

Integrable quantum spin chains with free fermionic and parafermionic spectrum

Francisco C. Alcaraz* and Rodrigo A. Pimenta†

Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo,

Caixa Postal 369, 13560-970, São Carlos, SP, Brazil

(Dated: January 14, 2022)

We present a general study of the large family of exact integrable quantum chains with multispin interactions introduced recently in [13]. The exact integrability follows from the algebraic properties of the energy density operators defining the quantum chains. The Hamiltonians are characterized by a parameter $p = 1, 2, \dots$ related to the number of interacting spins in the multispin interaction. In the general case the quantum spins are of infinite dimension. In special cases, characterized by the parameter $N = 2, 3, \dots$, the quantum chains describe the dynamics of $Z(N)$ quantum spin chains. The simplest case $p = 1$ corresponds to the free fermionic quantum Ising chain ($N = 2$) or the $Z(N)$ free parafermionic quantum chain. The eigenenergies of the quantum chains are given in terms of the roots of special polynomials, and for general values of p the quantum chains are characterized by a free fermionic ($N = 2$) or free parafermionic ($N > 2$) eigenspectrum. The models have a special critical point when all coupling constants are equal. At this point the ground-state energy is exactly calculated in the bulk limit, and our analytical and numerical analyses indicate that the models belong to universality classes of critical behavior with dynamical critical exponent $z = (p + 1)/N$ and specific-heat exponent $\alpha = \max\{0, 1 - (p + 1)/N\}$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of free fermionic systems like the Ising model in a transverse field or the quantum XY model has proven to be an important step towards the understanding of quantum many body interacting systems [1, 2]. Since the entire spectrum of these models is obtained exactly in a finite geometry they provide the ideal framework for the study of new mathematics and physics in Condensed Matter, Statistical Physics and Quantum Information Theory.

Extensions of the models with a free eigenspectrum have been also introduced. One example is the $Z(N)$ generalization of the Ising model in a transverse field introduced by Baxter [3, 4] in which the eigenspectrum is described by free parafermions [5–11]. More recently, a new free fermionic $Z(2)$ model characterized by a three-spin interaction was discovered by Fendley [12]. The work [12] motivated us for the discover of a large family of exactly integrable quantum spin chains. In general, the associated quantum spin chains act on a infinite dimensional vector space, even in a finite lattice. However at special cases the dimension is truncated and the models turn out describing $Z(N)$ quantum spin chains with multispins interactions [13].

The eigenspectrum of this new family of models has a free fermionic ($N = 2$) or free parafermionic ($N > 2$) nature. The solution of their free-particle eigenspectra cannot be obtained by the standard Jordan-Wigner transformation. As we show in this paper, the simplest way to solve their eigenspectra is by noticing that the general Hamiltonians are based on representations of a simple exchange algebra. The algebra defining the Hamiltonians

has an integer parameter $p \geq 1$ and its representations turn out to be quantum chains with $(p + 1)$ -multispin interactions.

The exchange algebra allows us the construction of a set of mutually commuting charges, including the Hamiltonian. The solution of the spectral problem, in the $Z(N)$ -truncated cases, is obtained thanks to a product formula (or inversion relation for $N = 2$) satisfied by the generating function of the charges. This relation enables us to express the quasienergies of the free particles in terms of the roots of a family of polynomials. The polynomials, and consequently the eigenspectrum of the quantum chains, follow solely from the algebraic properties of the energy density operators defining the quantum chain. This imply that a given set of pseudoenergies describes the eigenspectrum of distinct quantum chains associated with different representations of the exchange algebra.

The commutativity of the charges built from the exchange algebra has been proved for $p = 1$ and arbitrary N in [5] and for $N = p = 2$ in [12]. In the communication [13] we announced the commutation for the truncated model with arbitrary values of p and N . In this paper we prove the announced commutation in the more general case where the Hilbert space associated to the quantum chain is not necessarily truncated.

In the $Z(N)$ -truncated models the product formula, or inversion relation, satisfied by the generating function is similar to the one satisfied by the transfer matrix of the τ_2 model [14–17], which is associated with the case where $p = 1$. For $p = N = 2$, the inversion relation has been proved in [12]. In [13] we conjectured the inversion relation for general p and general $Z(N)$ -truncated cases. In this paper we prove this conjecture for $N = 2$ and $N = 3$, and general values of p . Our method is solely based on a recurrence relation satisfied by the generating function and can be extended for $N > 3$, case by case.

* alcaraz@ifsc.usp.br

† pimenta@ifsc.usp.br

The polynomials determined by the product formula play a crucial role in the physical analysis of the Hamiltonians. The fact that the pseudoenergies of the free particles are related to the roots of the polynomials allows the evaluation of the eigenspectrum for quite large system sizes.

The quantum chains for general values of N and p have a special critical point. The mass gap and specific heat calculations, at the critical point, allow us the calculation of the dynamical critical exponent z analytically and the specific-heat exponent α numerically.

We finally remark that the diagonalization of the Hamiltonians are performed independently of the representation of the exchange algebra. Nevertheless, once a representation is chosen, extra degeneracies can appear in the eigenspectrum.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section II we introduce the general family of integrable quantum Hamiltonians with the algebraic properties defining the energy density operators. We also present several representations of these models making connections with already known models. In Section III we prove that the Hamiltonians belong to a family of mutually commuting operators. Next, in Section IV we prove the inversion relation ($N = 2$) and the product formula ($N = 3$) for arbitrary p . The polynomial fixing the quasienergies is considered in Section V. In Section VI we study some of the physical properties of the multispin Hamiltonians. In Section VII some additional charges for specific representations are constructed. Our conclusions and further directions of investigation are given in Section VIII. Finally in Appendices A and B, we present some technical details for the proof of Sec. V, and an example of application to a small quantum chain, respectively.

II. THE INTEGRABLE QUANTUM CHAINS

The integrable models we construct are defined in terms of M generators h_i ($i = 1, \dots, M$):

$$\mathcal{H} = - \sum_{i=1}^M h_i. \quad (1)$$

The generators satisfy a simple exchange algebra characterized by an integer parameter $p = 1, 2, \dots$ given by,

$$\begin{aligned} h_i h_{i+m} &= \omega h_{i+m} h_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq p, \\ [h_i, h_j] &= 0 \quad \text{for } |i - j| > p. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where ω is a general complex c-number. As we show in Section III, the relations (2) imply the exact integrability of (1) since we can construct a set of mutually commuting charges. This set is infinite in the bulk limit $M \rightarrow \infty$.

We define a word in the algebra by an arbitrary product of letters (generators) $h_1^{s_1} h_2^{s_2} \dots h_M^{s_M}$, where $s_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. It is important to stress that relations (2) does not fix any power h_i^a of the generators and consequently the number of independent words of the algebra

is infinite. In order to have a finite number of words (N^M) we can include besides (2) a closure relation, as for example

$$h_i^N = \lambda_i^N, \quad \omega = e^{2i\pi/N}, \quad (3)$$

where λ_i^N is a c-number and $N = 2, 3, \dots$. When (3) is taken into account together with relations (2), we are going to show that (1) has a free fermionic ($N = 2$) or free parafermionic ($N > 2$) eigenspectrum, *i.e.*, the eigenenergies $E^{\{s_i\}}$ satisfy

$$-E^{\{s_i\}} = \omega^{s_1} \epsilon_1 + \omega^{s_2} \epsilon_2 + \dots + \omega^{s_M} \epsilon_M, \quad (4)$$

where we define

$$\overline{M} \equiv \text{int} \left(\frac{M+p}{p+1} \right) = \left\lfloor \frac{M+p}{p+1} \right\rfloor \quad (5)$$

and $s_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. The pseudoenergies ϵ_i ($i = 1, \dots, \overline{M}$) are given by the roots of special polynomials, as we show in Sec. IV.

Let us remark that the algebra (2)-(3) for $p = 1$ has been shown to be important in the study of generalized Clifford algebra [18–25].

While the diagonalization of (1) in the truncated models, is performed using only (2)-(3), representations of the algebra lead to interesting quantum spin chains.

A simple representation of (2)-(3) with $N = 2$ and $p = 1$ for odd values of M is given in terms of the spin-1/2 Pauli matrices $\sigma_i^{x,z}$:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2i-1} &= \lambda_{2i-1} \sigma_i^x \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \frac{M+1}{2}, \\ h_{2i} &= \lambda_{2i} \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \frac{M-1}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

leading to the Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}_I = - \sum_{i=1}^L \lambda_{2i-1} \sigma_i^x - \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \lambda_{2i} \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z, \quad (7)$$

also known as the free fermionic quantum Ising chain with $L = \frac{M+1}{2}$ sites and coupling constants $\{\lambda_i\}$.

Similarly, for $p = 1$, arbitrary N and odd M , a representation of (2)-(3) is given in terms of the $Z(N)$ generalizations of the $N \times N$ Pauli matrices satisfying,

$$XZ = \omega ZX, \quad X^N = Z^N = 1, \quad Z^\dagger = Z^{N-1}, \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2i-1} &= \lambda_{2i-1} X_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \frac{M+1}{2}, \\ h_{2i} &= \lambda_{2i} Z_i^\dagger Z_{i+1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \frac{M-1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The Hamiltonian (1) in this case,

$$\mathcal{H}_B = - \sum_{i=1}^L \lambda_{2i-1} X_i - \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} \lambda_{2i} Z_i^\dagger Z_{i+1}, \quad (10)$$

reproduces the free parafermionic Baxter $Z(N)$ model with $L = \frac{M+1}{2}$ sites, introduced in [3, 4].

