

SOME REMARKS ON SPECTRAL AVERAGING AND THE LOCAL DENSITY OF STATES FOR RANDOM SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

JEAN MICHEL COMBES AND PETER D. HISLOP

ABSTRACT. We prove some local estimates on the trace of spectral projectors for random Schrödinger operators restricted to cubes $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. We also present a new proof of the spectral averaging result based on analytic perturbation theory. Together, these provide another proof of the Wegner estimate with an explicit form of the constant and an alternate proof of the Birman-Solomyak formula. We also use these results to prove the Lipschitz continuity of the local density of states function for a restricted family of random Schrödinger operators on cubes $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 1$. The result holds for low energies without a localization assumption but is not strong enough to extend to the infinite-volume limit.

Dedicated to the memory of Erik Baslev

CONTENTS

1. Statement of the Problem and Result	1
1.1. Contents	3
2. Trace estimates from the Poincaré inequality	3
3. An alternate approach to spectral averaging	6
4. Lipschitz continuity of the local DOS	10
A. Appendix: Some technical results	12
References	14

1. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM AND RESULT

This note is another presentation of spectral averaging with applications to the study of the local density of states (ℓ DOS) for random Schrödinger operators on cubes $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for $d \geq 1$. Spectral averaging is revisited using tools from analytic perturbation theory, an area in which Erik Baslev was an expert. We also prove an upper bound on the trace of spectral projectors using a Poincaré-type inequality for eigenfunctions. We present three applications: 1) we prove the Wegner estimate with an explicit form of the constant, 2) we prove that the

PDH thanks the Centre de Physique Théorique, CNRS, Marseille, France, for support during the time this work was begun.

local density of states function is Lipschitz continuous in the energy, independent of localization, and 3) we give a simple proof of the Birman-Solomyak Theorem. The spectral averaging result applies to self-adjoint operators of the form $H_\omega = H_0 + \omega u^2$ on a separable Hilbert space where H_0 has discrete spectrum. A version of the Birman-Solomyak formula for the spectral shift function is proved in this setting.

The random Schrödinger operators that we study in the applications have the form

$$H_\omega := H_0 + V_\omega, \quad (1.1)$$

on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where H_0 is a self-adjoint operator such as the Laplacian $H_0 = -\Delta$ or a magnetic Schrödinger operator, and the potential V_ω is a random, ergodic process described as follows.

Hypothesis 1 [H1]. Single-site potential: Let $u_0(x) \in L_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$ be a compactly-supported function satisfying

$$0 \leq \kappa \chi_0 \leq u_0^2 \leq 1,$$

for some $\kappa > 0$, and where χ_0 is the characteristic function on the unit cube $C_0 := [0, 1]^d$.

Hypothesis 2 [H2]. Random variables: Let $\omega := \{\omega_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d}$ denote a family of independent, identically distributed (iid) random variables with $\omega_0 \geq 0$ with common probability density ρ having compact support.

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, we denote by u_k the translate of u_0 by k , that is, $u_k(x) := u_0(x - k)$. Similarly, C_k denotes the translation of C_0 by $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ and we write χ_k for the characteristic function on the unit cube C_k . The random potential V_ω is defined to be

$$V_\omega(x) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \omega_k u_k(x). \quad (1.2)$$

We work with a restricted version of the random potential in section 4:

Hypothesis 3 [H3]. The single-site potential $u_0 = \kappa \chi_0$, for some $\kappa > 0$, where χ_0 is the characteristic function of the unit cube $C_0 := [0, 1]^d$. The single-site probability measure is the uniform measure on the interval $[0, 1]$

We need local operators H_ω^Λ obtained from H_ω by restricting to cubes $\Lambda_L := [-L, L]^d$, for $L \in \mathbb{N}$, and imposing self-adjoint boundary conditions, such as Dirichlet, Neumann, or periodic boundary conditions. The unperturbed operator H_0^Λ is associated with the nonnegative quadratic form:

$$f \in Q(\Lambda) \rightarrow \int_\Lambda |\nabla f|^2, \quad (1.3)$$

for f in the appropriate form domain $Q(\Lambda)$ determined by the boundary conditions. From the construction of V_ω , this potential is relatively H_0 -bounded with

relative H_0 bound less than one, so H_ω^Λ is self-adjoint on the same domain as H_0^Λ . Furthermore, H_ω^Λ has a compact resolvent so the spectrum of H_ω^Λ is discrete. We write $P_\omega^\Lambda(I)$ for the spectral projector for H_ω^Λ and the interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

The ℓ DOS measure μ_Λ is defined as the number of eigenvalues of H_ω^Λ in the interval $I = [I_-, I_+] \subset \mathbb{R}$ per unit volume:

$$\mu_\Lambda(I) := \frac{1}{|\Lambda|} \mathbb{E} \{ \text{Tr} P_\omega^\Lambda(I) \}. \quad (1.4)$$

The density of states measure for the infinite-volume operator H_ω is obtained by taking $|\Lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. It exists almost surely, see, for example, [7]. The Wegner estimate [4] in this setting is the bound

$$\mathbb{E} \{ \text{Tr} P_\omega^\Lambda(I) \} = |\Lambda| \mu_\Lambda(I) \leq C_W(I_+) |\Lambda| |I|.$$

This bound shows that the measure μ_Λ is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. The locally bounded density of the ℓ DOS measure is denoted $n_\Lambda(E)$.

