

# **A Possible Method of Using Experiments and Astronomical Observations to Probe Quantum Kinematic Properties of Gravity**

## **Author**

*Dongcheng zhao (Caihui zhao)*

*TOS of Jilin, China*

*Orcid:0000-0001-6141-757x*

## **Abstract**

---

The Newtonian gravity considers gravity is a force that action at a distance, however, if gravity is quantized, then it should not be an instantaneous force, but propagates toward a direction at the speed of light by gravitational quanta. The quantum kinematic gravitational equation is a possible new way to describe gravity which established based on Newton's gravitational equation and quantum theory. Here is a possible method of using quantum kinematic gravitational equation to describe the anomalous acceleration of 1I/2017 U1'Oumuamua, the margin of error between the result calculated by the equation and the astronomical observation during Oct 19, 2017 - May 3, 2018 is approximately 8% - 10%. There should be an angle difference in the tangential direction of approximate 28 arcseconds between its trajectories calculated respectively by quantum kinematic gravitational equation and by the Newton's gravitational equation, During Oumuamua's whole journey in the Solar system. The accumulation of extra velocity caused by quantum kinematic gravitational force may provide extra kinetic energy, which may be one of the reasons the Moon orbital expansion.

## **Key words**

---

Quantum kinematic gravitational equation, the anomalous acceleration of Oumuamua, trajectory deviation of Oumuamua, incidence angle, Moon orbital expansion

## **Main**

---


If gravity has quantum kinematic properties, then gravitational quanta should emanate from objects and propagate far away at the speed of light, in this case the gravitational effect on an object that remains relatively static should be different with the

gravitational effect on fast moving objects. First, it is assumed that gravity is quantized,<sup>1, 2, 3</sup> countless gravitons are emitted by objects and move away at the speed of light, forming a gravitational field. The gravitational effect on an object that remains relatively static in this gravitational field is  $F$ .

$$F = G \frac{Mm}{r^2} \quad (1)$$

Therefore, based on the theory of quantum kinematic gravitational effect, the quantum kinematic gravitational force between them not only depends the product of their masses and the square of the distance between them, but also depends the object moving velocity in gravitational field and the incidence angle between objects moving direction and the direction of gravitational quanta propagation. The relationship between quantum kinematic gravitational force and static gravitational force can be described by using geometric method in the *fig1*.

*Figure 1*



$x$  represents the object moving direction A to B, with the velocity of  $v$ .

$y$  represents the gravity quanta propagate direction A to C, with the velocity of  $c$ .

$\alpha$  = the incidence angle between  $x$  and  $y$ .

$F$  is the Newton's gravitational force on static object, its strength can be represented by

the length of  $y$ .

$F_k$  is the quantum kinematic gravitational force on moving object, its strength can be represented by the length of  $z$ . Because  $z$  does not have a velocity component, so when the value of  $z$  is greater than  $y$ , it does not mean its relative velocity is greater than the speed of light, it just means the object is under greater gravitational force than when it is at static.

In *figure 1*,  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$  formed a triangle  $\triangle ABC$ , then the relationship between them can be solved with the Cosine theorem, so the relationship between  $F_k$  and  $F$  can be described by the following equation:

$$F_k = \frac{\sqrt{v^2 + c^2 - 2vc \cos \alpha}}{c} F \quad (2)$$


Thus, the complete form of the quantum kinematic gravitational equation can be expressed as:

$$F_k = G \frac{\sqrt{v^2 + c^2 - 2vc \cos \alpha} Mm}{cr^2} \quad (3)$$

When an object remains static, its velocity  $v=0$ , then  $F_k=F$ , thus the Newton's gravitational equation (1) can be treated as a static solution of the quantum kinematic gravitational equation (3); or the quantum kinematic gravitational equation can be treated as an extension of the Newton's gravitational equation in dynamic scene.

