Hermite polynomials. The basis for this proof lies in the theory of Schrödinger operators with trivial monodromy, for which we refer to the celebrated papers of Duistermaat and Grünbaum [18] and Oblomkov [45]. However, before we can state the main theorem we need to recall some basic definitions on Maya diagrams and Hermite pseudo-Wronskians, which will be the building blocks of all solutions.

3.1. Maya diagrams. Following Noumi [41], we define a Maya diagram in the following manner.

Definition 3.1. A Maya diagram is a set of integers $M \subset \mathbb{Z}$ that contains a finite number of positive integers, and excludes a finite number of negative integers.

Definition 3.2. Let $m_1 > m_2 > \dots$ be the elements of a Maya diagram M arranged in decreasing order. We define $s_M \in \mathbb{Z}$, the index of M , as the unique integer such that $m_i = -i + s_M$ for all i sufficiently large.

A Maya diagram can be visually represented as a sequence of \blacksquare and \square symbols with the filled symbol \blacksquare in position i indicating membership $i \in M$. A Maya diagram thus begins with an infinite sequence of filled \blacksquare and terminates with an infinite sequence of empty \square .

We next describe the various forms to label Maya diagrams.

Definition 3.3. Let M be a Maya diagram, and

$$M_- = \{-m - 1 : m \notin M, m < 0\}, \quad M_+ = \{m : m \in M, m \geq 0\}.$$

Let $s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_p$ and $t_1 > t_2 > \dots > t_q$ be the elements of M_- and M_+ arranged in descending order. The *Frobenius symbol* of M is defined as the double list $(s_1, \dots, s_p \mid t_q, \dots, t_1)$,

If a Maya diagram M has the Frobenius symbol $(s_1, \dots, s_p \mid t_q, \dots, t_1)$, its index is given by $s_M = q - p$. The classical Frobenius symbol [4, 5, 47] corresponds to the zero index case where $q = p$.

A natural operation in Maya diagrams is the following translation by an integer k

$$(3.1) \quad M + k = \{m + k : m \in M\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The behaviour of the index s_M under translation of k is given by

$$(3.2) \quad M' = M + k \quad \Rightarrow \quad s_{M'} = s_M + k.$$

A Maya diagram $M \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is said to be in standard form if $p = 0$ and $t_q > 0$. We visually recognize a Maya diagram in standard form when all the boxes to the left of the origin are filled \blacksquare and the first box to the right of the origin is empty \square . Following [29], to every Maya diagram we associate a polynomial called a Hermite pseudo-Wronskian.

Definition 3.4. Let M be a Maya diagram and $(s_1, \dots, s_r \mid t_q, \dots, t_1)$ its corresponding Frobenius symbol. We define the polynomial

$$(3.3) \quad H_M(z) = \exp(-rz^2) \operatorname{Wr}[\exp(z^2)\tilde{H}_{s_1}, \dots, \exp(z^2)\tilde{H}_{s_r}, H_{t_q}, \dots, H_{t_1}],$$

where Wr denotes the Wronskian determinant of the indicated functions, and

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{H}_n(z) = i^{-n} H_n(iz)$$

is the n^{th} degree conjugate Hermite polynomial. The polynomial nature of $H_M(z)$ becomes evident in the following determinantal representation

$$(3.5) \quad H_M(z) = \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{H}_{s_1} & \tilde{H}_{s_1+1} & \cdots & \tilde{H}_{s_1+r+q-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{H}_{s_r} & \tilde{H}_{s_r+1} & \cdots & \tilde{H}_{s_r+r+q-1} \\ H_{t_q} & D_z H_{t_q} & \cdots & D_z^{r+q-1} H_{t_q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ H_{t_1} & D_z H_{t_1} & \cdots & D_z^{r+q-1} H_{t_1} \end{vmatrix}$$

Proposition 3.5 (Theorem 1 in [29]). *For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the Hermite pseudo-Wronskians H_M and H_{M+k} coincide up to a multiplicative constant.*

In fact, with the following suitable rescaling of H_M

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{H}_M(z) = c_M H_M(z), \quad c_M = \frac{(-1)^{rq}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (2s_j - 2s_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (2t_i - 2t_j)},$$

we have

$$(3.7) \quad \hat{H}_M(z) = \hat{H}_{M+k}(z), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This property becomes useful if we observe that in every equivalence class of Maya diagrams related by translations, there is a unique representative where M is in standard form, whose associated H_M is a pure Wronskian determinant of Hermite polynomials. Although we could restrict the analysis without loss of generality to Maya diagrams in standard form and Wronskians of Hermite polynomials, we will employ the general notation as it brings conceptual clarity to the description of Maya cycles in Section 5.

To every Maya diagram M we can associate a potential with quadratic growth at infinity in the following manner

Definition 3.6. We define a *rational extension of the harmonic oscillator* as the Schrödinger operator

$$(3.8) \quad L_M = -D_{zz} + U_M(z),$$

$$(3.9) \quad U_M(z) = z^2 - 2D_z^2 \log H_M(z) + 2s_M,$$

where $H_M(z)$ is the associated pseudo-Wronskian (3.3)–(3.5), and $s_M \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the index of M .

Potentials U_M receive that name because they are the harmonic term plus a rational term that vanishes for large z . It is easy to give conditions on M for U_M to be regular on the real line, [1] or to count the number of real poles of the potential, [26].

Let us also note that the above pseudo-Wronskians are related to the τ -functions of the KP hierarchy and that the factorization chain is equivalent to a chain of Hirota bilinear relations. For more details, see the chapter by the present authors in [20].

3.2. Trivial monodromy.

Definition 3.7. A Schrödinger operator $L = -D_{zz} + U(z)$ has trivial monodromy at $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ if the general solution of the equation

$$L[\psi] = -\psi'' + U\psi = \lambda\psi$$

is meromorphic in a neighbourhood of ξ for all values of $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If L has trivial monodromy at every point $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ we say that L is monodromy-free.

Duistermaat and Grünbaum proved that the condition that L has trivial monodromy at $\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ is equivalent to certain restrictions on the coefficients of the Laurent series expansion of the potential.

Proposition 3.8 (Proposition 3.3 in [18]). *Let $U(z)$ be meromorphic in a neighbourhood of $z = \xi$ with Laurent expansion*

$$U(z) = \sum_{j \geq -2} c_j (z - \xi)^j, \quad c_{-2} \neq 0.$$

Then the Schrödinger operator $L = -D_{zz} + U(z)$ has trivial monodromy at $z = \xi$ if and only if there exists an integer $\nu \geq 1$ such that

$$(3.10) \quad c_{-2} = \nu(\nu + 1), \quad c_{2j-1} = 0, \quad 0 \leq j \leq \nu.$$

Oblokov classified monodromy-free potentials with quadratic growth at infinity, finding that they can all be obtained by a finite sequence of rational Darboux transformations applied on the harmonic oscillator.

Proposition 3.9 (Theorem 3 in [45]). *The rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator L_M (3.8) (3.9) have trivial monodromy. Conversely, if a Schrödinger operator $L = -D_{zz} + U(z)$ has trivial monodromy and the potential has quadratic growth at infinity, then, up to an additive constant, $U = U_M$ for some Maya digram M .*

We see thus that the class of monodromy-free potentials with quadratic growth at infinity coincides with the class of rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator given in Definition 3.7. To every Maya diagram M there corresponds a monodromy-free Schrödinger operator whose potential is a rational extension of the harmonic oscillator. The set of rational Darboux transformations preserves this class of operators. More specifically, a single step Darboux transformation (2.13) on a Schrödinger operator of the form (3.8)-(3.9) leads to another rational extension whose Maya diagram differs from the previous one by a single flip.

Definition 3.10. Given a Maya diagram M , we define the flip at position $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to be the involution

$$(3.11) \quad \phi_m : M \mapsto \begin{cases} M \cup \{m\}, & \text{if } m \notin M, \\ M \setminus \{m\}, & \text{if } m \in M. \end{cases}$$

In the first case, we say that ϕ_m acts on M by a state-deleting transformation ($\square \rightarrow \blacksquare$). In the second case, we say that ϕ_m acts by a state-adding transformation ($\blacksquare \rightarrow \square$).

Proposition 3.11 (Proposition 3.11 [15]). *Two Maya diagrams M, M' are related by a flip (3.11) if and only if their associated rational extensions $U_M, U_{M'}$, see (3.9), are connected by a Darboux transformation (2.16).*

Exceptional orthogonal polynomials are intimately related with Darboux transformations of Schrödinger operators, [25, 31]. In fact, the bound states of operators (3.8)-(3.9) essentially define exceptional Hermite polynomials, [27, 28, 30]. More generally, it will be useful to characterize the class of quasi-rational eigenfunctions of (3.8)-(3.9). We recall that $f(z)$ is *quasi-rational* if $(\log f)'$ is a rational function of z .

Proposition 3.12. *Up to a scalar multiple, every quasi-rational eigenfunction of the Schrödinger operator $L_M = -D_{zz} + U_M(z)$ with U_M as in (3.9) has the form*

$$(3.12) \quad \psi_{M,m} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma z^2\right) \frac{H_{\phi_m(M)}(z)}{H_M(z)}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } m \notin M, \\ +1, & \text{if } m \in M, \end{cases}$$

Explicitly, we have

$$(3.13) \quad L_M \psi_{M,m} = (2m+1)\psi_{M,m}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. We first prove that (3.12) implies (3.13). Consider a sequence of Maya diagrams M_0, \dots, M_n, M_{n+1} where $M_0 = \mathbb{Z}_-$ is the trivial Maya diagram,

$$M_n = M, \quad M_{n+1} = \phi_m(M),$$

and each Maya diagram

$$M_{i+1} = \phi_{\mu_i}(M_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

differs from the preceding one M_i by a single flip at position $\mu_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let

$$\sigma_i = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \mu_i \notin M_i \\ +1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The unique quasi-rational eigenfunctions [19] of the classical harmonic oscillator operator $L_0 = -D_{zz} + z^2$ are

$$L_0\psi_m = (2m + 1)\psi_m,$$

where

$$\psi_m(z) = \begin{cases} H_m(z)e^{-z^2/2} & \text{if } m \geq 0, \\ \tilde{H}_{-m}(z)e^{z^2/2} & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

A straightforward induction shows that $L_i = L_{M_i}$, $i = 0, \dots, n + 1$ is a factorization chain with the corresponding

$$(3.14) \quad w_i(z) = \sigma_i z + \frac{H'_{M_{i+1}}(z)}{H_{M_{i+1}}(z)} - \frac{H'_{M_i}(z)}{H_{M_i}(z)},$$

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda_i = 2\mu_i + 1.$$

Since w_n is the log-derivative of $\psi_{M,n}$, the eigenvalue relation (3.13) follows immediately.

Conversely, suppose that

$$L_M \hat{\psi} = \hat{\lambda} \hat{\psi},$$

and that $\hat{w}(z) = \log(\hat{\psi}(z))'$ is a rational function. As above, let $M_0, \dots, M_n = M$ be a sequence of Maya diagrams such that M_0 is trivial and $M_{i+1} = \phi_{\mu_i}(M_i)$, $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Let $L_0, \dots, L_n = L_M$ be the corresponding factorization chain of Schrödinger operators and $(w_i | a_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ as in (3.14)-(3.15) with $a_i = \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i$ be the corresponding dressing chain. We can extend the chain by setting $w_n = \hat{w}$ and $a_n = \hat{\lambda} - \lambda_n$. Let

$$(3.16) \quad \hat{w}_{n-1} = w_{n-1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_{n-1} + w_n}, \quad \hat{a}_{n-2} = a_{n-2} + a_{n-1},$$

and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & w'_{n-2} + \hat{w}'_{n-1} + \hat{w}_{n-1}^2 - w_{n-2}^2 \\ &= w'_{n-2} - \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_{n-1} + w_n} \frac{(w_{n-1} + w_n)'}{w_{n-1} + w_n} + \left(w_{n-1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_{n-1} + w_n} \right)^2 - w_{n-2}^2 \\ &= w'_{n-2} - \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_{n-1} + w_n} \left(w_{n-1} - w_n + \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_{n-1} + w_n} \right) + \left(w_{n-1} + \frac{a_{n-1}}{w_{n-1} + w_n} \right)^2 - w_{n-2}^2 \\ &= a_{n-1} + a_{n-2} = \hat{a}_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In this way we obtain a shorter dressing chain $w_0, \dots, w_{n-2}, \hat{w}_{n-1}$ where all of the components are rational functions. Continuing this argument inductively we arrive at a rational function $\tilde{w}(z)$ that satisfies the Ricatti equation

$$\tilde{w}' + \tilde{w}^2 = z^2 - \tilde{\lambda},$$

and is related to \hat{w} by a sequence of rational transformations (3.16). We conclude that $\tilde{w}(z)$ is the log-derivative of a quasi-rational eigenfunction of the classical harmonic oscillator L_0 , and hence either

$$\tilde{w} = -z + \frac{H'_m}{H_m}, \quad m \geq 0$$

or

$$\tilde{w} = z + \frac{\tilde{H}'_{-m}}{\tilde{H}_{-m}}, \quad m < 0.$$

Successively applying the inverse of the rational transformation (3.16) we conclude that

$$\hat{w} = \pm z + \frac{H'_{\phi_m(M)}}{H_{\phi_m(M)}} - \frac{H'_M}{H_M}.$$

Therefore, up to a non-zero scalar multiple the corresponding $\hat{\psi}$ must have the form (3.12). \square

Note that this characterization covers all quasi-rational eigenfunctions, not just the square integrable ones. For our purpose of classifying rational solutions to $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic dressing chains this is the relevant class, and square integrability of the eigenfunctions plays no role. Therefore, we employ the term *eigenfunction* in this formal sense, as solutions to the eigenvalue problem.

