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#### Abstract

For an arbitrary solution to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy, we define the tau-tuple ( $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}$ ) of the solution. We show that the product $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ admits Buryak's residue formula. Therefore, according to Alexandrov's theorem, $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ is a tau-function of the KP hierarchy. We then derive a formula for the affine coordinates for the point of the Sato Grassmannian corresponding to the tau-function $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$ explicitly in terms of those for $\tau_{1}$. Applications to the analogous open extension of the generalized BGW tau-function and to the open partition function are given.
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## 1 Introduction

The Brézin-Gross-Witten (BGW) partition function was introduced in [11, 24]; see also [3, [16, 20, 32, 33]. Recently, Norbury [34] constructed a cohomology class (called the Theta-class) on the moduli space of closed Riemann surfaces, and indicated 34 that the partition function of the intersection numbers of the Theta-class coupling the well-known $\psi$-classes coincides with the BGW partition function. Recalling the construction about the moduli space of open Riemann surfaces [35] as well as the open extension of the partition function of the $\psi$-class intersection numbers [13, 35], we consider in this paper the analogous open extension of the BGW partition function.

To illustrate more the motivations of the paper and of the terminology, let us provide some geometric ideas [17, 21, 38]. One important observation is due to Paolo Rossi. Rossi find: 1$]$

[^0]that the open WDVV equations given by Horev and Solomon [25] for $(n+1)$ observables could be viewed as the WDVV equations of an $(n+1)$-dimensional generalized Frobenius manifold (with the existence-of-potential condition dropped, sometimes called flat F-manifold), which is a one-dimensional extension of an $n$-dimensional Frobenius manifold. Nevertheless, this type of generalized Frobenius manifold has a vector potential, from which the genus zero part of the abstract open-and-closed descendent invariants can be re-constructed [6]. For more details about Rossi's observation as well as its outcome see [6]. Rossi's observation gives rise to a tau-tuple of the topological solution to the corresponding dispersionless open hierarchy. It also naturally invokes, following Witten and Dubrovin-Zhang [6, 17, 18, 21, 38], the tau-tuple of the topological solution to the topological deformation of the dispersionless open hierarchy. This is non-trivial already when $n=1$. The structure of a tau-tuple (being referred to as the taustructure) will capture the geometry for the open-and-closed descendent invariants, and enables one, due to its differential-polynomial nature, to deal with an arbitrary solution to the open hierarchy (not just the topological solution giving the open-and-closed descendent invariants). Then, if the tau-function of some other solution to the integrable hierarchy of topological type of a Frobenius manifold has a topological expansion possessing enumerative meanings on the moduli space of closed Riemann surfaces then its analogous open extension could be expected to have a meaning for the moduli space of open-and-closed Riemann surfaces. In this paper we will define the tau-structure for the Burgers-KdV hierarchy [12], and use it to give the analogous open extension for the BGW partition function. (The latter is proved to be a particular tau-function for the KdV hierarchy [3, 16, 34] and possess enumerative meanings on the moduli space of closed Riemann surfaces.) Noting that there is a one-parameter generalization of the BGW partition function given in [3], we will actually study in detail the analogous open extension for an arbitrary value of the parameter, although we do not know if the generalized BGW partition function with the general parameter is connected to the moduli space of curves. We will also derive some general explicit formulae for the open extensions of an arbitrary solution using Buryak's residue formula [13]. According to our knowledge, our explicit simplification is new even for the open partition function [13].

Denote by $\mathcal{A}_{(w, \rho)}$ the ring of polynomials of $w_{x}, \rho_{x}, w_{x x}, \rho_{x x}, \ldots$ with coefficients being smooth functions of $w, \rho$. The Burgers-KdV integrable hierarchy [12] is defined as the following pairwise commuting system of non-linear PDEs:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial w}{\partial q_{n}}=\partial_{x} K_{n}  \tag{1.1}\\
& \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_{n}}=\partial_{x} R_{n} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \geq 1$, and $K_{n}, R_{n} \in \mathcal{A}_{(w, \rho)}$ are defined recursively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}=w, \quad K_{2}=0, \quad R_{1}=\rho, \quad R_{2}=\rho^{2}+\rho_{x}+2 w  \tag{1.3}\\
& \partial_{x} K_{2 j+1}=\left(2 w \partial_{x}+w_{x}+\frac{1}{4} \partial_{x}^{3}\right) K_{2 j-1}, \quad K_{2 j}=0,  \tag{1.4}\\
& R_{2 j+1}=\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+2 \rho \partial_{x}+\rho^{2}+\rho_{x}+2 w\right) R_{2 j-1}+\left(\rho+\frac{3}{2} \partial_{x}\right) K_{2 j-1},  \tag{1.5}\\
& R_{2 j+2}=\left(\partial_{x}^{2}+2 \rho \partial_{x}+\rho^{2}+\rho_{x}+2 w\right) R_{2 j} . \tag{1.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $j \geq 1$, and the integration constants in the recursive procedure of solving $K_{2 j+1}$ are chosen to be zero. The $n=1$ flow reads

$$
\frac{\partial w}{\partial q_{1}}=w_{x}, \quad \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial q_{1}}=\rho_{x} .
$$

Therefore, we can identify the variable $q_{1}$ with $x$. Note that equations (1.1) are the KdV integrable hierarchy. Hence, the Burgers-KdV hierarchy can be viewed as an integrable extension of (1.1); for more details about the Burgers-KdV hierarchy see [12].

Recall that the canonical tau-structure [20, 21] of the KdV hierarchy is defined as the unique family of elements $\left(\Omega_{i, j}\right)_{i, j \geq 1} \in \mathcal{A}_{w}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{1,1}=w, \quad \Omega_{i, j}=\Omega_{j, i}, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_{i, j}}{\partial q_{2 k-1}}=\frac{\partial \Omega_{i, k}}{\partial q_{2 j-1}}, \quad \forall i, j, k \geq 1 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

