Topology protects chiral edge currents in stochastic systems
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Constructing systems that exhibit time-scales much longer than those of the underlying components, as well as emergent dynamical and collective behavior, is a key goal in fields such as synthetic biology and materials self-assembly. Inspiration often comes from living systems, in which robust global behavior prevails despite the stochasticity of the underlying processes. Here, we present two-dimensional stochastic networks that consist of minimal motifs representing out-of-equilibrium cycles at the molecular scale and support chiral edge currents in configuration space. These currents arise in the topological phase due to the bulk-boundary correspondence and dominate the system dynamics in the steady-state, further proving robust to defects or blockages. We demonstrate the topological properties of these networks and their uniquely non-Hermitian features such as exceptional points and vorticity, while characterizing the edge state localization. As these emergent edge currents are associated to macroscopic timescales and length scales, simply tuning a small number of parameters enables varied dynamical phenomena including a global clock, dynamical growth and shrinkage, and synchronization. Our construction provides a novel topological formalism for stochastic systems and fresh insights into non-Hermitian physics, paving the way for the prediction of robust dynamical states in new classical and quantum platforms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Why are biological functions carried out so robustly, even when the underlying components are stochastic in time and randomly distributed in space? Living systems can have stable properties that endure for time-scales much longer than the lifetime of the underlying constituents, that contribute to memory and adaptive processes\textsuperscript{1,2}. The emergence of stable and reproducible timescales and length scales is also a key goal in the design of synthetic biological systems\textsuperscript{3,4} or in the engineering of reconfigurable materials e.g. through dissipative self-assembly\textsuperscript{5,6}. However, these strongly out-of-equilibrium systems often lack a comprehensive theoretical framework, which prevents us from understanding or describing these processes\textsuperscript{7}.

As a step towards answering this question, we present stochastic models that exhibit emergent dynamical phenomena reminiscent of many observations prevalent in biology\textsuperscript{8–12}, such as a global clock, dynamical growth and shrinkage, as well as synchronization. In our models, all these phenomena hinge on the emergence of a topologically-protected chiral edge state in the stochastic system. To illustrate this connection, we use the canonical example of a topological phase: the quantum Hall effect\textsuperscript{13}, see Fig. 1(a). Here, electrons make cyclotron orbits in the bulk that correspond to unidirectional edge states at the boundaries. The system transport is hence dominated by the propagating edge states which are exponentially localized at the boundaries and protected from disorder and perturbations. The robustness of these edge currents make then a desirable feature for the support of stable emergent phenomena. However, they have yet to be realized in biochemical systems, i.e. systems governed by memoryless (Markovian) classical stochastic dynamics. While topological states showing stationary polarization have been recently reported in one-dimensional stochastic systems\textsuperscript{14,15}, states with propagating edge currents have not yet been reported.

The two-dimensional networks we introduce are constructed from simple repetitive motifs, which correspond to out-of-equilibrium cycles at the molecular scale, and form the analog of cyclotron orbits in the quantum Hall system. In biochemical networks, such microscopic transitions are common due to out of equilibrium transitions that consume a fuel such as ATP or GTP. Many of these appear to leave the system unchanged while consuming energy, and having been dubbed “futile cycles” are ubiquitous in biology\textsuperscript{16,17}. Beyond the analogy to quantum Hall physics, the chiral edge currents in our system imply motion along the boundaries of configuration space rather than real space. As such, they enable oscillations (e.g. cyclical conformational changes of a protein complex, or assembly and disassembly of a biopolymer) governed by physical constraints in the system rather than the specific timescales of the underlying microscopic transitions, which do not need to be fine-tuned\textsuperscript{1,2,7}. Hence, these systems constitute an excellent example of the “structure determines function” paradigm of biology. Besides their possible relevance to biological oscillators, our models could guide the engineering of synthetic non-equilibrium machines that perform work (e.g. stochastic low Reynolds number swimmers\textsuperscript{18,19}).