4. CHARACTERIZATION OF RATIONAL SOLUTIONS TO ODD-CYCLIC DRESSING CHAINS

In this section we state and prove the main result that allows the classification of rational solutions to the A_{2n} -Painlevé system, namely that all of them belong to the class of rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator. Most contents of this Section follow closely the results obtained by Veselov in [52], adapting the notation to our needs and providing further proofs for intermediate results where we found it necessary.

We start by proving that the only possible poles of w_i are simple, and growth at infinity is at most linear.

Proposition 4.1. *If $(w_0, \dots, w_{2n} | a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ is a rational solution of a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic dressing chain, then each function w_i necessarily has the form*

$$(4.1) \quad w_i = \pm az + b_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{a_{ij}}{z - \zeta_j}, \quad a, b_i, a_{ij}, \zeta_j \in \mathbb{C}, a \neq 0 \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n.$$

Proof. We can rewrite the dressing chain equations (2.3) as

$$f'_i + f_i d_i = \alpha_i, \quad f'_i \neq 0,$$

where

$$f_i = w_i + w_{i+1}, \quad d_i = w_i - w_{i+1}.$$

Hence, we can write

$$(4.2) \quad 2w_i = f_i - \frac{f'_i}{f_i} + \frac{\alpha_i}{f_i}$$

$$(4.3) \quad 2w_{i+1} = f_i + \frac{f'_i}{f_i} - \frac{\alpha_i}{f_i}.$$

Suppose that each w_i in the chain has the following behaviour for large z

$$w_i = a_i z^{k_i} + O(z^{k_i-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad a_i \neq 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Our first claim is that $k_i \geq 0$ for all i . This follows by inspection of (4.2). Our second claim is that that $k_{i+1} = k_i$ for all i . If $k_i = 0$ for every i , then the claim follows trivially. Suppose then that $k_i > 0$ for at least one i . By (4.2), it is clear that as $z \rightarrow \infty$ either

$$f_i = \frac{1}{2} a_i z^{k_i} + O(z^{k_i-1}),$$

or

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{f_i} = \frac{1}{2}a_i z^{k_i} + O(z^{k_i-1}), \quad \alpha_i \neq 0.$$

In any of the two cases we have

$$(4.4) \quad w_{i+1} = \pm a_i z^{k_i} + O(z^{k_i-1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty,$$

thereby proving the claim. Our third claim is that $k_i = 1$ for all i . This follows because the sum in (2.5) involves an odd number of terms. Finally, we conclude that $a_{i+1} = \pm a_i$ by (4.4).

Let ζ_j , $j = 1, \dots, N$ be the poles of w_1, \dots, w_n . Fix a j and write the Laurent expansion

$$w_i = a_{ij}(z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i} + O((z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i+1}), \quad z \rightarrow \zeta_j, \quad a_{ij} \neq 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

We claim that $\ell_i \leq 1$ for all i . Suppose not and that $\ell_i \geq 2$ for some i . By (4.2), as $z \rightarrow \zeta_j$ either

$$f_i = \frac{1}{2}a_{ij}(z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i} + O((z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i+1}),$$

or

$$\frac{\alpha_i}{f_i} = \frac{1}{2}a_{ij}(z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i} + O((z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i+1}), \quad \alpha_i \neq 0.$$

In both cases,

$$w_{i+1} = \pm a_{ij}(z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i} + O((z - \zeta_j)^{-\ell_i+1}), \quad z \rightarrow \zeta_j.$$

Thus, $\ell_{i+1} = \ell_i$ and $a_{i+1,j} = \pm a_{i,j}$ for every i . Since the sum in (2.5) involves an odd number of terms, this leads to a contradiction. \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $(w_0, \dots, w_{2n} | a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ be a rational solution of a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic dressing chain and let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ be a pole of some function w_i in the chain. Then we have*

$$(4.5) \quad \text{Res}_\zeta w_i^2 = 0, \quad \text{Res}_\zeta w_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad |\text{Res}_\zeta w_i| \leq n.$$

We need to show that if $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ is a pole of w_i , then

$$(4.6) \quad w_i = m_i(z - \zeta)^{-1} + O(z - \zeta), \quad z \rightarrow \zeta, \quad m_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

By Proposition 4.1, ζ is a simple pole of w_i so the local behaviour of w_i near ζ is

$$(4.7) \quad w_i = a_i(z - \zeta)^{-1} + b_i + O(z - \zeta), \quad z \rightarrow \zeta, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n.$$

Inserting the above expansion for w_i in the equations of the dressing chain (2.3) and collecting the leading order terms at $(z - \zeta)^{-2}$ and $(z - \zeta)^{-1}$ we obtain the relations

$$(4.8) \quad -(a_i + a_{i+1}) + a_{i+1}^2 - a_i^2 = 0,$$

$$(4.9) \quad a_{i+1}b_{i+1} - a_i b_i = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n \pmod{2n+1}.$$

These equations, together with the constraints on $\{a_i, b_i\}$, $i = 0, \dots, 2n$ derived from the closure condition (2.5) are enough to prove the desired claim, which proceeds by deriving three chained lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Let ζ be a simple pole of a rational function in a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic dressing chain as per (4.7), and let $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{2n}$ be the sequence of residues of w_i at ζ as per (4.7). For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n + 1$, there exists a $k_i \in \{1, \dots, 2i\}$ such that*

$$(4.10) \quad a_i = (-1)^{k_i} a_0 + k_i - i.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on i . By (4.8) we have

$$(4.11) \quad a_{i+1} = -a_i, \quad \text{or} \quad a_{i+1} = a_i + 1.$$

For $i = 0$, the first case corresponds to $k_1 = 1$, and second case to $k_1 = 2$. Suppose (4.10) holds for a given i . Hence,

$$a_{i+1} = (-1)^{k_i+1} a_0 - k_i + i, \quad \text{or} \quad a_{i+1} = (-1)^{k_i} a_0 + k_i - i + 1.$$

The first possibility corresponds to

$$k_{i+1} = -k_i + 2i + 1,$$

and the second possibility corresponds to

$$k_{i+1} = k_i + 2,$$

but in both cases $k_{i+1} \in \{1, \dots, 2(i+1)\}$, thus establishing the claim. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let ζ be a simple pole of a rational function w_i that solves a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain. Then the residue a_i at ζ must be an integer $a_i \in \{-n, \dots, n\}$.*

Proof. The result follows trivially from the previous lemma and the closure condition. Indeed, Lemma 4.4 for $i = 2n+1$ reads

$$a_{2n+1} = a_0 = (-1)^{k_{2n+1}} a_0 + k_{2n+1} - (2n+1).$$

The closure condition $a_{2n+1} = a_0$ implies that the second possibility in (4.11) occurs an even number of times and the first possibility an odd number of times. Since $k_0 = 0$, we see that k_{2n+1} must be an odd number, and therefore we can write $k_{2n+1} = 2j+1$ with $j \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$. Hence,

$$2a_0 = k_{2n+1} - (2n+1) = 2(j-n),$$

which proves the claim for the residue a_0 . The result extends from a_0 to any a_i in the chain by cyclicity. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let ζ be a simple pole of a rational function in a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain as per (4.7), and let $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{2n}$ be the sequence of residues of w_i at ζ as per (4.7). Then $a_i = 0$ for some $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and suppose that $\text{sgn } a_i \in \{-1, 1\}$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$. Hence,

$$\text{sgn } a_{i+1} = \pm \text{sgn } a_i.$$

From the cyclic condition $a_{2n+1} = a_0$, it follows that in the set $i \in \{0, \dots, 2n\}$ there must be an even number of indices such that $\text{sgn } a_{i+1} = -\text{sgn } a_i$, and therefore an odd number of indices such that

$$|a_{i+1}| = |a_i| \pm 1.$$

It follows that $|a_{2n+1}| - |a_0|$ is an odd integer, which is a contradiction. \square

The previous lemma implies that it is impossible that all the rational functions in the dressing chain have a common pole. We are now ready to conclude the proof of Proposition 4.2.

Proof of Proposition 4.2. From Lemma 4.5 it follows that $a_i b_i = 0$ for at least one $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$. Hence, by (4.9), $a_i b_i = 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n$; i.e. either $a_i = 0$ or $b_i = 0$ for every i . Relative to form (4.7), this is equivalent to the condition that $\text{Res}_\zeta w_i^2 = 0$. The integrality and bounds on the possible values of the residues a_i follow from Lemma 4.4. \square

By Proposition 4.2, the expansion (4.6) holds at every pole $z = \zeta$ of a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic factorization chain. In particular the residue $m_i = \text{Res}_\zeta w_i$ is an integer and $|m_i| \leq n$. The conclusions of that proposition can be strengthened in the following manner.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $(w_0, \dots, w_{2n} | a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ be a rational solution of a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic dressing chain. Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$ be a pole of a function w_i in the chain and $m_i = \text{Res}_\zeta w_i$. Then we have*

$$(4.12) \quad \text{Res}_\zeta w_i^{2j} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, |m_i|.$$

In a similar manner, we structure the proof of this result in three simple lemmas. Consider the local expansion of w_i around the pole at $z = \zeta$, which according to Proposition 4.2 has the form

$$(4.13) \quad w_i = m_i(z - \zeta)^{-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{ij}(z - \zeta)^j,$$

where $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an integer.

Lemma 4.7. *Let S be the set of residues of all functions of the chain at $z = \zeta$:*

$$S = \{m_i : i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n\}.$$

Then, we have $-S = S$.

Proof. Consider an arbitrary $m_i \in S$. If $m_i = 0$, then evidently $-m_i \in S$. Suppose that $m_i > 0$. If $m_{i+1} = -m_i$, we are done. Otherwise let $k > 0$ be the largest integer such that

$$|m_{i+1}|, \dots, |m_{i+k}| > m_i.$$

Such a k must exist because of cyclicity. By (4.11),

$$|m_{i+k+1}| - |m_{i+k}| \in \{-1, 0, 1\}.$$

Since k is as large as possible, $|m_{i+k+1}| = m_i$. Suppose that $m_{i+k+1} = m_i$. That would mean from (4.11) that either $m_{i+k} = m_i - 1$ or $m_{i+k} = -m_i$, both of which contradict the hypothesis that $|m_{i+k}| > m_i$. Therefore $m_{i+k+1} = -m_i$, and $-m_i \in S$ also. The case $m_i < 0$ is proved analogously. \square

Lemma 4.8. *If $k \in S$ is positive, then $k - 1 \in S$ also.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction and suppose that there exists a $k > 0$ such that $k \in S$ but $k - 1 \notin S$. By Lemma 4.7, $1 - k \notin S$ also. From (4.11) we have $|m_{i+1}| - |m_i| \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ so it would follow that $|m_i| \geq k$ for all $i = 0, \dots, 2n$, which contradicts Lemma 4.5. \square

Lemma 4.9. *Let $m = \max \{m_i\}_{i=0}^{2n}$. Then,*

$$S = \{-m, -m + 1, \dots, m - 1, m\}.$$

Proof. This follows directly from Lemmas 4.7 and 4.8. \square

We see that the set of residues at a given pole $z = \zeta$ along the chain contains all integer values between $-m$ and m , with $m \leq n$. We are now ready to prove Proposition 4.6.

