HIGHER GENERA FOR PROPER ACTIONS OF LIE GROUPS, PART 2:
THE CASE OF MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY.
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Abstract. Let $G$ be a finitely connected Lie group and let $K$ be a maximal compact subgroup. Let $M$ be a cocompact $G$-proper manifold with boundary, endowed with a $G$-invariant metric which is of product type near the boundary. Under additional assumptions on $G$, for example that it satisfies the Rapid Decay condition and is such that $G/K$ has nonpositive sectional curvature, we define higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer $C^*$-indices associated to elements $[\varphi] \in H^*_\mathrm{diff}(G)$ and to a generalized $G$-equivariant Dirac operator $D$ on $M$ with $L^2$-invertible boundary operator $D_0$. We then establish a higher index formula for these $C^*$-indices and use it in order to introduce higher genera for $M$, thus generalizing to manifolds with boundary the results that we have established in Part 1. Our results apply in particular to a semisimple Lie group $G$. We use crucially the pairing between suitable relative cyclic cohomology groups and relative K-theory groups.
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INTRODUCTION

This is the second part of a work devoted to the study of higher $C^*$-indices on $G$-proper manifolds and to the related notion of higher genera. Let $G$ be a finitely connected Lie group. Let $M$ be a cocompact $G$-proper manifold without boundary. Let $D$ be $G$-equivariant Dirac operator on $M$, acting on the sections of a $G$-equivariant vector bundle $E$. Higher $C^*$-indices for $D$ are defined by pairing the $C^*$-index class associated to $D$ with suitable cyclic cocycles. Our main concern has been and will be with cyclic cocycles associated to group cocycles $\varphi \in Z^k_{\text{diff}}(G)$. In part 1 we established sufficient conditions on $G$ ensuring that the cyclic cocycle $\tilde{\tau}^M_\varphi$ associated to $\varphi$, initially defined on the algebra of $G$-invariant smoothing kernels of $G$-compact support, $\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M, E)$, extend to a dense holomorphically closed subalgebra of the Roe $C^*$-algebra $C^*(M, E)^G$. Our sufficient conditions are satisfied, for example, by Lie groups $G$ satisfying the Rapid Decay condition and such that $G/K$, with $K$ a maximal compact subgroup, has nonpositive sectional curvature. Connected semisimple Lie groups do satisfy these last two conditions and constitute one of the main example to which our results apply. More precisely, what we established in [43] is that under the stated assumptions on $G$ there exists a subalgebra $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}_{\text{c}}(M, E)$ of $C^*(M, E)^G$ which is dense and holomorphically closed and with the property that each $\tau^M_\varphi$, $\varphi \in Z^k_{\text{diff}}(G)$, extends from $\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M, E)$ to $\mathcal{A}^{\infty}_{\text{c}}(M, E)$. It then follows that the homomorphism

$$K_*(\mathcal{A}^G_G(M, E)) \xrightarrow{\langle \tau^M_\varphi, \cdot \rangle} \mathbb{C}$$

defined by $\tau^M_\varphi$ through the pairing

$$HC^*(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}_G(M, E)) \times K_*(\mathcal{A}^G_G(M, E)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

extends to a homomorphism

$$K_*(\mathcal{A}^{\infty}_{\text{c}}(M, E)) \equiv K_*(C^*(M, E)^G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$ 

The $C^*$-higher index associated to $\varphi \in Z^k_{\text{diff}}(G)$, $\text{Ind}_{\varphi}(D)$, is defined as the value that this last homomorphism attains on the $C^*$-index class $\text{Ind}(D) \in K_*(C^*(M, E)^G)$. With $M$ even dimensional and $\varphi \in Z^{2p}_{\text{diff}}(G)$, the index theorem of Pfaff, Posthuma and Tang [41] was then applied in order to give a geometric formula for this higher $C^*$-index:

$$\text{Ind}_{\varphi}(D) = \int_M \chi \text{AS}(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi$$

with $\chi$ a suitable cut-off function, $\text{AS}(M)$ a normalization of the Atiyah-Singer integrand, $\omega_\varphi$ a $G$-invariant closed differential form naturally associated to $\varphi$. This $C^*$-index formula allowed, in turn, to define higher genera on $M$. Most prominent are the higher $\hat{A}$-genera,

$$\hat{A}(M, \varphi) := \int_M \chi \hat{A}(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi$$

and the higher signatures

$$\sigma(M, \varphi) := \int_M \chi L(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi.$$ 

Properties of the $C^*$-index class allow to prove that the higher $\hat{A}$-genera are obstructions to the existence of a $G$-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature if $M$ admits a $G$-invariant spin structure and that the numbers $\sigma(M, \varphi)$ are $G$-proper homotopy invariants.

The goal of the present article is to extend these results to $G$-proper manifolds with boundary.
Crucial to our analysis will be the proof of a higher $C^*$-Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index formula on cocompact G-proper manifolds with boundary. We are now going to state this key result. First, let us discuss briefly our geometric set-up. We are given an even dimensional cocompact $G$-proper manifold with boundary $M$ endowed with a $G$-invariant riemannian metric $g$ which is of product type near the boundary: $g = dt^2 + g_\partial$ in a neighbourhood of $\partial M$. We consider $D$, a $G$-equivariant Dirac-type operator, acting on the sections of a $G$-equivariant complex vector bundle $E$ and with product structure near the boundary. We shall always assume that $|\pi_0(G)| < \infty$.

**Theorem 0.1.** Assume that the boundary operator $D_\partial$ is $L^2$-invertible. Then

1. There is a well-defined $C^*$-index class $\operatorname{Ind}(D) \in K_0(C^*(M, E)^G)$
2. If $G$ satisfies the RD condition then there exists a dense holomorphically closed subalgebra $A^\infty_G(M, E) \subset C^*(M, E)^G$ and a smooth representative of the index class $\operatorname{Ind}_\delta(D) \in K_0(A^\infty_G(M, E))$
3. if $\varphi \in Z^2_\text{diff}Z(G)$ is a group cocycle of polynomial growth then we can define a cyclic cocycle $\tau^M_\varphi$ on $A^\infty_G(M, E)$ and thus a higher $C^*$-index $\operatorname{Ind}_\varphi(D) := (-1)^p 2^{2p}/p! \langle [\tau^M_\varphi], \operatorname{Ind}_\delta(D) \rangle$
4. under the above assumptions there is a well-defined higher eta invariant $\eta_\varphi(D_\partial)$ and the following higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index formula holds:

$$\operatorname{Ind}_\varphi(D) = \int_M \chi AS(M) \land \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \eta_\varphi(D_\partial).$$

The above theorem fits into a long series of results generalizing the seminal work of Atiyah-Patodi and Singer [1]. We shall not attempt to reconstruct the history of this particular branch of index theory here but would like to mention the recent preprints [15, 19], devoted precisely to $G$-proper manifolds with boundary; in these papers the index class $\operatorname{Ind}(D) \in K_0(C^*(M, E)^G)$ is also defined and index formulae are proved for the pairing of it with certain 0-cocycles. We shall comment further on this point later in the paper.

Going back to the statement of theorem 0.1 we have proved in part 1 that if $G$ is a Lie groups satisfying the Rapid Decay condition and such that $G/K$, with $K$ a maximal compact subgroup, has nonpositive sectional curvature, then for any $\alpha \in H^2_{\text{diff}}(G)$ there exists a representative $\varphi \in Z^2_{\text{diff}}(G)$ which is of polynomial growth. For these Lie groups, for example *semisimple Lie groups*, all the conclusions of the above theorem hold, provided of course that $D_\partial$ is $L^2$-invertible.

We use the above theorem in order to introduce and study higher $\hat{A}$-genera and higher signatures on a $G$-proper manifold with boundary, with $G$ satisfying the assumptions of theorem 0.1. For example, if $(M, g)$ admits a $G$-invariant spin structure and is such that $g_\partial$ is of positive scalar curvature, then the numbers

$$\left\{ \left( \int_M \chi \hat{A}(M) \land \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\eta}_\varphi(D_\partial) \right), \ [\varphi] \in H^2_{\text{diff}}(G) \right\},$$

where $\hat{\eta}_\varphi(D_\partial) := (2\pi i)^p \eta_\varphi(D_\partial)$, $[\varphi] \in H^2_{\text{diff}}(G)$, are, by definition, the higher $\hat{A}$-genera of $M$. They are obstructions to the existence of an isotopy from $g_\partial$ to a $G$-metric of positive scalar curvature $h_\partial$ which admits an extension to a $G$-metric $h$ on $M$ which is of product type and of positive scalar curvature on all of $M$.

For the proof of theorem 0.1 we use an extension of the $b$-calculus of Melrose and, most crucially, the interplay between the pairing

$$HC^*(A^\infty_G(M, E)) \times K_*(A^\infty_G(M, E)) \to \mathbb{C}$$

used in the definition of $\langle [\tau^M_\varphi], \operatorname{Ind}_\delta(D) \rangle$, and a relative pairing

$$HC^*(bA^\infty_{G, \mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{N}+\partial M, E)) \times K_*(bA^\infty_{G, \mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{N}+\partial M, E)) \to \mathbb{C}$$

for algebras of $b$-smoothing operators fitting into a short exact sequence of algebras

$$0 \to A^\infty_G(M, E) \to bA^\infty_{G, \mathbb{R}^+}(\mathbb{N}+\partial M, E) \to 0.$$

Crucial in the proof of the index formula is the definition of a relative cyclic cocycle $(\tau^{M, r}_\varphi, \sigma_\varphi)$ and a relative smooth index class $\operatorname{Ind}_\delta(D, D_\partial)$ such that

$$\langle [\tau^{M, r}_\varphi], \operatorname{Ind}_\delta(D) \rangle = \langle [\tau^{M, r}_\varphi], \sigma_\varphi \rangle, \operatorname{Ind}_\delta(D, D_\partial)$$
with the pairing in (0.5) used on the right hand side. In (0.7) \( \tau^\ell(M,r) \) is a cyclic cochain in \( b\mathcal{A}_G^\ell(M,E) \) defined through a regularization à la Melrose; the higher eta invariant appearing in the statement of theorem (0.1) is defined in terms of the cocycle \( \sigma_\ell \), which is therefore called the eta cocycle. The proof of the fact that \( (\tau^\ell(M,r),\sigma_\ell) \) is indeed a relative cocycle employs (an extension of) the \( b \)-trace formula for a commutator, due to Melrose. Notice that the relative cocycle is initially defined on algebras of \( G \)-compactly supported kernels and its extension to the larger algebras appearing in (0.6) does require a finer analysis with respect to the closed case.

Having established the crucial step (0.7), with the left hand side being \( \text{Ind}_\ell(D) \) up to the constant \( (-1)^p \frac{2\pi i}{p} \), the index formula (0.2) is proved by writing down explicitly the relative pairing and applying a Getzler rescaling argument to the operator \( uD \) as \( u \downarrow 0^+ \). The above technique was initiated in [38] where a higher APS index formula for the Godbillon-Vey cocycle on a foliated bundle with boundary was proved; this technique has been also used successfully in the higher APS index theorem on Galois coverings, see [12]. Relative cyclic cohomology and relative K-theory played a key role also in work of Lesch-Moscovici-Pflaum [32].

Notice that even though the logical path leading to theorem (0.1) was rather clear from the outset, there are a number of technical issues that need to be resolved when we pass from Galois coverings to \( G \)-proper manifolds. Sorting out these issues is certainly one contribution of this article; moreover, in the process we needed to clarify certain aspects of the theory in the case of closed \( G \)-proper manifolds as well. We believe that these aspects have their intrinsic interest.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 1, we elaborate on the results proved in Part 1 in the closed case: in particular we give a heat-kernel proof of the index formula on \( G \)-proper manifolds proved by the second author with Pflaum and Tang and of its sharpening into a \( C^* \)-index formula, proved in Part 1 of this work. This requires, among other things, to prove that if \( G \) satisfies the RD condition with respect to a length function \( L \), then the heat kernel and the Connes-Moscovici projector belong to the algebra of kernels \( \mathcal{A}_G^\ell(M,E) \) associated to the dense holomorphically closed algebra \( H^\ell_\Gamma(G) \subset C^*_r \). In Section 2 we give an alternative approach to this last result, using the Cauchy-integral representation of the heat operator. We also establish results that will be crucial later on for manifolds with boundary. Section 3 is devoted to some geometric preliminaries on \( G \)-proper manifolds with boundary and on Dirac-type operators on them. We also present a few examples. In Section 4 we prove that under the \( L^2 \)-invertibility assumption on the boundary operator, there is a well-defined APS \( C^* \)-index class \( \text{Ind}_{C^*}(D) \in K_* (C^*(M \subset M_\infty,E)^G) \), with \( M_\infty \) the manifolds with cylindrical ends associated to \( M \) and \( C^*(M \subset M_\infty,E)^G \) denoting the associated Roe algebra. This class can in fact be defined in a number of equivalent ways; we use \( b \)-calculus techniques, given that they will be crucial in the proof of the higher index formula. In Section 5 under the RD assumption on \( G \), we prove the existence of a smooth APS index class in the K-theory of a dense holomorphically closed subalgebra of \( C^*(M \subset M_\infty,E)^G \). We also prove that this class corresponds under excision to a relative smooth index class. In Section 6 we define the relative cyclic cocycle associated to \( \tau^\ell_M \). Finally in Section 7 we establish the higher APS \( C^* \)-index formula. Section 8 is devoted to the definition of higher genera on \( G \)-proper manifolds with boundary. We close the paper with an Appendix containing results known to the experts but for which we could not find precise and citable references.
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1. Getzler rescaling and higher indices in the closed case

A fundamental step in the analysis of the higher genera defined in Part 1, is the higher index formula established by the second author with Pflaum and Tang, see [11]. The proof of the higher index formula in [11] is based on the algebraic index theorem of Nest and Tsygan [39]. The main goal of this section is to give a proof of this formula using Getzler rescaling techniques and to upgrade it to a higher \( C^* \)-index formula under suitable assumptions on \( G \).
1.1. Three algebras of kernels. Let $M$ be a closed smooth manifold carrying a smooth proper action of a Lie group $G$ by $(g, x) \mapsto g \cdot x$, $g \in G$, $x \in M$. We assume that $G$ has finitely many connected components and that the quotient is compact. We let $E$ be an equivariant complex vector bundle over $M$. We choose an invariant complete Riemannian metric denoted by $h$, with associated distance function denoted by $d_M(x, y)$ for $x, y \in M$, and volume form $d\text{vol}(x)$.

Assume that $G$ satisfies the Rapid Decay (RD) condition. The aim of the current section is to introduce a chain of algebras

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E) \subset \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M, E) \subset \mathcal{A}_G^\ast(M, E)^G,
\end{equation}

all subalgebras of the Roe $C^\ast$-algebra $\mathcal{C}^\ast(M, E)^G$, defined below, which all can be thought of as algebras of integral kernels.

The first algebra $\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E)$ on the left is the algebra of smooth kernels of $G$-compact support, i.e.,

\[\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E) := \{ A \in C^\infty(M \times M, E \boxtimes E^*)^G, \quad \pi(\text{supp}(A)) \subset (M \times M)/G \text{ compact}\},\]

where $\pi : M \times M \to (M \times M)/G$ denotes the projection with respect to the diagonal action. It is well known that $\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E)$ is a Fréchet algebra under the convolution product $\ast$. Each element $A \in \mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E)$ defines an equivariant linear operator $S_A : C^\infty_{\text{c}}(M, E) \to C^\infty_c(M, E)$, the integral operator associated to the kernel $A$. One proves that $S_{A_1} \circ S_{A_2} = S_{A_1 \ast A_2}$ and that $S_A$ extends to an equivariant bounded operator on $L^2(M, E)$. The corresponding subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(M, E))$ is denoted by $S_\infty^G(M, E)$; by definition

\begin{equation}
S_\infty^G(M, E) := \{ S_A, A \in \mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E) \}.
\end{equation}

We shall often identify $S_\infty^G(M, E)$ with $\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E)$. Recall also that $S_\infty^G(M, E)$ is a subalgebra of the Roe algebra $C^\ast$-algebra $\mathcal{C}^\ast(M, E)^G$ defined as the closure of the bounded $G$-equivariant operators on $L^2(M, E)$ that are locally compact and of finite propagation.

The second algebra in the chain (1.1) is given by exponentially rapidly decaying invariant kernels:

\[\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M, E) := \left\{ A \in C^\infty(M \times M, E \boxtimes E^*)^G, \quad \sup_{x, y \in M} \left| e^{q d_M(x, y) \nabla_x \nabla_y} A(x, y) \right| < C_q, \quad \text{for all } q, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.
\]

It is proved in Appendix A.1 that these kernels indeed form an algebra under convolution, in fact a Fréchet algebra with the obvious seminorms.

It is a well-known fact [11] that, because the $G$-action on $M$ is proper and $G$ has finitely many connected components, there exists a global slice: this is a compact submanifold $S \subset M$ on which the $G$-action restricts to an action of maximal compact subgroup $K \subset G$, such that the natural map

\[G \times K \to M, \quad [g, x] \mapsto g \cdot x,\]

is a diffeomorphism. This decomposition of $M$ induces an isomorphism

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_G^\infty(M, E) \cong \left( C^\infty_c(G) \hat{\otimes} \Psi^{-\infty}(S, E|_S) \right)^{K \times K},
\end{equation}

where $\Psi^{-\infty}(S)$ denotes the algebra of smoothing operators on $S$. Here $\hat{\otimes}$ denotes the completed tensor product of the Fréchet algebras on both sides. For this we identify $\Psi^{-\infty}(S) \cong C^\infty(S \times S)$ and we use the $C^\infty$-topologies of uniform convergence of derivatives, written as $\| | |_\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is a multiindex labeling the derivatives. Remark that because $S$ is compact, $\Psi^{-\infty}(S)$ is is nuclear and therefore all topological tensor products $\hat{\otimes}$ coincide.

Similarly, for the exponentially rapidly decaying kernels we have the decomposition

\[\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M, E) \cong \left( \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(G) \hat{\otimes} \Psi^{-\infty}(S, E|_S) \right)^{K \times K},\]

where, to define $\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(G)$, the group $G$ is viewed as a $G$ space with respect to left multiplication. Furthermore, by compactness of $K$ and $S$, the $G$-invariant metric on $M$ is equivalent to a metric induced by a left-invariant metric on $G$: we write $L(g)$ for the function measuring the distance from the unit element $e \in G$. Then we have

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(G) := \left\{ f \in C^\infty(G), \quad \sup_{g \in G} e^{q L(g)} | Df(g) | < C \forall q, \quad D \in U(g) \right\}
\end{equation}

Finally, we introduce

\begin{equation}
\mathcal{A}_G^\ast(M, E) := \left( H^\infty_c(K) \hat{\otimes} \Psi^{-\infty}(S, E|_S) \right)^{K \times K},
\end{equation}
where
\[ H^\infty_L(G) := \{ f \in L^2(G), \ g \mapsto (1 + L(g))^k f(g) \in L^2(G) \ \forall k \} \]
with \( L \) the length function on \( G \). This is a Fréchet space with seminorms
\[
\nu_k(f) := \left( \int_G |f(g)|^2 (1 + L(g))^{2k} \, dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]

Next, recall that in the projective tensor product \( (1.3) \) we can write elements as \( A = \sum_i \lambda_i f_i \otimes a_i \) with \( \sum_i |\lambda_i| < \infty \) and \( \{f_i\} \) and \( \{a_i\} \) null sequences in \( C^\infty_c(G) \) and \( \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \). By defining
\[ \Phi_A(g) := \sum_i \lambda_i f_i(g) a_i \in \Psi^{-\infty}(S), \ g \in G, \]
we obtain a map from \( G \) to \( \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \) invariant under \( K \times K \) action:
\[ \Phi_A(k_1 k_2^{-1}) = \rho(k_1) \circ \Phi(g) \circ \rho(k_2^{-1}), \ \ k_1, k_2 \in K, \ g \in G. \]
Under this identification, the product is defined by combining the composition in \( \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \) with convolution:
\[
(\Phi_1 * \Phi_2)(g) = \int_G (\Phi_1(gh^{-1}) \circ \Phi_2(h)) \, dh.
\]

For the following, recall that \( ||T||_\alpha \) denotes the semi-norm of a smoothing operator \( T \in \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \).

**Proposition 1.7.** The map \( A \mapsto \Phi_A \) induces isomorphisms
\[
A^G_c(M, E) \cong \{ \Phi : G \to \Psi^{-\infty}(S), \ smooth, \ compactly \ supported \ and \ K \times K \ invariant \},
\]
\[
A^{G, \text{exp}}_c(M, E) \cong \{ \Phi : G \to \Psi^{-\infty}(S), \ smooth, \ K \times K \ invariant \ and \ \sup_{g \in G} e^{qL(g)} ||D\Phi(g)||_\alpha < C \ \forall q, \alpha, k, \ D \in U(g) \},
\]
\[
A^{G, \text{exp}}_c(M, E) \cong \{ \Phi : G \to \Psi^{-\infty}(S), \ K \times K \ invariant \ and \ g \mapsto (1 + L(g))^k ||\Phi(g)||_\alpha \in L^2(G) \ \forall \alpha, k, \ell \}
\]
Consequently
\[
A^G_c(M, E) \subset A^{G, \text{exp}}_c(M, E) \subset A^{G, \text{exp}}_c(M, E).
\]

**Proof.** First remark that the map \( A \mapsto \Phi_A \) maps the algebraic tensor product \( A \otimes \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \), where \( A \) can be any of the three choices \( C^\infty_c(G), A^{\text{exp}}_c(G) \) or \( H^\infty_L(G) \), into the algebras on the right hand side of the proposition above. It therefore suffices to show that the topologies on the algebras on the right hand side induce the topologies on the tensor products on the left hand side. For the first isomorphism this follows from the well-known isomorphisms of Fréchet spaces
\[
C^\infty_c(G \times S \times S) \cong C^\infty_c(G, C^\infty_c(S \times S)) \cong C^\infty_c(G) \hat{\otimes} C^\infty_c(S \times S),
\]
and implementing \( K \times K \) invariance. For the second algebra, we remark that the isomorphisms above still hold true when we drop the compact support, under which the families of seminorms
\[
\sup_{x,y \in M} |e^{qd^M(x,y)} \hat{\nabla}_x m \hat{\nabla}_y A(x, y)| \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{g \in G} e^{q\hat{d}(c,g)} ||D\Phi_A(g)||_{\alpha, k}
\]
are equivalent.

