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Abstract. Compactons are studied in the framework of the Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation with the sublinear nonlinearity. Compactons represent localized bell-shaped waves of either polarity which propagate to the same direction as waves of the linear KdV equation. Their amplitude and width are inverse proportional to their speed. The energetic stability of compactons with respect to compact perturbations with the same support is proven analytically. Dynamics of compactons is studied numerically, including evolution of pulse-like disturbances and interactions of compactons of the same or opposite polarities. Compactons interact inelastically, though almost restore their shapes after collisions. Compactons play a two-fold role of the long-living soliton-like structures and of the small-scale waves which spread the wave energy.

1. Introduction

Compactons are solitary waves of the finite length. They arise as the travelling waves in the degenerate Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation,

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u^m}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u^n}{\partial x^3} = 0,$$

where both the advection term and the dispersive terms are nonlinear with integer powers of $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. The degenerate KdV equation and the compactons were introduced and studied by Rosenau and Hyman [1] (see also [2]). A variety of physical phenomena can be described by using the degenerate KdV equation [1] and its modifications, e.g., the continuous limit of anharmonic oscillators in the elasticity theory [3, 4], the magma dynamics [5], the surface waves on vorticity discontinuities [6], sedimentation [7]. A review of the degenerate KdV equation [1] and its generalizations can be found in [8].

Properties of compactons were studied extensively, such as duality between solitons and compactons [9], conservation laws [10], Hamiltonian structure [11], integrability [12], and stability [13]. Ill-posedness of the time evolution of the degenerate KdV equation due to loss of regularity and no continuous dependence on the initial data was discussed in [14, 15]. Variational characterization of compactons and the proof of their stability were recently developed for a special version of the degenerate KdV equation in [16]; in this case, existence and uniqueness of solutions of time evolution (but not their continuous dependence on initial data) can be proven for positive initial data [17].

Nonlinearity of the dispersion term ($n \geq 2$) is crucial for existence of the compactons in the degenerate KdV equation [1]. If $n = 1$, the travelling wave reduction of the generalized KdV equation can be integrated once and reduce to the second-order differential equation with a smooth vector field, for which existence and uniqueness of solitary waves.
(homoclinic orbits) follows from the theory of differential equations. In this case, the second-order equation can further be integrated and solitary waves that decay to zero exponentially can be found in the analytic form [18]. It was claimed in [19, 20] (summarized in Section 13.12 of the book [21]) that compactons can be formally constructed for the modified KdV equation \((m = 3, n = 1)\) and related equations by matching zero and nonzero solutions of the second-order differential equation; however, the claim is simply wrong because it contradicts the uniqueness theory for differential equation, e.g., the second-order derivative is not continuous across the breaking point.

Besides the power nonlinearity in the advective term of the generalized KdV equation \((n = 1)\), the modular power nonlinearity of the form

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \alpha |u|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} = 0,
\]

(2)

has also been studied in literature, e.g., the Schamel equation in plasma physics with \(\alpha = 1.5\) [22] or with \(\alpha \in (1, 2)\) [23], and the quadratic modular KdV equation with \(\alpha = 2\) [24]. Another example is the modular KdV equation [25, 26] written in the form:

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial |u|}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} = 0,
\]

(3)

The main model for our study is the sublinear defocusing KdV equation of the form

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \alpha |u|^{\alpha-1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} = 0,
\]

(4)

where \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\). This model was proposed in [27], where exact expressions for compacton solutions were obtained (see also [8, Example 3]).

One of the main applications of the sublinear KdV equation \((4)\) with \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\) is in the theory of granular chains near the harmonic limit [28, 29], for which the solitary waves have double-exponential decay to zero at infinity. Other applications of the sublinear nonlinearity is in modeling of the chemical reactions (autocatalysis), for which the diffusion equation with the sublinear nonlinear terms is a common model [30, 31].

The main purpose of this work is to show analytically and numerically the existence, stability, and robustness of compactons in the sublinear KdV equation \((4)\) with \(\alpha \in (0, 1)\). The main results and organization of this article are explained as follows.

We prove in Section 2 that compactons expressed by the trigonometric functions are classical (three times continuously differentiable) solutions of the sublinear KdV equation if \(\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right)\). In Section 3, we prove that compactons are non-degenerate minimizers of energy under the fixed momentum in the space of symmetric compact functions with the same support if \(\alpha \in \left(\frac{1}{3}, 1\right)\). This result suggests energetic stability of compactons in the sublinear KdV equation.

