

Biharmonic and harmonic homomorphisms between Riemannian three dimensional unimodular Lie groups

Boubekeur Sihem, Mohamed Boucetta,^{a,b}

^a*Université Cadi-Ayyad
Faculté des sciences et techniques
BP 549 Marrakesh Morocco
e-mail: m.boucetta@uca.ma*

^b*Ecole normale supérieure de Bousaada
Route d'Alger, Bousaada 28001 Algeria.
e-mail: sihemmath@hotmail.com*

Abstract

We classify biharmonic and harmonic homomorphisms $f : (G, g_1) \longrightarrow (G, g_2)$ where G is a connected and simply connected three-dimensional unimodular Lie group and g_1 and g_2 are left invariant Riemannian metrics.

Keywords: Harmonic homomorphisms, biharmonic homomorphisms, Riemannian Lie groups

2000 MSC: 53C30,

2000 MSC: 53C43,

2000 MSC: 22E15

1. Introduction

The theory of biharmonic maps is old and rich and has gained a growing interest in the last decade (see [1, 10] and others). The theory of harmonic maps into Lie groups, symmetric spaces or homogeneous spaces has been extensively studied related to the integrable systems by many mathematicians (see for examples [4, 11, 12]). In particular, harmonic maps of Riemann surfaces into compact Lie groups equipped with a bi-invariant Riemannian metric are called principal chiral models and intensively studied as toy models of gauge theory in mathematical physics [13]. In the papers [8, 5], harmonic inner automorphisms of a compact semi-simple Lie group endowed with a left invariant Riemannian metric were studied. In [2], there is a detailed study of biharmonic and harmonic homomorphisms between Riemannian Lie groups.¹

In this paper, we aim the classification, up to a conjugation by automorphisms of Lie groups, of harmonic and biharmonic maps $f : (G, g_1) \longrightarrow (G, g_2)$ where G is a non abelian connected and simply-connected three dimensional unimodular Lie group, f is an homomorphism of Lie groups and g_1 and g_2 are two left invariant Riemannian metrics. There are five non abelian connected and simply-connected three-dimensional unimodular Lie groups: the nilpotent Lie group Nil, the special unitary group SU(2), the universal covering group $\widetilde{\text{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the special linear group, the solvable Lie group Sol and the universal covering group $\widetilde{\text{E}}_0(2)$ of the connected component of the Euclidean group. There are our main results:

1. For Nil and Sol we show that a homomorphism is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic and we classify completely all the harmonic homomorphisms (see Theorems 3.1, 5.1 and 5.2).
2. For $\widetilde{\text{E}}_0(2)$ we classify completely all the harmonic homomorphisms (see Theorem 4.1). For this group there are biharmonic homomorphisms which are not harmonic and we give a complete classification of these homomorphisms (see Theorem 4.2). To our knowledge, these are the first examples of biharmonic not harmonic homomorphisms between Riemannian Lie groups.

¹A biharmonic homomorphism between Riemannian Lie groups is a homomorphism of Lie groups $\phi : G \longrightarrow H$ which is also biharmonic where G and H are endowed with left invariant Riemannian metrics.

3. For $SU(2)$ and $\widetilde{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, we give a complete classification of harmonic homomorphisms (see Theorems 6.1 and 7.1). We show that these groups have biharmonic homomorphisms which are not harmonic and we give the first examples of these homomorphisms. For $SU(2)$ we recover the results obtained in [8, 5] and we complete them.

This work is based on [2], on the results of [6] which gave a complete classification of left invariant Riemannian metrics on three dimensional Lie groups and on the description given in [3] of the automorphisms of $SU(2)$ and $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$. We proceed by a direct computation and Proposition 2.2 is a useful trick which simplified many computations. Our straightforward computations were performed using the software Maple.

This paper is divided into seven sections. In Section 2, we give the tools needed in our study and we devote a section to each one of the five groups.

2. Preliminaries

Let $\phi : (M, g) \longrightarrow (N, h)$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds with $m = \dim M$ and $n = \dim N$. We denote by ∇^M and ∇^N the Levi-Civita connexions associated respectively to g and h and by $T^\phi N$ the vector bundle over M pull-back of TN by ϕ . It is an Euclidean vector bundle and the tangent map of ϕ is a bundle homomorphism $d\phi : TM \longrightarrow T^\phi N$. Moreover, $T^\phi N$ carries a connexion ∇^ϕ pull-back of ∇^N by ϕ and there is a connexion on the vector bundle $\text{End}(TM, T^\phi N)$ given by

$$(\nabla_X A)(Y) = \nabla_X^\phi A(Y) - A(\nabla_X^M Y), \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), A \in \Gamma(\text{End}(TM, T^\phi N)).$$

The map ϕ is called harmonic if it is a critical point of the energy $E(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |d\phi|^2 \nu_g$. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation for the energy is given by the vanishing of the tension field

$$\tau(\phi) = \text{tr}_g \nabla d\phi = \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla_{E_i} d\phi)(E_i), \quad (1)$$

where $(E_i)_{i=1}^m$ is a local frame of orthonormal vector fields. Note that $\tau(\phi) \in \Gamma(T^\phi N)$. The map ϕ is called biharmonic if it is a critical point of the bienergy of ϕ defined by $E_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 \nu_g$. The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equation for the bienergy is given by the vanishing of the bitension field

$$\tau_2(\phi) = -\text{tr}_g (\nabla^\phi)^2 \tau(\phi) - \text{tr}_g R^N(\tau(\phi), d\phi(\cdot)) d\phi(\cdot) = - \sum_{i=1}^m \left((\nabla_{E_i}^\phi)^2 \tau(\phi) + R^N(\tau(\phi), d\phi(E_i)) d\phi(E_i) \right), \quad (2)$$

where $(E_i)_{i=1}^m$ is a local frame of orthonormal vector fields, $(\nabla^\phi)_{X,Y}^2 = \nabla_X^\phi \nabla_Y^\phi - \nabla_{\nabla_X^M Y}^\phi$ and R^N is the curvature of ∇^N given by

$$R^N(X, Y) = \nabla_X^N \nabla_Y^N - \nabla_Y^N \nabla_X^N - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^N.$$

Let (G, g) be a Riemannian Lie group, i.e., a Lie group endowed with a left invariant Riemannian metric. If $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$ is its Lie algebra and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = g(e)$ then there exists a unique bilinear map $A : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ called the Levi-Civita product associated to $(g, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by the formula:

$$2\langle A_u v, w \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle [u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, w \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle [w, u]_{\mathfrak{g}}, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle [w, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}, u \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}. \quad (3)$$

A is entirely determined by the following properties:

1. for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, $A_u v - A_v u = [u, v]_{\mathfrak{g}}$,
2. for any $u, v, w \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\langle A_u v, w \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} + \langle v, A_u w \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$.

If we denote by u^ℓ the left invariant vector field on G associated to $u \in \mathfrak{g}$ then the Levi-Civita connection associated to (G, g) satisfies $\nabla_{u^\ell} v^\ell = (A_u v)^\ell$. The couple $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}})$ defines a vector say $U_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{g}$ by

$$\langle U_{\mathfrak{g}}, v \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \text{tr}(\text{ad}_v), \quad \text{for any } v \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (4)$$

One can deduce easily from (3) that, for any orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ of \mathfrak{g} ,

$$U^{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{e_i} e_i. \quad (5)$$

Note that \mathfrak{g} is unimodular iff $U^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$.

Let $\phi : (G, g) \longrightarrow (H, h)$ be a Lie group homomorphism between two Riemannian Lie groups. The differential $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ of ϕ at e is a Lie algebra homomorphism. There is a left action of G on $\Gamma(T^\phi H)$ given by

$$(a.X)(b) = T_{\phi(ab)} L_{\phi(a^{-1})} X(ab), \quad a, b \in G, X \in \Gamma(T^\phi H).$$

A section X of $T^\phi H$ is called left invariant if, for any $a \in G$, $a.X = X$. For any left invariant section X of $T^\phi H$, we have for any $a \in G$, $X(a) = (X(e))^\ell(\phi(a))$. Thus the space of left invariant sections is isomorphic to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{h} . Since ϕ is a homomorphism of Lie groups and g and h are left invariant, one can see easily that $\tau(\phi)$ and $\tau_2(\phi)$ are left invariant and hence ϕ is harmonic (resp. biharmonic) iff $\tau(\phi)(e) = 0$ (resp. $\tau_2(\phi)(e) = 0$). Now, one can see easily that

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi) := \tau(\phi)(e) = U^\xi - \xi(U^{\mathfrak{g}}), \\ \tau_2(\xi) := \tau_2(\phi)(e) = - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(B_{\xi(e_i)} B_{\xi(e_i)} \tau(\xi) + K^H(\tau(\xi), \xi(e_i)) \xi(e_i) \right) + B_{\xi(U^{\mathfrak{g}})} \tau(\xi), \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where B is the Levi-Civita product associated to $(\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}})$,

$$U^\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n B_{\xi(e_i)} \xi(e_i), \quad (7)$$

$(e_i)_{i=1}^n$ is an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{g} and K^H is the curvature of B given by $K^H(u, v) = [B_u, B_v] - B_{[u, v]}$. So we get the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\phi : G \longrightarrow H$ be an homomorphism between two Riemannian Lie groups. Then ϕ is harmonic (resp. biharmonic) iff $\tau(\xi) = 0$ (resp. $\tau_2(\xi) = 0$), where $\xi : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is the differential of ϕ at e .*

Thus the study of biharmonic and harmonic homomorphisms between connected and simply-connected Lie groups reduces to the study of their differential so, through this paper, we consider homomorphisms $\xi : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ are two Euclidean products. We call ξ harmonic (resp. biharmonic) if $\tau(\xi) = 0$ (resp. $\tau_2(\xi) = 0$).