The Newton's gravitational equation shows extremely high accuracy in describing the gravitational force acting on static objects or low-speed celestial bodies, according to the prediction of quantum kinematic gravitational equation, the accuracy of Newton's gravitational equation will gradually show some deviation as the velocity of celestial bodies increases. The incidence angle is close to  $90^\circ$  when the celestial orbital eccentricity is close to 0, and the deviation is weakest by the calculations of equation (2), so in this case the deviation is difficult to be directly observed when the celestial body's velocity is far less the speed of light. For an example, the magnifications of gravitational force of a celestial body with the velocity of  $30 \text{ km/s}$  (the Earth's revolution speed) in difference incidence angle are shown in **Table 1**:

Table 1



However, as the increase of the celestial bodies' moving speed and the increase of their orbital eccentricity, the difference between the calculated results of equation (1) and equation (3) will also increase, and making it easier to observe. According to the equation (3), comets traveling along a high eccentricity orbit will show a weaker extra acceleration that does not exactly match the calculation of Newton's gravitational equation. Although similar accelerations have been confirmed by some astronomical observations, however, it is easily obscured by the non-gravitational acceleration caused by cometary outgassing.<sup>[4,5,6,7](#)</sup>

Fortunately, on October 19, 2017, Pan-STARRS1 astronomical telescope discovered a celestial body with relatively high velocity and orbital eccentricity of 1.92, named 1I/2017 U1 'Oumuamua, which has significant anomalous acceleration.<sup>[8,9](#)</sup> However, based on the observations of Spitzer Space Telescope, since no obvious evidence of outgassing has been observed,<sup>[10,11,12,13](#)</sup> it has been classified as an asteroid, so its anomalous acceleration is difficult to be explained by cometary outgassing theories.

As a result, scientists have tried in recent years to come up with some new ways to solve this dilemma.[14,15,16,17,18](#)

However, these interpretations are clearly disputed. The quantum kinematic gravitational equation is different with these interpretations, it can not only predict the anomalous acceleration of similar celestial bodies and can calculate their orbit as the Newton's gravitational equation. The ratio of the quantum kinematic gravitational equation to the Newton's gravitational equation can be obtained by bringing the velocity and incidence angle parameters of Oumuamua in to equation (2), and the correctness of the equation (3) can be determined by comparing whether or not the calculated results agree with the observed results.

## Methods

---

### **The instantaneous acceleration calculated by quantum kinematic gravitational equation**

From the orbital fits ([Micheli et al](#)) know that the non-gravitational acceleration on 'Oumuamua on October 25 at  $r = 1.4$  au was  $A_1 r^{-2} = 2.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ms}^{-2}$ .[8,9](#) Under the case without considering the accumulated velocity of Oumuamua during the whole journey, based on the trajectory data of Oumuamua,[19](#) the instantaneous acceleration at 1.4au calculated by equation (2) is  $4.2 \times 10^{-7} \text{ms}^{-2}$ , which is much smaller than that given by [Micheli et al](#).

### **The accumulated extra average velocity of Oumuamua calculated by quantum kinematic gravitational equation**

Based on the calculation of the quantum kinematic gravitational equation, Oumuamua will get extra acceleration during all the time Oumuamua moving inbound and outbound the solar system, due to it had already past the perihelion before it was discovered, so there is no way to confirm whether the anomalous acceleration is exists before the date it has been discovered by directly observe. However, the extra acceleration will be accumulated into the extra increase of its velocity over time, and it will not disappear without interference of external forces, after pass through perihelion,

it will decelerate at the same proportion with the main velocity due to the decelerating effect of sun's gravity. The extra velocity will lead to an extra distance traveled, and this can be observed after a sufficiently long period of time.

