

Random Walk of Bipartite Spins in a Classicalized Holographic Tensor Network

Eiji Konishi*

Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan
(Dated: November 12, 2021)

We consider the random walk of spin-zero bipartite spins in the classicalized holographic tensor network of the ground state of a strongly coupled two-dimensional conformal field theory. The bipartite-spin distribution induces a metric in this network. In the steady state of the random walk, the induced metric gives the two-dimensional anti-de Sitter (AdS₂) space metric. We consider this distribution as a microscopic statistical model of the AdS₂ space metric.

The holographic tensor network (HTN)[1, 2] represents the multiscale entanglement renormalization ansatz of the state vector of a quantum system at a quantum critical point[3, 4] and offers a novel interpretation of the Ryu–Takayanagi formula for entanglement entropy[5, 6] in the anti-de Sitter space/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence[7, 8]. Recently, in Ref.[9], the author addressed the holographic interpretation of the Shannon entropy of the coherence of quantum pure states in a strongly coupled CFT in the context of AdS₃/CFT₂ correspondence. As a result, the action of the *classicalized* HTN (cHTN) of the ground state of the CFT₂ was derived.[14]

Recall that, in analytical mechanics, action has ambiguity arising from an overall multiplicative factor. For this reason and the sake of convenience, we drop the bit factor $b = \ln 2$ from the result of the action of the cHTN of the ground state of the CFT₂ in Ref.[9]:

$$I_{\text{bulk}} = -\hbar A_{\text{TN}}, \quad (1)$$

where A_{TN} is the discretized area of the cHTN. Here, the Dirac constant $\hbar = h/2\pi$ is the quantum of angular momentum. This action therefore indicates that the cHTN is a web in the classical mixed ensemble of product-state networks of *spin* eigenstates. A key consequence from Eq.(1) is that we obtain a formula for energy per pixel R_{AdS}^2 of the HTN:

$$\varepsilon \equiv R_{\text{AdS}}^2 \mathcal{T} = c\hbar(8\pi\ell_P)^{-1}, \quad (2)$$

where \mathcal{T} is the tension of the AdS₂ space, R_{AdS} is the curvature radius of the AdS₃ space-time, and ℓ_P is the Planck length in three-dimensional space-time.

The aims of this article are three-fold: (i) to derive the time-evolution equation of the random walk (i.e., the discrete diffusion)[10] of *spin-zero* bipartite spins in a gauge-fixed spin-zero cHTN of the ground state of a strongly coupled CFT₂ based on the energy formula (2); (ii) to solve this equation for the steady state; and (iii) to calculate the metric in the cHTN induced by the bipartite-spin distribution in the steady state.

With regards to the first aim, we consider the binary HTN at a given time. There are two distinct spatial directions in the HTN. The first is the radial and renormalization group (RG) direction n , and the second is

the horizontal direction, denoted by j_n or j , parallel to the boundary. In our model, each site $v = (j_n, n)$ as a disentangler in the HTN contains *four* spin qubits to be classicalized: *two* spin qubits after the disentanglement (called the *earlier spin qubits*) and *two* spin qubits in a Bell state before the disentanglement (called the *later spin qubits*). Here, we regard the RG in the HTN as an inverse time evolution with respect to its own ‘*time*’ $u = -n$ [6]. After classicalization of the HTN, we model a gauge-fixed spin-zero cHTN at a given time as a spatial and *undirected* graph Γ with edges, where the horizontal edges are *bivalent* and between the earlier spin bits in a site and the later spin bits in a horizontally adjacent site. In each RG step of the cHTN, horizontally adjacent sets of earlier spin bits are staggered between the spin-zero bipartite-spin states $|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ and $|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$.

Consider a distribution function $f_\alpha(v, t_n)$ of the site (i.e., vertex) v in Γ and the proper time t_n indexed by a spin-zero bipartite-spin state $\alpha = \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\uparrow$. To write out the time-evolution equation of the bipartite-spin random walk, we define the graph Laplacian Δ_Γ of Γ . Letting e_α be an edge of the graph Γ and be *fictitiously directed*, we define two linear operators

$$Q_v^t f_\alpha(v) \equiv \sum_{e_\alpha \in E_\alpha^+(v)} (f, e_\alpha) - \sum_{e_\alpha \in E_\alpha^-(v)} (f, e_\alpha), \quad (3)$$

$$Q_e(f, e_\alpha) \equiv \sum_{v \in V_\alpha^+(e_\alpha)} f_\alpha(v) - \sum_{v \in V_\alpha^-(e_\alpha)} f_\alpha(v) \quad (4)$$

for the network part of the distribution function $f = f_\alpha(v)$. Here, we introduce two kinds of sets. The first is $E_\alpha^-(v)$ (resp., $E_\alpha^+(v)$) comprising the outgoing (resp., incoming) edges for site v . Each of these edges represents a ‘*temporal*’ transition between a bipartite-spin state α at site v and the same bipartite-spin state α at a radially/horizontally adjacent site. The second kind is one-element sets of the starting site with the bipartite-spin state α , $V_\alpha^-(e_\alpha)$ and the ending site with the bipartite-spin state α , $V_\alpha^+(e_\alpha)$ for an edge e_α . The graph Laplacian Δ_Γ is defined for each RG step n as follows[11]:

$$\Delta_\Gamma f_\alpha(v) \equiv \frac{1}{n_\alpha(v)} Q_e(Q_v^t f_\alpha(v)) \Big|_{n\text{-th grains}}, \quad (5)$$

where $n_\alpha(v)$ is the number of edges e_α leaving site v .