For the final isomorphism we observe that for \( A = \sum_i f_i \otimes a_i \in H^\infty_L(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \) we have
\[
||\Phi_A||_{k, \ell} = ||\sum_i f_i \otimes a_i||_{k, \ell} \leq \sum_i ||f_i(1 + L)^\ell||_{L^2(G)} ||a_i||_k.
\]
Taking the infimum over all ways of writing \( A = \sum_i f_i \otimes a_i \), we conclude that \( ||\Phi_A||_{k, \ell} \leq \inf \sum_i ||f_i||_{\ell} ||a_i||_k \).
Because this infimum defines exactly the seminorms appearing in the projective tensor product, this shows that the map \( A \mapsto \Phi_A \) extends to the completion and gives exactly the third isomorphism. \( \square \)
1.2. **Index classes of $G$-equivariant Dirac operators.** Suppose now that $M$ is an even-dimensional closed manifold equipped with a proper, co-compact action of $G$. Let $D$ be an odd $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded Dirac operator, equivariant with respect to the $G$-action. Recall, first of all, the classical Connes-Skandalis idempotent. Let $Q$ be a $G$-equivariant parametrix of $G$-compact support with remainders $S_{\pm}$; consider the $2 \times 2$ matrix

\begin{equation}
(1.8) \quad P_Q := \begin{pmatrix}
S_{+}^2 & S_{+}(I + S_{+})Q \\
S_{-}D^+ & I - S_{+}^2
\end{pmatrix}.
\end{equation}

This produces a well-defined class

\begin{equation}
(1.9) \quad \text{Ind}_c(D) := [P_Q] - [e_1] \in K_0(A_G^c(M, E)) \equiv K_0(S_G^c(M, E)) \text{ with } e_1 := \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}

**Definition 1.10.** The $C^*$-index associated to $D$ is the class $\text{Ind}_{C^*(M, E)}(D) \in K_0(C^*(M, E)^G)$ obtained by considering $[P_Q] - [e_1]$ as a formal difference of idempotents with entries in $C^*(M, E)^G$, under the continuous inclusion $i : A_G^c(M, E) \equiv S_G^c(M, E) \hookrightarrow C^*(M, E)^G$.

We shall also denote this class more simply by $\text{Ind}_{C^*}(D)$.

One can also give a definition of $\text{Ind}_{C^*(M, E)}(D) \in K_0(C^*(M, E)^G)$ using Coarse Index Theory, see [10]; the compatibility of the two definitions is proved in [15] Proposition 2.1.

There is another way of defining this class, due to Connes–Moscovici [9], which uses the parametrix

\begin{equation}
(1.11) \quad Q := \frac{I - \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+)}{D^-D^+}D^+
\end{equation}

with $I - QD^+ = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+)$, $I - D^+Q = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-)$. This particular choice of parametrix produces the idempotent

\begin{equation}
(1.12) \quad V(D) = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-D^-D^+} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+}\left(I - e^{-D^-D^+}\left(I - e^{-D^+D^-}\right)\right) \\
e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-} & I - e^{-D^+D^-}
\end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}

The following Proposition, well known to the experts, clarifies in which algebra this idempotent lives. As we could not find a detailed proof, we supply one in the Appendix.

**Proposition 1.13.** The idempotent $V(D)$ is an element in $M_{2 \times 2}(A_G^{\exp}(M, E))$ (with the identity adjoined).

We can thus define

\begin{equation}
(1.14) \quad \text{Ind}_{\exp}(D) = [V_D] - [e_1] \in K_0(A_G^{\exp}(M, E))
\end{equation}

Using the inclusion $i : A_G^{\exp}(M, E) \hookrightarrow C^*(M, E)^G$ we can express the $C^*(M, E)^G$-index class as the image of $[V_D] - [e_1]$ in $K_0(C^*(M, E)^G)$. If $G$ satisfies the RD condition then we know that there exists a continuous inclusion $A_G^{\exp}(M, E) \hookrightarrow A^{\infty}(M, E)$. In this case, as $A^{\infty}(M, E)$ is homomorphically closed in $C^*(M, E)$, we have

\begin{equation}
(1.15) \quad \text{Ind}_{C^*(M, E)}(D) \equiv \text{Ind}_{A^{\infty}(M, E)}(D) = [V_D] - [e_1] \in K_0(A^{\infty}(M, E)) = K_0(C^*(M, E)^G)
\end{equation}

The advantage of using $\text{Ind}_{A^{\infty}(M, E)}(D)$ is that we can use Getzler rescaling in order to prove an index theorem for the higher $C^*$-indices defined Subsection 1.13.

**Remark 1.16.** If $G$ is semisimple, $M$ admits a $G$-equivariant spin$_c$ structure and $D$ is the spin$_c$ Dirac operator with values in a $G$-equivariant vector bundle, the property that $V(D)$ is an element in $M_{2 \times 2}(A_G^{\infty}(M))$ also follows from Hochs-Song-Tang [21] (building on Hochs-Wang [20]), where it is proved that the Connes-Moscovici projector is a $2 \times 2$-matrix with entries in the dense homomorphically closed subalgebra of $C^*(M, E)^G$ constructed through the slice theorem using the Harish-Chandra subalgebra $\mathcal{C}(G)$ (and we know that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ is contained in $H^\infty_L(G)$ when $G$ is semisimple).

In the next section we shall see yet another approach to these results.
1.3. Cyclic cocycles and the Van Est map.
Here we briefly recall the construction of cyclic cocycles from smooth group cohomology [40] and the identification of the van Est map as the pull-back along the classifying map $M \to G/K$ [43]. For any Lie group $G$, denote by $(C^\bullet_{\text{diff},\lambda}(G), \delta)$ the cochain complex of smooth, homogeneous group cochains

$$C^k_{\text{diff},\lambda}(G) := \{ c \in C^\infty(G^{(k+1)}, \mathbb{C}), \ c(gg_0, \ldots, gg_k) = c(g_0, \ldots, g_k), \ c(g_0, \ldots, g_k) = (-1)^k c(g_k, g_0, \ldots, g_{k-1}) \},$$

equipped with the standard differential. Also associated to $G$ is the cyclic cochain complex $C^\bullet_{\lambda}(C^\infty_c(G))$ of the convolution algebra $C^\infty_c(G)$. In [40] an explicit morphism $C^k_{\text{diff},\lambda}(G) \to C^\bullet_{\lambda}(C^\infty_c(G))$ of cochain complexes is described.

Now let $M$ be a closed smooth manifold equipped with a proper, cocompact action of $G$. As above, we denote by $\mathcal{A}_G^c(M)$ the algebra of $G$-invariant smoothing operators with compact $G$-support, with associated cyclic cochain complex $C^\bullet_{\lambda}(\mathcal{A}_G^c(M))$. In [41, 43] morphisms are constructed as in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Omega^\bullet_{\text{inv}}(G/K) & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{A}} & C^\bullet_{\text{diff},\lambda}(G) \\
\Omega^\bullet_{\text{inv}}(M) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & C^\bullet_{\lambda}(C^\infty_c(G)) \\
\end{array}$$

In this diagram, the triangle on the left gives the standard van Est theory involving the invariant differential forms on $M$ and $G/K$, where $K$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G$. Explicitly, the left diagonal map is given as follows. Fix a cut-off function $\chi$ for the action of $G$, that is, $\chi \in C^\infty_c(M)$ and $\int_G \chi(g^{-1}x)dg = 1 \forall x \in M$.

Associated to a cochain $\varphi \in C^k_{\text{diff},\lambda}(G)$ is a smooth function $f^\varphi \in C^\infty_c(M^{(k+1)})$ given by

$$f^\varphi(x_0, \ldots, x_k) := \int_{G^{(k+1)}} \chi(g_0^{-1}x_0) \cdots \chi(g_k^{-1}x_k) \varphi(g_0, \ldots, g_k) dg_0 \cdots dg_k.$$

With this notation, the invariant differential form $\omega_\varphi \in \Omega^k_{\text{inv}}(M)$ associated to $\varphi$ is defined as

$$\omega_\varphi := (d_1 \cdots d_k f^\varphi)|_{\Delta}$$

where $d_i$ means taking the differential in the $i$th variable, and $\Delta : M \to M^{(k+1)}$ is the diagonal embedding.

The other diagonal map is given by writing, for convenience only, $f^\varphi = f_0^\varphi \otimes \cdots \otimes f_k^\varphi$ and writing down the following cyclic cocycle on $\mathcal{A}_G^c(M)$:

$$\tau_\varphi^M(A_0, \ldots, A_k) := \text{Tr}_\chi(f_0^\varphi \cdot A_0 \cdots f_k^\varphi \cdot A_k),$$

where $\text{Tr}_\chi : \mathcal{A}_G^c(M) \to \mathbb{C}$ is the trace given by

$$\text{Tr}_\chi(A) := \int_M \chi(x) A(x, x) dx.$$

Let us now rewrite this cyclic cocycle in a more convenient form, using the global slice $G \times_K S \cong M$ which identifies elements $A \in \mathcal{A}_G^c(M)$ with $K \times K$ equivariant maps $\Phi_A : G \to \Psi^{-\infty}(S)$ by defining

$$\Phi_A(g, s_1, s_2) := A(s_1, gs_2).$$

Using, as in [43] Lemma 2.1, a family of cut-off functions $\{ \chi_\epsilon \}_{\epsilon > 0}$ which converges in the limit $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ to the characteristic function on $S$ in the distributional sense, the trace (1.19) can be written as

$$\text{Tr}_\chi(A) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int_M \chi_\epsilon(x) A(x, x) dx = \text{Tr}_S(\Phi_A(\epsilon)),$$

where $\text{Tr}_S$ denotes the trace on $\Psi^{-\infty}(S)$, given by integration of the kernel over the diagonal. We can work out the cyclic cocycle $\tau_\varphi^M$ in (1.18) in similar fashion to obtain:

$$\tau_\varphi^M(A_0, \ldots, A_k) = \int_{G^{(k)}} \text{Tr}_S \left( \Phi_{A_0}((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1}) \circ \Phi_{A_1}(g_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{A_k}(g_k) \right) \varphi(e, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_k.$$
When \( M = G \), i.e., \( S = \{ \text{pt.} \} \), this formula reduces to the usual cyclic cocycle
\[
\tau^G_\varphi(a_0, \ldots, a_k) := \int_{G \times_k} a_0((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1})a_1(g_1)\cdots a_k(g_k)\varphi(e, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1\cdots g_k)dg_1 \cdots dg_k.
\]
on the convolution algebra \( C^\infty_c(G) \). This association \( \varphi \mapsto \tau^G_\varphi \) describes the horizontal map in the upper right corner of the diagram.

### 1.4. Getzler rescaling.

We now return to the setting of a \( G \)-equivariant Dirac operator \( D \) on an even dimensional manifold. Let us consider the index class \( \text{Ind}_c(D) \in K_0(\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M)) \) defined for example through the Connes-Skandalis projector associated to a \( G \)-compact parametrix. Given a smooth group cocycle \( \varphi \) of degree \( 2p \), we can pair the associated cyclic cocycle \( \tau^M_\varphi \in C^{2p}(\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M)) \) with the index class \( \text{Ind}_c(D) \) and so define the higher index
\[
\text{Ind}_{c, \varphi}(D) := (-1)^p \frac{2p!}{p!} \langle \tau^M_\varphi, \text{Ind}_c(D) \rangle.
\]
It is important to notice that this is not a \( C^* \)-higher index. In \([40]\), a higher index theorem is proved giving a topological formula for the outcome of this pairing using the algebraic index theorem in deformation quantization. Here we shall give a proof using heat kernels and Getzler rescaling which is better adapted for the case of manifolds with boundary that we are aiming for. We shall treat explicitly the spin case but the proof can be generalized to any Dirac operator (associated to a unitary Clifford action and to a Clifford connection) in the usual fashion.

**Theorem 1.20.** Let \( \varphi \in C^2_{\text{diff}}(\lambda(G)) \), and consider the Connes–Moscovici projector \([V(D)]−[e_1] \in K_0(\mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M, E))\). Assume that the associated cyclic cocycle \( \tau^M_\varphi \) extends from \( \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M) \) to \( \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M, E) \). Then the following identities hold true:
\[
\langle \tau^M_\varphi, [V(D)]−[e_1] \rangle = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \langle \tau^M_\varphi, [V(tD)]−[e_1] \rangle = \frac{p!}{2p!} \frac{(-1)^p}{(2\pi i)^p} \int_M \chi \hat{A}(M) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}.
\]

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem follows by adapting the original proof of the localized index formula in \([9]\) to our setting. As in that proof, we use Getzler’s symbol calculus for pseudodifferential operators acting on spinor bundles, now in the invariant setting. In particular, Getzler’s fundamental trace formula \([11]\) Thm 3.7 for the trace of an invariant smoothing operator acting on the sections of the spinor bundle now becomes:
\[
\text{Tr}_x^\lambda(P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\dim M}} \int_{T^\ast_M} \chi(x)\text{tr}_s(\sigma_{t^{-1}}(P))(x, \xi)d\xi,
\]
where \( \sigma_{t^{-1}}(P) \) denotes the rescaled Getzler symbol and \( \text{tr}_s : \text{Cliff}(T_xM) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \) denotes the Berezin trace. The appearance of the cut-off function \( \chi(x) \) is in accordance with the formula \([11, 19]\) for the trace on the algebra \( \mathcal{A}_G^{\text{exp}}(M) \) of smoothing operators.

As in \([9]\) §3 we can write the pairing in terms of this trace as
\[
\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \langle \tau_\varphi, [V(tD)]−[e_1] \rangle = \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \text{Tr}_x^\lambda(\Pi(t)) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\dim M}} \int_{T^\ast_M} \chi(x)\text{tr}_s(\sigma_{t^{-1}}(\Pi(t)))(x, \xi)d\xi,
\]
with \( \Pi(t) \) the invariant smoothing operator as in \([9]\) for our specific Alexander–Spanier cochain \( f^\varphi \) given in \([1, 17]\). For each point \( x \in M \), the evaluation of the quantity
\[
\int_{T^\ast_M} \text{tr}_s(\sigma_{t^{-1}}(\Pi(t)))(x, \xi)d\xi
\]
proceeds as in \([9]\) §3 to give
\[
\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\dim M}} \int_{T^\ast_M} \chi(x)\text{tr}_s(\sigma_{t^{-1}}(\Pi(t)))(x, \xi)d\xi = \frac{(-1)^p}{(2\pi i)^p} \frac{p!}{2p!} \int_M \chi \hat{A}(M) f^\varphi_1 df^\varphi_1 \wedge df^\varphi_k = \frac{(-1)^p}{(2\pi i)^p} \frac{p!}{2p!} \int_M \chi \hat{A}(M) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}.
\]
This completes the proof. \( \square \)
1.5. The $C^*$-index theorem. In this subsection we will elaborate on the $C^*$-index theorem that we have established in Part 1. Our presentation will be crucial later in the paper, when we shall pass to manifolds with boundary.

**Theorem 1.21.** Assume that $G$ has finitely many connected components and satisfies the RD condition. Let $\varphi \in Z^2_{\text{dif}}(G)$ be a cocycle of polynomial growth and consider the $C^*$-index class $\text{Ind}_{C^*}(M,E)(D) \in K_0(C^*(M,E)^G)$. Then the homomorphism $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: K_0(\mathcal{A}_G^c(M,E)) \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by the pairing $HC^*(\mathcal{A}_G^c(M,E)) \times K_0((\mathcal{A}_G^c(M,E))^G) \to \mathbb{C}$ extends to a homomorphism $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle: K_0(\mathcal{A}_G^c(M,E)) = K_0(C^*(M,E)^G) \to \mathbb{C}$ and for the higher $C^*$-indices

$$\text{Ind}_{\varphi}(D) = (-1)^{2p}\frac{2p!}{p!} \langle \tau_{\varphi}^M, \text{Ind}_{C^*}(M,E)(D) \rangle$$

the following $C^*$-higher index formula holds:

$$\text{Ind}_{\varphi}(D) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p} \int_M \chi \hat{A}(M) \wedge \text{Ch}(E) \wedge \omega_{\varphi}.$$ 

**Proof.** For notation convenience we expunge $E$ from the notation. We shall freely use the alternative description of $\mathcal{A}_G^c(M)$ and $\mathcal{A}_G^c(M)$ given in Proposition 1.27:

$$\mathcal{A}_G^c(M) = \{ \Phi: G \to \Psi^{-\infty}(S), \text{ smooth, compactly supported and } K \times K \text{ invariant} \},$$

where smoothness is with respect to the seminorms $|| \cdot ||_{a}$ defining the Fréchet structure of $\Psi^{-\infty}(S)$, and

$$\mathcal{A}_G^c(M) := \{ \Phi: G \to \Psi^{-\infty}(S), K \times K \text{ invariant such that } g \mapsto (1 + L(g))^k p_{x,k}(\Phi(g)) \in L^2(G) \forall x, k \}.$$

**Lemma 1.24.** The map $\Phi \to ||\Phi(\cdot)||_1$ defines a continuous application $\mathcal{A}_G^c(M) \to H^\infty_L(G)$.

**Proof.** We are considering the composition

$$G \xrightarrow{\varphi} \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \xrightarrow{||\cdot||_1} \mathbb{R}$$

and so it suffices to prove that the map $\Psi^{-\infty}(S) \ni A \to ||A||_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. This is well-known but we present the argument nevertheless. Consider the operator $A_{k}$ defined by a smoothing kernel $\kappa$ and write $A_{k}$ as $(1 + \Delta)^{-M} \circ ((1 + \Delta)^{M}A_{k})$ for $M > \dim S/2$, so that

$$||A_{k}||_1 \leq ||(1 + \Delta)^{-M}||_1 ||((1 + \Delta)^{M}A_{k})|| \leq ||(1 + \Delta)^{-M}||_1 ||(1 + \Delta)^{M}A_{k}||_2$$

where the last norm is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. The trace norm of $(1 + \Delta)^{-M}$ is a constant whereas we can obviously bound the second factor on the right hand side by one of the seminorms defining the Fréchet structure of $\Psi^{-\infty}(S)$; indeed, the kernel of $(1 + \Delta)^{M}A_{k}$ is $L(x,y) := (1 + \Delta_x)\kappa(x,y)$ and we know that

$$||((1 + \Delta)^{M}A_{k})||_2 = \int |L(x,y)|^2 dxdy.$$ 

The Lemma is proved.}

We go back to the proof of the Theorem. Let $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_k \in \mathcal{A}_G^c(M)$. We want to estimate $||\tau_{\varphi}^M(\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_k)||$, that is, the absolute value of

$$\int_{G^k} \int_{S^{k+1}} \Phi_0((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1})(s_0, s_1)\Phi_1(g_1)(s_1, s_2) \cdots \Phi_k(g_k)(s_k, s_0) \varphi(e, g_1, g_1 g_2, \ldots, g_1 g_2 \ldots g_k) ds_0 \cdots ds_k dg_1 \cdots dg_k$$

Bringing the absolute value under the sign of integral, using Lidski’s theorem, $\text{Tr} K = \int_S K(s,s) ds$, and the well-known estimate $||\text{Tr}(K)|| \leq ||K||_1$ we have

$$||\tau_{\varphi}^M(\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_k)|| \leq \int_{G^k} ||\Phi_0((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1}) \circ \Phi_1(g_1)(s_1, s_2) \cdots \circ \Phi_k(g_k)(s_k, s_0)|| \varphi(e, g_1, g_1 g_2, \ldots, g_1 g_2 \ldots g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_k$$

$$\leq \int_{G^k} ||\Phi_0((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1})||_1 ||\Phi_1(g_1)||_1 \cdots ||\Phi_k((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1})||_1 \varphi(e, g_1, g_1 g_2, \ldots, g_1 g_2 \ldots g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_k$$

$$= \tau_{\varphi}^G(||\Phi_0(\cdot)||_1, \ldots, ||\Phi_k(\cdot)||_1)$$

Now, under the present assumptions we have proved in Part 1 that

$$\tau_{\varphi}^G(||\Phi_0(\cdot)||_1, \ldots, ||\Phi_k(\cdot)||_1) \leq C_{\nu_{p+\ell}}(||\Phi_0(\cdot)||_1) \cdots \nu_{p+\ell}(||\Phi_k(\cdot)||_1)$$
with \( p \) given by the hypothesis of polynomial growth of \( \varphi \) and \( \ell \) given by the RD assumption. See Proposition 5.6 in Part 1. Given \( \Phi \in A^G_\infty(M) \), let us introduce the following norm:

\[
|||\Phi|||^2_m := \int_G ||\Phi(g)||_1^2 (1 + L(g))^{2m} dg.
\]

Notice that \( \nu_{p+\ell}(||\Phi||_1) = ||\Phi||_{p+\ell} \). The expression \( \int_G ||\Phi(g)||_1^2 (1 + L(g))^{2m} dg \) can also be written for \( \Phi \in A^\infty_G(M) \) and we know from the Lemma that if \( \Phi \in A^\infty_G(M) \) then for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we have that \( |||\Phi|||_m < \infty \). Consequently given \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we have that

\[
A^\infty_G(M) \subset A^\infty_G(C)[|||\cdot|||_m].
\]

Since we have proved that

\[
|\gamma^G_{M}(\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_k)| \leq C|||\Phi_0|||_{p+\ell} \cdots |||\Phi_k|||_{p+\ell}
\]

we conclude, finally, that \( \gamma^G_{M} \) extends continuously to \( A^\infty_G(M) \).

The index formula follows immediately from (1.15) and Theorem 1.20. \( \square \)


We have proved in Proposition 1.13 that the Connes-Moscovici projector \( V(D) \) is an element in \( M_{2 \times 2}(A^\exp_G(M)) \). If \( G \) satisfies the RD condition, then, from the inclusion \( A^\exp_G(M) \subset A^\infty_G(M) \), we have deduced that \( V(D) \) is an element in \( M_{2 \times 2}(A^\infty_G(M)) \) and that it is a representative of the \( C^* \)-index class.

