

SOBOLEV ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS ON THE SIERPINSKI GASKET

QINGXUAN JIANG, TIAN LAN, KASSO A. OKOUDJOU, ROBERT S. STRICHARTZ,
SHASHANK SULE, SREERAM VENKAT, AND XIAODUO WANG

ABSTRACT. We develop a theory of Sobolev orthogonal polynomials on the Sierpiński gasket (SG), which is a fractal set that can be viewed as a limit of a sequence of finite graphs. These orthogonal polynomials arise through the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process applied on the set of monomials on SG using several notions of a Sobolev inner products. After establishing some recurrence relations for these orthogonal polynomials, we give estimates for their L^2 , L^∞ and Sobolev norms, and study their asymptotic behaviour. Finally, we study the properties of zero sets of polynomials and develop fast computational tools to explore applications to quadrature and interpolation.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Polynomials on SG	5
2.1. Analysis on SG	5
2.2. Polynomials on SG	7
2.3. Zeroes of Polynomials	9
3. Sobolev-Legendre Orthogonal Polynomials on SG	11
3.1. General properties	11
3.2. Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials with respect to $k = 2, 3$	14
3.3. Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials with respect to $k = 1$	22
3.4. Orthogonal polynomials with respect to the higher order Sobolev-type inner products	25
3.5. Numerical results	30

Date: August 19, 2021.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 42C05, 28A80 Secondary 33F05, 33A99.

Key words and phrases. Orthogonal polynomials, Sierpinski Gasket, Sobolev orthogonal polynomials.

3.6. Implementation and Code Design	34
4. Applications	36
4.1. Polynomial Interpolation	36
4.2. Quadrature on SG	39
Acknowledgment	41
References	41

1. INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, a theory of calculus on fractal sets such as the Sierpiński gasket (SG) has been developed and is based on the analysis of the fractal Laplacian [9, 2, 15]. In particular, a polynomial of degree j on SG is any solution of the equation $\Delta^{j+1}u = 0$, where Δ denotes the Laplacian on SG [4, 14]. While most aspects of the theory of polynomials in this setting parallel their counterparts on the unit interval $I = [0, 1]$, there exists a number of striking differences. In particular, there is no analog of the Weierstrass Theorem on SG , that is the set of polynomials on SG is not complete on $L^2(SG)$ [4, Theorem 4.3.6]. On the other hand, an initial theory of orthogonal polynomials has been developed on SG and resulted in an analog of the Legendre orthogonal polynomials on $[-1, 1]$, [10]. More specifically, the Legendre OPs on SG arise in solving the least squares problem

$$\arg \min\{\|f - g\|_2 \mid g \text{ polynomial of order } j\},$$

where $f \in L^2(SG)$.

In this paper, we are interested in solving a similar least squares problem, with the added requirement that the function to approximate is also smooth. That is we seek the solution of the optimization problem

$$\arg \min\{\|f - g\|_S \mid g \text{ polynomial of order at most } j\},$$

where $f \in S$ a linear subspace of $L^2(SG)$ that measures the smoothness of f . We will show that the solution to this problem can be expressed in terms of orthogonal polynomials with respect to various inner products. We shall generically refer to these OPs as *Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials (SOP)*. Our aim is to initiate a systematic study of SOPs on SG in analogy to the theory of Sobolev OPs on \mathbb{R} .

We begin by a brief review of the SOP on $[-1, 1]$, and we refer to [6, 7, 8] for more details on this class of OPs and certain of their generalizations. Given $\chi > 0$, consider the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_S := \int_{-1}^1 f g \, dx + \chi \int_{-1}^1 f' g' \, dx$$

defined on the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}([-1, 1])$ of functions $f \in L^2[-1, 1]$ such that $f' \in L^2[-1, 1]$. Applying the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process to the monomials $\{x^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ in this inner product space results in the so-called Sobolev-Legendre polynomials, which we denote by $\{S_n(\cdot; \chi)\}$. We refer to [1, 12] where these OPs were first investigated. While the classical Legendre OPs $\{P_n\}$ on $[-1, 1]$ satisfy the ubiquitous three-term recurrence formula, there exists no such relation for the Sobolev-Legendre OPs. This can be seen as the consequence of the fact that

$$\langle xf, g \rangle_S \neq \langle f, xg \rangle_S.$$

However, the Sobolev-Legendre OPs enjoy the following properties.

- (1) The two-term differential equation

$$\chi S''(x; \chi) - S(x; \chi) = A_n P'_{n+1}(x) + B_n P'_{n-1}(x)$$

for some constants A_n, B_n .

- (2) The two-term recurrence relation

$$S_n(x; \chi) - S_{n-2}(x; \chi) = a_n(P_n(x)) - P_{n-2}(x)$$

for some sequence a_n .

- (3) $\{S_n(x; \chi)\}$ has n simple zeroes in $(-1, 1)$

Our goal is to use the theory of polynomials on SG that was developed in [14] to investigate OPs on SG with respect to the family of inner products given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{W^{m,2}} = \int_{SG} f(x)g(x) \, d\mu(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m \chi_k \int_{SG} \Delta^k f(x) \Delta^k g(x) \, d\mu(x),$$

where χ_k are nonnegative integers and $m \geq 1$. The case $m = 1$ will be our model case which we shall discuss in details and compare to the situation on the interval $[-1, 1]$.

We recall that the polynomials on SG can be build from three basic families of monomials that we will defined formally in Section 2.2. Consequently, we construct the (three families) OPs with respect to the inner products given above by applying the Gram-Schmidt

orthogonalisation process. Subsequently, we prove several properties of the resulting OPs. Some of these properties are common to the three families, while others are different. For example, all three families of OPs satisfy a three-term recurrence relation and a three-term differential equation involving the Legendre Orthogonal Polynomials on SG studied in [10]. The recurrence relations also allow us to establish explicit bounds on various norms of the SOPs, and to derive other interesting results.

Furthermore, by combining the three-term recurrence and the computational procedures developed in [10], we graph several SOPs not only on SG , but also on its edges. The visualization of these polynomials allows a detailed study of their qualitative properties, such as the number and location of zeroes. Observing that some polynomials seem to have more zeroes than the dimension of their ambient subspace, we raise a more fundamental question on finding sets of points which allow interpolation of functions on SG . We are able to establish that these sets must have empty interior, and proceed to construct an infinite family of such sets. We use these constructions to give a generalization of the spline quadrature formula originally developed in [15].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the analytical tools required to define the polynomials on the SG and we prove a general topological result pertaining to the location of zeroes of continuous functions on SG . The section also introduces polynomial spaces on SG and a formal definition of the Sobolev inner products whose properties are presented. This section also establishes results on the zeroes of entire functions defined in [9]. These results, while not directly related to the SOPs are of interest in their own right. Section 3 contains most of the main results of this paper, dealing with the three families of SOPs. In addition, we prove a recurrence relation and a number of related results for a generalized Sobolev inner product involving higher-order derivatives and boundary terms. Finally, in Section 4 we present the aforementioned plots of the polynomials and discuss applications to interpolation and quadrature on SG .

We conclude this introduction by pointing out that along with [10], this paper can be viewed as not only laying the foundation of a general theory of OPs on SG , but also initiate some applications of such a theory. Furthermore, because SG can be viewed as a limit of a sequence of finite graphs, our results also suggest developing this theory for graphs, and explore the

implications for graph signal processing. For more on polynomials approximation of graph signals see, e.g., [11, 17].

2. POLYNOMIALS ON SG

In the first part of this section, we collect the analytical tools on SG that are needed to prove our results. In particular, the definition of SG as a limit of a sequence of finite graphs will play an important role in some of our results. More details can be found in [14]. The second part of the section is devoted to introducing canonical families of polynomials on SG , while in the last part we collect some results on the zero sets of continuous functions on SG that are interesting in their own right.


2.1. Analysis on SG . Let $V_0 = \{q_0, q_1, q_2\} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where $q_0 := (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$, $q_1 := (0, 0)$, $q_2 := (1, 0)$ and $F_i(x) := \frac{1}{2}(x - q_i) + q_i$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$. Then SG is the unique nonempty compact set in \mathbb{R}^2 satisfying

$$SG = \bigcup_{i=0}^2 F_i(SG).$$

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_m) \in \{0, 1, 2\}^m$ to be a *word of length* $|\omega| = m$, and set $F_\omega := F_{\omega_1} \circ F_{\omega_2} \cdots F_{\omega_m}$. We call $F_\omega(SG)$ an m -cell for $|\omega| = m$. For $m \geq 0$, let $V_m = \bigcup_{|\omega|=m} F_\omega(V_0)$. Define a sequence of finite graphs Γ_m with vertices in V_m , and edges generated by the corresponding Euclidean embedding of V_m in \mathbb{R}^2 . In particular, we say that two vertices x , and $y \in V_m$ are neighbor if they lie in the same m -cell, in which case we write $y \underset{m}{\sim} x$. Note that the graph Γ_{m+1} is a refinement of the graph Γ_m , i.e., $\Gamma_{m+1} = \bigcup_{i=0}^2 F_i(\Gamma_m)$, and that SG is the limit as m goes to infinity of this sequence of graphs; see Figure 1. In the sequel we let $V^* := \bigcup_m V_m$ be the set of all *vertices*, we term V_0 the *boundary points*, and $V^* \setminus V_0$ the set of *junction points*. We refer to [14] for details. Observe also that that,

$$SG = \overline{\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{|\omega|=m} F_\omega(V_0)}.$$

In this paper, we consider only real-valued functions defined on SG . Let u, v be real-valued functions on SG and set $E_m(u, v) := \sum_{\substack{x \sim y \\ m}} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))$. Observe that E_m can be viewed as an energy functional defined on the finite graph Γ_m . The *energy* of u and v is defined as $\mathcal{E}(u, v) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^{-m} E_m(u, v)$. We say $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ if $\mathcal{E}(u, u)$ exists and is finite.

FIGURE 1. Graph Approximations Γ_m to SG for $m = 0, 1, 2, 5$.

In this case, all such functions u of finite energy are $1/2$ - Hölder continuous in the effective resistance metric, e.g., see [14].

We will assume that SG is equipped with its *standard self-similar measure*, denoted by μ and which assigns the measure $1/3^m$ to each m -cell. We note that μ is a regular Borel probability measure on SG .

Let $u \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ and f a continuous on SG . Then we say $u \in \text{dom } \Delta_\mu$ with $\Delta_\mu u = f$ if

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E}(u, v) = - \int_{SG} f v \, d\mu$$

for any $v \in \text{dom } \mathcal{E}$ vanishing on the boundary. Equation (1) is termed the *weak formulation* of the Laplacian. Furthermore, there exists an explicit formula for $\Delta_\mu u$ at any junction point x , given by $\Delta_\mu u(x) = \frac{3}{2} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 5^m \Delta_m u(x)$, where $\Delta_m u(x) := \sum_{y \sim_m x} (u(y) - u(x))$. Observe that Δ_m is the graph Laplacian on the finite graph Γ_m whose associated quadratic form is the

graph energy E_m . We note that due to the absence of a Leibniz-type rule for Δ_μ , dom_μ is not an algebra under pointwise multiplication. In fact, it is known if $u \in \text{dom}\Delta_\mu$ then $u^2 \notin \text{dom}\Delta_\mu$ [14].

The Poisson problem on SG can be uniquely solved via the *Green's function* defined on SG by

$$G(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{-1} \psi_i(x) \psi_i(y),$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}$ is the sequence of eigenvalues of $-\Delta_\mu$ and $\{\psi_i\}$ is the corresponding set of orthonormal eigenfunctions. It follows that

$$-\Delta_\mu u = f, u|_{V_0} = 0 \iff u(x) = \int_{SG} G(x, y) f(y) \, d\mu(y)$$

for any continuous function f .