In the case where M is even, $N = 2$ and $p = 1$, an interesting representation of (1) is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2i-1} &= \lambda_{2i-1} \sigma_i^x \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \frac{M-2}{2}, \\ h_{2i} &= \lambda_{2i} \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, \frac{M-2}{2}, \\ h_{M-1} &= \lambda_{M-1} \sigma_{M/2}^x, \quad h_M = \lambda_M \sigma_{M/2}^z, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{imp}} = - \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} (\lambda_{2i-1} \sigma_i^x + \lambda_{2i} \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^z) - \vec{S}_0 \cdot \vec{S}_L, \quad (12)$$

that represents a quantum Ising chain, with $L = M/2$ sites, interacting at one of its ends with a magnetic impurity with components $\vec{S}_0 = (S_0^x, S_0^z) = (\lambda_{M-1}, \lambda_M)$.

The simplest representation of (2)-(3) with $N = 2$ and $p = 2$ recovers the free-fermionic three-spin interaction Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}_F = - \sum_{i=1}^{L-2} \lambda_i \sigma_i^z \sigma_{i+1}^x \sigma_{i+2}^x. \quad (13)$$

introduced in [12]. A general example of a free fermionic ($N = 2$) or free parafermionic ($N > 2$) with arbitrary values of $p = 1, 2, \dots$ is given by [13],

$$\mathcal{H}_P = - \sum_{i=1}^M h_i = - \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i Z_i Z_{i+1} \cdots Z_{i+p-1} X_{i+p}. \quad (14)$$

A general interesting representation of (2)-(3), that we call *word representation* is the one where the generators act on a vector space spanned by the basis $\{|s_1, \dots, s_M\rangle\}$ with a biunivocal correspondence with the N^M independent words formed by the normalized product of generators

$$|s_1, \dots, s_M\rangle \leftrightarrow (h_1/\lambda_1)^{s_1} \cdots (h_M/\lambda_M)^{s_M}, \quad (15)$$

where $s_i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. The action of h_i ($i > p$) in this basis gives

$$\begin{aligned} h_i |s_1, \dots, s_M\rangle &\leftrightarrow \Omega \lambda_i |s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_i^+, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_M\rangle, \\ \text{with } \Omega &= \omega^{\sum_{j=1}^p s_{i-j}} \text{ and } s_i^+ = s_i + 1, \text{ mod } N. \end{aligned}$$

From the properties of the $Z(N)$ matrices (Z_j, X_j) we can identify

$$h_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} Z_j \right) X_i, & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq p; \\ \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=i-p}^{i-1} Z_j \right) X_i, & \text{if } p+1 \leq i \leq M, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

in the basis $|\tilde{s}_1, \dots, \tilde{s}_M\rangle$ where the $\{Z_i\}$ are diagonal, i. e.,

$$Z|\tilde{s}_i\rangle = e^{2i\pi\tilde{s}_i/N} |\tilde{s}_i\rangle, \quad X|\tilde{s}_i\rangle = |\tilde{s}_i + 1, \text{ mod } N\rangle. \quad (17)$$

The Hamiltonian is then given, in this representation, by the $Z(N)$ quantum chain with multispin interactions:

$$\mathcal{H}_A = - \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} Z_j \right) X_i - \sum_{i=p+1}^M \lambda_i \left(\prod_{j=i-p}^{i-1} Z_j \right) X_i. \quad (18)$$

In the particular case $N = 2$ and $p = 1$ we have the simple nearest-neighbor interacting Hamiltonian,

$$\mathcal{H}_A^{(p=1)} = -\lambda_1 \sigma_1^x - \sum_{i=2}^M \lambda_i \sigma_{i-1}^z \sigma_i^x. \quad (19)$$

It is important to observe that the Hamiltonians (10,14,18) are Hermitian in the fermionic cases ($N = 2$) and non-Hermitian in the parafermionic cases ($N > 2$).

III. EXACT INTEGRABILITY AND CONSERVED CHARGES

In this Section we show that the general Hamiltonian (1) given in terms of the generators $\{h_i\}$ of the exchange algebra (2), is part of a set of commuting operators (charges), which became infinite in the bulk limit ($M \rightarrow \infty$), being exactly integrable.

The conserved charges follow directly from the algebraic rules (2). It is not necessary the closure relation (3). A given charge ℓ ($\ell = 0, 1, \dots, M$) is obtained by summing all the products of ℓ commuting generators $h_{j_1} h_{j_2} \cdots h_{j_\ell}$ with $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_\ell$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} H_M^{(0)} &= \mathbf{1}, \\ H_M^{(1)} &= -\mathcal{H} = \sum_{j=1}^M h_j, \\ H_M^{(2)} &= \sum_{j_1=1}^M \sum_{j_2=j_1+p+1}^M h_{j_1} h_{j_2}, \\ &\vdots \\ H_M^{(\overline{M})} &= \sum_{j_1=1}^M \sum_{j_2=j_1+p+1}^M \cdots \sum_{j_{\overline{M}}=j_{\overline{M}-1}+p+1}^M h_{j_1} h_{j_2} \cdots h_{j_{\overline{M}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Associated to these charges we define the generating function,

$$G_M(u) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\overline{M}} (-u)^\ell H_M^{(\ell)}, \quad (21)$$

where $u \in \mathbb{C}$ is a spectral parameter. We will prove that indeed

$$[H_M^{(\ell)}, H_M^{(\ell')}] = 0 \quad \forall \ell, \ell', \quad (22)$$

and consequently generating functions with distinct spectral parameters also commute, i.e.,

$$[G(u), G(u')] = 0. \quad (23)$$

The key property to prove (23) follows from the fact that both, the charges (20) and the generating function (21), satisfy some recurrence relations that we now derive. Rewriting the last sum in $H_M^{(\ell)}$ in (20) as,

$$\cdots \sum_{j_1=j_{\ell-1}+p+1}^M h_{j_1} h_{j_2} \cdots h_{j_\ell} = \cdots \sum_{j_\ell=j_{\ell-1}+p+1}^{M-1} h_{j_1} h_{j_2} \cdots h_{j_\ell} + h_{j_1} \cdots h_{j_{\ell-1}} h_M, \quad (24)$$

we obtain the recurrence relation,

$$H_M^{(\ell)} = H_{M-1}^{(\ell)} + h_M H_{M-(p+1)}^{(\ell-1)}, \quad (25)$$

with the initial conditions $H_M^{(0)} = \mathbf{1}$, $H_M^{(\ell)} = 0$ for $\ell < 0$ or $M \leq 0$. It follows from (25) and (21) that

$$G_M(u) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\overline{M}} (-u)^\ell H_{M-1}^{(\ell)} - u h_M \sum_{\ell=0}^{\overline{M}-1} (-u)^\ell H_{M-(p+1)}^{(\ell)},$$

where we have used $H_{M-(p+1)}^{(-1)} = 0$.

Since, from (5) $\overline{M} - 1 = \overline{M} - (p+1)$, we identify from (21) the second summation in (26) as $G_{M-(p+1)}(u)$. Writing $M = j(p+1) + q$ with $j, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq q \leq p$, we have that $\overline{M} = \overline{M} - 1$ except for $q = 1$ where $\overline{M} = \overline{M} - 1 + 1$. Since for $q = 1$, $\overline{M} > \overline{M} - 1$ we have that $H_{M-1}^{(\overline{M})} = 0$, and consequently we identify, for all M , the first summation in (26) as $G_{M-1}(u)$. We have then the recurrence relation for the generating function

$$G_M(u) = G_{M-1}(u) - u h_M G_{M-(p+1)}(u), \quad (26)$$

with $G_M(u) = \mathbf{1}$ for $M \leq 0$.

The recurrences (25) and (26) are the basic identities we use in this paper. It is convenient, for further use, to iterate (25) and (26),

$$G_{M-(p+1)}(u) = G_{M-(p+1)-j}(u) - u \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} h_{M-(p+1)-k} G_{M-2(p+1)-k}(u), \quad (27)$$

$$H_{M-(p+1)}^{(\ell)} = H_{M-(p+1)-j}^{(\ell)} + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} h_{M-(p+1)-k} H_{M-2(p+1)-k}^{(\ell-1)}, \quad (28)$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, M - (p+1)$, and also,

$$G_{M-1}(u) = G_{M-(p+1)}(u) - u \sum_{k=1}^p h_{M-k} G_{M-(p+1)-k}(u), \quad (29)$$

$$H_{M-1}^{(\ell)} = H_{M-(p+1)}^{(\ell)} + \sum_{k=1}^p h_{M-k} H_{M-(p+1)-k}^{(\ell-1)}. \quad (30)$$

In order to demonstrate the involution (23) let us show initially that an arbitrary charge commutes with the generating function. For this sake it is convenient to define,

$$\beta_{M,q}^{(\ell)} \equiv \left[H_M^{(\ell)}, G_M(u) \right]_q, \quad \ell = 1, \dots, \overline{M}. \quad (31)$$

where

$$[X, Y]_q = XY + qYX \quad (32)$$

is the q -commutator. We want to show that $\beta_{M,-}^{(\ell)} = 0$, for any ℓ . In the remaining of this section, for simplicity, we will omit the explicit dependence of the generating function in the variable u .