1.1. Contents. In section 2, we prove an upper bound on the trace of a spectral projector of a local Schrödinger operator. The upper bound is expressed in terms of the matrix elements of the spectral projector with respect to the eigenfunctions of the Neumann Laplacian of the unit cube. The spectral averaging result is derived in section 3 using analytic perturbation theory for one-parameter families of self-adjoint operators. An application is given relating the spectral shift function to the local DOS proving a form of the Birman-Solomyak formula. Finally, in section 4, we prove the local Lipschitz continuity of the DOS for random Schrödinger operators restricted to finite domains.

2. TRACE ESTIMATES FROM THE POINCARÉ INEQUALITY

Let $h_{0,k}$ denote the Neumann Laplacian on the unit cube $C_k \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ that is the translate of the unit cube $C_0 := [0, 1]^d$ by $k \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The L^2 -eigenfunctions of the self-adjoint operator $h_{0,k}$ are $\varphi_{j,k}$ with eigenvalues $E_{j,k}$, listed including multiplicity. The set of eigenvalues is $\{(\sum_{m=1}^d n_m^2)\pi^2 \mid (n_1, \dots, n_d) \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}^d\}$. The set of eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_{j,k}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $L^2(C_k)$. The spectral representation of $h_{0,k}$ is

$$h_{0,k} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E_{j,k} \Pi_{\varphi_{j,k}},$$

where $\Pi_{\varphi_{j,k}}$ is the projection onto the vector $\varphi_{j,k} \in L^2(C_k)$. In general, we let Π_ψ denote the projection onto ψ in the appropriate Hilbert space.

In the following, we denote by Λ the cube $\Lambda := [-L, L]^d$, with $L \in \mathbb{N}$, and we denote by $\tilde{\Lambda}$ the integer lattice points in Λ so that $\tilde{\Lambda} := \Lambda \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$.

Theorem 2.1. *We assume [H1] and [H2]. Let $I = [a, b]$ with $b \leq (n+1)^2\pi^2$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}P_\omega^\Lambda(I) \leq \left(1 - \frac{b}{(n+1)^2\pi^2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \sum_{j=0}^n \langle \varphi_{j,k}, P_\omega^\Lambda(I) \varphi_{j,k} \rangle \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{(n+1)^2}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

In particular, if $n = 0$ so $b < \pi^2$, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}P_\omega^\Lambda(I) \leq \left(1 - \frac{b}{\pi^2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \langle \varphi_{0,k}, P_\omega^\Lambda(I) \varphi_{0,k} \rangle. \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Let $\{\psi_j\}$ be an orthonormal basis of eigenfunction of H_ω^Λ with corresponding eigenvalues E_j . Although the eigenvalues are random variables, the randomness does not play a role in Theorem 2.1. We begin by expanding the trace with respect to the orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions $\{\psi_j\}$ and use the decomposition $\chi_\Lambda = \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \chi_k$ of the identity on Λ giving

$$\mathrm{Tr}P_\omega^\Lambda(I) = \sum_{\{j: E_j \in I\}} \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \mathrm{Tr} \Pi_{\psi_j} \chi_k = \sum_{\{j: E_j \in I\}} \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \int_{C_k} |\psi_j(x)|^2 dx. \quad (2.3)$$

Assuming Lemma 2.1, the proof now easily follows by summation over eigenvalues and over lattice points $k \in \tilde{\Lambda}$. The self-adjoint boundary conditions of H_ω^Λ guarantee that the sum $\sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} B_k(\psi_E) = 0$, where the boundary term associated with C_k , $B_k(\psi_E)$, is defined in (2.4). \square

We now turn to Lemma 2.1 and its proof that is based on a Poincaré-type inequality (2.8).

Lemma 2.1. *We assume [H1] and [H2]. Let ψ_E be a normalized eigenfunction of H_ω^Λ with eigenvalue $E \in [0, (n+1)^2\pi^2]$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for all $k \in \tilde{\Lambda}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_k} |\psi_E(x)|^2 dx &\leq \left(1 + \frac{\kappa\omega_k - E}{(n+1)^2\pi^2}\right)^{-1} \\ &\times \left[\sum_{j=0}^n |\langle \psi_E, \varphi_{j,k} \rangle|^2 \left(1 - \frac{j^2}{(n+1)^2}\right) + \frac{B_k(\psi_E)}{(n+1)^2\pi^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is the constant in [H1], and the boundary terms $B_k(\psi_E)$ given by

$$B_k(\psi_E) := \int_{\partial C_k} \psi_E(x) \hat{\nu} \cdot \nabla \psi_E(x), \quad (2.5)$$

satisfy

$$\sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} B_k(\psi_E) = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. 1. Working with $k = 0$ for simplicity, we define the projector P_n by

$$P_n \psi_E := \chi_0 \psi_E - \sum_{j=0}^n \langle \varphi_{j,0}, \psi_E \rangle \psi_{j,0}. \quad (2.7)$$

