

Energy dependence of light neutral meson p_T spectrum produced in pp collisions at the LHC measured in ALICE

Pooja Pareek* for the ALICE collaboration

*Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre,
1/AF, Bidhannagar, Kolkata-700064, India*

E-mail: pooja.pareek@cern.ch

We present the measurement of light neutral mesons, π^0 and η , in pp collisions at different center-of-mass energies obtained with the ALICE experiment at the LHC. The π^0 and η mesons are measured via photons reconstructed by the electromagnetic calorimeters and the central tracking system. The invariant cross-section of π^0 and η mesons are measured in a broad p_T range at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7, 5.02$ and 8 TeV. The spectra of π^0 and η mesons measured in pp collisions at different collision energies show x_T -scaling at high p_T and violation of m_T -scaling at low p_T . The smaller x_T -scaling exponents of our measurements compared to RHIC may hint at a reduced importance of higher twist processes at LHC.

*The Eighth Annual Conference on Large Hadron Collider Physics-LHCP2020
25-30 May, 2020
online*

*Speaker

1. Motivation

The measurement of inclusive hadron production in pp collisions is a widely used tool to validate perturbative quantum chromodynamics (pQCD). The cross section of hadron production at high transverse momentum in pp collisions can be calculated within pQCD as these are produced by fragmentation of quarks and gluons from hard parton scatterings. Therefore, the study of light neutral mesons, π^0 and η in pp collisions in a wide transverse momentum range is important to understand parton dynamics by probing parton distribution functions and fragmentation functions in a new energy domain. In addition, π^0 and η meson transverse momentum measurements are necessary to estimate the decay photon background for direct photon measurements and also to provide a reference for heavy-ion collisions. We present measurement of π^0 and η mesons in pp collisions at LHC energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7, 5.02$ and 8 TeV with the ALICE experiment.

2. Neutral meson measurement

The π^0 and η mesons are reconstructed by invariant mass analysis of their decay into two photons. In ALICE the decay photons are measured with different methods. Photons can be measured in electromagnetic calorimeters: EMCal [1] and PHOS [2], designed to measure energy and hit coordinates of photons and electrons. Additionally, Photon Conversion Method (PCM) [3] which is based on photon conversion in detector material ($\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$) is a complementary method to measure neutral mesons via conversion photons up to very low p_T (~ 0.3 GeV/c) using the central tracking system in ALICE. The energy resolution of the calorimeters improves with increasing p_T which together with the calorimeter trigger allows to measure neutral mesons up to high p_T . In addition, a hybrid method is also used where one photon is reconstructed in a calorimeter and the second photon with the PCM method [4]. Results from different techniques are combined to provide precision measurements of π^0 and η in pp collisions over much wider p_T range than any other identified hadrons.

3. Results

In ALICE, π^0 and η mesons are measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7, 5.02$ and 8 TeV [3–5] as shown in Fig.1. The results are described by empirical parametrizations like Two Component Model (TCM) [6] and Tsallis function [7]. Further, invariant cross sections are compared with Next-to-Leading Order (NLO) pQCD calculation of π^0 and η meson production with latest fragmentation functions (DSS14 [8], AESSS [9]). NLO calculation overestimates the data by 20-50% for pions and nearly a factor 2 for eta mesons. PYTHIA 8.2 [10] with Monash 2013 tune reproduces π^0 spectra at high p_T but shows a deviation from data at moderate p_T in higher energy. Likewise, PYTHIA 8.2 [10] with Monash 2013 tune describes the η meson spectra. Overall PYTHIA shows better agreement with data compared to NLO pQCD.

3.1 x_T scaling

The invariant cross-section can be expressed as a function of new variable, $x_T = 2p_T/\sqrt{s}$ [11],




Figure 1: (Left) Invariant cross section of π^0 in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7, 5.02$ and 8 TeV [3–5]. Neutral pions are measured in the p_T range $0.3 - 200$ GeV/c. (Right) The experimental data are compared to NLO pQCD calculation and PYTHIA. The ratio of data and theory predictions to TCM fit are shown in separate panels for different energies.

$$E \frac{d^3\sigma}{dp^3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}^n} G(x_T). \quad (1)$$

Thus, from the above equation, the invariant cross-section as a function of x_T , when multiplied by \sqrt{s}^n does not depend on collision energy, which is referred to as x_T scaling.

