Interplay of spin-orbit coupling and Coulomb interaction in ZnO-based electron system

D. Maryenko,^{1,*} M. Kawamura,¹ A. Ernst,^{2,3} V. K. Dugaev,⁴ E. Ya. Sherman,^{5,6} M. Kriener,¹ M. S. Bahramy,^{7,†} Y. Kozuka,^{8,9} and M. Kawasaki^{1,7}

¹RIKEN Center for Emergent Matter Science(CEMS), Wako 351-0198, Japan

²Institute for Theoretical Physics, Johannes Kepler University, 4040 Linz, Austria

³Max Planck Institute of Microstructure Physics, D-06120 Halle, Germany ⁴Department of Physics and Medical Engineering,

Rzeszów University of Technology, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland ⁵Department of Physical Chemistry,

University of the Basque Country UPV/EHU,

Apartado 644, Bilbao 48080, Spain

⁶Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, Bilbao, Spain

⁷Department of Applied Physics and Quantum-Phase Electronics Center (QPEC), The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan

⁸Research Center for Magnetic and Spintronic Materials,

National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), Tsukuba 305-0047, Japan ⁹JST, PRESTO, Kawaguchi, Saitama 332-0012, Japan Spin-orbit coupling (SOC) is pivotal for various fundamental spin-dependent phenomena in solids and their technological applications. In semiconductors, these phenomena have been so far studied in relatively weak electron-electron interaction regimes, where the single electron picture holds. However, SOC can profoundly compete against Coulomb interaction, which could lead to the emergence of unconventional electronic phases. Since SOC depends on the electric field in the crystal including contributions of itinerant electrons, electronelectron interactions can modify this coupling. Here we demonstrate the emergence of SOC effect in a high-mobility two-dimensional electron system in a simple band structure MgZnO/ZnO semiconductor. This electron system features also strong electron-electron interaction effects. By changing the carrier density with Mg-content, we tune the SOC strength and achieve its interplay with electron-electron interaction. These systems pave a way to emergent spintronic phenomena in strong electron correlation regime and to the formation of novel quasiparticles with the electron spin strongly coupled to the density.

Spin-orbit coupling is a single particle relativistic effect producing in atomic physics a bilinear interaction between the electron spin and its orbital momentum. In solids the SOC is transformed into a symmetry-permitted coupling between the orientation of the electron spin and its crystal momentum. This coupling can lead to spin-momentum locking and establishes a spin-dependent band structure influenced by the crystal symmetry. Prominent examples here are the Rashba and Dresselhaus couplings, whose appearance requires the breaking of the structural and crystal inversion symmetries. By contrast, Coulomb interaction dictates collective electron behaviour in solids, e.g., by establishing a Fermi liquid or a Mott insulator, and can also generate spin-polarized phases due to the Stoner instability [1]. Thus, SOC orients electron spin with respect to its momentum while the Coulomb interaction can counteract by aligning the spins in one direction, e.g., by producing a spin-depended exchange interaction. The usual single particle description of SOC-related effects in the presence of Coulomb interaction is poorly applicable, since the relativistic effect on quasiparticle excitations in strongly interacting systems is not known. Yet, the interplay of two mechanisms for spin orientation is suggested to have diverse manifestations encompassing the emergence of topological phases, spin textures, etc. [2–4]. An experimental realisation of a system that shows both strong interaction between electrons, e.g., in the form of a Fermi liquid, and spin-orbit coupling is challenging. It requires a system with sufficiently strong relativistic effects to unfold the role of spin-orbit coupling and with a high mobility at a low carrier density to enhance the Coulomb interaction effect.

Here we demonstrate a realisation of such a regime in the two-dimensional electron system (2DES) at the Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO interface. The SOC effect is identified from the beatings of the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations (SdH) in conductivity, which varies with the electron density N. Upon lowering N the system shows an enhancement of the electron effective mass, attributed to electron-electron interaction. Thus, we can tune the interplay between two interaction mechanisms and achieve an unprecedented interaction regime for 2DESs, where the emergence of novel quantum states is anticipated.



FIG. 1: Electronic structure of $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ interface. a) Schematic view of high mobility MgZnO/ZnO heterostructure. Both wurtzite crystal structure of ZnO and Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO interface breaks the inversion symmetry. b) The interface band structure is calculated using self consistent Green function method for semi-infinite systems considering x=5%, a typical Mg content in the heterostructures. The conduction band (CB) of ZnO lowers at the interface forming the confinement potential for high mobility electrons. The size of the band gap in ZnO and Mg_xZn_{1-x}O is underestimated due to the lack of the conventional density functional theory.

We start with the discussion of the 2DES formation, since it is central for tuning the interplay between two interaction mechanisms. The 2DES is realised in the *c*-plane of wurtzite ZnO by interfacing it with $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$ (Fig. 1(a)). Its formation is validated by our firstprinciples calculations, modelling the interface between two semi-infinite systems, ZnO and $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$ (Fig. 1(b)). While Mg substitutes Zn stoichiometrically, its position is shifted from the original Zn atom position resulting in *c*-axis shrinking of the Mg_xZn_{1-x}O layer. This and the different chemical environment brought in by Mg atoms lead to a polarization discontinuity at the Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO interface, which is compensated by accumulating electrons at the interface. Respectively, the electron density depends on the Mg-content [5].

In such a wurtzite heterostructure the electrons are allowed to be polarised by the spinorbit interaction, since both structural and crystal inversion symmetries are broken. The corresponding Hamiltonian for electrons in the *c*-plane is:

$$H_{\rm SOC} = \left[\alpha_R + \gamma (b \langle k_z^2 \rangle - k_{\parallel}^2)\right] (\sigma_x k_y - \sigma_y k_x),\tag{1}$$

where α_R and γ are the Rashba and Dresselhaus coefficients respectively [6–8]. Here $k_z = -i\partial/\partial z$ acting on the electron wavefunction with $\langle \ldots \rangle$ standing for the quantum expectation value, and k_{\parallel} is the wavevector in the 2DES plane. Equation 1 dictates that SOC effect, e.g. total spin structure and spin splitting, generated by Rashba and Dresselhaus interactions, is independent of their relative contributions to the total SOC effect. The expression in squared brackets acts as an effective SOC coefficient and for a free electron system it produces two Fermi surfaces with opposite spin chiralities. By contrast, in zinc blende GaAs 2DES formed in (001)-plane Rashba and Dresselhaus couplings produce different spin structures. The total SOC effect and the resulting band structure depend on the relative contribution of Rashba and Dresselhaus components defined by the details of the confinement potential [10–13].

By performing magnetotransport experiment in ZnO we resolve an SdH beating pattern, which thus points to the presence of at least two Fermi surfaces (see Method Section). Examples of beating patterns are shown in Fig. 2a. To identify the size of each Fermi surface we plot in Fig. 2b the Fourier transformation spectrum of the SdH signal shown in Fig. 2a. It clearly visualises the presence of two frequencies labeled f_1 and f_2 . Their relation to the respective Fermi surface areas $A_{1,2}$ is $f_{1,2} = \hbar A_{1,2}/2\pi e$, where e is the elementary charge and \hbar is the reduced Planck constant. We exclude the population of the second subband of the confinement potential to yield two distinct frequencies, since it is populated at electron density $N > 10^{12}$ cm⁻² [8, 14]. Neither the sample inhomogeneity, such as a presence of 2DES areas with distinct charge carrier densities, yields two frequencies. It rather results in the smearing of SdH oscillation.

