

Strong Coupling Quantum Thermodynamics with Renormalized Hamiltonian and Temperature

Wei-Ming Huang¹ and Wei-Min Zhang^{1, *}

¹*Department of Physics, Center for Quantum Information Science,
National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan*

(Dated: October 5, 2020)

We develop the strong coupling quantum thermodynamics based on the solution of the exact master equation. We find that both the Hamiltonian and the temperature must be renormalized due to the system-reservoir couplings. With the renormalized Hamiltonian and temperature, the exact steady state of open quantum systems can be expressed as a standard Gibbs state. The exact steady-state particle distributions obey the Bose-Einstein distribution or the Fermi-Dirac distribution only for the renormalized energy and temperature. In this formulation, heat and work are quantum mechanically defined, from which we compute the specific heat and examine the consistency of the theory. Consequently, thermodynamic laws and statistical mechanics emerge naturally and rigorously from quantum evolution of open systems.

Thermodynamics and statistical mechanics are built with the equilibrium hypothesis [1–3]. That is, over a sufficiently long time, a macroscopic system which is very weakly coupled with a thermal reservoir can always reach thermal equilibrium, and the equilibrium statistical distribution does not depend on the initial state of the system. A question arisen naturally is what happen for a microscopic system coupling strongly with a reservoir. Solving this problem from dynamical evolution of quantum systems has been a big challenge in physics [3–15].

In the past decades, experimental investigations on quantum heat engines have attracted a great interest on the realization of thermalization and the formulation of quantum thermodynamics [16–38]. Besides searching new thermal phenomena arising from quantum coherence and quantum entanglement, tremendous works have been focused on the questions: how thermodynamic laws naturally emerge from quantum dynamics and how these laws may be changed when the system-reservoir couplings become strong [39–53]. Due to various assumptions and approximations one inevitably taken in addressing these questions, no consensus has been reached in building quantum thermodynamics at strong coupling. In this Letter, we will attempt to answer these questions based on the exact solution of the exact master equation for a class of open quantum systems [54–63].

The difficulty of establishing quantum thermodynamics at strong coupling is twofold: (i) How to systematically determine the internal energy from the system Hamiltonian which may be modified by the strong coupling? (ii) How to correctly count the entropy production if the steady state of the system may deviate from a Gibbs state? To answer these questions, we begin with a single-mode bosonic open system (such as a photonic mode in a microwave cavity or a phononic mode in lattices) coupled to a thermal reservoir through particle exchange interactions. Later, we will generalize to more general systems. The total Hamiltonian of the system, the reservoir and coupling between them is a Fano-Anderson Hamiltonian [64, 65]: $H = H_S + H_E + H_{SE} = \hbar\omega_s a^\dagger a + \sum_k \hbar\omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k + \sum_k \hbar(V_k a^\dagger b_k + V_k^* b_k^\dagger a)$, where a^\dagger and b_k^\dagger (a and b_k) are the creation (annihilation) operators of the bosonic modes in the system and the reservoir with frequency ω_s and continuous spectrum ω_k , respectively,

V_k is the coupling amplitude between them. The thermal reservoir is initially in Gibbs state $\rho_E(t_0) = e^{-\beta_0 H_E} / Z_E$, where $\beta_0 = 1/k_B T_0$, T_0 is the temperature of the reservoir at initial time t_0 , and $Z_E = \text{Tr}_E [e^{-\beta_0 H_E}]$ is the partition function. The system can be initially in arbitrary state $\rho_S(t_0)$.

The exact master equation of the reduced density matrix $\rho_S(t) = \text{Tr}_E [e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t-t_0)} \rho_S(t_0) \otimes \rho_E(t_0) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t-t_0)}]$, which determines the time evolution of the system, can be rigorously derived by integrating out all the reservoir degrees of freedom [54, 57, 66, 67]. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_S(t) = & \frac{1}{i\hbar} [H_S^r(t), \rho_S(t)] + \gamma(t, t_0) \{2a\rho_S(t)a^\dagger \\ & - a^\dagger a\rho_S(t) - \rho_S(t)a^\dagger a\} + \tilde{\gamma}(t, t_0) \{a^\dagger \rho_S(t)a \\ & + a\rho_S(t)a^\dagger - a^\dagger a\rho_S(t) - \rho_S(t)aa^\dagger\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$H_S^r(t) = \hbar\omega_s^r(t, t_0) a^\dagger a \quad (2)$$

is the renormalized Hamiltonian specified by superscript index r . The real coefficients $\omega_s^r(t, t_0)$, $\gamma(t, t_0)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(t, t_0)$ describe the renormalized frequency, dissipation and fluctuations arising from the coupling. These coefficients are determined by the relations

$$i\omega_s^r(t, t_0) + \gamma(t, t_0) = -\dot{u}(t, t_0)/u(t, t_0), \quad (3a)$$

$$\tilde{\gamma}(t, t_0) = \dot{v}(t, t) - 2v(t, t)\text{Re}[\dot{u}(t, t_0)/u(t, t_0)], \quad (3b)$$

where $u(t, t_0)$ and $v(t, t)$ are the non-equilibrium Green functions obeying the integro-differential equations.

$$\frac{d}{dt} u(t, t_0) + i\omega_s u(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t d\tau g(t, \tau) u(\tau, t_0) = 0, \quad (4a)$$

$$v(t, t) = \int_{t_0}^t d\tau_1 \int_{t_0}^t d\tau_2 u(t, \tau_1) \tilde{g}(\tau_1, \tau_2) u^*(t, \tau_2). \quad (4b)$$

The intergral kernels, $g(t, \tau) = \int_0^\infty d\omega J(\omega) e^{-i\omega(t-\tau)}$ and $\tilde{g}(t, \tau) = \int_0^\infty d\omega J(\omega) \bar{n}(\omega, T_0) e^{-i\omega(t-\tau)}$, characterize the back-reactions between the system and the reservoir. Here $J(\omega) \equiv \sum_k |V_k|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_k)$ is the spectral density and $\bar{n}(\omega, T_0) = \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega/k_B T_0} - 1}$ is the initial particle distribution in the reservoir.