The classification of biharmonic and harmonic homomorphisms will be done up to a conjugation. Two homomorphisms between Euclidean Lie algebras

$$\xi_1 : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1^1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2^1) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_2 : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1^2) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2^2)$$

are conjugate if there exists two isometric automorphisms $\phi_1 : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1^1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1^2)$ and $\phi_2 : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2^1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2^2)$ such that $\xi_2 = \phi_2 \circ \xi_1 \circ \phi_1^{-1}$.

We give now a criteria which will be useful in order to show that an homomorphism is harmonic if and only if it is biharmonic.

Let $\xi : (\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ be an homomorphism. We suppose that \mathfrak{g} is unimodular. The following formulas was established in [2, Proposition 2.4]:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tau(\xi), u \rangle_2 &= \text{tr}(\xi^* \circ \text{ad}_u \circ \xi), \\ \langle \tau_2(\xi), u \rangle_2 &= \text{tr}(\xi^* \circ (\text{ad}_u + \text{ad}_u^*) \circ \text{ad}_{\tau(\xi)} \circ \xi) - \langle [u, \tau(\xi)], \tau(\xi) \rangle_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi^* : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{ad}_u^* : \mathfrak{h} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ are given by

$$\langle \xi^* u, v \rangle_1 = \langle u, \xi v \rangle_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \text{ad}_u^* x, y \rangle_2 = \langle \text{ad}_u y, x \rangle_2, \quad x, y, u \in \mathfrak{h}, v \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

By combining these two formulas, we get

$$\langle \tau_2(\xi), u \rangle_2 = \text{tr}(\xi^* \circ (\text{ad}_u + \text{ad}_u^*) \circ \text{ad}_{\tau(\xi)} \circ \xi) - \text{tr}(\xi^* \circ \text{ad}_{[u, \tau(\xi)]} \circ \xi).$$

So if ξ is biharmonic then $\tau(\xi)$ is solution of the linear system

$$\text{tr}(\xi^* \circ (\text{ad}_u + \text{ad}_u^*) \circ \text{ad}_X \circ \xi) - \text{tr}(\xi^* \circ \text{ad}_{[u, X]} \circ \xi) = 0, \quad u \in \mathfrak{h}. \quad (8)$$

If $\mathbb{B} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ is a basis of \mathfrak{h} this system is equivalent to

$$M_\xi(\mathbb{B})X = 0,$$

where $M_\xi(\mathbb{B}) = (m_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m}$ and

$$m_{ij} = \text{tr}(\xi^* \circ (\text{ad}_{f_i} + \text{ad}_{f_i}^*) \circ \text{ad}_{f_j} \circ \xi) - \text{tr}(\xi^* \circ \text{ad}_{[f_i, f_j]} \circ \xi).$$

We call $M_\xi(\mathbb{B})$ the test matrix of ξ in the basis (f_1, \dots, f_n) .

Proposition 2.2. *If $\det(M_\xi(\mathbb{B})) \neq 0$ then ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic.*

We end this section by describing the main objects of this study, namely, the 3-dimensional unimodular Lie algebras.

They are five unimodular simply connected three dimensional unimodular non abelian Lie groups:

1. The nilpotent Lie group Nil known as Heisenberg group whose Lie algebra will be denoted by \mathfrak{n} . We have

$$\text{Nil} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x & z \\ 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{n} has a basis $\mathbb{B}_0 = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ where

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and where the non-vanishing Lie brackets are $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$.

2. $\text{SU}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a+bi & -c+di \\ c+di & a-bi \end{pmatrix}, a^2+b^2+c^2+d^2=1 \right\}$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} iz & y+ix \\ -y+xi & -zi \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ has a basis $\mathbb{B}_0 = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

and where the non-vanishing Lie brackets are

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_3, [X_2, X_3] = X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [X_3, X_1] = X_2.$$

3. The universal covering group $\widetilde{\text{PSL}}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ has a basis $\mathbb{B}_0 = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ where

$$X_1 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and where the non-vanishing Lie brackets are

$$[X_1, X_2] = -X_3, [X_2, X_3] = X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [X_3, X_1] = X_2.$$

4. The solvable Lie group $\text{Sol} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^x & 0 & y \\ 0 & e^{-x} & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{sol} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & y \\ 0 & -x & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{sol} has a basis $\mathbb{B}_0 = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ where

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and where the non-vanishing Lie brackets are

$$[X_3, X_1] = X_1 \quad \text{and} \quad [X_3, X_2] = -X_2.$$

5. The universal covering group $\widetilde{E}_0(2)$ of the Lie group

$$E_0(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) & x \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \theta, x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Its Lie algebra is

$$\mathfrak{e}_0(2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \theta & x \\ -\theta & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \theta, x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}_0(2)$ has a basis $\mathbb{B}_0 = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ where

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and where the non-vanishing Lie brackets are

$$[X_3, X_1] = X_2 \quad \text{and} \quad [X_3, X_2] = -X_1.$$

In Table 1, we collect the informations on these Lie algebras we will use in the next sections. For each Lie algebra among the five Lie algebras above, we give the set of its homomorphisms and the equivalence classes of Riemannian metrics carried out by this Lie algebra. These equivalence classes were determined in [6, Theorems 3.3-3.7]. For \mathfrak{n} , \mathfrak{sol} and $\mathfrak{e}_0(2)$ the homomorphisms can be determined easily. For $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ an homomorphism is necessarily an inner automorphism and these were determined in [3].

Lie algebra	Non-vanishing Lie brackets	Homomorphisms	Equivalence classes of Metrics
\mathfrak{n}	$[X_1, X_2] = X_3$	$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\text{Diag}(\lambda, \lambda, 1), \lambda > 0$
$e_0(2)$	$[X_3, X_1] = X_2, [X_3, X_2] = -X_1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta & a \\ \beta & \alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^2 \neq 1$ $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & a \\ \beta & -\alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\text{Diag}(1, \mu, \nu),$ $0 < \mu \leq 1, \nu > 0$
\mathfrak{sol}	$[X_3, X_1] = X_1, [X_3, X_2] = -X_2$	$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & a \\ 0 & \beta & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & a \\ \alpha & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^2 \neq 1$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu \end{pmatrix}, \nu > 0, \mu > 1$ $\text{Diag}(1, 1, \nu)$
$\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$	$[X_1, X_2] = -X_3, [X_3, X_1] = X_2, [X_2, X_3] = X_1$	$\text{Rot}_{xy} \cdot \text{Boost}_{xz} \cdot \text{Boost}_{yz}$	$\text{Diag}(\lambda, \mu, \nu),$ $0 < \lambda \leq \mu$ and $\nu > 0$
$\mathfrak{su}(2)$	$[X_1, X_2] = X_3, [X_3, X_1] = X_2, [X_2, X_3] = X_1$	$\text{Rot}_{xy} \cdot \text{Rot}_{xz} \cdot \text{Rot}_{yz}$	$\text{Diag}(\lambda, \mu, \nu),$ $0 < \nu \leq \mu \leq \lambda$

Table 1

$$\text{Rot}_{xy} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(a) & \sin(a) & 0 \\ -\sin(a) & \cos(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \text{Rot}_{xz} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(a) & 0 & \sin(a) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(a) & 0 & \cos(a) \end{pmatrix}, \text{Rot}_{yz} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(a) & \sin(a) \\ 0 & -\sin(a) & \cos(a) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Boost}_{xz} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(a) & 0 & \sinh(a) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh(a) & 0 & \cosh(a) \end{pmatrix}, \text{Boost}_{yz} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(a) & \sinh(a) \\ 0 & \sinh(a) & \cosh(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In the following sections, the computation of $\tau(\xi)$ and $\tau_2(\xi)$ are performed by the software Maple and all the direct computations as well.

3. Harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms on the 3-dimensional Heisenberg Lie group

The following result gives a complete classification of harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms of \mathfrak{n} .