We suggest the formation of two Fermi surfaces is the result of SOC effect. Figure 3a depicts their realisation according to the Eq. 1. Since the Fermi energy is the same for both



FIG. 2: Electron density dependence of spin-orbit coupling effect and Coulomb interaction. a) In low magnetic field Shubnikov-de Haas effect reveals the beating pattern of quantum oscillations in a wide range of charge carrier density. The arrows indicate the beating nodes. b) Fast Fourier transformation reveals two dominating oscillation frequency components. c) Spin-orbit coupling coefficient (left axis) extracted from the Fourier spectrum shown in panel b) depends on the charge carrier density according to Eq. 2. The electron effective mass (right axis, m_0 is the free electron mass) increases with decreasing electron density and points to Fermi-liquid-like behavior. ZnO is thus a system showing an interplay between SOC effect and Coulomb interaction effect.

surfaces, the difference in their Fermi wavevectors is given by $\Delta k_F \propto \alpha m$, where α and

m are the SOC coefficient and the electron mass at the Fermi surface, respectively. This condition allows evaluating the SOC coefficient from the experiment as:

$$\alpha = \frac{\hbar^2 \Delta n}{m} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2N}},\tag{2}$$

where $\Delta n = (f_1 - f_2)e/2\pi\hbar$ and the total electron density $N = (f_1 + f_2)e/2\pi\hbar$ (Supplementary Note 1). Since the experiment probes the 2DES properties at the Fermi surface, m and α can appear renormalised by electron correlation effects. In fact, upon lowering the electron density, the effective mass increases (Fig. 2c (right axis)) signaling a strong Coulomb interaction. It was evaluated from the temperature dependence of SdH oscillation amplitude (Supplementary Note 2). Such a strong mass enhancement is consistent with our previous studies [15–17]. Now the SOC coefficient can be estimated according to Eq. 2 with N-dependent mass. It is shown in Fig. 2c (left axis) and it decreases upon lowering N. The estimated values are higher than previously reported $\alpha_{exp} = 0.7$ meVÅ measured in the electron spin resonance at high magnetic field [18].

Figure 2c constitutes the main result of our experimental study - the decrease in the density leads to a strong enhancement of the electron mass accompanied by a decrease of the SOC coefficient. This can be viewed as a variation of electron dispersion shown schematically in Fig. 3. Here Fig. 3a corresponds to the band structure with SOC in the absence of the electron-electron interaction. In accordance with the Fermi liquid theory, the Coulomb interaction enhances the mass shown in Fig. 3b as a flattening of the dispersion at the Fermi surface. The combined effect of SOC and Coulomb interaction is visualized in Fig. 3c, where the dispersion curves are shown for two different Fermi energies, so that the split of Fermi surfaces Δk_F changes substantially with E_F , as suggested by the result of our experiment.

To understand this result in terms of electron-electron interaction we performed perturbation theory calculations using the parameters of 2DES in ZnO (see Supplementary Note 3). Although this approach cannot capture the correlation phenomena accurately, it reproduces the tendencies in the behaviour of m and α with the changes in the Coulomb interaction. The main result is that this interaction renormalises both SOC and the effective mass parameters, making them dependent on the electron density and particle's momentum. As a result, at the Fermi surface, the calculated effective mass grows and the effective SOC decreases with decreasing electron density, as determined by the same density-dependent Fermi



FIG. 3: Evolution of spin-orbit split band structure due to Coulomb interaction a) Upon exposing a free electron system to the SOC $H_{SOC} = \alpha(\sigma_x k_y - \sigma_y k_x)$, the energy dispersion becomes $E_{+/-}(k) = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m \pm \alpha k$. Here the electron mass m and SOC coefficient α are kindependent, and the relation holds $\Delta k_F = 2k_{SOC}$. b) The effect of Coulomb interaction can be thought as flattening of energy dispersion at the Fermi energy. c) Schematic representation of effect of Coulomb interaction on spin-orbit split band structure at two Fermi energy values $E_{F,1}$ and $E_{F,2}$. The electron correlation effects are stronger pronounced at smaller Fermi energy.

energy for both chiralities. This is consistent with the observation presented in Fig. 2c, but not in a good quantitative agreement with the experiment since we use a perturbational approach for the strongly correlated 2DES. Additionally, we mention that the correlations can change the relative contribution of atomic orbitals with different angular momentum to the electron Bloch wavefunction, leading to the modification of α and m. An adequate theory to describe evolution of the electron spectra and SOC in the strong correlation regime is yet to be developed.

Finally we note that there are other possible origins for mass enhancement at the Fermi energy, which are not directly related to SOC renormalisation. Two of them are related to (1) the role of the vacancies in formation of the band structure or to (2) the mass renormalisation by electron-phonon coupling (see Supplementary Notes 4 and 5). However, these mechanisms cannot directly explain the observed behaviour of the mass but can contribute to the mass change.



FIG. 4: Spin-orbit coupling effect in semiconductor 2D systems. a) Schematic representation of the confinement potential change with Mg content. Interfacial electric field increases, while the wavefunction shrinks, as Mg-content increases. b) Estimate of Rashba α_R and Dresselhaus γ spin-orbit coupling coefficients. Here b = 3.85 for our Fermi wavevector range $k_F = \sqrt{2\pi N}$ [6]. c) Comparison of various compounds in terms of spin-orbit coupling strength given by the wavevector k_{SOC} and Coulomb strength given by the Wigner-Seitz parameter $r_s = 1/\sqrt{\pi N a_B^2}$, where $a_B = \epsilon \hbar^2/me^2$ is the Bohr radius in cgs units. For calculating r_s we take an electron density for which typical values of spin-orbit coupling coefficient are reported (Supplementary Note 7). The following references are used for each material. GaAs (electrons) [13], GaN/AlGaN [12], InSb/InAlSb [9], InGaAs/InAlAs [10], InAs/AlSb [11], SiGe/Si/SiGe [14], cold atoms [24].

We now turn our attention to the N-dependence of α_{exp} presented in Fig. 2c. Beside the renormalisation of SOC coefficient (square brackets in Eq. 2) due to the correlation effects, α_{exp} dependence can reflect the effect of the interface electric field. The scenario is schematically presented in Fig. 4a. The larger is the Mg content, i.e., the electron density, the larger is the interfacial electric field that can couple to the electron spin, effectively enhancing α_{exp} . We estimate an interface electric field approximately as 1 mV/Å at $N = 10^{12}$ cm⁻². Since ZnO is a light large-gap material, this field is not expected to produce an experimentally measurable SOC and thus cannot account for the change of α_{exp} . However, at a larger Mg content the wave function width shrinks due to the steeper electron confinement potential (Fig. 4a), and thus $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$ increases. According to Eq. 1 the contribution of the Dresselhaus component to SOC changes linearly with $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$. Knowing the wavefunction width from our previous studies [8], we estimate $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$ values for all of our structures (see Supplementary Note 6) and plot in Fig. 4b α_{exp} vs $b \langle k_z^2 \rangle - k_F^2$. All points fall onto one straight line (in black); its slope defines the Dresselhaus coefficient $\gamma = 0.62 \text{ eV} \text{Å}^3$, while the intercept gives the Rashba coefficient $\alpha_R=0.5 \text{ meV} \text{Å}$. These coefficients are comparable with the theoretically estimated Rashba and Dresselhaus coefficients $\alpha_R^{\text{th}}=1.1 \text{ meV} \text{Å}$ and $\gamma^{\text{th}} = 0.33 \text{ eV} \text{Å}^3$, respectively [6, 7]. Although the linear dependence of α_{exp} on $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$ supports our evaluation of α_{exp} according to Eq. 2 valid for the single particle model, α_{exp} contains also the renormalization effect. The latter contribution cannot be adequately evaluated due to the deficiency of existing models for analysing the experimental results in the strong electron correlation regime. Rather, α_{exp} can be comprised as a SOC coefficient of quasiparticles.