From here on, we will routinely use the Green operator \mathcal{G} whose action on $f \in \text{dom}\Delta_\mu$ is given by

$$\mathcal{G}(f)(x) = - \int_{SG} G(x, y) f(y) \, d\mu(y).$$

Lastly, we will need two notions of derivatives required for the construction of polynomials on SG . The *normal derivative* $\partial_n u(q_i)$ of u at q_i is given by

$$\partial_n u(q_i) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{5}{3} \right)^m (2u(q_i) - u(F_i^m q_{i+1}) - u(F_i^m q_{i-1})),$$

and the *tangential derivative* $\partial_T u(q_i)$ of u at q_i is given by

$$\partial_T u(q_i) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 5^m (u(F_i^m q_{i+1}) - u(F_i^m q_{i-1})).$$

2.2. Polynomials on SG . We will develop a theory of SOPs based on the polynomials introduced in the SG setting in [2, 9]. Recall that for any nonnegative integer $j \geq 0$, $f : SG \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a *j -degree polynomial* if and only if $\Delta_\mu^{j+1} f = 0$ (but $\Delta_\mu^j f \neq 0$). In other words, f is j -harmonic but not $(j-1)$ -harmonic. We denote the space of j -harmonic functions as \mathcal{H}_j . The polynomials $P_{j,k}^{(l)}$ given below form a basis for the $3(j+1)$ dimensional space \mathcal{H}_j , and can be viewed as the analogs of $\frac{x^j}{j!}$. Consequently, they are termed *monomials* and the basis $\{P_{j,k}^{(l)}\}$ is termed the *monomial basis*. The monomials are characterized by the

following identities:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_\mu^n P_{j,k}^{(l)}(q_l) &= \delta_{nj} \delta_{k1}, \\ \Delta_\mu^n \partial_n P_{j,k}^{(l)}(q_l) &= \delta_{nj} \delta_{k2}, \\ \Delta_\mu^n \partial_T P_{j,k}^{(l)}(q_l) &= \delta_{nj} \delta_{k3}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $P_{j,k}^{(l_1)} = R \circ P_{j,k}^{(l_2)}$ where R is the rotation in dihedral group D_3 that takes l_1 to l_2 . As a consequence, we proceed by fixing $l = 0$. For simplicity, we will denote $P_{j,k}^{(0)}$ by $P_{j,k}$. Furthermore, the monomials split into three families parametrized by k . The families corresponding to $k = 1$ and 2 are symmetric across the line joining q_0 and $F_1 q_2$, while the $k = 3$ family is anti-symmetric across this line. Furthermore, the $k = 1$ and 3 families behave like the even and odd monomials on \mathbb{R} respectively. The monomials satisfy the following scaling properties from [9, Equations 2.4-2.6]:

$$\begin{cases} P_{j,1}(F_0^m(x)) &= 5^{-jm} P_{j,1}(x), \\ P_{j,2}(F_0^m(x)) &= \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^m 5^{-jm} P_{j,2}(x), \\ P_{j,3}(F_0^m(x)) &= 5^{-(j+1)m} P_{j,3}(x). \end{cases}$$

For $j \geq 0$, let $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \gamma_j, \eta_j$ be given by

$$\alpha_j = P_{j,1}(q_1), \beta_j = P_{j,2}(q_1), \gamma_j = P_{j,3}(q_1), \eta_j = \partial_n P_{j,1}(q_1)$$

The following recursion relations were proved in [9, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 2.12].

Lemma 2.1. With the initial data $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{6}$, $\beta_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\eta_0 = 0$, $\partial_n P_{0,2}(q_1) = \partial_n P_{0,2}(q_2) = -\frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j = \frac{4}{5^j - 5} \sum_{l=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{(j-l)} \alpha_l, & j \geq 2 \\ \beta_j = \frac{2}{15(5^j - 1)} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} (3 \cdot 5^{j-l} - 5^{l+1} + 6) \alpha_{(j-l)} \beta_l, & j \geq 1 \\ \gamma_j = 3\alpha_{j+1}, & j \geq 0 \\ \eta_j = \frac{5^j + 1}{2} \alpha_j + 2 \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \eta_l \beta_{(j-l)}, & j \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

In addition, $\partial_n P_{j,2}(q_1) = \partial_n P_{j,2}(q_2) = -\alpha_j$ for $j \geq 1$ and $\partial_n P_{j,3}(q_1) = -\partial_n P_{j,3}(q_2) = 3\eta_{j+1}$ for $j \geq 0$.

2.3. Zeroes of Polynomials. This section deals with zeros of functions defined on SG . While not directly related to the topics of orthogonal polynomials on SG , these results are interesting in their own right and could lead to more investigations on topics such as the nodal sets of polynomials and eigenfunctions on SG . The first result uses the topological structure of SG to derive a property of zeros for continuous functions.

Proposition 2.1. Let f be a continuous function defined on SG with finitely many zeros. Suppose that $Z = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^m$ is the set of the zeros of f , and set $Z_0 = Z \cap V^*$. Then for any connected component D in $SG \setminus Z_0$, either $f \geq 0$ on D or $f \leq 0$ on D .

Proof. Suppose f has finitely many zeros and $f(z_1) > 0$, $f(z_2) < 0$ with $z_1, z_2 \in D$. Define

$$s = \min_{\substack{x \neq y \in SG \\ f(x) \neq f(y) \neq 0}} |f(x) - f(y)|,$$

and choose $2^{-n} < s$. By considering small enough neighborhoods of the two points, we can assume without loss of generality that z_1 and z_2 are both junction points in V_m and $m > n$. Then there exists a simple curve $L : [0, 1] \rightarrow SG$ from z_1 to z_2 along edges in Γ_m that lie in D with constant speed. If $f \circ L \equiv 0$ on $[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$, then f has infinitely many zeros and contradiction arises. Otherwise there exists $t_0 \in [\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}]$ and $f \circ L(t_0) \neq 0$. Then we can find z'_1, z'_2 on the curve L such that $f(z'_1)f(z'_2) < 0$ and $d(z'_1, z'_2) \leq \frac{2}{3}d(z_1, z_2)$, where d denotes the distance along L and is bounded below by the Euclidean metric. Then denote the new z'_i by z_i and continue this process until $d(z_1, z_2) < 2^{-m-1}$. Then z_1 and z_2 lie on edges of the same or adjacent m -cells. In either case we can find two curves from z_1 to z_2 that intersect at most at z_1, z_2 and a junction point z that lies in L , but none of them belongs to Z . Hence by *IVT* we get two zeros of f with distance $< 2 \cdot 2^{-m} \leq 2^{-n} < s$, which is a contradiction. \square

Next we consider the zeros set of analytic functions on SG . First recall the following definition.

Definition 2.1. An entire analytic function is a function defined by power series $\sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j,k} P_{j,k}(x)$ such that $|c_{j,k}| = O(R^j)$ for some $R < \lambda_2$, where λ_2 is the second nonzero Neumann eigenvalue of the Laplacian.

Proposition 2.2. Let $f = \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_{j,k} P_{j,k}(x)$ be a nonzero entire analytic function, then the zero set Z of f is compact and nowhere dense in SG .

Proof. Suppose Z has an interior point, then Z must contain $F_\omega(SG)$ for some ω , i.e. its local power series expansion in $F_\omega(SG)$ is identically zero. Note that it has a unique extension to an entire analytic function in SG by [9, Theorem 3.6], f must be identically zero in SG . \square

We end this section by describing the behavior of zeros near the point q_0 for an entire analytic function f . In addition, under the more restrictive assumption that the following inequality

$$(2) \quad P_{j,1}(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \neq q_0, \quad \forall j > 0$$

which was conjectured in [9], is true, then we can prove a slightly stronger result.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $f(x) = \sum_{j=t_1}^{\infty} c_{j,1}P_{j,1}(x) + \sum_{j=t_2}^{\infty} c_{j,2}P_{j,2}(x) + \sum_{j=t_3}^{\infty} c_{j,3}P_{j,3}(x)$ where $c_{t_1,1}$, $c_{t_2,2}$ and $c_{t_3,3}$ are nonzero and denote by Z the zero set of f . If $t_3 < t_1 - 1$ and $t_3 < t_2$, then f has infinitely many zeros that have a limit point q_0 .*

Furthermore, suppose that conjecture (2) is true, $t_1 \leq t_2$ and $t_1 \leq t_3$. Then q_0 has a neighborhood U such that $Z \cap U \subset \{q_0\}$.

Proof. For the first claim, note $\gamma_{t_3} > 0$, and WLOG assume $c_{j,3} > 0$. Then for n large enough, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(F_0^n(q_1)) &\geq c_{t_3,3}5^{-(t_3+1)n}\gamma_{t_3} - \sum_{j=t_1}^{\infty} 5^{-jn}|c_{j,1}|||P_{j,1}||_{L^\infty} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=t_2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^n 5^{-jn}|c_{j,2}|||P_{j,2}||_{L^\infty} - \sum_{j=t_3+1}^{\infty} 5^{-(j+1)n}|c_{j,3}|||P_{j,3}||_{L^\infty} \\ &\geq c_{t_3,3}5^{-(t_3+1)n}\gamma_{t_3} - C \left(5^{-t_1n} + \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^n 5^{-t_2n} + 5^{-(t_3+2)n} \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, and using the fact that $P_{j,3}$ is anti-symmetric, we have $f(F_0^n(q_2)) < 0$ when n is large enough. Hence for large n , there always exists a zero of f on the straight line connecting $F_0^n(q_2)$ and $F_0^n(q_1)$.

As for the second claim, note that if conjecture (2) is true, then there exists $c > 0$ such that $P_{t_1,1} > c$ on $F_1(SG) \cup F_2(SG)$. Hence by similar argument,

$$f(F_0^n(x)) \geq 5^{-t_1n}c_{t_1,1}c - C \left(5^{-(t_1+1)n} + \left(\frac{3}{5}\right)^n 5^{-t_2n} + 5^{-(t_3+1)n} \right) > 0$$

for any $x \in F_1(SG) \cup F_2(SG)$, when n is large enough. \square

Remark 2.1. Using this method, we can express an entire analytic function in terms of local power series expansion and study the local behavior of zeros near a junction point.

3. SOBOLEV-LEGENDRE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS ON SG

In this section, we will consider the Sobolev inner product and their corresponding orthogonal polynomials. More specifically, given $\chi > 0$ and recalling that μ denotes the standard self-similar probability measure on SG , we consider the Sobolev inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_S = \int_{SG} f(x)g(x) \, d\mu(x) + \chi \int_{SG} \Delta f(x) \Delta g(x) \, d\mu(x).$$

We denote by $W^{1,2}(SG)$ the Hilbert space on SG corresponding to the Sobolev inner product defined above. $W^{1,2}$ is the Sobolev space of L^2 functions whose Laplacian belongs to L^2 , we refer to [13] for more on function spaces on fractals. The orthogonal polynomials we will construct will allow one to solve the following optimization problem

$$\operatorname{argmin} \|f - g_n\|_S,$$

where $f \in W^{1,2}(SG)$ and g_n is a polynomial of degree at most n on SG .

3.1. General properties. The Sobolev-Legendre OPs exhibit different properties depending on the family of monomials they are generated from. More precisely, the polynomials corresponding to the families $k = 2$ or 3 have the same properties but differ from the $k = 1$ family. In this section we focus on properties that are common to the three families while, Section 3.2 focuses on the cases $k = 2$ or 3 , and we defer the $k = 1$ case to Section 3.3. Finally, we consider Sobolev-Legendre OPs with inner products involving higher powers of the Laplacian in Section 3.4.

Definition 3.1. For fixed $k = 1, 2$ or 3 , we define the Sobolev-Legendre orthogonal polynomials (with respect to q_0) to be the set $\{s_{n,k}(x; \chi)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ of orthogonal polynomials obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt to the sequence of monomials $\{P_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^\infty$, that is,

$$s_{n,k}(x; \chi) = P_{n,k}(x) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \xi_\ell^2 \langle P_{n,k}, s_{\ell,k} \rangle_S s_{\ell,k}(x).$$

Furthermore, there exists a set of coefficients $\{z_{\ell,n}\}_{\ell=0}^n$ with $z_{n,n} = 1$ such that

$$s_{n,k}(x; \chi) = P_{n,k}(x) - \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \xi_\ell^2 \langle P_{n,k}, s_{\ell,k} \rangle_S s_{\ell,k}(x) = P_{n,k}(x) + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} z_{\ell,n} P_{\ell,k}(x).$$

It follows that

$$\langle s_{\ell,n}, s_{k,n} \rangle_S = \xi_\ell^{-2} \delta_{\ell k} \quad \text{where} \quad \|s_{\ell,k}(\cdot; \chi)\|_S^2 = \xi_\ell^{-2}.$$

When there is no confusion about k and χ we will simply write $\{s_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. The corresponding orthonormal polynomials will be denoted $\{S_{n,k}(x; \chi)\}_{n \geq 0}$ or $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ when there is no confusion.

Remark 3.1. We recall that the Legendre OP (with respect to q_0) introduced in [10] are denoted by $\{p_{n,k}(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ ($\{p_n(x)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ when there is no ambiguity) and the corresponding orthonormal Legendre OP are denoted by $\{Q_{n,k}\}_{n \geq 0}$ ($\{Q_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ when there is no ambiguity). In addition,

$$p_{n,k}(x) = P_{n,k} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} d_\ell^2 \langle P_{n,k}, p_{\ell,k} \rangle_2 p_{\ell,k}(x) = P_{n,k} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \omega_{\ell,n} P_{\ell,k}(x),$$

where $\{\omega_{\ell,n}\}_{\ell=0}^n$ is a set of coefficients with $\omega_{n,n} = 1$, and

$$\langle p_{\ell,k}, p_{\ell',k} \rangle = d_\ell^{-2} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \quad \text{where} \quad \|p_{\ell,k}\|^2 = d_\ell^{-2}.$$

We begin by proving some estimates on the norms of the polynomials $s_{n,k}$.