along with the normalization condition $\left.\Omega_{i, j}\right|_{w_{k x}=0, k \geq 0}=0$. Here, $\mathcal{A}_{w} \subset \mathcal{A}_{(w, \rho)}$ denotes the ring of polynomials of $w_{x}, w_{x x}, \ldots$ with coefficients being smooth functions in $w$. For the existence of $\Omega_{i, j}$ see for example [20, 21]. Inspired by the open and closed intersection numbers [9, 12, 13, 35] and by the tau-structure of the Burgers hierarchy [19], we will define the tau-tuple for the Burgers-KdV hierarchy. It is shown in [12] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial R_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}=\frac{\partial R_{j}}{\partial q_{i}}, \quad \forall i, j \geq 1 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using these relations as well as the relations (1.7) we arrive at the following lemma.
Lemma 1.1 For an arbitrary solution $(w, \rho)$ in $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]^{2}$ to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy, there exist $\tau_{1}, \tau_{2} \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]^{2}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial^{2} \log \tau_{1}}{\partial q_{2 i-1} \partial q_{2 j-1}}=\Omega_{i, j}, \quad \frac{\partial^{2} \log \tau_{1}}{\partial q_{2 i} \partial q_{j}}=0, \quad \forall i, j \geq 1  \tag{1.9}\\
& \frac{\partial \log \tau_{2}}{\partial q_{i}}=R_{i}, \quad \forall i \geq 1 \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the tuple $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is uniquely determined by $(w, \rho)$ up to the freedom described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(\tau_{1} e^{\beta_{0}+\sum_{n \geq 1} \beta_{n} q_{n}}, \tau_{2} e^{\alpha_{0}}\right) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{0}$ and $\beta_{n}, n \geq 0$ are arbitrary constants.
We call $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ the tau-tuple of the solution $(w, \rho)$ to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy, and call the product $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}=: \tau_{\mathrm{E}}$ the tau-function for the Burgers-KdV hierarchy. Equations (1.9)-(1.10) for $i=j=1 \mathrm{read}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\partial_{x}^{2} \log \tau_{1}, \quad \rho=\partial_{x} \log \tau_{2} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it is proved in [7, the definition of a Dubrovin-Zhang type tau-function $\tau_{1}$ for the $\operatorname{KdV}$ hierarchy is equivalent to that of a Sato type tau-function; see also [4, 15]. We proceed to the study of the tau-tuple from the points of view of the Sato theory. Let us first recall some notations. Denote by $\mathbb{Y}$ the set of all the partitions. For each partition $\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}$, denote by
$\ell(\lambda)$ the length of $\lambda$, by $|\lambda|$ the weight, and by $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ the Frobenius notation (cf. [31]). The Schur polynomial associated to $\lambda$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}:=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)}, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{h_{k}\right\}_{k \geq 0}$ are polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}]$ defined by the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0} h_{k} z^{k}=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}\right) . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Sato theory, the component $\tau_{1}$, can be expressed as the linear combination of the Schur polynomials with coefficients being the Plücker coordinates, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}=c_{0} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} \pi_{\lambda} s_{\lambda} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $c_{0}$ is a nonzero constant often taken as 1 , and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\lambda}:=(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{m_{i}, n_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A_{m, n}, m, n \geq 0$ being the affine coordinates [5, 22, 39, 40] for the point of Sato Grassmannian corresponding to $\tau_{1}$. In this paper, we will derive explicit expressions for $\tau_{1} \tau_{2}$.

Before presenting the results, we introduce further some notations. Note that the Schur polynomials form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]$, and define a sequence of linear operators $T_{n}: \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]$ in the following way:

$$
T_{n}\left(s_{\lambda}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
(-1)^{i} s_{\left(\lambda_{1}-1, \ldots, \lambda_{i}-1, n+i, \lambda_{i+1}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}\right)}, & \text { if } \lambda_{i}>n+i \geq \lambda_{i+1}, \text { for some } i,  \tag{1.17}\\
0, & \text { otherwise } .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here we set $\lambda_{0}=+\infty$ and $\lambda_{\ell(\lambda)+1}=0$. The operator $T_{0}$ has the alternative expression:

$$
T_{0}\left(s_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(-1)^{r} s_{\left(m_{1}-1, \ldots, m_{r}-1 \mid n_{1}+1, \ldots, n_{r}+1\right)}, & m_{r} \geq 1  \tag{1.18}\\
0, & m_{r}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that for each $n \geq 0$, the linear operator $T_{n}$ induces the linear transformation on $\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{Y})$, denoted again by $T_{n}$. The first result of the paper is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2 Let $(w, \rho)$ be an arbitrary solution in $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]^{2}$ to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy (1.1) - (1.2), and $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]^{2}$ its tau-tuple with $\tau_{2}(\mathbf{0})$ chosen as 1 . There exists a unique sequence of numbers $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}=Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(\tau_{1}\right), \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k} q_{k}}$ denotes the multiplication operator on $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]$.
The proof is in Section 3.
Noticing that $Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}$ is a linear operator and using (1.15), we will simplify (1.19) via computing the action of $Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}$ on each single Schur polynomial. Let us present the
results here, and leave the details of calculations to Section 3, For $\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}$, define $\pi_{-\lambda}:=-\pi_{\lambda}$. Let $c_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}, \lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Y}$ denote the Littlewood-Richardson coefficients [30]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda} s_{\mu}=: \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Y}} c_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu} s_{\nu} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Y}$, we set $c_{\lambda,-\mu}^{\nu}:=-c_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}$. Define a sequence of numbers $g_{0}, g_{1}, g_{2}, g_{3}, \ldots$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n} z^{-n}:=e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k}} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1.3 The tau-function $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}$ has the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} s_{\lambda} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq|\lambda| \\ \mu \in \mathcal{T}_{k}^{-1}(\lambda)}} g_{k} \pi_{\mu}, \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{T}_{k}: \mathbb{Y} \cup(-\mathbb{Y}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Y} \cup(-\mathbb{Y})$ is defined as $\left.T_{k}\right|_{\mathbb{Y} \cup(-\mathbb{Y})}$. Alternatively, denoting $\mathbf{b}=$ $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} s_{\lambda} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Y} \\ \beta \in \mathbb{Y} \backslash \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{0}\right)}} \pi_{\alpha} c_{\mu \alpha}^{\beta} c_{\nu, T_{0}(\beta)}^{\lambda} s_{\mu}(-\mathbf{b}) s_{\nu}(\mathbf{b}) \tag{1.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a hook partition $\lambda$ with the Frobenius notation $(m \mid n)$, we have

$$
\mathcal{T}_{k}^{-1}(\lambda)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\{(0)\}, & n=0, k=m+1,  \tag{1.24}\\
\{(0 \mid n-1)\}, & n \geq 1, k=m+1, \\
\{-(m+1 \mid n-1)\}, & n \geq 1, k=0, \\
\varnothing, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here, (0) denotes the partition of 0 . Then from (1.22) of Proposition 1.3 we see that the coefficient of $s_{(m \mid n)}$ in $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}$, denoted by $c_{m, n}$, has the more explicit expression:

$$
c_{m, n}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
g_{m+1}, & n=0  \tag{1.25}\\
(-1)^{n}\left(g_{m+1} A_{0, n-1}-A_{m+1, n-1}\right), & n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Combining with Theorem B. 1 and the formula similar to (1.15) for the KP hierarchy (cf. [5, 14, 15, 22, [26, 36, 40), we arrive at the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4 The tau-function $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}$ has the following explicit expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} \pi_{\lambda}^{\prime} s_{\lambda} . \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here for a partition $\lambda=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right), \pi_{\lambda}^{\prime}=\operatorname{det}\left(c_{m_{i}, n_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ with $c_{m, n}$ defined $b y$ (1.25).