In this section we want to present a different approach to these results, based on a direct analysis of the right hand side of

\[
\exp(-tD^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{-\lambda D^2} (\lambda - D)^{-1} d\lambda
\]

This analysis has the advantage of extending easily to manifolds with cylindrical ends which is why we present it now in the closed case. Moreover, some of the results needed in our discussion will be used crucially later in the paper and are, in our opinion, of independent interest.

For some of our results, as in Part 1, we shall consider any Fréchet algebra \( A(G) \) with a continuous inclusion \( C^\infty_c(G) \subset A(G) \) and the following two properties:

(i) there exists a continuous injective map \( A(G) \hookrightarrow C^*_r(G) \) which makes \( A(G) \) a subalgebra of \( C^*_r(G) \);

(ii) \( A(G) \) is holomorphically closed in \( C^*_r(G) \)

We have called such Fréchet algebras admissible.

At some point however, we shall assume that \( G \) satisfies the RD condition and consider the particular admissible algebra \( H^\infty_{C^*_r}(G) \).

2.1. The inverse of a \( L^2 \)-invertible Dirac operator.

Let \( D \) be a \( G \)-equivariant symmetric Dirac-type operator on a closed proper \( G \)-manifold \( M \). We denote by \( E \) the corresponding Clifford module (but in order to lighten the notation, we shall often forget about \( E \) in the notation). It is well known that \( D \) is essentially self-adjoint. We still denote by \( D \) its unique self-adjoint extension. We keep identifying an algebra of smooth kernels (under convolution) with the corresponding algebra of integral operators (under composition).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let \( A(G) \subset C^*_rG \) be an admissible Fréchet algebra. We shall additionally assume that \( A(G) \subset C^\infty(G) \). Let \( A_G(M) \) be the corresponding Fréchet algebra of smoothing operators. Assume that \( D \) is \( L^2 \)-invertible. Then

\[
D^{-1} = Q + Q \circ A
\]

with \( Q \in \Psi^{-1}_{G,c}(M) \) and \( A \in A_G(M) \), from which it follows that \( D^{-1} = Q + C \) with \( Q \in \Psi^{-1}_{G,c}(M) \) and \( C \in A_G(M) \).

**Proof.** Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be an odd smooth bounded function equal to \( 1/x \) on the \( L^2 \)-spectrum of the invertible self-adjoint operator \( D \). Clearly \( D^{-1} = f(D) \), from which it follows that \( D^{-1} \in C^*(N)G \). We want to show that, in fact, \( D^{-1} \) has the particular structure given in the statement of the Proposition. First, following closely [45], we have the following
Lemma 2.3. For each $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a decomposition of smooth functions

$$f = h_\epsilon + w_\epsilon$$

with the following properties:
(i) $h_\epsilon$ is an element of $S^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, the symbols of order $-1$, and its Fourier transform $\hat{h}_\epsilon$ is compactly supported;
(ii) $w_\epsilon \in S$ and has the property that $|xw_\epsilon(x)|_\infty < \epsilon$.

For a detailed proof, see Proposition 4.18 in [49].

Let now $Q := h_\epsilon(D)$. Then, from [49 Theorem XII.1.3], and the properties of $h_\epsilon$, we know that $Q \in \Psi^{-1}_{G,c}(M)$ (see again Proposition 4.18 in [49] for providing the details that are necessary to pass from the statement in [49] to the statement given here); moreover

$$D \circ Q = D \circ (f(D) - w_\epsilon(D)) = D \circ f(D) - D \circ w_\epsilon(D) = \text{Id} - (xw_\epsilon)(D).$$

Let $\phi_\epsilon(x) := xw_\epsilon(x)$. From above we infer that $Q$, which is of $G$-compact support, is a right parametrix with smoothing remainder $\phi_\epsilon(D)$ and with the norm of the remainder $\phi_\epsilon(D)$ less then $\epsilon$. Notice that from (1.9) we infer that $(xw_\epsilon)(D) \equiv \phi_\epsilon(D)$, a smoothing operator, has $G$-compact support, because

$$\phi_\epsilon(D) = \text{Id} - D \circ Q$$

and on the right we have a pseudodifferential operator of $G$-compact support. In particular $\phi_\epsilon(D) \in A_G(M)$. Since $\phi_\epsilon(D)$ has small operator norm, we see that $\text{Id} - \phi_\epsilon(D)$ is $L^2$-invertible. If we could show that

$$(\text{Id} - \phi_\epsilon(D))^{-1} = \text{Id} + A \quad \text{with} \quad A \in A_G(M),$$

then

$$D^{-1} = Q + Q \circ A$$

finishing the proof. However, we know that $A_G(M)$ is holomorphically closed in $C^\infty(N)^G$; thus if $U \in A_G(N)$ and $\text{Id} + U$ is invertible in $C^\infty(N)^G$, then $(\text{Id} + U)^{-1}$ is an element of type $\text{Id} + A$ with $A \in A_G(M)$, see Lauter-Monthubert-Nistor [23, (2.2)]. Since we have observed that $\phi_\epsilon(D) \in A_G(M)$, we conclude that $(\text{Id} - (\phi_\epsilon(D))^{-1} = \text{Id} + A$ with $A \in A_G(M)$, as required. \qed

Remark 2.5. We have required in Proposition 2.2 that $A(G) \subset C^\infty(G)$ so as to have $A_G(M)$ made of integral operators with smooth kernel. We can weaken the assumption $A(G) \subset C^\infty(G)$ and simply require that the resulting algebra of integral operators $A_G(M)$ is a left and right module over $\Psi^{-1}_{G,c}(M)$.

Remark 2.6. This particular structure of the inverse of an $L^2$-invertible Dirac operator will be used in our analysis on manifolds with boundary.

2.2. The heat operator.

Assume that $G$ satisfies the RD condition. We now consider the heat operator $\exp(-tD^2)$, which we write as

$$\exp(-tD^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{-\lambda(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1}}d\lambda,$$

with $\gamma$ a suitable path in the complex plane missing the spectrum of $D^2$. We want to show that the bounded operator on the RHS is in fact an element in $A^\infty_G(M, E)$. To this end we shall need the following technical

Lemma 2.7. The composition $\Psi^0_{G,c}(M) \times \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,c}(M) \to \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,c}(M)$ extends to a continuous map

$$\Psi^0_{G,c}(M) \times A^\infty_G(M) \to A^\infty_G(M).$$

Proof. Let $S \subset M$ be a global slice and consider the definition

$$A^\infty_G(M) := (H^\infty_L(G) \otimes \Psi^\infty_c(S))^{K \times K}$$

of the algebra $A^\infty_G(M)$. The diffeomorphism $G \times K S \cong M$ likewise induces an isomorphism

$$\Psi^0_{G,c}(M) \cong (\Psi^0_{G,c}(G) \otimes \Psi^0_c(S))^{K \times K}.$$
Here $G$ is regarded as a $G$-space using the action by left translations, so that $\Psi^0_{G,c}(G)$ is the algebra of invariant pseudodifferential operators on $G$ first described in [3]. Enlarging $\mathcal{A}_{G,c}(M)$ to $\mathcal{A}^\infty_{G,c}(M)$ only affects the first component over $G$, and it is a basic fact that $\Psi^{-\infty}(S) \subset \Psi^0_{G,c}(S)$ is an ideal, so we only have to show that

$$\Psi^0_{G,c}(G) \times H^\infty_{G,c}(G) \to H^\infty_{G,c}(G)$$

is well-defined and continuous. Recall that a general element in $A \in \Psi^0_{G,c}(G)$ can be written as

$$A = \text{Op}(a) + K,$$

with $K \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,c}(G) \cong C^\infty_c(G)$ and Op$(a)$ is the operator corresponding to a symbol $a \in S^0(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ of order zero, i.e., a smooth function on the dual $\mathfrak{g}^*$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the symbol estimates $|D^\alpha_\xi a(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\xi|)^{-|\alpha|}$.

Concretely, Op$(a)$ is the operator given by

$$(\text{Op}(a)(f))(g) := \int_G \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \chi(gh^{-1})e^{i\langle \xi, \exp^{-1}(gh^{-1}) \rangle} a(\xi) d\xi dh, \quad \text{for } f \in C^\infty_c(G),$$

where $\chi \in C^\infty_c(g)$ is a cut-off function around the unit $e \in G$. To verify that composition with $A$ lands in $H^\infty_{G,c}(G)$, we first consider the smoothing part $K \in \Psi^{-\infty}_{G,c}(G)$ for which the statement follows automatically from the fact that $C^\infty_c(G) \subset H^\infty_{G,c}(G)$. For the first operator Op$(a)$ we use Peetre’s inequality

$$(1 + L(gh))^k \leq (1 + L(g))^k (1 + L(h))^k,$$

to get the estimate

$$||\text{Op}(a) \ast f||_{2,k} \leq ||((1 + L)^{|k|} \text{Op}(a)) \ast ((1 + L)^{|k|} f)||_2 \leq ||(1 + L)^{|k|} \text{Op}(a)||_{L^2(G)} ||f||_{2,k}.$$

Here the last inequality follows from the fact that $(1 + L)^{|k|} f \in L^2(G)$, and that the operator $(1 + L)^{|k|} \text{Op}(a)$ with integral kernel

$$(1 + L(g))^{|k|} \chi(g) \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} e^{i\langle \xi, \exp^{-1}(gh^{-1}) \rangle} a(\xi) d\xi,$$

is just an invariant pseudodifferential operator of order 0, which act by bounded operators on $L^2(G)$. 

**Remark 2.8.** Exactly the same proof establishes the fact that $\mathcal{A}^\infty_{G,c}(M)$ is a right and left (continuous) module over $\Psi^{-1}_{G,c}(M)$. This means, in particular, that Proposition 2.2 does apply to $\mathcal{A}^\infty_{G,c}(M)$.

Let us go back to

$$\exp(-tD^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} e^{-t\lambda}(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda$$

**Proposition 2.9.** Assume that $G$ satisfies the RD condition. Then the heat operator $\exp(-tD^2)$ is an element in $\mathcal{A}^\infty_{G,c}(M)$.

**Proof.** We need to control the resolvent $(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$. For finite values of $\lambda$ we know that

$$(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1} = B_\lambda + A_\lambda$$

with $B_\lambda \in \Psi^{-2}_{G,c}(M)$ and $A_\lambda \in \mathcal{A}^\infty_{G,c}(M)$. This follows from an obvious modification of Proposition 2.2. We are now interested in the behaviour of $(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1}$ for large values of $|\lambda|$. Following Shubin’s monograph [48], one can easily develop a pseudodifferential calculus with parameters $\Psi^2_{G,c}(M, \Lambda)$, with $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ an allowable conic set as in [48]. Notice that our $\gamma$ in [241] can be chosen to be an allowable conic set, as in [48]. We consider $(D^2 - \lambda)$, with $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and regard it as a differential operator with parameter. Then we know that there exists a parametrix (with parameter) $\{B_\lambda\} \in \Psi^2_{G,c}(M, \Lambda)$ such that

$$(D^2 - \lambda) \circ B_\lambda = \text{Id} + R_\lambda, \quad B_\lambda \circ (D^2 - \lambda) = \text{Id} + S_\lambda.$$
with \( R_\lambda, S_\lambda \in \Psi_{G,c}^\infty(N, \Lambda) \). Moreover, \( B_\lambda, R_\lambda \) and \( S_\lambda \) have uniform \( G \)-compact support in \( \lambda \). Notice that proceeding as in \cite{HS47} we can give estimates on the norm of these operators in \( \Psi_{G,c}^{-2}(M, \Lambda) \) and \( \Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(M, \Lambda) \). For \( \lambda \in \Lambda \) large, \( \lambda \) not in the \( L^2 \)-spectrum, we have

\[
(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1} = B_\lambda (\text{Id} + R_\lambda)^{-1}
\]

with \( (\text{Id} + R_\lambda)^{-1} = \text{Id} + A_\lambda \) and with \( A_\lambda \in A_G(M) \), since each \( R_\lambda \in A_G(M) \subset A_G^\infty(M) \) and \( A_G^\infty(M) \) is holomorphically closed. Thus

\[
(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1} = B_\lambda + B_\lambda \circ A_\lambda
\]

with \( B_\lambda \in \Psi_{G,c}^{-2}(M, \Lambda) \) and uniform compact \( G \)-support and \( A_\lambda \in A_G^\infty(M) \). Thus, with \( \Lambda = \gamma \) we have:

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{-t\lambda}(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{-t\lambda} B_\lambda d\lambda + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{-t\lambda} B_\lambda \circ A_\lambda d\lambda
\]

Proceeding again as in \cite{HS47} we know that the first summand on the right-hand side is an element in \( \Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(M) \). Since we have a continuous inclusion of the Fréchet algebra \( \Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(M) \equiv A_G^\infty(M) \) into the Fréchet algebra \( A_G^\infty(M) \) we conclude that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{-t\lambda} B_\lambda d\lambda \in A_G^\infty(M).
\]

It remains to analyze the second summand. To this end we shall now give further properties for the operator \( A_\lambda \in A_G^\infty(M) \) such that \( (\text{Id} + R_\lambda)^{-1} = \text{Id} + A_\lambda \).

We know that the inclusion of the Fréchet algebra \( A_G^\infty(M) \) into \( C^\ast(M)^G \), the Roe algebra of the \( G \)-space \( M \), is continuous. Moreover, it is clear that \( A_G^\infty(M) \) is symmetric, i.e. stable under taking adjoints. Thus \( A_G^\infty(M) \) is a \( \Psi^\ast \)-subalgebra, see \cite{23} Definition 2.3. This implies, in particular, that the passage to the inverse is continuous, see \cite{23} Proposition 2.4 (b)]. Now, the \( \lambda \)-family \( \text{Id} + R_\lambda \) is a family in the unitalization of \( A_G^\infty(M) \) converging to the identity in the Fréchet-topology of \( A_G^\infty(M) \) as \( \lambda \to \infty \) (in fact it even converges in the Fréchet topology of \( \Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(M) \)). By the stated continuity it follows that \( (\text{Id} + R_\lambda)^{-1} \) converges to the inverse of the identity, i.e. the identity, in the Fréchet topology of \( A_G(M) \). Since \( (\text{Id} + R_\lambda)^{-1} = \text{Id} + A_\lambda \) with \( A_\lambda \in A_G^\infty(M) \), we conclude that \( A_\lambda \) converges to 0 in the Fréchet topology of \( A_G^\infty(M) \) and it is, in particular, bounded in \( \lambda \). Recall that we need to analyse the term

\[
B_\lambda \circ A_\lambda
\]

with \( \{ B_\lambda \} \in \Psi_{G,c}^{-2}(\partial M, \Lambda) \), \( \Lambda = \gamma \), and \( A_\lambda \) as above. The family of operators \( B_\lambda \) is certainly converging to 0 as a function of \( \lambda \), as \( |\lambda| \to \infty \), with values in the Fréchet algebra \( \Psi_{G,c}^0(M) \). (Notice that for a uniform behaviour at infinity in the pseudodifferential topology we need to trade some regularity with some \( \lambda \)-decay; see \cite{Gilkey, 2nd edition, Lemma 1.7.1} and \cite{Melrose, Chapter 7, from p. 284 to p. 286}.) Moreover we know that \( A_\lambda \) is converging to zero in \( A_G^\infty(M) \) as \( |\lambda| \to \infty \). We are effectively considering the composition of two maps: the first one is the map \( \gamma \ni \lambda \to \Psi_{G,c}^0(M) \times A_G^\infty(M) \) assigning to \( \lambda \) the pair \((B_\lambda, A_\lambda)\); the second map is the composition \( \Psi_{G,c}^0(M) \times A_G^\infty(M) \xrightarrow{\cdot} A_G^\infty(M) \). The first map is certainly continuous; the second map is continuous by Lemma \cite{23}.

We conclude from all of the above that the following Proposition, that we single out for later use, holds:

**Proposition 2.10.** For the inverse \( (D^2 - \lambda)^{-1} \) we have

\[
(D^2 - \lambda)^{-1} = B_\lambda + C_\lambda
\]

with \( B_\lambda \in \Psi_{G,c}^{-2}(M) \) of uniform \( G \)-compact support and \( C_\lambda \in A_G^\infty(M) \) such that

\[
\gamma \ni \lambda \to C_\lambda \in A_G^\infty(M)
\]

is continuous and bounded in the Fréchet topology of \( A_G^\infty(M) \).

Recall now that \( C_\lambda = B_\lambda \circ A_\lambda \). The Proposition then immediately implies that

\[
\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\gamma e^{-t\lambda} B_\lambda \circ A_\lambda d\lambda \in A_G^\infty(M)
\]

as required. \( \square \)
The same proof applies to all the terms in the Connes-Moscovici projector $V(D)$. Consequently we have a new proof of the following

**Proposition 2.11.** Assume that $G$ satisfies the RD condition. Then the idempotent $V(D)$ is an element in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathcal{A}_c^\infty(M))$ (with the identity adjoined).

3. Geometric preliminaries on manifolds with boundary

3.1. Proper $G$-Manifolds with boundary.
Let $M_0$ be a manifold with boundary, $G$ a Lie group acting properly and cocompactly on $M_0$. There exists a collar neighbourhood $U$ of the boundary $\partial M_0$, $U \cong [0,2] \times \partial M_0$, which is $G$-invariant and such that the action of $G$ on $U$ is of product type, i.e. trivial in the normal direction. Notice that $\partial M_0$ inherits a proper $G$-manifold structure. We endow $M_0$ with a $G$-invariant metric $g_0$ which is of product type near the boundary. We let $(M_0, g_0)$ be the resulting riemannian manifold with boundary; in the collar neighborhood $U \cong [0,2] \times \partial M_0$ the metric $g_0$ can be written, through the above isomorphism, as $dt^2 + g_0$, with $g_0$ a $G$-invariant riemannian metric on $\partial M_0$. We consider the associated manifold with cylindrical ends $M := M_0 \cup_{\partial M_0} ((-\infty, 0] \times \partial M_0)$, endowed with the extended metric $g$ and the extended $G$-action. The coordinate along the cylinder will be denoted by $t$. We will also consider the $b$-version of $(M, g)$, obtained by performing the change of variable $\log x = t$. This is a $b$-riemannian manifold with product $b$-metric

$$\frac{dx^2}{x^2} + g_0$$

near the boundary. We shall freely pass from the $b$-picture to the cylindrical-end picture, without employing two different notations. (Our arguments will actually apply to the more general case of exact $b$-metrics, or, equivalently, manifolds with asymptotic cylindrical ends; we shall not insist on this point.).

We refer the reader to Melrose’ book for more on $b$-geometry, see [36]

**Example 3.1.** With the slice theorem at hand, it is easy to construct examples: start with an inclusion $K \subset G$ of Lie groups with $K$ compact, and let $S$ be a compact $K$-manifold with boundary $\partial S$. Then $M := G \times_K S$ is a manifold with boundary $\partial M = G \times_K \partial S$, equipped with a proper, cocompact action of $G$. Next, choose $K$-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of $G$, so that we have an orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ where $\mathfrak{k}$ is the Lie algebra of $K$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ its orthogonal complement. This metric defines a connection on the principal $K$-bundle $G \to G/K$ and defines an isomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad TM \cong G \times_K (\mathfrak{p} \oplus TS).$$

(Here, $\mathfrak{p} \to S$ denotes the trivial $K$-equivariant vector bundle with fiber $\mathfrak{p}$.) With this isomorphism we see that a choice of $K$-invariant metric $g_S$ on $S$ of the desired type (i.e., $b$-riemannian of product-type near the boundary, or more generally exact) defines a $G$-invariant of the same type on $M$.

As an explicit example, consider $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $K = SO(2)$ acting on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}^2$ in the complex plane by rotations around the origin. The resulting manifold $M := SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times_{SO(2)} \mathbb{D}^2$ is a 4-dimensional fiber bundle over hyperbolic 2-space $SL(2, \mathbb{R})/SO(2) \cong \mathbb{H}^2$ with fiber $\mathbb{D}^2$. The boundary $\partial M$ of this manifold is isomorphic to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

3.2. Dirac operators.
We assume the existence of a $G$-equivariant bundle of Clifford modules $E_0$ on $M_0$, endowed with a hermitian metric $h$, product-type near the boundary, for which the Clifford action is unitary, and equipped with a Clifford connection also of product type near the boundary. Associated to these structures there is a generalized $G$-invariant Dirac operator $D$ on $M_0$ with product structure near the boundary acting on the sections of $E_0$. We denote by $D_\theta$ the operator induced on the boundary. We employ the same symbol, $D$, for the associated $b$-Dirac operator on $M$, acting on the extended Clifford module $E$. We also have $D_{cyl}$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \partial M_0 \equiv cyl(\partial M_0)$. We shall make the following fundamental assumption

**Assumption 3.3.** There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \text{spec}_{L^2}(D_\theta) \cap [-\alpha, \alpha] = \emptyset$$
It should be noticed that because of the self-adjointness of $D_\theta$, assumption (3.4) implies the $L^2$-invertibility of $D_{\text{cyl}}$. This is based on the following elementary argument: we conjugate the operator $D_{\text{cyl}}$

$$D_{\text{cyl}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial/\partial t + D_\theta \\ \partial/\partial t - D_\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

by Fourier transform in $t$, $\mathcal{F}_{t \to \lambda}$, obtaining

$$(3.5)\quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\lambda + D_\theta \\ i\lambda + D_\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

In the $b$-calculus-picture (3.5) is the indicial family $I(D_{\text{cyl}}, \lambda)$ of $D_{\text{cyl}}$ and it is obtained through Mellin transform of the corresponding cylindrical $b$ operator. The self-adjointness of $D_\theta$ implies that (3.5), i.e. $I(D_{\text{cyl}}, \lambda)$, is $L^2$-invertible for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$; the invertibility of $D_\theta$ then implies that (3.5) is $L^2$-invertible for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Conjugating back the inverse of (3.5) one obtains an operator which provides an $L^2$-inverse of $D_{\text{cyl}}$.

**Example 3.6.** As an example where this condition is satisfied we can consider a $G$-proper manifold with boundary with a $G$-invariant riemannian metric and a $G$-invariant spin structure with the property that the metric on the boundary is of positive scalar curvature. We would then consider the spin-Dirac operator $D$; because of the psc assumption on the boundary we do have that $D_\theta$ is $L^2$-invertible. These manifolds arise as in [14] from the slice theorem and a $K$-manifold with boundary $S$ endowed with a $K$-invariant metric which is of psc on $\partial S$. See example 3.7 below for more on this.