Proof of Proposition 4.6. Given the expansion in (4.13), the claim (4.12) is equivalent to showing that

$$b_{i,2j-2} = 0, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, |m_i|.$$

The argument proceeds by induction on j . Proposition 4.2 established (4.12) for $j = 1$. Suppose that (4.12) holds for all $j \leq k$ for a given $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $|m_i| > k$. We will show that $b_{i,2k} = 0$.

Let $p < i$ be the largest integer such that $m_p = k$ and $q > i$ be the smallest integer such that $m_q = -k$. If $k < |m_i|$, such p, q are guaranteed to exist by Lemma 4.9. Thus, by construction

$$(4.14) \quad |m_\ell| \geq k + 1, \quad \ell = p + 1, \dots, i, \dots, q - 1.$$

By the inductive assumption

$$b_{i,2j-2} = 0, \quad i = p, \dots, q, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Hence, the vanishing of the coefficient of z^{2k-1} in (2.3), implies that

$$(4.15) \quad (k + m_{\ell+1})b_{\ell+1,2k} + (k - m_\ell)b_{\ell,2k} = 0, \quad \ell = p, \dots, q - 1.$$

Since $k + m_q = 0$ and $k - m_p = 0$, from (4.14) and (4.15) it follows that

$$b_{q-1,2k} = b_{p+1,2k} = 0.$$

which in turn imply by cascade that

$$b_{\ell,2k} = 0 \text{ for all } \ell = p + 1, \dots, i \dots q - 1,$$

and in particular $b_{i,2k} = 0$ as was to be shown. \square

Definition 4.10. Given two sets A and B we define its *symmetric difference* as the union of the set of elements of A that are not in B with the set of elements of B that are not in A

$$(4.16) \quad A \ominus B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A).$$

The characterization of rational solutions of the A_{2n} system can be done in terms of Maya diagrams and Maya cycles, a new concept that we introduce below.

Definition 4.11. A (p, k) *Maya cycle* is a sequence of Maya diagrams $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, M_1, \dots, M_p)$ such that M_i is related to M_{i+1} by a single flip, and such that $M_p = M_0 + k$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. The sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ where

$$(4.17) \quad \{\mu_i\} = M_{i+1} \ominus M_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, p - 1$$

will be called the *flip sequence* of the Maya cycle, because, by construction, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is the unique sequence such that

$$M_{i+1} = \phi_{\mu_i}(M_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, p - 1.$$

For each $i = 0, \dots, p - 1$ we also set

$$(4.18) \quad \sigma_i = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } \mu_i \notin M_i, \\ +1, & \text{if } \mu_i \in M_i, \end{cases}$$

and refer to the sequence $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{p-1})$ as the *sign sequence* of the Maya cycle.

Observe that if \mathbf{M} is a Maya cycle, then so is

$$(4.19) \quad \mathbf{M} + j = (M_0 + j, M_1 + j, \dots, M_p + j), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We use \mathbf{M}/\mathbb{Z} to denote the equivalence class of a Maya cycle \mathbf{M} modulo integer translations.

We are now able to formulate the main theorem of this Section that characterizes rational solutions of an odd-cyclic dressing chain, and therefore rational solutions of the A_{2n} system.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_{2n+1})$ be a $(2n+1, k)$ Maya cycle with flip sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$ and sign sequence $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{2n}) \in \{-1, 1\}^{2n+1}$. For $i = 0, \dots, 2n$, set*

$$(4.20) \quad w_i(z) = \sigma_i z + \frac{H'_{M_{i+1}}(z)}{H_{M_{i+1}}(z)} - \frac{H'_{M_i}(z)}{H_{M_i}(z)},$$

$$(4.21) \quad a_i = 2(\mu_i - \mu_{i+1}), \quad \mu_{2n+1} = \mu_0 + k,$$

where $H_{M_i}(z)$ and $H_{M_{i+1}}(z)$ are the corresponding Hermite pseudo-Wronskians (3.5). Then, $(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a}) = (w_0, \dots, w_{2n}|a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ is a rational solution of a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain with shift $\Delta = 2k$. Conversely, every rational solution $(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a})$ of a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain is determined in this fashion by a unique Maya cycle class \mathbf{M}/\mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Let \mathbf{M} be a $(2n+1, k)$ Maya cycle and

$$L_i = L_{M_i} = -D_{zz} + U_i(z), \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n+1,$$

the corresponding sequence of rational extensions defined by (3.8) (3.9). The cyclicity condition $M_{2n+1} = M_0 + k$, together with (3.2), (3.7), and (3.9) imply that

$$U_{2n+1} = U_0 + 2k,$$

so the sequence L_0, \dots, L_{2n+1} is factorization chain with shift $\Delta = 2k$. Using definition (3.12), set

$$\psi_i = \psi_{M_i, \mu_i}, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n,$$

so that

$$L_i \psi_i = (2\mu_i + 1)\psi_i.$$

Let w_i, a_i , $i = 0, \dots, 2n$ be defined by (4.20) (4.21). Then, by Proposition 2.6 and by Proposition 3.12, the tuple $(w_0, \dots, w_{2n}|a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ is a rational solution of a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain with shift $\Delta = 2k$.

We now prove the converse statement. We first show that the conditions satisfied by each rational solution w_i at a pole ζ , as expressed by Propositions 4.2 and 4.6 are precisely the conditions that express local trivial monodromy of the corresponding potential U_j . Denote by w any of the rational functions of a tuple $(w_0, \dots, w_{2n}|a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ that satisfies a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain and let ζ be a pole of w . By Propositions 4.2 and 4.6, the Laurent expansion of w at $z = \zeta$ is

$$(4.22) \quad w = \sum_{j=-1}^{\infty} b_j (z - \zeta)^j, \quad b_{-1} = m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad b_{2j} = 0 \text{ for } j = 0, \dots, |m| - 1.$$

Since $U = w' + w^2 + \lambda$ by (2.14), the Laurent expansion at ζ of U is:

$$U = \sum_{j \geq -2} c_j (z - \zeta)^j$$

where

$$c_{-2} = m(m-1), \quad c_{-1} = 2mb_0, \quad c_0 = b_0^2 + (2m+1)b_0 + \lambda$$

and

$$(4.23) \quad c_{2j-1} = 2jb_{2j} + 2 \sum_{i=-1}^{j-1} b_i b_{2j-i-1}, \quad j \geq 1$$

$$(4.24) \quad c_{2j} = (2j+1)b_{2j+1} + b_j^2 + 2 \sum_{i=-1}^{j-1} b_i b_{2j-i-1}, \quad j \geq 1$$

By Proposition 3.8, U has trivial monodromy at $z = \zeta$ if and only if there exists an integer $\nu \geq 1$ such that (3.10) holds. Depending on the sign of m , we choose ν as

$$\nu = \begin{cases} -m & \text{if } m < 0, \\ m - 1 & \text{if } m > 0, \end{cases}$$

Note that if w has pole at $z = \zeta$ with residue $m = -1$ or $m = 0$, the potential U is regular in a neighbourhood of ζ . For other integer values of m , the conditions (4.22) on the even coefficients of w imply that the precise number of odd coefficients (4.23) of U vanish, as required by Proposition 3.8. We conclude that U has trivial monodromy at $z = \zeta$, and since ζ is arbitrary, U is a monodromy-free potential. From Proposition 4.1 and (2.14) it follows that U is a monodromy-free potential with quadratic growth at infinity, so Proposition 3.9 implies that U is a rational extension of the harmonic oscillator, i.e. it has the form (3.9) for some Maya diagram M . Recalling that w is the log-derivative of the seed function for the Darboux transformation (see (2.15)), and that all quasi-rational seed functions of potentials (3.9) are characterized by Proposition 3.12, it suffices to take the log-derivative of (3.12) to achieve the desired result (4.20). This argument was applied on an arbitrary element of the dressing chain, and therefore it applies to all such elements.

The cyclicity of the dressing chain implies that the corresponding Schrödinger operators in the factorization chain given by Proposition 2.6 are rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator (3.8)-(3.9), and the closure condition (2.18) defines a Maya cycle $\mathbf{M} = (M_0 \dots, M_{2n}, M_{2n+1})$ where $M_{2n+1} = M_0 + k$. From Proposition 6.7 we see that all Maya cycles in the equivalence class \mathbf{M}/\mathbb{Z} lead to the same rational solution. \square

5. CYCLIC MAYA DIAGRAMS

In Section 4 we saw that the rational solutions to a $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing chain must be rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator and they are indexed by Maya diagrams. More specifically, components of the solution have the form (4.20) and are essentially determined by two Maya diagrams connected by a flip operation (or equivalently, by two potentials related by a Darboux transformation). The periodicity of the dressing chain thus translates into a cyclicity condition on the Maya diagrams. A sequence of flip operations encodes multi-step Darboux transformations (also called Crum transformation [17]) at the level of Maya diagrams

Definition 5.1. Let $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}^p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ denote set of integer sets of cardinality p , and let \mathcal{Z}^p denote the set of integer multisets of cardinality p . We identify a set $\beta \in \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^p$ with the strictly increasing integer sequence $\beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_{p-1}$ that enumerates β , and identify a multi-set $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}^p$ with a non-decreasing integer sequence $\beta_0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_{p-1}$. In this way, we regard $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}^p$ as a subset of \mathcal{Z}^p .

We will use curly braces to denote both sets and multi-sets, letting the context resolve the ambiguity, and round parentheses to denote sequences/tuples.

Definition 5.2. For a set $\beta \in \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^p$ let ϕ_β denote the *multi-flip*

$$(5.1) \quad \phi_\beta = \phi_{\beta_0} \circ \cdots \circ \phi_{\beta_{p-1}}.$$

where the action of each single flip on a Maya diagram M is given by (3.11).

We also use relation (5.1) to define ϕ_β where $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}^p$ is a multiset. Since flips are involutions, we have

$$\phi_\beta = \phi_{\beta'}, \quad \beta \in \mathcal{Z}^p$$

where β' is the set consisting of the elements of β with an odd cardinality.

Definition 5.3. A Maya diagram M is p -cyclic with shift k , or simply (p, k) -cyclic, if there exists a multi-flip ϕ_β , $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}^p$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad \phi_\beta(M) = M + k.$$

More generally, a Maya diagram M will be said to be p -cyclic if it is (p, k) -cyclic for some shift $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a fixed shift k , every Maya diagram M is (p, k) -cyclic for some value of the period p (Proposition 5.3 in [15]). However, the relevant problem we need to address is the converse: that of enumerating and classifying all cyclic Maya diagrams for a fixed odd period $p = 2n + 1$.

The desired classification for cyclic Maya diagrams of a fixed period can be achieved by employing the key concepts of *genus* and *interlacing*. The genus of a Maya diagram counts essentially the number of blocks of filled boxes \blacksquare in the finite part of M , and the initial and ending position of each block are called the *block coordinates*. Specifying its block coordinates determines a Maya diagram uniquely, and this will be the most convenient representation for our purpose of classifying cyclic Maya diagrams. Let us make all these notions more precise.

Definition 5.4. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}^{2\ell+1}$ be an integer multiset of odd cardinality with non-decreasing enumeration $\beta_0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \cdots \leq \beta_{2\ell}$. Let $\Xi(\beta)$ be the Maya diagram defined by

$$(5.3) \quad \Xi(\beta) = (-\infty, \beta_0) \cup [\beta_1, \beta_2) \cup \cdots \cup [\beta_{2\ell-1}, \beta_{2\ell}),$$

where

$$(5.4) \quad [m, n) = \{j \in \mathbb{Z} : m \leq j < n\}.$$

We refer to the set β as the *block coordinates* of the Maya diagram $M = \Xi(\beta)$.