According to the observational data, by compare the observed trajectory with the trajectory calculated by Newtonian gravitational equation, (since Oct 19, 2017) location of Oumuamua had been boosted by about  $40000\text{ km}$  until Jan 2, 2018,<sup>20,21</sup> and  $100000\text{ km}$  until May 3, 2018.<sup>22</sup> The additional average velocity during this period can be obtained by dividing the extra distance by the time spent. By using the average velocity equation:

$$V_a = s/t \quad (4)$$

the observed additional average velocity during Oct 19, 2017 – May 3, 2018 is:

$$V_a = 100000000 \div (196 \times 24 \times 3600) = 5.9 \text{ m/s}$$

the observed additional average velocity during Oct 19, 2017 – Jan 2, 2018 is:

$$V_a = 40000000 \div (75 \times 24 \times 3600) = 6.17 \text{ m/s}$$

the observed additional average velocity during Jan 2, 2018– May 3, 2018 is:

$$V_a = 60000000 \div (121 \times 24 \times 3600) = 5.74 \text{ m/s}$$

Now calculate the additional average velocity by quantum kinematic gravitational equation, and then compare it with the observed results to verify whether it matches the observations.

The average velocity can be calculated by the follow equation:

$$V_a = (v_o + vt)/2 \quad (5)$$

Due to  $F$  and  $F_k$  are the reason the  $v$  and  $v_k$  (velocity of Oumuamua) increase or decrease, then:

$$\frac{F_k - F}{F} = \frac{v_k - v}{v} \quad (6)$$

then

$$v_k - v = \frac{F_k - F}{F} v \quad (7)$$

### **The extra average velocity accumulated during inbound**

The trajectory data of Oumuamua is cited from orbit simulator website,<sup>19</sup> their simulation is built on data provided by NASA JPL HORIZONS database for solar

system objects and International Astronomical Union's Minor Planet Center. The trajectory data used in the following calculation is estimated value, which may be subject to some error, based on these data the calculation of equation (2) shows that:

$$\text{When } v=26000\text{m/s, } a=178^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1+8.6\times10^{-5})F$$

$$\text{When } v=40000\text{m/s, } a=160^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1+1.25\times10^{-4})F$$

The calculation of equation (5) and equation (7) shows that:

$$(v_k-v) = (8.6\times10^{-5}+1.25\times10^{-4})/2\times(40000-26000) = 1.48\text{m/s}$$

This calculation means that Oumuamua will get an extra velocity of  $1.48\text{m/s}$  caused by quantum kinematic gravitational force, during its velocity increase to  $40000\text{m/s}$  from  $26000\text{m/s}$ .

$$\text{When } v=50000\text{m/s, } a = 150^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k= (1+1.44\times10^{-4})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (1.25\times10^{-4}+1.44\times10^{-4})/2\times10000=1.35\text{m/s}$$

$$\text{When } v=60000\text{m/s, } a = 135^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1+1.4\times10^{-4})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (1.44\times10^{-4}+1.4\times10^{-4})/2\times10000=1.42\text{m/s}$$

$$\text{When } v=70000\text{m/s, } a = 125^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1+1.34\times10^{-4})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (1.4\times10^{-4}+1.34\times10^{-4})/2\times10000=1.37\text{m/s}$$

$$\text{When } v=87000\text{m/s, } a = 90^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1+4.3\times10^{-8})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (1.34\times10^{-4}+4.3\times10^{-8})/2\times17000=1.14\text{m/s}$$

When Oumuamua arrived at the perihelion, the difference of its inbound velocity between respectively calculated by equation (2) and equation (1) will accumulate to be:

$$1.48+1.35+1.42+1.37+1.14=6.76\text{m/s}$$

After perihelion, due to the deceleration of the Sun's gravity, the extra velocity will decrease at the same rate with the main velocity of Oumuamua, during Jan 2, 2018 - May 3, 2018,<sup>19</sup> the average extra velocity accumulated during inbound will decrease to be:

$$v_{Fk}-v_F = 6.76\times(36/87+32/87)/2=2.64\text{m/s}$$

### **The extra velocity accumulated during outbound**

When Oumuamua moving outbound in the hyperbolic trajectory, the effect of the sun's

gravity on its velocity is dominated by deceleration, and the gravitational force on it calculated by equation (2) is a bit weaker than the calculation of Newton's equation, thus this will also lead to an extra acceleration. In the period of Jan 2, 2018 – May 3, 2018, the calculation of equation (2) shows that:

$$\text{When } v=87000\text{m/s}, a=90^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1+4.3\times 10^{-8})F$$

$$\text{When } v=70000\text{m/s}, a=55^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1-1.34\times 10^{-4})F$$