$K$-manifolds with boundary with a $K$-invariant metric of psc on the boundary arise, for example, as follows. Consider a compact $K$-manifold without boundary $N$ endowed with a $K$-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. For the existence of such manifolds see for example [24], [15], [54]. We can now perform on this manifold $K$-equivariant surgeries and produce along the process a $K$-manifold with boundary $W$. Under suitable conditions (for example, equivariant surgeries only of codimension at least equal to 3) this manifold with boundary $W$ will have a $K$-invariant metric of positive scalar curvature. We can now take the connected sum of $W$ with a closed $K$-manifold not admitting a $K$-invariant metric of psc. See [15], [54]. The result will be a manifold $S$ with a $K$-invariant metric which is of psc (only) on $\partial S$.

**Example 3.7.** Continuing example 3.1 assume that $K \subset G$ is such that $G/K$ carries a $G$-invariant spin structure. This is equivalent to giving a Spin-lifting
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of the adjoint action of $K$ on $\mathfrak{p}$. Together with a $K$-invariant spin structure on $S$, this defines a unique $G$-invariant spin structure on $M$ as in [17] whose space of spinors is given by

$$\mathcal{S}(M) = C^\infty(G, \mathbb{S}_p \otimes \mathcal{S}(S))^K,$$

where $\mathbb{S}_p$ is the spinor module for $\text{Cliff}(\mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathcal{S}(S)$ denotes the space of spinors on $S$. The Dirac operator is given by $D = D_{G/K} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_S$, where $D_S$ is the Dirac operator on $S$ and

$$D_{G/K} := \sum_{i=1}^{\dim(\mathfrak{p})} X_i \otimes c(X_i),$$

with $X_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, \dim(\mathfrak{p})$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{p}$. The Dirac operator induced on the boundary has a similar shape, namely $D_\theta = D_{G/K} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_\theta S$. We have

$$D_\theta^2 = D_{G/K}^2 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D_{\theta S}^2$$

so that this operator, and therefore $D_\theta$, is $L^2$-invertible as long as one of the two summands in the above formula is strictly positive.

When there is no full gap in the $L^2$-spectrum of $D_\theta$ near 0, we can twist this construction with a (flat) $K$-equivariant vector bundle on $S$ to ensure $L^2$-invertibility of this boundary Dirac operator.
In the explicit example $M := SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SO(2) \mathbb{D}^2$, we remark that the Dirac operator on the boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}^2 = S^1$ is simply given by $D_{\partial \mathbb{D}^2} = i \partial / \partial \varphi$, where $\varphi$ is the angular coordinate on $S^1$. Identifying this circle with the maximal compact subgroup $SO(2) \subset SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ with generator $X_0 \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, this results in the following boundary operator on the boundary $\partial M \cong SL(2, \mathbb{R})$:

\[
D_{SL(2, \mathbb{R})} := \sum_{i=0}^{2} X_i \otimes c(X_i) = i \left( \begin{array}{cc} X_0 & X_1 + iX_2 \\ X_1 - iX_2 & -X_0 \end{array} \right),
\]

acting as an unbounded operator on $L^2(G, \mathbb{C}^2)$. The formula for this Dirac operator is exactly the same as the operator on the universal cover of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ studied in [4]. We can determine its spectrum in the same way as done in loc. cit., taking into account only the irreducible representations that enter the Plancherel decomposition

\[
L^2(G) \cong \int_G^\oplus \mathcal{H}_\pi \otimes \mathcal{H}_\pi^* d\mu(\pi).
\]

It is well-known that the Plancherel measure for $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ is supported at two families of irreducibles: the discrete series representations $D_n^\pm$, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ and the principal series $P^{\pm, \nu}$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$. For each element of these families, we can use the description in [6] of the spectrum of the Dirac operator (3.8) at those representations: for the discrete series $D_n^\pm$, it is given by

\[
\left\{ -\frac{n}{2} \right\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + n(n-2) + 2(n+2k)(n+2k-2)}, k = 1, 2, \ldots \right\}.
\]

For the principal series $P^\pm$ it is given by

\[
\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\nu^2 + \frac{1}{2} + 2k(k-1)}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}, \text{ and } \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\nu^2 + \frac{1}{2} + 2k^2}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.
\]

This shows that the boundary Dirac operator $D_\partial$ is indeed $L^2$-invertible. (In [6] it is in fact essential that the Dirac operator on the universal cover of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ does not have a spectral gap at zero, but the irreducible representations that are responsible for this, do not descend to $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.)

4. $C^*$-Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index classes for proper actions


Let $M_0$ be a $G$-proper manifold with boundary, with compact quotient, and let $M$ be the associated manifold with cylindrical ends, or, equivalently, the associated $b$-manifold. In the $b$-picture we consider $\mathcal{E}_b$, the $C^*_r G$-Hilbert module obtained by (double) completion of $\hat{C}_c^\infty(M, E)$ endowed with the $C_c^\infty(G)$-valued inner product

\[
(e, e')_{C_c^\infty(G)}(x) := (e, x \cdot e')_{L^2_0(M, E)}, \quad e, e' \in \hat{C}_c^\infty(M, E), \quad x \in G.
\]

Here the dot means vanishing of infinite order at the boundary; notice that on the right hand side we employ $L^2_0(M, E)$. One can prove that $K(\mathcal{E}_b)$ is isomorphic to the relative Roe algebra $C^*(M_0 \subset M, E)^G$, see [15] for definitions and proofs, so that we have the following canonical isomorphisms

\[
K_*(K(\mathcal{E}_b)) \cong K_*(C^*(M_0 \subset M, E)^G) \cong K_*(C^*(M_0, E_0)^G) \cong K_*(C^*_r(G))
\]

with the second isomorphism also explained in [15] and the third one well known due to the co-compactness of the action (see for example [20] in this context).

4.2. $b$-Pseudodifferential Operators.

We shall be interested in algebras of $b$-pseudodifferential operators and we invest a few lines to recall the context in which they are defined, beginning with the well known case of a smooth compact riemannian manifold with boundary $(S_0, g_0)$ with associated $b$-manifold $(S, g)$. We also consider a hermitian complex vector bundle $E$ over $S$. Recall that the small calculus of $b$-pseudodifferential operators on $S$, $b\Psi^*(S, E)$, is defined in terms of Schwartz kernels on the $b$-stretched product $S \times_b S$. We refer the reader to the basic reference [26] for definitions. Quick introductions are given in the Appendix of [37] and also in the surveys [35], [13], [33]. It turns out that the algebra $b\Psi^*(S, E)$ is too small for many purposes, as it does not contain, for example, the inverse of an invertible $b$-differential operator. For this reason one needs to extend the small calculus and define the so-called $b$-calculus.
with \( \epsilon \)-bounds. In order to define the calculus with bounds we first recall some basic facts. Consider quite generally a manifold with corners \( X \) and the algebra of \( b \)-differential operators on it, \( \text{Diff}^b_\epsilon(X) \); this is the algebra of differential operators generated by \( \mathcal{V}_\epsilon(X) \), the smooth vector fields on \( X \) that are tangent to the boundary of \( X \). Let \( \rho \) be a total boundary defining function for \( \partial X \).

For \( \delta > 0 \) define

\[
A^\delta(X) := \{ u \in \rho^\delta L^\infty(X) \mid \text{Diff}^b_\epsilon(X)(u) \subset \rho^\delta L^\infty(X) \}.
\]

These spaces of conormal functions can also be defined using \( b \)-Sobolev spaces \([35] \text{ Ch. 4}\) from which it follows that they are in fact smooth in the interior. If \( H \) is a boundary hypersurface of \( X \) we can define \( A^\delta_H(X) \) by requiring smoothness in the direction normal to \( H \); this is done by doubling \( X \) across \( H \), obtaining \( X_H \), and then defining \( A^\delta_H(X) := (A^\delta(X_H))|_X \). Similar definitions can be given for sections of a bundle \( E \) over \( X \), giving \( A^\delta(X,E) \) and \( A^\delta_H(X,E) \). Notice that we have a natural Fréchet-space structure on \( A^\delta(X,E) \) and on \( A^\delta_H(X,E) \).

The calculus with \( \epsilon \)-bounds is defined as

\[
b\Psi^{*,\epsilon}(S,E) := b\Psi^*(S,E) + b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S,E) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S,E)
\]

The first summand on the right hand side are the \( b \)-operators in the small calculus of order \( \epsilon \); then we have \( \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S,E) \) defined as \( A^\epsilon(S \times S, E \boxtimes E^*) \) and \( \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S,E) \) defined as \( A^\epsilon_{bd}(S \times S, \beta^*(E \boxtimes E^*)) \) with \( \beta : S \times_b S \rightarrow S \times S \) the blow-down map. Composition formulae for the elements in the calculus with bounds are as in \([37] \text{ Theorem 4}\). In particular, \( b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S,E) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S,E) \) is an algebra and \( \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S,E) \) is an ideal in this algebra.

We now consider a manifold with boundary \( M_0 \) endowed with a proper cocompact action of \( G \). For simplicity we assume that the boundary is connected; the general case is only slightly more complicated. We assume that on \( M_0 \) we have a \( G \)-invariant metric \( g_0 \) which is of product type near the boundary. We denote by \( M \) the associated \( b \)-manifold; this is again a cocompact \( G \)-manifold with boundary but now endowed with a product \( b \)-metric \( g \).

We sometimes denote the boundary of \( M_0 \) by \( N \); for notational convenience we sometimes set \( \partial M = N \).

We can define the \( b \)-stretched product \( M \times_b M \) which inherits in a natural way an action of \( G \times G \) and a diagonal action of \( G \), see \([27] \text{ [31]}\). Proceeding as in these references, we can define the algebra of \( G \)-equivariant \( b \)-pseudodifferential operators on \( M \) with \( G \)-compact support, denoted \( b\Psi^*_G,c(M) \). This is a \( \mathbb{Z} \)-graded algebra.

Let us fix \( \epsilon > 0 \). Then, as in the compact case, we can extend the algebra \( b\Psi^*_G,c(M) \) and consider the \( G \)-equivariant \( b \)-calculus of \( G \)-compact support with \( \epsilon \)-bounds, denoted \( b\Psi^{*,\epsilon}_G,c(M) \). Thus, by definition,

\[
b\Psi^{*,\epsilon}_G,c(M) = b\Psi^*_G,c(M) + b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G,c(M) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G,c(M)
\]

Composition formulae for the elements in the calculus with bounds are again as in \([37] \text{ Theorem 4}\). In particular, as before, \( b\Psi^{*,\epsilon}_G,c(M) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G,c(M) \) is an algebra and \( \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G,c(M) \) is an ideal in this algebra. At this point, following the notation adopted in the closed case, we introduce the notation \(^3\)

\[
bA^\epsilon_G(M) := b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G,c(M) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G,c(M), \quad A^\epsilon_G(M) := \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G,c(M)
\]

We shall eventually simplify this notation and forget about the \( \epsilon \).

Assume now that \(|\pi_0(G)| < \infty\); we can apply to \( M \) the slice theorem and obtain a diffeomorphism

\[
G \times_K S \xrightarrow{\alpha} M
\]

with \( S \) a compact manifold with boundary. We observe that, as in the closed case, the correspondence \( \bar{k} \rightarrow T_{\bar{k}} \) explained in Part 1 and in Section \([17]\) gives an identification

\[
b\Psi^{\infty,c}_G(M) = \{ T_{\bar{k}}, \bar{k} \in (C^\infty_c(G) \hat{\otimes} b\Psi^{-\infty}(S))^K \times K \}.
\]

Similarly

\[
b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_G(M) = \{ T_{\bar{k}}, \bar{k} \in (C^\infty_c(G) \hat{\otimes} b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^K \times K \}
\]

\(^1\)In the sequel, because of Assumption \([31]\) we shall have a certain flexibility on the choice of \( \epsilon \), which is why we do not use the spaces \( A^\epsilon^*(S \times S, E \boxtimes E^*) \) and \( A^\epsilon_{bd}^*(S \times_b S, \beta^*(E \boxtimes E^*)) \) considered in \([30]\).

\(^2\)the reader will not confuse this notation with the one for conormal function adopted in \([14]\).
The Mellin transform in the boundary, we can define a section with similar description can be given for $\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M)$. Notice that we are using throughout the natural Fréchet structures of $b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(S)$ and $\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(S)$. Restriction to the front face of $M \times_b M$ defines the indicial operator

$$I : b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M) \rightarrow b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(\partial M)$$

with $\partial M$ denoting the compactified inward-pointing normal bundle to the boundary and the subscript $K \times K$ invariant. One can prove, as in the closed case treated in Subsection 1.1, that $b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M) \equiv (C^\infty_c(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,e}(S))^{K \times K}$. We can choose $Q$ to $\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M) \equiv (C^\infty_c(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,e}(S))^{K \times K}$ can be identified with $\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M)$, smooth, compactly supported and $K \times K$ invariant.

$$\{ \Phi : G \rightarrow b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(S), \text{ smooth, compactly supported and } K \times K \text{ invariant} \}$$

A similar description can be given for $\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M)$. Notice that we are using throughout the natural Fréchet structures of $b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(S)$ and $\Psi^{-\infty,e}(S)$.

$$\{ \Phi : G \rightarrow b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(S), \text{ smooth, compactly supported and } K \times K \text{ invariant} \}$$

Restriction to the front face of $M \times_b M$ defines the indicial operator $I : b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M) \rightarrow b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(\partial M)$ and, more generally,

$$I : b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M) \rightarrow b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(\partial M)$$

with $\partial M$ denoting the compactified inward-pointing normal bundle to the boundary and the subscript $K \times K$ invariant. Under the identification (4.3) the indicial operator is simply induced by the indicial operator on the slice $S$, i.e. on the second factor of $(C^\infty_c(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,e}(S))^{K \times K}$: thus if $\alpha \otimes A$ is a decomposable element in $(C^\infty_c(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,e}(S))^{K \times K}$, then

$$I(\alpha \otimes A) = \alpha \otimes I(A) \in (C^\infty_c(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,e}(\partial M))^{K \times K}$$

and similarly for (4.7). By fixing a cut-off function $\chi$ on the collar neighborhood of the boundary, equal to 1 on the boundary, we can define a section $s$ to the indicial homomorphism $I : s : b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(\partial M) \rightarrow b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M)$.

The Mellin transform in the $s$-variable for an $\mathbb{R}^+$-invariant kernel $\kappa(s,y,y') \in b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(\partial M)$ defines an isomorphism $M$ between $b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(\partial M)$ and holomorphic families of operators

$$\{ \mathbb{R} \times i(-\epsilon,\epsilon) \ni \lambda \rightarrow \Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(\partial M) \}$$

rapidly decreasing as $|\Re\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ as functions with values in the Fréchet algebras $\Psi^{-\infty}_G(\partial M)$. We denote by $I(R,\lambda)$ the Mellin transform of $R \in b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(N^+\partial M)$; if $P \in b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M)$ then its indicial family is by definition the indicial family associated to its indicial operator $I(P)$. The inverse $M^{-1}$ is obtained by associating to a holomorphic family $\{S(\lambda)|\mathrm{Im}\lambda| < \epsilon\}$, rapidly decreasing in $\Re\lambda$, the $\mathbb{R}^+$-invariant Schwartz kernel $\kappa_S$ that in projective coordinates is given by

$$\kappa_G(s,y,y') = \int_{\mathrm{Im}\lambda = \tau} s^{\lambda}G(\lambda)(y,y')d\lambda$$

with $r \in (-\epsilon,\epsilon)$. Notice once again that under the identifications (4.3), (4.4) the indicial family and its inverse can be defined by reasoning solely on the slice $S$.

For more on all of this we refer to [36] Chapter 5.

4.3. The $C^*$-index class.

Let $M_0$ and $M$ as above. We assume $M_0$ to be even dimensional. Let $D$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded odd Dirac operator with boundary operator satisfying Assumption [33]. We shall now prove the existence of a $C^*$-index class associated to $D^+$. One begins by finding a symbolic parametrix $Q_\sigma$ to $D^+$, with remainders $R_+^\pm$:

$$Q_\sigma D^+ = \Id - R_+^+ , \quad D^+ Q_\sigma = \Id - R_-^- .$$

We can choose $Q_\sigma \in b\Psi^{-\infty,e}_\sigma(M)$, i.e. of $G$-compact support and then get $R_+^\pm \in b\Psi^{-\infty}_G(M)$.

Consider now $I(D^+) \equiv D^+_{\text{cyl}} \equiv \partial/\partial t + D_\partial$; by assumption we know that this operator is $L^2$-invertible on the $b$-cylinder $\text{cyl}(\partial M) \equiv \tilde{N}_x \partial M$. Consider the smooth kernel $K(Q')$ on $M \times_G M$ which is zero outside a neighbourhood $U_{\text{id}}$ of the front face and such that in $U_{\text{id}}$ with (projective) coordinates $(x,s,y,y')$ is equal to...
We define an arbitrary admissible Fréchet subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(G)$. In this subsection we shall not assume that $\mathcal{A}(G)$ satisfies the RD condition with respect to a length function and let us consider $H^s_{\rm RD}(G)$, a subalgebra of $C^*_r(G)$. In this section we prove the existence of a smooth APS index class. More precisely, this means the following: we construct a dense holomorphically closed subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_G(M, E)$ of $C^*(M_0 \subset M, E)^G$ and prove that the index class appearing in the statement of Theorem 4.10 is in fact an element in $K_0(\mathcal{A}_G(M, E))$.

5. Smooth Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index classes for proper actions

5.1. Enlarged calculus with bounds.

We are now going to enlarge the calculus with bounds by adding suitable integral operators. In this subsection we shall not assume that $G$ satisfies the RD condition. In this particular subsection we will work with an arbitrary admissible Fréchet subalgebra $\mathcal{A}(G) \subset C^*_r(G)$.

We consider the Fréchet algebras

$$\mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K}, \quad \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K}$$

and we set

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{A}_G(M) := \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K} + \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K}$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_G(M) := \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K}$$

Notice that the corresponding operators are automatically bounded on $L^2_G(M)$.

Definition 5.4. We define $\mathcal{S}_G(M)$ as the subalgebra of the bounded operators on $L^2_G(M)$ given by

$$\mathcal{S}_G(M) := \{ T_k, k \in \mathcal{A}_G(M) \}.$$

We define $\mathcal{S}_G(M)$ as the subalgebra of the bounded operators on $L^2_G(M)$ given by

$$\mathcal{S}_G(M) := \{ T_k, k \in \mathcal{A}_G(M) \}.$$

$\mathcal{S}_G(M)$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{A}_G(M)$.
As in previous sections we shall often treat a space of kernels and the corresponding space of operators as the same object, thus identifying \( bS_{G}^{c}(M) \) with \( bA_{G}(M) \) and \( S_{G}(M) \) with \( A_{G}(M) \).

Notice that from equations (4.3), (4.4) and (4.5) we have that
\[
\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_{G,c}(M) \cap bA_{G}(M), \quad \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_{G}(M) =: bA_{G}^{c}(M) \subset A_{G}^{c}(M)
\]

We can similarly define
\[
bA_{G,R}^{c}(\partial M) =: (A(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(\mathbb{R} \times \partial S))^{K \times K}
\]
and observe that the indicial operator on the slice \( S \) induces a surjective algebra homomorphism
\[
bA_{G}(M) \xrightarrow{I} bA_{G,R}^{c}(\partial M)
\]
where \( I \) is equal to the indicial operator on \( (A(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K} \) and it is defined as zero on \( (A(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K} \) (recall that \( bA_{G}(M) := (A(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K} + (A(G) \otimes \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S))^{K \times K} \)).

The short exact sequence of algebras
\[
0 \to A_{G}^{c}(M) \to bA_{G}^{c}(M) \xrightarrow{I} bA_{G,R}^{c}(\partial M) \to 0
\]
will play a crucial role in this paper. The Mellin transform on \( \mathbb{R}^{+} \)-invariant operators on the compactified normal bundle to the boundary of the slice, \( N^{+} \mathcal{O}S \), induces an isomorphism \( M \) between \( bA_{G,R}^{c}(\mathbb{R}^{+} \mathcal{O}M) \) and holomorphic families
\[
\{ \mathbb{R} \times i(-\epsilon,\epsilon) \ni \lambda \to A_{G}(\mathcal{O}M) \}
\]
with values in the Fréchet algebra \( A_{G}(\mathcal{O}M) \), rapidly decreasing in \( \text{Re} \lambda \). The inverse \( M^{-1} \) is again given by associating to a family \( \{ S(\lambda) \} \) the \( \mathbb{R}^{+} \)-invariant Schwartz kernel \( \kappa_{S} \) that in projective coordinates is given by
\[
\kappa_{S}(s,y) = \int_{\text{Im} \lambda = r} s^{\lambda} S(\lambda)(y)d\lambda
\]
with \( r \in (-\epsilon,\epsilon) \). Notice that we can enlarge the whole calculus (i.e. we can consider operators of any order) by considering
\[
\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_{G,c}(M) =: \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_{G,c}(M) + bA_{G}(M).
\]

5.2. Rapid Decay condition and a compact notation. We assume from now on that \( G \) satisfies the RD condition with respect to a length function \( L \) and we choose as an admissible Fréchet subalgebra \( H_{L}^{\infty}(G) \subset C_{r}^{c}(G) \). We denote by
\[
A_{G}^{\infty,c}(M), \quad bA_{G}^{\infty,c}(M), \quad bA_{G,R}^{c}(\partial M)
\]
the three algebras introduced in the previous subsection corresponding to the particular choice \( A(G) = H_{L}^{\infty}(G) \). We have a short exact sequence of algebras
\[
0 \to A_{G}^{\infty,c}(M) \to bA_{G}^{\infty,c}(M) \xrightarrow{I} bA_{G,R}^{c}(\partial M) \to 0.
\]
We shall often omit \( \epsilon \) in the algebras appearing in this short exact sequence and rewrite it as
\[
0 \to A_{G}^{\infty}(M) \to bA_{G}^{\infty}(M) \xrightarrow{I} bA_{G,R}^{c}(\partial M) \to 0.
\]
Furthermore, we shall also sometimes drastically simplify the notation and set:
\[
A^{\infty} := A_{G}^{\infty}(M), \quad bA^{\infty} := bA_{G}^{\infty}(M), \quad bA_{R}^{\infty} := bA_{G,R}^{c}(\partial M)
\]
so that (5.8) can be rewritten as
\[
0 \to A^{\infty} \to bA^{\infty} \xrightarrow{I} bA_{R}^{\infty} \to 0.
\]
5.3. Improved parametrix construction and smooth APS index classes. Let $M_0$ and $M$ as above. We assume $M_0$ to be even dimensional. Let $D$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded odd $G$-equivariant Dirac operator with boundary operator $D_\delta$ satisfying Assumption \[33\]. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be strictly smaller than $\alpha/2$, with $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ the width of the spectral gap for the boundary operator, see \[33\].