In Fig. 4c we compare ZnO with other semiconductors hosting high mobility 2DES in terms of Coulomb interaction and SOC strength. We take the Wigner-Seitz parameter r_s and the wavevector k_{SOC} to characterize the Coulomb interaction and the SOC strength, respectively. Here, ZnO stands out because of its large electron mass, small electron density and a moderate SOC coefficient. Since $k_{\rm SOC} \propto \alpha m$, the large mass of ZnO compensates for a moderate α and makes k_{SOC} comparable to that of InAs, a material known for its large α and small mass. Because of a small mass and a large electron density in InAs, r_s is small, so that the electron correlation effects are not pronounced there. To reduce the electron density in such a system while preserving a high mobility is challenging. Another benchmark system is a 2DES of GaAs, which can host diluted 2DES achieving large $r_{\rm s}$ values comparable to that of ZnO. However, the SOC effect is reported for GaAs system with a large electron density [10, 12, 13]. The SOC coefficient in GaAs is comparable to that of ZnO, but its small electron mass yields a small k_{SOC} . We notice that two-dimensional holes in GaAs may have a large mass, dilute charge carriers, and a relatively large SOC coefficient. However, this system is not presented here due to its complicated valence band structure featuring a mixture of heavy and light holes producing system-dependent nonparabolic dispersion, and nonlinear in k spin-orbit coupling. As a result the bandstructure and Coulomb interaction effects cannot be unambiguously distinguished [25–27]. For comparison with other classes of systems, demonstrating SOC effect, but other types of interactions, we also added the typical parameters for cold atoms with $\alpha \sim 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ meV} \text{ Å}$ [24].

The observation of the SOC effect in strong electron correlation regime of ZnO-based 2DES reaches into the unprecedented regime of an interplay between spin-orbit and Coulomb interactions. Experimental results can phenomenologically be understood assuming the existence of quasiparticles with certain charge, mass and chirality similar to electrons in single-particle approximation with the parameters strongly depending on electron density. The theoretical framework for SOC-related effects in the regime of strong correlation is, however, lacking, since the relativistic effect on quasiparticle excitation in such a regime is unknown yet. Our work can be a guideline for establishing basic principles of SOC physics to clarify the transitions from spin-locked chiral excitations in weakly interacting systems to novel quasiparticles in the strongly correlated regime. Consequently, it contributes to the understanding of emergent phenomena in modern spintronics brought about by strong correlations.

Method

Experimental details The samples are $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ heterostructures grown with molecular beam epitaxy and cut in pieces of about 2 mm x 2 mm. The charge carrier density is tuned by changing the Mg-content in $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$ layer. Indium ohmic contacts are attached at each corner. The structures under study cover an electron density range between 1.7×10^{11} cm⁻² and 8×10^{11} cm⁻². We used the same growth procedure, substrate and heterostructure handling that were employed in all our previous studies. This gives us a fairly reliable reason to apply the structural characteristics of $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ heterostructures from previous studies to our case. Each sample is cooled down to base temperature of a dilution refrigerator, which was between 30 mK and 40 mK depending on cooling cycle. The magnetotransport is characterized using 4-probe measurement technique and using the lockin amplifier with an excitation current of 100 nA. To resolve the beating pattern the magnet sweep rate is set to 5mT/min. The same beating pattern appears at a slower sweep rate, such as for instance 2.5 mT/min. At higher sweep rates the beating pattern smears out.

Band structure calculation First-principles calculations are performed using a selfconsistent Green function method [5] within the density functional theory (DFT) in a generalised gradient approximation [29]. The method is specially designed to study electronic, magnetic, and transport properties of semi-infinite systems like surfaces and interfaces. Oxygen vacancies, substitutional and anti-site disorder are taken into account within a coherentpotential approximation as it is implemented within the multiple-scattering theory [30]. The band gap size of ZnO and $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$ is strongly underestimated since DFT can not describe correctly excited state properties by construction. However, the behavior of the band gap as a function of layers in a $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ interface should be well mimicked schematically. The crystalline structure of the $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ interface was adopted from Ref. [31].

Acknowledgment This work was supported by the National Science Center in Poland as a research project No. DEC-2017/27/B/ST3/02881. AE acknowledges financial support from the DFG through priority program SPP1666 (Topological Insulators), SFB-TRR227, and OeAD Grants No. HR 07/2018 and No. PL 03/2018. EYS acknowledges support by the Spanish Ministry of Science and the European Regional Development Fund through PGC2018-101355-B-I00 (MCIU/AEI/ FEDER, UE) and the Basque Country Government through Grant No. IT986-16. YK acknowledges support by JST, PRESTO Grant Number JPMJPR1763.

Author Contributions: DM conceived the project. DM and M. Kawamura performed experiments. M. Kriener and YK contributed to the experiment. DM, M. Kawamura and MSB discussed experiment at the initial stage of the project. AE performed first principles calculations. VKD and EYS provided theoretical support. DM, VKD, EYS and AE wrote the manuscript. M. Kawasaki supervised the project. All authors discussed results and commented on manuscript.

* Electronic address: maryenko@riken.jp

- Stoner, E. C. Collective electron ferromagnetism. Proceedings of the Royal Society A 165, 372 (1938).
- [2] Liu, W. E. et al. Generalized Stoner criterion and versatile spin ordering in two-dimensional spin-orbit coupled electron systems. Phys. Rev. B 96, 235425 (2017).
- [3] Ashrafi, A. & Maslov, D. L. Chiral Spin Waves in Fermi Liquids with Spin-Orbit Coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 109, 227201 (2012).