Theorem 3.1. *For $k = 1, 2, 3$, and $n \geq 0$ we have the following estimates.*

$$\|p_{n,k}\|_2^2 < \|s_{n,k}\|_2^2 < \|s_{n,k}\|_S^2 < \|P_{n,k}\|_S^2 = \|P_{n,k}\|_2^2 + \chi \|P_{n-1,k}\|_2^2.$$

In addition, for any $0 < r < \infty$, there exist positive constants c_1, c_r such that

$$\|s_{n,k}\|_S < (1 + \chi) \left(c_1 ((n-1)!)^{-\log 5 / \log 2} + c_r r^{-n} \right).$$

Consequently, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_{n,k}\|_S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|s_{n,k}\|_2 = 0$.

Proof. We note that $\|s_n\|_S^2 = \|s_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|\Delta s_n\|_2^2$. Moreover, it follows from the definition that $s_n = P_n - \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \xi_\ell^2 \langle P_n, s_\ell \rangle_S s_\ell$. Consequently,

$$\|s_n\|_S^2 = \|P_n\|_S^2 - \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \xi_\ell^2 |\langle P_n, s_\ell \rangle_S|^2 < \|P_n\|_S^2 = \|P_{n,k}\|_2^2 + \chi \|P_{n-1,k}\|_2^2.$$

The rest of the proof follows from [10, Theorem 3.1]. □

The next two technical results will be useful in deriving a recurrence relation between the Sobolev-Legendre and Legendre orthogonal polynomials.

Lemma 3.1. For each $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ fixed, and any integer $t \geq 1$, let

$$f_{t+1,k} := - \int_{SG} G(x, y) p_{t,k}(y) dy.$$

Then for any $t \geq 2$, we have the following statements hold.

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_n f_{t,k}(q_1) = \partial_n f_{t,k}(q_2) = 0, & \text{when } k = 2, \text{ or } 3 \\ \partial_n f_{t,k}(q_0) = \int_{SG} p_{t-1,k}(x) d\mu(x), & \text{when } k = 2 \\ \partial_n f_{t,k}(q_0) + 2\partial_n f_{t,k}(q_1) = 0, & \text{when } k = 1 \\ \partial_n f_{t,k}(q_1) + \int_{SG} p_{t-1,k}(x) P_{0,2}(x) d\mu(x) = 0, & \text{when } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

Proof. We will use the Gauss-Green formula given by

$$\int_{SG} f \Delta g - \int_{SG} g \Delta f d\mu = \sum_{l=0}^2 f(q_l) \partial_n g(q_l) - g(q_l) \partial_n f(q_l).$$

Take $f = f_{t,k}$ and set $g = p_{0,k}$. For this choice of g , the left side of the Gauss-Green formula always vanishes because $\Delta g = 0$ and $\langle g, \Delta f \rangle_{L^2} = \langle p_{0,k}, p_{t-1,k} \rangle_{L^2} = 0$. Furthermore, the first term on the right hand side vanishes because f_t vanishes on the boundary. As for the second term on the right, when $k = 2$ or 3 , $g(q_0) \partial_n f(q_0) = 0$ because for these values of k , g vanishes at q_0 .

When $k = 2$ we have due to symmetry that $\partial_n f(q_1) = \partial_n f(q_2)$ and $g(q_1) = g(q_2) = -1/2$; as a result the right side of the Gauss-Green formula reads $\frac{-1}{2}(\partial_n f(q_2) + \partial_n f(q_1)) = 0$, implying the first equation in (3) for $k = 2$. The case $k = 3$, is treated similarly using the fact that g and f are both anti-symmetric.

The second equation in (3) is obtained by setting $g := p_{0,1}$ in the Gauss-Green formula.

On the other hand, when $k = 1$, let $g \equiv 1$ and $f = f_t$. It follows that the left hand side of the Gauss-Green formula vanish, while its right hand side reduces to $\partial_n f_t(q_0) + 2\partial_n f_t(q_1) = 0$, which is precisely the third equation in (3). Finally, if we set $g := p_{0,2}$ then we get the last equation in (3). \square

The following lemma is motivated by [10, Theorem 3.5] and allows us to recursively compute the polynomial f_n defined in Lemma 3.1. We recall that the sequences α_i, β_i , and γ_i were defined in Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 3.2. Suppose $k = 1, 2$ or 3 . For any $j \geq 0$,

$$p_j := p_{j,k} = \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} P_{l,k}, \quad \zeta_{j,1} = 2 \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} \alpha_{l+1}, \quad \zeta_{j,2} = 2 \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} \beta_{l+1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{j,3} = -2 \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} \gamma_{l+1}.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} f_{j+1,k} = \zeta_{j,k} P_{0,2} + \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} P_{l+1,k}, & \text{when } k = 1 \text{ or } 2, \\ f_{j+1,3} = \zeta_{j,3} P_{0,3} + \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} P_{l+1,3}, & \text{when } k = 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Fix $k = 1, 2$, or 3 . Note that

$$f_{j+1}(x) := f_{j+1,k}(x) = - \int G(x, y) p_j(y) d\mu(y) = - \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} \int G(x, y) P_{l,k}(y) d\mu(y).$$

However,

$$- \int G(x, y) P_{l,k}(y) d\mu(y) = \begin{cases} P_{l+1,k} + 2\alpha_{l+1} P_{0,2}, & k = 1, \\ P_{l+1,k} + 2\beta_{l+1} P_{0,2}, & k = 2, \\ P_{l+1,k} - 2\gamma_{l+1} P_{0,3}, & k = 3. \end{cases}$$

□

Remark 3.2. When $k = 1$ in (4), we see that $f_{j+1,1}$ does not belong to the same family of polynomials generated from the set of monomials with $k = 1$. This fact will play a subtle role in the numerical experiments we describe later.

3.2. Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials with respect to $k = 2, 3$. In this section we investigate the Sobolev-Legendre OPs corresponding to the families $k = 2$ and 3 , as they behave similarly.

Lemma 3.3. Fix $k = 2$ or 3 . Let C be a polynomial in $\text{span} \{P_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $\deg(C) = J$. Define the function f on SG by $f(x) := - \int_{SG} G(x, y) C(y) dy$. Then, f is also in $\text{span} \{P_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $\deg(f) = J + 1$. In other words f remains in the span of the same family of monomials as C .

Proof. Observe that by distributing the Green's operator in the polynomial we can write f as

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^J c_j P_{j+1,k}(x) - \sum_{j=0}^J c_j H_j(x),$$

where H_j is a harmonic function with the same boundary values as $P_{j+1,k}$. As such we can write $H_j(x) = \sum_{l=1}^3 d_l^{(j)} P_{0,l}(x)$. Because f vanishes at q_0 , we conclude that the coefficient of $P_{0,1}$ in the above formula is 0.

Next, when $k = 2$, C and f are both symmetric, hence the coefficient of $P_{0,3}$ is zero. Similarly, when $k = 3$, C and f are both antisymmetric, hence the coefficient of $P_{0,2}$ is zero. \square

For $k = 2$, or 3 fixed, and given the Legendre OPs $\{p_{n,k}\}$, the polynomial $f_{n+1}(x) = -\int_{SG} G(x, y) p_n(y) d\mu(y)$ is of degree $n + 1$. When expressed in terms of the Legendre OPs as in [10, Theorem 3.2], one obtains a substitute of the three-term recursion formula. Instead, if we express f_{n+1} in terms of the Sobolev-Legendre OPs, we obtain the following result. This recursion will be used when we plot the Sobolev-Legendre OPs in Section 3.5.

Theorem 3.2. Fix $k = 2$ or 3, and let $\{s_n\}_{n \geq -1}$ be the family of Sobolev OP, where $s_{-1} := 0$. Let $f_0(x) := f_{0,k}(x) = 0$ and for $n \geq 0$ let

$$f_{n+1}(x) := f_{n+1,k}(x) = -\int_{SG} G(x, y) p_n(y) d\mu(y),$$

where $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is the corresponding set of monic Legendre OPs. Then the Sobolev OP satisfy the following recurrence relation:

$$(5) \quad s_{n+1} + a_n s_n + \tilde{b}_n s_{n-1} = f_{n+1} \quad n \geq 0,$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{\langle f_{n+1}, s_n \rangle_S}{\|s_n\|_S^2}, \quad \tilde{b}_n = \frac{\langle f_{n+1}, s_{n-1} \rangle_S}{\|s_{n-1}\|_S^2}.$$

Proof. Fix $n \geq 0$. Because f_{n+1} is an $n + 1$ degree polynomial, we have $f_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} a_j s_j$, where $a_j = \frac{\langle f_{n+1}, s_j \rangle_S}{\|s_j\|_S^2}$. Note that if $j < n - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{n+1}, p_j \rangle_S &= \int_{SG} f_{n+1} p_j d\mu + \chi \int_{SG} \Delta f_{n+1} \Delta p_j d\mu \\ &= -\int_{SG} \int_{SG} G(x, y) p_n(y) d\mu(y) p_j(x) d\mu(x) + \chi \int_{SG} p_n \Delta p_j d\mu \\ &= -\int_{SG} p_n(y) \int_{SG} G(x, y) p_j(x) d\mu(x) d\mu(y) + \chi \int_{SG} p_n \Delta p_j d\mu \\ &= \int_{SG} p_n(y) f_{j+1}(y) d\mu(y) + \chi \int_{SG} p_n \Delta p_j d\mu \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact p_n is orthogonal to lower order polynomials in the standard inner product. It follows that, for $j < n - 1$, $\langle f_{n+1}, s_j \rangle_S = 0$ as s_j can be written as linear combination of p_ℓ where $\ell \leq j < n - 1$. Furthermore, the coefficient $a_{n+1} = 1$ since from Lemma 3.1, f_{n+1} is monic of degree $n + 1$. The rest of the coefficients are recovered by projection. \square

Using the notations of Remark 3.1 and [10, Theorem 3.2] the following corollary is easily established.

Corollary 3.1. Fix $k = 2$ or 3 and set $s_{-1}(x) = p_{-1}(x) = 0$. For $n \geq 0$ set $f_{n+1}(x) = -\int_{SG} G(x, y)p_n(y) d\mu(y)$. The Legendre OPs $\{p_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ and the Sobolev-Legendre OPs $\{s_{n,k}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ satisfy the following relation.

$$f_{n+1}(x) = s_{n+1} + a_n s_n + \tilde{b}_n s_{n-1} = p_{n+1} + b_n p_n + c_n p_{n-1},$$

where the coefficients b_n, c_n are defined in [10, Theorem 3.2].

We next prove that as in the classical case, the Sobolev-Legendre OPs satisfy a second order differential equation involving the Legendre OPs. This result should be compared to [8, Theorem 3.1]. Note that we use the notation of [10].

Theorem 3.3. Fix $k = 2$ or 3 . Then for each $n \geq 0$, the Sobolev OPs satisfy the following second order differential equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad s_n(x) + \chi \Delta^2 s_n(x) &= \Delta p_{n+1}(x) + a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \Delta p_n(x) + d_{n-1}^2 \xi_n^{-2} \Delta p_{n-1}(x) \\ &= p_n(x) + (a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} - b_n) \Delta p_n + (d_{n-1}^2 \xi_n^{-2} - c_n) \Delta p_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are the corresponding Legendre OPs with $p_{-1} = 0$, a_n is given as in Theorem 3.2, $d_n^{-2} = \|p_n\|_2^2$, and $\xi_n^{-2} = \|s_n\|_S^2$.