Inserting (25) and (26) in (31) with $q = -1$ we obtain,

$$\beta_{M,-}^{(\ell)} = \beta_{M-1,-}^{(\ell)} - u h_M^2 \beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(\ell-1)} + A + B \quad (33)$$

where

$$A = \left[h_M H_{M-(p+1)}^{(\ell-1)}, G_{M-1} \right]_-, \quad (34)$$

$$B = -u \left[H_{M-1}^{(\ell)}, h_M G_{M-(p+1)} \right]_-. \quad (35)$$

Using (29) in A and (30) in B, we obtain,

$$A = h_M \beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(\ell-1)} - u h_M \sum_{j=1}^p \left[H_{M-(p+1)}^{(\ell-1)}, h_{M-j} G_{M-(p+1)-j} \right]_{-\omega} \quad (36)$$

and

$$B = -u h_M \beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(\ell)} - \omega u h_M \sum_{j=1}^p \left[h_{M-j} H_{M-(p+1)-j}^{(\ell-1)}, G_{M-(p+1)} \right]_{-\omega^{-1}}. \quad (37)$$

Defining,

$$X_{M,q}^{(\ell)}(j) = \left[H_{M-(p+1)}^{(\ell)}, h_{M-j} G_{M-(p+1)-j} \right]_q, \quad (38)$$

$$Y_{M,q}^{(\ell)}(j) = \left[h_{M-j} H_{M-(p+1)-j}^{(\ell)}, G_{M-(p+1)} \right]_q, \quad (39)$$

$$\gamma_{M,q}^{(\ell)}(j) = X_{M,-q}^{(\ell)}(j) + q Y_{M,-q^{-1}}^{(\ell)}(j), \quad (40)$$

we can write,

$$A + B = h_M \beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(\ell-1)} - u h_M \beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(\ell)} - u h_M \sum_{j=1}^p \gamma_{M,\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(j). \quad (41)$$

We now obtain a recurrence relation for $X_{M,q}^{(\ell)}$, $Y_{M,q}^{(\ell)}$ and $\gamma_{M,q}^{(\ell)}$. Inserting (28) in (38) and (27) in (39) we obtain, after straightforward manipulations,

$$X_{M,q}^{(\ell)}(j) = h_{M-j} \beta_{M-(p+1)-j,q}^{(\ell)} + \omega h_{M-j} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} Y_{M-j,q\omega^{-1}}^{(\ell-1)}(p+1+k-j), \quad (42)$$

$$Y_{M,q}^{(\ell)}(j) = h_{M-j}\beta_{M-(p+1)-j,q}^{(\ell)} - uh_{M-j}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}X_{M-j,q\omega}^{(\ell)}(p+1+k-j), \quad (43)$$

Using (43) in (42) and (42) in (43), with $q = \omega$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{M,\omega}^{(\ell)}(j) &= (1+q)h_{M-j}\beta_{M-(p+1)-j,-}^{(\ell)} \\ &+ \omega h_{M-j}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}h_{M-(p+1)-k}\left(\beta_{M-2(p+1)-k,-}^{(\ell-1)}\right. \\ &- u\beta_{M-2(p+1)-k,-}^{(\ell)} \\ &- u\sum_{k'=0}^{p+k-j}\gamma_{M-(p+1)-k,\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(k'-k+j)\left.\right). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

We then finally obtain from (40) and (33),

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{M,-}^{(\ell)} &= \beta_{M-1,-}^{(\ell)} - uh_M\beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(\ell)} - uh_M\sum_{j=1}^p\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(\ell-1)}(j) \\ &- h_M\beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(\ell-1)}(uh_M - 1), \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

with $\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(\ell)}(j)$ given by (44).

We have from (20) that $H_M^{(l)} = \beta_{M,-}^{(l)} = [H_M^{(l)}, G_M] = 0$ for $l \leq 0$, and $G_M^{(l)} = 1$ for $l \leq 0$, therefore from (44) $\gamma_{M,q}^{(l)} = 0$ for $l \leq 0$.

Inserting these values in (45) we obtain for $l = 1$,

$$\beta_{M,-}^{(1)} = \beta_{M-1,-}^{(1)} - uh_M\beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(1)}. \quad (46)$$

Since for $M = 1$, $\beta_{l,-}^{(1)} = 0$ ($l \leq 0$), we obtain $\beta_{1,-}^{(1)} = 0$ and by iterating (46) we obtain $\beta_2^{(1)} = \beta_3^{(1)} = \dots = \beta_M^{(1)} = 0$, for arbitrary M . From (44) $\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(1)}(j)$ only depends on $\beta_{M,-}^{(0)}$, $\beta_{M,-}^{(1)}$ and $\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(0)}$. This means that $\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(1)}(j) = 0$ for all M and from (45) with $l = 2$ we have,

$$\beta_{M,-}^{(2)} = \beta_{M-1,-}^{(2)} - uh_M\beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(2)}. \quad (47)$$

Since $\beta_{l,-}^{(l)} = 0$ for $l \leq 0$ we have $\beta_{1,-}^{(2)} = \beta_{2,-}^{(2)} = \dots = \beta_{M,-}^{(2)} = 0$, for all M . Similarly as before $\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(2)}$ only depends on products involving $\beta_{M,-}^{(1)}$, $\beta_{M,-}^{(2)}$ and $\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(1)}$ therefore $\gamma_{M,\omega}^{(2)} = 0$ and then from (44),

$$\beta_{M,-}^{(3)} = \beta_{M-1,-}^{(3)} - uh_M\beta_{M-(p+1),-}^{(3)}. \quad (48)$$

Since $\beta_{\ell,-}^{(3)}$ for $\ell \leq 0$ we have $\beta_{M,-}^{(3)}$ for all M . This procedure iterates and we have our proof:

$$\beta_{M,-}^{(\ell)} = [H_M^{(\ell)}, G_M(u)] = 0 \quad \forall \ell = 1, \dots, M. \quad (49)$$

Expanding this result in powers of u we obtain that all the distinct charges commute among themselves, *i.e.*,

$$[H_M^{(\ell)}, H_M^{(\ell')}] = 0 \quad \forall \ell, \ell'. \quad (50)$$

The relation (50) also imply that the generating function with arbitrary values of the spectral parameter u commute, *i. e.*,

$$[G_M(u), G_M(v)] = 0. \quad (51)$$

The relations (50) or (51) imply that the Hamiltonian (1) with generators $\{h_i\}$ satisfying (2) is exactly integrable. *The closure relation (3) is not a necessary condition for ensuring the exact integrability.*

IV. THE INVERSION RELATION AND PRODUCT FORMULA FOR THE GENERATING FUNCTION

In this Section, we show that when the generators $\{h_i\}$ defining the Hamiltonian (1) satisfy the closure relation (3) besides the relations (2), the generating function (21) satisfies,

$$G_M(u)G_M(\omega u) \dots G_M(\omega^{N-1}u) = P_M^{(p)}(u^N)\mathbb{1} \quad (52)$$

where $P_M^{(p)}(u^N)$ is a polynomial of degree \overline{M} in u^N . Equation (52) implies (see Sec. V), that the Hamiltonians have a free fermion ($N = 2$) or a free parafermionic eigenspectrum ($N > 2$).

A. N=2

Let us first consider the free fermion case ($N = 2$). This case has been considered for $p = 1$ in the context of the τ_2 model [6, 17] and for $p = 2$ in [12] using a certain factorization of the generating function. Here we prove it for any value of $p \geq 1$ by showing that

$$\tau_M^{(2)}(u) \equiv G_M(u)G_M(-u) = P_M^{(p)}(u^2)\mathbb{1}, \quad (53)$$

satisfies a recurrence relation.

In order to simplify the notation let us define the algebraic operation,

$$\mathcal{L}(A(u); B(u)) = A(u)B(-u) - B(u)A(-u). \quad (54)$$

Using the fundamental relation (26) in (53) we obtain,

$$\tau_M^{(2)}(u) = \tau_{M-1}^{(2)}(u) - u^2 h_M^2 \tau_{M-(p+1)}^{(2)}(u) + \Xi_M^{(2)}(u) \quad (55)$$

where

$$\Xi_M^{(2)}(u) = -uh_M \sum_{j=1}^p L_{M,j}(u), \quad (56)$$

with

$$L_{M,j}(u) \equiv \mathcal{L}(h_{M-(p+1)-j}G_{M-2(p+1)+j}(u); G_{M-(p+1)}(u)). \quad (57)$$

Using (27) for $G_{M-(p+1)}$ in (57) we obtain for $j = 1, \dots, p$,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{M,j}(u) &= L_{M-1,j+1}(u) \\ &- uh_{M-(p+1)+j}L_{M-(p+1)-j,p+1-j}(u), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

with the condition $L_{M,p+1}(u) = 0$ for all M . Since $G_M(u) = 1$ and $h_M = 0$ for $M \leq 0$, we have from (57),

$$L_{M,j}(u) = 0 \quad \text{for } M \leq p+1, \quad j = 1, \dots, p. \quad (59)$$

The recurrence relation (58) then imply,

$$L_{M,j}(u) = \Xi_M(u) = 0 \quad \forall M, \quad (60)$$

and

$$\tau_M^{(2)}(u) = \tau_{M-1}^{(2)}(u) - u^2 h_M^2 \tau_{M-(p+1)}^{(2)}(u), \quad (61)$$

with $\tau_M^{(2)}(u) = 1$ for $M \leq 0$. This last expression gives $\tau_1^{(2)}(u) = 1 - u^2 h_1^2$ and by iterating (61) we obtain that $\tau_M^{(2)}(u)$ is a polynomial in u^2 , reproducing (53) for arbitrary values of p .

As a consequence of the recurrence (61) the polynomial $P_M^{(p)}(u^2)$ also satisfy the recurrence,

$$P_M^{(p)}(u^2) = P_{M-1}^{(p)}(u^2) - u^2 \lambda_M^2 P_{M-(p+1)}^{(p)}(u^2), \quad (62)$$

with the initial condition $P_M^{(p)}(u^2) = 1$ for $M \leq 0$.

It is important to notice from (62) that the polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z) = P_M^{(p)}(\{\lambda_i^2\}, z)$ depend on the parameters $\{\lambda_i^2\}$ defining the closure relation (3) and the coupling constants of the Hamiltonian (1).