[†] Current address: Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom

- [4] Witczak-Krempa, W., Chen, G., Kim, Y. B. & Balents, L. Correlated Quantum Phenomena in the Strong Spin-Orbit Regime. Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics 5, 57–82 (2014).
- [5] Kozuka, Y. *et al.* Precise calibration of Mg concentration in $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O$ thin films grown on ZnO substrates. *Journal of Applied Physics* **112**, 043515 (2012).
- [6] Fu, J. Y. & Wu, M. W. Spin-orbit coupling in bulk ZnO and GaN. Journal of Applied Physics 104, 093712 (2008).
- [7] Lew Yan Voon, L. C., Willatzen, M., Cardona, M. & Christensen, N. E. Terms linear in k in the band structure of wurtzite-type semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. B* 53, 10703–10714 (1996).
- [8] Chuang, S. L. & Chang, C. S. k·p method for strained wurtzite semiconductors. *Phys. Rev.* B 54, 2491–2504 (1996).
- [9] Walser, M. P., Reichl, C., Wegscheider, W. & Salis, S. Direct mapping of the formation of a persistent spin helix. *Nature Physics* 8, 757 (2012).
- [10] Meier, L. et al. Measurement of Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit magnetic fields. Nature Physics 3, 650–654 (2007).
- [11] Koralek, J. et al. Measurement of Rashba and Dresselhaus spin-orbit magnetic fields. Nature 458, 610–613 (2009).
- [12] Kunihashi, Y. et al. Drift transport of helical spin coherence with tailored spin-orbit interactions. Nature Communications 7, 10722 (2016).
- [13] Solovyev, V. V. et al. Optical probing of MgZnO/ZnO heterointerface confinement potential energy levels. Applied Physics Letters 106, 082102 (2015).
- [14] Solovyev, V. V. & Kukushkin, I. V. Two-subband occupation by 2D electrons in MgZnO/ZnO heterostructures. Applied Physics Express 12, 021001 (2019).
- [15] Maryenko, D. *et al.* Temperature-Dependent Magnetotransport around $\nu = 1/2$ in ZnO Heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 186803 (2012).
- [16] Kasahara, Y. et al. Correlation-Enhanced Effective Mass of Two-Dimensional Electrons in Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO Heterostructures. Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 246401 (2012).
- [17] Falson, J. et al. Electron scattering times in ZnO based polar heterostructures. Applied Physics Letters 107, 082102 (2015).
- [18] Kozuka, Y. *et al.* Rashba spin-orbit interaction in a $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ two-dimensional electron gas studied by electrically detected electron spin resonance. *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 205411 (2013).

- [19] Schmult, S. *et al.* Large Bychkov-Rashba spin-orbit coupling in high-mobility $GaN/Al_xGa_{1-x}N$ heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 033302 (2006).
- [20] Gilbertson, A. *et al.* Zero-field spin splitting and spin-dependent broadening in high-mobility $InSb/In_{1-x}Al_xSb$ asymmetric quantum well heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 235333 (2009).
- [21] Nitta, J., Akazaki, T., Takayanagi, H. & Enoki, T. Gate Control of Spin-Orbit Interaction in an Inverted In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/In_{0.52}Al_{0.48}As Heterostructure. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1335 (1997).
- [22] Heida, J. P., van Wees, B. J., Kuipers, J. J., Klapwijk, T. M. & Borhgs, G. Spin-orbit interaction in a two-dimensional electron gas in a InAs/AlSb quantum well with gate-controlled electron density. *Phys. Rev. B* 57, 11911 (1998).
- [23] Wilamowski, Z., Jantsch, W., Malissa, H. & Rössler, U. Evidence and evaluation of the Bychkov-Rashba effect in SiGe/Si/SiGe quantum wells. *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 195315 (2002).
- [24] Lin, Y., Jiménez-García, K. & Spielman, I. Spin-orbit-coupled Bose-Einstein condensates. Nature 471, 83 (2011).
- [25] Winkler, R., Noh, H., Tutuc, E. & Shayegan, M. Anomalous Rashba spin splitting in twodimensional hole systems. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 155303 (2002).
- [26] Habib, B. et al. Spin splitting in GaAs (100) two-dimensional holes. Phys. Rev. B 69, 113311 (2004).
- [27] Nichele, F. et al. Spin-orbit splitting and effective masses in p-type GaAs two-dimensional hole gases. Phys. Rev. B 89, 081306 (2014).
- [28] Hoffmann, M. et al. Magnetic and Electronic Properties of Complex Oxides from First-Principles. Physica status solidi (b) 257, 1900671 (2020).
- [29] Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [30] Gyorffy, B. L. Coherent-Potential Approximation for a Nonoverlapping-Muffin-Tin-Potential Model of Random Substitutional Alloys. *Phys. Rev. B* 5, 2382 (1972).
- [31] Betancourt, J. et al. Polarization discontinuity induced two-dimensional electron gas at ZnO/Zn(Mg)O interfaces: A first-principles study. Phys. Rev. B 88, 085418 (2013).

Supplementary Notes

1. Evaluation of spin-orbit coupling coefficient α from experimental data

This section describes the derivation of Eq. (2) in the main text and discuss the possible consequences for the case of renormalized mass and SOC coefficient. The derivation relies on the fact that m and α are k wavevector independent and are the same for both branches of energy dispersion.

We consider a free electron system with the spin-orbit coupling, whose Hamiltonian is given by:

$$H_{\text{total}} = H_0 + H_{\text{SOC}} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} + \alpha (\sigma_x k_y - \sigma_y k_x), \qquad (1)$$

where m is the electron effective mass and α is the spin-orbit coupling coefficient. The Hamiltonian has two eigenvalues

$$\varepsilon_{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \pm \alpha k,\tag{2}$$

each describing the energy dispersion of the corresponding band, which cross at k = 0. We call the band described by ε_+ (ε_-) as the inner(outer) band.

Consider the realization where same for both bands Fermi energy ε_F lies above the crossing point at k = 0. Then the Fermi wavevectors for the inner and outer bands are:

$$k_{F,+} = \frac{m}{\hbar^2} \left[-\alpha + \sqrt{2\pi N \frac{\hbar^4}{m^2} - \alpha^2} \right]$$
(3)

$$k_{F,-} = \frac{m}{\hbar^2} \left[\alpha + \sqrt{2\pi N \frac{\hbar^4}{m^2} - \alpha^2} \right], \qquad (4)$$

where N is the total electron density. At $\alpha = 0$, we introduce single $k_F \equiv k_{F,+} = k_{F,-}$, and the Fermi surface area for each band $A_F \equiv \pi k_F^2$ is associated with the oscillation frequency f of Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations by the relation:

$$f = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi e} A_F. \tag{5}$$

Now, in the experiment at $\alpha \neq 0$ (see Fig. 2 in the main text) we obtain two frequencies f_1 and f_2 , which are associated with the inner and outer Fermi surfaces respectively. The area difference of two Fermi surfaces is:

$$\Delta A_F = \pi k_{F,-}^2 - \pi k_{F,+}^2 = 4\alpha \frac{\pi m}{\hbar^2} \sqrt{2\pi N - \frac{m^2}{\hbar^4} \alpha^2}$$
(6)

This relation establishes the connection between the strength of spin-orbit coupling α and the difference of Fermi pocket cross sections. On the other side

$$\Delta A_F = \frac{2\pi e}{\hbar} \Delta f = 4\pi^2 \Delta n. \tag{7}$$

where the notation $\Delta n \equiv e\Delta f/2\pi\hbar$ is introduced.