It is important to note that if β has repeated elements then the same Maya diagram admits a representation in terms of a smaller number of block coordinates. We make this notion precise in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. *For every Maya diagram M , there exists a unique $g \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and a unique set $\beta \in \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^{2g+1}$ such that $M = \Xi(\beta)$.*

Proof. Every Maya diagram M has a unique description

$$M = (-\infty, \beta_0) \cup [\beta_1, \beta_2) \cup \cdots \cup [\beta_{2g-1}, \beta_{2g})$$

where $\beta_0 < \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_{2g}$ is an increasing integer sequence. Observe that $\beta = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2g}\}$ is precisely the set of integers that are in M but are not in $M + 1$ and viceversa. Thus, the desired set $\beta \in \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^{2g+1}$ can be given as

$$(5.5) \quad \beta = (M + 1) \ominus M,$$

where \ominus denotes the symmetric set difference defined in (4.16). \square

Given a multiset $\beta' = \{\beta_0^{n_0}, \dots, \beta_p^{n_p}\} \in \mathcal{Z}^{2\ell+1}$ with elements β_i and multiplicities $n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that $n_0 + \dots + n_p = 2\ell + 1$, the corresponding set of block coordinates described by Proposition 5.5 is given by

$$\beta = \{\beta_0^{m_0}, \dots, \beta_p^{m_p}\} \in \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^{2g+1}, \quad \text{where } m_i = n_i \bmod (2), \quad i = 0, \dots, p,$$

and $m_0 + \dots + m_p = 2g + 1$. It is clear from (5.3) that $\Xi(\beta') = \Xi(\beta)$.

Definition 5.6. Given a Maya diagram M , let β be the set of cardinality $2g + 1$, $g \in \mathbb{N}_0$ described in the above Proposition. We say that g is the *genus* of M .

Proposition 5.7. A genus g Maya diagram is $(2g + 1, 1)$ -cyclic.

Proof. As a direct consequence of (5.5) we have

$$\phi_\beta(M) = M + 1. \quad \square$$

Let \mathcal{Z}^{odd} denote the set of multisets of odd cardinality, and let $\hat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{odd}}$ denote the set of sets of odd cardinality. The mapping (5.3) defines a bijection $\Xi : \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, which maps cardinality to genus. A multi-set $\beta \in \mathcal{Z}^{(2g+1)}$ corresponds to a non-decreasing sequence $\beta_0 \leq \beta_1 \leq \dots \leq \beta_{2g}$ and can also be used to define a Maya diagram using (5.3). However, if β has some repeated elements, then some of the blocks in (5.3) will coalesce and result in a Maya diagram whose genus is strictly smaller than g . This observation may be encapsulated by saying that the extended mapping $\Xi : \mathcal{Z}^{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is onto, but not one-to-one, and that the cardinality of the multiset dominates the genus of the corresponding Maya diagram.

The visual explanation of the genus concept is clear in Figure 5.1. Removing the infinite initial \blacksquare and trailing \square segments, a Maya diagram consists of alternating empty \square and filled \blacksquare segments of finite variable length. The genus g counts the number of such pairs. The block coordinates β_{2i} indicate the starting positions of the empty segments, and β_{2i+1} signal the starting positions of the filled segments. Finally, note that M is in standard form if and only if $\beta_0 = 0$.

With the well known correspondence between Maya diagrams and partitions, it is worth noting that the genus of a Maya diagram coincides with the number of distinct parts of the partition, [15]. This feature has been studied earlier in [3], in connection with some identities in the theory of q -series.




FIGURE 5.1. Block coordinates $\beta = \{2, 3, 5, 7, 10\}$ of a genus 2 Maya diagram.

5.1. Modular decomposition and colouring. We have just seen how to characterize cyclic Maya diagrams $M \in \mathcal{M}_g$ with shift $k = 1$: one needs $2g+1$ flips given by the block coordinates of M . The following dual notions of *interlacing* and *modular decomposition* allow us to leverage this result to arbitrary shifts k . Note that, due to the reversal symmetry (2.6), we can restrict the analysis to positive shifts $k > 0$ without loss of generality.

The concept of block coordinates from Definition 5.4 can be extended naturally to Maya diagrams expressed as the interlacing of k Maya diagrams $M^{(0)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)}$. To describe M using the block coordinates of each $M^{(j)}$, $j = 0, \dots, k-1$, we introduce the notion of coloured multisets. In the following section we will extend this idea to the notion of coloured sequences, which will furnish us with a combinatorial representation of rational solutions compatible with the action of the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$.

Definition 5.8. Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be sets of integers. We define the *interlacing of k sets* to be the set

$$(5.6) \quad \Theta_k \left(M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)} \right) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} (kM^{(i)} + i),$$

where

$$kM + j = \{km + j : m \in M\}, \quad M \subset \mathbb{Z}.$$

Conversely, given a set of integers $M \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and a positive integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define the *k -modular decomposition* of M as the k -tuple of sets $(M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)})$, where

$$M^{(i)} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : km + i \in M\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1.$$

These operations are clearly the inverse of each other, in the sense that $(M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)})$ is the k -modular decomposition of M if and only if $M = \Theta_k (M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)})$.

Although interlacing and modular decomposition apply to general sets, they have a well defined restriction to Maya diagrams. Indeed, if $M = \Theta_k (M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)})$ and M is a Maya diagram, then $M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)}$ are also Maya diagrams. The converse is also true.

The notions of interlacing and modular decomposition also apply to the setting of finite integer multisets. Let $A \sqcup B$ denote the disjoint union of multisets A, B ; i.e. \sqcup is the operation that adds element multiplicities. It is clear that if $\gamma^{(i)} \in \mathcal{Z}^{p_i}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$, then

$$(5.7) \quad \gamma^{[k]} = \Theta_k(\gamma^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma^{(k-1)}) = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{k-1} (k\gamma^{(i)} + i),$$

is an integer multiset of cardinality

$$p = p_0 + \dots + p_{k-1},$$

and that $(\gamma^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma^{(k-1)})$ serve as the k -modular decomposition of $\gamma^{[k]}$.

It should be noted that modular decompositions of Maya diagrams have been considered previously by Noumi (Proposition 7.12 in [41]), although in a slightly different context: that of studying the effect of Bäcklund transformations on Maya diagrams. We shall address this matter further in Section 7. After introducing the notions of genus and interlacing, we recall without proof the main result to characterize cyclic Maya diagrams.

Proposition 5.9 (Theorem 4.8 in [15]). *Consider an arbitrary Maya diagram M , let $M = \Theta_k(M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, \dots, M^{(k-1)})$ be its k -modular decomposition, and g_i the genus of $M^{(i)}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$. Then, M is (p, k) -cyclic where*

$$(5.8) \quad p = p_0 + p_1 + \dots + p_{k-1}, \quad p_i = 2g_i + 1.$$

The proof of this Proposition essentially states that a shift of M by k can only be done if each of the $M^{(i)}$ is shifted by one, for which precisely p flip operations at locations (5.7) are needed [15]. Proposition 5.9 establishes a link between the shift k , the period p and the genera of the Maya diagrams that form the k -modular decomposition of M . Applying this Proposition for a fixed period $p = 2n + 1$, one can enumerate all possible cyclic Maya diagrams with that period, so it is a key element towards the full classification of rational solutions to the dressing chain. From (2.6) and (5.8) we see the only possible values of the shift Δ for an odd-cyclic dressing chain.

Corollary 5.10. *For a fixed period $p = 2n + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic Maya diagrams with shifts $k = \pm(2n + 1), \pm(2n - 1), \dots, 1$, and no other shifts are possible.*

Remark 5.11. The highest shift $k = p$ corresponds to the interlacing of p trivial (genus 0) Maya diagrams. This class of solutions has been described already by Tsuda [49], where the interlacing of p genus-0 Maya diagrams correspond to p -reduced partitions. For $p = 3$, these solutions are known as Okamoto polynomials, so in general the highest shift $k = 2n + 1$ dressing chains generalize the Okamoto class.

We now introduce the notion of colouring, a useful visual representation of modular decomposition. Colouring also plays an essential role in the formulation of the classification results that follow.

Definition 5.12. A k -coloured multiset is the assignment of one of k colours to the elements of a given integer multiset. Formally, we represent a k -coloured multiset by $\gamma = \{(\gamma_i, C_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ where $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $C_i \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$ is the ‘‘colour’’ of the i -th element. A k -coloured multiset defines the following multiset decomposition

$$(5.9) \quad \gamma = \gamma^{(0)} \sqcup \dots \sqcup \gamma^{(k-1)},$$

where $\gamma^{(j)}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ is the sub-multiset of elements having colour j . We use \mathcal{Z}_k^p to denote the set of all k -coloured multisets of cardinality p . Let p_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ denote the cardinality of $\gamma^{(j)}$. We will call the sequence $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, \dots, p_{k-1})$ the signature of γ . Observe that, by definition, \mathbf{p} serves as a composition of p , namely $p = p_0 + \dots + p_{k-1}$.

We may now express the interlacing operator Θ_k defined in (5.7) as the bijection $\Theta_k: \mathcal{Z}_k^p \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}^p$ with action given by (5.7)

Definition 5.13. Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let M be a Maya diagram. We refer to

$$(5.10) \quad \gamma^{[k]} = (M + k) \ominus M$$

as the k^{th} order flip set of M . We will call $\gamma = \Theta_k^{-1}(\gamma^{[k]})$ the k^{th} order block coordinates of M , and refer to the corresponding $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, \dots, p_{k-1})$ as the k^{th} order signature of M .

Observe that (5.10) entails

$$(5.11) \quad \phi_{\gamma^{[k]}}(M) = M + k$$

Thus, the k^{th} order flip set $\gamma^{[k]}$ is the minimum set of flips that turns M into $M + k$. This set coincides with the block coordinates β of M when $k = 1$, but otherwise the two sets are different.

Definition 5.14. We say that $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_k^p$ is an oddly coloured multiset if the entries of the corresponding signature \mathbf{p} are odd, that is if each colour occurs an odd number of times¹. We say that $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_k^p$ is a k -coloured set if the corresponding $\gamma^{[k]} = \Theta_k(\gamma, C)$ is a set, or equivalently if each of the $\gamma^{(j)}$ in the decomposition (5.9) do not contain repeated elements.

Note that for a given oddly coloured multiset $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_k^p$, $\gamma^{[k]} \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ defined by (5.7) is in general a multi-set such that (5.11) holds. However, the k^{th} order flip set defined by (5.10) is always a set as it contains no repeated integers.

Proposition 5.15 (Proposition 4.13 in [15]). *Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For every Maya diagram M , the corresponding k^{th} order block coordinates are an oddly k -coloured set. Conversely, for an oddly coloured multiset $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_k^p$, define*

$$(5.12) \quad \Xi_k(\gamma) = \Theta_k(\Xi(\gamma^{(0)}), \dots, \Xi(\gamma^{(k-1)})).$$

Then, $M = \Xi_k(\gamma)$ is a (p, k) -cyclic Maya diagram.

Proof. Let M be a Maya diagram and $\gamma^{[k]} = (M + k) \ominus M$ its k^{th} order flip set. Set $\gamma = \Theta_k^{-1}(\gamma^{[k]})$ and observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\gamma^{[k]}}(M) &= M + k \\ &= \Theta_k(\phi_{\gamma^{(0)}} M^{(0)}, \dots, \phi_{\gamma^{(k-1)}} M^{(k-1)}) \\ &= \Theta_k(M^{(0)} + 1, \dots, M^{(k-1)} + 1) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$M^{(j)} = \Xi(\gamma^{(j)}), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z},$$

and hence that each $\gamma^{(j)}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ has odd cardinality.

We turn to the proof of the converse. Suppose that $\gamma \in \mathcal{Z}_k^p$ is an oddly coloured multiset, and let $M = \Xi_k(\gamma)$. Set $\gamma^{[k]} = \Theta_k(\gamma)$ and observe that, by construction, $\phi_{\gamma^{[k]}}(M) = M + k$. This proves the second assertion. \square

Example 5.16. Figure 5.2 provides a visual interpretation of the modular decomposition of a Maya diagram M into Maya diagrams $M^{(0)}, M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}$ of genus 1, 2, 0, respectively. Each of these Maya diagrams is dilated by a factor of 3, shifted by one unit with respect to the previous one and superimposed.