The average main velocity between 87km to 70km is:

$$(87+70)/2=78.5\text{km}$$

The average extra velocity accumulated in the period is:

$$(v_k-v) = (4.3\times 10^{-8}-1.34\times 10^{-4})/2\times 17000=1.14\text{m/s}$$

During Jan 2, 2018 – May 3, 2018, with the same reduction ratio of the main velocity the extra velocity will approximately accelerate to be:

$$1.14\times(36/78.5+32/78.5)/2=0.49\text{m/s}$$

$$\text{When } v=60000\text{m/s}, a=45^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1-1.41\times 10^{-4})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (-1.34\times 10^{-4}-1.41\times 10^{-4})/2\times 10000=1.38\text{m/s}$$

$$1.38\times(36/65+32/65)/2=0.72\text{m/s}$$

$$\text{When } v=50000\text{m/s}, a=30^\circ \quad \text{then } F_k=(1-1.44\times 10^{-4})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (-1.4\times 10^{-4}-1.44\times 10^{-4})/2\times 10000=1.42\text{m/s}$$

$$1.42\times(36/55+32/55)/2=0.88\text{m/s}$$

$$\text{When } v=36000\text{m/s}, a=15^\circ \quad F_k=(1-1.16\times 10^{-4})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (-1.44\times 10^{-4}-1.16\times 10^{-4})/2\times 14000=1.82\text{m/s}$$

$$1.82\times(36/43+32/43)/2=1.44\text{m/s}$$

$$\text{When } v=32000\text{m/s}, a=10^\circ \quad F_k=(1-1.0\times 10^{-4})F$$

$$(v_k-v) = (-1.16\times 10^{-4}-1.0\times 10^{-4})/2\times 4000=0.43\text{m/s}$$

The accumulation of solar gravitational acceleration during this stage of journey is should be calculated independently, with an acceleration of 0 at the beginning and - 0.43m/s at the end. So, the average acceleration accumulation during this journey is half about 0.43m/s.

$$0.43/2=0.215\text{m/s}$$

After perihelion, the extra velocity during outbound is accumulated to be:



$$0.49+0.72+0.88+1.44+0.215=3.75m/s$$

During the period of Jan 2, 2018 – May 3, 2018, the acceleration of Oumuamua of the whole journey will accumulate to be an average velocity:

$$2.64+3.75=6.39m/s$$

Due to the Earth is mainly at the same side with the sun when Oumuamua get close to it at the distance less than  $0.2 au$ ,<sup>23</sup> so the earth's gravitational force may approximately slow down the velocity of Oumuamua close to  $0.2m/s$ . The influence has been considered in trajectory established by Newtonian gravitational equation,<sup>22</sup> so here must consider the influence of the gravity of the earth too, then the extra average velocity should be:

$$6.39-0.2=6.19m/s$$

The Margin of error:

$$(6.19-5.74)/5.74=0.08$$

During the period of Jan 2, 2018 – May 3, 2018, the margin of error between the result calculated by quantum gravitational equation and the observed result is about 8%. Based on the same method and trajectory data of Oumuamua,<sup>19</sup> the extra average velocity calculated by quantum kinematic gravitational equation during Oct 19, 2017 – Jan 2, 2018 is about  $6.65m/s$ , which with the margin of error about 8% to the observed result; and the extra average velocity during Oct 19, 2017 – May 3, 2018 is about  $6.51m/s$ , which with the margin of error about 10% to the observed result.