Then Eqs. (6) and (7) yield:

$$\Delta n = \frac{\alpha m}{\pi \hbar^2} \sqrt{2\pi N - \frac{m^2}{\hbar^4} \alpha^2}.$$
(8)

Solving this equation one obtains:

$$\alpha^2 = \frac{\hbar^4 \pi}{m^2} \left[N \pm \sqrt{N^2 - (\Delta n)^2} \right]. \tag{9}$$

Since both solutions satisfy the request $\alpha^2 > 0$ and only one α can be the solution, we consider another restriction. If $\alpha = 0$, there should be only one oscillation frequency and thus $\Delta n = 0$. Then only one solution remains.

$$\alpha^{2} = \frac{\hbar^{4}\pi}{m^{2}} \left[N - \sqrt{N^{2} - (\Delta n)^{2}} \right] = \frac{\hbar^{4}\pi}{m^{2}} \frac{N^{2} - (N^{2} - (\Delta n)^{2})}{N + \sqrt{N^{2} - (\Delta n)^{2}}}.$$
 (10)

It follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \Delta n \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{N + \sqrt{N^2 - (\Delta n)^2}}}.$$
(11)

At $N \gg (m\alpha^2/\hbar^2)^2$ we have $\varepsilon_F \approx \pi \hbar^2 N/m$ and $\Delta n \ll N$. Thus, we obtain Eq. (2) of the main text:

$$\alpha = \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \Delta n \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2N}}.$$
(12)

2. Estimation of electron effective mass

We demonstrate the estimation of the electron effective mass m from the Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations on the example of one Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO structure, which is shown in the middle panel of Fig.2a in the main text. We consider that the oscillation of magnetore-sistance follows Lifshitz-Kosevich formalism [1]. We also consider only the leading term in Taylor series of Lifshitz-Kosevich approach:

$$\frac{\Delta R_{xx}}{R_{xx}} = \frac{4XT}{\sinh(XT)} \exp(-\pi/\omega_c \tau)$$
(13)
$$X = \frac{2\pi^2 k_B}{\hbar\omega_c},$$

where T is the temperature, $\omega_c = eB/m$ is the cyclotron frequency at field B, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant.



FIG. S1: Estimate of electron mass a) Oscillatory part of the magnetoresistance at various temperatures b) Mass analysis according to Lifshitz-Kosevich approximation. The slope at a given magnetic field defines the electron effective mass. c) Mass as a function of magnetic field. The mass enhancement is attributed to the electron correlation effects.

Figure S1a shows the development of oscillating part of the magnetoresistance with temperature, while panel (b) demonstrates the mass analysis according to Eq. (13). The mass is estimated for several field values and is plotted in Fig. S1c. At $N = 3.1 \times 10^{11}$ cm⁻², it amounts to $m=0.41m_0$, where m_0 is the free electron mass, enhanced compared to the bulk value of $0.32m_0$. This enhancement is attributed to the correlation effects.

3. Renormalization of the effective mass and spin-orbit coupling by electronelectron interactions

Here we present a model for renormalization of the electron mass and spin-orbit coupling and their changes with the charge carrier density using the analysis based on the perturbation theory. The Hamiltonian of two-dimensional (2D) electron system with the Rashba SO coupling and electron-electron interaction is written as the sum of two terms

$$H = H_0 + H_{\text{int}},\tag{14}$$

where

$$H_{0} = \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left[-\frac{\hbar^{2}\Delta}{2m_{b}} - i\alpha_{b} \,\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}) \right] \psi(\mathbf{r}), \qquad H_{\text{int}} = v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \left[\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \,\psi(\mathbf{r}) \right] \left[\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}') \,\psi(\mathbf{r}') \right].$$
(15)

Here m_b and α_b is the bare (interaction-independent) electron effective mass and the Rashba coupling constant, respectively, $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ is the unit vector perpendicular to the *x-y* plane, $\psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ are the spinor field operators, and the function $v(\mathbf{r})$ with $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ describes Coulomb interaction of electrons at a distance r. We assume that Coulomb interaction is screened, and its Fourier component is [2]

$$v(q) = \frac{2\pi e^2}{\epsilon \left(q + \kappa\right)},\tag{16}$$

where ϵ is the dielectric constant and κ is the inverse screening length. The Schrödinger equation with Hamiltonian H_0 gives the two-band dispersion $\varepsilon_{\lambda}(k) = \varepsilon(k) \pm \alpha_b k$, where $\varepsilon(k) = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m_b$ and $\lambda = +(-)$ is the chirality index corresponding to the spin-related branch of the spectrum (cf. Eq. (2)).

The exchange and Hartree diagrams [3] for the Coulomb interaction yield the following contributions to the self energy of electrons:

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\rm xc}(\mathbf{k}) = i \int \frac{d\varepsilon}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} v(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \,\hat{G}_0(\varepsilon, \mathbf{k}'),\tag{17}$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\rm H}(\mathbf{k}) = -iv(0) \operatorname{tr} \int \frac{d\varepsilon}{2\pi} \frac{d^2 k'}{(2\pi)^2} \hat{G}_0(\varepsilon, \mathbf{k}'), \qquad (18)$$

where $\hat{G}_0(\varepsilon, \mathbf{k})$ is the 2 × 2 matrix Green's function of free electron corresponding to Hamiltonian H_0 . After integrating the Green's function over ε we obtain

$$\int \frac{d\varepsilon}{2\pi} \hat{G}_0(\mathbf{k}',\varepsilon) = \frac{i}{2} \Big\{ \theta[\mu - \varepsilon_+(k')] + \theta[\mu - \varepsilon_-(k')] \Big\} \left(1 + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{k}'})\right)$$
(19)

where μ is the chemical potential (at zero-temperature equivalent to the Fermi energy), $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is the unit vector along \mathbf{k} and $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function. Substituting (16) and (19) into Eqs. (17) and (18) we find

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\rm xc}(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{e^2}{2\pi\epsilon} \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{k_{F,+}} \frac{k'dk'}{\zeta(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')+\kappa} - \frac{e^2\hat{\mathbf{z}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\mathbf{n_k})}{2\pi\epsilon} \int_0^{\pi} \cos\varphi d\varphi \int_0^{k_{F+}} \frac{k'dk'}{\zeta(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')+\kappa} - \frac{e^2}{2\pi\epsilon} \int_0^{\pi} d\varphi \int_0^{k_{F-}} \frac{k'dk'}{\zeta(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')+\kappa} + \frac{e^2\hat{\mathbf{z}}\cdot(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\times\mathbf{n_k})}{2\pi\epsilon} \int_0^{\pi} \cos\varphi d\varphi \int_0^{k_{F-}} \frac{k'dk'}{\zeta(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}')+\kappa} (20)$$

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\rm H}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{e^2 (k_{F+}^2 + k_{F-}^2)}{2\epsilon\kappa},\tag{21}$$

where the Fermi wavevectors $k_{F,\lambda}$ are determined by common chemical potential $\varepsilon_{\lambda}(k_{F,\lambda}) = \mu$ (cf. Eqs. (3), (4), $\zeta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \sqrt{k^2 + {k'}^2 - 2kk' \cos \varphi}$, and φ is the angle between vectors \mathbf{k}



FIG. S2: a) Renormalized by electron-electron interaction parameters $m_r(k) = m_b \chi_1^{-1}(k)$ and $\alpha_r(k) = \alpha_b \chi_2(k)$ in the self-consistent approach of Hamiltonian (15) as a function of k/k_F for different chemical potentials μ . Here m_0 is the mass of free electron. b) Effective mass m_λ (calculated with Eq. (26)) and Rashba coupling α_λ at the Fermi surfaces, $k = k_{F,+}, k_{F,-}$, as a function of μ . The chirality index $\lambda = (+)$ describes the inner Fermi surface, while $\lambda = (-)$ describes the outer Fermi surface. Renormalised SOC coefficient α_r dependence on μ is the same for both Fermi surfaces.

and \mathbf{k}' . Note that (20) contains the terms in form of spin-orbit interaction. The real part of self energy determines correction to the electron spectrum due to the interactions.