In Section 4, we perform numerical simulations of single compactons, pulse-like initial disturbances (both wide and narrow), and interactions of two compactons of the same or opposite polarities in the case \(\alpha = \frac{3}{4}\). Although interactions of compactons are similar to interactions of solitary waves, there are important differences related to generation of new compactons. These compactons of small amplitude replace the dispersive waves of the linear KdV equation, which are not supported in the sublinear KdV equation. The summary of our results is given in the concluding Section 5.
2. Compacton solutions

Travelling waves are given of the form $u(x,t) = U(x + ct)$ with real-valued constants $c$. If $U(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$ for solitary waves, integration of the third-order differential equation with zero integration constant yields the second-order equation

$$U''(x) + cU(x) - |U(x)|^{\alpha-1}U = 0. \quad (5)$$

No solutions decaying to zero exist in (5) for $c \leq 0$, hence the travelling solitary waves of the sublinear KdV equation (4) may only propagate to the left with $c > 0$.

Since $\alpha \in (0,1)$, the vector field in the system

$$\frac{d}{dx} \begin{bmatrix} U \\ V \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -cU + |U|^{\alpha-1}U \\ V \end{bmatrix}$$

is continuous at $U = 0$ but it is not Lipschitz continuous at $U = 0$. By the existence-uniqueness theory for differential equation, there exists a solution to the second-order equation (5); however, the solution is not unique near $U = 0$. Therefore, it is allowed in some weak sense to concatenate nonzero solutions of the differential equation (5) vanishing at some points with zero solutions, obtaining thus compactons, for which functions $U$ are nonzero within an interval of a finite length denoted by $\text{supp}(U)$.

The sublinear KdV equation (4) is invariant under the scaling transformation

$$\tilde{u}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t}) = \gamma^{1/\alpha} u(x,t), \quad \tilde{x} = \gamma x, \quad \tilde{t} = \gamma^{3/\alpha} t, \quad (6)$$

where both $u(x,t)$ and $\tilde{u}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{t})$ satisfy the same equation and $\gamma > 0$ is arbitrary parameter. If $\text{supp}(U)$ is a single interval, then its length can be normalized to $\pi$. Since the sublinear KdV equation is also invariant with respect to translation in $x$, we can set $\text{supp}(U) = [0, \pi]$ without loss of generality.

Integrating the second-order equation (5) again with the zero integration constant yields the first-order quadrature in the form:

$$\frac{1}{2}(U')^2 + \frac{1}{2}cU^2 - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}|U|^\alpha = 0. \quad (7)$$

The nonzero part of the compacton is found by integrating the first-order equation (7). The exact solution on the normalized interval $[0, \pi]$ denoted by $U = U_1$ at $c = c_1$ is available in trigonometric functions [8, 27]:

$$U_1(x) = \pm a_1 \sin^{2/\alpha}(x) \chi_{[0,\pi]}(x), \quad a_1 = \left[ \frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{2(1+\alpha)} \right]^{1/\alpha}, \quad c_1 = \frac{4}{(1-\alpha)^2}, \quad (8)$$

where $\chi_S$ is the characteristic function on the set $S \subset \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\chi_S(x) = 1$ if $x \in S$ and $\chi_S(x) = 0$ if $x \notin S$.

Using the scaling transformation (6) with $\gamma = \pi/\lambda$, we find that the compacton $U(x)$ with amplitude $a$ and speed $c$ is located on the interval $[0, \lambda]$ with

$$a = \left[ \frac{2}{(1+\alpha)c} \right]^{1/\alpha}, \quad \lambda = \frac{2\pi}{(1-\alpha)\sqrt{c}}, \quad (9)$$

where $c > 0$ is now arbitrary. It follows from (9) that the amplitude $a$ and the length $\lambda$ decrease when the speed $c$ increases.
Closer to the left edge \( x = 0 \), the exact solution (8) behaves like \( U_1(x) \sim x^{1-\alpha} \). Since \( \alpha \in (0, 1) \), we have \( U_1(0) = U_1'(0) = U_1''(0) = 0 \), hence the compacton solution \( U_1 \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \) is the classical solution of the second-order differential equation (5) in spite of the breaking point at \( x = 0 \). Similar consideration holds near \( x = \pi \) because \( U_1(x) \) is symmetric about the point \( x = \frac{\pi}{2} \).