### **The deviation of Oumuamua's trajectories between respectively calculated by quantum kinematic gravitational equation and Newton's gravitational equation**

The extra velocity of Oumuamua has a tendency to decrease the curvature of its trajectory, the extra acceleration will result in an extra very small deviation of its trajectory towards the tangential direction. Theoretically, another trajectory of Oumuamua can be established by using the existing astronomical observations data and the equation (3), there should be a deviation between trajectories established respectively by equation (3) and equation (1). The extra angle deviation is directly proportional to the ratio of extra velocity to the total velocity, their relationship can be

shown as:

$$\frac{\theta_k - \theta}{\theta} = \frac{v_k - v}{v} \quad (8)$$

so

$$\theta_k - \theta = \frac{v_k - v}{v} \theta \quad (9)$$

Based on the trajectory data of simulation,<sup>19</sup> its trajectory mainly changed in a period, in this period its velocity changed between inbound  $60000m/s$ ,  $70000m/s$ ,  $87000m/s$  to outbound  $70000m/s$ . The ratio of extra velocity to total velocity at different stage:

$$(v_k - v)/v = (1.48 + 1.35 + 1.42)/60000 = 7.1 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$(v_k - v)/v = (1.48 + 1.35 + 1.42 + 1.37)/70000 = 8.0 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$(v_k - v)/v = (1.48 + 1.35 + 1.42 + 1.37 + 1.14)/87000 = 7.7 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$(v_k - v)/v = [(1.48 + 1.35 + 1.42 + 1.37 + 1.14) \times 70/87 + 1.14]/70000 = 9.4 \times 10^{-5}$$

The average ratio of extra velocity to total velocity during this period:

$$(7.1 \times 10^{-5} + 8.0 \times 10^{-5} + 7.7 \times 10^{-5} + 9.4 \times 10^{-5})/4 = 8.1 \times 10^{-5}$$

The angle deviation between two trajectories can be obtained by bringing this value in to equation (9):

$$\theta_k - \theta = 8.1 \times 10^{-5} \times 95 \times 3600 = 28 \text{ arcsec (imprecise value)}$$

This means that when Oumuamua's angle of trajectory changes estimated at  $95^\circ$  during inbound and outbound under the influence of the Newton's gravitational force of the sun,<sup>19</sup> the extra velocity will lead to an extra angle deviation of about 28 *arcseconds* toward tangential direction, the angle deviation generated in the period during Oct 19, 2017 – May 3, 2018, should much less than 28 *arcseconds*. The precise deviation in the two trajectories can be determined by comparing the two trajectories, then it can be determined which trajectory is consistent with the observation results at higher accuracy.

## Discussions

---

### Is the quantum kinematic gravitational effect a universal theory?

In case the quantum kinematic gravitational effect is a universal theory, then not only Oumuamua should show the extra acceleration, but also all eligible celestial bodies

should show extra acceleration too. According to the calculation of equation (2), comets and asteroids with high eccentricity orbits (trajectory) maybe show directly observable extra acceleration. The problem is that the extra acceleration of comets also could be caused by comets outgassing, the differences between the acceleration gained by comets outgassing and quantum kinematic gravitational effect can be helpful for solving the puzzle. The extra acceleration gained by comets outgassing is directly proportional to the propulsive force it received as it outgassing and inversely proportional to its own mass. The extra acceleration gained by quantum kinematic gravitational effect is not only dependent Newton's gravitational force, but also dependent of the relative velocity between the Sun and itself, and the incident angle between its moving direction and the propagates direction of gravity quanta of the Sun. Due to gravitational acceleration of the Sun is the reason this extra acceleration generated, according to the gravitational acceleration equation:

$$g = \frac{GM}{r^2} \quad (10)$$

the extra acceleration of celestial bodies caused by quantum kinematic gravitational effect is almost independent of its own mass, so, it cannot eliminate the error margin between observations and calculations by adjusting the density of the target as in comet outgassing. Based on the principle of cometary outgassing, due to the restriction of observation results of Spitzer Space Telescope, the density of Oumuamua may has to be adjusted in to a very small value.[23,24,25](#) Additionally, the extra acceleration caused by quantum kinematic gravitational effect is almost unaffected by its own spinning state; but the extra acceleration caused by comets outgassing will be strongly influenced by its own spinning state. In case comets does not spin or spinning slowly, outgassing occurring on the side facing the sun will be more intense, which will generate an acceleration against the sun. Under the influence of this acceleration, the comet will be additional accelerated as it moving away the sun, and will be additional decelerated as it moving toward the sun. This can be verified by comparing the difference between astronomical observations and the calculated results. In case comets spinning fast, the acceleration caused by outgassing may change directions in a short period of time, so

in a relatively long period of time this cyclic change in direction of acceleration will cancel each other out in the opposite directions, leading to a significant reduction in the extra acceleration in the comets moving direction.

Generally, the calculations of quantum kinematic gravitational equation show the trend that the extra acceleration will increase as the increasing of orbital eccentricity and velocity of comets and asteroids even planets. One study has shown that the actual magnitude of the nongravitational forces of long-period comets is typically large than that of short period comets, when they at same distance from the Sun.<sup>6</sup> Since the eccentricity of long-period comets large than that of short-period comets, so this is basically consistent with the theoretical predictions. When the eccentricity is less than 1, the extra acceleration has a tendency to shorten the orbital period, but it will also reduce the orbital curvature accordingly which has a tendency to expand the orbit, the specific effects of two opposite tendencies on the orbitals of celestial bodies can only be analyzed by establishing virtual orbits by the equation (3). When the extra acceleration of a comet is both caused by comets outgassing and quantum kinematic gravitational effect, in order to determine the proportion of their respective impact, more astronomical observations and in-depth studies are needed. Since the forces on objects on the Earth is usually a combination of multiple forces, so it is difficult to measure quantum kinematic gravitational force directly under the influence of equivalence principle. However, the orbits of celestial bodies in space are essentially gravitationally dominated, so more astronomical observations and analysis are the more readily achievable method.

**Moon – the nearest celestial body around the Earth is a premium object for study.**

The Moon's orbital eccentricity is 0.0549, based on the quantum kinematic gravitational equation and its orbital parameters,<sup>26</sup> when at difference positions in its orbit, it will get weak extra kinematic gravitational force about between  $-6 \times 10^{-8}$  - 0 and  $0 - 6 \times 10^{-8}$  times the Newton's gravity from the Earth (corresponding estimate angle  $89^\circ$ - $90^\circ$ - $91^\circ$ ), which may lead to instantaneous accelerations and accumulated velocity in its orbit, the extra accumulated velocity can be calculated by using the Oumuamua's method. The

quantum kinematic gravitational force of the Sun will further increase the extra acceleration. The Moon's kinetic energy increasing as the extra velocity accumulating, this will lead a weak continuous expansion in its orbit, the Lunar Laser Ranging Experiment shown that the Earth and Moon are slowly drifting apart at the rate of 1.5 inches (3.8 centimeters) per year,<sup>[27,28,29](#)</sup> this is consistent with its predictions on the overall trend. For orbital expansion of the moon, a popular explanation is the conservation of angular momentum in the Earth-Moon system, the momentum of the moon's orbital expansion comes from the portion of momentum lost by the deceleration of the Earth's rotation.<sup>[30,31,32,33](#)</sup> However, there is still a problem that cannot be ignored in this explanation, incase tidal force transferred the momentum between the Earth and the Moon, and make the distance between them increasing under the condition that their mass unchanged, is this consistent with Newton's gravitational equation? There is a possible method to determine whether angular momentum conservation of the Earth-Moon system is the main reason which increasing the distance between them, if it is, when Earth's spinning getting faster this should decrease the distance between them accordingly, otherwise this would prove the opposite inference. Based the recently study that Earth's rotation appears to be speeding up,<sup>[34,35,36](#)</sup> it is able to know the moon's orbit is expanding or contracting now through Laser Beam Reflect Experiment, and whether angular momentum conservation is the main reason the Moon's orbital expansion can be determined. The extra velocity caused by quantum kinematic gravitational force also has a trend to shorten its orbit period, which may manifest as orbital precession. In order to calculate the extra accelerations and accumulating of velocity precisely, and analysis its specific influence on Moon's orbit, need to know its corresponding velocity and incidence angle at any position in the orbit, which need to be based on more astronomical observations.