The out-of-equilibrium or non-reciprocal stochastic transitions render the transition matrix non-Hermitian. While much of the formalism to de-
scribe topological states of matter was initially developed for quantum electronic systems\textsuperscript{20–27} and later extended to classical systems such as mechanics\textsuperscript{28–30}, photonics\textsuperscript{31–34}, acoustics\textsuperscript{35,36}, electrical circuits\textsuperscript{37,38}, active matter\textsuperscript{14,39,40}, and population dynamics\textsuperscript{11}, this formalism has traditionally been reserved for Hermitian systems that respect energy conservation and isolation from the environment. As our systems are strongly dissipative and break detailed balance at the microscale, we build a topological description that is explicitly non-Hermitian. Non-Hermitian physics is an area of great recent interest\textsuperscript{42–26,33,34,42–47}, and can demonstrate unique properties that have no analog in Hermitian systems. These include exceptional points, which are singularities where eigenvectors coalesce\textsuperscript{23,24,42–45}, and vorticity, a topological winding of eigenvalues in complex space\textsuperscript{22}. We show that our models support the topological Zak phase\textsuperscript{21,27,31–33,35,37}, but only support propagating edge currents in the non-Hermitian case. Further, they exhibit the above-mentioned non-Hermitian features such as exceptional points and vorticity, creating a novel theoretical formalism for stochastic systems distinct from previous proposals that do not show these features\textsuperscript{14,15,17}.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the minimal motifs that can be used to construct two-dimensional stochastic networks with emergent chiral edge currents (Sec. II A), and show how global cycles arise using stochastic simulations and analytical calculations (Sec. II B). In Sec. III, we generalize the model to explore the transition from Hermiticity to non-Hermiticity, and explain how the emergence of edge states can be understood as a topological transition. This is a consequence of the Zak phase (Sec. III A) and uniquely non-Hermitian topological invariants such as vorticity (Sec. III B), which results in exponential localization at the edges (Sec. III C). In Sec. IV, we further extend the model to account for other biologically-relevant features, such as asymmetry in configuration space (Sec. IV A) and shared boundaries between different subsystems (Sec. IV B), which lead to new behaviors such as dynamical instability and synchronization. We end with a discussion of the implications and future directions for our work.

II. EMERGENCE OF CHIRAL EDGE CURRENTS

A. Minimal motifs

We consider discrete stochastic processes that operate in a two-dimensional configuration space, i.e., for which the state of the system is determined by two integers \((x, y)\). These two numbers could represent, for example, the state of a biopolymer assembled from two types of monomers X and Y, or from monomers of a single type X but which can be modified (e.g., via phosphorylation). They could also represent two types of modifications applied to the monomers that make up a fixed-size structure such as a protein complex. Implementing transitions between contiguous \((x, y)\) states results in a lattice-like description of the system. Such a lattice will have boundaries or “edges” representing the physical constraints in the system, for example \(0 \leq x \leq N_x\) and \(0 \leq y \leq N_y\) where \(N_x\) and \(N_y\) represent \(\text{e.g.}\) the number of X and Y monomers available for binding, or the number of binding sites for X and Y in a protein complex. More elaborate constraints can also arise, such as \(0 \leq y \leq x\) if \(y\) describes the number of monomers in a biopolymer that have undergone some modification out of a total of \(x\).

A simple implementation of microscopic out-of-equilibrium “futile” cycles, reminiscent of cyclotron orbits in the quantum Hall effect [Fig. 1(a)], can be achieved in a system with four internal states (A,B,C,D) and four external transitions

\[
(x, y)_A \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x + 1, y)_B \\
(x, y)_B \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x + 1, y - 1)_D \\
(x, y)_C \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x - 1, y)_A \\
(x, y)_D \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x, y + 1)_A
\]

which naturally lead to closed cycles \((x, y)_A \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x, y + 1)_B \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x + 1, y + 1)_C \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x + 1, y - 1)_D \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x, y)_A\). We have defined these transitions such that cycles are clockwise in \((x, y)\) space, without loss of generality (reversing all arrows would give counter-clockwise cycles). In the absence of any other transitions, the system will be trapped in such cycles and will not explore the available configuration space. However, the system can break out of a cycle if internal states undergo decay, with four internal transitions

\[
(x, y)_A \xrightarrow{\gamma_{in}} (x, y)_D \\
(x, y)_D \xrightarrow{\gamma_{in}} (x, y)_C \\
(x, y)_C \xrightarrow{\gamma_{in}} (x, y)_B \\
(x, y)_B \xrightarrow{\gamma_{in}} (x, y)_A
\]

which enable effective diffusion over the bulk of \((x, y)\) space over time. A possible implementation of these 8 transitions for a biopolymer is shown in Fig. 1(b). The resulting lattice can be embedded in the plane, as shown in Fig. 1(c).

Cycles with only three internal states are possible if we allow for diagonal transitions in \((x, y)\), such as \((x, y)_C \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x - 1, y - 1)_A\). This gives cycles with only three external transitions, such as \((x, y)_A \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x + 1, y + 1)_B \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x + 1, y - 1)_C \xrightarrow{\gamma_{ex}} (x, y)_A\). Including three internal decay transitions for the same reason as above (see Fig. 1(d) for a possible implementation of the 6 resulting transitions for a biopolymer), we again build a lattice that can be embedded in the plane, e.g., as a Kagome lattice [Fig. 1(e)].
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FIG. 1. Minimal motifs and resulting lattices. (a) In the semiclassical picture of the quantum Hall effect, cyclotron orbits in the bulk with chirality defined by the perpendicular magnetic field $\vec{B}$ result in skipping orbits at the edges, giving oppositely-directed currents at the top and bottom edge of the sample. (b) 4-state model for a structure composed of two types of monomers X and Y (violet and green). The configuration of the system is determined by the number of monomers $(x, y)$ and the internal state (A, B, C, or D), and changes of internal state are represented as tagging a given subunit and thus priming it for addition or removal. External transitions (black, governed by $\gamma_{\text{ex}}$) involve the addition or removal of subunits, whereas internal transitions (grey, $\gamma_{\text{in}}$) cause relaxation of the internal state. (c) Square lattice corresponding to the 4-state model. (d) 3-state model, describing a system in which subunits X (green) are modified e.g. via phosphorylation (violet). The external transition from C to A involves the removal (red arrow) of a modified subunit. (e) Kagome lattice corresponding to the 3-state model. Note the similarity between the lattices (c,e) and the quantum Hall effect in (a), with clockwise external cycles (black, $\gamma_{\text{ex}}$) representing cyclotron orbits, and internal transitions (grey, $\gamma_{\text{in}}$) enabling diffusive translation of these orbits. The skipping orbits in (a) correspond to the counter-clockwise trajectory along the system boundary in (c,e). Shaded blue square and rhombus in (c) and (e) respectively correspond to a unit cell in each lattice.