The block coordinates of each of the three diagrams are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^{(0)} &= \{0, 1, 4\}, & M^{(0)} &= \Xi(\gamma^{(0)}) = (-\infty, 0) \cup [1, 4) \\ \gamma^{(1)} &= \{-1, 1, 3, 5, 6\}, & M^{(1)} &= \Xi(\gamma^{(1)}) = (-\infty, -1) \cup [1, 3) \cup [5, 6) \\ \gamma^{(2)} &= \{5\}, & M^{(2)} &= \Xi(\gamma^{(2)}) = (-\infty, 5) \end{aligned}$$

The set of colours is $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2\}$. The interlacing of these three Maya diagrams is described by the 3rd order block coordinates

$$\gamma = \gamma^{(0)} \sqcup \gamma^{(1)} \sqcup \gamma^{(2)} = \{0, 1, 4, -1, 1, 3, 5, 6, 5\},$$

¹If p is odd, then the number of colours k in an odd colouring must also be odd.

which form a 3-coloured set of cardinality $p = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 = 3 + 5 + 1 = 9$. The signature is therefore $\mathbf{p} = (3, 5, 1)$. The 3rd order flip set is given by

$$\gamma^{[3]} = \Theta_3(\{0, 1, 4, -1, 1, 3, 5, 6, 5\}) = \{0, 3, 12, -2, 4, 10, 16, 19, 17\} \in \mathcal{Z}^9$$

It is straightforward to verify in this example that (5.11) holds. Note that the interlaced diagram M has genus 5 and its block coordinates β are given by

$$\beta = \{-2, -1, 0, 2, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17\} \in \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^{11}$$

In general, there are no simple expressions to derive β from $\gamma^{(i)}$ or to connect the genera g_i of the coloured Maya diagrams $M^{(i)}$ with the genus g of the resulting interlaced Maya diagram M . However, the block coordinates β of interlaced Maya diagrams M do not play any significant role in the construction of Maya cycles and rational solutions.




FIGURE 5.2. Interlacing of three Maya diagrams with genus 1, 2 and 0

6. CLASSIFICATION OF RATIONAL SOLUTIONS TO A_{2n} -PAINLEVÉ

Definition 4.11 above introduced the concept of *Maya cycles* : sequences of Maya diagrams connected by flip operations that close into a cycle. In order to build all rational solutions of a $(2n + 1)$ cyclic dressing chain, all we need to specify is how to build all $(2n + 1, k)$ Maya cycles for $k = \pm 1, \dots, \pm 2n + 1$.

Evidently, every M_i in a (p, k) Maya cycle is (p, k) -cyclic as per Definition 5.3. The following Proposition elucidates the relationship between cyclic Maya diagrams and Maya cycles.

Proposition 6.1. *Let M_0 be a (p, k) -cyclic Maya diagram, $\phi_{\gamma^{[k]}}$, $\gamma^{[k]} \in \mathcal{Z}^p$ a multi-flip such that $\phi_{\gamma^{[k]}}(M_0) = M_0 + k$, and $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ an arbitrary enumeration of $\gamma^{[k]}$. Then*

$$(6.1) \quad M_{i+1} = \phi_{\mu_i}(M_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, p-1,$$

defines a (p, k) Maya cycle.

6.1. **Coloured sequences.** In the same way that (p, k) cyclic Maya diagrams can be indexed by k -coloured sets, (p, k) Maya cycles will be indexed by k -coloured sequences, a concept that we introduce next.

Definition 6.2. A k -colouring of a sequence is the assignment of one of k colours to each component of that sequence. Setting $\mathbb{Z}_k^p = \mathbb{Z}^p \times (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^p$, we formally represent a k -coloured integer sequence of length p as a pair

$$(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) = ((\nu_0, \dots, \nu_{p-1}), (C_0, \dots, C_{p-1})) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^p$$

where C_i is the colour of ν_i , for $i = 0, \dots, p-1$. As before, we say that $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ is oddly coloured if each colour occurs an odd number of times.

Given a coloured sequence $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^p$, let $[\boldsymbol{\nu}, C] \in \mathcal{Z}_k^p$ be the corresponding k -coloured multiset whose elements are the components of the sequence in question. Formally,

$$(6.2) \quad [\boldsymbol{\nu}, C] = (\gamma^{(0)}, \dots, \gamma^{(k-1)}),$$

where

$$(6.3) \quad \gamma^{(j)} := \{\nu_i : C_i = j\}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}.$$

Definition 6.3. Define the shift operator $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_k^p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k^p$ with action

$$(6.4) \quad \pi(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) = (L(\boldsymbol{\nu}) + \mathbf{e}_{p-1}, L(C)), \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^p, C \in (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^p,$$

where L is the circular permutation

$$(6.5) \quad L(C) = (C_1, \dots, C_{p-1}, C_0),$$

and $\mathbf{e}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^p$, $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ is the i^{th} unit vector. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) &= \pi((\nu_0, \dots, \nu_{p-1}), (C_0, \dots, C_{p-1})) \\ &= ((\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{p-1}, \nu_0 + 1), (C_1, \dots, C_{p-1}, C_0)). \end{aligned}$$

The next Proposition describes the correspondence between coloured sequences and Maya cycles. It makes use of the following auxilliary notation. Consider

$$(6.6) \quad \Xi_k(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) := \Xi_k([\boldsymbol{\nu}, C]), \quad (\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^p.$$

as the generalization of (5.12) from coloured multisets to coloured sequences, and let

$$\pi^i = \overbrace{\pi \circ \dots \circ \pi}^{i \text{ times}}$$

denote the iterated action of π as defined by (6.4).

Proposition 6.4. Let $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^p$ be an oddly coloured integer sequence, and set

$$(6.7) \quad \mu_i = k\nu_i + C_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, p-1$$

$$(6.8) \quad M_0 = \Xi_k(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C),$$

$$(6.9) \quad M_{i+1} = \phi_{\mu_i}(M_i), \quad i = 0, \dots, p-1.$$

Then, $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_p)$ is a (p, k) Maya cycle with flip sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ that satisfies

$$(6.10) \quad M_i = \Xi_k(\pi^i(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)), \quad i = 0, \dots, p,$$

The above mapping $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \mapsto \mathbf{M}$, $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^p$ constitutes a bijection between the set of oddly k -coloured sequences of length p and the set of (p, k) Maya cycles. The inverse mapping

$\mathbf{M} \rightarrow (\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ is given by taking the k -modular decomposition of the flip sequence corresponding to \mathbf{M} .

Proof. The proof flows by a straightforward application of the relevant definitions. \square

Definition 6.5. In parallel to the terminology introduced above, we will refer to the coloured integer sequence $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ as the block coordinates of the Maya cycle generated by (6.9).

We next describe the effect of translations on a Maya cycle at the level of the coloured sequences, in order to define an equivalence class under translations. Let $T: \mathbb{Z}_k^p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k^p$ be the invertible mapping defined by

$$T : (\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \mapsto (\hat{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, L^{-1}(C)), \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^p, C \in (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^p,$$

where

$$(6.11) \quad \hat{\nu}_i = \begin{cases} \nu_i + 1 & \text{if } C_i = k - 1 \\ \nu_i & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and where L is the circular permutation (6.5).

Proposition 6.6. Let $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^p$ be the block coordinates of a (p, k) Maya cycle \mathbf{M} . Then $T(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ are the block coordinates of the Maya cycle $\mathbf{M} + 1 = (M_0 + 1, M_1 + 1, \dots, M_p + 1)$.

Proposition 6.7. Maya cycles $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_{2n+1})$ and $\mathbf{M} + 1 = (M_0 + 1, \dots, M_{2n+1} + 1)$ generate the same rational solution $(w_0, \dots, w_{2n} | a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ of a $2n + 1$ -cyclic dressing chain.

Proof. The proof comes a straightforward application on the construction formulas (4.20)-(4.21). We recall that for any Maya diagram M , the pseudo-Wronskians H_M and H_{M+1} only differ by a multiplicative constant, as seen in Proposition 3.5. Since only log-derivatives of Hermite pseudo-Wronskians enter in the rational solution (4.20) and the parameters (4.21) only involve differences of the components of the flip sequence, an overall translation of the Maya cycle has no effect in the rational solution. \square

The last two Propositions imply that there is an equivalence class of Maya cycles related by translations that generate the same rational solution of a dressing chain. The correspondence between coloured sequences and rational solutions is thus many to one. A one-to-one correspondence can be achieved by fixing a canonical representative in each equivalence class.

Definition 6.8. A (p, k) Maya cycle with $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is in standard form if and only if its first diagram M_0 is in standard form. A coloured sequence $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ is in standard form if the Maya cycle it defines by (6.7)-(6.9) is in standard form too.

It is obvious that in each equivalence class \mathbf{M}/\mathbb{Z} of Maya cycles related by translations, only one of them is in standard form.

Example 6.9. The $(5, 3)$ Maya cycle \mathbf{M} defined by the coloured sequence $(4, 3, 1, 2, 0)$ is in standard form. The action of a unit translation gives a Maya cycle $\mathbf{M} + 1$ which is not in standard form. Both of them are shown in Figure 6.1, where the action of T on the block coordinates described by Proposition 6.6 can be verified.

We can finally state the main theorem that expresses a good indexing scheme for rational solutions to an odd cyclic dressing chain.

where F_j is the j^{th} Fibonacci number. As an example, an enumeration of all the possible signatures for 5-periodic chains is:

$$\begin{aligned} k = 1 : a(5, 1) &= 1, & (5) \\ k = 3 : a(5, 3) &= 3, & (3, 1, 1), (1, 3, 1), (1, 1, 3) \\ k = 5 : a(5, 5) &= 1, & (1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

for a total number of $F_3=5$.

Example 6.11. In order to construct a given rational solution, pick a shift and a signature, say $k = 3$ and $5 = 1 + 1 + 3$. This means that the coloured block coordinates are given by an integer 5-tuple grouped into 3 colours as per the above composition. We assume, without loss of generality, that M_0 is in standard form. Let us choose for instance, $(\nu, C) = (4, 3, 1, 2, 0)$, with the same colour code $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ as in Example 5.16.

Following (5.7), the k^{th} order flip set corresponds to

$$\gamma^{[3]} = \Theta_3(\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}) = \{0, 5, 8, 10, 14\}.$$

As (ν, C) does not contain repeated integers with the same colour, this leads to a non-degenerate cycle (see Section) and $\gamma^{[3]} \in \hat{\mathcal{Z}}^5$ is a set. In this non-degenerate setting, every permutation of $\gamma^{[3]}$ yields a different flip sequence μ and correspondingly a different (5, 3) Maya cycle.




FIGURE 6.2. The (5, 3) Maya cycle for the coloured sequence $(4, 3, 1, 2, 0)$ and for the Maya cycle $(3, 4, 1, 2, 0) = s_0(4, 3, 1, 2, 0)$.

According to (6.7), the coloured sequence $(\nu, C) = (4, 3, 1, 2, 0)$ determines the flip sequence $\mu = (14, 10, 5, 8, 0)$, and yields the Maya cycle displayed in the first part of Figure 6.2. The coloured set that defines Maya diagram M_{i+1} in the cycle is obtained from the coloured set that defines M_i by applying π as described in Proposition 6.4 and (6.4). The flip sequence μ determines the values of the parameters (a_0, \dots, a_4) , which according to (4.21) become $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (8, 10, -6, 16, -34)$.