B. $N=3$

We now consider the case $N = 3$. Extending the definition (53) for the case $N = 2$ we now define

$$\tau_M^{(3)}(u) \equiv G_M(u)G_M(\omega u)G_M(\omega^2 u) = P_M^{(p)}(u^3)\mathbb{1} \quad (63)$$

where $\omega = e^{2i\pi/3}$ and, as we shall see, $P_M^{(p)}(u^3)$ is related to the same polynomial of degree \bar{M} appearing in (53) for the $Z(2)$ case.

In Appendix A, we show the recurrence relation

$$\tau_M^{(3)}(u) = \tau_{M-1}^{(3)}(u) - u^3 h_M^3 \tau_{M-(p+1)}^{(3)}(u). \quad (64)$$

Since $\tau_1^{(3)}(u) = \mathbb{1}$, we obtain that $\tau_M^{(3)}(u)$ is given by the polynomial $P_M^{(p)}(u^3)$ as claimed in (63). Also from (3) $h_M^3 = \lambda_M^3$ the polynomial satisfies the recurrence relation

$$P_M^{(p)}(u^3) = P_{M-1}^{(p)}(u^3) - u^3 \lambda_M^3 P_{M-(p+1)}^{(p)}(u^3), \quad (65)$$

with $P_{M'}^{(p)} = 1$ for $M' \leq 0$. We can see that the polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z) = P_M^{(p)}(\{\lambda_i^3\}, z)$ are the same ones that

appeared in the case $N = 2$, where we replace the couplings $\{\lambda_i^2\}$ by $\{\lambda_i^3\}$.

C. $N>3$

For $N > 3$, we can proceed in a similar way, and show that,

$$\tau_M^{(N)}(u) = G_M(u)G_M(\omega u) \cdots G_M(\omega^{N-1}u) = P_M^{(p)}(u^N)\mathbb{1} \quad (66)$$

with $\omega = e^{2i\pi/N}$, satisfies the recurrence relation,

$$\tau_M^{(N)}(u) = \tau_{M-1}^{(N)}(u) - u^N h_M^N \tau_{M-(p+1)}^{(N)}(u), \quad (67)$$

with $\tau_M^{(N)}(u) = 1$ for $M \leq 0$. From (67) we obtain the recurrence relation for the polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(u^N) = P_M^{(p)}(\{\lambda_i^N\}, u^N)$,

$$P_M^{(p)}(u^N) = P_{M-1}^{(p)}(u^N) - u^N \lambda_M^N P_{M-(p+1)}^{(p)}(u^N), \quad (68)$$

with $P_{M'}^{(p)} = 1$ for $M' \leq 0$. A proof of (67), similar as we did for the cases $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ (see Appendix A), for general N , is straightforward but lengthy. Anyway, we have checked (67) and hence (66) for several values of N and lattice sizes M .

Comparing the recurrence relations (67) and (68) we identify the coefficients $C_M^{(\ell,p)}$ in the expansion,

$$P_M^{(p)}(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\bar{M}} (-z)^\ell C_M^{(\ell,p)}. \quad (69)$$

by replacing $h_j \leftrightarrow \lambda_j^N$ in (20), i.e.,

$$C_M^{(\ell,p)} = \sum_{j_1=1}^M \sum_{j_2=j_1+p+1}^M \cdots \sum_{j_\ell=j_{\ell-1}+p+1}^M \lambda_{j_1}^N \lambda_{j_2}^N \cdots \lambda_{j_\ell}^N \quad (70)$$

for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, \bar{M}$.

In the case where all $\lambda_i^N = 1$, $C_M^{(\ell)}$ is the number of distinct ways we can put ℓ particles with excluded volume of $(p+1)$ lattice units in a lattice with M sites, i.e.,

$$C_M^{(\ell,p)} = \binom{M-p(\ell-1)}{\ell} = \frac{(M-p(\ell-1))!}{(M-p(\ell-1)-\ell)! \ell!} \quad (71)$$

and $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ is the generalized hypergeometric polynomial known as ${}_{p+1}F_p$ [26]:

$$P_M^{(p)}(z) = {}_{p+1}F_p \left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{M+p}{p+1} & -\frac{M+p-1}{p+1} & -\frac{M+p-2}{p+1} & \cdots & -\frac{M}{p+1} \\ -\frac{M+p}{p} & -\frac{M+p-1}{p} & -\frac{M+p-2}{p} & \cdots & -\frac{M+1}{p} \end{matrix} ; \frac{(p+1)^{p+1}}{p^p} z \right) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\bar{M}} (-1)^\ell \binom{M-p(1-\ell)}{\ell} z^\ell \quad (72)$$

In this symmetric case the polynomial $P_M^{(p)}(-\tilde{z})$, as we can see from (69), is the grand canonical partition function of a polymer with monomers with size of $(p+1)$ lattice units and fugacity \tilde{z} in a lattice of M sites. As we are going to see in the following sections the roots of $P_M^{(p)}(-\tilde{z})$ for any p and finite M are real and negative. This means that the grand canonical partition function is analytic. However as $M \rightarrow \infty$ the largest root approaches 0 and therefore in the thermodynamic limit the polymer has a critical fugacity $\tilde{z} = \tilde{z}_c = 0$.

V. THE EIGENSPECTRUM OF THE FREE-FERMIONIC AND FREE-PARAFERMIONIC QUANTUM CHAINS

The eigenspectrum of any quantum chain (1) expressed in terms of the generators $\{h_i\}$ satisfying (2)-(3) are obtained from the zeros of the fundamental polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z) \equiv P_M^{(p)}(\{\lambda_i\}, u^N)$ derived in the last section. This means that for a given parameter p , all the models with arbitrary N are ruled by the same polynomial.

It is interesting to mention that in the case where $p = 1$ and $\lambda_i = 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, M$), that includes the critical quantum Ising chain (7) and the critical $Z(N)$ free-parafermionic Baxter chain (10), these polynomials are related to the well known Chebyshev polynomial of second type, *i.e.*, $P_M^{(1)}(z) = z^{\frac{M+1}{2}} U_{M+1}\left(\frac{1}{2z^{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$. In order to illustrate we present in Table I some polynomials at $\{\lambda_i = 1\}$ in the cases $p = 1, 2, 3$.

Since $[G_M(u), G_M(-u)] = 0$ and $G_M(0) = P_M^{(p)}(0) = 1$, by applying in the product formula (52) to a given eigenfunction of $G_M(u)$, with eigenvalue $\Lambda_M(u)$, we obtain

$$\Lambda(u) \dots \Lambda(\omega^{N-1}u) = P_M^{(p)}(u^N) = \prod_{i=1}^{\overline{M}} \left(1 - \frac{u^N}{z_i}\right) \quad (73)$$

where z_i are the roots of $P_M^{(p)}(z_i) = 0$. Solving (73) in terms of z_i we obtain,

$$\Lambda_M(u) = \prod_{i=1}^{\overline{M}} \left(1 - u \frac{\omega^{s_i}}{z_i^{1/N}}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{\overline{M}} (1 - u \omega^{s_i} \epsilon_i), \quad (74)$$

where $\epsilon_i = z_i^{-1/N}$ and $s_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. In all the cases we considered in this paper, where the couplings are real, we verified that the roots z_i are real positive and distinct, implying the existence of $N\overline{M}$ distinct eigenvalues for the generating function $G_M(u)$. We can also expand (74) in powers of u [27],

$$\Lambda_M^{\{s_i\}}(u) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\overline{M}} (-1)^\ell e_\ell(\omega^{s_1}\epsilon_1, \dots, \omega^{s_{\overline{M}}}\epsilon_{\overline{M}}) u^\ell, \quad (75)$$

where

$$e_\ell(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_\ell \leq n} x_{j_1} x_{j_2} \dots x_{j_\ell} \quad (76)$$

for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n$ is the ℓ -th elementary symmetric polynomial in the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Applying an eigenfunction of $G_M(u)$ with eigenvalue $\Lambda_M^{\{s_i\}}(u)$ in (21), since u is arbitrary, (75) implies that the eigenfunction is also an eigenfunction of the charges $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$ given in (20) with eigenvalues

$$q_{\{s_i\}}^{(\ell)} = e_\ell(\omega^{s_1}\epsilon_1, \dots, \omega^{s_{\overline{M}}}\epsilon_{\overline{M}}), \quad \ell = 1, \dots, \overline{M}. \quad (77)$$

In particular the Hamiltonian (1) has the free-fermionic ($N = 2$) of free-parafermionic ($N > 2$) spectrum, with eigenenergies

$$-E^{\{s_i\}} = q_{\{s_i\}}^{(1)} = \omega^{s_1}\epsilon_1 + \omega^{s_2}\epsilon_2 + \dots + \omega^{s_{\overline{M}}}\epsilon_{\overline{M}}. \quad (78)$$

This means that not only the eigenspectrum of the general Hamiltonians (1), but also the ones of the extended Hamiltonians $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$, are given entirely in terms of the roots of the fundamental polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(\{\lambda_i\}, z)$.

In Fig. 1 we show schematically examples of eigenenergies for a $Z(N)$ quantum chain with $\overline{M} = 3$ and $N = 2, 3, 4$. In Appendix B we consider, as an exam-




FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the eigenenergies of a quantum chain with $\overline{M} = 3$ and $N = 2, 3, 4$. There are 3 quasi-energies, that fixes the radius of the \overline{M} circles in the complex plane. There is a "circle exclusion principle" that imposes a single quasi-energy in each circle (filled circles). The figures show for $N = 2$ and $N = 3$ the ground-state and first excited state energies, respectively.

ple, the simple case of the $Z(N)$ Hamiltonian with $p = 3$ and with $M = 5$.