The vector $P_n \psi_E$ is the projection of $\chi_0 \psi_E$ onto the spectral subspace of $h_{0,0}$ spanned by eigenstates of $h_{0,0}$ with energy at least $(n+1)^2 \pi^2$. As a consequence, we have the Poincaré-type inequality for $P_n \psi_E$:

$$\int_{C_0} |P_n \psi_E|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 \pi^2} \int_{C_0} |\nabla P_n \psi_E|^2 dx. \quad (2.8)$$

This inequality follows from the expansion of $P_n \psi_E$ in the orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_{j,0}\}$ of eigenfunctions of $h_{0,0}$ and noting that $\int_{C_0} |\nabla \varphi_{j,0}|^2 = E_{j,0}$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\int_{C_0} |\nabla P_n \psi_E|^2 dx = \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} E_{j,0} |\langle \psi_E, \varphi_{j,0} \rangle|^2 \geq (n+1)^2 \pi^2 \int_{C_0} |P_n \psi_E|^2 dx,$$

from which (2.8) follows.

2. Decomposing $\chi_0 \psi_E$ with respect to the basis $\varphi_{j,0}$, and using the Poincaré-type inequality (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_0} |\psi_E(x)|^2 dx &= \int_{C_0} |P_n \psi_E(x)|^2 dx + \sum_{j=0}^n |\langle \psi_E, \varphi_{j,0} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2 \pi^2} \int_{C_0} |\nabla P_n \psi_E(x)|^2 dx + \sum_{j=0}^n |\langle \psi_E, \varphi_{j,0} \rangle|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\int_{C_0} |\nabla P_n \psi_E(x)|^2 dx = \int_{C_0} |\nabla \psi_E(x)|^2 dx - \sum_{j=0}^n (j\pi)^2 |\langle \psi_E, \varphi_{j,0} \rangle|^2. \quad (2.10)$$

3. Finally, from the assumptions on H_0^Λ , integration by parts results in

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_0} |\nabla \psi_E(x)|^2 dx &= - \int_{C_0} (\Delta \psi_E(x)) \psi_E(x) dx + B_0(\psi_E) \\ &\leq (E - \kappa \omega_0) \int_{C_0} |\psi_E(x)|^2 dx + B_0(\psi_E), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where the boundary term B_0 is

$$B_0(\psi_E) := \int_{C_0} \nabla \cdot (\psi_E(x) \nabla \psi_E(x)) dx = \int_{\partial C_0} \psi_E(x) \hat{\nu} \cdot \nabla \psi_E(x) dS(x), \quad (2.12)$$

and dS denotes the surface measure. Using expression (2.11) in (2.10) we obtain,

$$\int_{C_0} |\nabla P_n \psi_E(x)|^2 dx \leq (E - \kappa\omega_0) \int_{C_0} |\psi_E(x)|^2 dx + B_0(\psi_E) - \sum_{j=0}^n (j\pi)^2 |\langle \psi_E, \varphi_{j,0} \rangle|^2. \quad (2.13)$$

Substituting the right side of (2.13) into the right side of (2.9) yields the result (2.4) for $k = 0$.

4. To verify the second result (2.6), we note that the equality on the first line of (2.11) holds for any $k \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ replacing 0:

$$\int_{C_k} |\nabla \psi_E(x)|^2 dx = - \int_{C_k} (\Delta \psi_E(x)) \psi_E(x) dx + B_k(\psi_E). \quad (2.14)$$

with $B_k(\psi_E)$ defined as in (2.12) with $k \in \tilde{\Lambda}$ replacing 0. We note that $\Lambda = \text{Int } \bigcup_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} C_k$. Because of the self-adjoint boundary conditions, the quadratic form associated with H_0^Λ in (1.3) satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\Lambda(\psi_E) &= \int_\Lambda |\nabla \psi_E(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \int_{C_k} |\nabla \psi_E(x)|^2 dx \\ &= \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \int_{C_k} (-\Delta \psi_E)(x) \psi_E(x) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

so comparing (2.15) with the sum of (2.14), we have

$$\sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} B_k(\psi_E) = 0, \quad (2.16)$$

verifying (2.6). □

3. AN ALTERNATE APPROACH TO SPECTRAL AVERAGING

In this section, we present an alternate approach to spectral averaging based on analytic perturbation theory, and use it to prove a version of the Birman-Solomyak Theorem connecting the DOS with the spectral shift function. We consider a one-parameter family of self-adjoint operators $H_\omega := H_0 + \omega u^2$ on a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . We assume that the self-adjoint operator H_0 has discrete spectrum, at least locally in a bounded interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. The perturbation u^2 is a bounded, nonnegative, self-adjoint operator with $\|u^2\| \leq 1$, and the variable $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded interval and $P_\omega(I)$ be the spectral projector for I and H_ω . Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ be a normalized vector so $\|\varphi\| = 1$. For any $\tau_1 < \tau_2$,*