Both Proposition 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 can be used for efficient computations of $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}$.

Remark 1.5 Propositions $1.2,1.3$ and Corollary 1.4 could be generalized to the KP hierarchy. In fact, let $\tau_{1}$ be an arbitrary tau-function of the KP hierarchy, and let $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}$ be defined via Buryak's residue formula:

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}:=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty}\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n} z^{-n}\right) \tau_{1}\left(q_{1}-\frac{1}{z}, q_{2}-\frac{1}{2 z^{2}}, \ldots\right) e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} q_{k} z^{k}} \frac{d z}{z}
$$

where $g_{0}=1$ and $g_{2}, g_{3}, \ldots$ are arbitrary constants. Then $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}$ is also a tau-function of the KP hierarchy [2] (see Theorem B.1] of Appendix $\underline{B}$ for the details and a new proof), and as a generalization of Proposition 1.2 this tau-function can be written into

$$
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}=Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(\tau_{1}\right),
$$

where the coefficients in $Q=e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k} q_{k}}$ are determined by the relation

$$
e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k}}=\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n} z^{-n}
$$

Moreover, formulae (1.22), (1.23) and (1.26) (with $c_{m, n}$ defined by (1.25)) in Proposition 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 are still valid. We will give some combinatorial identities as applications of these results at the end of Appendix B.

We proceed with defining the extension of the generalized BGW tau-function. Consider the solution to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy (1.1)-(1.2) specified by the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w\right|_{q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0}=\frac{C}{(1-x)^{2}},\left.\quad \rho\right|_{q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0}=0, \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is an arbitrarily given constant ( $N=\sqrt{\frac{1}{4}-2 C}$ corresponds to the parameter in [3]). We call this solution the generalized BGW solution to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy, denoted by $\left(w^{\ominus(C)}, \rho^{\Theta(C)}\right)$. Let $\left(\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}, \tau_{2}^{\Theta(C)}\right)$ be the tau-tuple of $\left(w^{\Theta(C)}, \rho^{\ominus(C)}\right)$. As in [3, 8, 16, 20] we normalize the first component $\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0}(2 k+1)\left(q_{2 k+1}-\delta_{k, 0}\right) \frac{\partial \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}}{\partial q_{2 k+1}}+C \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}=0 \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by requiring $\partial \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)} / \partial q_{2 n}=0$, call it the generalized $B G W$ tau-function. (Note that $\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}$ is uniquely determined up to a constant factor that is irrelevant of the study.) We normalize the second component $\tau_{2}^{\Theta(C)}$ by requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}^{\Theta(C)}(\mathbf{0})=1 \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that when the parameter $C$ is taken to be $1 / 8$, the first component $\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}$ becomes the BGW tau-function. Norbury [34] proves the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}^{\Theta\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)}=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(2 i_{k}+1\right)!!q_{2 i_{k}+1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}} \Theta_{g, n} \psi_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots \psi_{n}^{i_{n}}\right) . \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\psi_{i}$ denotes the first Chern class of the $i$ th tautological line bundle on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}$, and $\Theta_{g, n}$ denotes the Theta-class [34. Our next result is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1.6 Define a sequence of numbers $g_{n}^{\Theta(C)}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}^{\Theta(C)}=1, \quad g_{n}^{\Theta(C)}=(n-1)!\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k!(k-1)!} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(C+\frac{i(i-1)}{2}\right), \quad n \geq 1 . \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}, \ldots$ via $\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k}=\log \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n}^{\Theta(C)} z^{-n}\right)$, and denote by $Q=e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k} q_{k}}$ the multiplication operator. The following identity holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}^{\Theta(C)}=\frac{1}{\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}} Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\right) \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}:=\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)} \tau_{2}^{\Theta(C)}$ satisfies the following linear equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1} n \tilde{q}_{n} \frac{\partial \tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}}{\partial q_{n}}+C \tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}=0, \quad \tilde{q}_{n}=q_{n}-\delta_{n, 1} \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that based on [5, 40] the explicit expression for the affine coordinates $A_{m, n}^{\Theta(C)}$ of the point of the Sato Grassmannian corresponding to $\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}$ was obtained in [20] (cf. also [23]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{m, n}^{\Theta(C)}=(-1)^{m} \sum_{\substack{r \geq m+1, s \geq 0 \\ r+s=m+n+1}} \frac{r-s}{r+s} a_{r} a_{s}, \quad a_{k}:=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{k!} \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(C+\binom{i}{2}\right) \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\pi_{\lambda}^{\Theta(C)}$ via (1.16) with $A_{m, n}$ replaced by $A_{m, n}^{\Theta(C)}$. Combining with (1.22) we arrive at
Corollary 1.7 The tau-function $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} s_{\lambda} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq|\lambda| \\ \mu \in \mathcal{T}_{k}^{-1}(\lambda)}} g_{k}^{\Theta(C)} \pi_{\mu}^{\Theta(C)} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the reader's convenience we list the first few coefficients of $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}$ in Appendix A.
Propositions 1.2 and 1.3 also apply to the topological solution to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy, denoted by ( $w^{\mathrm{WK}}, \rho^{\mathrm{WK}}$ ), which is specified by the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w^{\mathrm{WK}}\right|_{q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0}=x,\left.\quad \rho^{\mathrm{WK}}\right|_{q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0}=0 . \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution governs the open and closed intersection numbers [12, 13, 35]. Let $\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{wK}}$ be the tau-function of the solution $w^{\mathrm{wK}}$ to the KdV hierarchy, normalized by the string equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 0}(2 n+1)\left(q_{2 n+1}-\delta_{n, 1}\right) \frac{\partial \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{WK}}}{\partial q_{2 n+1}}+\frac{x^{2}}{2} \tau_{1}^{\mathrm{WK}}=0 \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit expression for the affine coordinates $A_{m, n}^{\mathrm{wK}}$ for the point of the Sato Grassmannian corresponding to $\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{wK}}$ was first obtained in [39] and later re-proved in [5]:

$$
A_{3 m-1,3 n}^{\mathrm{WK}}=A_{3 m-3,3 n+2}^{\mathrm{WK}}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \frac{(-1)^{n}}{36^{m+n}} \frac{(6 m+1)!!}{(2 m+2 n)!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(m+j) \prod_{j=1}^{n}(2 m+2 j-1)\left(B(n, m)+\frac{2^{n}(6 n+1)!!}{(6 m+1)(2 n)!}\right)  \tag{1.38}\\
& A_{3 m-2,3 n+1}^{\mathrm{WK}} \\
= & \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{36^{m+n}} \frac{(6 m+1)!!}{(2 m+2 n)!} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1}(m+j) \prod_{j=1}^{n}(2 m+2 j-1)\left(B(n, m)+\frac{2^{n}(6 n+1)!!}{(6 m-1)(2 n)!}\right) \tag{1.39}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \geq 0, m \geq 1$ and $B(n, m)$ are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(n, m):=\frac{1}{6} \sum_{j=1}^{n} 108^{j} \frac{2^{n-j}(6 n-6 j+1)!!}{(2 n-2 j)!} \frac{\Gamma(m+n+1)}{\Gamma(m+n+2-j)} . \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall from [13] that the values $g_{n}$ for the topological solution are given by

$$
g_{n}^{\mathrm{WK}}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{3^{i}(6 m-6 i)!}{288^{m-i}(2 m-2 i)!(3 m-3 i)!} \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(m+\frac{1}{2}-j\right), & n=3 m  \tag{1.41}\\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Define $\pi_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{wK}}$ via (1.16) with $A_{m, n}$ replaced by $A_{m, n}^{\mathrm{wK}}$. Using (1.22) we then arrive at
Corollary 1.8 The power series $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{wK}}$ has the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{WK}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} s_{\lambda} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq \leq \lambda \mid \\ \mu \in \mathcal{T}_{k}^{-1}(\lambda)}} g_{k}^{\mathrm{WK}} \pi_{\mu}^{\mathrm{WK}} . \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Organization of the paper In Section 2, we will recall about some basics about the KdV hierarchy. In Section 3, we prove Propositions 1.2 and 1.3. In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.6 , Besides, we give a new proof of a result of Alexandrov in Appendix B
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## 2 A brief review of the KdV hierarchy

In this section, we recall some basic facts about the KdV hierarchy (1.1). It is well known that this hierarchy can be written into the Lax form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{n}}=\left[\left(L^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)_{+}, L\right], \quad n \geq 1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L:=\partial_{x}^{2}+2 w(x)$. Here, for the meaning of $L^{n / 2}$ see e.g. [15]. For any solution $w \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]$ to the KdV hierarchy 2 , there exists [15, 20] a pseudo-differential operator $P=\sum_{i \geq 0} \phi_{i} \partial_{x}^{-i} \in$

[^1]$\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]\left[\left[\partial_{x}^{-1}\right]\right], \phi_{0}:=1$ satisfying the followings:
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=P \circ \partial_{x}^{2} \circ P^{-1}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \frac{\partial P}{\partial q_{n}}=-\left(L^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)_{-} \circ P, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The formal adjoint operator of $P$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{*}:=\sum_{i \geq 0}\left(-\partial_{x}\right)^{-i} \circ \phi_{i}=: \sum_{n \geq 1} \phi_{i}^{*} \partial_{x}^{-i} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each solution $w$, define the wave function $\psi$ and its dual by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi:=\psi(\mathbf{q} ; z)=\sum_{i \geq 0} \phi_{i} z^{-i} e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}}  \tag{2.5}\\
& \psi^{*}:=\psi^{*}(\mathbf{q} ; z)=\sum_{i \geq 0} \phi_{i}^{*} z^{-i} e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\psi$ and $\psi^{*}$ satisfy the following linear equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L \psi=z^{2} \psi, \quad L \psi^{*}=z^{2} \psi^{*}  \tag{2.7}\\
& \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_{n}}=\left(L^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)_{+} \psi, \quad \frac{\partial \psi^{*}}{\partial q_{n}}=\left(\left(L^{*}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)_{+} \psi^{*}, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The following lemma was proven in e.g. [14].
Lemma 2.1 ([14]) The following bilinear identity holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} \psi(\mathbf{q} ; z) \psi^{*}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime} ; z\right) d z=0, \quad \forall \mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots\right), \mathbf{q}^{\prime}=\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, q_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Sato theory [14, 15, 36, there exists a (unique up to a multiplicative constant) formal series $\tau(\mathbf{q})$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\mathbf{q} ; z)=\frac{\tau\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau(\mathbf{q})} e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}}, \quad \psi^{*}(\mathbf{q} ; z)=\frac{\tau\left(\mathbf{q}+\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau(\mathbf{q})} e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left[z^{-1}\right]:=\left(\frac{1}{z}, \frac{1}{2 z^{2}}, \frac{1}{3 z^{3}}, \ldots\right)$. The series $\tau(\mathbf{q})$ is called the Sato type tau-function of the solution $w$ for the KdV hierarchy, which will play an important role in the later sections. Observe that the above $\phi_{i}$ and $\phi_{i}^{*}, i \geq 0$ do not depend on $q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{6}, \ldots$ Therefore, if we view $q_{2}, q_{4}, q_{6}, \ldots$ as constants, then as it was proved in [20] the $\psi$ and $\psi^{*}$ defined by (2.5)-(2.6) satisfy the pair condition of [20]. This statement is applied in several places of this paper.

## 3 Proofs of Propositions 1.2 and 1.3

The goal of this section is to prove Propositions 1.2 and 1.3 ,
The following lemma, that will play a fundamental role, generalizes a result of Buryak [13].