On the other hand, \( U_1'''(x) \sim x^{3\alpha-1} \), therefore, \( U_1 \in C^3(\mathbb{R}) \) is the classical solution of the sublinear KdV equation (4) only if \( \frac{1}{3} < \alpha < 1 \).

Due to the lack of uniqueness in the second-order equation (5) at \( U = 0 \), one can combine compactons of the same and opposite polarities in order to construct bound states of compactons and anti-compactons propagating with the same speed, as well as the travelling periodic waves with zero and nonzero mean values.

If \( \frac{2}{1-\alpha} = \ell \) is an integer (e.g. for \( \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \ell = 4 \)), the periodic wave \( U_1(x) = a_1 \sin \ell x \) consists of finitely many Fourier harmonics. In general, the separation between nonzero parts of the compactons may be arbitrary and the Fourier series of the periodic waves may not be truncated at finitely many terms even if \( \frac{2}{1-\alpha} = \ell \) is integer.

### 3. Stability of compactons

Compactons can be obtained variationally, which is useful to understand stability of compactons from the energetic point of view [10]. The sublinear KdV equation (4) formally admits conservation of three integrals, which correspond in classical mechanics to mass, momentum, and energy, respectively:

\[
M(u) = \int_\mathbb{R} u \, dx, \quad P(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\mathbb{R} u^2 \, dx, \quad E(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\mathbb{R} u_x^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \int_\mathbb{R} |u|^{1+\alpha} \, dx.
\]

The second-order equation (5) is the Euler–Lagrange equation for the following action functional

\[
\Lambda_c(u) = E(u) - cP(u).
\]

so that \( U \) is the critical point of \( \Lambda_c(u) \). Although \( E(u) \) is not a \( C^2 \) functional at \( u = 0 \), the second variation of \( \Lambda_c(u) \) at \( u = U \) is formally defined by \( \delta^2 \Lambda_c(v) = \langle L_c v, v \rangle \), where \( v \) is the perturbation to \( U \), \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) is the standard inner product in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}) \), and \( L_c \) is given by the differential expression

\[
L_c = -\partial_x^2 - c + \alpha |U(x)|^{\alpha-1}.
\]

Without loss of generality, it is sufficient to consider the compacton \( U = U_1 \) given by (8) for \( c = c_1 \), due to the scaling transformation (6). In addition, we close the operator \( L_{c=c_1} \) on the compact interval \([0, \pi]\) as the differential operator \( L_1 : \text{dom}(L_1) \subset L^2(0, \pi) \mapsto \)
Given by
\[ L_1 := -\frac{\partial_x^2}{(1-\alpha)^2} - \frac{4}{(1-\alpha)^2} + \frac{2\alpha(1+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^2\sin^2(x)}, \quad x \in (0, \pi) \] (16)
and
\[ \text{dom}(L_1) := \{ v \in L^2(0, \pi) : L_1v \in L^2(0, \pi) \}. \] (17)

We show that if \( \frac{1}{5} < \alpha < 1 \),
\[ \mu_1 < \mu_2 = 0 < \mu_3 < \ldots. \] (19)
Indeed, the spectral problem \( L_1v = \mu v \) with the spectral parameter \( \mu \) represents the differential equation with regular singular points at \( x = 0 \) and \( x = \pi \). For each regular singular point, indices of the Frobenius theory are given by roots of the indicial equation
\[ m(m-1) - \frac{2\alpha(1+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)^2} = 0, \] (20)
from which we obtain
\[ m_1 = \frac{1+\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \quad m_2 = -\frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}. \] (21)

Two fundamental solutions of the spectral problem \( L_1v = \mu v \) near \( x = 0 \) behave like \( v_1(x) \sim x^{m_1} \) and \( v_2(x) \sim x^{m_2} \) with \( m_1 > 0 \) and \( m_2 < 0 \). The second solution is not squared integrable near \( x = 0 \) if \( m_2 < -\frac{1}{2} \) which yields the range \( [18] \). In this case, the end points \( x = 0 \) and \( x = \pi \) are classified as the limit points by Weyl’s theory and the spectrum of \( L_1 \) is defined by the admissible values of \( \mu \) for which the two bounded solutions of the spectral problem \( L_1v = \mu v \) which behave like \( v(x) \sim x^{m_2} \) near \( x = 0 \) and \( v(x) \sim (\pi-x)^{m_1} \) near \( x = \pi \) intersect (see \([32]\)). This intersection is not generic and occurs at a countable number of isolated eigenvalues \( \mu \); moreover, each eigenvalue is obviously simple with only one linearly independent eigenfunction.