In principle, H_M would be pseudo-Wronskians (3.5) for an arbitrary Maya diagram, but having normalized (ν, C) to standard form, all the rational solutions can be expressed in terms of ordinary Hermite Wronskians, and no generality is lost. In the case of the choices made above, the sequence of Wronskians is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{M_0}(z) &= \text{Wr}(H_1, H_2, H_4, H_7, H_8, H_{11}), \\ H_{M_1}(z) &= \text{Wr}(H_1, H_2, H_4, H_7, H_8, H_{11}, H_{14}), \\ H_{M_2}(z) &= \text{Wr}(H_1, H_2, H_4, H_7, H_8, H_{10}, H_{11}, H_{14}), \\ H_{M_3}(z) &= \text{Wr}(H_1, H_2, H_4, H_5, H_7, H_8, H_{10}, H_{11}, H_{14}), \\ H_{M_4}(z) &= \text{Wr}(H_1, H_2, H_4, H_5, H_7, H_{10}, H_{11}, H_{14}), \end{aligned}$$

where $H_n = H_n(z)$ is the n -th Hermite polynomial. The rational solution to the dressing chain is given by the tuple $(w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4 | a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$, where a_i and w_i are given by (4.20)–(4.21) as:

$$\begin{aligned} w_0(z) &= -z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_1}(z) - \log H_{M_0}(z) \right], & a_0 &= 8, \\ w_1(z) &= -z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_2}(z) - \log H_{M_1}(z) \right], & a_1 &= 10, \\ w_2(z) &= -z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_3}(z) - \log H_{M_2}(z) \right], & a_2 &= -6, \\ w_3(z) &= z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_4}(z) - \log H_{M_3}(z) \right], & a_3 &= 16, \\ w_4(z) &= -z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_0}(z) - \log H_{M_4}(z) \right], & a_4 &= -34. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, Proposition 2.4 implies that the corresponding rational solution to the A_4 -Painlevé system (2.1) is given by the tuple $(f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 | \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(z) &= \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_2}(cz) - \log H_{M_0}(cz) \right], & \alpha_0 &= -\frac{4}{3}, \\ f_1(z) &= \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_3}(cz) - \log H_{M_1}(cz) \right], & \alpha_1 &= -\frac{5}{3}, \\ f_2(z) &= \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_4}(cz) - \log H_{M_2}(cz) \right], & \alpha_2 &= 1, \\ f_3(z) &= \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_0}(cz) - \log H_{M_3}(cz) \right], & \alpha_3 &= -\frac{8}{3}, \\ f_4(z) &= \frac{1}{3}z + \frac{d}{dz} \left[\log H_{M_1}(cz) - \log H_{M_4}(cz) \right], & \alpha_4 &= \frac{17}{3}, \end{aligned}$$

with $c^2 = -\frac{1}{6}$.

7. CONNECTION WITH THE SYMMETRY GROUP APPROACH

Noumi and Yamada showed [42] that system (2.1) is invariant under a symmetry group, which acts by Bäcklund transformations on a tuple of functions and parameters. This symmetry group is the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$, generated by the operators π, s_0, \dots, s_{2n}

whose action on the tuple $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n} | \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ is given by:

$$(7.1) \quad \mathbf{s}_i(f_i) = f_i, \quad \mathbf{s}_i(f_j) = f_j \mp \frac{\alpha_i}{f_i} \quad (j = i \pm 1), \quad \mathbf{s}_i(f_j) = f_j \quad (j \neq i, i \pm 1)$$

$$(7.2) \quad \mathbf{s}_i(\alpha_i) = -\alpha_i, \quad \mathbf{s}_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j + \alpha_i \quad (j = i \pm 1), \quad \mathbf{s}_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j \quad (j \neq i, i \pm 1)$$

$$(7.3) \quad \boldsymbol{\pi}(f_j) = f_{j+1},$$

$$(7.4) \quad \boldsymbol{\pi}(\alpha_j) = \alpha_{j+1}$$

where $i, j = 0, \dots, 2n \bmod (2n + 1)$. A direct calculation and inspection of (2.8) serve to establish that the above Bäcklund transformations correspond to the following transformation of the dressing chain (2.3):

$$(7.5) \quad \mathbf{s}_i(w_i) = w_i + \frac{a_i}{w_i + w_{i+1}}, \quad \mathbf{s}_i(w_{i+1}) = w_{i+1} - \frac{a_i}{w_i + w_{i+1}}, \quad \mathbf{s}_i(w_j) = w_j \quad (n \neq i, i + 1)$$

$$(7.6) \quad \mathbf{s}_i(a_i) = -a_i, \quad \mathbf{s}_i(a_j) = a_j + a_i \quad (j = i \pm 1), \quad \mathbf{s}_i(a_j) = a_j \quad (j \neq i, i \pm 1)$$

$$(7.7) \quad \boldsymbol{\pi}(w_j) = w_{j+1},$$

$$(7.8) \quad \boldsymbol{\pi}(a_j) = a_{j+1}$$

The two realizations are equivalent, but we will focus mostly on the latter realization in terms of dressing chains.

7.1. Symmetries on Maya cycles. Above, we showed that an oddly coloured sequence $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ specifies a rational solution to the A_{2n} -Painlevé system (2.1), and that, up to integer translations, every rational solution can be represented by one such sequence. Since the symmetry group of transformations (7.1)-(7.4) preserves the rational character of the solutions, it must have a well defined action on Maya cycles \mathbf{M} and coloured sequences $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$. We describe this group action below.

Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_{2n}, M_{2n+1})$ be a $(2n + 1, k)$ Maya cycle with flip sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n})$. Define

$$(7.9) \quad \boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{M}) = (M_1, \dots, M_{2n}, M_{2n+1}, M_1 + k),$$

$$(7.10) \quad \mathbf{s}_i(\mathbf{M}) = (M_0, \dots, \hat{M}_{i+1}, \dots, M_{2n+1}), \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n - 1,$$

$$(7.11) \quad \mathbf{s}_{2n}(\mathbf{M}) = (\hat{M}_0, M_1, \dots, M_{2n}, \hat{M}_0 + k),$$

where

$$\hat{M}_i = \phi_{\mu_i}(M_{i+1}), \quad i = 0, \dots, 1, \dots, 2n$$

It is clear by inspection that $\boldsymbol{\pi}(\mathbf{M})$ and $\mathbf{s}_i(\mathbf{M})$, $i = 0, \dots, 2n$ are Maya cycles with flip sequences given by ,respectively, by:

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = L(\boldsymbol{\mu})$$

$$\mathbf{s}_i(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = K_{i, i+1}(\boldsymbol{\mu}), \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\mu}) = K_{0, 2n}(\boldsymbol{\mu}),$$

where L is the circular permutation (6.5), and $K_{i, j}$ denotes a transposition of the indicated elements.

Proposition 7.1. *The action of $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ on Maya cycles described in (7.9) - (7.11) and the action of $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ by Bäcklund transformations (7.5) - (7.8) is compatible with the transformation $\mathbf{M} \rightarrow (\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a})$ defined in (4.20) (4.21).*

Proof. The compatibility of π follows by a direct inspection. We demonstrate the compatibility of \mathbf{s}_0 ; the compatibility of the other actions is argued similarly. Let $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_{2n+1})$ be a $(2n+1, k)$ Maya cycle, and let $\hat{\mathbf{M}} = \mathbf{s}_0(\mathbf{M})$ as per (7.10). Let (\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{a}) and $(\hat{\mathbf{w}}, \hat{\mathbf{a}})$ be the corresponding rational solutions of the $2n+1$ -cyclic dressing chain as per (4.20) and (4.21). Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n})$ be the flip sequence corresponding to \mathbf{M} . By construction, $\hat{\mathbf{M}} = (M_0, \hat{M}_1, M_2, \dots, M_{2n+1})$ has flip sequence $(\mu_1, \mu_0, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{2n})$ with

$$M_1 = \phi_{\mu_0}(M_0), \quad \hat{M}_1 = \phi_{\mu_1}(M_0).$$

Hence, $\hat{w}_i = w_i, \hat{a}_i = a_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, 2n$. Applying (2.19) with

$$\lambda_0 = 2\mu_0 + 1, \quad \lambda_1 = 2\mu_1 + 1, \quad \hat{\lambda}_0 = 2\mu_1 + 1, \quad \hat{\lambda}_1 = 2\mu_0 + 1)$$

establishes that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_0 &= 2(\mu_0 - \mu_1) - a_0 = \mathbf{s}_0(a_0), \\ \hat{a}_1 &= 2(\mu_2 - \mu_0) = a_0 + a_1 = \mathbf{s}_0(a_1), \\ \hat{a}_{2n} &= 2(\mu_1 - \mu_{2n}) = a_0 + a_{2n} = \mathbf{s}_0(a_{2n}) \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$L_{\hat{M}_1} \xrightarrow{-\hat{w}_0} L_{M_0} \xrightarrow{w_0} L_{M_1} \xrightarrow{w_1} L_{M_2} \xrightarrow{-\hat{w}_1} L_{\hat{M}_1}$$

forms a cyclic factorization chain with shift 0. Hence,

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (-\hat{w}_0 + w_0)' + w_0^2 - \hat{w}_0^2 &= 2(\mu_1 - \mu_0), \\ (w_0 + w_1)' + w_1^2 - w_0^2 &= 2(\mu_0 - \mu_1), \\ (w_1 - \hat{w}_1)' + \hat{w}_1^2 - w_1^2 &= 2(\mu_1 - \mu_0), \\ (-\hat{w}_1 - \hat{w}_0)' + \hat{w}_0^2 - \hat{w}_1^2 &= 2(\mu_0 - \mu_1), \\ -\hat{w}_0 + w_1 + w_2 - \hat{w}_1 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

It follows by a straightforward elimination that

$$(-\hat{w}_0 + w_0)(w_0 + w_1) = 2(\mu_1 - \mu_0) = -a_0$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{s}_0(w_0) = \hat{w}_0 = w_0 + \frac{a_0}{w_0 + w_1}.$$

A similar elimination in (7.12) serves to show that

$$\mathbf{s}_0(w_1) = \hat{w}_1.$$

□

It is also clear that the action of π shown in (7.9) is compatible with the Bäcklund transformation (7.3) (7.4). Note that the action of \mathbf{s}_i on the cycle only changes one Maya diagram M_{i+1} , and consequently the functions f_{i+1} and f_{i-1} are in agreement with (7.1).

We next describe the corresponding action of the symmetry operators $\pi, \mathbf{s}_0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{2n}$ on the set of coloured sequences. Let $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^{2n+1}$ be a coloured sequence. Define $\pi(\boldsymbol{\nu}, c)$ as in (6.4). Define

$$(7.13) \quad \mathbf{s}_i(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) = (K_{i,i+1}(\boldsymbol{\nu}), K_{i,i+1}(C)), \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}^p, C \in (\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z})^p,$$

$$(7.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_{2n}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) &= (K_{2n,0}(\boldsymbol{\nu}) - \mathbf{e}_0 + \mathbf{e}_{2n}, K_{2n,0}(C)) \\ &= (\nu_{2n} - 1, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_{2n-1}, \nu_0 + 1, K_{2n,0}(C)) \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{i,j}$ denotes the transposition of components in positions i and j .

Proposition 7.2. *The actions (7.9)-(7.10) of $\pi, \mathbf{s}_0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{2n}$ on Maya cycles and the corresponding actions on coloured sequences (6.4) (7.13)(7.14) satisfy the defining relations of the extended affine Weyl group of type $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$:*

$$(7.15) \quad \mathbf{s}_i^2 \equiv 1, \quad (\mathbf{s}_i \mathbf{s}_{i+1})^{2n+1} \equiv 1, \quad \pi \mathbf{s}_i \equiv \mathbf{s}_{i+1} \pi, \quad \pi^{2n+1} \equiv 1,$$

where \equiv indicates equality modulo translations.

Proof. The above relations follow directly from the relevant definitions. \square

Proposition 7.3. *The action of $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ on coloured sequences given by (6.4) (7.13) (7.14) and the action of $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ on Maya cycles described in (7.9) (7.10) (7.11) are compatible with the transformation $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ defined in (6.9).*

Proof. Because both sets of actions satisfy (7.15) it suffices to establish the compatibility of π and \mathbf{s}_0 . By inspection of (6.9), the compatibility of π follows from the relation

$$\pi^{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) = T^{2n+1}(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) = (\boldsymbol{\nu} + 1, C).$$

The compatibility of \mathbf{s}_0 follows directly from Proposition 6.4 and the definitions of $\mathbf{s}_0(\mathbf{M})$ and $\mathbf{s}_0(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$. \square

Note that the above actions preserve the colouring signature. It follows that $\pi, \mathbf{s}_0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{2n}$ preserve the set of oddly coloured sequences.

According to Proposition 6.7 both \mathbf{M} and $\mathbf{M} + j$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ describe the same rational solution of the dressing chain, so the relations (7.15) are strict identities for the group action on rational solutions (7.1)-(7.4). The coincidence of the two representations given by (7.1) and (7.10) (with (4.20) and (2.8)), entails interesting identities between Hermite Wronskians, that shall be further explored elsewhere.

7.2. Seed solutions. The usual approach to constructing rational solutions to the A_{2n} -Painlevé system (2.1) is to let the symmetry group act on a number of very simple *seed solutions*, to construct the rest of the rational solutions.