Lemma 3.1 Let $(w, \rho) \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]^{2}$ be an arbitrary solution to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy, and let $\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]^{2}$ be its tau-tuple with a fixed choice (1.11). There exists a unique series

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n} z^{-n} \in \mathbb{C}\left[\left[z^{-1}\right]\right], \quad g_{n} \in \mathbb{C}, g_{0} \neq 0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the component $\tau_{2}$ has the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} g(z) \frac{\tau_{1}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau_{1}(\mathbf{q})} e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof According to [7, the Dubrovin-Zhang type tau-function $\tau_{1}$ of the solution $w$ for the KdV hierarchy defined by (1.9) is also a Sato type tau-function of $w$, i.e., the $\psi$ defined by

$$
\psi(\mathbf{q} ; z):=\frac{\tau_{1}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau_{1}(\mathbf{q})} e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}}
$$

is a wave function (2.8) of the KP hierarchy. Let us define a sequence of numbers $g_{n}, n \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{0}=\tau_{2}(\mathbf{0}),  \tag{3.3}\\
& g_{n}=\left.\partial_{x}^{n}\right|_{\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{0}}\left(\tau_{2}\right)+\left.\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{\min \{k, n-1\}} \operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} \frac{g_{i} d z}{z^{k-i+1}} \partial_{x}^{n-k}\right|_{x=0}\left(\frac{\tau_{1}\left(x-\frac{1}{z},-\frac{1}{2 z^{2}}, \ldots\right)}{\tau_{1}(x, 0, \ldots)}\right), \quad n \geq 1 . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Define $g(z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n} z^{-n}$, and define $\tilde{\tau}_{2} \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]$ by $\tilde{\tau}_{2}=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} g(z) \psi(\mathbf{q} ; z) \frac{d z}{z}$. From the definition of $g_{n}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\tau}_{2}(x, 0, \ldots)=\tau_{2}(x, 0, \ldots) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.8) we know that $\forall n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tilde{\tau}_{2}}{\partial q_{n}}=\left(L^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)_{+}\left(\tilde{\tau}_{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L=\partial_{x}^{2}+2 w$. According to (1.10) and [12], the second component $\tau_{2}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \tau_{2}}{\partial q_{n}}=\left(L^{\frac{n}{2}}\right)_{+}\left(\tau_{2}\right), \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with formulae (3.5)-(3.7), we obtain $\tau_{2}=\tilde{\tau}_{2}$. The uniqueness follows from the requirement (3.2) (in fact restricting to $q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0$ already implies the uniqueness of $g_{n}$ ). The lemma is proved.

The following lemma gives explicit computation on the maps $T_{n}$ in terms of the Schur basis.
Lemma 3.2 For each $n \geq 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}\left(s_{\lambda}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{k_{i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)+1}, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=n$, and $k_{i}=\lambda_{i-1}(2 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)+1)$.
The proof of this lemma is elementary and we omit its details.

Lemma 3.3 The transformation $T_{0}$ defined by (1.18) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}(f)=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} f\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z}, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]] . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Due to the linearity of $T_{0}$ and the fact that Schur polynomials form a basis of $\mathbb{C}[[\mathbf{q}]]$, it suffices to show the validity of (3.9) for all $s_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathbb{Y}$. On one hand, using Lemma 3.2, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}\left(s_{\lambda}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{k_{i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)+1}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{1}=0, k_{i}=\lambda_{i-1}(2 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)+1)$. On the other hand, observe, using (1.14), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0} h_{k}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) y^{k}=\exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 1}\left(q_{k}-\frac{1}{k z^{k}}\right) y^{k}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} q_{k} y^{k}\right)\left(1-\frac{y}{z}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)=h_{k}(\mathbf{q})-\frac{1}{z} h_{k-1}(\mathbf{q}), \quad \forall k \geq 1 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j}-\frac{1}{z} h_{\lambda_{i}-i+j-1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)}=\sum_{k=0}^{\ell(\lambda)} C^{[k]} z^{-k} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{[k]}$ denotes the $(1, k+1)$ cofactor of the matrix $\left(h_{k_{i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)+1}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} s_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell(\lambda)} C^{[k]} z^{-k}\right)\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} h_{k} z^{k}\right) \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{\ell(\lambda)} h_{k} C^{[k]}=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{k_{i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The lemma is proved.
We notice that similarly with (3.9) and (1.18) the following formula is true:

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} s_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)}\left(\mathbf{q}+\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(-1)^{r} s_{\left(m_{1}+1, \ldots, m_{r}+1 \mid n_{1}-1, \ldots, n_{r}-1\right)}(\mathbf{q}), & n_{r} \geq 1, \\
0, & n_{r}=0 .
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we are ready to prove Proposition 1.2 ,
Proof of Proposition 1.2 From Lemma 3.1 we know that there exists a series $g(z) \in \mathbb{C}\left[\left[z^{-1}\right]\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2}=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} g(z) \tau_{1}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log g(z)=: b_{0}+\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote $Q:=e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k} q_{k}}$. Using the condition that $\tau_{2}(\mathbf{0})$ equals 1 , we have $b_{0}=0$. Then by using identity (3.15) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau_{1} \tau_{2} & =-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k}} \tau_{1}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} \frac{e^{-\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k}\left(q_{k}-\frac{1}{k z^{k}}\right)}}{e^{-\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k} q_{k}}} \tau_{1}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =-Q \operatorname{res}_{z=\infty}\left(Q^{-1} \tau_{1}\right)\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z},
\end{aligned}
$$

which, due to Lemma 3.3, gives

$$
Q^{-1} \tau_{1} \tau_{2}=T_{0}\left(Q^{-1} \tau_{1}\right)
$$

The proposition is proved.
Lemma 3.4 Let $Q=e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k} q_{k}}$ denote the multiplication operator. The following formula holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(s_{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(\mathbf{b}) T_{n}\left(s_{\lambda}\right) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(s_{\lambda}\right)=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k}} s_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} .
$$

Then by substituting (3.13) into this equality we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(s_{\lambda}\right) & =-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} \sum_{n, m \geq 0} h_{n}(\mathbf{b}) h_{m}(\mathbf{q}) z^{m-n} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell(\lambda)} C^{[k]} z^{-k} \frac{d z}{z} \\
& =\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(\mathbf{b}) \sum_{k=0}^{\ell(\lambda)} h_{k+n}(\mathbf{q}) C^{[k]} . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Here we recall that $C^{[k]}$ is the $(1, k+1)$ cofactor of the matrix $\left(h_{k_{i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)+1}$ with $k_{1}=0$, $k_{i}=\lambda_{i-1}(2 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)+1)$. Obviously, for any $n \geq 1, C^{[k]}$ is also the $(1, k+1)$ cofactor of the matrix $\left(h_{k_{n, i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)+1}$ with $k_{n, 0}=n$ and $k_{n, i}=\lambda_{i-1}(2 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)+1)$. We therefore conclude that

$$
Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(s_{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(\mathbf{b}) \operatorname{det}\left(h_{k_{n, i}-i+j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq \ell(\lambda)+1} .
$$

Combined with Lemma 3.2 the lemma is then proved.
We are now ready to prove Proposition 1.3 ,