For any arbitrary initial state of the system $\rho_s(t_0) = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \rho_{lm} |l\rangle\langle m|$ (either a pure state $\rho_{lm} = c_l c_m^*$ or a mixed state $\rho_{lm} \neq c_l c_m^*$, where c_l is a complex number), the exact solution of Eq. (1) can be found [27]

$$\rho_s(t) = \sum_{l,m=0}^{\infty} \rho_{lm} \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{l,m\}} d_k A_{lk}^\dagger(t) \tilde{\rho}[v(t,t)] A_{mk}(t) \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{\rho}[v(t,t)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[v(t,t)]^n}{[1+v(t,t)]^n} |n\rangle\langle n|$, $A_{lk}^\dagger(t) = \frac{\sqrt{l!}}{(l-k)! \sqrt{k!}} \left[\frac{u(t,t_0)}{1+v(t,t)} a^\dagger \right]^{l-k}$ and $d_k = \left[1 - \frac{|u(t,t_0)|^2}{1+v(t,t)} \right]^k$. As a self-consistent check, we can calculate the average particle number from the above solution, $\bar{n}(t) \equiv \text{Tr}_s[a^\dagger a \rho_s(t)]$, and also from the Heisenberg equation of motion directly, $\bar{n}(t) \equiv \text{Tr}_{s+E}[a^\dagger(t) a(t) \rho_{\text{tot}}(t_0)]$. Both calculations give the same result [57, 66, 68]:

$$\bar{n}(t) = u^*(t, t_0) \bar{n}(t_0) u(t, t_0) + v(t, t). \quad (6)$$

Here $u(t, t_0)$ and $v(t, t)$ are determined by Eq. (4).

For a given spectral density $J(\omega)$, if no localized mode exists [54, 69], the solution of Eq. (4a) $u(t \rightarrow \infty, t_0) \rightarrow 0$ when the system reaches the steady state. As a result,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_s(t \rightarrow \infty) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[v(t,t)]^n}{[1+v(t,t)]^{n+1}} |n\rangle\langle n| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{1+v(t,t)} e^{\ln \left[\frac{v(t,t)}{1+v(t,t)} \right] a^\dagger a}, \end{aligned} \quad (7a)$$

$$\bar{n}(t \rightarrow \infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} v(t, t) = \int d\omega D(\omega) \bar{n}(\omega, T_0), \quad (7b)$$

where $D(\omega) = \frac{J(\omega)}{[\omega - \omega_s - \Delta(\omega)]^2 + J^2(\omega)/4}$ shows the system spectrum broadening, and the principal-value integral $\Delta(\omega) = \mathcal{P} \left[\int d\omega' \frac{J(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'} \right]$ is the frequency shift. Equation (7) is the exact steady-state solution of the system for arbitrary system-reservoir coupling strengths.

Now we can build quantum thermodynamics from the above solution. First, we plot in Fig. 1(a) (the red-dashed line) the exact solution $\bar{n}(t \rightarrow \infty)$ of Eq. (7b) as a function of the coupling strength η for the Ohmic spectral density $J(\omega) = \eta \omega \exp(-\omega/\omega_c)$ [4, 5]. As one can see, $\bar{n}(t \rightarrow \infty)$ deviates significantly from $\bar{n}(\omega_s, T_0)$ (the green-dot line) as η increases. This derivation shows how the system-reservoir coupling changes the intrinsic thermal property of the system. The master equation Eq. (1) shows that the Hamiltonian of the system must be renormalized from H_s to H_s^r with the energy $\hbar\omega_s$ being shifted to $\hbar\omega_s^r$ due to the system-reservoir coupling, where the renormalized frequency $\omega_s^r = \omega_s^r(t \rightarrow \infty)$ can be exactly calculated from Eq. (3a-4a) (see Fig. 1(b)). We also plot in Fig. 1(a) (the blue-dashed-dot line) the particle distribution with the renormalized energy: $\bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T_0) = \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega_s^r/k_B T_0} - 1}$. It shows that $\bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T_0)$ changes with increasing η , similar to the exact solution $\bar{n}(t \rightarrow \infty)$ but there is still obvious difference between them.