It remains to prove the ordering \( [19] \) of eigenvalues. In order to compute the eigenvalues of \( L_1 \), we use the following substitution
\[ v(x) = \sin^{m_1}(x)\phi(x), \] (22)
where \( \phi \) solves the following second-order differential equation
\[ -\phi''(x) - \frac{2(1+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)} \cot(x)\phi'(x) - \frac{(3+\alpha)}{(1-\alpha)}\phi(x) = \mu\phi(x). \] (23)

The first two eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are obtained from \( [23] \) explicitly:
\[ \mu_1 = -\frac{3+\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \quad \phi_1(x) = 1 \]
and
\[ \mu_2 = 0, \quad \phi_2(x) = \cos(x). \]

By Sturm–Liouville theory, since \( v_2(x) = \sin^{m_1}(x)\cos(x) \) has a single zero on \( [0, \pi] \), \( \mu_2 \) is indeed the second eigenvalue of \( L_1 \) on \( L^2(0, \pi) \), so that the ordering \( [19] \) of simple eigenvalues of \( L_1 \) is proven.
Although the ordering (19) was proven for $c = c_1$, the same ordering of eigenvalues holds for every $c > 0$ due to the scaling transformation (6). Thus, the compacton $U$ satisfying the second-order equation (5) is a saddle point of $\Lambda_c$ with simple negative and zero eigenvalues of the second variation $\delta^2 \Lambda_c$ in the space of compact functions with the support in $\text{supp}(U)$.

The zero eigenvalue is related to the translational symmetry and is removed under a suitable constraint, e.g., if the perturbations preserve the compacton symmetry with respect to the middle point. In order to prove that the compacton is a minimizer of energy $E(u)$ subject to fixed momentum $P(u)$ and thus conclude on the energetic stability of compactons, we need to show the validity of the Vakhitov–Kolokolov (slope) stability condition [33]:

$$\langle L_c^{-1}U, U \rangle = \langle \partial_c U, U \rangle = \frac{d}{dc} P(U) < 0,$$

where we have used that $L_c \partial_c U = U$ which follows from formal differentiation of the stationary equation (5) in $c$. It follows from (6) and (9) that

$$U(x) = \gamma^{-\frac{2}{1-\alpha}} U_1(\gamma x), \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha)\sqrt{c}.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$P(U) = \gamma^{-\frac{5}{1-\alpha}} P(U_1),$$

with $P(U_1) > 0$. Since $P(U) \sim \gamma^{-\frac{5}{1-\alpha}}$ and $\gamma \sim c^\frac{1}{2}$, the slope condition (24) is satisfied for every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

We summarize that compactons $U$ are non-degenerate minimizers of energy $E(u)$ subject to fixed momentum $P(u)$ in the space of symmetric compact functions with the support in $\text{supp}(U)$. Since both the energy and momentum are constants of motion, Lyapunov stability theory suggests that the compactons are energetically stable in the time evolution of the sublinear KdV equation (4).

There are several loose ends in the stability theory of compactons in the sublinear KdV equation (4).

First, well-posedness theory (e.g., continuous dependence on initial data) is out of reach in the time evolution of the sublinear KdV equation (see [14] for analysis of well-posedness of another compacton equation).

Second, existence of minimizers of energy $E(u)$ under the fixed $L^2$ norm is difficult to prove because the subquadratic term of $E(u)$ is not controlled by Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality (compared to the constraint on the $L^1$ norm considered in [16]).

Third, since $E(u)$ is not $C^2$ at $u = 0$, the second variation $\delta^2 \Lambda_c(v) = \langle L_c v, v \rangle$ is related to the singular Sturm–Liouville operator $L_c$ at the ends of $\text{supp}(U)$ so that the energetic stability is obtained only in class of compact functions with the support in $\text{supp}(U)$. Perturbations supported outside $\text{supp}(U)$ do not belong to the positive subspace of energy because the linear operator $-\partial^2 \gamma^2 - c$ is not positive for $c > 0$. These perturbations have sign-indefinite energy and may lead to destabilization of the compacton $U$ in the time evolution.
4. Numerical simulation

We have picked the power $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$ which fits both the intervals (10) and (18) to study numerically the wave dynamics in the sublinear KdV equation (4). Simulations were run in a large spatial domain imposing periodic boundary conditions.