we have

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \varphi, uP_\omega(I)u\varphi \rangle d\omega \leq |I| \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\text{supp } u)}^2. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. 1. The family H_ω is a type A analytic family of operators. From the standard results on analytic perturbation theory (see, for example, [6, chapter VII, section 2]), there are analytic eigenvalues $E_j(\omega) \in I$, corresponding eigenfunctions $\psi_j(\omega)$, with $\|\psi_j(\omega)\| = 1$, and rank-one eigenprojections $P_j(\omega) = \Pi_{\psi_j(\omega)}$, such that

$$P_\omega(I) = \sum_{\{j : E_j(\omega) \in I\}} P_j(\omega),$$

where the sum over the eigenvalues includes multiplicities. Substituting this into the left side of (3.1), we obtain

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \varphi, uP_\omega(I)u\varphi \rangle d\omega = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \left[\sum_{\{j : E_j(\omega) \in I\}} \langle \varphi, uP_j(\omega)u\varphi \rangle \right] d\omega. \quad (3.2)$$

2. Concerning the projectors $P_j(\omega)$, an application of the Feynman-Hellman Theorem implies that

$$P_j(\omega)u^2P_j(\omega) = E_j'(\omega)P_j(\omega). \quad (3.3)$$

If we let $A_j := P_j(\omega)u$, we form two self-adjoint, rank-one operators: $A_jA_j^* = P_j(\omega)u^2P_j(\omega)$, and $A_j^*A_j = uP_j(\omega)u$. The operator $A_j^*A_j$ projects onto $u\psi_j(\omega)$, whereas the operator $A_jA_j^*$ projects onto $\psi_j(\omega)$. We assume that $u\psi_j(\omega) \neq 0$. This follows for local Schrödinger operators, for example, by the unique continuation principle. Since $A_jA_j^*$ and $A_j^*A_j$ are self-adjoint and have the same eigenvalues (except possibly 0), the spectral theorem gives

$$A_j^*A_j = uP_j(\omega)\nu = E_j'(\omega)\tilde{P}_j(\omega), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\tilde{P}_j(\omega)$ projects onto $u\psi_j(\omega)$.

3. The positivity of the left side of (3.3) implies that $E_j(\omega)$ is monotone increasing. As a consequence, given $E \in I = [a, b]$, let $\omega_j(E) \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$ be such that $E_j(\omega_j(E)) = E$, whenever such an $\omega_j(E)$ exists. We perform a change of variables from $\omega \in [\tau_1, \tau_2] \rightarrow E \in I$. With this change of variables and (3.4), an arbitrary term of the sum on the right side of (3.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \varphi, uP_j(\omega)u\varphi \rangle d\omega &= \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \|\tilde{P}_j(\omega)\varphi\|^2 E_j'(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_{\sup\{a, E_j(\tau_1)\}}^{\inf\{b, E_j(\tau_2)\}} \|\tilde{P}_j(\omega_j(E))\varphi\|^2 dE. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

4. With respect to the projectors $\tilde{P}_j(\omega)$, it is easy to check that if $\omega_j(E) \neq \omega_{j'}(E)$, then

$$\tilde{P}_j(\omega_j(E))\tilde{P}_{j'}(\omega_{j'}(E)) = \delta_{jj'}\tilde{P}_j(\omega_j(E)) \quad (3.6)$$

This also holds if $\omega_j(E) = \omega_{j'}(E)$ by construction of the $\tilde{P}_j(\omega)$ by the reduction process as described in [6, chapter II, section 2.3]. Let us define $f_j(E)$ by

$$f_j(E) := \|\tilde{P}_j(\omega_j(E))\varphi\|^2. \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), it follows that

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \varphi, uP_\omega(I)u\varphi \rangle d\omega \leq \int_a^b \left[\sum_{\{j \mid \omega_j(E) \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]\}} f_j(E) \right] dE. \quad (3.8)$$

According to the orthogonality condition (3.6), we have $\sum_j f_j(E) \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\text{supp } u)}^2$, for all $E \in I = [a, b]$. This bound, together with (3.8), proves the result. \square

There is a situation where we can have equality in Theorem 3. This is when the interval $[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ is equal to the real line \mathbb{R} . The proof of this requires some basic tools from Birman-Schwinger theory developed, for example, in [1, Appendix B]. These operators require that for all ω the operators H_ω are *local* in the sense that if $H_\omega\varphi = 0$ on any open set in \mathbb{R}^d , then $\varphi = 0$ on that set. The Schrödinger operators considered here are local in this sense.