B. Chiral edge currents and global cycles

Inspection of the lattices in Fig. 1(c,e) suggests that persistent counter-clockwise trajectories of the system along the edges are possible if $\gamma_{\text{ex}} \gg \gamma_{\text{in}}$, i.e. if the external transition is more likely than the internal one when both are possible (e.g. at a B state in the bottom edge) so that the system remains on the edge. These trajectories are then analogous to the “skipping orbits” in the quantum Hall effect, see Fig. 1(a). Stochastic simulations of both the 4-state (Fig. 2) and the 3-state (Fig. 3) models confirm this expectation. Starting from a state within the bulk of the lattice, the system initially displays local clockwise cycles (driven by $\gamma_{\text{ex}}$) interspersed with occasional sideways steps (driven by $\gamma_{\text{in}}$), leading to diffusive motion in the bulk. Once the system reaches any state on the edge, however, persistent motion on the edge leading to counter-clockwise cycles along the boundaries of the system is observed, see Fig. 2(a,b) and Fig. 3(a,b). Over time, the probability of finding the system at the edge is significantly larger than in the bulk, see Fig. 2(c) and Fig. 3(c). Direct solution of the steady state probability of the full master equation of the system confirms this result, see Fig. 2(d) and Fig. 3(d) and further shows a more detailed structure for the probability of different internal states (or sites) on any given edge cell. As long as $\gamma_{\text{ex}} \gg \gamma_{\text{in}}$, these cycles are robust to variations in the system size or shape, provided that the directionality of the lattice edges is preserved, see Fig. 2(e) and Fig. 3(e).

Because the global cycles occur in configuration space, they can describe cyclic changes of a molecular system. In a system of variable size, such as a biopolymer composed of two types of monomers X and Y and governed by the 4-state model, a global cycle around the system boundary would imply sequential assembly of X monomers, followed by assembly of Y monomers, followed by disassembly of the X monomers, and finally disassembly of the Y monomers, leading back to the initial state. The maximum length in X or Y obtained would only be limited by the availability of monomers. A similar growth-shrinkage cycle can be obtained in the 3-state model, where now $y$ could represent e.g. the number of monomers that have been dephosphorylated out of a total of $x$. A full cycle would then involve assembly of phosphorylated monomers, dephosphorylation of the monomers, and disassembly of the dephosphorylated monomers.
FIG. 2. Emergence of global cycles. (a) Simulated stochastic trajectory for the 4-state model [Fig. 1(c)]. Initially, motion is diffusive, until the system encounters the edge at $y = 6$, after which it shows persistent oscillations in both $x$ and $y$. That the oscillations correspond to counter-clockwise edge currents in $(x, y)$ space is clearly seen in (b) which depicts the same trajectory, but in two dimensions. (c) The probability distribution in $(x, y)$ space, obtained from simulations, and (d) the steady-state probability distribution in full configuration space, obtained from direct solution of the master equation, both show strong accumulation of probability at the edges. (e) Edge currents and the resulting cycles are robust with respect to the shape of the boundaries. (f) (Inset) External cycle in a 4-state model for a hexameric biochemical oscillator such as the KaiABC system, involving allosteric conformational changes of the monomers (circles to squares) and phosphorylation (yellow added circles). (Outside) The edge state of this model corresponds to cycles of conformational change, phosphorylation, conformational change, dephosphorylation. Parameters used in (a–d): $\gamma_{in} = 10^{-3}\gamma_{ex}$, system size $N_x = N_y = 6$. See also Movie 1 in the Supplemental Material.