Theorem 3.1. *An homomorphism of \mathfrak{n} is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. Moreover, it is harmonic if and only if it is conjugate to $\xi : (\mathfrak{n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ where*

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a\beta_3 & -a\alpha_3 & 0 \\ b\beta_3 & -b\alpha_3 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (\alpha_3, \beta_3) \neq (0, 0)$$

and $\text{Mat}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i, \mathbb{B}_0) = \text{Diag}(\lambda_i, \lambda_i, 1)$ and $\lambda_i > 0, i = 1, 2$.

Proof. The first part of the theorem is a consequence of [2, Theorem 6.5]. On the other hand, according to Table 1, and homomorphism $\xi : (\mathfrak{n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{n}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ has, up to a conjugation, the form

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \beta_3 & \alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i = \text{Diag}(\lambda_i, \lambda_i, 1), \lambda_i > 0, i = 1, 2.$$

Then

$$\tau(\xi) = \frac{\alpha_3\beta_1 + \beta_3\beta_2}{\lambda_2\lambda_1}X_1 - \frac{\alpha_3\alpha_1 + \beta_3\alpha_2}{\lambda_2\lambda_1}X_2$$

and the second part of the theorem follows. \square

4. Harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms on $\widetilde{E}_0(2)$

The situation on $e_0(2)$ is different and there exists biharmonic homomorphisms which are not harmonic. The following two theorems give a complete classification of harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms on $e_0(2)$.

Theorem 4.1. *An homomorphism of $e_0(2)$ is harmonic if and only if it is conjugate to $\xi : (e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow$*

$(e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ where $\text{Mat}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i, \mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_i \end{pmatrix}$, $0 < \mu_i \leq 1$, $\nu_i > 0$ and either

1. $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ with $\gamma^2 \neq 1$ and $(a \neq 0, b \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \mu_2 = 1)$, $((a, b) \neq (0, 0), ab = 0, \gamma = 0)$ or $(a = b = 0)$
2. $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta & a \\ \beta & \alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(a = b = 0, \alpha = 0)$, $(a = b = 0, \beta = 0)$, $(a = b = 0, \mu_1 = 1)$ or $(a = b = 0, \mu_2 = 1)$,
3. $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & a \\ \beta & -\alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(a = b = 0, \alpha = 0)$, $(a = b = 0, \beta = 0)$, $(a = b = 0, \mu_1 = 1)$ or $(a = b = 0, \mu_2 = 1)$.

Proof. According to Table 1, and homomorphism $\xi : (e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ has, up to a conjugation, the form $\text{Mat}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i, \mathbb{B}_0) = \text{Diag}(1, \mu_i, \nu_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 < \mu_i \leq 1$, $\nu_i > 0$ and

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^2 \neq 1, \xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta & a \\ \beta & \alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & a \\ \beta & -\alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ with $\gamma^2 \neq 1$. We have

$$\tau(\xi) = -\frac{\gamma\mu_2b}{\nu_1}X_1 + \frac{\gamma a}{\mu_2\nu_1}X_2 + \frac{ba(\mu_2 - 1)}{\nu_2\nu_1}X_3$$

and $\tau(\xi) = 0$ if and only if

$$(a \neq 0, b \neq 0, \gamma = 0, \mu_2 = 1), ((a, b) \neq (0, 0), ab = 0, \gamma = 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (a = b = 0).$$

- $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta & a \\ \beta & \alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\tau(\xi) = -\frac{\mu_2b}{\nu_1}X_1 + \frac{a}{\mu_2\nu_1}X_2 + \frac{(\mu_2 - 1)(\alpha\beta\nu_1(\mu_1 - 1) + ab\mu_1)}{\mu_1\nu_1\nu_2}X_3$$

and $\tau(\xi) = 0$ if and only if

$$(a = b = 0, \alpha = 0), (a = b = 0, \beta = 0), (a = b = 0, \mu_1 = 1) \text{ or } (a = b = 0, \mu_2 = 1).$$

• $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & a \\ \beta & -\alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\tau(\xi) = \frac{\mu_2 b}{v_1} X_1 - \frac{a}{\mu_2 v_1} X_2 + \frac{(\mu_2 - 1)(\alpha \beta v_1 (\mu_1 - 1) + ab \mu_1)}{\mu_1 v_1 v_2} X_3$$

and $\tau(\xi) = 0$ if and only if

$$(a = b = 0, \alpha = 0), (a = b = 0, \beta = 0), (a = b = 0, \mu_1 = 1) \text{ or } (a = b = 0, \mu_2 = 1).$$

□

Theorem 4.2. An homomorphism of $e_0(2)$ is biharmonic not harmonic if and only if it is conjugate to $\xi : (e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow$

$(e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ where $\text{Mat}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i, \mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_i \end{pmatrix}$, $0 < \mu_i \leq 1$, $v_i > 0$ and either:

1. $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(a^2 = b^2, ab \neq 0)$,

2. $(\mu_1 \neq 0, \mu_2 \neq 1)$, $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta & a \\ \beta & \alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(a = b = 0, \alpha^2 = \beta^2, \alpha \beta \neq 0)$ or

$$\left(a = \epsilon b \mu_2 \sqrt{\mu_1}, b \neq 0, \alpha^2 = \beta^2 = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_1} (\mu_2^2 v_2 + a^2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2)}{\mu_2 v_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 (1 - \mu_1)}, \beta = \epsilon \alpha, \epsilon = \pm 1 \right)$$

3. $(\mu_1 \neq 0, \mu_2 \neq 1)$, $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & a \\ \beta & -\alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(a = b = 0, \alpha^2 = \beta^2, \alpha \beta \neq 0)$ or

$$\left(a = \epsilon b \mu_2 \sqrt{\mu_1}, b \neq 0, \alpha^2 = \beta^2 = \frac{\sqrt{\mu_1} (\mu_2^2 v_2 + a^2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2)}{\mu_2 v_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 (1 - \mu_1)}, \beta = \epsilon \alpha, \epsilon = \pm 1 \right).$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, according to Table 1, and homomorphism $\xi : (e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (e_0(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ has, up to a conjugation, the form $\text{Mat}(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i, \mathbb{B}_0) = \text{Diag}(1, \mu_i, v_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, $0 < \mu_i \leq 1$, $v_i > 0$ and

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \gamma^2 \neq 1, \xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta & a \\ \beta & \alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & a \\ \beta & -\alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ with $\gamma^2 \neq 1$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau_2(\xi) = -\frac{b\gamma((\gamma^2 v_2 + a^2)\mu_2^2 - 2a^2\mu_2 + a^2)}{v_1^2 v_2} X_1 + \frac{\gamma a(b^2 \mu_2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 + \gamma^2 v_2)}{v_1^2 \mu_2^2 v_2} X_2 \\ + \frac{((\gamma^2 v_2 + a^2 - b^2)\mu_2^2 + (\gamma^2 v_2 - a^2 + b^2)\mu_2 + \gamma^2 v_2) b (\mu_2 - 1) a}{v_1^2 v_2^2 \mu_2} X_3. \end{cases}$$

If $\gamma = 0$ then

$$\tau_2(\xi) = \frac{(a - b)(a + b)(\mu_2 - 1)^2 ba}{v_1^2 v_2^2} X_3$$

and ξ is biharmonic not harmonic if and only if $a^2 = b^2$ and $ab \neq 0$.

If $\gamma \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$ and $\tau_2(\xi) = 0$ then

$$(\gamma^2 v_2 + a^2) \mu_2^2 - 2a^2 \mu_2 + a^2 = 0.$$

The discriminant of this equation on μ_2 is $\Delta = -4a^2 \gamma^2 v_2 \leq 0$ and this equation has no solution. It is also clear that if $\gamma \neq 0$ and $a \neq 0$ then $\tau_2(\xi) \neq 0$. In conclusion ξ is biharmonic not harmonic if and only if

$$(\gamma = 0, a^2 = b^2, ab \neq 0).$$

• $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta & a \\ \beta & \alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi) = -\frac{\mu_2 b}{v_1} X_1 + \frac{a}{\mu_2 v_1} X_2 + \frac{(\mu_2 - 1)(\alpha \beta v_1 (\mu_1 - 1) + ab \mu_1)}{\mu_1 v_1 v_2} X_3, \\ \tau_2(\xi) = A_1 X_1 + A_2 X_2 + A_3 X_3, \\ A_1 = -\frac{(b \mu_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 a^2 + \beta \alpha v_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 (\mu_1 - 1) a + b \mu_1 \mu_2^2 v_2)}{\mu_1 v_1^2 v_2}, \\ A_2 = \frac{((\alpha v_1 (\mu_1 - 1) \beta + ab \mu_1) b \mu_2^3 - 2(\alpha v_1 (\mu_1 - 1) \beta + ab \mu_1) b \mu_2^2 + (\alpha v_1 (\mu_1 - 1) \beta + ab \mu_1) b \mu_2 + a \mu_1 v_2)}{v_1^2 \mu_2^2 v_2 \mu_1}, \\ \mu_1^2 v_1^2 v_2^2 \mu_2 A_3 = \mu_2 \beta v_1^2 (\mu_1 - 1)^2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 \alpha^3 + \mu_2 v_1 ab \mu_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 (\mu_1 - 1) \alpha^2 \\ + \mu_2 \beta v_1 (\mu_1 - 1) (-\beta^2 \mu_1 v_1 + a^2 \mu_1 - b^2 \mu_1 + \beta^2 v_1) (\mu_2 - 1)^2 \alpha + ab \mu_1 (-\beta^2 \mu_1 \mu_2^2 v_1 + \mu_1 \mu_2^2 v_2 + a^2 \mu_1 \mu_2^2 \\ - b^2 \mu_1 \mu_2^2 + \beta^2 \mu_1 \mu_2 v_1 + \beta^2 \mu_2^2 v_1 + \mu_1 \mu_2 v_2 - a^2 \mu_1 \mu_2 + b^2 \mu_1 \mu_2 - \beta^2 \mu_2 v_1 + \mu_1 v_2) (\mu_2 - 1) \end{cases}$$