In the next section, by exploiting the solution for the polynomial roots of $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ we are going to derive the critical behavior of the Hamiltonian (1) at a special critical point.

VI. THE GROUND STATE ENERGY AND CRITICAL EXPONENTS FOR THE QUANTUM CHAINS

For general values of the couplings $\{\lambda_i\}$ we should expect a quite rich phase diagram for the Hamiltonians (1)

M	$P_M^{(1)}(z)$	$P_M^{(2)}(z)$	$P_M^{(3)}$
1	$1 - z$	$1 - z$	$1 - z$
2	$1 - 2z$	$1 - 2z$	$1 - 2z$
3	$1 - 3z + z^2$	$1 - 3z$	$1 - 3z$
4	$1 - 4z + 3z^2$	$1 - 4z + z^2$	$1 - 4z$
5	$1 - 5z + 6z^2 - z^3$	$1 - 5z + 3z^2$	$1 - 5z + z^2$
6	$1 - 6z + 10z^2 - 4z^3$	$1 - 6z + 6z^2$	$1 - 6z + 6z^2$
7	$1 - 7z + 15z^2 - 10z^3 + z^4$	$1 - 7z + 10z^2 - z^3$	$1 - 7z + 6z^2$
8	$1 - 8z + 21z^2 - 20z^3 + 5z^4$	$1 - 8z + 15z^2 - 4z^3$	$1 - 8z + 10z^2$
9	$1 - 9z + 28z^2 - 35z^3 + 15z^4 - z^5$	$1 - 9z + 21z^2 - 10z^3$	$1 - 9z + 15z^2 - z^3$
10	$1 - 10z + 36z^2 - 56z^3 + 35z^4 - 6z^5$	$1 - 10z + 28z^2 - 20z^3 + z^4$	$1 - 10z - 21z^2 - 4z^3$
11	$1 - 11z + 45z^2 - 84z^3 + 70z^4 - 21z^5 + z^6$	$1 - 11z + 36z^2 - 35z^3 + 5z^4$	$1 - 11z + 28z^2 - 10z^3$

TABLE I. Example of polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z)$, with coupling constants $\{\lambda_i = 1\}$, for $p = 1, 2$ and $p = 3$, and for $M = 1 - 11$.

with $p \geq 2$.

We restrict ourselves to the quantum chains at their symmetrical point where all the couplings constants $\lambda_i = 1$, ($i = 1, \dots, M$) in (3) or any of its representations like (10,14,18).

For $p = 1$, where for $N = 2$ the possible representations are the free fermionic Ising quantum chain (7) and (12), and for $N > 2$ the $Z(N)$ free parafermionic quantum chain (10), the models are critical with a dynamical critical exponent $z = 2/N$ and specific-heat exponent $\alpha = 1 - 2/N$ [9]. In [12] it was shown that in the particular case $p = N = 2$ the symmetrical point is a multicritical point where $z = 3/2$.

In [13] we showed that for general values of p the polynomial roots $\{z_i\}$ of $P_M^{(p)}$, that give us the quasi-particles energies $\epsilon_i = z_i^{-1/N}$ in (78), for arbitrary M , and lattice sizes multiples of $(p + 1)$, can be parametrized by trigonometric functions

$$\epsilon_k = \frac{\sin^{\frac{p+1}{N}}(\mathbf{p}_k)}{\sin^{\frac{1}{N}}\left(\frac{\mathbf{p}_k}{p+1}\right) \sin^{\frac{p}{N}}\left(\frac{p\mathbf{p}_k}{p+1}\right)}, \quad k = 1, \dots, \overline{M}, \quad (79)$$

where \mathbf{p}_k is a quantum number. For $p = 1$, $\mathbf{p}_k = k\pi/(\overline{M} + 1)$, for any M , and for $p > 1$ we conjectured, and confirmed numerically, that as $M \rightarrow \infty$ the distribution density for the quantum numbers behaves as $\Delta\mathbf{p}_k/\Delta k = \pi/\overline{M} = (p + 1)\pi/M$. Since the ground state energy is obtained by taking in (78) the values $s_i = 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, \overline{M}$), we obtain an exact expression for the ground-state energy per site:

$$e_\infty^{(p)} \equiv -\frac{E_0}{M} = -\frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{\overline{M}} \epsilon_k = -\frac{1}{(p+1)\pi} \int_0^\pi \epsilon(\mathbf{p}) d\mathbf{p}. \quad (80)$$

For $N = 2$ and general values of p , (80) is given in terms of gamma functions:

$$e_\infty^{(p)} = -\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{p}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{p}{2}\right)} \quad (N = 2). \quad (81)$$

For general p and N [13], the integral (80) is expressed in terms of integral representation of the Lauricella hypergeometric series $F_D^{(p-1)}$ [28]. Moreover, in the cases $p = 1, 2$ and 3 (80) is given in terms of the gamma, ${}_2F_1$ and Appel functions F_1 , i. e.,

$$e_\infty^{(1)} = -\frac{2^{\frac{2}{N}-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{N} + 1\right)}, \quad (82)$$

$$e_\infty^{(2)} = -\frac{3^{\frac{3}{N}+\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{N} + 1\right)}{2^{\frac{2}{N}+2} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{N} + \frac{3}{2}\right)} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{4}\right), \quad (83)$$

$$e_\infty^{(3)} = -\frac{2^{\frac{8}{N}-\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{N} + 1\right)}{3^{\frac{3}{N}} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{4}{N} + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \times F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{N}, \frac{3}{N}; \frac{4}{N} + \frac{3}{2}; \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}\right). \quad (84)$$

In the case $p = 4$, we have

$$e_\infty^{(4)} = -\frac{5^{\frac{5}{N}} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{5}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{N} + 1\right)}{2^{\frac{8}{N}+1} \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{N} + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \times F_D^{(3)}\left(\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{N}, -\frac{5}{N}, \frac{4}{N}; \frac{5}{N} + \frac{3}{2}; x_1, x_2, x_3\right) \quad (85)$$

where $F_D^{(3)}$ is the Lauricella function with 3 variables at $x_1 = \frac{1}{2+\frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}}$, $x_2 = \frac{2}{3+\sqrt{5}}$ and $x_3 = \frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}}$. For a comparison of (81)-(85) with the numerical results obtained from the direct solution of the polynomial zeros $\{z_i\}$ we refer to [13].

The dynamical critical exponent is evaluated from the finite-size behavior of the mass gaps of the quantum Hamiltonians. The first excited energy state is obtained in (78) by taking the set $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_{\overline{M}-1} = 0$ and $s_{\overline{M}} = 1$. However $\epsilon_{\overline{M}}$ is the smallest quasienergy, whose associated quantum number, for $M \rightarrow \infty$, behaves as $\mathbf{p}_{\overline{M}} = \pi - a/M$, where a is a harmless constant. therefore

the real part of the energy gap (complex for $N > 2$) has the leading behavior,

$$\Delta_M^{(p)} = \text{Re}(E_1 - E_0) = \text{Re}(1 - \omega)\epsilon(\mathbf{p}_{\overline{M}}) \approx \left(\frac{a}{M}\right)^z, \quad (86)$$

where

$$z = (p + 1)/N \quad (87)$$

is the dynamical critical exponent.

For the case $p = 1$ (87) recovers for $N = 2$ the known result for the conformally invariant quantum Ising chain ($z = 1$) and for the $Z(N)$, the Baxter free-parafermionic model ($z = 2/N$), as calculated in [9]. The case $p = N = 2$ recovers the result $z = 3/2$ derived in [12]. In summary, all the free interacting quantum chains (1) at their symmetric point $\{\lambda_i = 1\}$ are critical with the dynamical critical exponent given by (87). Since z is an increasing function of p the correlation length of the critical chains, that goes as M^z , increases for a given lattice size M , as we increase the parameter p . This is physically expected since we increase the range of non commuting operators in the Hamiltonian, and hence the quantum correlations.

In order to better characterize the critical universality classes at this critical point $\{\lambda_i = 1\}$ for the general free interacting models (1) let us perturb the couplings $\{\lambda_i\}$ around $\{\lambda_i = 1\}$.

To simplify let us restrict our analysis for the cases where M is a multiple of $(p + 1)$, *i.e.*, $M = (p + 1)\overline{M}$. We consider perturbed Hamiltonians where all the couplings are kept at $\lambda_i = 1$, except for the couplings $\lambda_{(p+1)k} = \lambda$, with $k = 1, \dots$. For example for $p = 1$ the sequence of couplings are $(1, \lambda, 1, \lambda, \dots, 1, \lambda)$ and for $p = 2$ we have $(1, 1, \lambda, 1, 1, \lambda, \dots, 1, 1, \lambda)$.

In general, for anisotropic scaling, the dynamical critical exponent is given by $z = \nu_\perp/\nu_\parallel$, where ν_\perp and ν_\parallel are the correlation length exponents in the time and space directions, respectively.

The specific heat at the critical point, from the finite-size scaling theory (FSS) of critical behavior [29], should have, as $M \rightarrow \infty$, the power-law behavior

$$C_M(\lambda = 1) \approx M^{\alpha/\nu_\parallel}, \quad (88)$$

specified by the critical exponent α . The specific heat is given by the second derivative of the ground-state energy [30]

$$C(\lambda, M) = -\frac{\lambda^2}{M} \frac{\partial^2 E_0(\lambda, M)}{\partial \lambda^2} = -\frac{\lambda^2}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{\overline{M}} \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_i}{\partial \lambda^2}. \quad (89)$$

Solving for the zeroes $\{z_i\}$ of the polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ we obtain the quasi energies $\epsilon_i(\lambda, M)$.