Note that the exact solution $\rho_s(t \rightarrow \infty)$ of Eq. (7a) is a Gibbs state. This indicates that the exact particle distribution $\bar{n}(t \rightarrow \infty)$ should obey the Bose-Einstein distribution for arbitrary coupling. To find such a distribution that agrees with the solution Eq. (7b), one possibility is to renormalize the temperature because no other




FIG. 1: (a) The steady-state particle distribution as a function of coupling strength η/η_c . The exact solution $\bar{n}(t \rightarrow \infty)$ of Eq. (7b) (red-dashed line) is identical to $\bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T^r)$ (black-dot line). The green-dot line and blue-dashed-dot line are $\bar{n}(\omega_s, T_0)$ and $\bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T_0)$, respectively. (b) The steady-states values of the renormalized frequency and renormalized temperature as a function of the coupling. (c)-(d) The internal energy and entropy production in time for different coupling strength $\eta/\eta_c = 0.3, 0.5, 0.8$ (the blue-solid, green-dashed, red-dot lines). Other parameters: $\omega_c = 5\omega_s$, $T_0 = 10\hbar\omega_s$, $\eta_c = \omega_s/\omega_c$.

thermal quantity can be modified in the Gibbs state for photon and phonon systems. Physically, both system and reservoir evolve into the nonequilibrium state $\rho(t)$ after the initial time t_0 . A new equilibrium temperature must be generated when the system and reservoir reach the steady state. According to the axiomatic description of thermodynamics [70, 71], the temperature is defined as the change of internal energy with respect to the thermal entropy of the system. The internal energy can be determined by the renormalized Hamiltonian in Eq. (1): $E(t) \equiv \text{Tr}_s[H_s^r(t)\rho_s(t)]$. Because the steady state Eq. (7a) is still a Gibbs state, the nonequilibrium entropy should be the von Neumann entropy [53, 70, 72]: $S(t) = -k_B \text{Tr}_s[\rho_s(t) \ln \rho_s(t)]$. The time-dependence of $E(t)$ and $S(t)$ are plotted in Fig. 1(c)-(d). Thus, the renormalized dynamical temperature can be defined [71],

$$T^r(t) \equiv \left. \frac{\partial E(t)}{\partial S(t)} \right|_{\omega_s^r} = \text{Tr}_s \left[H_s^r(t) \frac{d\rho_s(t)}{dS(t)} \right]. \quad (8)$$

Now, the change of the internal energy in time contains two parts. One is the change of the system Hamiltonian $H_s^r(t)$ (through the change of the energy level $\hbar\omega_s^r(t)$) which corresponds to quantum work done on the system [73]. The other is the change of the density state $\rho_s(t)$ which corresponds to quantum heat associated with the entropy production. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} dE(t) &= \text{Tr}_s[\rho_s(t) dH_s^r(t)] + \text{Tr}_s[H_s^r(t) d\rho_s(t)] \\ &= dW(t) + dQ(t) = dW(t) + T^r(t) dS(t). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Because the steady state Eq. (7a) is a Gibbs state, it can be also expressed as

$$\rho_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T^r)]^n}{[1 + \bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T^r)]^{n+1}} |n\rangle\langle n| = \frac{1}{Z^r} e^{-\beta^r H_s^r}, \quad (10)$$

where $\bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T^r) = \frac{1}{e^{\hbar\omega_s^r/k_B T^r} - 1}$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution and $Z^r = \text{Tr}_s[e^{-\beta^r H_s^r}]$ with $\beta^r = 1/k_B T^r$,

and $T^r = T^r(t \rightarrow \infty)$ is the renormalized equilibrium temperature at steady state (see Fig. 1(b)). We plot $\bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T^r)$ with the renormalized energy and temperature (the black-dot line) in Fig. 1(a). Remarkably, it precisely reproduces the exact solution Eq. (7b), i.e., $\bar{n}(t \rightarrow \infty) = \bar{n}(\omega_s^r, T^r)$. This is a substantial test of the temperature renormalization in strong coupling quantum thermodynamics.

Furthermore, in the very weak coupling regime $\eta \ll \eta_c$, we have $\Delta(\omega) \rightarrow 0$ and $D(\omega) \rightarrow \delta(\omega - \omega_s)$ so that in the steady state, Eq. (7b) is directly reduced to $\bar{n} \rightarrow \bar{n}(\omega_s, T_0)$ [27, 38], and

$$\rho_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\bar{n}(\omega_s, T_0)]^n}{[1 + \bar{n}(\omega_s, T_0)]^{n+1}} |n\rangle\langle n| = \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta_0 H_S}, \quad (11)$$

which recovers the expected solution in the weak coupling regime. Figure 1 also shows that $\hbar\omega_s^r \rightarrow \hbar\omega_s$ and $T^r \rightarrow T_0$ at very weak coupling. Thus, the equilibrium hypothesis of thermodynamics and statistical mechanics is proven rigorously from quantum dynamics.

Because Eqs. (7a) and (10) are identical and Eq. (10) is the standard Gibbs state, all the thermodynamic laws are naturally preserved with the renormalized Hamiltonian and temperature, including the second thermodynamic law which is a consequence of the Gibbs state obtained by maximizing the von Neumann entropy. The quantum work and heat in Eq. (9) are well defined now: $dW(t) = \text{Tr}_S[\rho_S(t)dH_S^r(t)] = \text{Tr}_S[a^\dagger a \rho_S(t)]d\omega_s^r(t)$, $dQ(t) = \text{Tr}_S[H_S^r(t)d\rho_S(t)] = T^r(t)dS(t)$. The quantum Helmholtz free energy defined by a Legendre transformation from $E(t)$ is [53, 70]:

$$F(t) = E(t) - T^r(t)S(t) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} -(1/\beta^r) \ln Z^r, \quad (12)$$

and $dF(t) = dW(t) - S(t)dT^r(t)$ which leads to the consistency that the quantum thermodynamic work done on the system can be identified with the change of the Helmholtz free energy of the system in isothermal processes [70]. Moreover, the specific heat calculated from the internal energy and from the Gibbs state with the renormalized Hamiltonian and temperature are also identical, as shown in Fig. 2,

$$C = \frac{dQ}{dT^r} = T^r \frac{dS}{dT^r} = \left. \frac{\partial E}{\partial T^r} \right)_{\omega_s^r}, \quad (13)$$

where the third thermodynamic law is justified from the specific heat at arbitrary coupling: $C \sim T^{r3}$ as $T^r \rightarrow 0$.