and the test matrix is given by

$$M_\xi(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\mu_2}{v_1} & 0 & -\frac{a(\mu_2-1)}{v_1} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{v_1} & \frac{b(\mu_2-1)}{v_1} \\ -\frac{\mu_2 a}{v_1} & -\frac{b}{v_1} & \frac{(\mu_2-1)((\alpha^2-\beta^2)v_1+a^2-b^2)\mu_1+v_1(-a^2+\beta^2)}{\mu_1 v_1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_\xi(\mathbb{B}_0)) = \frac{\mu_2 (\mu_2 - 1) (\alpha^2 - \beta^2) (\mu_1 - 1)}{v_1^2 \mu_1}.$$

Note first that if $\mu_2 = 1$ then $\tau_2(\xi) = -\frac{b}{v_1^2} X_1 + \frac{a}{v_1^2} X_2$ and ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. If $\mu_1 = 1$ then

$$A_1 = -\frac{(b(\mu_2 - 1)^2 a^2 + b \mu_2^2 v_2)}{v_1^2 v_2} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{(b^2 a \mu_2^3 - 2 b^2 a \mu_2^2 + b^2 a \mu_2 + a v_2)}{v_1^2 \mu_2^2 v_2}$$

and one can see easily $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ if and only if $a = b = 0$ and hence ξ is biharmonic if and only if ξ is biharmonic.

We suppose now that $\mu_1 < 1$ and $\mu_2 < 1$. So $\det(M_\xi(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 0$ if and only if $\alpha^2 = \beta^2$. According to Proposition 2.2, if $\alpha^2 \neq \beta^2$ then ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. We have also that if $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then $\tau_2(\xi) = 0$ if and only if $a = b = 0$.

Suppose that $\alpha^2 = \beta^2$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. If $a = b = 0$ then $\tau_2(\xi) = 0$ and ξ is biharmonic not harmonic. Suppose $(a, b) \neq 0$. Then the rank of $M_\xi(B_0)$ is equal to 2 and its kernel has dimension one and

$$v = a(\mu_2 - 1) X_1 - b(\mu_2 - 1) \mu_2 X_2 + \mu_2 X_3$$

is a generator of the kernel of $M_\xi(\mathbb{B}_0)$. But if ξ is biharmonic then $\tau(\xi)$ is in the kernel of $M_\xi(\mathbb{B}_0)$ and hence it is a multiple of v . Recall that

$$\tau(\xi) = -\frac{\mu_2 b}{v_1} X_1 + \frac{a}{\mu_2 v_1} X_2 + \frac{(\mu_2 - 1)(\epsilon \alpha^2 v_1 (\mu_1 - 1) + ab \mu_1)}{\mu_1 v_1 v_2} X_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon = \pm 1.$$

But $(v, \tau(\xi))$ are linearly dependent if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(\mu_2 - 1) v_2 \mu_1 (-b^2 \mu_2^3 + a^2)}{\mu_2} = 0, \\ -b(\mu_2 - 1)^2 \mu_2 \epsilon (\mu_1 - 1) v_1 \alpha^2 - a \mu_1 (b^2 \mu_2^3 - 2b^2 \mu_2^2 + b^2 \mu_2 + v_2) = 0, \\ b \mu_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 a^2 + \alpha^2 \epsilon v_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 (\mu_1 - 1) a + b \mu_1 \mu_2^2 v_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Since $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, this is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a^2 = b^2 \mu_2^3, \\ \alpha^2 = -\frac{\mu_1 a (b^2 \mu_2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 + v_2)}{b (\mu_2 - 1)^2 \mu_2 \epsilon (\mu_1 - 1) v_1} = -\frac{\mu_1 b (\mu_2^2 v_2 + a^2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2)}{\epsilon v_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 (\mu_1 - 1) a} \end{cases}$$

and this is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} a^2 = b^2 \mu_2^3, \\ \alpha^2 = -\frac{\mu_1 b (\mu_2^2 v_2 + a^2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2)}{\epsilon v_1 (\mu_2 - 1)^2 (\mu_1 - 1) a}. \end{cases}$$

So $a = \epsilon b \mu_2 \sqrt{\mu_2}$ and we get the desired result.

The case of $\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & a \\ \beta & -\alpha & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ can be treated identically. □

5. Harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms on Sol

Theorem 5.1. *An homomorphism of \mathfrak{sol} is harmonic if and only if it is conjugate to $\xi : (\mathfrak{sol}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{sol}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ where:*

1. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_i = \text{Diag}(1, 1, v_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and $v_i > 0$ and either

$$[\xi = \xi_1, (a = b = 0) \text{ or } (\gamma = 0, a^2 = b^2)], [\xi = \xi_2, (a = b = 0, \alpha^2 = \beta^2)] \text{ or } [\xi = \xi_3, (a = b = 0, \alpha^2 = \beta^2)].$$

2. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v_i > 0$, $\mu_2 > 1$ and either

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\xi = \xi_1, (a = b = 0) \text{ or } \left(\gamma = 0, \mu_2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2} \right) \right], \left[\xi = \xi_2, (a = b = \alpha = \beta = 0) \text{ or } \left(a = b = 0, \mu_2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \right) \right] \text{ or} \\ & \left[\xi = \xi_3, (a = b = \alpha = \beta = 0) \text{ or } \left(a = b = 0, \mu_2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v_i > 0$, $\mu_1 > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\xi = \xi_1, (a = b = 0) \text{ or } (\gamma = 0, a^2 = b^2) \right], \left[\xi = \xi_2, (a = b = \alpha = \beta = 0) \text{ or } \left(a = b = 0, \mu_1 = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \right], \text{ or} \\ & \left[\xi = \xi_3, (a = b = \alpha = \beta = 0) \text{ or } \left(a = b = 0, \mu_1 = \frac{\beta^2}{\alpha^2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$$4. \langle , \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle , \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \nu_i > 0, \mu_i > 1 \text{ and either}$$

$$\left[\xi = \xi_1, (a = b = 0) \quad \text{or} \quad \left(\gamma = 0, \mu_2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2} \right) \right],$$

$$\left[\xi = \xi_2, (a = b = \alpha = \beta = 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (a = b = 0, (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0), \alpha^2 \mu_1 = \beta^2 \mu_2) \right] \quad \text{or}$$

$$\left[\xi = \xi_3, (a = b = \alpha = \beta = 0) \quad \text{or} \quad (a = b = 0, (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0), \alpha^2 \mu_1 \mu_2 = \beta^2) \right].$$

The homomorphisms $\xi_i, i = 1..3$ are given by

$$\xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \xi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & a \\ 0 & \beta & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & a \\ \alpha & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We use Table 1 to get all the conjugation classes of homomorphisms of \mathfrak{sol} and for each one we compute $\tau(\xi)$.