## Conclusion

---

The calculated result of anomalous acceleration of Oumuamua with equation (2) consistent with the astronomical observations with a margin of error about 10%, this

preliminarily confirmed the correctness of quantum kinematic gravitational equation in this dynamics scene at the accuracy level thousands of Newton's gravitational equation.<sup>37</sup> This may preliminarily indicate that gravity is not an action-at-a-distance force, but propagates directionally at the speed of light, and implying gravity has quantum kinematic properties. Certainly, this needs to be further confirmed or disproved by more astronomical observations and analysis.

## References

---

1. Linde, A. D. Quantum Gravity. *Physics Letters B* **108**, 389-393 (1982).
2. Carlip, S. Quantum gravity: a progress report. *Reports on Progress in Physics* **64**, 885 (2001).
3. Liang, J. *et al.* Evidence for chiral graviton modes in fractional quantum Hall liquids. *Nature* (2024).
4. Yeomans, D. K. Cometary Orbital Dynamics and Astrometry. *International Astronomical Union Colloquium* (1991).
5. Gunnarsson, M., Bockelee-Morvan, D., Winnberg, A., Rickman, H. & Rantakyr, F. T. Production and kinematics of CO in comet C/1995O1(Hale-Bopp) at large post-perihelion distances. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **402**, 383-393 (2003).
6. Weissman, P. & R. Nongravitational perturbations of long-period comets. *The Astronomical Journal* **84**, 580-580 (1979).
7. Maquet, L., Colas, F., Jorda, L. & Crovisier, J. CONGO, model of cometary non-gravitational forces combining astrometric and production rate data Application to comet 19P/Borrelly. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **548** (2012).
8. Micheli, M. *et al.* Non-gravitational acceleration in the trajectory of 1I/2017 U1 ('Oumuamua). *Nature* **559**, 223-226 (2018).
9. Seligman, D., Laughlin, G. & Batygin, K. On the Anomalous Acceleration of 1I/2017 U1 'Oumuamua. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* (2019).
10. Meech, K. J. *et al.* A brief visit from a red and extremely elongated interstellar asteroid. *Nature* **552**, 378-381 (2017).