Now we extend the above formulation to multi-level systems coupled with multi-reservoirs (including both bosonic and fermionic systems) [74]. Using the second quantization, the Hamiltonian of a microscopic system can be written as $H_S = \sum_i \varepsilon_i a_i^\dagger a_i$ in the energy eigenbasis. Similarly, the Hamiltonian of multiple reservoirs can also be written as $H_E = \sum_\alpha H_E^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha k} \epsilon_{\alpha k} b_{\alpha k}^\dagger b_{\alpha k}$, where the index α denotes different reservoirs with spectrum $\epsilon_{\alpha k}$ which must be continuous. The basic process characterizing exchanges of energies, particles and informations between the system and reservoirs is $H_{SE} = \sum_{\alpha ik} (V_{\alpha ik} a_i^\dagger b_{\alpha k} + V_{\alpha ik}^* b_{\alpha k}^\dagger a_i)$. Thus, the total Hamiltonian $H = H_S + H_E + H_{SE}$ is a generalized Fano-Anderson




FIG. 2: The specific heat as a function of renormalized temperature calculated from the internal energy (red lines) and from the Gibbs state (blue lines) are identical with different initial temperature. The dashed, dot and dashed-dot lines correspond to $\eta/\eta_c = 0.01, 0.5, 0.8$, respectively, $\omega_c = 5\omega_s$.

Hamiltonian [61, 64, 65] which has been widely used in nuclear, atomic and optical systems as well as in condensed matter physics [75, 76].

We have derived the exact master equation of the above open systems [54–57]. The result is indeed a generalization of Eq. (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \rho_s(t) = & -i[H_S^r(t), \rho_s(t)] + \sum_{ij} \left\{ \gamma_{ij}(t, t_0) [2a_j \rho_s(t) a_i^\dagger \right. \\ & - a_i^\dagger a_j \rho_s(t) - \rho_s(t) a_i^\dagger a_j] + \tilde{\gamma}_{ij}(t, t_0) [a_i^\dagger \rho_s(t) a_j \\ & \left. \pm a_j \rho_s(t) a_i^\dagger \mp a_i^\dagger a_j \rho_s(t) - \rho_s(t) a_j a_i^\dagger] \right\} \quad (14) \end{aligned}$$

where the upper and lower signs correspond respectively to the bosonic and fermionic cases, $H_S^r(t) = \sum_{ij} \varepsilon_{ij}^r(t, t_0) a_i^\dagger a_j$ is the renormalized system Hamiltonian; $\gamma_{ij}(t, t_0)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}(t, t_0)$ characterize the dissipation and fluctuations induced by reservoirs: $i\varepsilon_{ij}^r(t, t_0) + \gamma_{ij}(t, t_0) = -[\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t, t_0) \mathbf{u}^{-1}(t, t_0)]_{ij}$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}(t, t_0) = \dot{\mathbf{v}}_{ij}(t, t) - [\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t, t_0) \mathbf{u}^{-1}(t, t_0) \mathbf{v}(t, t) + \text{H.c.}]_{ij}$. They are all determined by Green function $\mathbf{u}_{ij}(t, t_0) \equiv \langle [a_i(t), a_j^\dagger(t_0)]_{\pm} \rangle$ obeying the time-convolution Dyson equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u}(t, t_0) + i\varepsilon \mathbf{u}(t, t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t dt' \mathbf{g}(t, t') \mathbf{u}(t', t_0) = 0, \quad (15)$$

and $\mathbf{v}(t, t)$ obeying the fluctuation-dissipation relation [54]: $\mathbf{v}(t, t) = \int_{t_0}^t dt_1 \int_{t_0}^t dt_2 \mathbf{u}(t, t_1) \tilde{\mathbf{g}}(t_1, t_2) \mathbf{u}^\dagger(t, t_2)$. The integral kernels $\mathbf{g}(t, t') = \sum_\alpha \int d\epsilon \mathbf{J}_\alpha(\epsilon) e^{-i\epsilon(t-t')}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}(t, t') = \sum_\alpha \int d\epsilon \mathbf{J}_\alpha(\epsilon) f(\epsilon, T_\alpha, \mu_\alpha) e^{-i\epsilon(t-t')}$, where $\mathbf{J}_{\alpha, ij}(\epsilon) = \sum_{\alpha k} V_{\alpha ik} V_{\alpha jk}^* \delta(\epsilon - \epsilon_{\alpha k})$ is the spectral density associated with reservoir α , and $f(\epsilon, T_\alpha, \mu_\alpha) = 1/[e^{(\epsilon - \mu_\alpha)/k_B T_\alpha} \mp 1]$ is the bosonic or fermionic distribution with chemical potential μ_α and temperature T_α at initial time $t = t_0$.