Alternatively, the configuration space could correspond to a system with a fixed number of components, such as a protein complex, but whose components can undergo two types of conformational changes. As an example, in Fig. 2(f), we show how our 4-state model would support the dynamics of an allosteric model for a hexameric biochemical oscillator such as the KaiABC system. Typical models for such conformational oscillations rely on the concerted or Monod-Wyman-Changeux paradigm of allosteric regulation which restricts the configuration space to states in which either all or none of the monomers in a complex have undergone a conformational change. The latter traditionally stands in opposition with the sequential or Koshland-Némethy-Filmer paradigm, which allows individual monomers to separately undergo conformational changes. Interestingly, our system shares features of both paradigms: while all states in the full two-dimensional configuration space are allowed, as in the sequential model, the system only visits a strongly limited subset of these states, namely those at the edge, as in the concerted model. Moreover, this property arises from the repetition of simple, local reaction motifs without the need of fine-tuning, e.g. of some transitions to be markedly different from others at particular points in configuration space. In a final example for another broad class of systems for which the emergence of chiral edge currents is desirable, the global cycles we observe naturally describe non-reciprocal conformational changes that enclose a finite area in a two-dimensional configuration space, which could be particularly useful as driving mechanisms for engineered stochastic low Reynolds number swimmers.

The persistence of edge trajectories can be understood quantitatively. The probability of remaining $L$ steps along the edge and then “unbinding” from it is given by $P(L) = \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_{in}}{\gamma_{ex}}\right)^L$, which results in an average run length $\langle L \rangle = \gamma_{ex}/\gamma_{in}$. Thus, for $\gamma_{ex} = 10^3\gamma_{in}$ and $N_x = N_y = 6$ as in Fig. 2, we expect the system to perform $10^3/(6 \cdot 4) \approx 42$ full cycles on average before unbinding. Even then, the system is likely to encounter the edge again soon after and thus undergo a new run along the edge. Moreover, we can analytically obtain (see Appendix A) the stationary probability distribution of the system, both in the 4-state and the 3-state models, and find that probability accumulates in the edge sites that precede an internal transition [e.g. C sites at the bottom edge; see Fig. 1(c,e)], which have stationary probability $p_C = \frac{\gamma_{in} + \gamma_{ex}}{\gamma_{ex}} p_b$, where $p_b$ is the probability corresponding to all other sites, including bulk sites as well as edge sites that precede an external transition (e.g. B sites at the bottom edge). This coincides with the results in Fig. 2(d) and Fig. 3(d). Importantly, these results imply that probability is infinitely localized at the edge sites in the steady state, in the sense that there is not a gradual decay to the bulk probability as we move away from the edge sites. Summing up the probability of all edge sites, we can obtain the overall probability $P_{edge}$ of finding the system at the edge at any time, or equivalently, the fraction of time that the system spends at the edge. For a square 4-state system of size $N_x = N_y = N_x$, in the limit $\gamma_{ex} \gg \gamma_{in}$, we find $P_{edge} \approx \gamma_{ex}/N_x\gamma_{in}$ (see Appendix A). In the example of Fig. 2, this implies that the system spends $\approx 99.4\%$ of the time at the edge.
boundary correspondence, while such edge states are absent in the trivial phase. This was formulated by Zak for electrons in a crystal lattice\cite{Zak} and has been extended to many other systems including nonelectronic ones such as photonics\cite{24,25,26,27,28,29,30,31,32}, mechanics\cite{33}, acoustics\cite{34}, and electrical circuits\cite{35}. While the lattice coordinates represent real space in these previous works, in ours they represent configuration space.

Remarkably, $W$ in our system is a 2d non-Hermitian generalization of the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model for polyacetylene\cite{36}, up to a diagonal matrix (see Appendix B). When the lattice has periodic boundary conditions (PBC) this diagonal matrix is proportional to the identity matrix, and the eigenvectors of $W$ are exactly those of a 2d SSH model, hence they have the same Berry connection (see Appendix C). Integration of the Berry connection over reciprocal space is quantized in our system due to the presence of inversion and sublattice (or chiral) symmetries\cite{27,28,29,30,31}, and can support the Zak topological phase.

The 2d SSH model on the square\cite{27} and kagome\cite{36} lattices have been previously studied in the Hermitian limit, where the system is in the Zak phase when $\gamma_{\text{ex}} > \gamma_{\text{in}}$ and exhibits edge states. To understand how these properties extend into the non-Hermitian case which characterizes our out-of-equilibrium system, we generalize the phase space. We introduce transitions in the reverse direction from $\gamma_{\text{ex}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{in}}$, which we call $\gamma_{\text{ex}}'$ and $\gamma_{\text{in}}'$ respectively [Fig. 4(a)]. In Fourier space, the spectrum of $W$ for the 4-state model takes the form (see Appendix B)

$$E(k)_{\pm, \pm} = -\gamma_{\text{tot}} \pm \sqrt{a(k) \pm a(k)^2 - b(k)},$$  

where $\gamma_{\text{tot}} = \gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' + \gamma_{\text{in}}'$ and

$$a(k) = 2(\gamma_{\text{in}} \gamma_{\text{in}}' + \gamma_{\text{ex}} \gamma_{\text{ex}}') + (\gamma_{\text{in}} \gamma_{\text{ex}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' \gamma_{\text{in}}')(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)$$

$$b(k) = (\beta - \beta') + 2 \gamma_{\text{ex}} \gamma_{\text{in}}' \cos k_x - 2 \gamma_{\text{ex}}' \gamma_{\text{in}} \cos k_y$$