- $\langle , \rangle_i = \text{Diag}(1, 1, \nu_i), i = 1, 2$ and $\nu_i > 0$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi_1) = -\frac{\gamma a}{\nu_1} X_1 + \frac{\gamma b}{\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{a^2 - b^2}{\nu_2 \nu_1} X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_2) = -\frac{a}{\nu_1} X_1 + \frac{b}{\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{(\alpha^2 - \beta^2) \nu_1 + a^2 - b^2}{\nu_2 \nu_1} X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_3) = \frac{a}{\nu_1} X_1 - \frac{b}{\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{(-\alpha^2 + \beta^2) \nu_1 + a^2 - b^2}{\nu_2 \nu_1} X_3. \end{cases}$$

- $\langle , \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle , \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_2 > 1$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi_1) = -\frac{((a + 2b)\mu_2 + a)\gamma}{(\mu_2 - 1)\nu_1} X_1 + \frac{\gamma(b\mu_2 + 2a + b)}{(\mu_2 - 1)\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{-b^2\mu_2 + a^2}{\nu_2 \nu_1} X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_2) = -\frac{(a + 2b)\mu_2 + a}{(\mu_2 - 1)\nu_1} X_1 + \frac{b\mu_2 + 2a + b}{(\mu_2 - 1)\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{(-\beta^2\mu_2 + \alpha^2)\nu_1 - b^2\mu_2 + a^2}{\nu_2 \nu_1} X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_3) = \frac{(a + 2b)\mu_2 + a}{(\mu_2 - 1)\nu_1} X_1 - \frac{\mu_2 b + 2a + b}{(\mu_2 - 1)\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{(-\alpha^2\mu_2 + \beta^2)\nu_1 - b^2\mu_2 + a^2}{\nu_2 \nu_1} X_3. \end{cases}$$

- $\langle , \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle , \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_1 > 1$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi_1) = -\frac{\gamma a}{\nu_1} X_1 + \frac{\gamma b}{\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{a^2 - b^2}{\nu_2 \nu_1} X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_2) = -\frac{a}{\nu_1} X_1 + \frac{b}{\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{(\alpha^2 \nu_1 + a^2 - b^2)\mu_1 - \beta^2 \nu_1 - a^2 + b^2}{\nu_2 (\mu_1 - 1) \nu_1} X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_3) = \frac{a}{\nu_1} X_1 - \frac{b}{\nu_1} X_2 + \frac{(-\alpha^2 \nu_1 + a^2 - b^2)\mu_1 + \beta^2 \nu_1 - a^2 + b^2}{\nu_2 (\mu_1 - 1) \nu_1} X_3. \end{cases}$$

• $\langle , \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle , \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_i > 1$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi_1) = -\frac{((a+2b)\mu_2+a)\gamma}{(\mu_2-1)\nu_1}X_1 + \frac{\gamma(b\mu_2+2a+b)}{(\mu_2-1)\nu_1}X_2 + \frac{-b^2\mu_2+a^2}{\nu_2\nu_1}X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_2) = -\frac{(a+2b)\mu_2+a}{(\mu_2-1)\nu_1}X_1 + \frac{b\mu_2+2a+b}{(\mu_2-1)\nu_1}X_2 + \frac{(\alpha^2\nu_1-b^2\mu_2+a^2)\mu_1+(-\beta^2\nu_1+b^2)\mu_2-a^2}{\nu_2(\mu_1-1)\nu_1}X_3, \\ \tau(\xi_3) = \frac{(a+2b)\mu_2+a}{(\mu_2-1)\nu_1}X_1 - \frac{b\mu_2+2a+b}{(\mu_2-1)\nu_1}X_2 + \frac{((-a^2\nu_1-b^2)\mu_2+a^2)\mu_1+b^2\mu_2+\beta^2\nu_1-a^2}{\nu_2(\mu_1-1)\nu_1}X_3. \end{cases}$$

One can check that $\tau(\xi_i) = 0$ are equivalent to the conditions given in the theorem. \square

Theorem 5.2. *An homomorphism of \mathfrak{sol} is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic.*

Proof. As above, we put

$$\xi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \xi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 & a \\ 0 & \beta & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \beta & a \\ \alpha & 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\xi : (\mathfrak{sol}, \langle , \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{sol}, \langle , \rangle_2)$ an homomorphism. Table 1 gives all the possible conjugation classes of ξ and we will show that for each case ξ is biharmonic if and only if ξ is harmonic.

• $\xi = \xi_1$ and $\langle , \rangle_i = \text{Diag}(1, 1, \nu_i), i = 1, 2$ and $\nu_i > 0$. We have

$$\tau_2(\xi) = -2 \frac{(1/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^2 - b^2) a \gamma}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_1 - 2 \frac{(-1/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^2 - b^2) \gamma b}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_2 + \frac{\gamma^2 (a^2 - b^2) \nu_2 + 2 a^4 - 2 b^4}{\nu_2^2 \nu_1^2} X_3.$$

One can see easily that $\tau_2(\xi) = 0$ if and only if $(a = b = 0)$ or $(\gamma = 0, a^2 = b^2)$ which, according to Theorem 5.1, is equivalent to ξ is harmonic.

• $\xi = \xi_2$ and $\langle , \rangle_i = \text{Diag}(1, 1, \nu_i), i = 1, 2$ and $\nu_i > 0$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau_2(\xi) = -2 \frac{a((\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\nu_1 + a^2 - b^2 + 1/2 \nu_2)}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_1 - 2 \frac{((\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\nu_1 + a^2 - b^2 - 1/2 \nu_2) b}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_2 \\ + \frac{2 \alpha^4 \nu_1^2 - 2 \beta^4 \nu_1^2 + 4 a^2 \alpha^2 \nu_1 - 4 b^2 \beta^2 \nu_1 + 2 a^4 - 2 b^4 + a^2 \nu_2 - b^2 \nu_2}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2^2} X_3. \end{cases}$$

We have also

$$M_\xi(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1^{-1} & 0 & -2 \frac{a}{\nu_1} \\ 0 & \nu_1^{-1} & -2 \frac{b}{\nu_1} \\ -\frac{a}{\nu_1} & -\frac{b}{\nu_1} & \frac{2 \alpha^2 \nu_1 + 2 \beta^2 \nu_1 + 2 a^2 + 2 b^2}{\nu_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_\xi(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{\nu_1^2}.$$

According to Proposition 2.2, if $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ then ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then $\xi = \xi_1$ with $\gamma = 1$ and we can use the arguments used in the precedent case to conclude.

• $\xi = \xi_3$ and $\langle , \rangle_i = \text{Diag}(1, 1, \nu_i), i = 1, 2$ and $\nu_i > 0$. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau_2(\xi) = \frac{a(-2 \alpha^2 \nu_1 + 2 \beta^2 \nu_1 + 2 a^2 - 2 b^2 + \nu_2)}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_1 + \frac{b(-2 \alpha^2 \nu_1 + 2 \beta^2 \nu_1 + 2 a^2 - 2 b^2 - \nu_2)}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_2 \\ + \frac{-2 \alpha^4 \nu_1^2 + 2 \beta^4 \nu_1^2 + 4 a^2 \beta^2 \nu_1 - 4 \alpha^2 b^2 \nu_1 + 2 a^4 - 2 b^4 + a^2 \nu_2 - b^2 \nu_2}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2^2} X_3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^{-1} & 0 & 2 \frac{a}{v_1} \\ 0 & v_1^{-1} & 2 \frac{b}{v_1} \\ \frac{a}{v_1} & \frac{b}{v_1} & \frac{2\alpha^2 v_1 + 2\beta^2 v_1 + 2a^2 + 2b^2}{v_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{v_1^2}$$

and the situation is similar to the precedent cases.

- $\xi = \xi_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v_i > 0, \mu_2 > 1$.

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi) = -\frac{\gamma((a+2b)\mu_2+a)}{(\mu_2-1)v_1}X_1 + \frac{\gamma(b\mu_2+2a+b)}{(\mu_2-1)v_1}X_2 + \frac{-b^2\mu_2+a^2}{v_2v_1}X_3, \\ \tau_2(\xi) = -2 \frac{(-b^2(a-b)\mu_2^3 + (a^3 - a^2b + (1/2\gamma^2v_2 + b^2)a + 2b\gamma^2v_2 - b^3)\mu_2^2 + (3a\gamma^2v_2 + 2b\gamma^2v_2 - a^3 + a^2b)\mu_2 + 1/2a\gamma^2v_2)\gamma}{v_1^2v_2(\mu_2-1)^2} \\ + 2 \frac{\gamma(b^3\mu_2^3 - b(-1/2\gamma^2v_2 + a^2 + ab + b^2)\mu_2^2 + (ab^2 + (3\gamma^2v_2 + a^2)b + 2a\gamma^2v_2 + a^3)\mu_2 + 2a\gamma^2v_2 + 1/2b\gamma^2v_2 - a^3)}{v_1^2v_2(\mu_2-1)^2}X_2 \\ + 2 \frac{(-b^2\mu_2 + a^2)(b^2\mu_2^2 + (1/2\gamma^2v_2 + a^2 - b^2)\mu_2 + 3/2\gamma^2v_2 - a^2)}{v_1^2v_2^2(\mu_2-1)}X_3 \end{cases}$$

Suppose that ξ is biharmonic not harmonic. Then, by virtue of Theorem 5.1, $(a, b) \neq 0$ and $(\gamma \neq 0$ or $\mu_2 \neq \frac{a^2}{b^2})$. If $\mu_2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2}$ then a direct computation shows that

$$\tau_2(\xi) = -\frac{(a+b)^2\gamma^3a}{(a-b)^2v_1^2}X_1 + \frac{b(a+b)^2\gamma^3}{(a-b)^2v_1^2}X_2$$

and since $(a, b) \neq (0, 0)$, $\gamma \neq 0$ and $\mu_2 > 1$ this is impossible so we must have $\mu_2 \neq \frac{a^2}{b^2}$. In this case, since the last coordinate of $\tau_2(\xi)$ vanishes, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\gamma^2v_2 + a^2\right)\mu_2 + \frac{3}{2}\gamma^2v_2 - a^2 + (\mu_2^2 - \mu_2)b^2 = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2v_2\mu_2 + a^2(\mu_2 - 1) + \frac{3}{2}\gamma^2v_2 + (\mu_2^2 - \mu_2)b^2 = 0$$

But since $\mu_2 > 1$, this is equivalent to $\gamma = a = b = 0$ which is a contradiction. Finally, ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic.