The exact solution of Eq. (14) has recently been solved [27, 38] and its steady state is (see Supplemental Materials [74])

$$\rho_s(t \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{1}{[\det(\mathbf{I} \pm \bar{\mathbf{n}})]^{\pm 1}} \exp \left\{ \mathbf{a}^\dagger \ln \frac{\bar{\mathbf{n}}}{\mathbf{I} \pm \bar{\mathbf{n}}} \mathbf{a} \right\} \quad (16)$$

which is again a Gibbs state. Here the one-column matrix $\mathbf{a}^\dagger \equiv (a_1^\dagger, a_2^\dagger, a_3^\dagger, \dots)$, $\bar{\mathbf{n}}_{ij} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}_S[\rho_s(t) a_i^\dagger a_j]$. This solution remains the same for initial system-reservoir correlated states [58, 63]. Thus, the nonequilibrium internal

energy, entropy and particle number can be determined

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) &= \text{Tr}_S[H_S^r(t)\rho_S(t)] = \text{Tr}_S[\mathbf{a}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_s^r(t) \mathbf{a} \rho_S(t)], \\ S(t) &= -k_B \text{Tr}_S[\rho_S(t) \ln \rho_S(t)], N(t) = \text{Tr}_S[\mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} \rho_S(t)]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

and they are related to each other and form the fundamental equation of quantum thermodynamics [53, 70]: $E(t) = E(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_s^r(t), S(t), N(t))$. Here energy levels play a similar role as the volume [73]. Thus,

$$dE(t) = dW + T^r(t)dS(t) + \mu^r(t)dN(t). \quad (18)$$

Quantum work $dW(t)$ done on the system is the changes of energy levels without the changes of both particle distributions in levels and the average particle number,

$$dW(t) = \text{Tr}_S[\rho_S(t)dH_S^r(t)] = \sum_{ij} \mathbf{n}_{ij}(t)d\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{s,ij}^r(t), \quad (19)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{ij}(t) = \text{Tr}_S[a_i^\dagger a_j \rho_S(t)]$. Quantum heat $dQ(t)$ (chemical work $dW_c(t)$) are the changes of particle distributions without the changes of energy levels and average particle number (entropy),

$$\begin{aligned} dQ(t) + dW_c(t) &= \text{Tr}_S[H_S^r(t)d\rho_S(t)] = \sum_{ij} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{s,ij}^r(t)d\mathbf{n}_{ij}(t) \\ &= T^r(t)dS(t) + \mu^r(t)dN(t). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Thus, the renormalized temperature and chemical potential are given by

$$T^r(t) = \left. \frac{\partial E(t)}{\partial S(t)} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_s^r(t), N(t)}, \quad \mu^r(t) = \left. \frac{\partial E(t)}{\partial N(t)} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_s^r(t), S(t)}. \quad (21)$$

It shows that $d\mathbf{n}_{ij}(t)$ characterizes both the state information exchanges (entropy production) and the matter exchanges (chemical process for massive particles) between the systems and the reservoir. For photon or phonon systems, particle number is the number of quantum energy $\hbar\omega$ so that $\mu^r(t) = 0$. Now, Eq. (16) can be also expressed as

$$\rho_S(t \rightarrow \infty) = \frac{1}{Z^r} \exp \left\{ -\beta^r (H_S^r - \mu^r \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a}) \right\} \quad (22)$$

with the renormalized Hamiltonian $H_S^r(t)$, temperature $T^r(t)$ and chemical potential $\mu^r(t)$ at steady-state limit $t \rightarrow \infty$. Because the exact solution of the steady state is a Gibbs state, thermodynamic laws are all retained. This completes our formulation of quantum thermodynamics for arbitrary coupling.

In the last, we consider a fermionic system, a single electron transistor made of a quantum dot coupled to a source and a drain, the two leads which are treated as two reservoirs [55, 56, 77], also see the Supplemental Materials [74]. The total Hamiltonian is $H = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_\sigma a_\sigma^\dagger a_\sigma + \sum_{\alpha, \sigma, k} \varepsilon_{\alpha\sigma k} b_{\alpha\sigma k}^\dagger b_{\alpha\sigma k} + \sum_{\alpha, \sigma, k} (V_{\alpha k} a_\sigma^\dagger b_{\alpha\sigma k} + V_{\alpha k}^* b_{\alpha\sigma k}^\dagger a_\sigma)$. Here $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ label electron spin states, $\alpha = L, R$ label the left and right leads. The two leads are setup initially in thermal states with different initial temperatures and chemical potentials $T_{L,R}$ and $\mu_{L,R}$. Let $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |2\rangle, |3\rangle$ (the empty state, the spin up and down states and the double occupied state, respectively) be the basis of the

4-dim dot Hilbert space. If the dot is initially empty, the 4×4 reduced density matrix solved from the exact master equation is [78, 79]: $\rho_{00}(t) = \det[\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{v}(t, t)]$, $\rho_{ii}(t) = v_{ii}(t) - \rho_{33}(t)(i = 1, 2)$, $\rho_{12}(t) = v_{12}(t) = \rho_{21}^*(t)$, $\rho_{33} = \det[\mathbf{v}(t)]$, and other matrix elements are zero, where $\mathbf{v}(t) \equiv \mathbf{v}(t, t)$ is determined by $\mathbf{u}(t, t')$, see after Eq. (15).