We used the split-step method, in which the linear step was solved exactly and the nonlinear step was solved using the pseudo-spectral approach with the fourth-order Runge-Kutta method. Sufficiently small time steps were chosen to achieve approximate conservation of the mass (11), momentum (12), and energy (13) integrals. In all simulations, fluctuations of the mass integrals did not exceed the level of $10^{-14}$, whereas fluctuations of the momentum and energy integrals were higher, see the relative errors in Table 1.

| Fig. 1 | $|\Delta M/M|$ | $|\Delta P/P|$ | $|\Delta E/E|$ |
|--------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Fig. 4 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.5 \cdot 10^{-10}$ | $3.1 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| Fig. 3 | $1.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.0 \cdot 10^{-14}$ | $3.6 \cdot 10^{-8}$ |
| Fig. 2 | $4.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.0 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $9.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$ |
| Fig. 1 | $4.3 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $9.9 \cdot 10^{-3}$ |
| Fig. 5 | $3.2 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.2 \cdot 10^{-9}$ | $1.4 \cdot 10^{-9}$ |
| Fig. 6 | $2.7 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $6.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ | $2.0 \cdot 10^{-7}$ |

Table 1. Relative errors in the conservation of the integrals (11), (12), and (13) for the numerical simulations shown in Figs. 1–6.

Simulations produced with the split-step method were also checked with an implicit three-layer finite difference method (see [34], where the method is described for the modified KdV equation). The implicit method showed some worse stability and accuracy compared to the split-step method, but did not require as much computational time as the split-step method.

4.1. Propagation of a single compacton. The initial conditions for numerical simulations of compactons were based on the exact solution (8) with (9) and $\alpha = \frac{3}{4}$:

\[ u(x, 0) = \pm a \sin^8 \left( \frac{\pi x}{\lambda} \right) \chi_{[0,\lambda]}(x), \quad \lambda = \sqrt{56\pi a^{1/8}}, \]

where $a := \left( \frac{8}{\pi c} \right)^4$ is the amplitude parameter defined in terms of the speed $c > 0$ and the different signs correspond to different polarity of the compacton. The edges of the compacton (25) are represented by relatively smooth functions which have the power-law dependence, $u(x, 0) \sim x^8$ as $x \to 0$. Compactons of larger amplitude $a$ are wider in width $\lambda$ and move with smaller speed $c$.

Numerical simulation of a single compacton with the velocity $c = 1$ is shown on Fig. 1. It follows from (25) that the compacton with $c = 1$ has $a \approx 1.7$ and $\lambda \approx 25.13$. The spatial domain has the total length $4\lambda$. It takes $\Delta t = 4\lambda/c$ for the compacton to return to the initial position after moving along the periodic domain.

Compacton (25) moves to the left during the time evolution. Wave profiles after 21, 23, and 25 cycles are superposed with the initial profile without any visible difference on Fig. 1. The inset shows the small-amplitude radiation to the left of the compacton,
from which it is clear that short waves arise with time due to numerical errors. The short waves have a small negative mean value.

Overall, the numerical simulation on Fig. 1 illustrates stability of a single compacton during its propagation.

4.2. Transformation of pulse-like initial disturbances. The initial condition are now taken in the form

\[ u(x, 0) = a \sin^8 \left( \frac{\pi x}{\epsilon \lambda} \right) \chi_{[0, \epsilon \lambda]}(x), \quad \lambda = \sqrt{56\pi a^{1/8}}, \]  

(26)

where \( a > 0 \) is the amplitude parameter and \( \epsilon > 0 \) is the compression factor. Figs. 2 and 3 show the outcomes of the time evolution for the stretched (\( \epsilon > 1 \)) and squeezed (\( \epsilon < 1 \)) initial condition (26) with \( a = 1.7 \), respectively. The horizontal axes in the figures are scaled by the length \( \lambda \) of this compacton.