$$\sqrt{s}^n \times E \frac{d^3\sigma}{dp^3} = G(x_T). \quad (2)$$

The scaling parameter n is deduced from comparison of x_T spectra at different center-of-mass energies:

$$n(x_T, \sqrt{s_1}, \sqrt{s_2}) = \frac{\ln(\sigma^{inv}(x_T, \sqrt{s_2})/\sigma^{inv}(x_T, \sqrt{s_1}))}{\ln(\sqrt{s_1}/\sqrt{s_2})} \quad (3)$$

The dependence of the parameters n on x_T for π^0 at different ALICE energies are shown in Fig. 2. The parameter n depends on both x_T and \sqrt{s} at low p_T and shows an asymptotic trend at $p_T > 2$ GeV/c. We fit n at $p_T > 2$ GeV/c with a constant function and obtain $n(\pi^0) = 5.03 \pm 0.06$ and $n(\eta) = 4.79 \pm 0.09$. For jets and photons a value of $n \simeq 4.5$ was measured in previous experiments [12]; At RHIC, a value of $n = 6.38$ for π^0 was obtained [13]. In pQCD, hadron production at large transverse momentum are described by the leading (LT) and higher twist (HT) processes [12]. In LT




Figure 2: (Left) n parameter for π^0 in pp collisions at different collision energies \sqrt{s} . (Right) Ratio of transverse momentum distributions of measured η/π^0 ratio over η/π^0 ratio obtained with m_T scaling for pp collision at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7, 5.02$ and 8 TeV.

processes, $n = 4$ whereas $n > 4$ for HT processes [12]. The difference in n at RHIC and LHC may be related to different mechanisms for hadron production at these energies. Smaller value of n for π^0 production at the LHC energies with respect to the RHIC energies suggests that the contribution of the HT processes is reduced with the growth of the collision energy. The x_T scaled π^0 and η meson cross-sections were checked with calculated n values, and both π^0 and η mesons follows the x_T scaling at $p_T > 2$ GeV/c. The deviation from scaling at low x_T is attributed to the contribution from soft processes at low p_T .

3.2 m_T scaling

In lower energy experiments [14–17], spectral shapes of hadron species are found to be identical in shape when plotted as a function of m_T ($m_T = \sqrt{p_T^2 + m^2}$), known as m_T scaling. η/π^0 ratio from data over η/π^0 ratio obtained with m_T scaling is shown in Fig.2. We observe violation of the empirical m_T scaling at $p_T < 2$ GeV/c at the LHC, which is important in tuning the phenomenological models at low p_T .

4. Summary

Measurements of neutral meson spectra, and x_T and m_T scaling have been performed with the ALICE detector. These measurement can be used to validate pQCD predictions. The measured spectra in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76, 7, 5.02$ and 8 TeV show disagreement with pQCD calculations. The m_T scaling of measured spectra show violation of this empirical scaling at $p_T < 2$ GeV/c. We study x_T scaling and observe the n parameters for π^0 and η are consistent with jets and direct photons, which suggests that almost all neutral mesons are generated by jet fragmentation at the LHC. In contrast at RHIC, neutral mesons are possibly generated by both jet fragmentation and direct hadron production. Therefore, x_T scaling analysis can contribute to an understanding of the particle production mechanism at high energies.

References

- [1] P. Cortese et al. (ALICE collaboration), CERN-LHCC-2008-014, (2008).
- [2] G. Dellacasa et al. (ALICE collaboration), CERN-LHCC-99-04, (1999).
- [3] B. Abelev et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B 717, 162 (2012).
- [4] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 339 (2017).
- [5] S. Acharya et al. (ALICE Collaboration), Eur. Phys. J. C 78, 263 (2018)
- [6] A. Bylinkin, N. S. Chernyavskaya and A. A Rostovtsev., Eur. Phys. J. C 75, 166 (2015).
- [7] C. Tsallis, J. Statist. Phys. 52, 479-487 (1988).
- [8] D. de Florian et al., Phys. Rev. D 91, 014035 (2015).
- [9] C. A. Aidala et al., Phys. Rev. D 83, 034002 (2011).
- [10] T. Sjostrand et al., Comput. Phys. Commun. 191, 159 (2015).
- [11] R. Blankenbecler, S. J. Brodsky and J. F. Gunion, Phys. Lett. 42 B, 461 (1972).
- [12] F. Arleo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 062002 (2010).
- [13] A. Adare et al. (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. D 79, 012003, (2009).
- [14] K. Guettler et al., Phys. Lett. B 64, 111-116, (1976).
- [15] J. Harris et al. (NA35 Collaboration), Nucl. Phys. A 498, 133c, (1989).
- [16] R. Albrechta (WA80 Collaboration), Physics Letters B 361, 14-20, (1995).
- [17] B. I. Abelev et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C 75, 064901 (2007).