Corollary 3.1. *Assume that $H_\omega = H_0 + \omega u^2$ is a local operator, in the sense above, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval for which $\sigma(H_0) \cap I$ has zero Lebesgue measure (for example, $\sigma(H_0) \cap I$ is discrete). We then have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \langle \varphi, uP_\omega(I)u\varphi \rangle d\omega = |I| \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\text{supp } u)}^2. \quad (3.9)$$

We assume that $E \notin \sigma(H_\omega)$ and define the Birman-Schwinger kernel by $K_0(E) := u(H_0 - E)^{-1}u$. According to Lemma B.2 of [1] the set of $\omega_j(E)$ in (3.7) are the repeated eigenvalues of $-K_0(E)^{-1}$ considered as a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\text{supp } u)$. Moreover, the projectors $\tilde{P}_j(\omega_j(E))$ in (3.4) are a complete set of eigenprojectors for $K_0(E)^{-1}$. It follows that

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2(\text{supp } u)}^2 = \sum_j \|\tilde{P}_j(\omega_j(E))\varphi\|^2.$$

Since this holds for almost every $E \in I$, the result follows from (3.5).

Turning to the spectral shift function, from (3.8), we recover some known results about the connection between the spectral shift function (SSF) for the pair (H_0, H_ω) and the local density of states as first proven in [3, 9]. For any

$\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, we define $\eta_\varphi(E)$ to be

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\varphi(E) &:= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \langle \varphi, uP_\omega([E, E + \epsilon])u\varphi \rangle d\omega \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sum_j \int_{\sup\{E, E_j(\tau_1)\}}^{\inf\{E+\epsilon, E_j(\tau_2)\}} f_j(s) ds \\ &= \sum_{j \in \Gamma(E)} f_j(E), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $f_j(E)$ is defined in (3.7) and $\Gamma(E)$ is the set of indices defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} j \in \Gamma(E) &\Leftrightarrow \exists \omega_j \in [\tau_1, \tau_2] \text{ s. t. } E_j(\omega_j) = E \\ &\Leftrightarrow \omega_j(E) \in [\tau_1, \tau_2], \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

so that

$$\text{card } \Gamma(E) = \xi(E; H_{\tau_2}, H_{\tau_1}). \tag{3.12}$$

That is, the integer $\text{card } \Gamma(E)$ is the number of eigenvalues of H_ω crossing E as ω runs from τ_1 to τ_2 .

Let $\{\varphi_n\}_n$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} and take $\varphi = \varphi_k$ to be any element. Then, summing the right side of (3.7) over this basis and, using the fact that $\text{Tr}(\tilde{P}_j(\omega_j(E))) = 1$, the following form of the **Birman-Solomyak formula** now follows from (3.10) and (3.12):

$$\xi(E; H_{\tau_2}, H_{\tau_1}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \text{Tr}(uP_\omega([E, E + \epsilon])u) d\omega. \tag{3.13}$$

We note that (3.13) is a version of the Birman-Solomyak formula established solely by analytic perturbation theory. A similar formula was derived by Simon using the Krein trace formula for resolvents [9, equation (1)]. A more common version of this formula is

$$\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \text{Tr}(uP_\omega(I)u) d\omega = \int_I \xi(E; H_{\tau_2}, H_{\tau_1}) dE,$$

as found, for example, in [3].

Formula (3.13) applies to the spectral shift function for local Schrödinger operators with discrete spectrum discussed here. We consider a one-parameter family of Schrödinger operators $H_\omega := H_0 + \omega u^2$ on $L^2(\Lambda)$, with $u \geq 0$ satisfying $u \in L^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for a parameter $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. The self-adjoint operator H_0 is given by $H_0 = -\Delta + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}} \omega_j u_j$. Let H_ω^Λ denote a self-adjoint restriction of H_ω to $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, similarly for H_0 . Then the operators H_0^Λ and H_ω^Λ have discrete spectrum for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. The Birman-Solomyak formula applies to the pair $(H_0^\Lambda, H_\omega^\Lambda)$

We conclude this section with a bound on the *SSF* that will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Under the hypotheses of Corollary 3.1, the SSF $\xi(E; H_{\tau_2}, H_{\tau_1})$ for $\tau_2 > \tau_1$ satisfies the bound,*

$$\xi(E; H_{\tau_2}, H_{\tau_1}) \leq \text{Tr} P_{\tau_1}([E - \|u\|^2(\tau_2 - \tau_1), E]). \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Let $E(\omega)$ be an eigenvalue of H_ω crossing E for some value $\omega(E) \in [\tau_1, \tau_2]$. If $H_\omega \psi(\omega) = E(\omega) \psi(\omega)$, with $\|\psi(\omega)\| = 1$, then by the Feynman-Hellman Theorem we have $E'(\omega) \|u\psi(\omega)\|^2 \leq \|u\|^2$. It follows that

$$E - E(\tau_1) \leq \|u\|^2(\omega(E) - \tau_1) \leq \|u\|^2(\tau_2 - \tau_1),$$

which implies the bound (3.14) since

$$\xi(E; H_{\tau_2}, H_{\tau_1}) = \text{Tr} P_{\tau_1}([0, E]) - P_{\tau_2}([0, E]),$$