$$(\beta' - \beta) + 2 \gamma_{\text{ex}} \gamma_{\text{in}}' \cos k_x - 2 \gamma_{\text{ex}}' \gamma_{\text{in}} \cos k_y)$$

$$\beta = \gamma_{\text{in}}^2 - \gamma_{\text{ex}}^2,$$

$$\beta' = \gamma_{\text{in}}'^2 - \gamma_{\text{ex}}'^2.$$  

To simplify notation, we introduce two parameters, the ratio $r$ and chirality $c$, where $\gamma_{\text{in}} = c(1-r)\gamma_{\text{tot}}, \gamma_{\text{in}}' = (c(1-r))/\gamma_{\text{tot}}, \gamma_{\text{ex}} = c\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{ex}}' = (1-c)\gamma_{\text{tot}}$. The ratio $r$ weights the relative strength of internal and external transitions, with $r > 1/2$ when external (internal) transitions are stronger. Chirality $c$ interpolates between the Hermitian case at $c = 1/2$ with equal forward and reverse rates for all transitions, and $c = 1$ which describes the fully chiral case with only forward rates studied above. The limit $c = 0$ has the opposite chirality to the $c = 1$ case.

We can now examine if the system remains topological as chirality is tuned from the Hermitian case to the fully chiral case, by verifying if the system bandgap remains open throughout. Using Equation 1, we indeed note that the bandgap remains open as we vary $c$ from 1/2 to 1 above a critical value $r^*$ [Fig. 4(b)]. We find that $r^* = 1/2$ for both the purely Hermitian case\cite{27} ($c = 1/2$) and

FIG. 3. Emergence of global cycles in the 3-state model. (a) Simulated stochastic trajectory for the 3-state model [Fig. 1(e)] shows persistent oscillations in both $x$ and $y$. (b) Same trajectory, but in two dimensions, clearly showing counter-clockwise cycles. (c) The probability distribution in $(x, y)$ space, obtained from simulations, and (d) the steady-state probability distribution in full configuration space, obtained from direct solution of the master equation, both show strong accumulation of probability at the edges. (e) Edge currents and the resulting cycles are undisturbed as long as the directionality of the edges of the lattice is preserved. See also Movie 2 in the Supplemental Material\cite{37}. Parameters used in (a–d): $\gamma_{\text{in}} = 10^{-3}-\gamma_{\text{ex}}$, system size $N_x = 6$ and $y \leq x$.

### III. TOPOLOGICAL PROTECTION OF THE EDGE STATES

#### A. Berry connection and transition to the Zak phase

The emergence of edge states that dominate the system dynamics is a hallmark of a topological phase, which we can see upon analyzing the Master equation that describes stochastic systems, $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = W\mathbf{p}$. Here $\mathbf{p}$ is a vector of the probabilities of being in each state, and $W$ is a real matrix specifying the transition rates\cite{38}. The lattice structure of the bulk transitions in $W$ allows for the calculation of the Berry connection of $W$, which determines the topological index of the system, i.e. whether the system is in the Zak phase. A system in the Zak phase will have topologically-protected edge states due to the bulk-
the fully chiral case (c = 1 and c = 0), see Appendix C and Fig. 7 there. However, stronger external transitions are needed for the transition in between these values, similar to when finite-size effects come into play. The value \( r^*(c) \) can be obtained from the maximum value of \( r \) for which \( a(k)^2 = b(k) \) has a solution. The global maximum across all values of \( c \) is \( r_{\text{max}}^0 = 0.59 \), which occurs at \( c = 0.7 \) (and \( c = 0.3 \)).

In the topological phase, i.e. when \( r > r^* \), the system exhibits edge states in open boundary conditions (OBC) as expected from the bulk-boundary correspondence. These states are colored in red in Fig. 4(c), forming two rings that shrink into points as \( r \to 1 \). The larger of these rings shrinks towards the ground state at \( E = 0 \), creating a large number of edge states that are increasingly close to the ground state as \( r \to 1 \). Note that the total number of edge states scales with the number of sites on the edge, e.g. \( 4(N_x + N_y - 1) \) in a rectangular geometry.

B. Uniquely non-Hermitian features: propagating edge states, exceptional points and vorticity

We find that our system exhibits several uniquely non-Hermitian properties in the chiral case, which is also when the edge states change qualitatively from being stationary polarization to chiral currents.