Proof. For $n \geq 0$, let

$$T_{n+1}(x) = - \int_{SG} G(x, y) s_n(y) d\mu(y).$$

It is clear that $\Delta T_{n+1}(x) = s_n(x)$ and T_{n+1} is a polynomial of degree $n + 1$, with

$$T_{n+1}(x) = p_{n+1}(x) + \text{lower order terms.}$$

Given any polynomial h of degree at most $n - 2$, let $g(x) = - \int_{SG} G(x, y) h(y) \, d\mu(y)$. Since g is a polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle s_n, g \rangle_S &= \int_{SG} s_n(x) g(x) \, d\mu(x) + \chi \int_{SG} \Delta s_n(x) \Delta g(x) \, d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{SG} s_n(x) g(x) \, d\mu(x) + \chi \int_{SG} \Delta s_n(x) h(x) \, d\mu(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using the Gauss-Green formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{SG} [s_n(x) g(x) + \chi \Delta s_n(x) \Delta g(x)] \, d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{SG} [T_{n+1}(x) \Delta g(x) + \chi \Delta s_n(x) \Delta g(x)] \, d\mu(x) + \sum_{\ell=0}^2 g(q_\ell) \partial_n T_{n+1}(q_\ell) - T_{n+1}(q_\ell) \partial_n g(q_\ell) \\ &= \int_{SG} \Delta g(x) [T_{n+1}(x) + \chi \Delta^2 T_{n+1}(x)] \, d\mu(x) + \sum_{\ell=0}^2 g(q_\ell) \partial_n T_{n+1}(q_\ell) - T_{n+1}(q_\ell) \partial_n g(q_\ell). \end{aligned}$$

However, $\sum_{\ell=0}^2 T_{n+1}(q_\ell) \partial_n g(q_\ell) - g(q_\ell) \partial_n T_{n+1}(q_\ell) = 0$, so

$$\int_{SG} h(x) [T_{n+1}(x) + \chi \Delta^2 T_{n+1}(x)] \, d\mu(x) = 0$$

for all polynomial h of degree at most $n - 2$. It follows that we can write

$$T_{n+1} + \chi \Delta^2 T_{n+1} = p_{n+1} + y_n p_n + t_n p_{n-1}.$$

Now,

$$y_n = d_n^2 \langle T_{n+1} + \chi \Delta^2 T_{n+1}, p_n \rangle_2 = d_n^2 \langle T_{n+1}, p_n \rangle_2 = d_n^2 \langle s_n, f_{n+1} \rangle_2 = d_n^2 \langle s_n, f_{n+1} \rangle_S = a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2}.$$

Similarly,

$$t_n = d_{n-1}^2 \langle T_{n+1} + \chi \Delta^2 T_{n+1}, p_{n-1} \rangle_2 = d_{n-1}^2 \langle f_n, s_n \rangle_S = d_{n-1}^2 \|s_n\|_S^2 = d_{n-1}^2 \xi_n^{-2}.$$

Using [10, Theorem 3.2] and taking the Laplacian on both sides yield the result. \square

Remark 3.3. (1) Note that if we write $s_n(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n z_{\ell,n} P_\ell(x)$ and recall that $p_n(x) =$

$\sum_{\ell=0}^n \omega_{\ell,n} P_\ell(x)$ with $\omega_{n,n} = z_{n,n} = 1$, and substitute these in (6), we obtain the following

recursive formulas.

$$z_{n-1,n} = \omega_{n,n+1} + a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2},$$

$$z_{n-2,n} = -\chi + \omega_{n-1,n+1} + a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \omega_{n-1,n} + d_{n-1}^2 \xi_n^{-2},$$

$$z_{n-3,n} = -\chi(\omega_{n,n+1} + a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2}) + \omega_{n-1,n+1} + a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \omega_{n-2,n} + d_{n-1}^2 \xi_n^{-2} \omega_{n-2,n-1},$$

$$z_{n-\ell,n} = -\chi z_{n-\ell+2,n} + \omega_{n-\ell+1,n+1} + a_n d_n^2 \xi_n^{-2} \omega_{n-\ell+1,n} + d_{n-1}^2 \xi_n^{-2} \omega_{n-\ell+1,n-1}, \quad \ell = 4, 5, \dots, n.$$

(2) We can rewrite (5) in terms of the following matrix:

$$\mathcal{A} \vec{s} = \vec{f},$$

where \mathcal{A} is a semi-infinite upper triangular matrix such that

$$\mathcal{A}_{n,n} = \tilde{b}_n, \quad \mathcal{A}_{n,n+1} = a_n, \quad \mathcal{A}_{n,n+2} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}_{n,m} = 0 \text{ otherwise, with}$$

$$\vec{s} = (s_0, s_1, s_2, \dots)^T, \quad \vec{f} = (f_2, f_3, f_4, \dots)^T.$$

We collect below a number of properties of the Sobolev OPs when $k = 2$ or 3 . In particular, the next results give some refined estimates for $\|s_n\|_S$ and the coefficients a_n and \tilde{b}_n in terms of the norm of Legendre polynomials and χ .

Proposition 3.1. Let $\{a_n\}$ and $\{\tilde{b}_n\}$ be defined as in (5). Then for $n \geq 1$ the following estimates hold.

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \|p_n\|_2 \leq \|s_n\|_2 \leq \|G\|_2 \|p_{n-1}\|_2, \\ (1 + \chi \|G\|_2^{-2}) \|p_n\|_2^2 \leq \|s_n\|_S^2 \leq (\|G\|_2^2 + \chi) \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} |a_n| \leq \min\{\|G\|_2, \chi^{-1} \|G\|_2^3\}, \\ 0 < \tilde{b}_n \leq \min\{\|G\|_2^2, \chi^{-1} \|G\|_2^4\}. \end{cases}$$

where p_n is the n -th monic Legendre orthogonal polynomial.

In particular, it follows that

$$\|s_n\|_S^2 = O(\chi), \quad a_n = O(\chi^{-1}), \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{b}_n = O(\chi^{-1}).$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \chi \tilde{b}_n = \frac{\|p_n\|_2^2}{\|p_{n-2}\|_2^2}, \quad \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \chi a_n = \frac{\langle f_{n+1}, f_n \rangle}{\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta s_n\|_2 = \|p_{n-1}\|_2$$

uniformly in n .

Proof. The lower inequality in the first estimates in (7) follows from the fact that p_n and s_n are monic polynomials, while the upper estimate follow from the fact that

$$\|s_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \leq \|s_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|\Delta s_n\|_2^2 = \|s_n\|_S^2 \leq \|f_n\|_S^2 = \|f_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2$$

and Hölder's inequality.

The upper bound of the second estimate is established in a similar manner using the last inequality. The lower bound is proved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \|s_n\|_S^2 &= \|s_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|\Delta s_n\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \|p_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|p_{n-1} - a_{n-1} \Delta s_{n-1} - \tilde{b}_{n-1} \Delta s_{n-2}\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \|p_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \geq (1 + \chi \|G\|_2^{-2}) \|p_n\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $\|p_n\|_2 \leq \|G\|_2 \|p_{n-1}\|_2$ which is proved in [10, Theorem 3.4].

Next, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &= \frac{|\langle f_{n+1}, s_n \rangle_S|}{\|s_n\|_S^2} = \frac{|\langle f_{n+1}, s_n \rangle_2 + \chi \langle p_n, \Delta s_n \rangle_2|}{\|s_n\|_S^2} \\ &= \frac{|\langle f_{n+1}, s_n \rangle_2|}{\|s_n\|_S^2} \leq \frac{\|f_{n+1}\|_2 \|s_n\|_2}{\|s_n\|_S^2} \\ &\leq \frac{(\|G\|_2 \|p_n\|_2)(\|G\|_2 \|p_{n-1}\|_2)}{\chi \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2} \leq \chi^{-1} \|G\|_2^3. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time, we have

$$|a_n| \leq \frac{\|f_{n+1}\|_2 \|s_n\|_2}{\|s_n\|_S^2} \leq \frac{\|f_{n+1}\|_2}{\|s_n\|_S} \leq \frac{\|G\|_2 \|p_n\|_2}{\|p_n\|_2} = \|G\|_2.$$

Finally,

$$\tilde{b}_n = \frac{\langle f_{n+1}, s_{n-1} \rangle_S}{\|s_{n-1}\|_S^2} = \frac{\|p_n\|_2^2}{\|s_{n-1}\|_S^2} = \frac{\|p_n\|_2^2}{\|s_{n-1}\|_2^2 + \chi \|\Delta s_{n-1}\|_2^2} < \frac{\|p_n\|_2^2}{\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 + \chi \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2},$$

where we have used the fact that $\|\Delta s_n\|_2 > \|p_n\|_2$. We can thus obtain the estimates for \tilde{b}_n by equations (7). The rest of the asymptotics easily follows. \square

Remark 3.4. We can also prove that $|a_n| \leq \chi^{-1} \|G\|_2^2 \frac{\|p_n\|_2}{\|p_{n-1}\|_2}$.

Intuitively it seems that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|p_n\|_2}{\|p_{n-1}\|_2} = 0$ as $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|p_n\|_2 = 0$ at a rate faster than exponential. However, we have not been able to prove this.

Using the upper bounds in equations (7) and (8) we can see that both $\|s_n\|_2$ and $\|s_n\|_S$ decay quickly, due to the decay of $\|p_n\|_2$ norms of the Legendre OPs which was proved in [10].

When $\chi > 0$, we have the following estimate for $\|s_n\|_\infty$.

Corollary 3.2. Under above conditions, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that for $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \|\Delta s_n\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \chi^{-1} \|G\|_2^2) \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \\ \|s_n\|_\infty \leq C \left(1 + \chi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \|p_{n-1}\|_2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first estimate in (9) follows directly from the second estimate of (7). For the second estimate, we note that for any $u \in \text{dom } \Delta$, there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|u\|_\infty \leq C(\|u\|_2 + \|\Delta u\|_2)$ [15, 4.16, Lemma 4.6]. \square

By using the estimates in Proposition 3.1 and the recurrence relations in Theorem 3.2, we have the following asymptotic properties for $\{s_n(\cdot, \chi)\}$ when χ tends to ∞ .

Corollary 3.3. There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 3$ we have

$$\begin{cases} \|s_n(\cdot, \chi) - f_n\|_2 \leq 2\chi^{-1} \|G\|_2^5 \|p_{n-3}\|_2, \\ \|s_n(\cdot, \chi) - f_n\|_\infty \leq C \left(\chi^{-1} + \chi^{-\frac{3}{2}}\right) \|p_{n-3}\|_2, \\ \|s_n(\cdot, \chi) - f_n\|_S \leq \sqrt{2}\chi^{-1} \sqrt{\|G\|_2^2 + \chi} \|G\|_2^4 \|p_{n-3}\|_2. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x; \chi) = f_n(x), \\ \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \chi(s_n(\cdot, \chi) - f_n) = -\frac{\langle f_n, f_{n-1} \rangle_2}{\|p_{n-2}\|_2^2} f_{n-1} - \frac{\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2}{\|p_{n-3}\|_2^2} f_{n-2}, \\ \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \Delta s_n(x; \chi) = p_{n-1}(x), \end{cases}$$

where the limits are uniform in both x and n .

Proof. The first and third estimates come from the recurrence relation (5) and the estimates for a_n , \tilde{b}_n and s_n in Proposition 3.1. The second estimate is derived similarly, except that

we also need Corollary 3.2 for L^∞ estimate. The uniform convergence of $s_n(x, \chi)$ to $f_n(x)$ is a direct consequence of this.

Finally, observe that $\chi(s_n(\cdot, \chi) - f_n) = -\chi a_{n-1} s_{n-1} - \chi \tilde{b}_{n-1} s_{n-2}$. The result follows again from Proposition 3.1.

□

Remark 3.5. Corollary 3.3 is not true for $n < 3$. For example, $s_0 = P_0 := P_{0,k}$, and $s_1 = P_1 - \frac{\langle P_1, P_0 \rangle_2}{\|P_0\|_2^2} P_0 = p_1$. By using (5), $s_2(\cdot, \chi)$ converges to $f_2 - \frac{\|p_1\|_2^2}{\|p_0\|_2^2} p_0$ uniformly as $\chi \rightarrow \infty$.

We also observe that $\left\{ f_n(x) = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x, \chi) \right\}$ is not an OP family. Indeed,

$$\langle f_n, f_m \rangle_S = \begin{cases} \langle f_n, f_m \rangle_2, & \text{when } n \neq m, \\ \|f_n\|_2^2 + \chi \|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 = \|f_n\|_2^2 + \chi d_{n-1}^{-2}, & \text{when } n = m \end{cases}$$

However, for $|n - m| \geq 3$,

$$\langle f_n, f_m \rangle_2 = \langle p_n + b_{n-1} p_{n-1} + c_{n-1} p_{n-2}, p_m + b_{m-1} p_{m-1} + c_{m-1} p_{m-2} \rangle_2 = 0.$$

Thus, the set of polynomials $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ where $f_0 = 0$ is “almost” orthogonal with respect to both the standard inner product as well as with the Sobolev inner product. Similarly, $\left\{ -\frac{\langle f_n, f_{n-1} \rangle_2}{\|p_{n-2}\|_2^2} f_{n-1} - \frac{\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2}{\|p_{n-3}\|_2^2} f_{n-2} = \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \chi(s_n(\cdot, \chi) - f_n) \right\}$ is also “almost” orthogonal with respect to both the standard inner product as well as with the Sobolev inner product.