as follows from the definition of the SSF. \square

4. LIPSCHITZ CONTINUITY OF THE LOCAL DOS

In this section, we establish local regularity of the finite-volume DOS function $n_\Lambda(E)$ at low energy without a localization assumption for a restricted family of random potentials. We first mention that under the hypothesis of Theorem 2.1, we can prove the Wegner estimate with an explicit form of the constant. The Wegner estimate for random Schrödinger operators with an absolutely continuous single-site probability measure with density $0 \leq \rho \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ has the form

$$\mathbb{E}^\Lambda \{\text{Tr} P_\omega^\Lambda(I)\} \leq C_W \|\rho\|_\infty |\Lambda| |I|, \quad (4.1)$$

for $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a finite constant $C_W > 0$ that depends upon $I_+ = \max I$. In the next proposition, we give an explicit form of the constant.

Proposition 4.1. *Assume hypotheses [H1] and [H2]. Let $I = [a, b]$ with $b < (n+1)^2 \pi^2$. We then have*

$$\mathbb{E}^\Lambda \{\text{Tr} P_\omega^\Lambda(I)\} \leq |I| |\Lambda| \left(\kappa^{-1} \|\rho\|_\infty (n+1) \left[1 - \frac{b}{(n+1)^2 \pi^2} \right]^{-1} \right), \quad (4.2)$$

where $\kappa > 0$ is the lower bound in [H1].

The proof of the proposition follows from the bound on the trace of the spectral projector in (2.1) and the spectral averaging result (3.1). In order to apply (3.1), we use the bound $\chi_k \leq u_k \kappa^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in the inner products on the right side of (2.1). After taking the expectation and spectral averaging, the result follows by summing over $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $k \in \tilde{\Lambda}$.

We define the local density of states (ℓ DOS) function $n_\Lambda(E)$ by

$$n_\Lambda(E) := \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon |\Lambda|} \mathbb{E}^\Lambda \{\text{Tr} P_\omega^\Lambda((E, E + \epsilon])\}. \quad (4.3)$$

By the Wegner estimate, (4.2), we have the bound

$$n_\Lambda(E) \leq C_W := \left(\kappa^{-1} \|\rho\|_\infty (n+1) \left[1 - \frac{E}{(n+1)^2 \pi^2} \right]^{-1} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

for $E < (n+1)^2 \pi^2$. The local density of states function n_Λ is related to the ℓ DOS measure defined in (1.4) by

$$\mu_\Lambda(I) = \int_I n_\Lambda(E) dE.$$

We next show that $n_\Lambda(E)$ is Lipschitz continuous in E for energies in the interval $[0, E_0(d)]$, where $E_0(d)$ is defined in (A.10), near the bottom of the deterministic spectrum.

Theorem 4.1. *We assume [H3]: The single-site potential $u_0 = \kappa \chi_0$ and the single-site probability measure is the uniform distribution on $[0, 1]$ so that $\rho(s) = \chi_{[0,1]}(s)$. Let $n_\Lambda(E)$ be the ℓ DOS function for the local Hamiltonian H_ω^Λ , where $\Lambda = [0, L]^d$, with $L \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $0 \leq E_1 < E_2 < E_0(d)$, with $E_0(d)$ defined in (A.10), there exist a finite constant $K_1 > 0$, depending only on E_2 and d , so that*

$$|n_\Lambda(E_2) - n_\Lambda(E_1)| \leq \min \{C_W, K_1 |\Lambda| (E_2 - E_1)\}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $C_W > 0$ is given in (4.4).

Proof. 1. Hypothesis [H3] provides the covering condition $\sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} u_k^2 = \kappa^2 \chi_\Lambda$, for some $\kappa > 0$. For $E_2 > E_1$, definition (4.3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & n_\Lambda(E_2) - n_\Lambda(E_1) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon |\Lambda|} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Tr} P_\omega^\Lambda([E_2, E_2 + \epsilon]) - \text{Tr} P_\omega^\Lambda([E_1, E_1 + \epsilon]) \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\kappa^2 \epsilon |\Lambda|} \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \text{Tr} (u_k^2 P_\omega^\Lambda([E_2, E_2 + \epsilon])) - \text{Tr} (u_k^2 P_\omega^\Lambda([E_1, E_1 + \epsilon])) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\kappa^2 |\Lambda|} \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda}} \mathbb{E}_{\omega_k^\perp} \left\{ \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{\omega_k} \left\{ \text{Tr} (u_k^2 P_\omega^\Lambda([E_2, E_2 + \epsilon])) - \text{Tr} (u_k^2 P_\omega^\Lambda([E_1, E_1 + \epsilon])) \right\} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

where the interchange of the expectation and the limit may be justified by using the uniform bounds on the ω_k -integrals following from (3.13) and Lemma 3.1 so that the Dominated Convergence Theorem applies.