FIG. 4. Berry connection and exceptional points. (a) Allowing for the reverse transitions \( \gamma_{\text{ex}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{in}} \), we generalize the phase space of our system to be determined by the ratio \( r \) weighting external to internal transitions and the chirality \( c \), which tunes the system from Hermitian to non-Hermitian. (b) The real spectra of \( \mathcal{W} \) for the square lattice with periodic boundary conditions (PBC) are plotted in reciprocal space \((k_x, k_y)\) for increasing values of \( c \), at \( r = 0.7 \). The top \( E_{+,+} \) and bottom \( E_{-,+} \) bands are in yellow and the middle bands \( E_{+,-} \) and \( E_{-,+} \) are in green. The system remains in the topological phase as \( c \) increases from 1/2 in the Hermitian case to 1 in the fully chiral limit, since the bandgap remains open. (c) The complex spectra are plotted in the trivial phase \( (r = 1/4) \) and in the Zak phase \( (r = 3/4) \), where grey denotes periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and blue denotes open boundary conditions (OBC). Edge states (red points) are seen under OBC in the Zak phase, as expected from the bulk-boundary correspondence. (d) As \( r \to 1 \) in the topological phase, the edge states coalesce towards \( E = 0 \) and \( E = -\gamma_{\text{tot}} \), such that many edge states become close to the ground state in the former. These points of coalescence are exceptional points (EPs), unique non-Hermitian features at which the system becomes singular, and are present in OBC (blue) but absent in PBC (grey). (e) Real spectra as a function of the edge link \( \gamma_{\text{ex}} \) that interpolates between PBC \( (\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}) \) and OBC \( (\gamma_{\text{ex}} = 0) \), where EPs emerge only in the Zak phase \( (r = 3/4) \) at \( \gamma_{\text{ex}}^0 = \gamma_{\text{in}} \). Parameters used in (c–e): \( c = 1 \) and system size \( N_x = N_y = 1 \). In all panels, values of \( E \) are given in units of \( \gamma_{\text{tot}} \).
Such non-Hermitian features include exceptional points (EPs)\textsuperscript{22,42–45} or topological invariants without a Hermitian counterpart\textsuperscript{22,47}. These unique non-Hermitian properties emerge only in the finite Zak phase and most notably in the case of OBC. In the fully chiral case, the spectrum of the system with PBC (grey lines) is symmetric with respect to $r^* = 1/2$ (where $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}$) [Fig. 4(d)]. In OBC (blue lines), the spectrum changes radically past $r^*$, with many states coalescing towards $E = 0$ and some towards $E = -\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ as $r \to 1$, indicating the existence of EPs at $r = 1$\textsuperscript{24,42}. The transition at $r = r^*$ coincides with when the system is just as likely to unbind as to remain on the edge at every step, with the average run length of $\langle L \rangle = 1$, whereas the limit of $r \to 1$ corresponds to when the system spends all of its time on the edge. Lastly, varying the edge transition rate $\gamma_{\text{ex}}$ (see Appendix B) interpolates between PBC ($\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}$) and OBC ($\gamma_{\text{ex}} = 0$). In the Zak phase, exceptional points emerge in the spectrum at $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}$ [Fig. 4(e)].

Another uniquely non-Hermitian feature emerges in the edge states in the strongly chiral limit, that of vorticity\textsuperscript{22}. Using a half-periodic geometry with OBC in $x$ and PBC in $y$ (Fig. 5), we can calculate the band structure (eigenvalues) of $W$ along the reciprocal lattice index $k_y$. In the Hermitian limit of $c = 1/2$, all the bands have similar amount of dispersion or bandwidth. Center and right: As $c$ increases, bands localized on the edge emerge, which are the bands with the largest dispersion. (b) Left: When $W$ is Hermitian, its bands are completely real. Center and right: $W$ is non-Hermitian ($c \neq 0.5$), its bands have an imaginary component which increases in magnitude with $c$. (c) Left: A schematic of the semi-periodic geometry with different bands localized on the system edge. Center and right: Edge states, i.e., the two pairs of bands with largest real dispersion, in complex space. Part of the bands are completely real (red) and distributed in equal amounts on the left and right edges. As chirality increases (Center, $c = 0.8$), they increasingly split in complex space and become localized on the left (green) and right (orange) edges respectively, but still exhibit a vorticity of $\nu = 0$. For sufficiently strong chirality (Right, $c = 1$), a transition occurs when the two pairs of edge bands touch in real space, exhibiting a doubled periodicity of $4\pi$ and vorticity of $\nu = 1/2$. All panels use $N_x = 3$ and give values of $E$ in units of $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$.

FIG. 5. Emergence of edge states and the non-Hermitian topological invariant, vorticity. (a) Using a half-periodic geometry with OBC in $x$ and PBC in $y$, we calculate the band structure of the system along the reciprocal lattice index $k_y$. In the Zak phase at $r = 0.7$, we show three examples as the system is varied from the Hermitian case with equal forward and reverse transitions ($c = 0.5$) to the full chiral case with only forward transitions at $c = 1$. Left: In the Hermitian limit, all the bands have similar amount of dispersion or bandwidth. Center and right: As $c$ increases, bands localized on the edge emerge, which are the bands with the largest dispersion. (b) Left: When $W$ is Hermitian, its bands are completely real. Center and right: $W$ is non-Hermitian ($c \neq 0.5$), its bands have an imaginary component which increases in magnitude with $c$. (c) Left: A schematic of the semi-periodic geometry with different bands localized on the system edge. Center and right: Edge states, i.e., the two pairs of bands with largest real dispersion, in complex space. Part of the bands are completely real (red) and distributed in equal amounts on the left and right edges. As chirality increases (Center, $c = 0.8$), they increasingly split in complex space and become localized on the left (green) and right (orange) edges respectively, but still exhibit a vorticity of $\nu = 0$. For sufficiently strong chirality (Right, $c = 1$), a transition occurs when the two pairs of edge bands touch in real space, exhibiting a doubled periodicity of $4\pi$ and vorticity of $\nu = 1/2$. All panels use $N_x = 3$ and give values of $E$ in units of $\gamma_{\text{tot}}$. 