- $\xi = \xi_2$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v_i > 0, \mu_2 > 1$. We have

$$M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^{-1} & -v_1^{-1} & -2 \frac{a}{v_1} \\ -v_1^{-1} & \frac{\mu_2}{v_1} & -2 \frac{b\mu_2}{v_1} \\ \frac{-a+b}{v_1} & \frac{-b\mu_2+a}{v_1} & \frac{2v_1\beta^2\mu_2+2\alpha^2v_1+2b^2\mu_2+2a^2}{v_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{(\mu_2-1)(\beta^2\mu_2 + \alpha^2)}{v_1^2}$$

If $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ then, according to Proposition 2.2, ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then $\xi = \xi_1$ with $\gamma = 1$ and we can use the arguments used in the precedent case to conclude.

- $\xi = \xi_3$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $v_i > 0, \mu_2 > 1$. We have

$$M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^{-1} & -v_1^{-1} & 2 \frac{a}{v_1} \\ -v_1^{-1} & \frac{\mu_2}{v_1} & 2 \frac{b\mu_2}{v_1} \\ \frac{a-b}{v_1} & \frac{b\mu_2-a}{v_1} & \frac{2\alpha^2\mu_2v_1+2b^2\mu_2+2\beta^2v_1+2a^2}{v_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{(\mu_2-1)(\alpha^2\mu_2 + \beta^2)}{v_1^2}$$

If $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ then, according to Proposition 2.2, ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then $\xi = \xi_1$ with $\gamma = 1$ and we can use the arguments used in the precedent case to conclude.

- $\xi = \xi_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_1 > 1$. We have

$$\tau_2(\xi) = -2 \frac{\gamma (1/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^2 - b^2) a}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_1 - 2 \frac{b (-1/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^2 - b^2) \gamma}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2} X_2 + \frac{\gamma^2 (a^2 - b^2) \nu_2 + 2a^4 - 2b^4}{\nu_2^2 \nu_1^2} X_3$$

One can see easily that $\tau_2(\xi) = 0$ if and only if $(a = b = 0)$ or $(\gamma = 0, a^2 = b^2)$ which, according to Theorem 5.1, is equivalent to ξ is harmonic.

- $\xi = \xi_2$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_1 > 1$. We have

$$M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1^{-1} & 0 & -2 \frac{a}{\nu_1} \\ 0 & \nu_1^{-1} & -2 \frac{b}{\nu_1} \\ -\frac{a}{\nu_1} & -\frac{b}{\nu_1} & \frac{(2a^2\nu_1 + 2a^2 + 2b^2)\mu_1 + 2\beta^2\nu_1 - 2a^2 - 2b^2}{(\mu_1 - 1)\nu_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_1 + \beta^2}{\nu_1^2 (\mu_1 - 1)}.$$

If $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ then, according to Proposition 2.2, ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then $\xi = \xi_1$ with $\gamma = 1$ and we can use the arguments used in the precedent case to conclude.

- $\xi = \xi_3$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_1 > 1$. We have

$$M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1^{-1} & 0 & 2 \frac{a}{\nu_1} \\ 0 & \nu_1^{-1} & 2 \frac{b}{\nu_1} \\ \frac{a}{\nu_1} & \frac{b}{\nu_1} & \frac{(2a^2\nu_1 + 2a^2 + 2b^2)\mu_1 + 2\beta^2\nu_1 - 2a^2 - 2b^2}{(\mu_1 - 1)\nu_1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{\alpha^2 \mu_1 + \beta^2}{\nu_1^2 (\mu_1 - 1)}.$$

The situation is similar to the precedent case.

- $\xi = \xi_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_i > 1$. We have

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \tau_2(\xi) = & -2 \frac{\gamma (-b^2(a-b)\mu_2^3 + (a^3 - a^2b + (1/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 + b^2)a + 2b\gamma^2 \nu_2 - b^3)\mu_2^2 + (3a\gamma^2 \nu_2 + 2b\gamma^2 \nu_2 - a^3 + a^2b)\mu_2 + 1/2 a\gamma^2 \nu_2)}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2} X_1 \\ & + 2 \frac{\gamma (b^3 \mu_2^3 - b(-1/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^2 + ab + b^2)\mu_2^2 + (ab^2 + (3\gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^2)b + 2a\gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^3)\mu_2 + 2a\gamma^2 \nu_2 + 1/2 b\gamma^2 \nu_2 - a^3)}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2 (\mu_2 - 1)^2} X_2 \\ & + 2 \frac{(-b^2 \mu_2 + a^2)(b^2 \mu_2^2 + (1/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 + a^2 - b^2)\mu_2 + 3/2 \gamma^2 \nu_2 - a^2)}{\nu_1^2 \nu_2^2 (\mu_2 - 1)} X_3 \end{aligned} \right.$$

One can see that $\tau_2(\xi)$ is the same as in the case $\xi = \xi_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and we can use the same arguments to conclude.

- $\xi = \xi_2$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_i > 1$. We have

$$M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1^{-1} & -\nu_1^{-1} & -2 \frac{a}{\nu_1} \\ -\nu_1^{-1} & \frac{\mu_2}{\nu_1} & -2 \frac{\mu_2 b}{\nu_1} \\ \frac{-a+b}{\nu_1} & \frac{-\mu_2 b+a}{\nu_1} & \frac{(2\alpha^2 \nu_1 + 2b^2 \mu_2 + 2a^2)\mu_1 + (2\beta^2 \nu_1 - 2b^2)\mu_2 - 2a^2}{\nu_1(\mu_1 - 1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{(\mu_2 - 1)(\alpha^2 \mu_1 + \beta^2 \mu_2)}{\nu_1^2 (\mu_1 - 1)}.$$

If $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$ then, according to Proposition 2.2, ξ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$ then $\xi = \xi_1$ with $\gamma = 1$ and we can use the arguments used in the precedent case to conclude.

- $\xi = \xi_3$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \mu_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu_i > 0, \mu_i > 1$. We have

$$M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0) = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_1^{-1} & -\nu_1^{-1} & 2 \frac{a}{\nu_1} \\ -\nu_1^{-1} & \frac{\mu_2}{\nu_1} & 2 \frac{\mu_2 b}{\nu_1} \\ \frac{a-b}{\nu_1} & \frac{\mu_2 b-a}{\nu_1} & \frac{((2\alpha^2 \nu_1 + 2b^2)\mu_2 + 2a^2)\mu_1 - 2b^2 \mu_2 + 2\beta^2 \nu_1 - 2a^2}{\nu_1(\mu_1 - 1)} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \det(M_{\xi}(\mathbb{B}_0)) = 2 \frac{(\mu_2 - 1)(\alpha^2 \mu_1 \mu_2 + \beta^2)}{\nu_1^2 (\mu_1 - 1)}.$$

The situation is similar to the precedent case. □

6. Harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$

The following proposition is a consequence of [2, Proposition 2.5].

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\xi : (\mathfrak{su}(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{su}(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ be an automorphism. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ or $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$ is bi-invariant then ξ is harmonic.*

Any homomorphism of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ is an automorphism and it is a product $\xi_3(a) \circ \xi_2(b) \circ \xi_1(c)$ where

$$\xi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(a) & \sin(a) \\ 0 & -\sin(a) & \cos(a) \end{pmatrix}, \xi_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(a) & 0 & \sin(a) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\sin(a) & 0 & \cos(a) \end{pmatrix}, \xi_3(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(a) & \sin(a) & 0 \\ -\sin(a) & \cos(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\xi_i : (\mathfrak{su}(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{su}(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_j = \text{Diag}(\lambda_j, \mu_j, \nu_j)$ then

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi_1(a)) = -\frac{\sin(a) \cos(a) (\mu_2 - \nu_2) (\mu_1 - \nu_1)}{\lambda_2 \mu_1 \nu_1} X_1, \\ \tau_2(\xi_1(a)) = -2 \frac{(\mu_2 - \nu_2)^2 (-\nu_1 + \mu_1)^2 \cos(a) ((\cos(a))^2 - 1/2) \sin(a)}{\mu_1^2 \nu_1^2 \lambda_2^2} X_1, \\ \tau(\xi_2(a)) = \frac{\sin(a) \cos(a) (\lambda_2 - \nu_2) (\lambda_1 - \nu_1)}{\mu_2 \lambda_1 \nu_1} X_2, \\ \tau_2(\xi_2(a)) = \frac{(2(\cos(a))^2 - 1) \cos(a) (\lambda_2 - \nu_2)^2 (-\nu_1 + \lambda_1)^2 \sin(a)}{\lambda_1^2 \nu_1^2 \mu_2^2} X_2, \\ \tau(\xi_3(a)) = -\frac{\cos(a) \sin(a) (\lambda_2 - \mu_2) (\lambda_1 - \mu_1)}{\lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_2} X_3, \\ \tau_2(\xi_3(a)) = -2 \frac{\cos(a) \sin(a) ((\cos(a))^2 - 1/2) (\mu_2 - \lambda_2)^2 (\mu_1 - \lambda_1)^2}{\lambda_1^2 \mu_1^2 \nu_2^2} X_3. \end{cases}$$