2. By the Birman-Solomyak formula presented in (3.13), we write the limit of the expectation with respect to ω_k in (4.6) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{\omega_k} \left\{ \text{Tr}(u_k^2 P_\omega^\Lambda([E_2, E_2 + \epsilon]) - \text{Tr}(u_k^2 P_\omega^\Lambda([E_1, E_1 + \epsilon])) \right\} \\ &= \xi(E_2; H_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=0)}^\Lambda, H_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=1)}^\Lambda) - \xi(E_1; H_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=0)}^\Lambda, H_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=1)}^\Lambda) \\ &= \text{Tr} P_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=0)}^\Lambda([E_2, E_1]) - \text{Tr} P_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=1)}^\Lambda([E_2, E_1]). \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

In order to bound the expectation with respect to ω_k^\perp of each trace on the last line of (4.7), we use Lemma A.2 and obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{\omega_k^\perp} \left\{ \text{Tr} P_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=0)}^\Lambda([E_2, E_1]) - \text{Tr} P_{(\omega_k^\perp, \omega_k=1)}^\Lambda([E_2, E_1]) \right\} \leq \frac{c(E_2, d)}{\kappa^2} |\Lambda| |E_2 - E_1|, \quad (4.8)$$

where $c(b, d)$ is defined in (A.12). Combining (4.7)–(4.8), we obtain the bound

$$|n_\Lambda(E_2) - n_\Lambda(E_1)| \leq \frac{c(E_2, d)}{\kappa^2} |\Lambda| |E_2 - E_1|, \quad (4.9)$$

which is the bound (4.5) with $K_1 := \frac{c(E_2, d)}{\kappa^2}$. \square

Remark 4.2. We note that the estimate (4.5) is not adequate for controlling the infinite-volume limit. One expects that an additional hypothesis, such as localization, would allow the removal of the volume factor on the right side of (4.5). Indeed, during the completion of this note, a preprint of Dolai, Krishna, and Mallick [5] was posted in which they use localization and obtain Lipschitz continuity of $n_\Lambda(E)$, with a volume independent constant, for E in the region of localization and for a smooth probability density ρ . More generally, these authors prove regularity of $n_\Lambda(E)$, depending on the regularity of the single-site probability measure ρ , for energies in the region of localization, and obtain regularity results for the infinite-volume limit.

A. APPENDIX: SOME TECHNICAL RESULTS

We begin with an estimate on the L^2 -norm of an eigenfunction of H_ω^Λ restricted to a unit cube C_0 .

Lemma A.1. *Assume [H1] and [H2] and that H_ω^Λ has Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\Lambda = [-L, L]^d$. Let ψ_E be an eigenfunction of H_ω^Λ with eigenvalue E : $H_\omega^\Lambda \psi_E = E \psi_E$, with $\|\psi_E\| = 1$ and $E > 0$. Then, for C_0 the unit cube, we have*

$$\|\psi\|_{L^2(C_0)} \leq \frac{d + 4E}{2d}. \quad (A.1)$$

Proof. This result follows by an integration by parts. We write $x = (X_k, x_k)$, where $X_k \in [-L, L]^{d-1}$, and $x_k \in [-L, L]$, and we denote a smaller domain by

$\mathcal{T}_k := \{(X_k, x_k) \mid x_k \in [0, 1], X_k \in [-L, L]^{d-1}\}$. Because of the Dirichlet boundary conditions, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_E(X_k, x_k)^2 &= \int_{-L}^{x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (\psi_E(X_k, \tau)^2) d\tau \\
&= 2 \int_{-L}^{x_k} \psi_E(X_k, \tau) \frac{\partial \psi_E}{\partial \tau}(X_k, \tau) d\tau \\
&\leq 2 \left(\int_{-L}^{x_k} \psi_E(X_k, \tau)^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-L}^{x_k} \left(\frac{\partial \psi_E}{\partial \tau}(X_k, \tau) \right)^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq 2 \left(\int_{-L}^L \psi_E(X_k, \tau)^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-L}^L \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \psi_E}{\partial \tau^2}(X_k, \tau) \right) \psi_E(X_k, \tau) d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{-L}^L \psi_E(X_k, \tau)^2 d\tau + 4 \int_{-L}^L \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \psi_E}{\partial \tau^2}(X_k, \tau) \right) \psi_E(X_k, \tau) d\tau \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Integrating each term in the last line of (A.2) over \mathcal{T}_k (recalling that $x_k \in [0, 1]$), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{T}_k} \psi_E(X_k, x_k)^2 dX_k dx_k \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + 4 \left\langle \left(-\frac{\partial^2 \psi_E}{\partial x_k^2} \right), \psi_E \right\rangle_{L^2(\Lambda_L)} \right). \tag{A.3}$$

Finally, since $C_0 \subset \mathcal{T}_k$ for any $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, it follows from (A.3) and the positivity of the potential V_ω^Λ , that

$$\int_{C_0} |\psi_E(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^d \int_{\mathcal{T}_k} |\psi_E(x)|^2 dx \leq \frac{1}{2d} \left(d + 4 \langle H_\omega^\Lambda \psi_E, \psi_E \rangle_{L^2(\Lambda_L)} \right). \tag{A.4}$$

The result follows directly from this and the eigenvalue equation. \square

We apply Lemma A.1 in order to derive a version of the Wegner estimate for a random Hamiltonian with one random variable fixed. A similar result was obtained in [2, Lemma 4.2] for more general situations but with a less explicit constant.