The Hartree contribution does not depend on k and, correspondingly, does not affect the energy structure. In our calculations we omit k-independent terms in (21) assuming that they only lead to a uniform shift of all electron energies. The k-dependent contribution from the exchange diagrams can be written as

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{\rm xc}(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{\Sigma}_{\rm xc}^{(1)}(k) + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{n}_{\mathbf{k}}) \hat{\Sigma}_{\rm xc}^{(2)}(k), \qquad (22)$$

where the first and second terms stand for corresponding corrections to the Hamiltonian without interaction, $\hat{H}_{0\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon(k) + \alpha_b \hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k})$. Note that in the limit $\alpha_b \to 0$, the first term, $\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{xc}}^{(1)}(k)$ tends to a constant (20) while $\hat{\Sigma}_{\mathrm{xc}}^{(2)}(k)$ vanishes since it is nonzero solely due to different Fermi momenta $\hbar k_{F,\lambda}$ in Eq. (20).

These results are obtained in the first-order perturbation theory. Within this approach any higher order corrections to the spectrum should be small. To get more realistic results we use the self-consistent approach taking the Hamiltonian

$$H_{\mathbf{k}} = \varepsilon(k)\chi_1(k) + \alpha_b \,\hat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \times \mathbf{k}) \,\chi_2(k), \tag{23}$$

where $\chi_{1,2}(k)$ are some unknown functions to be determined self-consistently. They can be presented as $\chi_1(k) = m_b/m_r(k)$ and $\chi_2(k) = \alpha_r(k)/\alpha$, where $m_r(k)$ and $\alpha_r(k)$ are the renormalized k-dependent parameters. Then in the first-order perturbation theory we get

$$\chi_1(k) \simeq 1 + \frac{\Sigma_{\rm xc}^{(1)}(k)}{\varepsilon(k)}; \qquad \chi_2(k) \simeq 1 + \frac{\Sigma_{\rm xc}^{(2)}(k)}{\alpha_b k},$$
(24)

and in agreement with the perturbation approach, $\chi_1(k)$ and $\chi_2(k)$ are close to 1.

In frame of self-consistent approach we calculate the $\Sigma_{\rm xc}(\mathbf{k})$ -dependent Green's function with the self energy calculated with full Green's function $\hat{G}(\mathbf{k},\varepsilon)$, which takes into account interaction-induced nonparabolicity of the spectrum. Thus, in the previous equations we substitute $\varepsilon(k) \to \varepsilon(k)\chi_1(k)$ and $\alpha_b \to \alpha_b\chi_2(k)$. Correspondingly, we get the renormalized spectrum $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\lambda}(k) = \varepsilon(k)\chi_1(k) \pm \alpha_b k \chi_2(k)$, where the Fermi wavevectors in each spin-related subband are determined as the solution of equation of common chemical potential μ as $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\lambda}(k_{F,\lambda}) = \mu$.

We calculated the self energy and the spectrum by iterations starting with the 2D Fermigas realization $\chi_1(k) = \chi_2(k) = 1$, using the parameters: $m_b = 0.35 m_0$, $\epsilon = 8.5$, and $\alpha_b = 2$ meVÅ. For the parameter κ we use an approximation, which takes into account the effect of Coulomb interaction on the screening. At $r_s \ll 1$ the value of κ can be determined by the random phase approximation (RPA) as $\kappa_0 = -(2\pi e^2/\epsilon) \Pi(\mathbf{0}, 0) = 2\pi e^2 \rho(\mu)/\epsilon$, where $\Pi(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ is the polarization operator of two-dimensional electron gas and $\rho(\mu)$ is the density of states at Fermi level. Since the SO coupling is relatively weak, for $\mu \gg m_b \alpha_b^2/\hbar^2$ the chosen numerical parameters yield $\kappa_0 \simeq 1.27 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

We assume that for $r_s \gg 1$ the value of κ is essentially renormalized by electron-electron interaction. For example, within the Landau theory for three-dimensional electron system one can get $\kappa \sim 1/r_s$ for $r_s \gg 1$. In the 2D case one can expect, based on the calculations of the interaction functions in the Landau theory for strongly screened potential (16), that at $r_s \gg 1$, the parameter κ tends to a r_s -independent constant. Thus, in our calculations we use an interpolation formula

$$\kappa = \frac{\kappa_0}{1 + \gamma r_s (1 + r_s)^{-1}},$$
(25)

where γ is a constant and take $\gamma = 1$ as an example.

Figure S2a shows the behavior of functions $m_r(k) = m_b \chi_1^{-1}(k)$ and $\alpha_r(k) = \alpha_b \chi_2(k)$, which are k-dependent renormalized parameters of the mass and Rashba coupling. The function $k\alpha_r(k)$ determines the band splitting at each k. The main result presented in Fig. S2a is that the electron-electron interaction-induced renormalization of $\alpha_r(k)$ and $m_r(k)$ makes them k-dependent and instead of bare constants α_b and m_b we obtain functions $\alpha_r(k)$ and $m_r(k)$. Correspondingly, the interactions modify the shape of dispersion curves to $\varepsilon_{\lambda}(k) = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m_r(k) \pm \alpha_r(k) k$. Moreover, Fig. S2a shows that all these functions depend on the density of electrons, which makes mass $m_r(k)$ smaller and $\alpha_r(k)$ larger with increasing electron density. As we see, $m_r(k)$ is lower than m_b and $\alpha_r(k)$ is larger than α_b for any k with this effect being stronger for larger μ . With increasing k the function $m_r(k)$ grows to the bare value m_b , whereas $\alpha_r(k)$ decreases to the bare α_b .

In addition, we find the renormalized electron cyclotron effective mass $m_{\lambda}(k)$ by using the standard definition

$$\frac{1}{m_{\lambda}(k)} = \frac{1}{\hbar^2 k} \frac{d\varepsilon_{\lambda}(k)}{dk},\tag{26}$$

defined for each branch of the spectrum, $\varepsilon_{\lambda}(k)$.

Taking the effective mass $m_{\lambda}(k)$ and parameter $\alpha_r(k)$ at the Fermi surfaces $(k = k_{F,\lambda})$, corresponding to given value of μ , we obtain the dependence of redefined quantities $m_{\lambda} \equiv m_{\lambda}(k_{F,\lambda})$ and $\alpha_{\lambda} \equiv \alpha_r(k_{F,\lambda})$ on the chemical potential. These dependences are presented in Fig. S2b, where m_{λ} decreases whereas α_{λ} increases with the increase in the chemical potential and, therefore, in the electron density. Earlier, the effect of electron-electron interaction in spin-orbit coupling has been considered in Ref. [4]. It was found that in frame of the RPA the interaction reduces effective mass and enhances spin-orbit coupling. This is in agreement with our calculations (see Fig. S2b, where $m_{\lambda} < m_b$ and $\alpha_{\lambda} > \alpha_b$ for all μ). However, as we see, the variation of the mass m_{λ} and α_{λ} with chemical potential μ corresponds to increase of m_{λ} and decrease of α_{λ} at smaller density of electrons.