We can use these remarks to construct a related family of orthogonal polynomials $\{\tilde{f}_n(\cdot, \chi)\}_{n \geq 0}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_S$ as follows

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_0 = f_0 = 0, \\ \tilde{f}_1 = f_1, \\ \tilde{f}_n(x, \chi) = f_n(x) + t_n(\chi) \tilde{f}_{n-1}(x, \chi) + u_n(\chi) \tilde{f}_{n-2}(x, \chi), & n \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where the sequences $\{t_n(\chi)\}_{n \geq 2}$ and $\{u_n(\chi)\}_{n \geq 2}$ are chosen so that $\langle \tilde{f}_n(\cdot, \chi), \tilde{f}_m(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S = 0$ for $n \neq m$.

We note that a similar argument was used in [3] to construct variations of the classical Sobolev-Legendre OPs.

Proposition 3.2. There exist coefficients $\{t_n(\chi)\}_{n \geq 2}$ and $\{u_n(\chi)\}_{n \geq 2}$ such that $\{\tilde{f}_n(\cdot, \chi)\}_{n \geq 0}$ given by (10) is an orthogonal set of polynomials in the Sobolev inner product space.

Proof. For $n = 2$, we only need to find $t_2(\chi)$ such that

$$\langle \tilde{f}_2(\cdot, \chi), \tilde{f}_1(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S = \langle f_2 + t_2(\chi)f_1, f_1 \rangle_S = \langle f_2, f_1 \rangle_2 + t_2(\chi)\|f_1\|_S^2 = 0.$$

Note that $t_2(\chi) \neq 0$ since we can check that $\langle f_2, f_1 \rangle_2 \neq 0$. Using Theorems [10, Theorem 3.2] and [10, Theorem 3.4] we see that

$$\langle f_2, f_1 \rangle_2 = \langle p_2 + b_1 p_1 + c_1 p_0, p_1 + b_0 p_0 \rangle_2 = b_1 d_1^{-2} + c_1 b_0 d_0^{-2} = b_1 d_1^{-2} + b_0 d_1^{-2} = d_1^{-2}(b_0 + b_1) < 0.$$

In fact, $t_2(\chi) = -\frac{d_1^{-2}(b_0 + b_1)}{d_1^{-2} + b_0^2 d_0^{-2} + \chi d_0^{-2}} > 0$.

For $n = 3$, we must find $t_3(\chi), u_3(\chi)$ such that $\langle \tilde{f}_3(\cdot, \chi), \tilde{f}_2(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S = \langle \tilde{f}_3(\cdot, \chi), \tilde{f}_1(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S = 0$.

To see that this is always possible, we proceed as follows.

$$\langle \tilde{f}_3(\cdot, \chi), \tilde{f}_1(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S = \langle f_3 + t_3(\chi)\tilde{f}_2(\cdot, \chi) + u_3(\chi)\tilde{f}_1(\cdot, \chi), \tilde{f}_1(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S = \langle f_3, f_1 \rangle_S + u_3(\chi)\langle f_1, f_1 \rangle_S$$

We note that $\langle f_3, f_1 \rangle_S = \langle f_3, f_1 \rangle_2 = c_2 d_1^{-2} = d_2^{-2}$ from which we get $u_3(\chi) = -\frac{d_2^{-2}}{\|f_1\|_S^2 + \chi d_0^{-2}} < 0$.

Similarly,

$$\langle \tilde{f}_3(\cdot, \chi), \tilde{f}_2(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S = \langle f_3, \tilde{f}_2(\cdot, \chi) \rangle_S + t_3(\chi)\|\tilde{f}_2(\cdot, \chi)\|_S^2 = 0.$$

However, $\langle f_3, \tilde{f}_2 \rangle_S = \langle f_3, f_2 \rangle_S = \langle f_3, f_2 \rangle_2 = b_2 d_2^{-2} + b_1 d_1^{-2}$. Hence, $t_3(\chi) = -\frac{b_2 d_2^{-2} + b_1 d_1^{-2}}{\|\tilde{f}_2(\cdot, \chi)\|_S^2}$.

The rest of the proof proceed by induction. By construction $\langle \tilde{f}_n, \tilde{f}_{n+k} \rangle_S = 0$ for any $n \geq 0$ and $k = 1, 2, 3$. For any n, m such that $|n - m| > 3$ we see that $\langle \tilde{f}_n, \tilde{f}_m \rangle_S = 0$ from the fact that $\langle f_n, f_m \rangle_S = 0$ for all such indices. \square

3.3. Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials with respect to $k = 1$. In this section, we consider the Sobolev inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_S = \int_{SG} f(x)g(x) \, d\mu(x) + \chi \int_{SG} \Delta f(x) \Delta g(x) \, d\mu(x)$$

defined earlier in Section 3, and study the corresponding Sobolev OPs constructed from the family of monomials with $k = 1$. In this case, we will show that the resulting Sobolev OPs satisfy a four-term recurrence relation instead of a three-term. This will lead to slight differences in the estimates and properties of these polynomials. We will abuse the notations and still denote by $\{s_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, the Sobolev OPs for the family $k = 1$.

We first state and prove a version of Lemma 3.3 that holds only under some restrictions.

Lemma 3.4. Fix $k = 1$. Let C be a polynomial in $\text{span}\{P_{n,1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $\deg(C) = J$. Define the function f on SG by $f(x) := -\int_{SG} G(x, y)C(y)dy$. Then, f is also in $\text{span}\{P_{n,1}\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with $\deg(f) = J + 1$ if and only if $\partial_n f(q_0) = 0$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Lemma 3.3 except that when $k = 1$, by symmetry, coefficient of $P_{0,3}$ is 0 but f may include a term from $P_{0,2}$ which can be only eliminated when $\partial_n f(q_0) = 0$. Conversely, if the coefficient on $P_{0,2}$ was 0, then $\partial_n f(q_0) = 0$ because $\partial_n P_{i,1}(q_0) = 0$ for any i . \square

Results similar to the ones for $k = 2, 3$ which were proved in Section 3.2 are also valid in some sense for $k = 1$. However, we could establish these results only if we assume that the following conjecture is true. The statement uses the notations in Lemma 3.1.

Conjecture 3.1. For any integer $t \geq 0$, let

$$f_{t+1,1} := -\int_{SG} G(x, y)p_{t,1}(y)dy.$$

We have

$$\partial_n f_{t+1,1}(q_0) \neq 0.$$

In light of Lemma 3.4, Conjecture 3.1 is equivalent to the fact that $f_{t+1,1}$ does not belong to the $k = 1$ family. This is in sharp contrast to the situations for $k = 2$ or $k = 3$. While, we have not been able to establish the conjecture, we do have strong numerical evidences that it is true, and for the rest of this section we shall assume so.

The first result gives some norm estimates for s_n which should be compared to Theorem 3.1

Proposition 3.3. For $k = 1$, the Sobolev OPs satisfy the following additional estimates for $n \geq 1$.

$$\begin{cases} \|p_n\|_2^2 + \chi\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \leq \|s_n\|_S^2 \leq 2\|G\|_2^2\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 + \partial_n f_n(q_0)^2 + \chi\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2, \\ \|p_n\|_2 \leq \|s_n\|_2 \leq \|G\|_2\|p_{n-1}\|_2 + |\partial_n f_n(q_0)|. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $g := f_n - \partial_n f_n(q_0)P_{0,2}$, then $\partial_n g(q_0) = 0$, hence by Lemma 3.3, it is a polynomial spanned by $\{P_{0,1}\}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\|G\|_2^2\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 + \partial_n f_n(q_0)^2 + \chi\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \\ & \geq (\|f_n\|_2 + |\partial_n f_n(q_0)|\|P_{0,2}\|_2)^2 + \chi\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \\ & \geq \|g\|_S^2 \geq \|s_n\|_S^2 \geq \|s_n\|_2^2 + \chi\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \geq \|p_n\|_2^2 + \chi\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

□

The following is the analog of the three-term recursion formula in the context of Sobolev OPs starting from the monomials in the $k = 1$ family. Observe that it is different in nature, as the right hand side involves two terms.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\{s_n\}$ be the monic Sobolev orthogonal polynomials and $\{p_n\}$ the monic Legendre polynomials generated from the $k = 1$ family of monomials. Let $s_{-1} := 0$, $f_{n+2}(x) = -\int_{SG} G(x, y)p_{n+1}(y)dy$ and suppose that $\partial_n f_{n+2}(q_0) \neq 0$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *For each integer $n \geq -1$, the Sobolev OPs $\{s_n\}$ satisfy the following recurrence relation:*

$$s_{n+3} + a_n s_{n+2} + b_n s_{n+1} + c_n s_n = f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2},$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$\begin{cases} a_n = \frac{\langle f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}, s_{n+2} \rangle_S}{\|s_{n+2}\|_S^2}, & b_n = \frac{\langle f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}, s_{n+1} \rangle_S}{\|s_{n+1}\|_S^2}, \\ d_n = -\frac{\partial_n f_{n+3}(q_0)}{\partial_n f_{n+2}(q_0)}, & c_n = -d_n \frac{\|p_{n+1}\|_2^2}{\|s_n\|_S^2}. \end{cases}$$

- (2) *For each fixed $n \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{cases} |b_n| = O(\chi^{-1}), & |c_n| = O(\chi^{-1}), \\ \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} a_n = -d_n, & \lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \chi c_n = -d_n \frac{\|p_{n+1}\|_2^2}{\|p_{n-1}\|_2^2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Because of the assumption that $\partial_n f_{n+2}(q_0) \neq 0$, we need to choose d_n such that $\partial_n f_{n+3}(q_0) + d_n \partial_n f_{n+2}(q_0) = 0$ to ensure that the polynomial $f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}$ remains in the $k = 1$ family according to Lemma 3.4. Thus we see that $d_n = -\frac{\partial_n f_{n+3}(q_0)}{\partial_n f_{n+2}(q_0)}$.

It follows that $f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}$ can be written as a finite linear combination of monomials in $\{P_{n,1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$, and it vanishes on the boundary and has zero normal derivatives. For any

$t < n$, let g be a polynomial in the finite span of $\{P_{n,1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $\Delta g = s_t$. Then $\langle f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}, s_t \rangle_S = \int_{SG} (f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}) \Delta g \, d\mu = - \int_{SG} (p_{n+2} + d_n p_{n+1}) g \, d\mu = 0$.

Next, take h to be a monic polynomial in the finite span of $\{P_{n,1}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ such that $\Delta h = s_n$.

Then

$$c_n \|s_n\|_S^2 = \langle f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}, s_n \rangle_S = - \int (p_{n+2} + d_n p_{n+1}) h \, d\mu = -d_n \|p_{n+1}\|_2^2.$$

The expressions for a_n and b_n are trivially derived.

As for the estimates, one sees that $a_n = \frac{\int (f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}) s_{n+2} \, d\mu}{\|s_{n+2}\|_S^2} - d_n \chi \frac{\int p_{n+1}^2 \, d\mu}{\|s_{n+2}\|_S^2}$, the first term is $O(\chi^{-1})$, while the second term converges to $-d_n$ as χ goes to ∞ by Proposition 3.3.

The other arguments are just the same as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

The next result is an analog of Corollary 3.3 in the case of $k = 1$.

Corollary 3.4. Assume $k = 1$ and Conjecture 3.1 is true. Then there exists a sequence of monic polynomials $\{g_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ independent of χ such that for any $n \geq 0$, $\deg g_n = n$, $\lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} s_n(x; \chi) = g_n(x)$ where the convergence is uniform in x and n . Furthermore, $g_{n+3} + d_n g_{n+2} = f_{n+3} + d_n f_{n+2}$ for any $n \geq 1$, where d_n is given in Theorem 3.4. For the basic cases, $g_0 = p_0$, $g_1 = p_1$, $g_2 + d_{-1} g_1 = f_2 + d_{-1} f_1 - \frac{\langle f_2 + d_{-1} f_1, g_0 \rangle_2}{\|g_0\|_2^2} g_0$, and $g_3 + d_0 g_2 = f_3 + d_0 f_2 - \frac{\langle f_3 + d_0 f_2, g_0 \rangle_2}{\|g_0\|_2^2} g_0$. Moreover, for any $\alpha < 1$, $n \geq 0$, $\lim_{\chi \rightarrow \infty} \chi^\alpha (s_n(\chi) - g_n) = 0$ uniformly in x .