Lemma A.2. *Assume [H1] and [H2] and that H_ω^Λ has Dirichlet boundary conditions on $\Lambda = [-L, L]^d$. Let $\tau \geq 0$ and $I = [a, b]$. Then, there exists an energy $E_0 = E_0(d) < \pi^2$, and a constant $c(b, d) > 0$, depending only on b and d , so that for all $0 < b < E_0$, one has*

$$\mathbb{E}_{\omega_0^\perp} \{ \text{Tr} P_{\omega_0^\perp, \tau}(I) \} \leq c(b, d) \kappa^{-2} |\Lambda| |I|. \tag{A.5}$$

Proof. Let ψ_E be a normalized eigenfunction of $H_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}$ with eigenvalue $E \in [a, b]$. From Lemma A.1, it follows that

$$|\langle \varphi_{0,0}, \psi_E \rangle| \leq \frac{d + 4E}{2d}, \tag{A.6}$$

so that with $I = [a, b]$,

$$\langle \varphi_{0,0}, P_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}^\Lambda(I) \varphi_{0,0} \rangle \leq \frac{d+4b}{2d} \operatorname{Tr} P_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}^\Lambda(I). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

We now bound the trace according to Theorem 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Tr} P_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}^\Lambda(I) &\leq \left(1 - \frac{b}{\pi^2}\right)^{-1} \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda} \setminus \{0\}} \langle \varphi_{0,k}, P_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}^\Lambda(I) \varphi_{0,k} \rangle \\ &\quad + \left(1 - \frac{b}{\pi^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{d+4b}{2d}\right) \operatorname{Tr} P_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}^\Lambda(I), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where we used (A.1) for the $\varphi_{0,0}$ term. We take $b < \pi^2$ sufficiently small so that the coefficient of the last trace term on the right in (A.8) is bounded above as

$$\left(1 - \frac{b}{\pi^2}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{d+4b}{2d}\right) < 1, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

so this term can be moved to the left side. Condition (A.9) requires that b satisfy:

$$0 < b \leq E_0(d) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi^2 d}{2\pi^2 + d}\right) < \frac{1}{2} \pi^2. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

and the bound approaches $\frac{1}{2} \pi^2$ as d becomes large. This results in the bound

$$\operatorname{Tr} P_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}^\Lambda(I) \leq c(b, d) \sum_{k \in \tilde{\Lambda} \setminus \{0\}} \langle \varphi_{0,k}, P_{(\omega_0^\perp, \tau)}^\Lambda(I) \varphi_{0,k} \rangle, \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where

$$c(b, d) := \left(1 - \frac{b}{\pi^2} - \frac{d+4b}{2d}\right)^{-1}, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

for $b < E_0$. The result now follows from (A.11) by applying the spectral averaging result in Theorem 3.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aizenman, A. Elgart, S. Naboko, J. H. Schenker, G. Stolz, *Moment analysis for localization in random Schrödinger operators*, Invent. Math. **163**(2006), no. 2, 343–413.
- [2] J.-M. Combes, F. Germinet, A. Klein, *Poisson statistics for eigenvalues of continuum random Schrödinger operators*, Anal. PDE **3** (2010), no. 1, 49–80.
- [3] J.-M. Combes, P. D. Hislop, F. Klopp, *Some new estimates on the spectral shift function associated with random Schrödinger operators*, Probability and mathematical physics, 85–95, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, **42**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [4] J.-M. Combes, P. D. Hislop, F. Klopp, *An optimal Wegner estimate and its application to the global continuity of the integrated density of states for random Schrödinger operators*, Duke Math. J. **140** (2007), no. 3, 469–498.

- [5] D. Dolai, M. Krishna, A. Mallick: *Regularity of the density of states of Random Schrödinger Operators*, Commun. Math. Phys. **378** (2020), 299–328; arXiv1904.11854v1.
- [6] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, second edition, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [7] W. Kirsch, *An invitation to random Schrödinger operators*, with an appendix by Frédéric Klopp, Panor. Synthèses, **25**, Random Schrödinger operators, 1–119, SocMath. France, Paris, 2008.
- [8] L. Pastur, A. Figotin, *Spectra of random and almost-periodic operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], **297**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [9] B. Simon, *Spectral averaging and the Krein spectral shift*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), no. 5, 1409–1413.

CENTRE DE PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE, CNRS LUMINY CASE 907, MARSEILLE CEDEX 9,
13009 MARSEILLE FRANCE

Email address: `jmcombes@cpt.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KENTUCKY
40506-0027, USA

Email address: `peter.hislop@uky.edu`