Proof of Proposition 1.3 By using Proposition 1.2 and Lemma 3.4, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} \pi_{\lambda} Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(s_{\lambda}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} \pi_{\lambda} \sum_{n \geq 0} h_{n}(\mathbf{b}) T_{n}\left(s_{\lambda}\right), \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nothing but an equivalent form of (1.22). Next we are to show (1.23). It is known 31] that the following identity holds true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k q_{k} q_{k}^{\prime}}=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} s_{\lambda}(\mathbf{q}) s_{\lambda}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right) . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}\left(s_{\lambda}\right) & =\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Y}} s_{\nu}(\mathbf{b}) s_{\nu}(\mathbf{q}) T_{0}\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{Y}} c_{\lambda \mu}^{\beta} s_{\mu}(-\mathbf{b}) s_{\beta}(\mathbf{q})\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Y} \\
\beta \in \mathbb{Y} \backslash \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{0}\right)}} c_{\lambda \mu}^{\beta} c_{\nu, T_{0}(\beta)}^{\alpha} s_{\mu}(-\mathbf{b}) s_{\nu}(\mathbf{b}) s_{\alpha}(\mathbf{q}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Formula (1.23) is then obtained in a similar way with (3.19). The proposition is proved.
For the reader's convenience we provide in below a few Littlewood-Richardson coefficients $c_{\alpha \mu}^{\beta}$ that appear in the above proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{(3)} s_{(3)}=s_{(6)}+s_{(5,1)}+s_{(4,2)}+s_{(3,3)}, \\
& s_{(3)} s_{(2,1)}=s_{(5,1)}+s_{(4,2)}+s_{(4,1,1)}+s_{(3,2,1)}, \\
& s_{(3)} s_{(1,1,1)}=s_{(4,1,1)}+s_{(3,1,1,1)}, \\
& s_{(2,1)} s_{(2,1)}=s_{(4,2)}+s_{(4,1,1)}+s_{(3,3)}+2 s_{(3,2,1)}+s_{(3,1,1,1)}+s_{(2,2,2)}+s_{(2,2,1,1)}, \\
& s_{(2,1)} s_{(1,1,1)}=s_{(3,2,1)}+s_{(3,1,1,1)}+s_{(2,2,1,1)}+s_{(2,1,1,1,1)}, \\
& s_{(1,1,1)} s_{(1,1,1)}=s_{(2,2,2)}+s_{(2,2,1,1)}+s_{(2,1,1,1,1)}+s_{(1,1,1,1,1,1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the case of the topological solution to the Burgers-KdV hierarchy, it was conjectured by Witten [38] and proved by Kontsevich the following identity [29]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{WK}}=\exp \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n} \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(2 i_{k}+1\right)!!q_{2 i_{k}+1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, n}} \psi_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots \psi_{n}^{i_{n}}\right), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{1}^{\mathrm{WK}}$ is defined by (1.36) $-(1.37)$. The second component $\tau_{2}^{\mathrm{wK}}$ in the tau-tuple of the topological solution plays the role of the partition function for the open intersection numbers [12, 13, 35]. From Corollary 1.8 and a straightforward computation, we obtain the first few terms of $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{wK}}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\mathrm{WK}}=1+\frac{41}{24} s_{(3)}-\frac{5}{24} s_{(2,1)}-\frac{7}{24} s_{(1,1,1)}+\frac{9241}{1152} s_{(6)}-\frac{385}{1152} s_{(5,1)}-\frac{455}{1152} s_{(4,1,1)}+\frac{205}{576} s_{(3,3)} \\
& \quad+\frac{287}{576} s_{(3,2,1)}+\frac{25}{1152} s_{(3,1,1,1)}-\frac{35}{576} s_{(2,2,2)}-\frac{385}{1152} s_{(2,1,1,1,1)}-\frac{455}{1152} s_{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}+\cdots, \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

which agree with the computations in [28]. We hope that Corollary 1.8 could be helpful in understanding open and closed intersection numbers.

## 4 The extended generalized BGW tau-function

In this section, following [13] and [9] we prove Theorem [1.6, We also prove that the extended generalized BGW tau-function with some particularly chosen value of $C$ is a polynomial.

Let $\left(w^{\Theta(C)}, \rho^{\Theta(C)}\right)$ and $\left(\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}, \tau_{2}^{\Theta(C)}\right)$ be defined in Introduction (cf. (1.27)-(1.29)). According to [7], the series $\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}$ is also the Sato type tau-function of the solution $\left(w^{\ominus(C)}, \rho^{\ominus(C)}\right)$ for the KdV hierarchy. Therefore, as mentioned in Section 2, the $\psi_{\Theta(C)}:=\psi_{\Theta(C)}(\mathbf{q} ; z)$ and $\psi_{\Theta(C)}^{*}:=$ $\psi_{\Theta(C)}^{*}(\mathbf{q} ; z)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\Theta(C)}=\frac{\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}(\mathbf{q})} e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}}, \quad \psi_{\Theta(C)}^{*}=\frac{\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}+\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}(\mathbf{q})} e^{-\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a pair of wave and dual wave functions of $w^{\ominus(C)}$ for the KdV hierarchy. To proceed we introduce the notations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{\Theta(C)}:=\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)} \psi_{\Theta(C)}, \quad f_{\Theta(C)}(x, z):=\left.\psi_{\Theta(C)}\right|_{q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0},  \tag{4.2}\\
& K_{\Theta(C)}^{*}:=\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)} \psi_{\Theta(C)}^{*}, \quad f_{\Theta(C)}^{*}(x, z):=\left.\psi_{\Theta(C)}^{*}\right|_{q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0},  \tag{4.3}\\
& L_{0}^{\mathrm{Ext}}:=\sum_{n \geq 1} n \tilde{q}_{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{n}}+C, \quad \tilde{q}_{n}:=q_{n}-\delta_{n, 1} . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4.1 The following formulae hold true:

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{0}^{\mathrm{Ext}} K_{\Theta(C)} & =\left(z \partial_{z}-z\right) K_{\Theta(C)},  \tag{4.5}\\
L_{0}^{\mathrm{Ext}} K_{\Theta(C)}^{*} & =\left(z \partial_{z}+z\right) K_{\Theta(C)}^{*} . \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Performing a shift $\mathbf{q} \mapsto \mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]$ to identity (1.28), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0}\left((2 k+1) \tilde{q}_{2 k+1}-\frac{1}{z^{2 k+1}}\right) \frac{\partial \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}}{\partial q_{2 k+1}}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)+C \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)=0 . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the left-hand side of (4.5) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}}\left(\sum_{k \geq 0}(2 k+1) \tilde{q}_{2 k+1} \frac{\partial \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\partial q_{2 k+1}}+\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} n \tilde{q}_{n} z^{n}+C\right)\right) \\
& \quad=e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \sum_{k \geq 0} z^{-2 k-1} \frac{\partial \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\partial q_{2 k+1}}+K_{\Theta(C)} \sum_{n \geq 1} n \tilde{q}_{n} z^{n} \\
& \quad=\left(z \partial_{z}-z\right) K_{\Theta(C)} . \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The proof for (4.6) is similar. The lemma is proved.
The next lemma gives the explicit expressions of $f_{\Theta(C)}$ and $f_{\Theta(C)}^{*}$.
Lemma 4.2 The following formulae are true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\Theta(C)}=e^{x z} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\theta_{n}}{z^{n}(1-x)^{n}}, \quad f_{\Theta(C)}^{*}=e^{-x z} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{n} \theta_{n}}{z^{n}(1-x)^{n}}, \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{n}:=(-1)^{n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(C+\frac{i(i+1)}{2}\right) / n!, n \geq 0$.