Qualitatively, theoretical results are in some agreement with the experiment (see Fig. S2b) but quantitatively the disagreement is rather strong. The main reason is that in strong-interaction regime the existing theory cannot describe the electron spectrum but allows to relate certain interaction parameters with observable quantities.

4. Defects at $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ interfaces

Various defects such as oxygen vacancies, anti-site or interstitial defects can lead to a strong change of the effective band mass at the Fermi energy. To demonstrate this fact, we simulated these types of defects at the Mg_{0.05}Zn_{0.95}O using a coherent potential approximation as it is implemented within the multiple scattering theory for semi-infinite systems [5]. The concentration of impurities was fixed in our simulations to be 0.1%. The results are presented in Fig.S3, which show the spectral function corresponding to the conductance band in Mg_{0.05}Zn_{0.95}O for three types of defects: (i) oxygen vacancies (Fig. S3(a)); (ii) Zn-O anti-site defects (Fig. S3(b)); (iii) oxygen interstitial defects (Fig. S3(c)). All types of considered defects demonstrate a significant enhancement of the effective band mass in the vicinity of the Fermi energy. Our simulations should only mimic the impact of defects on the conductance band, however we have no information about the real defect structure at Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO interfaces. In accordance with our previous studies, all these defect type are energetically possible in the ground state.

5. Mass renormalization due to piezoelectric electron-phonon coupling at the $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ interface

Since ZnO is a strong piezoelectric where electron-phonon coupling modifies the electron effective mass measured in the cyclotron resonance experiments in bulk crystal [6], it would



FIG. S3: Spectral function of the Mg_{0.05}Zn_{0.95}O in presence of defects (0.1%): (a) oxygen vacancies;
(b) Zn-O anti-site defects; (c) oxygen interstitial defects.

be of interest to see the effect of this coupling in two-dimensional electron systems at the $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ interface. Here electrons interact with two kinds of acoustic phonons, the longitudinal (l) and the shear (s) ones. Taking into account that the speed of the lower-frequency shear sound in ZnO is $s_s = 2.1 \times 10^5$ cm/s, the typical phonon energy at the wave vector $q = 10^6$ cm⁻¹, corresponding to the Fermi momentum at electron concentration $\sim 10^{11}$ cm⁻² is $\hbar s_s q \sim 0.1$ meV is too high for the phonon to be excited at experimental temperature 40 mK. For this reason, a quantum single-phonon perturbation theory is sufficient for calculation of the renormalized effective mass. Here we will use the approach proposed by Hutson [7] and Mahan and Hopfield [6] to evaluate the corresponding renormalization of the electron mass.

The electron-phonon coupling Hamiltonian in terms of electron $(a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}, a_{\mathbf{k}})$ and phonon $(b^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{q}}, b_{\mathbf{q}})$ creation and annihilation operators has the form:

$$H_{\rm e-ph} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{V}} \sum_{\nu, \mathbf{q}} \frac{\widetilde{e}\sqrt{\hbar}}{\sqrt{\rho s_{\nu} q}} f_{\nu}\left(\mathbf{q}\right) \left(b_{-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} + b_{\mathbf{q}}\right) a_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{k}},\tag{27}$$

where index ν denotes the phonon mode ($\nu = l$, longitudinal and $\nu = s$, shear) with velocity s_{ν} and wavevector \mathbf{q} , $f_{\nu}(\mathbf{q})$ includes the strength of piezocoupling, V is the crystal volume, ρ is the crystal density, and the effective charge $\tilde{e} = e/\epsilon$. Here and below we neglect the change in the phonon properties at the Mg_xZn_{1-x}/ZnO interface. Neglecting small phonon frequency [6], we use perturbation theory for the k-dependent energy shift $\delta \varepsilon_{e-ph}(k)$:

$$\delta\varepsilon_{\rm e-ph}(k) = \sum_{\nu} \left\langle f_{\nu}^{2}\left(\mathbf{q}\right) \right\rangle \frac{\tilde{e}^{2}}{\rho s_{\nu}} \frac{\hbar}{\left(2\pi\right)^{3}} \int_{0}^{Q} q dq \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin\theta d\theta \int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) - \varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{\parallel})},\tag{28}$$

where Q is the cutoff wave vector due to the finite width of the electron wavefunction at the interface, and $\langle f_{\nu}^{2}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle$ stands for the averaging over directions of \mathbf{q} . The in-plane phonon wavevector is given by: $q_{\parallel} = q \sin \theta > 0$ (where θ is the corresponding polar angle), therefore

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) - \varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{\parallel}) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_b} \left(q_{\parallel}^2 + 2kq_{\parallel}\cos\phi \right), \qquad (29)$$

where ϕ is the azimuthal angle.

First integrating over ϕ we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) - \varepsilon(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_{\parallel})} = -\frac{2m_b}{q_{\parallel}\hbar^2} \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{q_{\parallel}^2 - (2k)^2}}$$
(30)

and note that we need $q_{\parallel} > 2k$ to get a nonzero integral and, therefore, $q \ge 2k$. Further integration over the polar angle at $q \gg 2k$ yields:

$$\int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\sin^{2}\theta - (2k/q)^{2}}} = \int_{\arccos(2k/q)}^{\pi - \arcsin(2k/q)} \frac{d\theta}{\sqrt{\sin^{2}\theta - (2k/q)^{2}}} = (4\ln 2)\ln\frac{q}{2k}.$$
 (31)

In the large $q \gg 2k$ limit we obtain further

$$\int_{2k}^{Q} \frac{dq}{q} \ln \frac{q}{2k} = \frac{1}{2} \ln^2 \left(\frac{2k}{Q}\right). \tag{32}$$

It is convenient to introduce the Fermi velocity v_F and to write, as in Eq. (26), the renormalized mass at the Fermi surface, m, as:

$$\frac{m_b}{m} = 1 + \frac{2\ln 2}{\pi^2} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\langle f_{\nu}^2(\mathbf{q}) \rangle}{\epsilon \rho s_{\nu}^2} \frac{e^2}{\epsilon \hbar v_F} \frac{s_{\nu}}{v_F} \ln\left(\frac{Q}{2k_F}\right), \tag{33}$$

where k_F is the Fermi wavevector, and $\ln (2k_F/Q) < 0$ by requirement of the narrow density distribution along the z-axis. Note that here $e^2/\epsilon\hbar$ is the excitonic electron velocity (~ $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm/s}$) with $e^2/\epsilon\hbar v_F \gg 1$.

Using the symmetry analysis of Hutson [7], we obtain that at $Q/2k_F \gg 1$, the main contribution to the mass renormalization is due to the longitudinal phonons ($\nu = l$) and the angular averaging $\langle f_l^2(\mathbf{q}) \rangle$ can be easily performed in this case. For three-dimensional electrons the values $\langle f_l^2(\mathbf{q}) \rangle / \epsilon \rho s_{\nu}^2$ are given by the electromechanical coefficient $(K_l^2)_{av} = 0.012$. Taking into account that in 2DES electron mainly interact with the phonons propagating along the z-axis, we obtain $\langle f_l^2(\mathbf{q}) \rangle / \epsilon \rho s_l^2 \approx 10 (K_l^2)_{av}$. For the given material parameters $s_l = 5 \times 10^5$ cm/s and typical Fermi velocity $v_F = 3 \times 10^6$ cm/s we obtain as a result the difference $|m_b/m - 1|$ less then 0.1 and, more important, m increases with increasing the Fermi momentum. This is in agreement with the result of Mahan and Hopfield [6] and in contrast to the experimental observation presented in the main text.