For Fig. 2, the initial condition is thrice wider than a compacton of the same amplitude (\( \epsilon = 3 \)). During the initial stage of the evolution the left slope of the hump moves leftwards and produces compactons as shown in Fig. 2b in semilogarithmic axes. The distances between the emerging compactons increase with time. At time \( t = 120 \), it becomes apparent (Fig. 2a) that the tail of the solution resolves into a train of compactons. The new compactons are ordered according to the speeds, in the opposite order of their amplitudes. The amplitude of the highest compacton is significantly greater than the amplitude of the initial condition. The black dashed line in Fig. 2a marks the locus of the compacton tops if they were propagating from the point of the initial condition with constant speeds \( c \) defined by their final amplitudes \( a \) by the formula

\[ c = \frac{8}{ta^{1/4}}. \]  

(27)

According to Fig. 2a, compactons remain to the right of the dashed line, hence they experience deceleration when they are formed from the initial pulse-like perturbation.

For Fig. 3, the initial condition is twice narrower than a compacton of the same amplitude (\( \epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \)). During the early evolution, the transformed wave looks very much similar to the dispersive wave spreading described by the integrable KdV equation (see
Figure 2. Evolution of a wide pulse-like initial perturbation: the long-time wave evolution (a) and the initial stage shown in semilogarithmic coordinates (b).

the curve for \( t = 10 \) in Fig. 3a). It becomes apparent during the later stage that new compactons with alternating signs arise during the time evolution. Similar to Fig. 2, the dashed black curves indicate the expected locus of the compacton tops if they were propagating with constant speeds \( c \) which depend on the amplitudes \( a \) according to (27). Compactons experience acceleration since the compactons are now to the left of the dashed curves.

In contrast to the simulation on Fig. 2, two sets of compactons emerge at the early stage of the evolution: a small number of compactons with relatively large amplitudes and alternating polarities and a sequence of much smaller positive compactons which are generated by the left slope of the evolving perturbation and quickly occupy the entire computational domain due to the high speed of small-amplitude compactons (see Fig. 3b). These small-amplitude compactons are not visible in Fig. 3a.

Generation of compactons as a result of disintegration of pulse-like initial perturbations resembles much the scenarios of soliton generation in the classical KdV equation. However, compactons move to the left and their amplitudes are inverse proportional to their speeds according to (27). The small compactons with decreasing amplitudes and increasing speeds play the role of dispersive waves in the linear KdV equation.

4.3. Interaction of compactons with same polarity. Compactons propagate with different velocities if they have different amplitudes, and hence should eventually interact
if a faster compacton is placed behind a slower one. In contrast to the case of solitary waves, the moment when two compactons start to interact, is defined exactly, when the boundaries of the compactons cross.

Fig. 4 shows simulation of two compactons of the same polarity characterized by different speeds $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 1.5$. At $t = 0$ the small compacton is located far to the left from the large one, though it propagates faster and catches up the other compacton thanks to the periodic boundary condition, see the $x$-$t$ diagram in Fig. 4a. The diagram is plotted in the reference moving with the speed $c_1$ of the slower (larger) compacton. The compactons do not exhibit any deformation until they encounter. The compactons collide at about $t \approx 90$ and seem to restore their shapes after the collision. Long after, they collide for the second time at $t \approx 270$ in a similar manner. The deceleration (shift to the right) of the slower (larger) compacton in the course of the collisions is obvious in Fig. 4a. A deeper investigation reveals that the faster (smaller) compacton experiences acceleration (shift to the left) during the collisions.

A few snapshots of the solution during the phase of collision are shown in Fig. 4a. The wave is one-humped and is almost symmetric at $t = 90$. In general, the collision of compactons shown in Fig. 4 looks very similar to the overtaking interaction of KdV solitons [35, 36].

The evolution of minimum and maximum of $u$ in the simulation domain is shown in Fig. 4b and Fig. 4c respectively. The constant value of $\max_x u$ before the collision is

**Figure 3.** Evolution of a narrow pulse-like initial perturbation: the long-time wave evolution (a) and the structure of the small-amplitude tail (b).
Figure 4. Numerical simulation of two compactons with the same polarity and different speeds $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 1.5$: (a) the $(x, t)$ diagram, (b-c) evolution of min $u$ and max $u$ in time, and (d-e) snapshots during the first collision of the two compactons in different scales.
Figure 5. Numerical simulation of two interacting compactons with the same polarity and different speeds $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 1.05$: (a) the $(x,t)$ diagram, (b-c) evolution of min $u$ and max $u$ in time, (d) the background waves and (e) the snapshots during the collision of two compactons.
determined by the amplitude of the large compacton. When the compactons start to interact, the wave maximum shortly jumps up for a small amount, and then drops down more substantially. Later on, the wave maximum restores its original value, but only approximately.