The spectral densities $\mathbf{J}_\alpha(\epsilon)$ which characterize system-reservoir couplings and reservoir spectra take a Lorentzian form [55, 80–83]: $J_{\alpha,ij}(\epsilon) = \Gamma_\alpha d^2 / [\epsilon^2 + d^2] \delta_{ij}$ (no spin-flip tunneling). Because two reservoirs initially have different temperatures and chemical potentials, when the whole system reach the steady state, they must share the same but new renormalized temperature and chemical potential. Figure 3(a) plots the renormalized energy levels, temperature and chemical potential for different coupling strength $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R = \Gamma/2$. Figure 3(b) compares the corresponding Fermi-Dirac distributions $f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^r, T^r, \mu^r) = 1/[e^{(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^r - \mu^r)/k_B T^r} + 1]$ with the exact solution of the occupation numbers $\bar{n}_{\uparrow,\downarrow}(t \rightarrow \infty)$, they are completely the same. This provides again a consistent test of the formulation for fermionic systems.




FIG. 3: (a) The renormalized energy levels $\varepsilon_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^r$, temperature T^r and chemical potential μ^r and (b) the comparison of Fermi-Dirac distribution $f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{\uparrow,\downarrow}^r, T^r, \mu^r)$ with the exact solution of the $\bar{n}_{\uparrow,\downarrow}(t \rightarrow \infty)$ as a function of the coupling strength Γ . Other parameters: $\varepsilon_{\downarrow} = 3\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$, $k_B T_{L,R} = (3, 0.1)\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$, $\mu_{L,R} = (5, 2)\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$, and $d = 10\varepsilon_{\uparrow}$.

In conclusion, we build the strong coupling quantum thermodynamics based on the exact solution for a class of open quantum systems. The Hamiltonian of systems and the temperature (also the chemical potentials for massive particles) must be renormalized at strong coupling. The Hamiltonian renormalization can be systematically determined from the Dyson equation Eq. (15). The temperature (or chemical potential) renormalization is axiomatically determined from the changes of the renormalized internal energy with respect to the von Neumann entropy (or average particle number) of the systems. They can be generalized to other open systems. We also show that the steady state of systems is given by the standard Gibbs state in terms of the renormalized Hamiltonian, temperature and chemical potentials. The results are justified with a criterion that the exact steady-state solution of particle distributions obey the Bose-Einstein distribution and the Fermi-Dirac distribution only for the renormalized energy, temperature and chemical potential.

This work is supported by Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan, Republic of China under Contract No. MOST-108-2112-M-006-009-MY3.