Proof Setting $q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0$ in (4.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x-1) \partial_{x} f_{\Theta(C)}=\left(z \partial_{z}-z\right) f_{\Theta(C)} . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that there exists a formal series $\theta(z) \in \mathbb{C}\left[\left[z^{-1}\right]\right]$ such that $f_{\Theta(C)}=e^{x z} \theta(z(1-x))$. Setting $q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0$ in formula (2.7) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{x}^{2} f_{\Theta(C)}+\left(\frac{2 C}{(1-x)^{2}}-z^{2}\right) f_{\Theta(C)}=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we find that $\theta(z)$ satisfies the ODE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{2} \partial_{z}^{2}-2 z^{2} \partial_{z}+2 C\right) \theta(z)=0 . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this ODE with $\theta(z) \sim 1$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$ we get the first equality. The proof of the second equality is similar.

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.6 using the method of [13] improved in 9].
Proof of Theorem 1.6 Due to Lemma 3.1, there exists a series $g(z)$ of the form (3.1) satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}^{\Theta(C)}=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} g(z) \frac{\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right)}{\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}(\mathbf{q})} e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.29) we find $g_{0}=1$. Using Lemma 4.1 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}^{\mathrm{Ext}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}\right)=\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)} \operatorname{res}_{z=\infty}\left(g^{\prime}(z)+g(z)\right) \psi_{\Theta(C)} d z \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.12), (1.27) and (1.28) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(L_{0}^{\mathrm{Ext}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}\right)\right)\right|_{q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0} \equiv 0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus taking $q_{2}=q_{3}=\cdots=0$ on the both sides of (4.14) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty}\left(g^{\prime}(z)+g(z)\right) f_{\Theta(C)}(x, z) d z \equiv 0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\psi_{\Theta(C)}$ satisfies equations (2.8), it follows from (4.18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty}\left(g^{\prime}(z)+g(z)\right) \psi_{\Theta(C)}(\mathbf{q} ; z) d z \equiv 0 \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following [9] we employ the bilinear identity (2.9) with $\mathbf{q}=(x, \mathbf{0}), \mathbf{q}^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}, \mathbf{0}\right)$, and we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} f_{\Theta(C)}^{*}\left(x^{\prime}, z\right) f_{\Theta(C)}(x, z) d z \equiv 0 \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further taking $x^{\prime}=0$ and using Lemma 4.2 we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} \theta(-z) f_{\Theta(C)}(x, z) d z \equiv 0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.16) and (4.19), and using the uniqueness nature we find that $g(z)$ must satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{\prime}(z)+g(z)=\theta(-z) . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously, solution to (4.20) of the form (3.1) is unique. By solving (4.20) we finally obtain that $g(z)=1+\sum_{n \geq 1} g_{n}^{\Theta(C)} z^{-n}$ with $g_{n}^{\Theta(C)}$ being given by (1.31). The identities (1.32) and (1.33) are proved.

It was shown in [3] that if $C=-m(m+1) / 2$ for some non-negative integer $m$, the generalized BGW tau-function $\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}$ is a polynomial. We find in the next proposition that when $m$ is even, the tau-function $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}$ is also a polynomial.

Proposition 4.3 For $C=-m(m+1) / 2, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, if $m$ is even, then $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}$ is a polynomial.
Proof Define $g(z)=\sum_{k \geq 0} g_{k}^{\Theta(C)} z^{-k}$. Using Theorem 1.6 we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{g_{k}^{\Theta(C)}}{z^{k}}+a_{m} \sum_{k \geq m} \frac{(k-1)!}{z^{k}} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(-\frac{m(m+1)}{2}+\frac{i(i+1)}{2}\right)}{k!(k-1)!} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can show that the number $a_{m}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{m}=\frac{1}{m!} f^{(m)}(0), \quad f(z):=-z\left(1+z^{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m$ is even, we find $a_{m}$ is zero. Then from (4.21) it follows that $g(z)$ is a polynomial in $z^{-1}$. Combining this with the polynomiality property of the component $\tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}$, one can see

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z) \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{q}]\left[z^{-1}\right] \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using Lemma 3.1 we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\Theta(C)}=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} g(z) \tau_{1}^{\Theta(C)}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We conclude from (4.24) and (4.25) that $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}{ }^{\Theta(C)}$ is a polynomial.