So we get:

Proposition 6.2. 1. *If $\mu_2 = \nu_2$ or $\mu_1 = \nu_1$ then $\xi_1(a)$ is harmonic.*

2. If $\mu_2 \neq \nu_2$ and $\mu_1 \neq \nu_1$ then $\xi_1(a)$ is harmonic if and only if $\sin(2a) = 0$ and $\xi_1(a)$ is biharmonic not harmonic if and only if $\cos(a)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.
3. If $\lambda_2 = \nu_2$ or $\lambda_1 = \nu_1$ then $\xi_2(a)$ is harmonic.
4. If $\lambda_2 \neq \nu_2$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \nu_1$ then $\xi_2(a)$ is harmonic if and only if $\sin(2a) = 0$ and $\xi_2(a)$ is biharmonic not harmonic if and only if $\cos(a)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.
5. If $\lambda_2 = \mu_2$ or $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$ then $\xi_3(a)$ is harmonic.
6. If $\lambda_2 \neq \mu_2$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \mu_1$ then $\xi_3(a)$ is harmonic if and only if $\sin(2a)$ and $\xi_3(a)$ is biharmonic not harmonic if and only if $\cos(a)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 6.1. We consider the automorphism

$$\xi = \xi_3(a) \circ \xi_2(b) \circ \xi_1(c) : (\mathfrak{su}(2), \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1)) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{su}(2), \text{diag}(\lambda_2, \mu_2, \nu_2)), \quad 0 \leq \nu_i < \mu_i \leq \lambda_i, i = 1, 2.$$

1. If $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$ or $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if one of the following condition holds:
 - (i) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = 1$ and $\sin(2(a - c)) = 0$,
 - (ii) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = -1$ and $\sin(2(a + c)) = 0$,
 - (iii) $\sin(b) = 0$ and $\sin(2c) = \sin(2a) = 0$.
2. If $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 = \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if one of the following condition holds:
 - (i) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = 1$ and $\sin(2(a - c)) = 0$,
 - (ii) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = -1$ and $\sin(2(a + c)) = 0$,
 - (iii) $\sin(b) = 0$ and $\sin(a) = 0$.
 - (iv) $\sin(b) = 0, \sin(2c) = 0$ and $\cos(a) = 0$.
3. If $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 = \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if one of the following condition holds:
 - (i) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = 1$ and $\sin(2(a - c)) = 0$,
 - (ii) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = -1$ and $\sin(2(a + c)) = 0$,
 - (iii) $\sin(b) = 0$ and $\sin(a) = \sin(2c) = 0$.
 - (iv) $\sin(b) = 0$ and $\cos(a) = \sin(2c) = 0$.
4. If $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 = \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if $\cos(b) = 0$ or $(\sin(b) = \sin(2c) = 0)$.
5. If $(0 < \nu_1 = \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if $\cos(b) = 0$ or $(\sin(b) = \sin(2a) = 0)$.
6. If $(0 < \nu_1 = \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 = \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if $(\cos(b) = 0), (\cos(a)) = 0$ or $(\sin(b) = \sin(a) = 0)$.
7. If $(0 < \nu_1 = \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 = \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if $\sin(2b) = 0$.
8. If $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 = \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 = \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if $\cos(b) \cos(c) = 0$ or $(\sin(b) = \sin(c) = 0)$.
9. If $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 = \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 = \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$ then ξ is harmonic if and only if one of the following situations holds
 - (i) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = 1$ and $\sin(2(a - c)) = 0$,
 - (ii) $\cos(b) = 0, \sin(b) = -1$ and $\sin(2(a + c)) = 0$,
 - (iii) $\cos(c) = 0$ and $\sin(2a) = 0$,
 - (iv) $\sin(b) = \sin(c) = 0$,
 - (v) $\cos(a) = (-1)^k \frac{\sin(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}}$ and $\sin(a) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sin(b) \cos(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\xi) &= A_1 X_1 + A_2 X_2 + A_3 X_3, \\ \lambda_2 \lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1 A_1 &= \cos(b) \left(\sin(a) \sin(b) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) (\cos(c))^2 - \sin(c) \lambda_1 \cos(a) (\mu_1 - \nu_1) \cos(c) + \sin(a) \sin(b) \nu_1 (\lambda_1 - \mu_1) \right) (\mu_2 - \nu_2) \\ &= \cos(b) (\mu_2 - \nu_2) R, \\ \mu_2 \lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1 A_2 &= \cos(b) \left(\sin(b) \left(\lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) (\cos(c))^2 + \nu_1 (\lambda_1 - \mu_1) \right) \cos(a) + \cos(c) \sin(a) \sin(c) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) \right) (\lambda_2 - \nu_2) \\ &= (\lambda_2 - \nu_2) \cos(b) S, \\ z &:= -\nu_2 \lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1 A_3 = (\lambda_2 - \mu_2) \left(2 \cos(c) \sin(b) \sin(c) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) (\cos(a))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left(\lambda_1 \left((\cos(b))^2 - 2 \right) (\mu_1 - \nu_1) (\cos(c))^2 + \nu_1 (\lambda_1 - \mu_1) (\cos(b))^2 + \lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) \right) \sin(a) \cos(a) - \cos(c) \sin(b) \sin(c) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the following relations are straightforward to establish:

$$\begin{cases} R \cos(a) - S \sin(a) = -\lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) \sin(c) \cos(c), \\ R \sin(a) + S \cos(a) = \sin(b) (\lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) (\cos(c))^2 + \nu_1 (\lambda_1 - \mu_1)) \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

and if $\cos(b) = 0$ then

$$z = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \sin(2(c-a)) (\lambda_2 - \mu_2) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) & \text{if } \sin(b) = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} \sin(2(c+a)) (\lambda_2 - \mu_2) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 - \nu_1) & \text{if } \sin(b) = -1. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

Suppose that $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$. Then ξ is harmonic if and only if

$$R \cos(b) = S \cos(b) = z = 0.$$

We distinguish two cases:

- $\cos(b) = 0$. Then ξ is harmonic if and only if $z = 0$ and, by virtue of (10), we get the desired result.
- $\cos(b) \neq 0$ then from (9) $\sin(b) = 0$ and $\sin(c) \cos(c) = 0$ and one can check easily that ξ is harmonic if and only if $\cos(a) \sin(a) = 0$.

Except the last case, all the other cases can be deduced in the same way. Let us complete the proof by treating the last case. We suppose that $(0 < \nu_1 < \mu_1 < \lambda_1, 0 < \nu_2 < \mu_2 < \lambda_2)$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi) = \frac{\cos(b) \cos(c) (\lambda_1 - \nu_1) (\lambda_2 - \mu_2) R_1}{\mu_2 \lambda_1 \nu_1} X_2 - \frac{2 (\lambda_1 - \nu_1) (\lambda_2 - \mu_2) S_1}{\mu_2 \lambda_1 \nu_1} X_3, \\ R_1 = \sin(a) \sin(c) + \cos(a) \sin(b) \cos(c), \\ S_1 = \sin(b) (\cos(a))^2 \sin(c) \cos(c) + \frac{1}{2} \sin(a) (1 + ((\cos(b))^2 - 2) (\cos(c))^2) \cos(a) - \frac{1}{2} \sin(b) \sin(c) \cos(c). \end{cases}$$

If $\cos(b) = 0$ then

$$S_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4} \sin(2(c-a)) & \text{if } \sin(b) = 1, \\ -\frac{1}{4} \sin(2(c+a)) & \text{if } \sin(b) = -1 \end{cases}$$

and we get (i) and (ii).

If $\cos(c) = 0$ then $S_1 = \frac{1}{4} \sin(2a)$ and we get (iii).

If $\sin(b) = \sin(c) = 0$ the $S_1 = R_1 = 0$ and hence ξ is harmonic.