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Corollary 3.3. \square

3.4. Orthogonal polynomials with respect to the higher order Sobolev-type inner products. We now investigate Sobolev OPs with respect to higher order Sobolev inner products. Because most of the results are similar to the ones established in the last three sections, we shall omit most of the proofs.

Definition 3.2. For any integer $m \geq 1$, the Sobolev- m inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{S^m}$ is defined as

$$(11) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{S^m} = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \chi_\ell \int_{SG} \Delta^\ell f \Delta^\ell g \, d\mu = \int_{SG} f g \, d\mu + \sum_{\ell=1}^m \chi_\ell \int_{SG} \Delta^\ell f \Delta^\ell g \, d\mu,$$

where χ_ℓ are all non-negative constants, $\chi_0 := 1$.

The next result collects formulas for computing some specific inner products of the monomials needed to represent the orthogonal polynomials as linear combinations of these monomials [10, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 3.5. Suppose $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta_0 := 1$, $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_m \geq 0$ in (11). Then the following statements hold.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \langle P_{j,1}, P_{k,1} \rangle_{S^m} = 2 \sum_{r=0}^m \chi_r \sum_{l=j-m_*}^j (\alpha_{j-l-r} \eta_{k+l+1-r} - \alpha_{k+l+1-r} \eta_{j-l-r}), \\ \langle P_{j,2}, P_{k,2} \rangle_{S^m} = -2 \sum_{r=0}^m \chi_r \sum_{l=j-m_*}^j (\beta_{j-l-r} \alpha_{k+l+1-r} - \beta_{k+l+1-r} \alpha'_{j-l-r}), \\ \langle P_{j,3}, P_{k,3} \rangle_{S^m} = 18 \sum_{r=0}^m \chi_r \sum_{l=j-m_*}^j (\alpha_{j-l+1-r} \eta_{k+l+2-r} - \alpha_{k+l+2-r} \eta_{j-l+1-r}), \\ \langle P_{j,1}, P_{k,2} \rangle_{S^m} = -2 \sum_{r=0}^m \chi_r \sum_{l=j-m_*}^j (\alpha_{j-l-r} \alpha_{k+l+1-r} + \beta_{k+l+1-r} \eta_{j-l-r}), \\ \langle P_{j,1}, P_{k,3} \rangle_{S^m} = \langle P_{j,2}, P_{k,3} \rangle_{S^m} = 0, \\ \langle P_{j,3}^{(n)}, P_{k,3}^{(n)} \rangle_{S^m} = \langle P_{j,3}^{(0)}, P_{k,3}^{(0)} \rangle_{S^m}, \\ \langle P_{j,3}^{(n)}, P_{k,3}^{(n')} \rangle_{S^m} = -\frac{1}{2} \langle P_{j,3}^{(0)}, P_{k,3}^{(0)} \rangle_{S^m}, \end{array} \right.$$

where $\alpha'_i = \frac{1}{2}$ if $i = 0$; otherwise $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i$. $\alpha_i = \beta_i = \eta_i = 0$ if $i < 0$. $m_* := \min\{j, k\}$.

Proof. We use Lemma 2.1 in [10, Lemma 2.1] along with the following observation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P_{j,i}, P_{k,i'} \rangle_{S^m} &= \int_{SG} P_{j,i} P_{k,i'} d\mu + \sum_{r=1}^m \chi_r \int_{SG} \Delta^r P_{j,i} \Delta^r P_{k,i'} d\mu \\ &= \int_{SG} P_{j,i} P_{k,i'} d\mu + \sum_{r=1}^m \chi_r \int_{SG} P_{j-r,i} P_{(k-r)i'} d\mu = \sum_{r=0}^m \chi_r \int_{SG} P_{j-r,i} P_{(k-r)i'} d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

□

We denote by $W^{m,2}$ the Hilbert space given by this inner product. Fixing $m \geq 2$, and using this inner product for fixed $k = 1, 2$ or 3 , we apply the Gram-Schmidt algorithm to the sequence of polynomials $\{P_{n,k}^{(0)}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ to get the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials (with respect to q_0). By an abuse of notation, we still call the resulting functions, the Sobolev OPs and denote them by $\{\tilde{s}_{n,k}(x; X)\}_{n=0}^\infty$, where $X = \{\chi_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$. When there is no confusion about k and X we will simply write $\{\tilde{s}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$. The corresponding orthonormal polynomials will be denoted $\{\tilde{S}_{n,k}(x; X)\}_{n \geq 0}$ or $\{\tilde{S}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ when there is no confusion.

The first result we prove is the following generalization of Theorem 3.2 to the higher order Sobolev inner product for a fixed $k = 2$ or 3 . For convenience, we denote by \mathcal{G}^m the m fold composition of the Green operator, where $m \geq 2$ is an integer.

Theorem 3.5. *Fix an integer $m \geq 2$ and assume that $k = 2$ or 3 . Let*

$$\mathcal{F}_{m+j}(x) = \mathcal{G}^m p_j(x),$$

where $\{p_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ denotes the corresponding Legendre polynomials. For the higher order Sobolev inner product (11), we have the following generalized recursion relation for $n \geq -1$

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_{n+m+1} = \tilde{s}_{n+m+1} + \sum_{\ell=0}^{2m-1} a_{n,\ell} \tilde{s}_{n+m-\ell}, \\ a_{n,\ell} = \frac{\langle \mathcal{F}_{n+m+1}, \tilde{s}_{n+m-\ell} \rangle_{S^m}}{\langle \tilde{s}_{n+m-\ell}, \tilde{s}_{n+m-\ell} \rangle_{S^m}}, \end{cases}$$

and $\tilde{s}_j := 0$ if $j < 0$.

Proof. Let $g_n = \mathcal{F}_{n+m+1} - \tilde{s}_{n+m+1} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{2m-1} a_{n,\ell} \tilde{s}_{n+m-\ell}$. We know that g_n has degree $\leq n+m$. Consider $\langle g_n, \tilde{s}_t \rangle_{S^m}$ for $t < n+m$. For $n-m+1 \leq t \leq n+m$, it follows from the definition that $\langle g_n, \tilde{s}_t \rangle_{S^m} = 0$. For $0 \leq t < n-m+1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g_n, \tilde{s}_t \rangle_{S^m} &= -\langle \mathcal{F}_{n+m+1}, \tilde{s}_t \rangle_{S^m} = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \chi_\ell \int_{SG} \Delta^\ell \mathcal{F}_{n+m+1} \Delta^\ell \tilde{s}_t \, d\mu \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^m \chi_\ell \int_{SG} \mathcal{G}^{m-\ell} p_{n+1} \Delta^\ell \tilde{s}_t = \sum_{\ell=0}^m \chi_\ell \int_{SG} p_{n+1} \mathcal{G}^{m-\ell} (\Delta^\ell \tilde{s}_t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.3. Thus, we have shown that $g_n = 0$. \square

Similarly to the asymptotics analysis of the Sobolev OPs when $m = 1$ done in Section 3.2, we now state and give short proofs of analogous results for higher order Sobolev OPs.

Corollary 3.5. Let $m \geq 2$ and fix $k = 2$ or 3 . Suppose that $\{\chi_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^m$ is such that $\chi_\ell \leq \chi_m$ for each $0 \leq \ell \leq m$. Then there exist positive constants $C_1 = C_1(n, \mu, m)$, $C_2 = C_2(n, \mu, m)$ such that for any $n \geq 0$,

$$C_1 \leq \|\tilde{s}_n\|_{S^m}^2 \leq C_2, \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 + \chi_m \|p_{n-m}\|_2^2 \leq \|\tilde{s}_n\|_{S^m}^2 \leq C_2 + \chi_m \|p_{n-m}\|_2^2.$$

Consequently, for any $n \geq 2m+1$, we have

$$\|\tilde{s}_n - \mathcal{F}_n\|_2 \leq C(n, M, m, \mu) \chi_m^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\chi_m \rightarrow \infty} \|\Delta^i \tilde{s}_n - \mathcal{G}^{m-i} p_{n-m}\|_\infty = 0.$$

for any $0 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. The first two estimates follow from the fact that

$$C(m, n, M, \mu) + \chi_m \|p_{n-m}\|_2^2 \geq \|\mathcal{F}_n\|_{S^m}^2 \geq \|\tilde{s}_n\|_{S^m}^2 \geq \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \chi_\ell \|\Delta^\ell \tilde{s}_n\|_2^2 + \chi_m \|p_{n-m}\|_2^2.$$

However,

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \chi_\ell \|\Delta^\ell \tilde{s}_n\|_2^2 + \chi_m \|p_{n-m}\|_2^2 \geq \|p_n\|_2^2 + \chi_m \|p_{n-m}\|_2^2.$$

Next, we estimate directly the coefficients in recurrence. By using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle_{S^m} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \chi_\ell \langle \Delta^\ell f, \Delta^\ell g \rangle_2$ along with the fact that

$$\langle \Delta^m \mathcal{F}_n, \Delta^m \tilde{s}_t \rangle_2 = \langle p_{n-m}, \Delta^m \tilde{s}_t \rangle_2 = 0$$

for $t < n$, we have $|a_{n-1-m, \ell}| \leq \chi_m^{-1} C(m, n, \mu, M)$ for any ℓ .

Estimating the L^2 norm of $\mathcal{F}_n - \tilde{s}_n$ directly in the recurrence by the triangle inequality, and observing that all norms in a finite dimensional space are equivalent, completes the proof. \square

The next result is a generalization of Theorem 3.3. For the sake of completeness we include its proof.

Theorem 3.6. *Fix $k = 2$ or 3 . Then for the higher order Sobolev inner product (11), the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials satisfy the following differential equation for each $n \geq m$:*

$$\tilde{s}_n(x) + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \Delta^{2l} \tilde{s}_n(x) = \Delta^m p_{n+m}(x) + \sum_{l=1}^{2m} d_{n+m-l}^2 \tilde{\xi}_n^{-2} a_{n+m-l-1, 2m-l-1} \Delta^m p_{n+m-l},$$

where $\{p_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ are the corresponding Legendre OPs, $\{a_{n,l}\}$ is given as in Theorem 3.5, $d_n^{-2} = \|p_n\|_2^2$, and $\tilde{\xi}_n^{-2} = \|\tilde{s}_n\|_{S^m}^2$.

Proof. Let $n \geq m$. Given any polynomial h of degree at most $n - m - 1$, let $g(x) = \mathcal{G}^m h(x)$. Since g is a polynomial of degree at most $n - 1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \langle \tilde{s}_n, g \rangle_{S^m} \\
&= \int_{SG} \tilde{s}_n(x) g(x) \, d\mu(x) + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \int_{SG} \Delta^l \tilde{s}_n(x) \Delta^l g(x) \, d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{SG} \tilde{s}_n(x) \mathcal{G}^m h(x) \, d\mu(x) + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \int_{SG} \Delta^l \tilde{s}_n(x) \mathcal{G}^{m-l} h(x) \, d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{SG} \mathcal{G}^m \tilde{s}_n(x) h(x) \, d\mu(x) + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \int_{SG} \mathcal{G}^{m-l} (\Delta^l \tilde{s}_n)(x) h(x) \, d\mu(x) \\
&= \int_{SG} \left(\mathcal{G}^m \tilde{s}_n(x) + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \mathcal{G}^{m-l} (\Delta^l \tilde{s}_n)(x) \right) h(x) \, d\mu(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathcal{G}^m \tilde{s}_n(x) + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \mathcal{G}^{m-l} (\Delta^l \tilde{s}_n)(x)$ is a monic polynomial of degree $n + m$, thus we can write

$$\mathcal{G}^m \tilde{s}_n(x) + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \mathcal{G}^{m-l} (\Delta^l \tilde{s}_n)(x) = p_{n+m}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{2m} b_{n,i} p_{n+m-i}(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{n,i} &= d_{n+m-i}^2 \langle \mathcal{G}^m \tilde{s}_n + \sum_{l=1}^m \chi_l \mathcal{G}^{m-l} (\Delta^l \tilde{s}_n), p_{n+m-i} \rangle_2 \\
&= d_{n+m-i}^2 \sum_{l=0}^m \chi_l \langle \Delta^l \tilde{s}_n, \mathcal{G}^{m-l} (p_{n+m-i}) \rangle_2 \\
&= d_{n+m-i}^2 \sum_{l=0}^m \chi_l \langle \Delta^l \tilde{s}_n, \Delta^l \mathcal{F}_{n+2m-i} \rangle_2 \\
&= d_{n+m-i}^2 \langle \tilde{s}_n, \mathcal{F}_{n+2m-i} \rangle_{S^m} \\
&= d_{n+m-i}^2 \tilde{\xi}_n^{-2} a_{n+m-i-1, 2m-i-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Taking Laplacian m times on both sides yields the result. \square

Remark 3.6. Theorem 3.5 may be established for the following more general inner product:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle f, g \rangle_{S^m} &= \sum_{\ell=0}^m \chi_\ell \langle \Delta^\ell f, \Delta^\ell g \rangle_2 + \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} \chi'_\ell \mathcal{E}(\Delta^\ell f, \Delta^\ell g) + \\
&\quad \sum_{\ell=0}^{m-1} [\Delta^\ell f(q_0) \Delta^\ell f(q_1) \Delta^\ell f(q_2)] M_\ell [\Delta^\ell g(q_0) \Delta^\ell g(q_1) \Delta^\ell g(q_2)]^T,
\end{aligned}$$

where χ_ℓ, χ'_ℓ are non-negative, M_ℓ are positive semi-definite 3×3 matrices.