Before considering the cases $p > 1$, let us consider the case $p = 1$. In this case we verified *surprisingly* that the corresponding generalized Chebyshev polynomials have exact zeros z_i , producing quasienergies,

$$\epsilon_j = z_j^{-1/N}, \quad z_j^{-1} = 1 + \lambda^N + 2\lambda^{N/2} \cos k_j, \quad (90)$$

where $k_j = 2\pi j/(M + 2)$, for finite M and arbitrary λ . We recall that for $N = 2$ these are the quasienergies for the Hamiltonian (12) describing an Ising quantum chain with an impurity at one of its ends. In the case where M is odd, whose representation (7) is the standard Ising quantum chain, the roots $\{z_i\}$ are exactly known only at $\lambda = 1$ [9].

The specific heat is obtained from (89) and (91):

$$C(\lambda, M) = -\frac{\lambda^2}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{\overline{M}} \left\{ (1 - N) \frac{(\lambda^{N-1} + \lambda^{\frac{N}{2}-1} \cos k_j)^2}{(1 + \lambda^N + 2\lambda^{N/2} \cos k_j)^{2-1/N}} + \frac{(N - 1)\lambda^{N/2} + (N/2 - 1)\lambda^{N/2-2} \cos k_j}{(1 + \lambda^N + 2\lambda^{N/2} \cos k_j)^{1-1/N}} \right\}. \quad (91)$$

At $\lambda = 1$, since $k_j = 2\pi j/(M + 2)$, all terms in the above sums are of $o(1)$, except for the ones where $j = \overline{M} - k = M/2 - k$ with k of $o(1)$. These last terms will dominate the sum. Since for these terms $1 + \cos k_j \sim o(1/M^2)$, we get for $M \rightarrow \infty$

$$C(1, M) \sim \frac{1}{M} (M^2)^{1-1/N} \sim M^{1-2/N}, \quad (92)$$

giving us the critical exponent

$$\alpha = 1 - 2/N. \quad (93)$$

This exponent can also be derived for the case where M is odd, but the lack of an exact expression for the zeroes, renders the derivation lengthy.

In the general cases $p > 1$ we do not have an analytical solution for the roots as in (91) and we have to evaluate

them numerically. We also have to calculate numerically the derivatives of the quasi-particle energies ϵ_i at $\lambda = 1$. By taking the specific heat values at two distinct lattice sizes M_1 and M_2 we produce the finite-size estimator:

$$\alpha_{M_1, M_2} = \frac{\ln(C(1, M_1)/C(1, M_2))}{\ln(M_1/M_2)}, \quad (94)$$

that should tend towards α as $M_1, M_2 \rightarrow \infty$. In Table II we give the results obtained by extrapolating sequences of α_{M_1, M_2} for the models with $p = 2, \dots, 5$ and $N = 2, \dots, 9$. The results were obtained by using van den Broeck Schwartz [31] extrapolants up to lattice sizes M_{\max} , shown in the last line of the table. The zeroes of $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ were numerically evaluated with 50 decimal digits by using multiple precision calculations.

Our results indicate the conjecture

$$\alpha = \max\{0, 1 - (p+1)/N\}, \quad (95)$$

i.e., the models will have a vanishing critical exponent for the specific heat if $N \leq (p+1)$.

The conjectured values (95), that extend (93), are shown in brackets in Table II. We see a quite good agreement with (95).

In the cases where $p = 1$ and M odd, like the quantum Ising chain (7) and the free parafermionic models (10) it was numerically observed that the specific heat has a peak in a pseudo-critical point $\tilde{\lambda}_M$, that approaches the true critical point $\lambda_c = 1$ as $|\tilde{\lambda}_M - \lambda_c| \sim M^{-\nu_{||}}$, with the value $\nu_{||} = 1$. However when we consider the case $p = 1$ with M even, whose quasi-particle energies are given by (91) for $N = 2$, we verify that the pseudo-critical point approaches the critical point as $|\tilde{\lambda}_M - \lambda_c| \sim M^{-1.94}$. For other models with $p > 1$, the exponents changes as we consider different ℓ -sequences of lattice sizes $M = j\bar{M} + \ell$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, \ell = 0, 1, \dots$). In fact the finite-size behavior $M^{-\nu_{||}}$ is not a consequence of the FSS theory, and it is not generally expected for open chains [30]. We conjecture that for all the models with any p and N we have the simple scaling where $\nu_{||} = 1$, as verified in the models with $p = 1$ and M odd.

VII. ADDITIONAL COMMUTING CHARGES AND COMPLETE SET OF COMMUTING OBERVABLES

Distinct representations of the Hamiltonians (1), with M generators $\{h_i\}$ satisfying (2)-(3), with given values of p and N , have distinct dimensions. In Section III we showed the existence of \bar{M} commuting charges $(H_M^{(\ell)}, \ell = 1, \dots, \bar{M})$, independently of the representation. The eigenvalues of these charges are given in terms of the \bar{M} roots of the polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ and by the set of “ $Z(N)$ signals” $\{s_1, \dots, s_{\bar{M}}\}$ (78). These are all the possible values of the eigenvalues. In the generic case the dimensions of the representation of (1) is bigger than $N^{\bar{M}}$, implying degeneracy in energy as well in all the \bar{M} commuting charges $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$.

Let us consider some free fermionic representations ($N = 2$) with $p = 1$. For M odd the representations of the Ising quantum chain (7) has dimension $2^{(M+1)/2}$ and since in this case $\bar{M} = (M+1)/2$ all the eigenvalues can be indexed by the roots of $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ and signals $\{s_i\}$. That is, all the $2^{(M+1)/2}$ eigenfunctions are distinctly characterized by the complete set of commuting observables (CSCO) $\{H_M^{(\ell)}; \ell = 1, \dots, (M+1)/2\}$.

In the case where M is even, as in (12), the dimension of the Hilbert space is $2^{M/2}$ and since $\bar{M} = M/2$ the charges (20) form again a CSCO. This construction is an interesting way to see the fully exact integrability of the Ising quantum chains in a finite lattice.

In the case where the free fermionic models are in the representation (19) with dimension 2^M the situation is distinct since the number of conserved charges $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$ is $(M+1)/2$ or $M/2$ if M is odd or even, respectively. However we can identify an extra set of $Z(2)$ gauge operators $\{g_M^{(i)}\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z, \sigma_3^x \sigma_4^z, \dots, \sigma_{M-1}^x \sigma_M^z, \sigma_M^x \quad (M \text{ even}); \\ &\sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z, \sigma_3^x \sigma_4^z, \dots, \sigma_{M-1}^x \sigma_M^z \quad (M \text{ odd}), \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

that besides commuting among themselves and with $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$ are independent. Since this extra set (96) has $(M-1)/2$ or $M/2$ charges, for M odd or even, respectively, we have a total of M commuting charges forming again a CSCO. Differently from the charges $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$ whose eigenvalues are obtained from the roots of the polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z)$, the gauge charges $\{g_M^{(i)}\}$ have eigenvalues ± 1 , since $(g_M^{(i)})^2 = 1$. The commutation $[g_M^{(i)}, H_M^{(\ell)}] = 0$ imply that all the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian (19), as well as all the charges $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$ will have, apart from accidental degeneracies, a degeneracy $2^{(M-1)/2}$ or 2^M for M odd or even, respectively.

The preceding discussion for $p = 1$ and $N = 1$ is easily generalized for the free parafermionic cases where $N > 2$. However, this is not the case for $p > 1$. In fact, let us consider the fermionic models ($N = 2$) with $p = 2$ and let us restrict ourselves to the representation (18):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_A = & -\lambda_1 \sigma_1^x - \lambda_2 \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^x - \lambda_3 \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \sigma_3^x - \lambda_4 \sigma_2^z \sigma_3^z \sigma_4^x - \\ & \dots - \lambda_M \sigma_{M-2}^z \sigma_{M-1}^z \sigma_M^x. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

The number of commuting charges $\{H_M^{(\ell)}\}$, whose eigenvalues are given in terms of the roots of the polynomial $P_M^{(2)}(z)$ is $2^{\bar{M}}$, while the dimension of the representation is 2^M . We verified by direct diagonalizations that for small values of M all the eigenvalues of (97) has the same degeneracy $2^M/2^{\bar{M}}$. As in the case $p = 1$ we can also identify an extra set $\{g_M^{(i)}\}$ of $Z(2)$ gauge operators:

$$\sigma_1^x \sigma_2^z \sigma_3^z, \sigma_4^x \sigma_5^z \sigma_6^z, \dots \quad (98)$$

forming the commuting set $\{g_M^{(i)}, H_M^{(\ell)}\}$. Since there are $\lfloor M/3 \rfloor$ gauge operators and $(g_M^{(i)})^2 = 1$, we can explain $2^{\bar{M}}$ of this degeneracy. Although we believe in their existence we did not find the extra charges that will complete the CSCO of (97).