## A The first few coefficients of $\tau_{\mathrm{E}}^{\ominus(C)}$

$\left.\begin{array}{cc}\hline \lambda & \text { Coefficient of } s_{\lambda} \\ \hline(1) & C \\ (2) & C(C+3) / 2 \\ (1,1) & C(C-1) / 2 \\ (3) & C(C+3)(C+7) / 6 \\ (2,1) & C(C+1)(C-3) / 6 \\ (1,1,1) & C(C+3)(C+9)(C+10) / 24 \\ (4) & C(C+3)\left(C^{2}+7 C-2\right) / 8 \\ (3,1) & C(C+1)(C+3)(C-2) / 8 \\ (2,2) & C(C+1)(C+3)(C-6) / 24 \\ (2,1,1) & C(C+3)(C+10)\left(C^{2}+27 C+186\right) / 120 \\ (1,1,1,1) & C(C+3)(C+10)\left(2 C^{2}-3 C-30\right) / 60 \\ (5) & C^{2}(C+1)(C+3)(C+8) / 24 \\ (4,1) & C(C+1)(C+3)\left(C^{2}+6 C-10\right) / 20 \\ (3,2) & C^{3}(C+1)(C+3) / 24 \\ (3,1,1) & C(C+1)(C+3)\left(2 C^{2}-3 C-30\right) / 60 \\ (2,2,1) & C(C+1)(C+3)(C+6)(C-10) / 120 \\ (2,1,1,1) & C(C+3)(C+10)(C+21)\left(C^{2}+31 C+270\right) / 720 \\ (1,1,1,1,1) & C+3)(C+10)\left(C^{3}+28 C^{2}+201 C-18\right) / 144 \\ (6) & C^{2}(C+1)(C+3)(C+10)(C+11) / 80 \\ (5,1) & C(C+1)(C+3)(C+10)\left(C^{2}+9 C-9\right) / 72 \\ (4,2) & C^{2}(C+1)(C+3)^{2} C(C+10) / 144 \\ (4,1,1) & C^{2}(C+1)(C+3)\left(4 C^{2}+34 C+15\right) / 180 \\ (3,3) & C(C+1)(C+3)\left(C^{3}+7 C^{2}-15 C-90\right) / 72 \\ (3,2,1) & C^{2}(C+1)(C+3)\left(C^{2}+C+6\right) / 144 \\ (3,1,1,1) & C(C+1)(C+3)(C+6)(C+10)(C-15) / 720 \\ (2,2,2) & C+3)\left(C^{2}+C-10\right) / 144 \\ (2,2,1,1) & (2,1,1,1,1)\end{array}(C+6)\left(C^{2}-5 C-30\right) / 144\right)$

## B A novel proof of Alexandrov's theorem

In this section, we give a new proof of a result of Alexandrov [2].
Let $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(z^{-1}\right)\right)$ be the linear space of formal series with finitely many terms of positive powers, and consider the Sato Grassmannian $G M$ as defined originally in [36]. A point $W \in G M$ is
a subspace of $\mathbb{C}\left(\left(z^{-1}\right)\right)$ which can be written as a linear span of a set of basis vectors. A particular useful choice of basis of $W$ is of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{z^{l}+\sum_{k \geq 0} A_{k, l} z^{-k-1}\right\}_{l \geq 0} \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the collection $\left(A_{k, l}\right)_{k, l \geq 0}$ is called the affine coordinate of $W$. Note that such a choice is not always possible: existence of basis of form (B.1) characterizes the big cell (See 37] for the details). Given a point $W \in G M$, the affine coordinate, if exist, must be unique.

Define a family of numbers as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)}(W):=(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i}} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{m_{i}, n_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r} \tag{B.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then according to [5, 22], the formal series defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{w}}:=\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}} \pi_{\lambda}(W) s_{\lambda} \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a Sato type tau-function of the KP hierarchy, i.e., it satisfies the following bilinear identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} \tau_{\mathrm{w}}\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) \tau_{\mathrm{w}}\left(\mathbf{q}^{\prime}+\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(q_{n}-q_{n}^{\prime}\right) z^{n}} d z=0 \tag{B.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem B. $1([2])$ Let $f(z)=1+\sum_{n \geq 1} f_{i} z^{-n}$ be an arbitrary formal series in $\mathbb{C}\left[\left[z^{-1}\right]\right]$. If $\tau$ is a Sato type tau-function of the KP hierarchy, so is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\tau}:=-\operatorname{res}_{z=\infty} f(z) \tau\left(\mathbf{q}-\left[z^{-1}\right]\right) e^{\sum_{n \geq 1} q_{n} z^{n}} \frac{d z}{z} \tag{B.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof By using Lemma 3.3, we can rewrite (B.5) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\tau}=Q \circ T_{0} \circ Q^{-1}(\tau), \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q=e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} k b_{k} q_{k}}$ denotes the multiplication operator with $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots$ being numbers such that $\log f(z)=\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k}$.

Let $\tau$ be the Sato type tau-function given by (B.3). We first show $T_{0}(\tau)$ is a Sato type tau-function. Define a point in $G M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{W}:=\operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left\{z^{l}-\sum_{k \geq 0} A_{k+1, l-1} z^{-k-1}\right\}_{l \geq 1} \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that the affine coordinates $\left(\widetilde{A}_{k, l}\right)_{k, l \geq 0}$ of $\widetilde{W}$ are given by

$$
\left(\widetilde{A}_{k, l}\right)_{k, l \geq 0}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots  \tag{B.8}\\
0 & -A_{20} & -A_{21} & \cdots \\
0 & -A_{10} & -A_{11} & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

Recall the expression (1.18) of $T_{0}$. Then by using (B.3) and observing that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\widetilde{A}_{m_{i}, n_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
(-1)^{r} \operatorname{det}\left(A_{m_{i}+1, n_{j}-1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}, & n_{r} \geq 1  \tag{B.9}\\
0, & n_{r}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

one can obtain that

$$
T_{0}(\tau)=\tau_{\widetilde{W}}
$$

Hence $T_{0}(\tau)$ is Sato type tau-function of the KP hierarchy. In other words, the transformation $T_{0}$ has the property that it maps an arbitrary Sato type tau-function of the KP hierarchy to another one. Obviously, the operator $Q$ also has this property. Combining with (B.6), we therefore conclude that the formal series $\widetilde{\tau}$ is also a Sato type tau-function. The theorem is proved.

Let us give a direct consequence of Proposition 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. For an arbitrary KP tau-function in the big cell with affine coordinates $A_{m, n}(m, n \geq 0)$ and for arbitrary $g_{k}(k \geq 0)$ with $g_{0}=1$, define $c_{m, n}$ by (1.25) and $\pi_{\lambda}$ by (1.16). Then for any partition $\lambda=\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{r} \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$, the following identities are true:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(c_{m_{i}, n_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}=\sum_{\substack{0 \leq k \leq|\lambda| \\ \mu \in \mathcal{T}_{k}^{-1}(\lambda)}} g_{k} \pi_{\mu}=\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{Y} \\ \beta \in \mathbb{Y} \backslash \operatorname{Ker}\left(T_{0}\right)}} \pi_{\alpha} c_{\mu \alpha}^{\beta} c_{\nu, T_{0}(\beta)}^{\lambda} s_{\mu}(-\mathbf{b}) s_{\nu}(\mathbf{b}) \tag{B.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{b}:=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots\right)$ are defined via $e^{\sum_{k \geq 1} b_{k} z^{-k}}=\sum_{n \geq 0} g_{n} z^{-n}$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ One of the authors D.Y. is grateful to Paolo Rossi and Sasha Buryak for sharing with him this interesting observation during a workshop at the University of Leeds in 2018.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Usually the even flows are not included. Since these flows vanish and are therefore trivial, we have added them in (1.1). In other words, we understand in the way that (1.1) provide solutions for the KP hierarchy.