6. Electron wave function width at the $Mg_xZn_{1-x}O/ZnO$ interface

The section describes the calculation of $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$ for analysing the spin-orbit coupling effect shown in Fig. 4b of the main text. Electron wavefunction at the interface with a triangular-



FIG. S4: Width of wavefunction.

like confinement potential can be presented in the Fang-Howard form as [2]:

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\xi^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2}} z e^{-\xi z/2},\tag{34}$$

where ξ defines the extension perpendicular to the interface, with the corresponding expectation values:

$$\langle k_z^2 \rangle \equiv -\int_0^\infty \psi(z)\psi''(z)dz = \frac{\xi^2}{4}, \qquad \langle z^2 \rangle \equiv \int_0^\infty z^2\psi^2(z)dz = \frac{12}{\xi^2}.$$
 (35)

The energy minimization yields the relation between $\langle k_z^2 \rangle$ and the electric field E at the interface

$$\langle k_z^2 \rangle = \frac{(12)^{2/3}}{4} \left(\frac{eEm}{\hbar^2}\right)^{2/3},$$
(36)

where the field at the electron concentration N can be estimated using the electrical neutrality of the total interface as:

$$E = \frac{2\pi eN}{\epsilon}.$$
(37)

For this choice of the wavefunction shape we have with a high accuracy

$$\langle z^2 \rangle^{1/2} \approx 0.7 d_{\rm wf},\tag{38}$$

where $d_{\rm wf}$ is the full width of the $\psi(z)$ -function at half-maximum. Therefore, $\langle k_z^2 \rangle \approx 6/d_{\rm wf}^2$. In our previous studies using optical probing of the interface we reported the values of $d_{\rm wf}$ [8].

TABLE S1: Tabulated FWHM of the wavefunction and the corresponding ξ -parameter. NFWHM ξ $[10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}]$ $[nm^{-1}]$ [nm] 1.38.20.602.047.20.683.096.20.796.094.81.02

4.6

1.06

Note that the above formulas yield at $N = 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ the width $\langle z^2 \rangle^{1/2} \approx 4.0 \text{ nm}$, close to Ref. [8].

Filled black squares in Fig. S4 represent FWHM d_{wf} as a function of electron density N. The phenomenological fit for N expressed in the units of 10^{11} cm⁻², $d_{wf} = 4.16 + 6.62 \exp(-N/2.67)$ (green line) describes well the d_{wf} dependence on N. Filled circles represent the samples used in the current work. Since the heterostructure design of the current samples is the same as of those used in Ref. [8], the N-dependence of the wavefunction width is estimated using the same phenomenological dependence. Knowing the FWHM of the electron states in our samples we find the corresponding ξ -parameter, summarized in the Table S1.

7. Table of various materials

7.25

Summary of electron system parameters for Fig.4c of the main text.

material system	SOC coefficient	n	m/m_0	$k_{\rm SOC}$
	$[{ m meV}\cdot{ m \AA}]$	$[10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}]$		$[\mu \mathrm{m}^{-1}]$
InSb/InAlSb [9]	130	3	0.014	2.4
InGaAs/InAlAs [10]	70	20	0.046	4.25
InAs/AlSb [11]	60	15	0.04	3.17
GaN/AlGaN [12]	6	10	0.22	1.74
GaAs (electrons) $[13]$	1-4	5	0.067	0.35
SiGe/Si/SiGe [14]	0.05	5	0.19	0.0125

TABLE S2: Comparison of different semiconductors. $k_{\text{SOC}} = m\alpha/\hbar^2$

* Electronic address: maryenko@riken.jp

- [†] Current address: Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, United Kingdom
- I. M. Lifshitz, and L. M. Kosevich, On the theory of the Shubnikov-de Haas effect, Soviet Physics JETP 6, 67 (1958).
- T. Ando, A. B. Fowler, and F. Stern, Electronic properties of two-dimensional systems, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 54, 437 (1982).
- [3] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshonski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics (Dover Books on Physics) 1975.
- [4] G.-H. Chen and M. E. Raikh, Exchange-induced enhancement of spin-orbit coupling in twodimensional electronic systems, *Phys. Rev. B* 60, 4826 (1999).
- [5] M. Hoffmann, A. Ernst, W. Hergert, V. N. Antonov, W. A. Adeagbo, R. M. Geilhufe, and H. Ben Hamed, Magnetic and Electronic Properties of Complex Oxides from First Principles, *Physica status solidi (b)* 257, 1900671 (2020).
- [6] G. D. Mahan and J. J. Hopfield, Piezoelectric Polaron Effects in CdS, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 12, 241 (1964).
- [7] A. R. Hutson, Piezoelectric Scattering and Phonon Drag in ZnO and CdS, J. Appl. Phys. 32, 2287 (1961).

- [8] V. V. Solovyev, A. Van'kov, I. Kukushkin, J. Falson, D. Zhang, D. Maryenko, Y. Kozuka, A. Tsukazaki, J. H. Smet, and M. Kawasaki, Optical probing of MgZnO/ZnO heterointerface confinement potential energy levels, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **106**, 082102 (2015).
- [9] A. Gilbertson, W. R. Branford, M. Fearn, L. Buckle, P. Buckle, T. Ashley, and L. F. Cohen, Zero-field spin splitting and spin-dependent broadening in high-mobility InSb/In_{1-x}Al_xSb asymmetric quantum well heterostructures, *Phys. Rev. B* 79, 235333 (2009).
- [10] J. Nitta, T. Akazaki, H. Takayanagi, and T. Enoki, Gate Control of Spin-Orbit Interaction in an Inverted In_{0.53}Ga_{0.47}As/In_{0.52}Al_{0.48}As Heterostructure, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 1335 (1997).
- [11] J. P. Heida, B. J. van Wees, J. J. Kuipers, T. M. Klapwijk, and G. Borhgs, Spin-orbit interaction in a two-dimensional electron gas in a InAs/AlSb quantum well with gate-controlled electron density, *Phys. Rev. B* 57, 11911 (1998).
- [12] S. Schmult, M. J. Manfra, A. Punnoose, A. M. Sergent, K. W. Baldwin, and R. J. Molnar, Large Bychkov-Rashba spin-orbit coupling in high-mobility GaN/Al_xGa_{1-x}N heterostructures, *Phys. Rev. B* 74, 033302 (2006).
- [13] M. P. Walser, C. Reichl, W. Wegscheider, and S. Salis, Direct mapping of the formation of a persistent spin helix, *Nature Physics* 8, 757 (2012).
- [14] Z. Wilamowski, W. Jantsch, H. Malissa, and U. Rössler, Evidence and evaluation of the Bychkov-Rashba effect in SiGe/Si/SiGe quantum wells, *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 195315 (2002).