The previous discussions can be easily extended for $N > 2$ and $p > 2$, and again we can explain part of the degeneracies of the Hamiltonian in their word representation.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We demonstrated that the general quantum spin chains (1) with M density operators $\{h_i\}$ satisfying the p -exchange algebra (2)-(3) is exactly integrable. In the bulk

N	$p = 2$	$p = 3$	$p = 4$	$p = 5$
2	0.000 [0]	0.000 [0]	0.000[0]	0.000 [0]
3	0.051 [0]	0.001 [0]	0.000 [0]	0.000 [0]
4	0.252 [0.25]	0.092 [0]	0.000 [0]	0.000 [0]
5	0.400 [0.4]	0.206 [0.2]	0.002 [0]	0.000 [0]
6	0.500 [0.5]	0.334 [0.333...]	0.002 [0]	0.000 [0]
7	0.571 [0.571...]	0.428 [0.428...]	0.287 [0.285...]	0.153 [0.142...]
8	0.625 [0.625]	0.500[0.5]	0.375 [0.375]	0.252 [0.25]
9	0.666 [0.666...]	0.567 [0.555...]	0.444 [0.444...]	0.334 [0.333...]
M_{\max}	2100	2560	9450	10680

TABLE II. The specific-heat critical exponents α for the free-fermionic ($N = 2$) and free-parafermionic models ($N = 3 - 9$), and parameters $p = 2 - 5$. The exact predicted values (95) are shown in brackets. It is shown the extrapolated results of the estimator (94) using sequences of lattice sizes up to M_{\max} , shown in the last line.

limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ the Hamiltonians belongs to an infinite set of conserved charges. In the generic case the number of independent words we can form in the algebra is infinite, even for finite M . However by including the closure relation (3) in the algebra the number of independent words, or the dimension of the Hilbert space associated to the Hamiltonian (1) is finite for finite M . We can have then several possible Hamiltonians described for the case $N = 2$ in terms of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Pauli matrices and for $N > 2$ by the generalized $Z(N)$ Pauli matrices (8). In these cases we show that for arbitrary values of the parameter p , all the models have a free fermionic ($N = 2$) or free parafermionic ($N > 2$) eigenspectrum. The eigenenergies are given in terms of the zeros of the polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z)$. In the case $p = 1$ and $\{\lambda_i\} = 1$, where the corresponding Hamiltonians are the quantum Ising chain ($N = 2$) or the $Z(N)$ Baxter parafermionic chain ($N > 2$), at their critical point, $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ is related to the Chebyshev polynomial of second type. We presented several representations of the algebraic Hamiltonian (1), for several values of p . In special we also construct the Hamiltonian (1) on its word representation, a representation with a one-to-one equivalence among the independent words in the algebra and the basis vectors spanning the associated Hilbert space.

Although the models, for arbitray N and p , have a quite rich phase diagram we only consider, in this paper, the isotropic point where all the couplings $\{\lambda_i = 1\}$ (see (3)). We showed that the models are critical at this pint for any p and N . We calculated at this critical point the exact ground-state energy for general p and N , and it turns out to be expressed in terms of integral representations of Lauricella series. From our extensive numerical studies we conjectured that at this isotropic point the dynamical critical exponent is given by $z = (p + 1)/N$ and the specific-heat exponent by $\alpha = \max\{0, 1 - (p + 1)/N\}$. This is interesting since most of the known critical chains are conformally invariant ($z = 1$), and therefore the multispin quantum chains provide an excellent lab to understand the universal behavior of the shared quantum information measures, like the von Neumann or R nyi entanglement entropies, in critical quantum chains with-

out an underlying conformal symmetry. The polynomials $P_M^{(p)}(z)$ may also play an important role in the study of the entanglement entropy, see [32].

An interesting direction of investigation is to consider extensions of the algebra (2)-(3) to include models with periodic boundary conditions. See for example the interesting recent paper [33]. In this case, for $p = 1$ and $N = 2$ the algebra (2)-(3) is related to the Temperley-Lieb and Onsager algebras. For periodic boundary conditions the eigenspectrum seems to be not a simple free particle one, but probably a composition of free particle spectra. As observed in [10] the $Z(N)$ Baxter free parafermionic quantum chain, that corresponds to the case $p = 1$, has an anomalous behavior for the ground state energy per site for $N > 2$, where the models are non-Hermitian. It should be also interesting to probe if this anomaly happens for general values of p .

We conclude mentioning that although we did not construct the general raising and lowering fermionic and parafermionic operators, related to the quantum chains (1), we believe that this construction should follows the one introduced by Fendley [12] for $p = N = 2$ exploiting the general product formula (52).

Appendix A: Derivation of the recurrence relation for $\tau_M^{(3)}(u)$.

In this appendix we derive the recurrence relation (64) of Sec. IV. To simplify the notation let us denote

$$G_M^{(i)} \equiv G_M^{(i)}(u) = G_M(\omega^i u), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \quad (\text{A1})$$

so that

$$\tau^{(3)}(u) = G_M^{(0)} G_M^{(1)} G_M^{(2)}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Using the fundamental relation (26) in $G_M^{(i)}$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) we obtain,

$$\tau_M^{(3)}(u) = \tau_{M-1}^{(3)}(u) - u^3 h_M^3 \tau_{M-(p+1)}^{(3)}(u) + \Xi_p^{(3)}(u), \quad (\text{A3})$$

where

$$\Xi_p^{(3)}(u) = A_1(M)u + A_2(M)u^2, \quad (\text{A4})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(M) &= -\mathcal{L}(G_{M-1}; G_{M-(p+1)}h_M; G_{M-(p+1)}h_M)\omega^2, \\ A_1(M) &= -\mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)}h_M; G_{M-1}; G_{M-1})\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

where we have introduced the $Z(3)$ cyclic commutator, defined as,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(A(u); B(u); C(u))_\Omega &= A(u)B(\omega u)C(\omega^2 u) \\ &+ \Omega C(u)A(\omega u)B(\omega^2 u) + \Omega^2 B(u)C(\omega u)A(\omega^2 u). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A6})$$

To proceed it is interesting to define the generalized operators,

$$A_1^{(j)}(M) = -\mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)}h_M; G_{M-j}; G_{M-j})\omega, \quad (\text{A7})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^{(j,k)}(M) &= -\mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)} \\ &; h_{M-j}G_{M-(p+1)-j}; h_{M-k}G_{M-(p+1)-k})\omega^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

We see that $A_1 = A_1^{(1)}$.

Recurrence relation for $A_1^{(j)}(M)$.

Using (26) in both the G_{M-j} of $A_1^{(j)}(M)$ we obtain,

$$A_1^{(j)}(M) = \sum_{l=j}^p \left(\gamma_1^{(l)} + \gamma_2^{(l)} \right) - \sum_{l=j}^p \sum_{k=j}^p \gamma_3^{(l,k)}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where

$$\gamma_1^{(l)} = u\mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)}h_M; h_{M-j}G_{M-(p+1)-j}; G_{M-(p+1)})_\omega,$$

$$\gamma_2^{(l)} = u\mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)}h_M; G_{M-(p+1)}; h_{M-j}G_{M-(p+1)-j})_\omega,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_3^{(l,k)} &= u^2\mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)}h_M \\ &; h_{M-l}G_{M-(p+1)-l}; h_{M-k}G_{M-(p+1)-k})_\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

Expanding $\gamma_1^{(l)}$ and $\gamma_2^{(l)}$ we obtain,

$$\gamma_1^{(l)} + \gamma_2^{(l)} = -uh_M\omega^2 A_1^{(p+1-l)}(M-l), \quad (\text{A11})$$

where $l = 1, 2, \dots, p$, and identify,

$$\gamma_3^{(l,k)} = u^2 h_M A_2^{(l,k)}(M). \quad (\text{A12})$$

Using (27) in (A8) we obtain,

$$A_2^{(j,j)}(M) = -uh_M^2\omega \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} A_1^{(j-l)}(M-(p+1)-l).$$

Using this last expression with (A11) and (A12) in (A9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^{(j)}(M) &= -uh_M\omega^2 \sum_{l=j}^p A_1^{(p+1-l)}(M-l) - u^3 h_M\omega \sum_{l=j}^p h_{M-l}^2 \sum_{l'=0}^{l-1} A_1^{(l-l')}(M-(p+1)-l') \\ &- u^2 h_M \sum_{k=j}^p \sum_{l=j+1}^{k-1} \left(A_2^{(l,k)}(M) + A_2^{(k,l)}(M) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

Also using (26) in (A8) we obtain for $j \neq k$,

$$A_2^{(j,k)}(M) = \alpha_1^{(j,k)}(M) + \alpha_2^{(j,k)}(M),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^{(j,k)}(M) &= \mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)-j}; h_{M-j}G_{M-(p+1)-j} \\ &; h_{M-k}G_{M-(p+1)-k})\omega^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

and for $(j < k)$,

$$\alpha_2^{(j,k)}(M) = -uh_{M-j} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} A_2^{(k-j, p+1+l-j)}(M-j),$$

$$\alpha_2^{(k,j)}(M) = -uh_{M-j} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} A_2^{(p+1+l-j, k-j)}(M-j).$$

Combining $\alpha_1^{(j,k)}(M) + \alpha_1^{(k,j)}(M)$ ($j \neq k$) we obtain, for $j < k$,

$$\alpha_1^{(j,k)}(M) + \alpha_1^{(k,j)}(M) = -\omega^2 h_{M-j} A_1^{(p+1+j-k)}(M-k).$$

Then, for $j < k$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2^{(j,k)}(M) + A_2^{(k,j)}(M) &= -\omega^2 h_{M-j} A_1^{(p+1+j-k)}(M-k) \\ &- uh_{M-j} \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} \left(A_2^{(p+1+l-j, k-j)}(M-j) \right. \\ &\left. + A_2^{(k-j, p+1+l-j)}(M-j) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

Recurrence relation for $A_2(M)$.

Using the relation (29) in $A_2(M)$, given in (A15), we obtain,

$$A_2(M) = -uh_M^2 \sum_{j=1}^p \mathcal{L}(G_{M-(p+1)}; G_{M-(p+1)}; h_{M-j} G_{M-(p+1)-j})_\omega \quad (\text{A16})$$

giving us, from (A5),

$$A_2(M) = -uh_M^2 \omega \sum_{j=1}^p A_1^{(p+1-j)}(M-j). \quad (\text{A17})$$

Equation (A14), with the recurrences (A15) and (A17), imply that $A_1(M) = A_1^{(1)}$, $A_1^{(l)}(M)$ ($l = 1, \dots, M$) and $A_2(M)$ depend only on the values of $A_1^{(k')}(M-j')$, $j' > 1$, $k' \leq p$, and on $A_2^{(l',l)}(M-j') + A_2^{(l',l)}(M-j)$, $j' > 1$, $1 \leq l \neq l' \leq p$, i.e., it depends only on the values of $A_1^{(l)}(M')$, $A_2^{(j,k)}(M')$, evaluated for smaller lattices.