Next, we explicitly write out the action of the graph Laplacian Δ_Γ on the network part of the distribution function $f_\alpha(v)$, which is based on the ansatz

$$f_\alpha(j_n, n) = \gamma_\alpha(j_n)\eta(n). \quad (6)$$

For RG step n , the action of the radial part of the graph Laplacian Δ_Γ is proportional to

$$-2\eta(n+1) + 6\eta(n) - 4\eta(n-1) \quad (7)$$

since we consider the binary HTN. For horizontal positions j_n , the action of the horizontal part of the graph Laplacian Δ_Γ is alternately (with respect to j_n) proportional to the pair

$$-\gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n+1) + 4\gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n) - \gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n-1), \quad (8)$$

$$-2\gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n+1) + 2\gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n) - 2\gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n-1) \quad (9)$$

or the pair

$$-2\gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n+1) + 2\gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n) - 2\gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n-1), \quad (10)$$

$$-\gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n+1) + 4\gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n) - \gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n-1). \quad (11)$$

Now, we derive the time-evolution equation of the bipartite-spin random walk in the graph Γ . From Eq.(2), we obtain the Malgoules-Levitin time t_{ML} [12] for the ‘temporal’ gates of bipartite-spin states in the HTN:

$$t_{\text{ML}} = \frac{\hbar}{4 \cdot 2\varepsilon}. \quad (12)$$

In the horizontal random walk of spin-zero bipartite spins, we identify the elapse of half of t_{ML} in proper time t_n with the execution of a disentangler/entangler operation in RG step n . From this identification, the Markovian stochastic master equation of the bipartite-spin random walk is formulized as follows[13]:

$$\frac{\delta_{t_n} f_\alpha(v, t_n)}{t_P} = -\frac{2}{t_{\text{ML}}} \Delta_\Gamma f_\alpha(v, t_n). \quad (13)$$

Here, δ_{t_n} is the dimensionless proper-time-difference operation by the Planck time $t_P = \ell_P/c$. Using Eqs.(2) and (12), we can rewrite this equation simply as

$$\delta_{t_n} f_\alpha(v, t_n) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \Delta_\Gamma f_\alpha(v, t_n). \quad (14)$$

In particular, for the steady state, Eq.(14) becomes

$$\Delta_\Gamma f_\alpha(v) = 0. \quad (15)$$

Using Eq.(6), we solve the equation of the bipartite-spin random walk for the steady state. For RG step n in Γ , the non-trivial solution of the radial part of Eq.(15) is obtained from Eq.(7) as follows[1]:

$$\eta(n+1) = 2\eta(n). \quad (16)$$

The solution of the horizontal part of Eq.(15) is obtained from Eqs.(8)–(11) as

$$\gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n+1) = 2^{\pm 1} \gamma_{\uparrow\downarrow}(j_n), \quad (17)$$

$$\gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n+1) = 2^{\mp 1} \gamma_{\downarrow\uparrow}(j_n), \quad (18)$$

where the double signs on the right-hand sides are in the same order.

Finally, to meet our third aim, we calculate the metric in the cHTN, induced by the bipartite-spin distribution function $f_\alpha(v)$ in the steady state,

$$g_{ab}(v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_\alpha \frac{\delta \log_2 f_\alpha(v)}{\delta v_a} \frac{\delta \log_2 f_\alpha(v)}{\delta v_b}, \quad (19)$$

where the factor 1/2 reflects the radially local Z_2 invariance with respect to the bipartite-spin state $\alpha = \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\uparrow$, and $\delta \log_2 f_\alpha(v)$ represents the execution of a gate. Using Eqs.(16)–(18), the induced metric g_{ab} is

$$g_{jj} = 2^{-2n}, \quad g_{nn} = 1, \quad g_{jn} = 0, \quad (20)$$

where $\delta v_j = 2^n$ and $\delta v_n = 1$ hold in the layer of the n -th RG step in the cHTN. After the transformations $x = j\epsilon$ and $r = 2^n\epsilon$ for the new lattice constant ϵ , the induced metric of Eq.(20) is equivalent to the Poincaré metric of the AdS_2 space with unit curvature radius:

$$g_{xx} = g_{rr} = r^{-2}, \quad g_{xr} = 0. \quad (21)$$

From this result, the bipartite-spin distribution $f_\alpha(v)$ in the steady state gives a microscopic statistical model behind the AdS_2 space metric. Namely, this bipartite-spin distribution in the cHTN is reduced to the AdS_2 space, and classical stochasticity of the cHTN is suppressed when all of the bipartite-spin states $\alpha = \uparrow\downarrow, \downarrow\uparrow$ in the cHTN are averaged out to take a macroscopic view of the cHTN. Note that d spin-zero bipartite-spin states $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$ per site in the cHTN are required for the microscopic statistical model of the AdS_{d+1} space for $d \in \mathbf{N}_{\geq 2}$.

* Electronic address: konishi.eiji.27c@kyoto-u.jp

- [1] B. Swingle, Phys. Rev. D **86** (2012) 065007.
- [2] B. Swingle, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **9** (2018) 345.
- [3] G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99** (2007) 220405.
- [4] G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 110501.
- [5] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96** (2006) 181602.
- [6] M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, Lect. Notes Phys. **931** (2017) 1.
- [7] J. M. Maldacena, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231.
- [8] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, Phys. Rep. **323** (2000) 183.
- [9] E. Konishi, EPL, **129** (2020) 11006.

- [10] N. Masuda, M. A. Porter and R. Lambiotte, Phys. Rep. **716-717** (2017) 1.
- [11] R. Merris, Linear Algebra Appl. **198** (1994) 143.
- [12] N. Margolus and L. B. Levitin, Physica D **120** (1998) 188.
- [13] I. Mirzaev and J. Gunawardena, Bull. Math. Biol. **75** (2013) 2118.
- [14] Here, *classicalization* means reducing the quantum pure ensemble of a state vector to the classical mixed ensemble of product eigenstates.