**Proposition 5.11.** The element $I((D^+)^{-1}I(R_\sigma^-))$ is an element in $bA^\infty_G,\mathbb{R}+\{N^+\partial M\}$. Consequently, $s((I(D^+)^{-1}I(R_\sigma^-))$ is an element in $bA^\infty_G$.

**Proof.** By our discussion on the inverse Mellin transform we lead to consider the $\lambda$-family of operators

$$(D_\delta + i\lambda)^{-1} \circ I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda)$$

and the $\mathbb{R}^+$-invariant kernel on $\overline{N^+\partial M}$ whose values at $(s, y, y')$ is equal to

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s^{i\lambda}(D_\delta + i\lambda)^{-1} \circ I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda)(y, y')d\lambda.$$ 

We need to prove that this kernel is in $bA^\infty_{G,\mathbb{R}+}(\{N^+\partial M\})$ or, equivalently, that the family

$$\mathbb{R} \times i(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ni \lambda \rightarrow (D_\delta + i\lambda)^{-1} \circ I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda)$$

is holomorphic and rapidly decreasing as $|\Re \lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ as a function in the Fréchet algebra $A^\infty_G(\partial M)$. To this end, we first observe that for $\lambda$ ranging in a finite rectangle we can simply make use of the main result of Subsections 2.1 and 2.2. We thus only need to investigate the large $\lambda$ behaviour of the family of operators $(D_\delta + i\lambda)^{-1} \circ I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda)$. We first consider the case $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We know that $I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda)$ is rapidly decreasing in $\lambda$, with values in $\Psi_{G,c}^\infty(\partial M)$; in fact the family $I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda), \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, is a smoothing operator in the pseudodifferential calculus with parameters $\Psi_{G,c}^\infty(\partial M, \Lambda)$, $\Lambda = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z = 0\}$. Notice that $\Lambda$ is an allowable conic set in the complex plane. Thanks to Proposition 2.10 and the analogue of Proposition 2.22 for first order operators, we can write $(D_\delta + i\lambda)^{-1} = B_\lambda + C_\lambda$ with $\{B_\lambda\} \in \Psi_{G,c}^\infty(\partial M, \Lambda)$ and of uniform $G$-compact support, and $C_\lambda \in A^\infty_G(\partial M)$ and bounded as a function of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ with values in $A^\infty_G(\partial M)$. (It is here that crucial use is made of the results established in Section 2.)

Let us analyze

$$(D_\delta + i\lambda)^{-1}I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda) = B_\lambda \circ I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda) + C_\lambda \circ I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda)$$

The first summand is the composition of an element in $\Psi_{G,c}^\infty(\partial M, \Lambda)$ and an element in $\Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(\partial M, \Lambda)$ and so in particular it is a rapidly decreasing functions with values in the Fréchet algebra $\Psi_{G,c}^\infty(\partial M)$; since, as already remarked, we have a continuous inclusion of the Fréchet algebra $\Psi_{G,c}^{-\infty}(\partial M, \Lambda)$ into the Fréchet algebra $A^\infty_G(\partial M)$ we conclude that the first summand is a function of $\lambda$ which is rapidly decreasing as a function with values in the Fréchet algebra $A^\infty_G(\partial M)$. The second summand is certainly the composition of a bounded function of $\lambda$ with values in $A^\infty_G(\partial M)$ and of a rapidly decreasing function with values in $A^\infty_G(\partial M)$ and so, it is also a rapidly decreasing function with values in $A^\infty_G(\partial M)$ as required.

We need to extend these results to each horizontal lines $\Re z = \pm \delta$, $|\delta| < \varepsilon$; however for $z \in \{\Re z = \pm \delta\}$ the indicial family is equal to $(D_\partial M \mp \delta) + i\lambda$ with $\lambda$ real and we are back to the previous analysis on $\Lambda = \mathbb{R}$ but for the operator $(D_\partial M \mp \delta)$.

Summarising, we have proved that the family $(D_\delta + i\lambda)^{-1} \circ I(R_\sigma^-, \lambda)$ is rapidly decreasing as a function $\mathbb{R} \times i(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \ni \lambda \rightarrow A^\infty_G(\partial M)$. We can thus apply the inverse Mellin transform and finally obtain that $I((D^+)^{-1}I(R_\sigma^-)) \in bA^\infty_{G,\mathbb{R}+}(\{N^+\partial M\})$ which is what we wanted to prove. \[ \Box \]

From now on, unless confusion should arise, we shall omit the $\varepsilon$ from the notation.

The (true) parametrix of $D^+$ is defined as $Q^b = Q_\sigma - Q'$ with $Q'$ equal to $s((I(D^+)^{-1}I(R_\sigma^-))$ (recall that $s$ is simply defined as pre-multiplication and post-multiplication by a cut-off function equal to 1 on the boundary). Then, with this definition, one can check as in [33] that $D^+Q^b = I - bS_-$ and $Q^bD^+ = I - bS_+$ with $bS_\pm$ in the ideal $A^\infty_G(M)$. 
If we consider, as in the closed case, the $2 \times 2$ Connes-Skandalis matrix
\begin{equation}
P^b_Q := \begin{pmatrix}
bS_+^2 & bS_+(I + bS_+)Q^b \\
bS_-D^+ & I - bS_-^2
\end{pmatrix},
\end{equation}
we obtain a class
\begin{equation}
[P^b_Q] - [e_1] \in K_0(\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)) \quad \text{with} \quad e_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}
Using the fact that $H^\infty_0(G)$ is holomorphically closed in $C^*_r(G)$ and that the residual operators on the manifold with boundary $S$, $\Psi^{-\infty}(S, E|_E)$, are holomorphically closed in the compact operators of $L^2_0(S, E|_S)$ one can prove, similarly to the closed case, that $\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)$ is dense and holomorphically closed in $C^*(M_0 \subset M, E)^G$. We conclude that we have the following natural isomorphism:
\begin{equation}
K_0(\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)) \cong K_0(C^*(M_0 \subset M, E)^G)
\end{equation}
so that, summarizing,
\begin{equation}
K_0(\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)) \cong K_0(C^*(M_0 \subset M, E)^G) \cong K_0(C^*(M_0, E_0)^G) \cong K_0(C^*_r(G)).
\end{equation}
The class
\begin{equation}
\text{Ind}_\infty(D) := [P^b_Q] - [e_1] \in K_0(\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)) \equiv K_0(C^*(M_0 \subset M, E)^G)
\end{equation}
is a smooth representative of the $C^*$-index class constructed in Subsection 5.3
\begin{remark}
Notice that in contrast with the closed case, in the $b$-case we do not have an index class in the $K$-theory of an algebra of $G$-compactly supported operators; the algebra $\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)$ is in a certain sense the smallest algebra in which we can find the index class.
\end{remark}
\section{The smooth APS index class defined by the Connes-Moscovici projector.}
In this section we keep assuming that $G$ satisfies the RD condition. We wish to analyze the Connes-Moscovici projector. Recall that this is the Connes-Skandalis projector but for the parametrix
\begin{equation}
Q := \frac{I - \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+)}{D^-D^+}D^+
\end{equation}
with $I - QD^+ = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+)$, $I - D^+Q = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-)$.
This particular choice of parametrix produces the idempotent
\begin{equation}
V(D) = \begin{pmatrix}
e^{-\frac{3}{2}D^-D^+} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+} - \frac{1}{2}D^-D^+
\end{pmatrix}
\end{equation}
We have two goals in this subsection:
\begin{itemize}
\item we want to show that on a $b$-manifold the entries of this matrix belongs to the unitalization of $b\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)$;
\item we want to show that if we improve the parametrix $Q$ to $Q^b := Q - Q'$, with
\begin{equation}
Q' := s(I(D^+)^{-1}I(\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+))) \equiv s((D^+_{\text{cyl}})^{-1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-_{\text{cyl}}D^+_{\text{cyl}}))
\end{equation}
then the resulting Connes-Moscovici projector $V^b(D)$ is an element in the unitalization of $\mathcal{A}^\infty_G(M)$
\end{itemize}
\textbf{Notation:} notice that we have thus denoted by $V^b(D)$ the Connes-Moscovici projector associated to the improved parametrix $Q^b = Q - Q'$.
This brings us first of all to the analysis of the heat kernel $\exp(-tD^2)$ on a $b$-manifold. In a second stage we shall also want to analyze the operator
\begin{equation}
e^{-\frac{3}{2}D^-D^+} \left( I - e^{-\frac{3}{2}D^-D^+} \left( I - e^{-\frac{3}{2}D^-D^+} \right) D^+ \right) D^-
\end{equation}
We shall analyse both $\exp(-tD^2)$ and $\text{[5.19]}$ via a Cauchy integral and a detailed analysis of the resolvant, as we did in the closed case.
Analysis of the heat operator.
Let us begin with \( \exp(-tD^2) \) and let us write it as

\[
\exp(-tD^2) = \int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}d\mu
\]

with \( \gamma \) a suitable path in \( \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \infty) \). We shall follow the analysis of the complex powers of an elliptic \( b \)-operator given in \[12\] and the study of the large time behaviour of the heat kernel in \[30\]. These are based on the analysis of \( (D^2 - \mu) \) as a parameter-dependent \( b \)-differential operator. The analysis is similar to the one for the term \( D_\partial M + i\mu \) given in the proof of Proposition 5.11 once we use the \( b \)-calculus instead of the usual calculus on closed manifolds such as \( \partial M \).

**Proposition 5.20.** There exists a \( \delta > 0 \) such that for each \( \mu \in \gamma \) we have

\[
(D^2 - \mu)^{-1} \in b\Psi^{-2}_{G,c}(M) + bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M)
\]

Here we have gone back to the precise notation, including \( \delta \), for the extended calculus with bounds.

**Proof.** First, proceeding as in Shubin’s classical monograph \[48\], see \[12\] for the details, we find a symbolic parametrix in the small \( b \)-calculus with parameters, \( D_\mu \). We denote by \( R_\mu^\gamma \) and \( S_\mu^\gamma \) the remainders; thus with obvious notation \( B_\mu^\gamma \in b\Psi^{-2}_{G,c}(M,\Lambda) \), with \( \Lambda \) a suitable sector in the complex plane, and \( R_\mu^\gamma, S_\mu^\gamma \in b\Psi_{G,c}^{\infty}(M,\Lambda) \). In particular \( R_\mu^\gamma, S_\mu^\gamma \) are rapidly decreasing in \( \mu \) as \( \text{Re}\mu \to \pm\infty \) as functions with values in \( b\Psi_{G,c}^{\infty}(M) \). We then consider \( I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^\gamma) \). Notice that \( I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1} \) does exists; we can argue as at the end of Subsection 3.2 and see easily that the indicial family \( I(D^2 - \mu,\lambda) = D_\mu^2 + \lambda^2 - \mu \) is indeed invertible for \( \lambda \) real and \( \mu \in \gamma \). Put it differently

\[
\text{spec}_b(D^2 - \mu) \cap \{\text{Im} z = 0\} = \emptyset
\]

for each \( \mu \in \gamma \). Thus

\[
(\cap_\mu \text{spec}_b(D^2 - \mu)) \cap \{\text{Im} z = 0\} = \emptyset
\]

and so there is a \( \delta > 0 \) such that

\[
(\cap_\mu \text{spec}_b(D^2 - \mu)) \cap \{\text{Im} z < \delta\} = \emptyset
\]

For each fixed \( \mu \in \gamma \) we know from Proposition 5.11 that \( I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^\gamma) \in bA_{G,\R^+}^{\infty,\delta}(\N^+\partial M) \). Thus if \( B_\mu = B_\mu^\gamma - s(I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^\gamma)) \) then

\[
(D^2 - \mu) \circ B_\mu = \text{Id} + R_\mu
\]

with \( B_\mu \in b\Psi^{-2}_{G,c}(M) + bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \) and \( R_\mu \in A_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \) and rapidly decreasing in \( \text{Re}\mu \). Thus \( (D^2 - \mu)^{-1} \) is equal to \( B_\mu(\text{Id} + R_\mu)^{-1} \) and since \( A_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \) is holomorphically closed we see that

\[
(D^2 - \mu)^{-1} = B_\mu + B_\mu \circ L_\mu
\]

with \( L_\mu \in A_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \) and with \( B_\mu \in b\Psi^{-2}_{G,c}(M) + bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \) so that, finally,

\[
(D^2 - \mu)^{-1} \in b\Psi^{-2}_{G,c}(M) + bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M)
\]

which is what we wanted to show.

We now consider

\[
\int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}d\mu
\]

and our goal is to show that it belongs to \( bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \). From now on we have fixed \( \delta \) as in Proposition 5.20.

**Proposition 5.21.** The heat operator \( \exp(-tD^2) \) is defined by a kernel in \( bA_G^{\infty} \equiv bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \).
Proof. We can write
\[
\int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}d\mu = \int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}B_\mu d\mu + \int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}B_\mu \circ L_\mu d\mu
\]
We need to make sense of the individual summands on the right hand side and show that they both belong to \(bA^{\infty,\delta}(M)\). Let us analyze the first summand on the right hand side. This can be written as
\[
(5.22)
\int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}B_\mu^s d\mu - \int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}s(I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s))d\mu
\]
Proceeding as in [42 Prop. 3.36] it is easy to show that the purely symbolic term
\[
\int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}B_\mu^s d\mu
\]
is an element in \(b\Psi_{G,c}^{\infty}(M) \subset bA^{\infty,\delta}(M)\). It remains to show that the second summand in \(5.22\), that is
\[
(5.23)
- \int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}s(I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s))d\mu,
\]
is well defined and an element in \(bA^{\infty,\delta}(M)\). To this end we need to analyze the term \(s(I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s))\).
We need to show that this is at least bounded as a function of \(\mu\) with values in \(bA^{\infty,\delta}(M)\). As usual, the large \(\mu\) behaviour is what we are concerned with. Now
\[
I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s) = \int_\mathbb{R} s^{i\lambda}(D_0^2 + \lambda^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s, \lambda)d\lambda
\]
with \(I(R_\mu^s, \lambda)\) rapidly decreasing jointly in \(\lambda\) and in \(\text{Re}\mu\). This integral is analyzed as in the proof of Proposition 5.11 (see also [36, Lemma 7.35] for this). Thus we can certainly write
\[
(D_0^2 + \lambda^2 - \mu)^{-1} = \Sigma(\mu, \lambda) + \Sigma(\mu, \lambda) \circ \Omega(\mu, \lambda)
\]
with \(\Sigma\) bounded as a function with values in \(\Psi_{G,c}^\infty(\partial M)\) and \(\Omega(\mu, \lambda)\) bounded as a function with values in \(A^{\infty}(\partial M)\). Proceeding as in the proof of Proposition 5.11 using implicitly Lemma 2.7 we conclude that
\[
(D_0^2 - \mu + \lambda^2)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s, \lambda)
\]
defines a function
\[
(\mathbb{R} \times i(-\delta, \delta)) \times \gamma \to A_G^\infty(\partial M)
\]
which is rapidly decreasing as \(|\text{Re}\lambda|\) and \(|\text{Re}\mu|\) go to infinity. Consequently, \(I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s)\) is rapidly decreasing as a \(bA_{G}^{\delta,\infty}(N^\top\partial M)\)-valued map and so \(5.23\) is an element in \(bA^{\infty,\delta}(M)\). We finally come to the integral
\[
\int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}B_\mu \circ L_\mu d\mu
\]
that we rewrite as
\[
\int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}B_\mu^s \circ L_\mu d\mu - \int_\gamma e^{-t\mu}s(I(D^2 - \mu)^{-1}I(R_\mu^s)) \circ L_\mu d\mu.
\]
The first term is analysed as in the closed case. Here the analogue of Lemma 2.7 but for the composition of 0-order G-compactly supported b-operators with elements in \(A^{\infty,\delta}(M)\) is used: the proof is exactly the same since the slice-decomposition allows us to use the result of Lemma 2.7 on the G-component whereas the usual b-calculus is used in the S component. Thus, the first summand is the integral of a rapidly decreasing function with values in \(A^{\infty,\delta}_G(M) \subset bA^{\infty,\delta}(M)\) and so it is certainly an element in \(bA^{\infty,\delta}_G(M)\); the integrand in the second summand is the composition of a rapidly decreasing function with values in \(bA^{\infty,\delta}_G(M)\) with a rapidly decreasing function with values in \(A^{\infty,\delta}_G(M)\) and so, composition being continuous in a Fréchet algebra, it is certainly a rapidly decreasing function with values in \(bA^{\infty,\delta}_G(M)\) and so the integral is well defined as an element in \(bA^{\infty,\delta}_G(M)\).
Analysis of the Connes-Moscovici projector(s).

We finally discuss the Connes-Moscovici matrix

\[ V(D) = \begin{pmatrix}
  e^{-D^-D^+} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+} \left( \frac{I - e^{-D^-D^+}}{D^-} \right) D^- \\
  e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+} \\
  e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-} & I - e^{-D^-D^+}
\end{pmatrix} \]

**Proposition 5.25.** The matrix \( V(D) \) has its entries in (the unitalization of) \( bA^\infty \equiv bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \).

**Proof.** The only entry in \( [5.24] \) that we have not discussed is the one in the right upper corner. This entry has the form \( f(D)D^- \), where \( f(z) := e^{-z^2/2}((1 - e^{-z^2})/z^2) \). Notice that \( f \) is holomorphic. It suffices to show that \( f(D) \in bA^\infty \). Let \( g(z) = e^{-z^2/2}((1 - e^{-z^2})/z) \); then

\[ f(D) = g(D^2) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma} g(\mu)(D^2 - \mu)^{-1} d\mu \]

where the integral certainly makes sense as a bounded operator on \( L^2_b \). To improve this result and show that the integral is actually an element in \( bA^\infty \) we can repeat the arguments that have been given for the heat operator, using our knowledge of the properties of the resolvent \( (D^2 - \mu)^{-1} \). We omit the details since they would be a repetition of those already given for \( \exp(-tD^2) \).

Next we consider the \( b \)-Connes-Moscovici projector produced by the improved parametrix \( Q^b := Q - Q' \) with

\[ Q' := -\chi((D^+_{\text{cyl}})^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}})) \]

and \( \chi \) a cut-off function equal to 1 on the boundary of our \( b \)-manifold. This gives us

\[ Q'D^+ = -\chi \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-_{\text{cyl}}D^+_{\text{cyl}}) + \chi(D^+_{\text{cyl}})^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}})cl(d\chi) \]

\[ D^+Q' = -\chi \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}}) + cl(d\chi)(D^+_{\text{cyl}})^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}}) \]

This means that the remainder of the improved parametrix \( bS_+ := I - Q^bD^+ = I - (Q - Q')D^+ = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-) + Q'D^+ \) is explicitly given by

\[ bS_+ = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-) - \chi \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}}) + \chi(D^+_{\text{cyl}})^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}})cl(d\chi). \]

A similar expression can be found for \( bS_- \). Substituting \( bS_+ \) and \( Q^b \) at the place of \( S_+ \) and \( Q \) into the expression of the Connes-Skandalis projection we obtain \( V^b(D) \).

**Proposition 5.30.** The matrix \( V^b(D) \) has its entries in (the unitalization of) \( A^\infty \equiv A_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \).

**Proof.** \( bS_\pm \) is an element in \( A^\infty \), since it is in \( bA^\infty \equiv bA_G^{\infty,\delta}(M) \) and has vanishing indicial operator. This takes care of all the entries but the one in the right upper corner, namely \( bS_+(1 + bS_+)Q^b \). This can be rewritten as

\[ bS_+(1 + bS_+)Q^b - bS_+(1 + bS_+)Q' \]

From the expression of \( bS_+ \) and what has been already proved above we know that \( bS_+(1 + bS_+)Q \in bA^\infty \). It remains to see that \( bS_+(1 + bS_+)Q' \) is in \( bA^\infty \). However, this is clear because \( bS_+(1 + bS_+) \) is in \( A^\infty \subset bA^\infty \) and \( Q' \equiv -\chi((D^+_{\text{cyl}})^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}})) \) is also clearly in \( bA^\infty \) given that \( (D^+_{\text{cyl}})^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+_{\text{cyl}}D^-_{\text{cyl}}) \) is obtained by inverse Mellin transform of the family

\[ (D_\theta + i\lambda)^{-1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda^2 + D_\theta^{2})) \]

which is holomorphic and rapidly decreasing as \( |\text{Re}\lambda| \to \infty \) in the region \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\text{Im}z| < \delta \} \). Summing up: \( bS_+(1 + bS_+)Q^b \) is an element in \( bA^\infty \) and has vanishing indicial operator; it is therefore in \( A^\infty \) as stated. □
Corollary 5.31. If $M_0$ is an even-dimensional $G$-proper manifold with boundary, with associated manifold with cylindrical ends $M$, and if $D$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded odd Dirac operator on $M_0$ with boundary operator satisfying Assumption 5.3, then there is a $\delta > 0$ and a well defined Connes-Moscovici class
\[
[V^b(D)] - [e_1] \in K_0(A^\infty_G(M)) \quad \text{with} \quad e_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
Moreover,
\[
[V^b(D)] - [e_1] = \text{Ind}_\infty(D) \quad \text{in} \quad K_0(A^\infty_G(M)) = K_0(C^*(M_0 \subset M)^G)
\]
with $\text{Ind}_\infty(D)$, defined in \eqref{5.15}, a smooth representative of the $C^*$-index class $\text{Ind}_{C^*}(D)$.

From now on we fix a $\delta$ as in the previous propositions but omit it from the notation.