-
- * Electronic address: wzhang@mail.ncku.edu.tw
- [1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Statistical Physics*, Vol. 5 of Course of Theoretical Physics (2nd Ed., Pergamon Press, 1969).
 - [2] R. Kubo, M. Toda and N. Hashitsume, *Statistical Physics II: Nonequilibrium statistical mechanics* (Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences, Vol. 31, 2nd Ed., 1991).
 - [3] K. Huang, *Statistical Mechanics* (2nd Ed., John Wiley and Sons, 1987). Chap. 8.7, pp. 189-191.
 - [4] A. O. Caldeira and A. J. Leggett, *Path integral approach to quantum Brownian motion*, *Physica* **121** A, 587 (1983).
 - [5] A. J. Leggett, *et al.* *Dynamics of the dissipative two-state system*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **59**, 1 (1987).
 - [6] J. Gemmer, M. Michel and G. Mahler, *Quantum Thermodynamics: Emergence of Thermodynamic Behavior within Composite Quantum Systems* (2nd Ed. Springer, Berlin, 2009).
 - [7] M. Campisi, P. Hänggi and P. Talkner, *Colloquium: Quantum fluctuation relations: Foundations and applications*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **83**, 771 (2011).
 - [8] C. Jarzynski, *Equalities and Inequalities: Irreversibility and the Second Law of Thermodynamics at the Nanoscale*, *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* **2**, 329 (2011).
 - [9] U. Seifert, *Stochastic thermodynamics, fluctuation theorems and molecular machines*, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 126001 (2012).
 - [10] R. Kosloff, *Quantum Thermodynamics: A Dynamical Viewpoint*, *Entropy* **15**, 2100 (2013).
 - [11] T. Langen, *et al.*, *Local emergence of thermal correlations in an isolated quantum many-body system*, *Nat. Phys.* **9**, 640 (2013).
 - [12] J. Millen, and A. Xuereb, *Perspective on quantum thermodynamics*, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 011002 (2016).
 - [13] J. Anders and M. Esposito, *Focus on quantum thermodynamics*, *New J. Phys.* **19**, 010201 (2017).
 - [14] F. Binder, L. A. Correa, C. Gogolin, J. Anders and G. Adesso, *Thermodynamics in the Quantum Regime* (Springer, Cham, Switzerland, 2018).
 - [15] S. Deffner and S. Campbell, *Quantum Thermodynamics: An Introduction to the Thermodynamics of Quantum Information* (Morgan & Claypool, San Rafael, CA, 2019).
 - [16] A. E. Allahverdyan and Th. M. Nieuwenhuizen, *Extraction of Work from a Single Thermal Bath in the Quantum Regime*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1799 (2000)
 - [17] M. O. Scully *et al.* *Extracting work from a single heat bath via vanishing quantum coherence*, *Science* **299**, 862 (2003)
 - [18] M. O. Scully, *et al.* *Quantum heat engine power can be increased by noise-induced coherence*, *PNAS* **108**, 15097 (2011).
 - [19] S. Trotzky, *et al.* *Probing the relaxation towards equilibrium in an isolated strongly correlated one-dimensional Bose gas*, *Nat. Phys.* **8**, 325 (2012).
 - [20] M. Gring, *et al.* *Relaxation and prethermalization in an isolated quantum system*, *Science* **337**, 1318 (2012).
 - [21] S. Jezouin, *et al.* *Quantum limit of heat flow across a single electronic channel*, *Science* **342**, 601 (2013).
 - [22] A. Bermudez, M. Bruderer, and M. B. Plenio, *Controlling and measuring quantum transport of heat in trapped-Ion crystals*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 040601 (2013).
 - [23] K. Zhang, F. Bariani, and P. Meystre, *Quantum optomechanical heat engine*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 150602 (2014).
 - [24] C. Bergenfeldt, P. Samuelsson, B. Sothmann, C. Flindt, and M. Büttiker, *Hybrid microwave-cavity heat engine*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 076803 (2014).
 - [25] P. Jurcevic, *et al.* *Quasiparticle engineering and entanglement propagation in a quantum many-body system*, *Nature* **511**, 202 (2014).
 - [26] T. Langen, *et al.*, *Experimental observation of a generalized Gibbs ensemble*, *Science* **348**, 207 (2015).
 - [27] H. N. Xiong, P. Y. Lo, W. M. Zhang, D. H. Feng and F. Nori, *Non-Markovian Complexity in the Quantum-to-Classical Transition*, *Sci. Rep.* **5**, 13353 (2015).
 - [28] S. An, *et al.*, *Experimental test of the quantum Jarzynski equality with a trapped-ion system*, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 193 (2015).
 - [29] J. P. Pekola, *Towards quantum thermodynamics in electronic circuits*, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 118 (2015).
 - [30] J. Eisert, M. Friesdorf, and C. Gogolin, *Quantum many-body systems out of equilibrium*, *Nat. Phys.* **11**, 124 (2015).
 - [31] M. Perarnau-Llobet *et al.* *Extractable Work from Correlations*, *Phys. Rev. X* **5**, 041011 (2015)
 - [32] A. M. Kaufman, *et al.* *Quantum thermalization through entanglement in an isolated many-body system*, *Science* **353**, 794 (2016).
 - [33] M. Esposito, M. A. Ochoa, and M. Galperin, *Quantum thermodynamics: A nonequilibrium Green's function approach*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 080602 (2015).
 - [34] D. Gelbwaser-Klimovsky, W. Niedenzu, and G. Kurizki, *Thermodynamics of Quantum Systems Under Dynamical Control*, *Advances in AMO Physics*, **64**, 329 (2015).
 - [35] J. Roßnagel, *et al.* *A single-atom heat engine*, *Science* **352**, 325 (2016).
 - [36] A. Ronzani, *et al.*, *Tunable photonic heat transport in a quantum heat valve*, *Nat. Phys.* **14**, 991 (2018).
 - [37] M. A. Ochoa, N. Zimbovskaya and A. Nitzan, *Quantum thermodynamics for driven dissipative bosonic systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 085434 (2018).
 - [38] F. L. Xiong and W. M. Zhang, *Exact dynamics and thermalization of open quantum systems coupled to reservoirs through particle exchanges*, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 022215 (2020).
 - [39] P. Hänggi, G.-L. Ingold and P. Talkner, *Finite quantum dissipation: the challenge of obtaining specific heat*, *New J. Phys.* **10** 115008 (2008).
 - [40] M. Campisi, P. Talkner, P. Hänggi, *Thermodynamics and fluctuation theorems for a strongly coupled open quantum system: an exactly solvable case*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 392002 (2009).
 - [41] M. Esposito, M. A. Ochoa and M. Galperin, *On the nature of heat in strongly coupled open quantum systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 235440 (2015).
 - [42] U. Seifert, *First and second law of thermodynamics at strong coupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 020601 (2016).
 - [43] M. Carrega, P. Solinas, M. Sassetti, and U. Weiss, *Energy exchange in driven open quantum systems at strong coupling*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 240403 (2016).
 - [44] M. A. Ochoa, A. Bruch, A. Nitzan, *Energy distribution and local fluctuations in strongly coupled open quantum systems: the extended resonant level model*, *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 035420 (2016).
 - [45] C. Jarzynski, *Stochastic and macroscopic thermodynamics of strongly coupled systems*, *Phys. Rev. X* **7**, 011008 (2017).
 - [46] S. Marcantoni, S. Alipour, F. Benatti, R. Floreanini, and A. T. Rezakhani, *Entropy production and non-Markovian dynamical maps*, *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 12447 (2017).
 - [47] A. Bruch, C. Lewenkopf, F. von Oppen, *Landauer-Büttiker Approach to strongly coupled quantum thermodynamics: Inside-outside duality of entropy evolution*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 107701 (2018).
 - [48] M. Perarnau-Llobet, H. Wilming, A. Riera, R. Gallego, and J. Eisert, *Strong coupling corrections in quantum*