Such non-Hermitian features include exceptional points (EPs)\textsuperscript{23,24,42–45} or topological invariants without a Hermitian counterpart\textsuperscript{22,47}. These unique non-Hermitian properties emerge only in the finite Zak phase and most notably in the case of OBC. In the fully chiral case, the spectrum of the system with PBC (grey lines) is symmetric with respect to $r^* = 1/2$ (where $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}$) [Fig. 4(d)]. In OBC (blue lines), the spectrum changes radically past $r^*$, with many states coalescing towards $E = 0$ and some towards $E = -\gamma_{\text{tot}}$ as $r \to 1$, indicating the existence of EPs at $r = 1$\textsuperscript{24,42}. The transition at $r = r^*$ coincides with when the system is just as likely to unbind as to remain on the edge at every step, with the average run length of $\langle L \rangle = 1$, whereas the limit of $r \to 1$ corresponds to when the system spends all of its time on the edge. Lastly, varying the edge transition rate $\gamma_{\text{ex}}$ (see Appendix B) interpolates between PBC ($\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}$) and OBC ($\gamma_{\text{ex}} = 0$). In the Zak phase, exceptional points emerge in the spectrum at $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}$ [Fig. 4(e)].

Another uniquely non-Hermitian feature emerges in the edge states in the strongly chiral limit, that of vorticity\textsuperscript{22}. Using a half-periodic geometry with OBC in $x$ and PBC in $y$ (Fig. 5), we can calculate the band structure (eigenvalues) of $W$ along the reciprocal lattice index $k_y$. In the Hermitian limit of $c = 1/2$, all the bands have similar amount of dispersion and are completely real, see Fig. 5(a,b) (left). When Hermiticity is broken and the system becomes increasingly chiral, bands localized on the edge emerge, which also exhibit the largest real dispersion, see Fig. 5(a) (center and right). Many bands also have an imaginary component which increases in magnitude with $c$, see Fig. 5(b) (center and right).

These edge states demonstrate a topological transition with increasing chirality. Part of these bands are completely real (red) and distributed in equal amounts on the left and right edges [red in Fig. 5(c)]. As chirality increases, they develop growing imaginary components to become localized on the left (green) and right (orange)
edges respectively. In the strongly non-Hermitian limit, a transition occurs when the two pairs of edge bands touch in real space, exhibiting a doubled periodicity of $4\pi$ and vorticity of $\nu = 1/2$. Vorticity is a uniquely non-Hermitian topological invariant describing the winding number of a pair of bands in the complex plane:

$$\nu_{mn}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma \nabla_k \arg(E_m(k) - E_n(k)) \cdot dk,$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\Gamma$ is a closed loop in reciprocal space, and $m, n$ are band indices. In our system, $\nu$ indicates the strongly propagating nature of the edge states, taking the value of 0 at $c = 0.8$ and 1/2 at $c = 1$.

C. Edge localization in steady-state

Properties of the non-Hermitian system can also be analyzed using a transfer matrix approach, which probes the steady state of the full transition rate matrix $\mathcal{W}$ (see Appendix D), in the general case of arbitrary $c$ and $r$. This yields the probability accumulation and current along the edge in the full phase space of $c$ and $r$, see Fig. 9 in the Appendix. In particular, we find that both the probability accumulation and the current vanish in the achiral, Hermitian case with $c = 0.5$, as well as in the limit $r \to 0$. The current also vanishes in the limit $r \to 1$, at which point the sites along the edge become disconnected because $\gamma_{\text{in}}, \gamma_{\text{in}}' \to 0$.

Moreover, the transfer matrix analysis shows that the edge states are exponentially localized, in the sense that the probability accumulation with respect to the bulk probability in a cell situated $n$ cells away from the edge $\delta P_n$ decays as $\delta P_n = \delta P_0 \alpha^n$, where $\alpha$ is a decay constant satisfying $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ that depends on both $c$ and $r$. Importantly, in the limit of a fully chiral system with $c \to 1$ or $c \to 0$ we find $\alpha = 0$, and thus recover the result of infinite localization at the edges described in Sec. II B.