5.5. The relative index class and excision. Let $0 \to J \to A \xrightarrow{\pi} B \to 0$ a short exact sequence of Fréchet algebras. We recall that $K_0(J) := K_0(J^+, J) \cong \text{Ker}(K_0(J^+) \to \mathbb{Z})$ and that $K_0(A^+, B^+) = K_0(A, B)$ with $J^+$ denoting unitalization. See \cite{[9, 16, 32]}. Recall that a relative $K_0$-element for $A \xrightarrow{\pi} B$ with unital algebras $A, B$ is represented by a triple $(P, Q, \pi_t)$ with $P$ and $Q$ idempotents in $M_{n \times n}(A)$ and $\pi_t \in M_{n \times n}(B)$ a path of idempotents connecting $\pi(P)$ to $\pi(Q)$. The excision isomorphism
\[
\alpha_{\text{ex}} : K_0(J) \longrightarrow K_0(A, B)
\]
is given by $\alpha_{\text{ex}}([(P, Q)]) = [(P, Q, e)]$ with $e$ denoting the constant path.

Consider the Connes-Moscovici projections $V(D)$ and $V(D_{\text{cyl}})$ associated to $D$ and $D_{\text{cyl}}$. With $e_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ consider the triple
\[
(V(D), e_1, q_t), \quad t \in [1, +\infty], \quad \text{with} \quad q_t := \begin{cases} V(tD_{\text{cyl}}) & \text{if} \quad t \in [1, +\infty) \\ e_1 & \text{if} \quad t = \infty \end{cases}
\]

Proposition 5.36. Under the invertibility assumption \cite{63}, the Connes-Moscovici idempotents $V(D)$ and $V(D_{\text{cyl}})$ define through formula \eqref{5.35}, a relative class in $K_0(A^\infty_G(M), bA^\infty_G, (N_+\partial M))$, the relative group associated to the short exact sequence
\[
0 \to A^\infty_G(M) \to bA^\infty_G(M) \xrightarrow{I} bA^\infty_G, (N_+\partial M) \to 0
\]
We denote this class by $[V_D, e_1, V(tD_{\text{cyl}})]$.

Proof. The fact that the two idempotents have entries in the right algebras follows immediately from Proposition \ref{5.23}. The triple $(V(D), e_1, V(tD_{\text{cyl}}))$ defines a relative class because of the invertibility of $D_{\text{cyl}}$ and well-known properties of the heat kernel. \hfill \square

Definition 5.37. We define the relative (smooth) index class as
\[
\text{Ind}_\infty(D, D_0) := [V_D, e_1, V(tD_{\text{cyl}})] \in K_0(bA^\infty_G(M), bA^\infty_G, (N_+\partial M)).
\]

The following result will play a crucial role:

Theorem 5.38. Let
\[
\alpha_{\text{ex}} : K_0(A^\infty_G(M)) \to K_0(bA^\infty_G(M), bA^\infty_G, (N_+\partial M))
\]
be the excision isomorphism for the short exact sequence $0 \to A^\infty_G(M) \to bA^\infty_G(M) \xrightarrow{I} bA^\infty_G, (N_+\partial M) \to 0$. Then
\[
\alpha_{\text{ex}}(\text{Ind}_\infty(D)) = \text{Ind}_\infty(D, D_0) \quad \text{in} \quad K_0(bA^\infty_G(M), bA^\infty_G, (N_+\partial M))
\]
Proof. We need to show that
\[ \alpha_{ex}([V^b(D)] - [e_1]) = [V(D), e_1, V(tD_{cyl})]. \]
As explained in [12], we can adapt the proof of the analogous equality given in [33] for the graph projection. As in [12] we omit the elementary details that allow to pass from the graph projection to the Connes-Moscovici projection. \( \square \)

6. Relative cyclic cocycles

In this section we shall generalize the construction in [13, 3] of cyclic cocycles on algebras of \( G \)-invariant smoothing operators on closed manifolds to obtain relative cocycles associated to smooth group cocycles.

First, recall that the relative cyclic complex associated to a short exact sequence \( 0 \to J \to A \to B \to 0 \) of algebras is given by
\[ CC^k(A, B) := CC^k(A) \oplus CC^{k+1}(B), \]
equipped with the differential
\[ \begin{pmatrix} b + B & -\pi^* \\ 0 & -(b + B) \end{pmatrix}, \]
where \( b, B \) are the usual Hochschild and cyclic differential and \( \pi^* \) denotes the pull-back of functionals through the surjective morphism \( \pi : A \to B \). In our case, the relevant extension is given, first of all, by
\[ 0 \to \mathcal{A}^c_G(M) \to b\mathcal{A}_G(M) \xrightarrow{I} b\mathcal{A}_{G,R}^c(N+\partial M) \to 0 \]
where this is now, for simplicity, the short exact sequence for the small \( b \)-calculus.

With the global slice \( S \subset M \), we can view \( A \in b\mathcal{A}^c_G(M) \) as a map \( \Phi_A : G \to \Psi^{-\infty}(S) \) by setting \( \Phi_A : A(s_1, g s_2) := A(s_1, g s_2) \). Likewise, an element \( B \in b\mathcal{A}^c_{G,R}^c(N+\partial M) \) gives rise, by Mellin transform, to a map \( \Phi_B : G \times \mathbb{R} \to \Psi^{-\infty}(\partial S) \), which is compactly supported on \( G \), and rapidly decreasing on \( \mathbb{R} \). In the following, we shall denote by \( \Phi_A \mapsto \Phi_A \) the morphism \( I : b\mathcal{A}_G^c(M) \to b\mathcal{A}_{G,R}^c(N+\partial M) \) followed by the Mellin transform.

Before we construct cyclic cocycles, we shall construct the correct analogue of the \( b \)-trace of Melrose in this setting where we have a proper group action. In our geometric setting, a choice of cut-off function \( \chi \) for the action of \( G \) on \( M_0 \) restricts to give a cut-off function \( \chi|_{\partial M_0} := \chi|_{\partial M_0} \) for the \( G \)-action on \( \partial M_0 \). We shall also write briefly \( \chi^0 \). We consider as usual the associated \( b \)-manifold \( M \), endowed with a product-type \( b \)-metric \( g \), so that, metrically, \( M \) is a manifold with cylindrical ends, and we shall, by a small abuse of notation, write \( \chi \) for the extension of \( \chi \) on \( M_0 \) which is constant in the cylindrical coordinate.

Using the \( b \)-integral of Melrose, see [33], we now define

**Definition 6.1.** For \( A \in b\mathcal{A}_G^c(M) \) its \( b \) \( G \)-trace is defined as
\[ b\text{Tr}_\chi(A) := \int_M K_A(x, x)\chi(x)d\text{vol}(x). \]

Remark that the cut-off function on \( M_0 \) has compact support, so the \( b \)-regularized integral is indeed well defined. The argument in [31] Prop. 2.3 shows that \( b\text{Tr}_\chi \) is independent of the choice of cut-off function \( \chi \).

Next, using the trick with the family \( \{\chi_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon>0} \) of cut-off functions converging to the characteristic function on \( S \), we can rewrite
\[ (6.2) \quad b\text{Tr}_\chi(A) = b\text{Tr}_S(\Phi_A(e)). \]
As in the usual \( b \)-calculus, \( b\text{Tr}_\chi \) is not a trace, but we have a precise formula for its defect on commutators:

**Lemma 6.3.** For \( A_1, A_2 \in b\mathcal{A}_G^c(M) \), we have
\[ b\text{Tr}_\chi([\Phi_{A_1}, \Phi_{A_2}]) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \int_{G \partial S} \left( \partial I(\Phi_{A_1}, h^{-1}, \lambda) \right) \circ I(\Phi_{A_2}, h, \lambda) dhd\lambda. \]
Proof. With the expression \((6.3)\) for the trace, this follows straightforwardly from the usual trace-defect for the \(b\)-trace on the compact manifold \(S\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{b}\text{Tr}_X ([\Phi_{A_1}, \Phi_{A_2}]) &= \int_G \bar{b}\text{Tr}_S (\Phi_{A_1}(h^{-1}) \circ \Phi_{A_2}(h) - \Phi_{A_2}(h^{-1}) \circ \Phi_{A_1}(h)) \, dh \\
&= \frac{i}{2\pi} \int G \int \bar{b}\text{Tr}_S (\partial I(\Phi_{A_1}, h^{-1}, \lambda) \circ I(\Phi_{A_2}, h, \lambda)) \, dh \, d\lambda.
\end{align*}
\]

Here we have changed integration variables \(h \mapsto h^{-1}\) to go to the second line, and used the fact that our group \(G\) is unimodular. \(\square\)

**Definition 6.4.** Let \(M\) be a proper \(G\)-manifold with boundary, and \(c \in Z^k_{\text{diff}}(G)\) be a smooth group cocycle. For \(A_0, \ldots, A_k \in b\mathcal{A}^\epsilon_G(M)\), define

\[
\tau^r_{\varphi}(A_0, \ldots, A_k) := \int_{G \times_k} \bar{b}\text{Tr}_S (\Phi_{A_0}((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1}) \circ \Phi_{A_1}(g_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{A_k}(g_k)) \varphi(e, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) \, dg_1 \cdots dg_k
\]

Now, let \(Y\) be a closed manifold equipped with a proper, cocompact action of \(G\). We denote by \(\text{cyl}(Y) = Y \times \mathbb{R}\) the cylinder over \(Y\), equipped with the action of \(G \times \mathbb{R}\). We can compactify \(\text{cyl}(Y)\) to a \(b\)-cylinder as in \((36)\) (where it is denoted \(\hat{Y}\)) and turn the \(\mathbb{R}\) action into a \(\mathbb{R}^+\)-action. Viceversa, we can look at \(\mathbb{R}^+\)-invariant kernels on the \(b\)-cylinder \(\hat{Y}\) as translation invariant kernels on \(\text{cyl}(Y) = Y \times \mathbb{R}\).

With a small abuse of notation, and with the above remarks in mind, we denote by \(b\mathcal{A}^\epsilon_{G, \mathbb{R}}(\text{cyl}(Y))\) the \(\mathbb{R}^+\)-invariant \(b\)-calculus with bounds on the \(b\)-cylinder associated to \(Y\).

Using the Mellin transforms we obtain an isomorphism

\[(6.5)\]

\[
b\mathcal{A}^\epsilon_{G, \mathbb{R}}(\text{cyl}(Y)) \cong \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{A}^\epsilon_G(Y)), \quad A \mapsto \hat{A}.
\]

**Definition 6.6.** Let \(Y\) be a closed manifold equipped with a proper, cocompact action of \(G\), and \(c \in C^k_{\text{diff}}(G)\) be a smooth group cocycle. The eta cochain on \(b\mathcal{A}^\epsilon_{G, \mathbb{R}}(\text{cyl}(Y))\) associated to \(c\) is defined as

\[
\sigma_{\varphi}(B_0, \ldots, B_{k+1}) := \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2\pi} \int_{G^{k+1}} \int \text{Tr} \left( \hat{B}_0((g_1 \cdots g_{k+1})^{-1}, \lambda) \circ \hat{B}_1(g_1, \lambda) \circ \cdots \circ \hat{B}_k(g_k, \lambda) \frac{\partial \hat{B}_{k+1}(g_{k+1}, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right) \varphi(e, g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) \, d\lambda \, dg_1 \cdots dg_{k+1}
\]

**Proposition 6.7.** Let \(M\) be a proper \(G\)-manifold with boundary, and \(c \in C^k_{\text{diff}, \lambda}(G)\) a cyclic group cocchain. We have the identities:

\[
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
(b + B) & -I^* \\
0 & -(b + B)
\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c}
\tau^r_{\varphi} \\
\sigma_{\varphi}
\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}
\tau^r_{\delta \varphi} \\
\sigma_{\delta \varphi}
\end{array}\right)
\]
Proof. This is a straightforward computation:

\[ b \tau_{\varphi}^{(1)}(A_0, \ldots, A_{k+1}) = \int_{G^{k+1}} b \tau_S \left( \Phi_{A_0}((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1}h^{-1}) \circ \Phi_{A_1}(h) \circ \Phi_{A_2}(g_1) \cdots \circ \Phi_{A_{k+1}}(g_k) \right) \]

\[ \varphi(e, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_k dh \]

\[ + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^i \int_{G^{k+1}} b \tau_S \left( ((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1}) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_i(g_i h^{-1}) \Phi_{A_i}(h) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{A_{k+1}}(g_k) \right) \]

\[ \varphi(e, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_k dh \]

\[ + \int_{G^{k+1}} b \tau_S \left( \Phi_{A_{k+1}}((g_1 \cdots g_k)^{-1}h^{-1}) \Phi_{A_0}(h) \circ \Phi_{A_2}(g_1) \cdots \circ \Phi_{A_{k+1}}(g_k) \right) \]

\[ \varphi(e, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_k dh \]

\[ = \tau_{\delta \varphi}^{(1)}(A_0, \ldots, A_{k+1}) \]

\[ + (-1)^{k+1} \int_{G} b \tau_S \left( \left[ \Phi_{A_{k+1}}(g_{k+1}), \Phi_{A_0}((g_1 \cdots g_{k+1})^{-1}) \circ \Phi_{A_1}(g_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Phi_{A_k}(g_k) \right] \right) \]

\[ \varphi(e, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) dg_1 \cdots dg_{k+1} \]

\[ = \tau_{\delta \varphi}^{(1)}(A_0, \ldots, A_{k+1}) + \Gamma \sigma_{\varphi}(A_0, \ldots, A_{k+1}) \]

The fact that \( B \tau_{\varphi}^{(1)} = 0 \) follows just as in the closed manifold case. Finally, injectivity of \( I^{*} \) shows the last identity \( (b + B) \sigma_{\varphi} = 0 \).

Corollary 6.8. If \( \varphi \in Z^k_{\text{diff}}(G) \) is a smooth group cocycle then \( (\tau_{\varphi}, \sigma_{\varphi}) \) is a relative cyclic cocycle for \( b \mathcal{A}_{G}^{c}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial} b \mathcal{A}_{G,R}^{c}(\mathbb{N}+\partial M) \).

Together with this relative cyclic cocycle we shall also consider the cyclic cocycle \( \tau_{\varphi} \) on the residual algebra \( \mathcal{A}_{G}^{c}(M) \) appearing in the short exact sequence \( 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{G}^{c}(M) \rightarrow b \mathcal{A}_{G}^{c}(M) \rightarrow b \mathcal{A}_{G,R}^{c}(\mathbb{N}+\partial M) \rightarrow 0 \). This is exactly as in the closed case, given that elements in \( \mathcal{A}_{G}^{c}(M) \) are really kernels on \( M \times M \) vanishing on \( \partial(M \times M) \).

We have worked in the small calculus, but exactly the same steps establish all of the above results for the algebras appearing in the calculus with \( \delta \)-bounds and with \( G \)-compact support.

Example 6.9. In the spirit of Example 6.8 let us consider \( G = SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) acting on itself by left translation. As explained in Part I of this paper, besides the trivial group cocycle, there is an interesting degree 2 cocycle given by the area of a hyperbolic triangle in \( \mathbb{H}^2 \):

\[ \varphi(g_0, g_1, g_2) := \text{Area}(\Delta(g_0 \cdot i, g_1 \cdot i, g_2 \cdot i)), \]

where \( g_i \in SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \) acts as usual by Möbius transformations. The corresponding eta 3-cocycle on \( \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}, C_{\infty}^{c}(G)) \) is given by

\[ \sigma_{\varphi}(B_0, B_1, B_2, B_3) := -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{G^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{B}_0 \left( (g_1 g_2 g_3)^{-1}, \lambda \right) \hat{B}_1 (g_1, \lambda) \hat{B}_2 (g_2, \lambda) \frac{\partial \hat{B}(g_3, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \text{Area}(\Delta(i, g_1 \cdot i, g_1 g_2 \cdot i)) ds dg_1 dg_2 dg_3. \]

7. The higher Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem on \( G \)-proper manifolds

7.1. Extension of cocycles. In this subsection we shall prove that under the same assumptions as in Theorem 1.21 namely that \( G \) has finitely many connected components and satisfies the RD condition and that \( \varphi \in \)
$C^2_{\text{dif}, \Lambda}(G)$ is a cocycle of polynomial growth, the relative cyclic cocycle $(\tau^*_\varphi, \sigma_\varphi)$ and the cyclic cocycle $\tau_\varphi$ extend from

$$(b) A^c_{G}(M, E), b A^c_{G,R}(N^+\partial M, E)$$

and $A^c_{G}(M, E)$

to

$$(b) A^\infty_{G}(M, E), b A^\infty_{G,R}(N^+\partial M, E)$$

and $A^\infty_{G}(M, E)$

respectively.

As in previous sections, we expunge the vector bundle $E$ from the notation and we omit the $\delta$.

As in the closed case, we shall make crucial use of the fact, proved in Part 1, that under these assumptions the cyclic cocycle $\tau^G_\varphi$ extends from $C^\infty_{\text{c}}(G)$ to $H^\infty_{\text{c}}(G)$. As we shall see, the proof proceeds similarly to the closed case, but with some technical complications having to do with the fact that we are considering Melrose' regularized trace in the definition of $\tau^G_\varphi$.

Building on \[12\] we shall make use of a fundamental observation due to Lesch-Moscovici-Pflaum, see \[32\]. Before stating it, we introduce the relevant notation. Let $S$ be a $b$-manifold, built out of a compact manifold with boundary $S_0$. (Eventually $S$ will be our slice.) Let $\phi \in C^\infty(S)$ be a function equal to $t$ on the cylindrical end $(-\infty, 0] \times \partial S_0 \subset S$. Let $V$ be a vector field equal to $\partial/\partial t$ on the cylindrical end. In particular $V(\phi) = 1$ on the cylindrical end. Let $\chi := 1 - V(\phi) \in C^\infty_c(S_0 \setminus \partial S_0)$.

**Proposition 7.1.** (Lesch-Moscovici-Pflaum) If $P \in b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S)$ then

$$b \text{Tr}(P) = - \text{Tr}(\phi[V, P]) + \text{Tr}(\chi P).$$

Consequently, the $b$-Trace of $P$ is the difference of the traces of two trace-class operators naturally associated to $P$.

**Definition 7.3.** If $P \in b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S)$ then we define a norm

$$||P||_2^2 := ||\chi P||_2^2 + ||\phi[V, P]||_2^2 + ||[V, P]||_2^2 + ||\phi, P||_2^2 + ||P||_2^2$$

with the last two norms denoting the $L^2$-operator norm.

The following Proposition is established in \[12\].

**Proposition 7.5.** If $P_j \in \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}_b(S) + \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S)$, $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}$, then there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$b \text{Tr}(P_0 P_1 \cdots P_k) \leq C ||P_0||_b \cdots ||P_k||_b$$

In the sequel, we shall need the following analogues of Lemma \[1.24\].

**Lemma 7.7.** The map $\Phi \rightarrow ||\Phi(\cdot)||_1$ defines a continuous application $A^\infty_{G}(M) \rightarrow H^\infty_{\text{c}}(G)$.

**Lemma 7.8.** The map $\Phi \rightarrow ||\Phi(\cdot)||_b$ defines a continuous application $bA^\infty_{G}(M) \rightarrow H^\infty_{\text{c}}(G)$.

**Proof.** We begin with Lemma \[\text{[1.24]}\]. It suffices to show that

$$\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S) \xrightarrow{||\cdot||_1} \mathbb{R}$$

is continuous with respect to the seminorms defining the Fréchet topology of $\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S)$. This is proved very much as in the closed case. Write $A_\kappa$ for the operator associated to $\kappa \in \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S)$. Then

$$A_\kappa = ((1 + \Delta)^{-M} \rho^{-\epsilon/2})(\rho^{-\epsilon/2}(1 + \Delta)^M A_\kappa)$$

with $\rho$ a defining function for the boundary. The first factor on the right hand side is trace class whereas the second factor is still residual, so that, similarly to the closed case,

$$||A_\kappa||_1 \leq ||(1 + \Delta)^{-M} \rho^{-\epsilon/2}||_1 ||(\rho^{-\epsilon/2}(1 + \Delta)^M A_\kappa)||_2 \leq C ||(\rho^{-\epsilon/2}(1 + \Delta)^M A_\kappa)||_2$$

The last term is given by the $L^2$ norm of the kernel of the operator over $S \times S$ which is easily bounded by one of the seminorms of $\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S)$. 
Next we discuss the proof of Lemma 7.8. It suffices to show that
\[ b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \]
is continuous with respect to the seminorms defining the Fréchet topology of \( b\Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S) \), where we recall that \( \|P\|^2 := \|\chi P\|^2 + \|\phi[V, P]\|^2 + \|P V\|^2 + \|\phi[P]\|^2 + \|P\|^2 \)
This follows from the analysis given in [12], see End of the proof of Proposition 6.1, at page 292. We only give a sketch of the main ideas. For the continuity of the summands \( \|P\|^2 \) and \( \|\phi[P]\|^2 \) we use the trick explained at page 293 of [12], writing \( P \) in terms of its indicial operator and a rest, which is of course residual, i.e., an element in \( \Psi^{-\infty,\epsilon}(S) \). Put it differently we take the Taylor series of order 1 at the front face for the kernel of \( P \). These two terms in the Taylor series are treated separately. For the first term we recall that in [12] we have used freely the observation that the bounds appearing in the Fréchet seminorms for an element in the \( \mathbb{R}^+ \)-invariant calculus with \( \epsilon \)-bounds on \( \mathcal{N} \oplus \partial S \) translate into weighted exponential bounds of a translation invariant kernel when we pass from \( \mathcal{N} \oplus \partial S \) to \( \text{cyl}(S) \). For the indicial operator one can estimate its operator \( L^2 \)-norm in terms of its kernel and an exponential weight (see the end of Page 296, taking \( g = 1 \) there). The last but summand appearing in the definition of \( \|\| \)_b is treated as in page 296 in [12], using the fact that \( \phi = t \) along the cylindrical end of the manifold with cylindrical ends associated to \( S \) or, equivalently, \( \phi \) is log \( \rho \), \( \rho \) always a boundary defining function, in the compact picture for \( S \). The continuity with respect to the trace class norms for the first 3 summands appearing in the definition of \( \|\| \)_b is treated very much as in the proof of Lemma 7.7. We omit the details. \( \square \)

Using Lemma 7.7 and proceeding exactly as in the closed case we can prove the following:

**Proposition 7.9.** Let \( G \) have a finite number of connected components. Assume property RD for \( G \) and let \( \varphi \in Z^k_{\text{diff},\lambda}(G) \) be a cocycle of polynomial growth. Then the cyclic cocycle \( \tau_\varphi \) extends from \( A^*_G(M) \) to \( A^*_G(\mathcal{M}) \).