3.5. Numerical results. We first consider the Sobolev-Legendre OPs constructed from the families of monomials corresponding to $k = 2, 3$. In this case, the recurrence relation (5) allows us to recursively evaluate the anti-symmetric Sobolev orthogonal polynomials s_n , once s_{n-1}, s_{n-2} , and f_n are known. This approach is used to generate and plot these polynomials on SG in Figure 2. We note that the plots are approximations of the Sobolev OPs on the finite graph approximations Γ_m of SG .




FIGURE 2. Plotting the Sobolev Orthogonal Polynomials — top row: $k = 3$, bottom row: $k = 2$. For both cases we have $\chi = 1$.

One initial observation is that the Sobolev polynomials are 4 orders of magnitude smaller than the Legendre polynomials found in Figure 4 of [10]. This is due to the L^∞ estimate given in Corollary 3.2. The estimate also shows that s_n decays to the zero polynomial uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ due to the decay in $\|p_n\|_{L^2}$. Consequently in Figure 2 as the degree increases, the orders of magnitudes of the polynomials fall rapidly. In fact, for a sufficiently large

n , the values taken by s_n are arbitrarily small. To evaluate such high-degree polynomials without accumulating error we switch to computing in rational arithmetic. Our evaluation algorithm is as follows: we first evaluate the “easy” basis $\{f_{j,k}\}$ given in [15, Equation 2.3] through [15, Lemma 2.6]. Then we use [9, Theorem 2.3] to convert from the easy basis to the monomial basis $\{P_{j,k}\}$. Finally, after computing the coefficients $\{z_{l,n}\}$ from Definition 3.1 using either the Gram-Schmidt process or (5), we obtain the Sobolev polynomials s_n by taking a linear combination of the evaluated monomials with the coefficients $\{z_{l,n}\}$. The main drawback in this approach is that we can only evaluate s_n on a graph approximation V_m and with increasing m the complexity of the recursion in [15, Lemma 2.6] grows exponentially. Additionally, for small n , the coefficients $\{z_{n,l}\}$ may be computed using a Gram-Schmidt routine. However, for large n , it is more advisable to use the recurrence relation instead. The complete code listing and documentation can be found at [16].

We also initiate an investigation of the zero sets of the Sobolev-Legendre polynomials on SG by taking the approach in [10]. It is known that the Sobolev and Legendre polynomials have interlacing zeroes on $[-1, 1]$, see [7] for details. Analogously, Figure 3 is suggestive of ssimilar mutual interlacing patterns between the zeroes of p_n (Legendre OPs on SG), s_n (Sobolev-Legendre OPs on SG). Notice that these interlacing properties are highly irregular on the edges of SG . Furthermore, the bottom row in Figure 3 suggests that the zeros of s_n may not all be simple: $s_{1,7}$ seems to have a zero of multiplicity greater than one. But we have not been able to prove or disprove this observation, even though we can use data at higher resolutions to lend credence to this guess.

By the bottom edge, we mean the edge between q_1 and q_2 included. By a side edge, we mean the edge between q_0 and q_i for $i = 1, 2$ including q_0 but not q_i . Our methodology in counting zeroes was rudimentary. We plotted the polynomials on Γ_7 , which meant we had 129 evaluation points on each edge. Then we simply computed the number of times the polynomial changed sign and concluded that by continuity the polynomial must have had a zero in the interval. There are two clear issues with this methodology: first, the polynomial may have more than one zero between two points of opposite sign. Secondly, this methodology cannot be used to compute non-simple zeroes. From the plots we observe that the edges look tangential to the polynomials at some points, implying the existence of high-multiplicity zeroes (HMZs). But we can only evaluate the polynomial at finitely many




FIGURE 3. Interlacing patterns of s_n and p_n on the edges of SG — top row: edge between q_0 and q_1 , bottom row: edge between q_1 and q_2 .

points. Consequently, sometimes, an HMZ can get trapped between evaluation points, so in our data it looks like the polynomial takes a non-zero value at the HMZ.

Using the above methodology of counting zeros, we plot the number of edge zeroes taken by Legendre and Sobolev polynomials against the degrees. We next plot Figure 5 the symmetric Sobolev-Legendre OPs $\{s_n\}$ obtained from the family of monomial corresponding to $k = 1$. The construction of these OPs depends on Conjecture 3.1 which we have not been able to prove, but which numerical simulations suggest should be true.

We also investigate the behavior of the SOPs when $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ for the Sobolev inner product given by (3) for $k = 3$.

Finally, we plot higher order Sobolev orthogonal polynomials for the S^m inner product in Equation (11).

We make two comments with regards to Figure 7. First, recall that fixing χ and a family of monomials k , the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials $\{s_{n,k}(\cdot, \chi)\}$ converge to 0 as the degree n




FIGURE 4. Number of zeroes of p_d and s_d on the edges of SG. Note that some high degree Sobolev polynomials have more zeroes than $\dim(\mathcal{H}_d) = 3d + 3$




FIGURE 5. Plots of the symmetric (H^1) Sobolev-Legendre orthogonal polynomials s_j for $j = 2, 12$. Here we have $\chi = 1$ and $k = 1$.

increases. Furthermore, in 6 the precision of $s_n(\cdot, \chi)$ depletes with increase in χ . This is not true for the parameter m : as m increases, the eighth degree polynomial *increases* in precision as we add more terms to the inner product. Second, consecutive orthogonal polynomials s_8^m and s_8^{m+1} are quite different in shape from each other. This may also be due to the use of small values of m .




FIGURE 6. Studying $s_3(\cdot, \chi)$ for $\chi = 10^m$ where $2 \leq m \leq 4$. Note that here $k = 3$. As $\chi \rightarrow \infty$ we can observe the convergent behaviour of the polynomial $s_3(\cdot, \chi)$ to f_3 as outlined in Corollary 3.3.

3.6. Implementation and Code Design. To generate plots of the orthogonal polynomials and test their properties numerically, we first compute the orthogonal polynomials directly from the monomial basis using the Gram-Schmidt process. In order to do this, we require the inner products between the monomials. Using the results of in [10, Lemma 2.1], we compute these inner products in terms of the coefficients $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \eta_j$, and α'_j . To calculate the coefficients, we use the recursion relation from of [10, Theorem B]. Moreover, all calculations are done in exact rational arithmetic and numerical values are only converted to floating point representations at the end of all calculations. Most of the computations involve recursions so the code has been memorised to improve efficiency.

The values of $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \eta_j$, and α'_j are then used to calculate inner products between the monomial basis, and the results are stored in a Gram Matrix. Arbitrary polynomials of a certain family (value of k) are stored as their coordinate vectors in the monomial basis. Thus, inner




FIGURE 7. Visualizing $s_8^{(m)}(\cdot, X)$ where m is the number of terms in the inner product in Equation (11). The above polynomial is the 8th degree polynomial obtained by applying the Gram-Schmidt process to $\{P_{j,3}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the inner product in Equation (11) for $2 \leq m \leq 5$. Note that we set $X = \mathbf{1}_m$ and the energy and boundary terms in the inner product to be zero.

products between arbitrary polynomials are computed as Euclidean inner products weighted by the Gram Matrix. This allows the Gram Schmidt algorithm to be implemented more efficiently.

For Sobolev-type inner products of any order, we first decompose inner products of Laplacians of monomials into inner products of monomials of lower degree and then build the Gram Matrix. For inner products involving the energy, the Gauss-Green formula is used to rewrite the inner product in terms of lower degree monomials.

The recursion relations for $k = 2$ and $k = 3$ involve computing the coordinates of the $f_{j,k}$ with respect to the monomial basis. To compute these, we use the results of Lemma 3.2. This involves first computing the Legendre polynomials. Thus, we first compute the Legendre

and Sobolev polynomials up to degree 2 using Gram-Schmidt. Then, we use the recursion relation in [10, Theorem 3.5] to calculate the remaining Legendre polynomials. Finally, we use the Legendre coordinates to compute $f_{j,k}$ and use those in the formula from (3.2) to calculate the remaining Sobolev polynomials.

In the case that $k = 1$, notice that the formula given in Lemma 3.2 for $f_{j,1}$ is very similar to the formula for $f_{j,2}$. The only differences are that $f_{j,1}$ has a nontrivial projection onto $P_{0,2}$ and $\zeta_{j,1}$ depends on the α coefficients. However, we note that the prefactor of ± 2 on the $\zeta_{j,2}$ and $\zeta_{j,3}$ are actually $-1/\beta_0$ and $-1/\gamma_0$ respectively. If we follow this pattern and create a function

$$\tilde{f}_{j+1,1} = \tilde{\zeta}_{j,1} P_{0,1} + \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} P_{l+1,k} \quad \text{where} \quad \tilde{\zeta}_{j,1} = - \sum_{l=0}^j \omega_{j,l} \alpha_{l+1},$$

we can actually use the recursion relation (3.2) with \tilde{f} in place of f and $k = 1$ to generate the Sobolev polynomials.

Once we have the coordinate vectors of the orthogonal polynomials, we evaluate the monomials using the relations given in [9] and then generate the relevant plot. The plots in this paper were created in MATLAB for stylistic purposes.

4. APPLICATIONS

In this last section, we explore two applications motivated by the study of zeroes of the Sobolev orthogonal polynomials. In Section 4.1 we consider the problem of polynomial interpolation on SG , while Section 4.2 treats the topic of quadrature rules for numerical integration on SG .

4.1. Polynomial Interpolation. We recall that for any set $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^{n+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$, there exists a unique real polynomial p of degree n such that $p(x_i) = y_i$. Thus any n degree polynomial belongs to a $d = n + 1$ dimensional subspace of $P(\mathbb{R})$, and it is uniquely determined by its values on d distinct points. Motivated by this fact, we pose the following question on SG : Is a degree j polynomial uniquely defined by its values on finite set $E \subset SG$? If so what is the cardinality of E as compared to the degree j of the polynomial or the dimension of the subspace in which it resides? To investigate this problem, it is enough to understand the zero set of a polynomial of degree j on SG . We further simplify the question by first studying the zeroes of degree j anti-symmetric polynomials, which form a

$j + 1$ -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{H}_j . For example, it appears that $s_{1,5}$ has 19 zeroes in total on the bottom edge, and 27 total side edge zeroes. Hence, $s_{1,5}$ seems to have at least 22 zeroes in each half of SG . Let x_0, \dots, x_{15} represent the first 16 of these zeroes. Then $s_{1,5}(x_k) = 0$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 15$ even though $s_{1,5}$ is not identically 0. We can rewrite this to say the following matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} P_{0,3}(x_0) & \dots & P_{15,3}(x_0) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{0,3}(x_{15}) & \dots & P_{15,3}(x_{15}) \end{bmatrix}$$

is singular. This statement is in stark contrast with polynomials on \mathbb{R} , and seems to imply that the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra does not hold for polynomials on SG .

Now we move to the general case. A general polynomial f of degree n is given by

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=1}^3 c_{j,k} P_{j,k}(x).$$

Consequently f has $3n + 3$ degrees of freedom (i.e it lies in a $3n + 3$ dimensional subspace of $P(SG)$). We then ask if there exist sets of $3n + 3$ distinct points on SG that uniquely determine every polynomial of degree n . This is equivalent to the existence of sets of $3n + 3$ distinct points $E = \{x_1, \dots, x_{3n+3}\}$ for which the following matrix is invertible

$$(12) \quad M_n = \begin{bmatrix} P_{0,1}(x_1) & \dots & P_{n,3}(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{0,1}(x_{3n+3}) & \dots & P_{n,3}(x_{3n+3}) \end{bmatrix}$$

We shall refer to the matrix M_n as the interpolation matrix on the set $\{x_1, \dots, x_{3n+3}\}$. It is easy to check that with the choice $x_i = F_0^{(i-1)}(q_1)$ with $1 \leq i \leq 3n + 3$, then $[P_{1,1}(x_1) \dots P_{1,1}(x_{3n+3})]$ and $[P_{0,3}(x_1) \dots P_{0,3}(x_{3n+3})]$ are colinear by scaling properties, hence the corresponding interpolation matrix is not invertible. We start by observing that when we take $n = 1$ the points in V_1 completely determine any polynomial of degree 1.