Definition 7.4. Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let $2n + 1 = p_0 + \dots + p_{k-1}$ be a composition of $2n + 1$ into k odd parts. A seed sequence is a coloured sequence $(\mathbf{0}, C_{\mathbf{p}})$ where $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$ is the zero vector and where

$$C_{\mathbf{p}} = (0^{p_0}, 1^{p_1}, \dots,).$$

All the Maya diagrams in the cycle corresponding to a seed coloured sequence $(\mathbf{0}, C_{\mathbf{p}})$ have genus zero. The corresponding seed solutions to the A_{2n} -Painlevé system (2.1) for each signature \mathbf{p} are given by the following Proposition.

Proposition 7.5. *Let $2n + 1 = p_0 + \dots + p_{k-1}$ be a composition of an odd number into k odd parts. Let $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n} | \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ be the rational solution generated by the corresponding seed solution $(\mathbf{0}, C_{\mathbf{p}})$. Then, for every $i = 0, \dots, 2n$ we have*

$$(7.16) \quad f_i = \begin{cases} k^{-1}z & \text{if } i+1 \in Q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

$$(7.17) \quad \alpha_i = \begin{cases} k^{-1} & \text{if } i+1 \in Q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_k\}$ is the set of corresponding partial sums

$$q_j = \sum_{r=0}^{j-1} p_r, \quad j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Proof. The proof follows by a straightforward application of the construction rules (4.20)-(4.18) with Proposition 2.4 to build the solution $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n} | \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ corresponding to the Maya cycle specified by $(\mathbf{0}, C_{\mathbf{p}})$. \square

Example 7.6. Consider the $(5, 3)$ seed solution of the A_4 -Painlevé system corresponding to the composition $5 = 1 + 3 + 1$, i.e. with signature $\mathbf{p} = (1, 3, 1)$. The seed colour sequence is thus $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$, and the Maya cycle generated by this sequence is shown in Figure 7.1. Note that all the Maya diagrams M_i in the cycle have genus zero. For signature $\mathbf{p} = (1, 3, 1)$ we have $Q = \{1, 4, 5\}$ and the corresponding seed solution given by (7.16)-(7.17) is shown in Figure 7.1.




FIGURE 7.1. The Maya cycle for the $(1, 3, 1)$ seed solution.

Applying the symmetry operators \mathbf{s}_0 and \mathbf{s}_4 on the seed colour sequence $(0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ lead to

$$\mathbf{s}_0(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0), \quad \mathbf{s}_4(0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (-1, 0, 0, 0, 1)$$

as specified by the action (7.13)-(7.14). The corresponding cycles and rational solutions of the A_4 -Painlevé system are shown in Figure 7.2. It can be readily verified that the rational solutions are the same that result from the action of the Bäcklund transformations (7.1)-(7.4) on the seed solution.

For $i = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_0(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) &= \mathbf{s}_0 \cdots \mathbf{s}_{2n-1}(L(\boldsymbol{\nu}) + \mathbf{e}_{2n}, L(C)) \\ &= ((K_{0,1} \cdots K_{2n-1,2n}L)(\boldsymbol{\nu}) + (K_{0,1} \cdots K_{2n-1,2n})\mathbf{e}_{2n}, K_{0,1} \cdots K_{2n-1,2n}L(C)) \\ &= (\boldsymbol{\nu} + \mathbf{e}_0, C) \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 7.8. Consider the action of $E_1 = \mathbf{s}_1 \mathbf{s}_2 \pi$ on the coloured sequence $(2, \mathbf{3}, 0)$. Using the transformation rules (6.4), (7.13), (7.14) we have

$$(2, \mathbf{3}, 0) \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathbf{3}, 0, 3) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}_2} (2, 0, 4) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{s}_1} (2, \mathbf{4}, 0) = (2, \mathbf{3}, 0) + \mathbf{e}_1.$$

Next, we describe the symmetry operators that act on coloured sequences by direct permutations.

Proposition 7.9. *The operators $\mathbf{s}_0, \dots, \mathbf{s}_{2n-1}$ generate the action of the permutation group \mathcal{S}_{2n+1} on the set of coloured sequences $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ of length $2n + 1$.*

Proof. This follows directly from the definition (7.13). □

Theorem 7.10. *Every rational solution of a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic dressing chain can be obtained by the action of the symmetry group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ on a seed solution.*

Proof. Assume $(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a})$ is rational solution of a $(2n + 1)$ -cyclic dressing chain with shift $\Delta = 2k$. By Theorem 6.10, this solution can be indexed by a coloured sequence $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^{2n+1}$ in standard form. Let $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, \dots, p_{k-1})$ be its signature and consider the seed solution $(\mathbf{0}, C_{\mathbf{p}})$ corresponding to that signature. Since $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ is in standard form, all the components are $\nu_i \geq 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, 2n$. There clearly exists a sequence of operators E_i defined in (7.18) that map the seed sequence $(\mathbf{0}, C_{\mathbf{p}})$ into a seed sequence $(\boldsymbol{\nu}', C')$ that differs from $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ at most by a permutation of its elements, i.e. such that $[(\boldsymbol{\nu}', C')] = [(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)]$. By Proposition 7.9, there is a sequence of symmetry operators that map $(\boldsymbol{\nu}', C')$ into $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$. □

Note, however, that given a rational solution $(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a})$, the seed solution and sequence of symmetry transformations is not unique. If $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ is the coloured sequence in standard form that corresponds to $(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a})$, so does the coloured sequence $T(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ by Propositions 6.6 and 6.7. But $T(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ has signature $L^{-1}(\mathbf{p}) = (p_{k-1}, p_0, \dots, p_{k-2})$. We see thus that seed solutions (7.16)-(7.17) corresponding to circular permutations of a given signature belong to the same orbit under the symmetry group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$.

Remark 7.11. Similar formulas for the action of the generators of the extended affine Weyl group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ on Maya diagrams have been given by Noumi in his book (see [41] Ch. 7.6). However, the main difference between Proposition 7.2 in [41] and Proposition 7.1 in this work is that in the former the symmetry group acts on a single Maya diagram, while the latter treats the group action on a Maya cycle. Since a rational solution corresponds to a Maya cycle rather than to single Maya diagram (see Theorem 4.12), we believe that Propositions 7.1 and 7.3 provide the correct correspondence with the action of $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ on rational solutions (7.1)-(7.4) via Bäcklund transformations.

8. DEGENERATE SOLUTIONS

Degenerate solutions describe certain embeddings of lower order systems A_{2m} -Painlevé into higher order systems A_{2n} -Painlevé for $n > m$. Also, they single out special properties with respect to the isotropy of the group action.

Definition 8.1. Let $(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a}) = (w_0, \dots, w_{2n}|a_0, \dots, a_{2n})$ be a rational solution to a $(2n + 1)$ -dressing chain with shift $\Delta = 2k$ and let $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C) \in \mathbb{Z}_k^{2n+1}$ be its standard coloured sequence. The flip sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{2n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2n+1}$ is given by

$$\mu_i = k\nu_i + C_i, \quad i = 0, \dots, 2n.$$

The rational solution $(\mathbf{w}|\mathbf{a})$ is said to be a *degenerate solution* if at least one of the following conditions hold

- (1) The flip sequence $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ contains repeated elements.
- (2) $\mu_{2n} = \mu_0 + k$.

From a degenerate solution one can immediately build a solution to a lower order system in the following manner. Consider for simplicity that condition (1) above holds and $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ has repeated elements. This means that $[(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)]$ is a multiset but not a set. Let (ν_i, C_i) and (ν_j, C_j) be two elements of the coloured sequence $(\boldsymbol{\nu}, C)$ whose value and colour coincide. Then the coloured sequence $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \tilde{C})$ obtained by dropping these two elements is an oddly k -coloured sequence of length $2n - 1$ and thus defines a solution to the A_{2n-2} -Painlevé system.

If the two equal elements in the coloured sequence are consecutive, e.g. $(\nu_i, C_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, C_{i+1})$, then two consecutive flips $\mu_i = \mu_{i+1}$ happen at the same site and the Maya cycle $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_{2n+1})$ contains two identical Maya diagrams $M_i = M_{i+2}$. Correspondingly, the Maya cycle $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$ obtained by dropping M_{i+1} and M_{i+2} is $(2n - 1, k)$ -cyclic.

$$\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_i, M_{i+1}, M_{i+2}, \dots, M_{2n+1}) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbf{M}} = (M_0, \dots, M_i, M_{i+3}, \dots, M_{2n+1})$$

If $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n}|\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ is the corresponding degenerate solution to the A_{2n} -Painlevé system, then we can build a solution $(\tilde{f}_0, \dots, \tilde{f}_{2n-2}|\tilde{\alpha}_0, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{2n-2})$ to the A_{2n-2} -Painlevé system by setting

$$(8.1) \quad \tilde{f}_j = \begin{cases} f_j & \text{if } j \leq i - 2, \\ f_j + f_{j+2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \\ f_{j+2} & \text{if } j \geq i, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{\alpha}_j = \begin{cases} \alpha_j & \text{if } j \leq i - 2, \\ \alpha_j + \alpha_{j+2} & \text{if } j = i - 1, \\ \alpha_{j+2} & \text{if } j \geq i, \end{cases}$$

where the indices for f_i, α_i are taken mod $2n + 1$ and those for $\tilde{f}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i$ are taken mod $2n - 1$. It is clear also that $f_i(z) = 0$ and $\alpha_i = 0$ in the degenerate solution.

We see thus that in the case of the consecutive flips at the same site leads to a rather trivial embedding of the lower order system into the higher order one. However, there could be two repeated elements in the flip sequence which are not consecutive, i.e. $(\nu_i, C_i) = (\nu_j, C_j)$ for $j > i + 1$. It is still true that the coloured sequence $(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \tilde{C})$ obtained by dropping these two repeated elements will define a solution to the A_{2n-2} -Painlevé system, but it is no longer true that one can simply eliminate two Maya diagrams from the $(2n + 1, k)$ Maya cycle $\mathbf{M} = (M_0, \dots, M_{2n+1})$ to obtain a $(2n - 1, k)$ Maya cycle $\tilde{\mathbf{M}}$. This case leads to nontrivial embeddings, in which the reduced solution $(\tilde{f}_0, \dots, \tilde{f}_{2n-2}|\tilde{\alpha}_0, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{2n-2})$ cannot be obtained by a linear combination of the degenerate solution of the higher order system $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n}|\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$.

Finally, the following Proposition states that degenerate solutions have a nontrivial isotropy group.

Proposition 8.2. *If $(f_0, \dots, f_{2n} | \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{2n})$ is a degenerate rational solution of the A_{2n} system, then there is an element of the symmetry group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ that leaves it invariant.*

Proof. This follows directly from Theorem 6.10 and the action (7.13)-(7.14) of the symmetry group $\tilde{A}_{2n}^{(1)}$ on coloured sequences. In the case where $(\nu_i, C_i) = (\nu_{i+1}, C_{i+1})$, the rational solution is a fixed point of the generator \mathbf{s}_i . Otherwise, there is a sequence of symmetry transformations that performs the transposition between the two identical elements of the coloured sequence. \square

Example 8.3. We illustrate here the occurrence of degenerate solutions and the difference between trivial and non-trivial embeddings. Consider the degenerate solutions of the A_4 -Painlevé system corresponding to the following coloured sequences:

$$(8.2) \quad (\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(1)}, C^{(1)}) = (0, \mathbf{1}, 1, 1, 0) \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(1)} = (0, 4, 3, 3, 2),$$

$$(8.3) \quad (\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(2)}, C^{(2)}) = (0, 1, \mathbf{1}, 1, 0), \quad \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(2)} = (0, 3, 4, 3, 2).$$

Both solutions are degenerate because the flip sequence contains repeated elements, but in the first case they are consecutive while in the second they are not. The corresponding rational solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{f}^{(1)} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(1)}) &= \left(\frac{z}{3} - \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2z}{z^2+3}, \frac{z}{3} + \frac{2z}{z^2-3}, 0, \frac{1}{z} - \frac{2z}{z^2-3}, \frac{z}{3} - \frac{2z}{z^2+3} \left| \frac{4}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, 0, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right. \right), \\ (\mathbf{f}^{(2)} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(2)}) &= \left(\frac{z}{3}, \frac{z}{3} + \frac{2z}{z^2-3}, -\frac{1}{z} + \frac{2z}{z^2+3}, -\frac{1}{z} - \frac{2z}{z^2-3}, \frac{z}{3} - \frac{2z}{z^2+3} \left| 1, \frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right. \right) \end{aligned}$$

If we drop the two repeated elements of the coloured sequence, we obtain in both cases the following solution of the A_2 -Painlevé system.