We now consider the extension problem for the regularized cochain \( \tau_\varphi^c \). Given \( \Phi \in bA^*_G(M) \), where we do not write the \( \epsilon \) in the notation, let us introduce the following norm:

\[
|||\Phi|||^2_m := \int_G \|\Phi(g)\|^2_b (1 + L(g))^2m \, dg.
\]

The expression \( \int_G \|\Phi(g)\|^2_b (1 + L(g))^2m \, dg \) can also be written for \( \Phi \in bA^\infty_G(M) \) and we know from the above Lemma that if \( \Phi \in bA^\infty_G(M) \) then for each \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we have that \( |||\Phi|||^2_m < \infty \). Consequently given \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we have that

\[
\begin{align*}
&bA^\infty_G(M) \subseteq bA^*_G(\mathcal{M}) |||\|\|_m.
&bA^*_G(M) \subseteq bA^*_G(\mathcal{M}) \iff |||\|\|_m.
\end{align*}
\]

Let now \( \Phi_0, \Phi_1, \ldots, \Phi_k \in bA^*_G(M) \). We want to estimate \( |\tau_\varphi^c(\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_k)| \). Proceeding as in the closed case, using crucially Proposition 7.8, we get

\[ |\tau_\varphi^c(\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_k)| \leq \tau_\varphi^c(|||\Phi_0(\cdot)||_b, \ldots, |||\Phi_k(\cdot)||_b) \]

Using Proposition 5.6 in Part 1 we have

\[ \tau_\varphi^c(|||\Phi_0(\cdot)||_b, \ldots, |||\Phi_k(\cdot)||_b) \leq C\nu_{p+\ell}(|||\Phi_0(\cdot)||_b) \cdots \nu_{p+\ell}(|||\Phi_k(\cdot)||_b) \]

for suitable \( p \) and \( \ell \). Now, \( \nu_{p+\ell}(|||\Phi(\cdot)||_b) = |||\Phi|||_{p+\ell} \). Consequently

\[ |\tau_\varphi^c(\Phi_0, \ldots, \Phi_k)| \leq C|||\Phi_0|||_{p+\ell} \cdots |||\Phi_k|||_{p+\ell} \]

and since

\[ bA^\infty_G(M) \subseteq bA^*_G(M) \iff |||\|\|_{p+\ell} \]

we conclude, finally, that \( \tau_\varphi^c \) extends continuously from \( bA^*_G(M) \) to \( bA^*_G(\mathcal{M}) \).

We collect this result in the following

**Proposition 7.12.** Let \( G \) have a finite number of connected components. Assume property RD for \( G \) and let \( \varphi \in C^1_{\text{diff},\lambda}(G) \) be a cocycle of polynomial growth. Then the regularized cochain \( \tau_\varphi^c \) extends to \( bA^*_G(\mathcal{M}) \).
Finally, let now $Y$ be any $G$-proper manifold without boundary. We shall deal with the extension of the eta cocycle $\sigma_\varphi$, from $^bA_{G,R}^c(\text{cyl}(Y))$ to $^bA_{G,R}^\infty(\text{cyl}(Y))$.

Let us recall the definition of $\sigma_\varphi$ on $^bA_{G,R}^c(\text{cyl}(Y))$:

$$\sigma_\varphi(B_0, \ldots, B_{k+1}) := \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{2\pi} \int_{G^{k+1}} \int_R \text{Tr} \left( \hat{B}_0((g_1 \cdots g_{k+1})^{-1}, \lambda) \circ \hat{B}_1(g_1, \lambda) \circ \cdots \circ \hat{B}_k(g_k, \lambda) \circ \frac{\partial \hat{B}_{k+1}(g_{k+1}, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right) \varphi(e, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) d\lambda dg_1 \cdots dg_{k+1}$$

We thus have:

$$|\sigma_\varphi(B_0, \ldots, B_{k+1})| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{G^{k+1}} \int_R \left\| \hat{B}_0((g_1 \cdots g_{k+1})^{-1}, \lambda) \circ \hat{B}_1(g_1, \lambda) \circ \cdots \circ \hat{B}_k(g_k, \lambda) \circ \frac{\partial \hat{B}_{k+1}(g_{k+1}, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} \right\|_1 \varphi(e, g_1, g_1g_2, \ldots, g_1 \cdots g_k) d\lambda dg_1 \cdots dg_{k+1}$$

Let

$$f_0(h, \lambda) := \|\hat{B}_0(h, \lambda)\|_1, \quad f_j(h, \lambda) := \|\hat{B}_j(h, \lambda)\|_1(1 + L(h))^{\ell} \quad j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \quad f_{k+1}(h, \lambda) := \|\frac{\partial \hat{B}_{k+1}(h, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda}\|_1$$

Interchanging the two integrals, which is possible given the $G$-compact support and the rapid decay in $\lambda$, and using the polynomial bounds on the group cocycle $\varphi$, we can employ the Jolissant-Connes-Moscovici fundamental observation and, as in the proof of Proposition 5.6 in Part 1, find constant $C$, $D$ and $E$ and a positive integer $p$ such that

$$|\sigma_\varphi(B_0, \ldots, B_{k+1})| \leq \frac{C}{2\pi} \int_R (f_0(\cdot, \lambda) * f_1(\cdot, \lambda) * \cdots * f_k(\cdot, \lambda) * f_{k+1}(\cdot, \lambda))(e) d\lambda$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{2\pi} \int_R \|f_0(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{C_1 G} \|f_1(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{C_1 G} \cdots \|f_k(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{C_1 G} \|f_{k+1}(\cdot, \lambda)\|_{C_1 G} d\lambda$$

$$\leq \frac{D}{2\pi} \int_R \nu_p(f_0(\cdot, \lambda)) \nu_p(f_1(\cdot, \lambda)) \cdots \nu_p(f_k(\cdot, \lambda)) \nu_p(f_{k+1}(\cdot, \lambda)) d\lambda$$

$$\leq \frac{E}{2\pi} \int_R \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_0(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_1(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) \cdots \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_k(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) \nu_p(\|\partial_\lambda \hat{B}_{k+1}(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) d\lambda$$

We now use the generalized Hölder inequality and get

$$\int_R \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_0(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_1(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) \cdots \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_k(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) \nu_p(\|\partial_\lambda \hat{B}_{k+1}(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1) d\lambda \leq$$

$$(\int \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_0(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1)^{k+2} d\lambda)^{1/(k+2)} \cdots (\int \nu_p(\|\hat{B}_{k+1}(\cdot, \lambda)\|_1)^{k+2} d\lambda)^{1/(k+2)}$$

Now, given $B \in ^bA_{G,R}^\infty(\text{cyl}(Y))$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}^+$ we can prove as in the previous cases, see [1,26], [7,11], that

$$\||B||_{j,m} := \left( \int_R \left( \int_G \|\hat{B}(g, \lambda)\|_1(1 + L(g))^{2m} dg \right)^j d\lambda \right)^{1/j} < +\infty$$

This means that

$$^bA_{G,R}^\infty(\text{cyl}(Y)) \subset ^bA_{G,R}^\infty(\text{cyl}(Y))^{|||j,m}$$

As we have proved that there is a constant $F$ and positive integers $\ell$ and $p$ such that

$$|\sigma_\varphi(B_0, \ldots, B_{k+1})| \leq F ||B_0||_{k+2,p+\ell} \cdots ||B_{k+1}||_{k+2,p+\ell}$$

we finally conclude that $\sigma_\varphi$ extends to $^bA_{G,R}^\infty(\text{cyl}(Y))$.

Summarizing:
Definition 7.14. Let $G$ have a finite number of connected components. Assume property RD for $G$ and let $\varphi \in C^k_{\text{diff}, \lambda}(G)$ be a cocycle of polynomial growth. Let $Y$ be a cocompact $G$-proper manifold without boundary. Then the eta cocycle $\sigma_\varphi$ extends continuously from $A_G^{\infty}(\text{cyl}(Y))$ to $A_G^{\infty}(\text{cyl}(Y))$.

Going back to the case of manifolds with boundary, we notice that, by continuity, the extended pair $(\tau_\varphi^c, \sigma_\varphi)$ is still a relative cocycle for $A_G^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow A_G^{\infty}(\overline{N + \partial M})$.

7.2. The higher APS index formula. Having proved the extension property for $\tau_\varphi$ and $(\tau_\varphi^c, \sigma_\varphi)$ we can finally tackle the higher APS index formula for the $C^*$-indices associated to $D$. We recall our geometric data. We have a $G$-proper manifold with boundary $M_0$ with associated manifold with cylindrical ends $M$. All our structures are of product type near the boundary. We assume $M_0$ to be even dimensional. We have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded odd Dirac operator $D$ with boundary operator $D_\partial$ satisfying Assumption 3.3.

We shall assume that $D$ is defined by a bundle of unitary Clifford modules $E$ endowed with a hermitian Clifford connection $\nabla^E$. We assume that $G$ has finitely many connected components and that satisfies the RD condition. We choose as an admissible Fréchet subalgebra $H^{\infty}(G) \subset C(G)$. We fix a $\delta < \alpha$ so as in our treatment of the Connes-Moscovici projector $V(D)$ and its improved version $V^b(D)$.

We shall omit $\delta$ from the notation.

We have proved that the improved Connes-Moscovici projector $V^b(D)$ defines a smooth $C^*$-index class $\text{Ind}_{\infty}(D) \equiv [V^b(D)] - [e_1] \in K_0(A^{\infty}_G(M))$ and that the triple $(V(D), e_1, V(tD_{\text{cyl}}))$ defines a smooth $C^*$-relative index class $\text{Ind}_{\infty}(D, D_\partial) \in K_0(A^{\infty}_G(M))$. These two classes correspond under the excision isomorphism.

We now fix a group cocycle $\varphi$ for $G$ of polynomial growth. Thanks to result of the previous subsection we can consider $\tau_\varphi$, the associated extended cyclic cocycle for the algebra $A^{\infty}_G(M)$.

Definition 7.14. The higher APS $C^*$-index associated to $\varphi$ and $D$ satisfying the previous assumptions are given by the numbers

$$\text{Ind}_{\text{APS}, \varphi}(D) := (-1)^p \frac{2^p!}{p!} \langle \text{Ind}_{\infty}(D), [\tau_\varphi] \rangle, \quad [\varphi] \in H^{2p}_{\text{diff}}(G).$$

The main goal of this subsection is to provide a APS index formula for these higher indices. At this point of the paper our arguments follow rather closely those given in [82], [12]; for this reason we shall be rather brief.

First we define the higher eta invariant that will enter into the higher APS index formula.

Definition 7.15. Let $G$ be as above. Let $\varphi \in Z^k_{\text{diff}}(G)$, $k = 2p$ be a group cocycle of polynomial growth. We define the higher eta invariant associated to $\varphi$ and the boundary operator $D_\partial$ as

$$\eta_\varphi(D_\partial) := 2c_p \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \int_0^\infty \sigma_\varphi(p_1, \ldots, [p_i], \ldots, p_t) dt \right]$$

with $p_t = V(tD_{\text{cyl}})$ and $c_p = (-1)^p \frac{2^p!}{p!}$. (We shall see momentarily that this integral is indeed convergent.)

We are now in the position to state the main result of this Section:

Theorem 7.17. Let $G$, $M_0$, $M$, $D$ and $\varphi \in Z^k_{\text{diff}}(G)$, $k = 2p$ as above. Then the higher eta invariant $\eta_\varphi(D_\partial)$ is well defined and the following higher APS $C^*$-index formula holds:

$$\text{Ind}_{\text{APS}, \varphi}(D) = \int_{M_0} \chi_{A^\infty(M_0)} \wedge \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \eta_\varphi(D_\partial).$$

where we have normalized the Atiyah-Singer integrand by multiplying it by $1/(2\pi i)^p$ in degree $\dim M - p$.

Proof. We only sketch the main steps. First, using the fact that $\tau_\varphi^c|_{A^\infty_G(M)} = \tau_\varphi$ and that $\text{Ind}_{\infty}(D)$ and $\text{Ind}_{\infty}(D, D_\partial)$ correspond under the excision isomorphism, one proves the following crucial equality

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{\infty}(D), [\tau_\varphi] \rangle = \langle V(D), e_1, V(tD_{\text{cyl}}) \rangle, \langle [\tau_\varphi^c, \sigma_\varphi] \rangle$$

PAOLO PIAZZA AND HESSEL B. POSTHUMA
On the left hand side we have the number we want to compute, that is $\text{Ind}_{\text{APS},\varphi}(D)$ up to the constant $(-1)^p \frac{2\pi i}{p!}$. Writing down explicitly the relative pairing between relative K-theory and relative cyclic cohomology we arrive at the equality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_\varphi(p_i, \ldots, [\hat{p}_i, p_i], \ldots, p_i) dt = -\langle \text{Ind}_\infty(D), [\tau_\varphi]\rangle + \tau_\varphi^r(V(D))$$

with $p_i = V(tD_{cyl})$ and with the convergence at infinity of the integral on the left hand side following from the fact that the relative pairing is well defined or, alternatively, from the exponential decay of the heat kernel of the $L^2$-invertible operator $D_{cyl}$. Replace now $D$ by $uD$ with $u > 0$. Then after a simple change of variables we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_\varphi(p_i, \ldots, [\hat{p}_i, p_i], \ldots, p_i) dt = -\langle \text{Ind}_\infty(uD), [\tau_\varphi]\rangle + \tau_\varphi^r(V(uD))$$

which is of course equivalent to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_\varphi(p_i, \ldots, [\hat{p}_i, p_i], \ldots, p_i) dt = -\text{Ind}_{\text{APS},\varphi}(D) + c_p \tau_\varphi^r(V(uD))$$

with $c_p = (-1)^p \frac{2\pi i}{p!}$. We now take the limit as $u \downarrow 0$. By a well established general principle we can still apply Getzler rescaling to the $b$-supertrace of the heat kernel, see [32, Chapter 8], and, more generally, to the computation of the limit as $u \downarrow 0$ of $\tau_\varphi^r(V(uD))$. Thus, by the analogue of Theorem 1.20 in the $b$-context we have

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \tau_\varphi^r(V(uD)) = c_p^{-1} \int_M \chi_{\text{AS}}(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi,$$

where we have normalized the usual Atiyah-Singer integrand by multiplying it by $1/(2\pi i)^p$ in degree $\dim M - p$. With this, the right hand side is in turn equal to

$$c_p^{-1} \int_{M_0} \chi_{\text{AS}}(M_0) \wedge \omega_\varphi,$$

given that all of our geometric structures are of product type near the boundary. Here we have normalized the Atiyah-Singer integrand by multiplying it by $1/(2\pi i)^p$ in degree $\dim M - p$. As $\text{Ind}_{\text{APS},\varphi}(D)$ is a number, we conclude that

$$c_p \lim_{u \downarrow 0} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_\varphi(p_i, \ldots, [\hat{p}_i, p_i], \ldots, p_i) dt \right]$$

exists and is equal to $-\text{Ind}_{\text{APS},\varphi}(D) + \int_M \chi_{\text{AS}}(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi$. Thus $\eta_\varphi(D_0)$ is well defined and

$$\frac{1}{2} \eta(D_0) = -\text{Ind}_{\text{APS},\varphi}(D) + \int_M \chi_{\text{AS}}(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi$$

as required. \hfill \square

We point out that thanks to the results of Part 1 this theorem applies, for example, to $G$-proper manifolds with $G$ a connected semisimple Lie group. More generally, we can assume that $G$ has finitely many connected components, satisfies property RD and is such that $G/K$ has non-positive sectional curvature.

**Remark 7.20.** The convergence of the higher eta invariant is established here for a boundary operator, much as in the original work of Atiyah-Patodi-Singer. If $Y$ is any closed $G$-proper manifold, not necessarily a boundary, then it should be possible to prove, using Getzler rescaling, that the limit

$$\lim_{u \downarrow 0} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma_\varphi(p_i, \ldots, [\hat{p}_i, p_i], \ldots, p_i) dt \right]$$

exists. This would allow to define the higher eta invariant $\eta_\varphi(D_Y)$ in general, even for non-bounding $G$-proper manifolds.
7.3. **Pairing with 0-cocycles.** When we apply the above theorem to the 0-cocycle $\tau$ defined by the trivial 0-cocycle on $G$ we certainly obtain a APS index formula. Using the fact that

$$I(\exp(-tD^2), \lambda) = \exp(-tD_0^2) \exp(-t\lambda^2)$$

and performing the integral in $\lambda$ in the definition of the eta 1-cocycle, this formula reads:

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{G^*}(D), \tau \rangle = \int_M \chi \text{AS}(M) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_G(D_0)$$

with

$$\eta_G(D_0) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \text{tr}_G D_0 e^{-(tD_0)^2} dt.$$  

See [36] and also [38], for more on this particular case.

Notice however that this particular result holds under much more general assumptions than the ones in Theorem 7.17 as we shall now briefly explain.

The pairing of the index class with $\tau$ is equal to the pairing of the Morita equivalent $C^*_r(G)$-index class $\text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D)$ with the canonical trace $\tau_c$ on $C^*_r(G)$:

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D), \tau_c \rangle = \langle \text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D), \tau_b \rangle.$$  

Proceeding as in [33], one checks that $\langle \text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D), \tau_b \rangle$ is equal to the von Neumann $G$-index of $D^+$. A formula for this von Neumann index can be proved in the von Neumann framework by mimicking the proof of Vaillant for Galois coverings of manifolds with cylindrical ends [50] (in turn inspired by Melrose’ proof on manifolds with cylindrical ends). Thus, assuming only that $G$ is a Lie group but keeping the $L^2$-invertibility of the boundary operator $D_0$, we obtain that $\langle \text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D), \tau_c \rangle$ and $\langle \text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D), \tau_b \rangle$ are well defined and that

$$\langle \text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D), \tau \rangle = \langle \text{Ind}_{C^*_r(G)}(D), \tau_c \rangle = \text{Ind}_{\text{vN}}(D^+) = \int_M \chi \text{AS}(M) - \frac{1}{2} \eta_G(D_0)$$

with $\eta_G(D_0) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \text{tr}_G D_0 e^{-(tD_0)^2} dt$.

This formula is the same as the one appearing in [15]: indeed, the von Neumann analytic index $\text{Ind}_{\text{vN}}(D^+)$ appearing on the left hand side of our formula and the analytic APS-index appearing on the left hand side of the index formula in [15] are equal (proof as in the classical case); moreover, as already remarked, $\int_M \chi \text{AS}(M) = \int_{M_0} \chi \text{AS}(M_0)$ because of the product type assumption of all our geometric structures. Thus the two formulas are completely equivalent.

Notice that on Galois coverings of manifolds with cylindrical ends Vaillant proves a formula for the $L^2$-von-Neumann index without the assumption of invertibility on the boundary operator; this formula is crucial in establishing a signature formula on Galois coverings of manifolds with boundary. We expect Vaillant’s $L^2$-von Neumann index formula to hold also in the $G$-proper context.

For more on these von Neumann index theorems on manifolds with cylindrical ends, also in the context of measured foliations, the reader is referred to [50] [34] [2] [3]. Needless to say, the focus of this article is on $C^*$-higher APS index formulae and for these higher indices we do need the extra assumptions detailed in the statement of Theorem 7.17.

7.4. **Spectral sections.** In the work of Melrose and Piazza on families of Dirac operators on manifolds with boundary, the condition that the boundary family be invertible was lifted at the expense of considering generalized APS boundary conditions in the incomplete case or, equivalently, perturbed Dirac operators in the case of manifolds with cylindrical ends. Generalized APS boundary conditions were defined through the notion of spectral section for the boundary family. The notion of spectral section can in fact be given for any family of Dirac operators on closed compact manifolds parametrized by a space $B$ and it is proved in [MP 1,2] that a spectral section exists for a family $(\partial_b)_{b \in B}$ if and only if the associated family index in the $K$-theory of $B$ vanishes. It is also proved in [MP 1,2] that there is a one-to-one correspondence between spectral sections for a family of Dirac operators $(\partial_b)_{b \in B}$ and smoothing perturbations $(A_b)_{b \in B}$ of this family such that $(\partial_b + A_b)_{b \in B}$ is invertible; these special perturbations are called trivializing perturbations (they trivialize the vanishing index

3 presumably one can assume even less
Higher genera. By fiber-cobordism invariance of the index we obtain the existence of spectral sections, and therefore trivializing perturbations, for a boundary family. This then allows for the definition of an index class for a family \((D_h)_{h \in B}\) of Dirac operators on manifolds with boundary, either in the form of a smoothly varying family of generalized APS boundary value problems or, equivalently, as the index class of a family of perturbed Dirac operators on manifolds with cylindrical ends with invertible boundary family. This index class does depend on the choice of the spectral section; a formula relating two different choices of spectral sections can be given.

The whole theory was extended to Galois coverings by Leichtnam and Piazza in [26, 29], see also [44, p. 73], using the notion of noncommutative spectral section (introduced originally by Wu). Subsequent improvements of the theory are due to Wahl. Interesting geometric applications are given, for example, in [44] and [46].

Now, in the case of \(G\)-proper manifolds we do have a cobordism invariance of the index. Since the notion of noncommutative spectral section is quite general, in that it applies to Dirac operators on quite arbitrary Hilbert \(C^*\)-modules, we expect that it should be possible to extend the theory of spectral sections to \(G\)-proper manifolds. As this paper is already quite long we leave this generalization to future research.

8. Higher genera on \(G\)-proper manifolds with boundary

We can finally discuss higher genera on \(G\)-proper manifolds with boundary. We assume that \(G\) has finitely many connected components, satisfies RD and is such that \(G/K\) is of non-positive sectional curvature. We bound ourselves to the even dimensional case. The odd dimensional case can be treated by suspension as in Part 1 (but we shall not treat the odd dimensional case in detail).