Lemma 4.1. Let $g \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Then g is determined uniquely by its values on V_1 .

Proof. The proof is by direct computation of the interpolation matrix. We switch to the easy basis $\{f_{j,k}\}$ where $j = 0, 1$ and $k = 0, 1, 2$. Suppose $g|_{V_0} \equiv 0$. Then, $g = c_0 f_{1,0} + c_1 f_{1,1} + c_2 f_{1,2}$. Now suppose $g|_{V_1} \equiv 0$. Then to check whether $c_i = 0$ we need to check the invertibility of

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_{1,0}(F_0 q_1) & f_{1,1}(F_0 q_1) & f_{1,2}(F_0 q_1) \\ f_{1,0}(F_1 q_2) & f_{1,1}(F_1 q_2) & f_{1,2}(F_1 q_2) \\ f_{1,0}(F_2 q_0) & f_{1,1}(F_2 q_0) & f_{1,2}(F_2 q_0) \end{bmatrix}$$

But this is a circulant matrix and $f_{1,0}(F_0 q_1) + f_{1,1}(F_0 q_1) + f_{1,2}(F_0 q_1) = -1/15 \neq 0$ so it is invertible. \square

Unfortunately, the proof given for Lemma 4.1 does not generalize to higher order polynomials. However, under an assumption we have not been able to establish, the following set of $3n+3$ points uniquely determined any polynomial of degree n on SG .

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that each term in the sequence β_j defined in Lemma 2.1 never vanishes. For any $n \geq 0$, take $x_i = F_0^{(i-1)}(q_1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n+2$, and $x_i = F_0^{(i-2n-3)}(q_2)$ for $2n+3 \leq i \leq 3n+3$. Then the matrix (12) is invertible.

Proof. Suppose not, then there exists a non-zero vector $[a_1, \dots, a_{3n+3}]$ such that $f(x_i) = 0$ where $f := \sum_{i=1}^{i=n+1} a_i P_{i-1,1} + a_{n+1+i} P_{i-1,2} + a_{2n+2+i} P_{i-1,3} = f_1 + f_2 + f_3$ where $f_k = \sum_{i=1}^{i=n+1} a_{(k-1)(n+1)+i} P_{i-1,k}$. Note $f(F_0^{(i-1)}(q_1)) = f(F_0^{(i-1)}(q_2)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ so symmetry, we have $f_3(F_0^{(i-1)}(q_1)) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$. But notice the determinant of

$$M_n = \begin{bmatrix} P_{0,3}(x_1) & \dots & P_{n,3}(x_1) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_{0,3}(x_{n+1}) & \dots & P_{n,3}(x_{n+1}) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_0 & \dots & \gamma_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 5^{-n}\gamma_0 & \dots & 5^{-n^2}\gamma_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is the product of some γ_i (which are all positive) and the determinant of a Vandermonde matrix, which is $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (5^{-j} - 5^{-i})$ by [9, Equation 2.6]. It follows that $f_3 = 0$, and so f has no anti-symmetric part.

Using a similar argument along with [9, Equations 2.4-2.6], we can establish that all the coefficients in $f = f_1 + f_2$ must vanish. This comes down to proving that the determinant of certain Vandermonde matrices are non zero. It is here that we need the fact that $\beta_j \neq 0$. We note that values of β_j for $j = 0, \dots, 20$ were given in [9, Table 1]. In addition, by [9,

Theorem 2.9], $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (-\lambda_2)^j \beta_j = c \neq 0$, where $\lambda_2 = 135.572126995788\dots$. It follows that for j large, we could assume $\beta_j \neq 0$. \square

Finally, we note that in general the sets of points that could be used to uniquely define a polynomial is rather “thin” in the following sense. We first introduce the following notion that appeared in the investigation of similar interpolation problems in the context of finite dimensional subspaces of $C(\Omega)$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in [5].

Definition 4.1. Fix $n \geq 2$. A subset $I \subseteq SG$ is called n -interpolatory set of SG if for any subset $N \subseteq I$ such that $|N| = 3n + 3$, M_n is invertible on N .

The next result, following a trick of Haar [8], shows that n -interpolatory sets on SG have empty interior.

Proposition 4.1. For $n \geq 2$, let $I_n \subseteq SG$ be an n -interpolatory set. Then I_n cannot contain the three edges of a cell with $3n + 1$ additional points. In particular, it cannot contain a cell.

Proof. Suppose there is a cell C such that all the three edges of C lie in I_n . Let $I = \{a, b\}$ where a and b are vertices in C . There exist two different paths γ and η joining a and b . We may parametrize these paths as $\gamma(t)$ and $\eta(t)$ where $0 \leq t \leq 1$ such that $\gamma(1) = \eta(0) = a$ and $\gamma(0) = \eta(1) = b$. Let $B = \{x_1, \dots, x_{3n+1}\} \subset I_n$, be any set of $3n + 1$ points not on $\gamma \cup \eta$. Thus, $S = B \cup I$ is a set of $3n + 3$ points and so M_n is invertible on S since I_n is n -interpolatory. Now for every t , M_n stays invertible on $\{\eta(t), \gamma(t)\} \cup B$ as we picked B to not coincide with γ and η . Traversing the two paths from $t = 0$ to $t = 1$ switches the rows of M_n and hence the sign of its determinant. Thus, the determinant must vanish for some $T \in (0, 1)$. Consequently, M_n is not invertible in the set $B \cup \{\gamma(T), \eta(T)\} \subseteq I_n$, resulting in a contradiction. \square

Interpolation of functions on graphs has been investigated in a variety of settings. We refer to [11, 17] and the references therein for more details.

4.2. Quadrature on SG. In [15], the authors prove a quadratic error bound for Simpson’s rule on SG , by interpolating a function using quadratic splines at level m . More generally, in analogy with Newton-Cotes rules on \mathbb{R} , we may consider computing the integral of f on SG by interpolating it on V_m using splines of order n . But, as was discussed in the previous

section, we may not be able to interpolate a function uniquely using splines of order n using any selection of $3n + 3$ points on V_m (Simpson's rule is a lucky case where $|V_1| = \dim(\mathcal{H}_1)$). However, the particular solution for the interpolation problem in Lemma 4.2 allows us to prove the following estimate for a general spline quadrature rule:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{3n+3}$ be defined as in Lemma 4.2. Given a quadrature rule $I_n^n(f) := \sum_{i=1}^{3n+3} \omega_i f(x_i)$ which exactly integrates functions in \mathcal{H}_n . Let $I_n^m(f) := \sum_{|w|=m-n} I_n^n(f \circ F_w)$. Then we have the following estimate on the quadrature error:*

$$\left| I_n^m(f) - \int_{SG} f \, d\mu \right| \leq c_1(n) 5^{-(n+1)m} \|\Delta^{(n+1)} f\|_\infty.$$

Proof. Break up $\int_{SG} f \, d\mu$ into integrals over cells $F_w SG$ where $|w| = m - n$. For one such cell, let $g_w \in \mathcal{H}_n$ be such that $g_w = f|_{V_n}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| I_n^n(f \circ F_w) - \int f \circ F_w \, d\mu \right| &= \left| \int g_w - f \circ F_w \, d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \|g_w - f \circ F_w\|_\infty \leq c_1(n) \|\Delta^{(n+1)}(f \circ F_w)\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality results from applying $(n + 1)$ -times Green operators to $\Delta^{(n+1)}(f \circ F_w)$ and making use of the interpolation and the properties of a finite-dimensional normed space. Combining the subintegrals over the cells and applying the triangle inequality results in

$$\begin{aligned} \left| I_n^m(f) - \int_{SG} f \, d\mu \right| &= 3^{-(m-n)} \left| \sum_{|w|=m-n} I_n^n(f \circ F_w) - \int f \circ F_w \, d\mu \right| \\ &\leq c_1(n) \sup_{\omega} \|\Delta^{(n+1)}(f \circ F_w)\|_\infty \leq c_1(n) 5^{-(n+1)m} \|\Delta^{(n+1)} f\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.1. In practice, we find that using this construction for interpolation and quadrature is unstable due to Runge phenomena. The quadrature rules are exact for polynomials by construction, but using high order quadrature for other functions results in large numerical instabilities. To fix this problem, we could attempt to construct piecewise polynomial spline interpolants, which are much more stable. However, this construction would again involve determining a formula for extending a function n -harmonically.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Kasso A. Okoudjou was partially supported by the U. S. Army Research Office grant W911NF1910366, and an MLK visiting professorship at MIT. Jiang, Lan, Sule, and Venkat would like to acknowledge the REU at Cornell University.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. ALTHAMMER, *Eine Erweiterung des Orthogonalitätsbegriffes bei Polynomen und deren Anwendung auf die beste Approximation*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 211 (1962), pp. 192–204.
- [2] S. BEN-GAL, A. SHAW-KRAUSS, R. S. STRICHARTZ, AND C. YOUNG, *Calculus on the Sierpinski gasket II: Point singularities, eigenfunctions, and normal derivatives of the heat kernel*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 358 (2006), pp. 3883–3936.
- [3] J. COHEN, E. A., *Zero distribution and behavior of orthogonal polynomials in the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}[-1, 1]$* , SIAM J. Math. Anal., (1975), pp. 105–116.
- [4] J. KIGAMI, *Analysis on fractals*, vol. 143, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [5] J. C. MAIRHUBER, *On haar’s theorem concerning chebychev approximation problems having unique solutions*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 7 (1956), pp. 609–615.
- [6] F. MARCELLÁN AND J. J. MORENO-BALCÁZAR, *What is ... a Sobolev orthogonal polynomial?*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 64 (2017), pp. 873–875.
- [7] F. MARCELLAN AND Y. XU, *On Sobolev orthogonal polynomials*, Expositiones Mathematicae, 33 (2015), pp. 308 – 352.
- [8] H. G. MEIJER, *A short history of orthogonal polynomials in a Sobolev space I. the non-discrete case*, Nieuw Arch. voor Wiskunde, 14 (1996), pp. 93–112.
- [9] J. NEEDLEMAN, R. S. STRICHARTZ, A. TEPLYAEV, AND P.-L. YUNG, *Calculus on the Sierpinski gasket I: polynomials, exponentials and power series*, Journal of Functional Analysis, 215 (2004), pp. 290 – 340.
- [10] K. A. OKOUDJOU, R. S. STRICHARTZ, AND E. K. TULEY, *Orthogonal polynomials on the sierpinski gasket*, Constructive Approximation, 37 (2013), pp. 311–340.
- [11] I. PESENSON, *Variational splines and Paley–Wiener spaces on combinatorial graphs*, Constr. Approx., 29 (2009), pp. 1–21.
- [12] F. W. SCHÄFKE, *Zu den Orthogonalpolynomen von Althammer*, J. Reine Angew. Math., 252 (1972), pp. 195–199.
- [13] R. S. STRICHARTZ, *Function spaces on fractals*, J. Funct. Anal., 198 (2003), pp. 43–83.
- [14] ———, *Differential Equations on Fractals: A Tutorial*, Princeton University Press, 2006.
- [15] R. S. STRICHARTZ AND M. USHER, *Splines on fractals*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, 129 (2000), pp. 331–360.

- [16] S. SULE AND S. VENKAT, *Numerics for SOB-OPs*. https://github.com/s769/op_on_sg, 2020. Online; accessed 29-December-2020.
- [17] J. P. WARD, F. J. NARCOWICH, AND J. D. WARD, *Interpolating splines on graphs for data science applications*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 49 (2020), pp. 540–557.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MALOTT HALL, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853, USA
Email address: `qj46@cornell.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ETH ZURICH, RAMISTRASSE 101, 8092 ZURICH, SWITZERLAND
Email address: `tialan@student.ethz.ch`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TUFTS UNIVERSITY, MEDFORD MA 02131, USA
Email address: `Kasso.Okoudjou@tufts.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MALOTT HALL, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NY 14853, USA
Email address: `str@math.cornell.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK, MD 20742, USA
Email address: `ssule25@umd.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAS HALL, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, 2311 STINSON DR,
RALEIGH, NC 27607, USA
Email address: `srvenkat@ncsu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS URBANA-CHAMPAIGN, URBANA, IL 61801,
USA
Email address: `xiaduo3@illinois.edu`