Suppose now that $\cos(b) \neq 0$, $\cos(c) \neq 0$ and $(\sin(b), \sin(c)) \neq (0, 0)$. Then ξ is harmonic if and only if $R_1 = S_1 = 0$. We have

$$R_1 = \sin(a) \frac{\sin(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}} + \cos(a) \frac{\sin(b) \cos(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}} = \sin(a + \alpha)$$

where

$$\cos(\alpha) = \frac{\sin(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(\alpha) = \frac{\sin(b) \cos(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}}.$$

So $R_1 = 0$ if and only if $a + \alpha = k\pi$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$\cos(a) = (-1)^k \cos(\alpha) = (-1)^k \frac{\sin(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}} \quad \text{and} \quad \sin(a) = -(-1)^k \sin(\alpha) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sin(b) \cos(c)}{\sqrt{\sin^2(c) + \sin^2(b) \cos^2(c)}}.$$

If we replace $\cos(a)$ and $\sin(a)$ in S_1 , we get $S_1 = 0$ which completes the proof. \square

The situation for biharmonic homomorphisms is more complicated. We have the following non trivial biharmonic homomorphism which is not harmonic.

Example 1. The homomorphism $\xi = \xi_3(a) \circ \xi_2(b) \circ \xi_1(c) : (\mathfrak{su}(2), \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1)) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{su}(2), \text{diag}(\lambda_2, \mu_2, \nu_2))$ is biharmonic not harmonic if

$$\mu_1 = \nu_1, \mu_2 = \nu_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \cos(a) = \cos(b) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

7. Harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$

Any homomorphism of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is an automorphism and it is a product $\xi_3(a) \circ \xi_2(b) \circ \xi_1(c)$ where

$$\xi_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh(a) & \sinh(a) \\ 0 & \sinh(a) & \cosh(a) \end{pmatrix}, \xi_2(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(a) & 0 & \sinh(a) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sinh(a) & 0 & \cosh(a) \end{pmatrix}, \xi_3(a) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(a) & \sin(a) & 0 \\ -\sin(a) & \cos(a) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $\xi_i : (\mathfrak{su}(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{su}(2), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2)$ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_j = \text{Diag}(\lambda_j, \mu_j, \nu_j)$ then

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi_1(a)) = -\frac{\cosh(a) \sinh(a) (\mu_2 + \nu_2) (\nu_1 + \mu_1)}{\lambda_2 \mu_1 \nu_1} X_1, \\ \tau_2(\xi_1(a)) = -2 \frac{(\mu_2 + \nu_2)^2 ((\cosh(a))^2 - 1/2) (\nu_1 + \mu_1)^2 \cosh(a) \sinh(a)}{\lambda_2 \mu_1 \nu_1} X_1, \\ \tau(\xi_2(a)) = \frac{\cosh(a) \sinh(a) (\lambda_2 + \nu_2) (\nu_1 + \lambda_1)}{\mu_2 \lambda_1 \nu_1} X_2, \\ \tau_2(\xi_2(a)) = \frac{(2 (\cosh(a))^2 - 1) \cosh(a) (\lambda_2 + \nu_2)^2 (\nu_1 + \lambda_1)^2 \sinh(a)}{\lambda_1^2 \nu_1^2 \mu_2^2} X_2, \\ \tau(\xi_3(a)) = -\frac{\sin(a) \cos(a) (\lambda_2 - \mu_2) (-\mu_1 + \lambda_1)}{\nu_2 \lambda_1 \mu_1} X_3, \\ \tau_2(\xi_3(a)) = -2 \frac{\sin(a) \cos(a) (-\lambda_2 + \mu_2)^2 (\mu_1 - \lambda_1)^2 ((\cos(a))^2 - 1/2)}{\lambda_1^2 \mu_1^2 \nu_2^2} X_3. \end{cases}$$

So we get:

- Proposition 7.1.** 1. $\xi_1(a)$ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic if only if $a = 0$, i.e., $\xi_1 = \text{Id}$.
2. $\xi_2(a)$ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic if only if $a = 0$, i.e., $\xi_2 = \text{Id}$.
3. If $\lambda_2 = \mu_2$ or $\lambda_1 = \mu_1$ then $\xi_3(a)$ is harmonic.
4. If $\lambda_2 \neq \mu_2$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \mu_1$ then $\xi_3(a)$ is harmonic if and only if $(\sin(2a) = 0)$ and $\xi_3(a)$ is biharmonic not harmonic if and only if $\cos(a)^2 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 7.1. The automorphism

$$\xi = \xi_3(a) \circ \xi_2(b) \circ \xi_1(c) : (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \mu_1, \nu_1)) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \text{diag}(\lambda_2, \mu_2, \nu_2)), 0 < \lambda_i \leq \mu_i, \nu_i > 0$$

is harmonic if and only if $\xi_2(b) = \xi_1(c) = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})}$ and $\xi_3(a)$ is harmonic.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tau(\xi) = \frac{(\mu_2 + \nu_2)R}{\lambda_2 \lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1} X_1 + \frac{(\lambda_2 + \nu_2)S}{\mu_2 \lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1} X_2 + \frac{(\lambda_2 - \nu_2)Q}{\nu_2 \lambda_1 \mu_1 \nu_1} X_3, \\ R = \cosh(b) \left(\sinh(b) \lambda_1 \sin(a) (\mu_1 + \nu_1) (\cosh(c))^2 - \sinh(c) \lambda_1 \cos(a) (\mu_1 + \nu_1) \cosh(c) - \sinh(b) \nu_1 \sin(a) (\lambda_1 - \mu_1) \right), \\ S = \cosh(b) \left(\sinh(b) \lambda_1 \cos(a) (\mu_1 + \nu_1) (\cosh(c))^2 + \sinh(c) \lambda_1 \sin(a) (\mu_1 + \nu_1) \cosh(c) - \sinh(b) \nu_1 \cos(a) (\lambda_1 - \mu_1) \right), \\ Q = -2 \cosh(c) \sinh(b) \sinh(c) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 + \nu_1) (\cos(a))^2 + \cosh(c) \sinh(b) \sinh(c) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 + \nu_1) \\ + \sin(a) \left(\lambda_1 ((\cosh(b))^2 - 2) (\mu_1 + \nu_1) (\cosh(c))^2 - \nu_1 (\lambda_1 - \mu_1) (\cosh(b))^2 + \lambda_1 (\mu_1 + \nu_1) \right) \cos(a). \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, one can show easily

$$\begin{cases} \cos(a)R - \sin(a)S = -\cosh(b) \sinh(c) \cosh(c) \lambda_1 (\mu_1 + \nu_1), \\ \sin(a)R + \cos(a)S = (\lambda_1 (\mu_1 + \nu_1) (\cosh(c))^2 + \nu_1 (\mu_1 - \lambda_1)) \cosh(b) \sinh(b). \end{cases}$$

So ξ is harmonic if and only if

$$\sinh(b) = \sinh(c) = Q = 0$$

and we get the desired result. \square

References

- [1] P. BAIRD, J.C. WOOD, Harmonic Morphisms between Riemannian Manifolds, Oxford Science Publications, 2003.
- [2] Mohamed Boucetta, Seddik Ouakkas, *Harmonic and biharmonic homomorphisms between Riemannian Lie groups*, Journal of Geometry and Physics Volume **116**, June 2017, Pages 64-80.
- [3] T. Christodoulakis, G. O. Papadopoulos and A. Dimakis, *Automorphisms of real four-dimensional Lie algebras and the invariant characterization of homogeneous 4-spaces*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **36** (2003) 427-441.
- [4] Y-J. DAI, M. SHOJI AND H. URAKAWA, Harmonic maps into Lie groups and homogeneous spaces, Differ. Geom. Appl., **7** (1997), 143-160.
- [5] PU-YOUNG KIM, JOON-SIK PARK, AND YONG-SOO PYO, Harmonic maps between the group of automorphisms of the quaternion algebra, Journal of the Chungcheong Mathematical Society, Volume **25**, No. 2, May 2012.
- [6] Ha, K. Y., Lee, J. B. *Left invariant metrics and curvatures on simply connected three dimensional Lie groups*. Math. Nachr. **282** (2009), 868-898.
- [7] C. ONICIUC, Biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds, An. Stiint. Univ. Al.I. Cuza Iasi Mat. (N.S.) **48** (2002), 237-248.
- [8] JOON-SIK PARK, Harmonic inner automorphisms of compact connected semisimple Lie groups, Tôhoku Math. J. **42** (1990), 83-91.
- [9] J. Milnor, *Curvatures of left invariant metrics on Lie groups*, Advances in Mathematics Volume **21**, Issue 3, September 1976, Pages 293-329.
- [10] L. SARIO, M. NAKAI, C. WANG, L. Chung, Classification theory of Riemannian manifolds. Harmonic, quasiharmonic and biharmonic functions, Lecture Notes In Mathematics, Vol. **605**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.
- [11] K. UHLENBECK, Harmonic maps into Lie groups (classical solutions of the chiral model), J. Differ. Geom., **30** (1989), 1-50.
- [12] J. C. WOOD, Harmonic maps into symmetric spaces and integrable systems, In: Harmonic Maps and Integrable Systems, eds. by A. P. Fordy and J. C. Wood, Aspect of Mathematics, Vol. **E 23**, Vieweg (1993), 29-55.
- [13] W. J. ZAKRZEWSKI, Low-dimensional Sigma Models, Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol, 1989.