First we treat the higher genera associated to the spin-Dirac operator. We thus assume that \(M_0\), our \(G\)-proper manifold with boundary, admits a \(G\)-invariant spin structure. Let \(g\) be a \(G\)-invariant metric on \(M_0\) which is, as usual, of product type near the boundary, \(g = dx^2 + g_\partial\). We obtain a well-defined spin-Dirac operator \(D_g\). We assume additionally that \(g_\partial\) is of positive scalar curvature. It then follows from Lichnerowicz’ formula that \(D_\partial\) is \(L^2\)-invertible. All the hypothesis of our \(C^*\)-higher APS index theorem are thus fulfilled and we define the higher \(\tilde{A}\)-genera associated to \(M_0\) as the numbers

\[
\left\{ \int_{M_0} \chi \tilde{A}(M_0) \wedge \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\eta}_\varphi(D_\partial) \right\}, \quad [\varphi] \in H^{2n}_{\text{diff}}(G),
\]

where we have rescaled the eta cocycle as

\[
\tilde{\eta}_\varphi(D_\partial) := (2\pi i)^n \eta_\varphi(D_\partial), \quad [\varphi] \in H^{2p}_{\text{diff}}(G).
\]

Definition 8.3. We call extendable a \(G\)-invariant metric of psc on \(\partial M_0\) that admits an extension to a \(G\)-invariant metric of psc on \(M_0\) which is of product type near the boundary.

We have:

Theorem 8.4. Let \(M_0\) and \(g\) as above. If \(g_\partial\) is extendable then

\[
\int_{M_0} \chi \tilde{A}(M_0) \wedge \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\eta}_\varphi(D_\partial) = 0, \quad \forall [\varphi] \in H^{2n}_{\text{diff}}(G).
\]

More generally, if \(g_\partial\) is isotopic to an extendable metric of psc then

\[
\int_{M_0} \chi \tilde{A}(M_0) \wedge \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\eta}_\varphi(D_\partial) = 0, \quad \forall [\varphi] \in H^{2n}_{\text{diff}}(G).
\]

Proof. We prove directly the last, more general statement. By our higher APS index formula it suffices to show that \(\text{Ind}(D_g) = 0\) in \(K^0(C^*G)\). Recall that the double of \(M_0\) is a spin-boundary of a \(G\)-proper manifold with boundary. Set \(g_\partial := g^1\). By hypothesis, there exists a path of metrics \(g^t\) on \(\partial M_0\) that are all \(G\)-invariant and of psc. Moreover \(g^1\) extends to a metric \(\tilde{g}\) on \(M_0\) that is \(G\)-invariant, of product type near the boundary and of psc. Consider the cylinder \([0,1] \times \partial M\) with the metric \(g^{\times 1}\) induced by \(\{g^t\}\). We can arrange that \(g^{\times 1}\) is of product-type near the boundary. By Bunke’s additivity formula [27] (clearly true also in the \(G\)-proper context) we have that

\[0 = \text{Ind}(D_g) + \text{Ind}(D_{g^{\times 1}}) + \text{Ind}(D_{\tilde{g}})\]
with the first equality following from the bordism invariance of the index. Since the last two summands on the right hand side are equal to 0 we conclude that also \( \text{Ind}(D_\partial) = 0 \), as required. \( \square \)

**Corollary 8.5.** Assume \( g_\partial \) to be of psc. Then the higher genera \( \{(\int_{M_0} \widehat{A}(M_0) \wedge \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \widehat{\eta}_\varphi(D_\partial))\} \) are obstructions to the existence of an isotopy from \( g_\partial \) to an extendable metric.

Next we deal with higher signatures on \( G \)-proper manifolds with boundary. As for the case of higher \( \widehat{A} \)-genera, we bound ourselves to the case of even dimensional manifolds. In what follows, until the end of this subsection, we denote by \( D \) the signature operator associated to a \( G \)-invariant riemannian metric \( g \) on an orientable \( G \)-proper manifolds with boundary \( M \). As usual we assume \( g \) to be of product type near the boundary and denote by \( g_\partial \) the induced boundary metric. We denote by \( D_\partial \) the associated boundary operator. Depending on conventions, this is by definition the odd signature operator of the boundary (conventions differ slightly but in an inconsequential way). Similarly to the case of Galois coverings of compact manifolds with boundary, the situation for the signature operator is complicated by the fact that it is too restrictive to assume that the signature operator on the boundary is \( L^2 \)-invertible. The case of Galois coverings was treated successfully in \([29]\), see \([30]\) for a survey, with simplifications in \([51]\) \([52]\) (in turn based crucially on \([28]\)). We shall build on these results. In order to keep the paper to a reasonable size, we have decided to simply sketch carefully a program of work, leaving the details to future work.

Let \( \text{dim } M = 2k \). Consider the following definitions, adapted from \([28]\) and \([51]\). Assume that there exists an orthogonal \( G \)-invariant decomposition

\[
\Omega_{L^2}(\partial M) = V_{\partial M} \oplus W_{\partial M}
\]

with the property that \( D_\partial \) and the Hodge involution \( \tau_{\partial M} \) are diagonal with respect to this decomposition, \( D_\partial \) restricted to \( V_{\partial M} \) admits a bounded \( G \)-equivariant inverse, and there exists a bounded \( G \)-equivariant operator \( \mathcal{I} \) on \( \Omega_{L^2}(\partial M) \) which vanishes on \( V_{\partial M} \), is an involution on \( W_{\partial M} \) and anticommutes there with (the restrictions of) \( \tau_{\partial M} \) and \( D_\partial \).

The operator \( A^2_\partial := i\mathcal{I}D_\partial \) is a \( G \) equivariant bounded operator with the property that \( D_\partial + A^2_\partial \) is invertible. We can lift this perturbation to a perturbation \( A^2 \) of the signature operator on the manifold with cylindrical ends associated to \( M \) which, by construction, has an invertible boundary operator and it is therefore \( C^* \)-Fredholm.

We choose \( V_{\partial M} = d\Omega_{L^2}^1(\partial M) \oplus d^*\Omega_{L^2}^{k+1}(\partial M) \) where \( d \) and \( d^* \) are really the closure of \( d \) and \( d^* \) respectively and they are of course defined on their respective domains.\(^4\) We choose \( W_{\partial M} = (V_{\partial M})^\perp \) and define \( \Omega^\leq \) and \( \Omega^\geq \) as the subspaces of \( W_{\partial M} \) made of forms of degree \( \leq k - 1 \) and \( \geq k \) respectively.

We now make the following

**Assumption:** the differential form Laplacian of \((\partial M, g_\partial)\) is \( L^2 \)-invertible in degree \( k \).

Under this assumption we should be in the position to proceed as follows:

- We define \( \mathcal{I} \) as the operator equal to \(-1\) on \( \Omega^\leq \), equal to \( 1 \) on \( \Omega^\geq \) and equal to \( 0 \) on \( V_{\partial M} \) and prove, thanks to our assumption, that \( \Omega_{L^2}(\partial M) = V_{\partial M} \oplus W_{\partial M}, D_\partial, \tau_{\partial M} \) and \( \mathcal{I} \) satisfy the above requirements.
- We consider the \( C^*_G \)-index class \( \text{Ind}(D, \mathcal{I}) \in K_G((C^*_r(M), \Lambda^*M)^G) \equiv K_G(C^*_rG) \) associated to the perturbed operator \( D + A^2 \).
- We prove, following \([52]\) and \([111]\), that \( \text{Ind}(D, \mathcal{I}) \) is a \( G \)-proper-homotopy invariant of the pair \((M, \partial M)\).
- We now assume that \( G \) has finitely many connected components, satisfies property RD and is such that \( G/K \) has non-positive sectional curvature; by applying (a refinement of) our higher APS index formula, we prove that for a \( 2p \)-cocycle \( \varphi \in Z_{\text{diff}}^{2p}(G) \) we have

\[
\text{Ind}_\varphi(D, \mathcal{I}) \equiv (-1)^p \frac{2p!}{p!} (\tau_\varphi, \text{Ind}(D, \mathcal{I})) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^p} \int_M \chi L(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \eta_\varphi(D_\partial, \mathcal{I})
\]

\(^4\)We do not use \( M_0 \) in this part of the paper.

\(^5\)Being in the complete case, these operators are essentially closed.
where
\[ \eta_\varphi(D_0, \mathcal{I}) := 2c_\varphi \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{2p} \int_0^\infty \sigma_\varphi(p_1, \ldots, [p_{i+1}, p_i], \ldots, p_{2p})dt \right] \]

Here \( p_t = V(D_{\gamma(t)}) \), with \( D_{\gamma(t)} = tD_{\gamma} + g(t)A_{\gamma}^2 \), \( g \) a smooth function on \( \mathbb{R} \) such that \( g(t) = 0 \) for \( t < 1/2 \), \( g(t) = t \) for \( t \geq 1 \). (The function \( g \) is needed so as to ensure the use of Getzler rescaling and thus convergence for small \( t \).)

- Rescaling \( \eta_\varphi(D_0, \mathcal{I}) \) by \( (2\pi)^p \) as in [22], we obtain \( \tilde{\eta}_\varphi(D_0, \mathcal{I}) \) and define

\[ \sigma_\varphi(M, \partial M) := \int_M \chi_L(M) \wedge \omega_\varphi - \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\eta}_\varphi(D_0, \mathcal{I}), \]

call them the higher signatures of \((M, \partial M)\) and conclude from all of the above that under the stated hypothesis these are \( G \)-proper homotopy invariants of the pair \((M, \partial M)\).

**Appendix A. On Heat Kernels and the Connes-Moscovici Projector**

**A.1. Rapid exponential decay.** Let \( M \) be a closed smooth manifold carrying a smooth proper action of a Lie group \( G \) with finitely many connected components and with compact quotient. As in Subsection 1.1 we choose an invariant complete Riemannian metric denoted by \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \), with associated distance function denoted by \( d_M(x, y) \) for \( x, y \in M \), and volume form \( \text{dvol}(x) \). In the following discussion the volume growth properties of the Lie group \( G \) will be important: for any left-invariant (pseudo-)metric \( d_G \) on \( G \), denote by \( B_r \) the closed ball of radius \( r \) around the unit element. Let \( \mu(g) \) be a left-invariant Haar measure on \( G \), and write \( \text{Vol}_G(r) \) for the volume of \( B_r \) with respect to this Haar measure. One says that \( G \) has

i) polynomial growth if there are constants \( C_1, C_2 \) such that \( \text{Vol}_G(r) \leq C_1 r^{C_2} \)

ii) exponential growth if there are constants \( C_1, C_2 \) such that \( \text{Vol}_G(r) \leq C_1 e^{C_2 r} \).

It is known, see for example [22], that Lie groups have at most exponential growth. For the action of \( G \) on \( M \), choosing a basepoint \( x_0 \in M \), the function \( d_M(g_1 x_0, g_2 x_0) \) on \( G \times G \) defines a left invariant pseudo-metric on \( G \). With this pseudometric, since we are assuming the quotient \( M/G \) to be compact, it is easy to see that \( G \) being of polynomial or exponential growth implies that \( M \) is of polynomial resp. exponential growth.

Recall the following definitions

**Definition A.1.** Consider \( C^\infty(M \times M)^G \). For \( K \in C^\infty(M \times M)^G \) we say that

i) \( K \) is exponentially rapidly decreasing if

\[ \forall q \in \mathbb{N} \exists C_q > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{x, y \in M} \left| e^{q d(x, y)} \nabla_x^m \nabla_y^n k(x, y) \right| < C_q \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \]

ii) \( K \) is polynomially rapidly decreasing if

\[ \forall q \in \mathbb{N} \exists C_q > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{x, y \in M} \left| (1 + d(x, y))^s \nabla_x^m \nabla_y^n k(x, y) \right| < C_q \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

We write \( \mathcal{A}_G^\exp(M) \) and \( \mathcal{A}_G^\pol(M) \) for the set of elements in \( C^\infty(M \times M)^G \) satisfying i) and ii) above, and call them “rapidly exponentially” resp. “rapidly polynomially” decreasing kernels. We shall also refer to elements of \( \mathcal{A}_G^\exp(M) \) as “kernels of rapid exponential decay.”

For integral operators with smooth kernels acting on the sections of a vector bundle \( E \) we can similarly define \( \mathcal{A}_G^\exp(M, E) \) and \( \mathcal{A}_G^\pol(M, E) \). We shall often omit the vector bundle \( E \) from the notation.

The following Proposition is well-known but as we could not find an explicit reference for a proof we provide one for the benefit of the reader:

**Proposition A.2.** Convolution of kernels give \( \mathcal{A}_G^\exp(M) \) the structure of an algebra. If \( G \) has polynomial growth then \( \mathcal{A}_G^\pol(M) \) is an algebra.

**Proof.** Let us start by showing that the composition

\[ (k_1 \ast k_2)(x, y) = \int_M k_1(x, z) k_2(z, y) \text{dvol}(z) \]
of two elements $k_1$ and $k_2$ in $A^\exp_G$ is defined, i.e., that the integral converges. This follows from the following

**Lemma A.3.** Suppose that $f \in C^\infty(M)$ is such that

$$\forall q \in \mathbb{N} \exists C_q > 0 \text{ such that } \sup_{x \in M} f(x)e^{qd_M(x_0,x)} < C_q,$$

for some fixed $x_0 \in M$. Then $f$ is integrable.

**Proof.** We start by writing

$$\int_M |f(x)|d\text{vol}(x) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{B_r(x_0)} |f(x)|d\text{vol}(x),$$

where $B_r(x_0)$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius $r > 0$ around $x_0$. It therefore suffices to show that the sequence

$$\alpha(n) := \int_{B_{2^n}(x_0)} |f(x)|d\text{vol}(x)$$

is Cauchy. For this we use the fact that $M$ has at most exponential growth. When $n > m$, we have

$$|\alpha(n) - \alpha(m)| = \int_{m \leq d(x,x_0) \leq n} |f(x)|d\text{vol}(x) \leq C \int_{m \leq d(x,x_0) \leq n} e^{-qd(x,x_0)}d\text{vol}(x) \text{ for all } q \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\leq C'e^{-qm+np},$$

where $C$ and $C'$ are positive constants, and for some $p$ bounding the growth of $M$. But since this inequality holds true for any $q$, we can surely get the right hand side as small as we want. It follows that the sequence \{\alpha(n)\} is Cauchy and therefore $f$ is integrable. \qed

This Lemma shows that composition of elements $k_1$ and $k_2$ in $A^\exp_G(M)$ produces a new kernel $k_1 \ast k_2$ which is obviously $G$-invariant. To show that the composition in fact lies in $A^\exp_G(M)$, we have to show that it satisfies the exponential estimates defining $A^\exp_G(M)$. We start by the trivial observation that if $k_1 \ast k_2$ satisfies the exponential estimate for some fixed $Q > 0$, it automatically satisfies the exponential estimates for $q < Q$. It suffices therefore to prove the estimate for large enough $q \in \mathbb{N}$.

Because the $G$-action on $M$ is proper, we can choose a cut-off function: this is a $c \in C_c^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying

$$\int_G c(g^{-1}x)d\mu(g) = 1, \text{ for all } x \in M,$$

where $\mu$ denotes the Haar measure on $G$. Inserting 1 in this way in the equation defining the composition $k_1 \ast k_2$, the Lemma shows us that we can interchange the two integrations and obtain

$$(k_1 \ast k_2)(x, y) := \int_G \int_M k_1(gx,z)c(z)k_2(z, gy)d\text{vol}(z)d\mu(g).$$

From this we derive

$$\left|e^{qd(x,y)}(k_1 \ast k_2)(x,y)\right| \leq \int_G \int_M \left|e^{qd(gx,z)}k_1(gx,z)c(z)k_2(z, gy)e^{qd(z,gy)}\right|d\text{vol}(z)d\mu(g) \leq C \int_G e^{-qd(x_0,gy)}d\mu(g), \text{ for some } x_0 \in \text{supp}(c).$$

For fixed $x$ and $y$, the functions $d(gx, x_0)$ and $d(x_0, gy)$ define equivalent left-invariant pseudo-metrics on $G$ and since Lie groups have at most exponential growth, we see that the integral converges for large $q$. This shows that the composition $k_1 \ast k_2$ satisfies the exponential inequality for $q$ large enough. By the remark above, this implies the estimates for smaller, and therefore for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$. Including the derivatives $\nabla_x^n \nabla_y^m$ in the estimates, the argument proving these is the same as above. \qed

The following result is also well-known, but once again, we could not find a detailed proof in the literature. We give one in the proof of Proposition A.8 below.
Proposition A.5. The heat kernel of a $G$-invariant Dirac Laplacian, $\exp(-tD^2)$, is an element in $\mathcal{A}_G^{\exp}(M)$.

A.2. The Connes-Moscovici projector. Recall the Connes-Moscovici parametrix

$$Q := \frac{I - \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+)}{D^-D^+}D^+$$

with $I - QD^+ = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+)$, $I - D^+QV = \exp(-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-)$ and the idempotent

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-D^-D^+} & e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^-D^+} \left( \frac{I - e^{-D^-D^+}}{D^-D^+} \right) D^- \\ e^{-\frac{1}{2}D^+D^-} & I - e^{-D^+D^-} \end{pmatrix}$$

The following Proposition, well known to the experts, clarifies in which algebra this idempotent lives. As we could not find a detailed proof, we supply one below.

**Proposition A.8.** The Connes-Moscovici idempotent is an element in $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathcal{A}_G^{\exp}(M))$ (with the identity adjointed).

**Proof.** The most problematic entry in the idempotent (A.7) is the one in the right upper corner. This entry has the form $f(D)D^-$, where

$$f(z) := e^{-z^2/2}(1 - e^{-z^2}) \frac{1 - z^2}{z^2}.$$  

Using finite propagation speed methods, we can get estimates for the kernel $k_{f(D)}(x,y)$ of the operator $f(D)$. Following [19 §4.1], we define the following class of functions for $W > 0$:

$$S^m_W := \{ f \in C^\infty(\Omega_W) \text{ even and holomorphic on } \Omega_W, \ |f^{(k)}(x)| \leq C_k(1 + |z|)^{m-k}, \ \forall k, \}$$

where $\Omega_W$ is the infinite strip $|\text{Im}(z)| < W$ in the complex plane. The main result in loc. cit. gives that

$$f \in S^m_W \implies f(D) = f(D)^\# + f(D)^b,$$

with $f(D)^\#$ an order $m$ Pseudodifferential operator whose Schwartz kernel $k_{f(D)}^\#(x,y) \in \mathcal{D}'(M \times M)$ is supported in

$$\{(x,y) \in M \times M, \ d(x,y) < 1\},$$

and with singular support on the diagonal. The operator $f(D)^b$ is smoothing with kernel satisfying

$$|\nabla_{x, y}^j \nabla_{x, y}^k k_{f(D)}^b(x,y)| \leq C_j(1 + d(x,y))^{-j}e^{-Wd(x,y)}, \ \text{for all } j, l, n.$$

To prove the Lemma, it therefore suffices to show that $f \in S^\infty_W := \bigcap_m S^m_W$ for all $W > 0$: if this is the case the kernel $k_{f(D)}^b(x,y)$ obviously satisfies the required estimates of Definition (A.10) ii) to be in $\mathcal{A}_G^{\exp}(M)$. On the other hand, since $f \in S^\infty_W$, the operator $f(D)^\#$ is smoothing as well, so its kernel is a $G$-invariant smooth function on $M \times M$ with support in (A.10). Passing to the quotient space $(M \times M)/G$, it defines a smooth function with support in the compact subset $d^{-1}([0,1])$ which is therefore bounded. We can therefore easily obtain the estimates of Definition (A.10) ii) for $k_{f(D)}^\#(x,y)$. The estimates for the derivatives of $k_{f(D)}^\#(x,y)$ follow by the same argument.

We are therefore left to show that the complex function (A.9) belongs to the class $S^\infty_W$ defined above. For this we write $f = f_1f_2$ with $f_1 := e^{-z^2/2}$ and $f_2(z) := (1 - e^{-z^2})/z^2$. For the function $f_1(z)$ on the strip $\Omega_W$ we clearly have the estimates

$$|f_1(z)| = |e^{-z^2}| \leq e^{W^2}e^{-\text{Re}(z)^2} \leq C_{m_1}(1 + |z|)^m, \ \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$  

The $k$-the derivative of $f_1(z)$ has the form $P_k(z)e^{-z^2/2}$, where $P_k(z)$ is a polynomial of degree $k$. In a similar way we then get the estimates

$$|f_1^{(k)}(z)| \leq |P_k(z)|e^{W^2}e^{-\text{Re}(z)^2} \leq C_{m_k}(1 + |z|)^{m-k}, \ \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

because of the dominating term $e^{-\text{Re}(z)^2}$. This shows that $f_1 \in S^\infty_W$ for all $W > 0$. Remark that this argument shows that the heat kernel $e^{-tD^2}$ is rapidly exponentially decaying, i.e., belongs to $\mathcal{A}_G^{\exp}(M)$. 

For the function \( f = f_1f_2 \), with \( f_1 \) being an element of \( S_{W}^{-\infty} \) for all \( W > 0 \), it suffices to show that \( f_2(z) \), together with all its derivatives is bounded on \( \Omega_W \) for all \( W > 0 \). Indeed, in that case when \( |f_2(z)| \leq M^f_2 \), we have

\[
|f^{(k)}(z)| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} f^{(i)}_1(z)f^{(k-i)}_2(z) \right| \\
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} |f^{(i)}_1(z)||f^{(k-i)}_2(z)| \\
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} C f^{m}_{k-i,1}(1+|z|)^{m-k} M^{f_2}_{k-i} =: C'r_{m,k}(1+|z|)^{m-k}, \quad \text{for all } m \in \mathbb{Z},
\]
proving the desired inequalities.

Since \( f_2(z) \) and all its derivatives are holomorphic on \( \Omega_W \), we only have to check the behaviour as \( z \) approaches \( \pm \infty \). For the specific \( f_2(z) \) we have above, one easily proves by induction that its \( k' \)th derivative has the general form

\[
f^{(k)}_2(z) = \frac{a_0 - e^{-z^2}(a_0 + a_2 z^2 + \ldots + a_{2k} z^{2k})}{z^{k+2}},
\]
with \( a_i \in \mathbb{Z} \). With this formula it is not difficult to see that \( \lim_{z \to \pm \infty} f^{(k)}_2(z) = 0 \), so \( f^{(k)} \) is indeed bounded for each \( k \). This completes the proof. \( \square \)
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