Since $h_M = 0$ ($M \leq 0$), $G_M = 1$ ($M \leq 1$) it is simple to verify from (A15) that $A_1^{(0)}(M) = A_2^{(j,k)}(M) = 0$ for $M \leq 1$. For $M = 2$ we see that $A_1^{(j)}(2) = 0$ ($j = 1, \dots, p$) and $A_2^{(j,k)}(2) + A_2^{(k,j)}(2) = 0$ ($k \neq j = 1, \dots, p$). From (A15) we also see that $A_2^{(j,k)}(3) + A_2^{(k,j)}(3) = 0$ ($k \neq j = 1, \dots, p$), and from (A14) we get $A_1^{(l)}(l = 1, \dots, p)$. Finally iterating we obtain for (A5), (A15) and (A4),

$$A_1(M) = A_2(M) = \Xi_p^{(3)}(u) = 0 \quad (\text{A18})$$

for any M and p .

This implies that

$$\tau_M^{(3)}(u) = \tau_{M-1}^{(3)}(u) - u^3 h_M^3 \tau_{M-(p+1)}^{(3)}(u). \quad (\text{A19})$$

Appendix B: Eigenspectrum of a quantum chain with $M = 5$ sites and parameter $p = 3$.

In this appendix, for the sake of illustration, we give a simple example for the quantum chain (1) with $M = 5$ generators, parameter $p = 3$ and N arbitrary. In this case $\bar{M} = \lfloor (5+3)/4 \rfloor = 2$.

The general Hamiltonian satisfying the algebra (2, 3) is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -(h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4 + h_5). \quad (\text{B1})$$

There are 2 conserved charges, i.e.,

$$H_5^{(1)} = -\mathcal{H}, \quad H_5^{(2)} = h_1 h_5.$$

One of the representations of the conserved charges is the word representation (16) where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= -\lambda_1 X_1 - \lambda_2 Z_1 X_2 - \lambda_3 Z_1 Z_2 X_3 - \lambda_4 Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 X_4 \\ &\quad - \lambda_5 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 X_5, \\ H_5^{(2)} &= \lambda_1 \lambda_5 X_1 Z_2 Z_3 Z_4 X_5, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

where X_i, Z_i are the $Z(N)$ matrices (17) and the coupling constants $\{\lambda_i\}$ are defined by (3).

The fundamental polynomial is obtained by iterating (68) or by (69) (compare with Table I, for the case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$):

$$P_5^{(3)}(z) = 1 - (\lambda_1^N + \dots + \lambda_5^N)z + \lambda_1^N \lambda_5^N z^2, \quad (\text{B3})$$

whose roots z_1 and z_2 give us the quasienergies $\epsilon_1 = z_1^{-1/N}$ and $\epsilon_2 = z_2^{-1/N}$. The predicted N^2 eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian and second charge are obtained from (78) and (77):

$$\begin{aligned} E^{\{s_1, s_2\}} &= -e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} s_1} \epsilon_1 - e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} s_2} \epsilon_2, \\ E_2^{\{s_1, s_2\}} &= e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (s_1 + s_2)} \epsilon_1 \epsilon_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $s_1, s_2 = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. In the word representation (B2) the hamiltonian has N^5 eigenvalues. A direct diagonalization of (B2) show us that all levels have the same degeneracy N^3 . The ground state energy (real for all N) is given by $E^{\{0,0\}} = -\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2$, while the excited states have complex eigenvalues.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank discussions with José A. Hoyos and Eduardo Novais. The work of FCA was supported in part by the Brazilian agencies FAPESP and CNPq. RAP was partially supported by FAPESP/CAPES (grant # 2017/02987-8).

-
- [1] T. Schultz, D. Mattis and E. H. Lieb, "Two-dimensional Ising model as a soluble problem of many fermions," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **36** (1964) 856.
 - [2] P. Pfeuty, "The one-dimensional Ising model with a transverse field," *Ann. Phys.* **57** (1970) 79
 - [3] R. J. Baxter, "A simple solvable Z_N Hamiltonian," *Phys. Lett.* **A140** (1989) 155.
 - [4] R.J. Baxter, "Superintegrable chiral Potts model: Thermodynamic properties, an inverse model and a simple associated Hamiltonian," *J. Stat. Phys.* **57** (1989) 1.

- [5] P. Fendley, "Free parafermions," *J. Phys.* **A47** no. 7, (2014) 075001, [arXiv:1310.6049 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
- [6] R. J. Baxter, "The τ_2 model and parafermions," *J. Phys.* **A47** (2014) 315001, [arXiv:1310.7074 \[cond-mat.stat-mech\]](#).
- [7] H. Au-Yang and J. H. H. Perk, "Parafermions in the τ_2 model," *J. Phys.* **A47** (2014) 315002, [arXiv:1402.0061](#).
- [8] H. Au-Yang and J. H. H. Perk, "Parafermions in the tau-2 model II," 2016, [arXiv:1606.06319 \[math-ph\]](#).

- [9] F. C. Alcaraz, M. T. Batchelor, and Z.-Z. Liu, “Energy spectrum and critical exponents of the free parafermion Z_N spin chain,” *J. Phys.* **A50** (2017) 16LT03, [arXiv:1612.02617](#) [`cond-mat.stat-mech`].
- [10] F. C. Alcaraz and M. T. Batchelor, “Anomalous bulk behavior in the free parafermion $Z(N)$ spin chain,” *Phys. Rev. E* **97** (2018) 062118, [arXiv:1802.04453](#) [`cond-mat.stat-mech`].
- [11] Z.-Z. Liu, R. A. Henry, M. T. Batchelor, and H.-Q. Zhou, “Some ground-state expectation values for the free parafermion $Z(N)$ spin chain,” *J. Stat. Mech.* (2019) 124002, [arXiv:1905.08384](#) [`cond-mat.stat-mech`].
- [12] P. Fendley, “Free fermions in disguise,” *J. Phys.* **A52** 33 (2019) 335002, [arXiv:1901.08078](#) [`cond-mat.stat-mech`].
- [13] F.C. Alcaraz and R.A. Pimenta, “Free fermionic and parafermionic quantum spin chains with multispin interactions,” *Phys. Rev. B* **102** (2020) 121101(R), [arXiv:2005.14622](#) [`cond-mat.stat-mech`].
- [14] V.V. Bazhanov and Y.G. Stroganov, “Chiral Potts model as a descendant of the six vertex model,” *J. Stat. Phys.* **59** (1990) 799.
- [15] R.J. Baxter, V.V. Bazhanov and J.H.H. Perk, “Functional relations for transfer matrices of the chiral Potts model,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **4** (1990) 803.
- [16] V. O. Tarasov, “Cyclic monodromy matrices for the R matrix of the six vertex model and the chiral Potts model with fixed spin boundary conditions,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7S1B** (1992) 963.
- [17] R. J. Baxter, “Transfer Matrix Functional Relations for the Generalized $\tau_2(t_q)$ Model,” *J. Stat. Phys.* **117** (2004) 1, [arXiv:cond-mat/0409493](#) [`cond-mat.stat-mech`].
- [18] A. O. Morris, “On a generalized Clifford algebra,” *Q. J. Math.* **18** (1967) 7.
- [19] A. O. Morris, “On a generalized Clifford algebra (ii),” *Q. J. Math.* **19** (1968) 289.
- [20] L. Mittag and M. J. Stephen, “Dual transformations in many-component Ising models,” *J. Math. Phys.* **12** (1971) 441.
- [21] P. P. Martin, G. Launer, and B. W. Westbury, “The Potts models and a generalisation of the Clifford algebras,” *B. Lond. Math. Soc.* **21** (1989) 544.
- [22] T. T. Truong, *$Z(N)$ -Spin Systems and Generalised Clifford Algebras*, pp. 541–548. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [23] A. K. Kwaśniewski, *Generalized Clifford Algebras and Spin Lattice Systems*, pp. 549–554. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [24] A. Ramakrishnan, *Clifford Algebra, Its Generalisations and Physical Applications*, pp. 555–558. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [25] A. Jaffe and F. L. Pedrocchi, “Reflection Positivity for Parafermions,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **337** (2015) 455, [arXiv:1406.1384](#) [`quant-ph`].
- [26] M. Petkovsek, H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger, *A = B*. A.K. Peters, 1996.
- [27] E.B. Vinberg, *A Course in Algebra*. American Mathematical Society, 2003.
- [28] L.J. Slater, *Generalized Hypergeometric Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1966.
- [29] M. N. Barber, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz (Academic, New York, 1983), Vol. 8, p. 145.
- [30] C. J. Hamer and M.N. Barber, “Finite size scaling theory and quantum Hamiltonian field theory: The transverse Ising chain”, (preprint) (1979).
- [31] J. M. van den Broeck and L.W. Schwartz, *SIAM, J. Math. Anal.* **10**, (1979) 658.
- [32] N. Crampé, R. Nepomechie and L. Vinet, “Free-Fermion entanglement and orthogonal polynomials,” *J. Stat. Mech.* (2019) 093101, [arXiv:1907.00044](#) [`cond-mat.stat-mech`].
- [33] K. Minami, “Solvable Hamiltonians and Fermionization Transformations Obtained from Operators Satisfying Specific Commutation Relations”, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn*, **85** (2016) 024003.