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}, \tilde{C}) &= (0, \mathbf{1}, 0), \quad \tilde{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = (0, 4, 2), \\ (\tilde{\mathbf{f}} | \tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}) &= \left(\frac{z}{3} - \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2z}{z^2+3}, \frac{z}{3} + \frac{1}{z}, \frac{z}{3} - \frac{2z}{z^2+3} \left| \frac{4}{3}, -\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \right. \right) \end{aligned}$$

This solution to the A_2 -Painlevé system is clearly obtained from $(\mathbf{f}^{(1)} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(1)})$ by applying (8.1). It is also clear from (7.1)-(7.2) that

$$\mathbf{s}_2(\mathbf{f}^{(1)} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(1)}) = (\mathbf{f}^{(1)} | \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(1)}),$$

as explained in Proposition 8.2.

9. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

This paper provides a complete classification of the rational solutions of Painlevé P_{IV} and its higher order hierarchy known as the A_{2n} -Painlevé or Noumi-Yamada system. First, we recall the equivalence between the Noumi-Yamada system (2.1) and a cyclic dressing chain of Schrödinger operators. Then, we show by a careful investigation of the local expansions of the rational solutions around their poles, that the solutions have trivial monodromy, and therefore they must be expressible in terms of Wronskian determinants whose entries are Hermite polynomials. Next, we use Maya diagrams to classify all the $(2n+1)$ -cyclic dressing

chains and therefore achieve a complete classification. Finally, we connect our results with the geometric approach mastered by the Japanese school, showing a representation for the action of the symmetry group of Bäcklund transformations in terms of Maya cycles and oddly coloured integer sequences.

The natural extension of this work is to tackle the full classification of the rational solutions to the A_{2n+1} -Painlevé systems, which include P_V and its higher order extensions. Although the analysis is considerably more involved, it should be possible to extend this approach from the odd-cyclic to the even-cyclic case. In this regard, we would like to formulate the following

Conjecture 9.1. *All rational solutions of P_V (and the A_{2n+1} -Painlevé system) can be expressed as Wronskian determinants whose entries involve Laguerre polynomials.*

We have solid evidence to believe that this conjecture is true. Given the correspondence of P_{IV} with Wronskians of Hermite polynomials and P_V with Wronskians of Laguerre polynomials, it is very appealing to suggest also that Wronskians of Jacobi polynomials must play an important role in the description of the rational solutions to P_{VI} . However, unlike P_{IV} and P_V , in the case of P_{VI} we still lack a basic theory of dressing chains and Darboux transformations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research of DGU has been supported in part by the Spanish MICINN under grants PGC2018-096504-B-C33 and RTI2018-100754-B-I00, the European Union under the 2014-2020 ERDF Operational Programme and the Department of Economy, Knowledge, Business and University of the Regional Government of Andalusia (project FEDER-UCA18-108393).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. È. Adler, *A modification of Crum's method*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **101** (1994), no. 3, 1381–1386.
- [2] ———, *Nonlinear chains and Painlevé equations*, Phys. D **73** (1994), no. 4, 335–351.
- [3] Krishnaswami Alladi, *A fundamental invariant in the theory of partitions*, Topics in Number Theory, Springer, 1999, pp. 101–113.
- [4] George E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. MR 1634067
- [5] George E. Andrews and Kimmo Eriksson, *Integer partitions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004. MR 2122332
- [6] David Bermúdez, *Complex SUSY transformations and the Painlevé IV equation*, SIGMA **8** (2012), 069.
- [7] David Bermúdez, David J Fernández C, et al., *Supersymmetric quantum mechanics and painlevé iv equation*, SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications **7** (2011), 025.
- [8] Robert Buckingham, *Large-degree asymptotics of rational painlevé-iv functions associated to generalized hermite polynomials*, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2020** (2020), no. 18, 5534–5577.
- [9] Robert J. Buckingham and Peter D. Miller, *Large-degree asymptotics of rational Painlevé-iv solutions by the isomonodromy method*, arXiv:2008.00600 (2020).
- [10] Yang Chen and MV Feigin, *Painlevé IV and degenerate Gaussian unitary ensembles*, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General **39** (2006), no. 40, 12381.
- [11] Peter A. Clarkson, *Painlevé equations — nonlinear special functions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **153** (2003), no. 1-2, 127–140.
- [12] ———, *The fourth Painlevé equation and associated special polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. **44** (2003), no. 11, 5350–5374.
- [13] ———, *Special polynomials associated with rational solutions of the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the fourth Painlevé equation*, European J. Appl. Math. **17** (2006), no. 3, 293–322.
- [14] ———, *Vortices and polynomials*, Stud. Appl. Math. **123** (2009), no. 1, 37–62. MR 2538285

- [15] Peter A Clarkson, David Gómez-Ullate, Yves Grandati, and Robert Milson, *Cyclic maya diagrams and rational solutions of higher order painlevé systems*, Studies in Applied Mathematics **144** (2020), no. 3, 357–385.
- [16] Peter A. Clarkson and Kerstin Jordaan, *The relationship between semiclassical Laguerre polynomials and the fourth Painlevé equation*, Constr. Approx. **39** (2014), no. 1, 223–254.
- [17] M M Crum, *Associated Sturm-Liouville systems*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **6** (1955), 121–127.
- [18] J. J. Duistermaat and F. A. Grünbaum, *Differential equations in the spectral parameter*, Comm. Math. Phys. **103** (1986), no. 2, 177–240. MR 826863
- [19] A. Erdélyi et al., *Higher transcendental functions*, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [20] Norbert Euler and Maria Clara Nucci, *Nonlinear systems and their remarkable mathematical structures*, vol. 2, CRC Press, 2019.
- [21] Galina Filipuk and Peter A. Clarkson, *The symmetric fourth Painlevé hierarchy and associated special polynomials*, Stud. Appl. Math. **121** (2008), no. 2, 157–188.
- [22] Athanassios S. Fokas, Alexander R. Its, Andrei A. Kapaev, and Victor Yu. Novokshenov, *Painlevé transcendents. The Riemann-Hilbert approach*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 128, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006. MR 2264522
- [23] P. J. Forrester and N. S. Witte, *Application of the τ -function theory of Painlevé equations to random matrices: PIV, PII and the GUE*, Comm. Math. Phys. **219** (2001), no. 2, 357–398. MR 1833807
- [24] Satoshi Fukutani, Kazuo Okamoto, and Hiroshi Umemura, *Special polynomials and the Hirota bilinear relations of the second and the fourth Painlevé equations*, Nagoya Math. J. **159** (2000), 179–200. MR 1783569
- [25] M. Ángeles García-Ferrero, David Gómez-Ullate, and Robert Milson, *A Bochner type characterization theorem for exceptional orthogonal polynomials*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **472** (2019), no. 1, 584–626.
- [26] M^a Ángeles García-Ferrero and David Gómez-Ullate, *Oscillation theorems for the Wronskian of an arbitrary sequence of eigenfunctions of Schrödinger’s equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **105** (2015), no. 4, 551–573.
- [27] David Gomez-Ullate, Yves Grandati, Zoe McIntyre, and Robert Milson, *Ladder operators and rational extensions*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.12648 (2019).
- [28] David Gómez-Ullate, Yves Grandati, and Robert Milson, *Rational extensions of the quantum harmonic oscillator and exceptional Hermite polynomials*, J. Phys. A **47** (2013), no. 1, 015203.
- [29] ———, *Durfee rectangles and pseudo-Wronskian equivalences for Hermite polynomials*, Stud. Appl. Math. **141** (2018), no. 4, 596–625.
- [30] David Gómez-Ullate, Yves Grandati, and Robert Milson, *Corrigendum on the proof of completeness for exceptional hermite polynomials*, Journal of Approximation Theory (2019), 105350.
- [31] David Gómez-Ullate, Niky Kamran, and Robert Milson, *A conjecture on exceptional orthogonal polynomials*, Found. Comput. Math. **13** (2013), no. 4, 615–666.
- [32] Valerii I Gromak, Ilpo Laine, and Shun Shimomura, *Painlevé differential equations in the complex plane*, vol. 28, Walter de Gruyter, 2008.
- [33] Kenji Kajiwara and Yasuhiro Ohta, *Determinant structure of the rational solutions for the Painlevé IV equation*, J. Phys. A **31** (1998), no. 10, 2431.
- [34] A. V. Kitaev, C. K. Law, and J. B. McLeod, *Rational solutions of the fifth Painlevé equation*, Differential Integral Equations **7** (1994), no. 3-4, 967–1000.
- [35] NA Lukashovich, *Theory of the fourth Painlevé equation*, Differential Equations **3** (1967), 395–399.
- [36] Ian Marquette and Christiane Quesne, *Connection between quantum systems involving the fourth Painlevé transcendent and k -step rational extensions of the harmonic oscillator related to Hermite exceptional orthogonal polynomial*, J. Math. Phys. **57** (2016), no. 5, 052101, 15. MR 3501792
- [37] Davide Masoero and Pieter Roffelsen, *Poles of Painlevé IV rationals and their distribution*, SIGMA **14** (2018), Paper No. 002, 49. MR 3742702
- [38] ———, *Roots of generalised Hermite polynomials when both parameters are large*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.08552 (2019).
- [39] Kazuhide Matsuda, *Rational solutions of the Noumi and Yamada system of type $A_4^{(1)}$* , J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. 2, 023504.
- [40] Yoshihiro Murata, *Rational solutions of the second and the fourth Painlevé equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **28** (1985), 1–32.

- [41] Masatoshi Noumi, *Painlevé equations through symmetry*, vol. 223, Springer Science & Business, 2004.
- [42] Masatoshi Noumi and Yasuhiko Yamada, *Higher Order Painleve Equations of Type $A_\ell^{(1)}$* , Funkcialaj Ekvacioj **41** (1998), 483–503.
- [43] ———, *Symmetries in the fourth Painlevé equation and Okamoto polynomials*, Nagoya Math. J. **153** (1999), 53–86.
- [44] Victor Yu. Novokshenov, *Generalized Hermite polynomials and monodromy-free Schrödinger operators*, SIGMA **14** (2018), 106, 13 pages. MR 3859422
- [45] A. A. Oblomkov, *Monodromy-free Schrödinger operators with quadratically increasing potentials*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. **121** (1999), no. 3, 1574–1584.
- [46] Kazuo Okamoto, *Studies on the Painlevé equations. III. Second and fourth Painlevé equations, P_{II} and P_{IV}* , Math. Ann. **275** (1986), no. 2, 221–255. MR 854008
- [47] Jørn Børling Olsson, *Combinatorics and representations of finite groups*, Fachbereich Mathematik [Lecture Notes in Mathematics], vol. 20, Universität Essen, 1994.
- [48] Teruhisa Tsuda, *Universal characters and an extension of the kp hierarchy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **248** (2004), no. 3, 501–526.
- [49] ———, *Universal characters, integrable chains and the Painlevé equations*, Adv. Math. **197** (2005), no. 2, 587–606.
- [50] ———, *From KP/UC hierarchies to Painlevé equations*, International Journal of Mathematics **23** (2012), no. 05, 1250010.
- [51] Walter Van Assche, *Orthogonal polynomials and Painlevé equations*, Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series, vol. 27, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2018. MR 3729446
- [52] A. P. Veselov, *On Stieltjes relations, Painlevé-IV hierarchy and complex monodromy*, J. Phys. A **34** (2001), no. 16, 3511–3519.
- [53] A. P. Veselov and A. B. Shabat, *Dressing chains and the spectral theory of the Schrödinger operator*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **27** (1993), no. 2, 81–96.
- [54] Ralph Willox and Jarmo Hietarinta, *Painlevé equations from Darboux chains. I. P_{III} – P_V* , J. Phys. A **36** (2003), no. 42, 10615–10635. MR 2024916

ESCUELA SUPERIOR DE INGENIERÍA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CÁDIZ, 11519 PUERTO REAL, SPAIN.

DEPARTAMENTO DE FÍSICA TEÓRICA, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 MADRID, SPAIN.

LCP A2MC, UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE, 1 BD ARAGO, 57078 METZ, CEDEX 3, FRANCE.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, DALHOUSIE UNIVERSITY, HALIFAX, NS, B3H 3J5, CANADA.

E-mail address: david.gomezullate@uca.es, grandati@univ-metz.fr, rmilson@dal.ca