It was shown in \cite{37} and \cite{38} for exact two-soliton solutions of the defocusing and focusing Gardner equation that when two interacting solitons form a symmetric wave-shape, the field value in the middle of it is exactly the difference between the solitons' amplitudes, taking into account the amplitude signs. Solitons of the same polarity form smaller waves when collide, and solitons of the opposite polarities generate larger waves. This result was further generalized for an arbitrary number of interacting solitons within the modified KdV equation \cite{39} and the focusing Gardner equation \cite{40}. The Gardner equation is a linear combination of the classic KdV and the modified KdV equations, and hence represents the general case of integrable equations of the form (1) with \( n = 1 \). According to Fig. 4c, the minimum achieved during the amplitude drop is slightly smaller than the value \( A_{1} - A_{2} \approx 1.4 \).

Fig. 4e shows the compacton tails to the left of the interacting core (note different time instants in the plots in Fig. 4d and Fig. 4e). One may clearly see that the compactons interact inelastically. In the course of the collision they generate a series of waves of the opposite polarity, instead of dispersive waves of the linear KdV equation. The small-scale waves in Fig. 4e behave like compactons; the slowest negative compacton is large enough to be seen in Fig. 4d at \( t = 100, 110 \). The small negative compactons emerge from the depression wave and get ordered according to their speeds, as is seen on Fig. 4e at \( t = 110 \).

At longer time, more small-amplitude compactons of both signs are generated through the new collisions between compactons (see dark and bright traces in Fig. 4h), which result in irregular oscillations of the records of the wave minimum and maximum (Fig. 4b,c). Note, however, that these irregular oscillations do not show the tendency to grow in amount. The initially prescribed two compactons remain the most significant wave structures throughout the simulated time period.

It is known from the classical KdV equation \cite{35, 36} that solitons of the same polarity with close velocities repel, what leads to the second type of soliton interaction, of the exchange type. With the purpose to investigate this issue, the simulation of two positive compactons with close velocities is performed, and the exchange interaction of compactons is found for \( c_{1} = 1 \) and \( c_{2} = 1.05 \), see Fig. 5. The graphs in Fig. 5a show how the two compactons exchange their amplitudes and undergo coordinate shifts similar to the case displayed in Fig. 4. A few shapes of the interacting compactons are plotted in Fig. 5b which resemble the exchange interaction of KdV solitons \cite{35}; the waves do not form a single-humped wave. A symmetric wave appears in the course of the interaction at \( t \approx 280 \), which corresponds to the local minimum of the function \( \max_{x} u \). The deepest point of the hollow between the humps approximately corresponds to the value \( A_{1} - A_{2} \) (shown with the horizontal dashed line in Fig. 5e for the solution at \( t = 280 \)).

A detailed investigation of the picture of interaction of compactons with close velocities reveals new effects shown on Fig. 5f. The small relative velocity of the compactons yields the long period of nonlinear interaction, thus the compactons might change significantly. However, no generation of small-scale waves with amplitudes appreciably greater than the level of the numerical noise is observed. Instead, a wave set-down in the entire
The simulation domain is produced by the colliding compactons during the period $200 \lesssim t \lesssim 400$, which may be seen in Fig. 5a. This figure replicates the surface displayed in Fig. 5a, but the displacements are shown with the color in a much smaller range of magnitudes, $1 \cdot 10^{-4}$ (see the colorbar). The white traces in the figure correspond to the interacting compactons, while the black area — to the set-down. The evolution in time of the set-down amplitude may be seen in the record of $\min_x u$ in Fig. 5b. It is small in magnitude, but is well above the level of noise of the code.

The constant set-down vanishes when the compactons detach, and the wave maximum nearly returns to the value of the initial condition. However, at this stage the background starts to oscillate with a small amplitude. The oscillations become apparent through the almost horizontal color stripes in Fig. 5f. Besides, tiny oscillations of the functions $\min_x u$ and $\max_x u$ in Figs. 5b,c for $t > 400$ reveal a new wave component which emerges in the course of the collision. The strips in the $x$-$t$ diagram in Fig. 5f possess a small inclination which corresponds to perturbations propagating to the left. However, the amplitude of the oscillations is not much larger than the accuracy of the simulation, thus the investigation of this dynamics requires more efforts and lies beyond the scopes of the present work.