11. Jewitt, D. *et al.* Interstellar Interloper 1I/2017 U1: Observations from the NOT and WIYN Telescopes. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **850**, L36 (2017).
12. Ye, Q. Z., Zhang, Q., Kelley, M. S. P. & Brown, P. G. 1I/2017 U1 ('Oumuamua) is Hot: Imaging, Spectroscopy, and Search of Meteor Activity. *Astrophysical Journal* **851** (2017).
13. Trilling, D. E., Mommert, M., Hora, J. L., Farnocchia, D. & Micheli, M. Spitzer Observations of Interstellar Object 1I/'Oumuamua. *The Astronomical Journal* **156**, 261 (2018).
14. Bialy, S. & Loeb, A. Could Solar Radiation Pressure Explain 'Oumuamua's Peculiar Acceleration? *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **868**, L1 (2018).
15. Curran, S. J. 'Oumuamua as a light sail -- evidence against artificial origin. *Astronomy and Astrophysics* (2021).
16. Seligman, D. & Laughlin, G. Evidence that 1I/2017 U1 ('Oumuamua) was Composed of Molecular Hydrogen Ice. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **896**, L8 (2020).
17. Hoang, T. & Loeb, A. Destruction of Molecular Hydrogen Ice and Implications for 1I/2017 U1 ('Oumuamua). *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **899**, L23 (2020).
18. Bergner, J. B. & Seligman, D. Z. Acceleration of 1I/'Oumuamua from radiolytically produced H<sub>2</sub> in H<sub>2</sub>O ice. *Nature* **615**, 610-613 (2023).
19. Spacein3D. Where is interstellar asteroid 'Oumuamua? Live tracker <https://spacein3d.com/asteroid-oumuamua-live-tracker> (2023)
20. NASA. Our Solar System's First Known Interstellar Object Gets Unexpected Speed Boost, <https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/our-solar-systems-first-known-interstellar-object-gets-unexpected-speed-boost> (2018)
21. Jet Propulsion Laboratory. Our Solar System's First Known Interstellar Object Gets Unexpected Speed Boost, <https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/news/our-solar-systems-first-known-interstellar-object-gets-unexpected-speed-boost> (2018)
22. The European Space Agency. 'Oumuamua's journey through our Solar System, [https://www.esa.int/ESA\\_Multimedia/Images/2018/06/Oumuamua\\_s\\_journey](https://www.esa.int/ESA_Multimedia/Images/2018/06/Oumuamua_s_journey)

- [through our Solar System](#) (2018)
23. Klesman, A. 'Oumuamua: our first interstellar visitor (2023)  
<https://www.astronomy.com/science/oumuamua-our-first-interstellar-visitor>
  24. Moro-Martín, A. Could 1I/'Oumuamua be an Icy Fractal Aggregate? *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **872**, L32 (2019).
  25. Sekanina, Z. Outgassing as Trigger of 1I/'Oumuamua's Nongravitational Acceleration: Could This Hypothesis Work at All? arXiv:1905.00935v3 [astro-ph.EP] (2019).
  26. Williams, D. R. Moon Fact Sheet  
<https://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/moonfact.html> (2024)
  27. Dickey, J., O., Bender & P., L. Lunar laser ranging: A continuing legacy of the Apollo program. *Science* (1994).
  28. Merkwitz, S. M., Dabney, P. W., Livas, J. C., McGarry, J. F. & Zagwodzki, T. W. Laser Ranging for Gravitational, Lunar, and Planetary Science. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **16**, 2151-2164 (2008).
  29. Alam, S. & Sharma, B. K. Order in Chaos: Definite Rules That Govern The Drift Of Moon Away From The Earth. *Physics* (2009).
  30. Lambeck, K. Effects of tidal dissipation in the oceans on the Moon's orbit and the Earth's Rotation. *Journal of Geophysical Research* **80**, 2917-2925 (1975).
  31. Čuk, M., Hamilton, D. P., Lock, S. J. & Stewart, S. T. Tidal evolution of the Moon from a high-obliquity, high-angular-momentum Earth. *Nature* **539**, 402-406 (2016).
  32. Rufu, R. & Canup, R. M. Tidal Evolution of the Evection Resonance/Quasi-Resonance and the Angular Momentum of the Earth-Moon System. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets* **125**, e2019JE006312 (2020).
  33. Farhat, M., Auclair-Desrotour, P., Boué, G. & Laskar, J. The resonant tidal evolution of the Earth-Moon distance. *A&A* **665**, L1 (2022).
  34. Golembiewski, K. Earth Is spinning faster now than it was 50 years ago  
<https://www.astronomy.com/science/earth-is-spinning-faster-now-than-it-was-50-years-ago/> (2023)



35. Agnew, D. C. A global timekeeping problem postponed by global warming. *Nature* (2024).
36. Patrizia Tavella, J. X. M. Melting ice delays leap-second problem. *Nature* (2024). <https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-024-00850-x>
37. Spence, S. THIS INTERSTELLAR ASTEROID IS ACCELERATING, <https://magazine.scienceconnected.org/2018/07/interstellar-asteroid-accelerating/> (2018)