- thermodynamics*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 120602 (2018).
- [49] J.-T. Hsiang, B.-L. Hu, *Quantum thermodynamics at strong coupling: operator thermodynamic functions and relations*, Entropy **20**, 423 (2018).
- [50] P. Strasberg, *Repeated Interactions and Quantum Stochastic Thermodynamics at Strong Coupling*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 180604 (2019).
- [51] Á. Rivas, *Strong coupling thermodynamics of open quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 160601 (2020).
- [52] D. Newman, F. Mintert, A. Nazir, *Quantum limit to nonequilibrium heat-engine performance imposed by strong system-reservoir coupling*, Phys. Rev. E **101**, 052129 (2020).
- [53] M. M. Ali, W. M. Huang and W. M. Zhang, *Quantum thermodynamics of single particle systems*, Sci. Rep. **10**, 13500 (2020).
- [54] W. M. Zhang, P. Y. Lo, H. N. Xiong, M. W. Y. Tu and F. Nori, *General non-Markovian dynamics of open quantum systems*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 170402 (2012).
- [55] M. W. Y. Tu and W. M. Zhang, *Non-Markovian decoherence theory for a double-dot charge qubit*, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 235311 (2008).
- [56] J. Jin, *et al.* *Non-equilibrium quantum theory for nanodevices based on the Feynman-Vernon influence functional*, New. J. Phys. **12**, 083013 (2010).
- [57] C. U Lei and W. M. Zhang, *A quantum photonic dissipative transport theory*, Ann. Phys. **327**, 1408 (2012).
- [58] P. Y. Yang, C. Y. Lin and W. M. Zhang, *Master equation approach to transient quantum transport in nanostructures incorporating initial correlations*, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 165403 (2015).
- [59] P. Y. Yang, and W. M. Zhang, *Master equation approach to transient quantum transport in nanostructures*, Front. Phys. **12**, 127204 (2017)
- [60] H. L. Lai, *et al.* *Exact master equation and non-Markovian decoherence dynamics of Majorana zero modes under gate-induced charge fluctuations*, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 054508 (2018).
- [61] W. M. Zhang, *Exact master equation and general non-Markovian dynamics in open quantum systems*, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **227**, 1849 (2019).
- [62] Chuan-Zhe Yao and W. M. Zhang, *Probing topological states through the exact non-Markovian decoherence dynamics of a spin coupled to a spin bath in the real-time domain*, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 035133 (2020).
- [63] Y. W. Huang, P. Y. Yang and W. M. Zhang, *Quantum theory of dissipative topological systems*, Phys. Rev. B **102**, xxxxxx (accepted, 2020).
- [64] P. W. Anderson, *Absence of Diffusion in Certain Random Lattices*, Phys. Rev. **109**, 1492 (1958).
- [65] U. Fano, *Effects of Configuration Interaction on Intensities and Phase Shifts*, Phys. Rev. **124**, 1866 (1961).
- [66] M. H. Wu, *et al.* *Non-Markovian dynamics of a microcavity coupled to a waveguide in photonic crystals*, Optical Express, **18**, 18407 (2010).
- [67] C. U Lei and W. M. Zhang, *Decoherence suppression of open quantum systems through a strong coupling to non-Markovian reservoirs*, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 052116 (2011).
- [68] H. N. Xiong, *et al.* *Exact non-Markovian cavity dynamics strongly coupled to a reservoir*, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 012105 (2010)
- [69] When there exist localized modes, the reduced density matrix is always dependent on the initial state of the system so that thermalization cannot be reached, as shown in Refs. [27, 38, 53].
- [70] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics* (Wiley, New York, 1985).
- [71] In Ref. [53], the renormalization of the Hamiltonian has not been taken into account, where the heat and work cannot be properly defined. After included the renormalization of the internal energy, the quantum thermodynamics can be completed, as shown in the present paper. The results in Ref. [53] are quantitatively modified after the renormalized Hamiltonian is included.
- [72] J. von Neumann, *Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics*. translated by Beyer, R. T. (Princeton university press, 1955).
- [73] M. W. Zemansky, R. Dittman, *Heat and thermodynamics: an intermediate textbook* (New York, McGraw-Hill, 1997), pp.329.
- [74] see Supplemental Materials for more details.
- [75] A. E. Miroschnichenko, S. Flach and Y. S. Kivshar, *Fano resonances in nanoscale structures*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 2257 (2010).
- [76] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Body Physics* (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 2000).
- [77] H. Haug and A.-P. Jauho, *Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics of Semiconductors*, 2nd Ed. (Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences 123, Berlin, 2007).
- [78] M. W. Y. Tu, W. M. Zhang and J. Jin, *Intrinsic coherence dynamics and phase localization in nanoscale Aharonov-Bohm interferometers*, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 115318 (2011).
- [79] P. Y. Yang and W. M. Zhang, *Buildup of Fano resonances in the time domain in a double quantum dot Aharonov-Bohm interferometer*, Phys. Rev. B **97**, 054301 (2018).
- [80] Y. Meir, N. S. Wingreen, and P. A. Lee, *Low-temperature transport through a quantum dot: The Anderson model out of equilibrium*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2601 (1993).
- [81] B. Elattari and S. A. Gurvitz, *Influence of measurement on the lifetime and the linewidth of unstable systems*, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 032102 (2000).
- [82] J. Maciejko, J. Wang and H. Guo, *Time-dependent quantum transport far from equilibrium: An exact nonlinear response theory*, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 085324 (2006)
- [83] J. Jin, X. Zheng and Y. J. Yan, *Exact dynamics of dissipative electronic systems and quantum transport: Hierarchical equations of motion approach*, J. Chem. Phys. **128** 234703 (2008).