4.4. Interaction of compactons with opposite polarities. Fig. 6 illustrates the numerical simulation of interaction of two compactons with the same speeds as in Fig. 4 but with different polarities. Clearly, the compactons preserve their structures when collide. It follows from the $x$–$t$ diagram in Fig. 6a that the nonlinear shift of coordinate of the slow compacton is opposite to the case of same polarities. During the interaction, both compactons with the opposite polarities experience accelerations. This situation does not occur for the Gardner equation, where the phase shift does not depend on the wave polarity [37, 38].

A large short-living wave occurs during the collision with the amplitude approximately equal to the sum of the compacton amplitudes $|A_1| + |A_2| \approx 2.0$, the maximum wave possesses strong vertical asymmetry, see Figs. 6b,c. The shape of the maximum wave (Fig. 6d, $t = 88$) is typical for the extreme events which occur as a result of absorb-emit interaction of solitons of different signs in the focusing modified KdV equation [38, 41, 42]. However, the sign of the extreme wave is the same as the sign of the slower (larger) compacton. This feature is different from the focusing Gardner equation, where the sign of the amplified wave is specified by the faster soliton [40].

The collision of compactons of opposite signs occurs inelastically. A development of a train of positive compactons is readily seen in Fig. 6e at $t = 97$, which displays the area to the left from the interacting compactons. Negative small-amplitude compactons may also be seen at $t = 100$ in between positive small-amplitude compactons. The given initially compactons approximately restore their amplitudes after the collisions, but the presence of small-amplitude compactons result in irregular oscillations of the wave extremes in time.

5. Conclusion

Compactons are typically considered in the systems where the advection and dispersion terms in the corresponding evolution equation are both nonlinear. If the dispersion is linear, then the solitary waves are generally described by hyperbolic functions on the
Figure 6. The same as Fig. 4 but for two interacting compactons with opposite polarities and different speeds $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 1.5$. 
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line which decay to zero exponentially at infinity. The generalized KdV equation is not integrable with the exception of the quadratic and cubic powers and their linear combinations (the Gardner equation).

Here we have studied compactons in the sublinear KdV equation \( (4) \) proposed in \([27]\) and derived in \([28, 29]\). These compactons are larger in amplitude and wider when the speed is smaller. They may be either positive or negative, and propagate to the same direction as the dispersive waves of the linear KdV equation. We have shown that the compactons are energetically stable with respect to compact perturbations with the same support.

The key elements of the compacton dynamics have been studied numerically in this work for the particular case of the sublinear nonlinearity, \( \alpha = 3/4 \). The stability of compactons is confirmed in the direct numerical simulations of propagating and interacting compactons. The long-term solution of the evolution problem for pulse-like disturbances is shown to tend to a sequence of compactons. Due to the specific relation between their amplitudes and speeds, the trains of small-amplitude compactons are ordered differently to the case of the integrable KdV equation. Compactons with the opposite polarity may arise in the time evolution of the pulse-like initial data.

Collisions of compactons are not elastic. Interacting compactons emit waves in the form of trains of small-scale compactons, but almost recover their amplitudes after collisions, so that they may persist during numerous collisions with small or large compactons. The three types of collisions known for solitons have been observed in the present framework: overtaking and exchange interaction of compactons with the same polarity, and absorb-emit interaction of compactons with the opposite polarities. Similar to solitons of the Gardner equation, the maximum wave field decreases when compactons of the same polarity interact, or increases in the case of opposite polarities. Compactons with the amplitudes \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) result in a wave with the amplitude given by the difference of amplitudes \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) when they merge, where the amplitudes may have either signs. Interacting compactons experience nonlinear shifts of coordinates.

Compactons play a twofold role in the wave evolution within the sublinear KdV equation. On the one hand, compactons behave similar to solitary waves: they survive in collisions with other waves and represent the long-term solution of the evolution problem. On the other hand, compactons play the role of dispersive waves of the linear KdV equation, when they quickly spread the residual energy of the initial perturbation which has not been taken by large-amplitude compactons. In the first role, a slowly decaying smooth tail appears first from the left of the perturbation, which splits later on into small-amplitude compactons. In the second role, new small-amplitude compactons are emitted by inelastically interacting compactons.

We conclude that dynamics of compactons of the sublinear KdV equation is rich and one needs to study in future both analytically and numerically.
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