IV. COMPLEX FUNCTION AND NOVEL STATES OF MATTER

A. Asymmetric systems and dynamic instability

The inclusion of further features inspired by biology into these models reveals striking observations and directions for future research. For instance, we have until now considered systems characterized by identical rates for the transitions between different states, i.e. with a single value of $\gamma_{\text{ex}}$ and $\gamma_{\text{in}}$ for all the external and internal transitions, respectively. This symmetry need not exist in general, and indeed, in real systems we expect that the transition rates between the different states will be different from each other. We introduce superindices to denote the transition rates between two specific states such that, for example, $\gamma_{\text{ex}}^{BC}$ is the rate of the external transition from B to C, and $\gamma_{\text{in}}^{CB}$ of the internal transition from C to B. In general, there are thus 8 transition rates in the fully chiral 4-state model and 6 transition rates in the fully chiral 3-state model. Robust edge currents will survive as long as the external transitions are significantly faster than the internal transitions with which they compete.

An interesting consequence of having asymmetric transition rates is that they affect the shape of the system oscillations over time. In particular, the typical timescale for moving along the edges is governed by the slower internal transition rates $\gamma_{\text{in}}$, which constitute the bottleneck. As an example, in Fig. 6(a,b) we show how oscillations in $x$ change in the 3-state model when we increase the rate for the upwards internal transition $\gamma_{\text{in}}^{BA}$ such that $\gamma_{\text{in}}^{BA} \gg \gamma_{\text{in}}^{AC} = \gamma_{\text{in}}^{CB}$ while keeping $\gamma_{\text{ex}} \gg \gamma_{\text{in}}$. The apparent “waiting times” for which the number of subunits $x$ remains constant (vertical edge) are strongly reduced, and we obtain a system for which growth appears to be immediately followed by shrinkage. Moreover, the enhanced upwards internal transition leads to more frequent unbinding from the bottom edge, resulting in a stochastic switching to shrinkage before the right corner $x = 100$ has been reached. When this 3-state model
describes addition of GTP-bound monomers, conversion to GDP-bound monomers, and removal of GDP-bound monomers, this behavior is reminiscent of the dynamic instability of microtubules. Notably, our model is capable of demonstrating phases of growth followed by sporadic phases of shrinkage\textsuperscript{11,12} using just three main timescales.

B. Coupled systems and synchronization

In contrast with quantum topological systems, in which the boundaries represent real-space edges of a two-dimensional material and are thus fixed, the boundaries in stochastic systems represent constraints in configuration space, for example determined by the availability of subunits of a certain type in solution. This implies that the boundaries can dynamically change in time. In particular, if we have two systems (1 and 2), which are determined by their states \((x_1, y_1)\) and \((x_2, y_2)\), a global constraint on the number of subunits of type \(X\) would result in the constraint \(x_1 + x_2 \leq N_x\). The boundaries for one system then depend on the state of the other system, i.e. we have \(0 \leq x_1 \leq N_x - x_2\) for system 1 and \(0 \leq x_2 \leq N_x - x_1\) for system 2.

Remarkably, this boundary coupling can lead to synchronization (or entrainment) between the two systems. Stochastic simulations for the symmetric 3-state model, with constraints \(y_1 \leq x_1\) and \(y_2 \leq x_2\) for the second coordinate, show anti-phase synchronization between the \(x\)-coordinates of the two systems, see Fig. 6(c,d). This coupled behavior emerges simply from shared physical constraints between the systems, without the need for direct interaction between them. We anticipate that, by further exploring the parameter space of these models, including asymmetric and weakly chiral systems, and by implementing other types of constraints, different kinds of synchronization (e.g. in-phase synchronization) may be found. As a preliminary result, we find weak in-phase synchronization for an asymmetric system (see Appendix E and Fig. 10 there). Much remains to be explored about the nature and mechanisms of synchronization in these systems. In particular, coupling of more than two subsystems in the same way is straightforward. Overall, our results serve as a first demonstration of synchronization due to shared boundaries in topological systems.

V. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we show how stochastic systems with out-of-equilibrium cycles at the microscopic scale support chiral edge currents and thus global cycles at the macroscopic scale, along the boundaries of the system’s configuration space. The emergence of edge currents can be understood as a topological transition showing unique non-Hermitian properties, which highlight the non-equilibrium character of the system. The flexibility of the underlying configuration space affords exotic features not typically seen in topological systems, such as asymmetric transitions leading to dynamical instabilities, or coupling between subsystems through shared boundaries, leading to synchronization.

The models we propose here are not only interesting due to their application to biochemical systems, but also introduce novel topological phases. In this regard, we note that they are qualitatively different from previous extensions of the original 1d Hermitian SSH model. For instance, our model contains propagating edge currents, whereas other extensions such as the 2d Hermitian\textsuperscript{27}, 1d non-Hermitian\textsuperscript{23,26,33} or 2d non-Hermitian where non-Hermiticity comes directly from complex terms\textsuperscript{34}, only contain edge polarization. We note that this is also the case with regards to the recent attempts to identify correspondence between stochastic systems and topological phases: such 1d models describe stationary polarization without global currents\textsuperscript{14,15,41}.

Our models exhibit rich phenomenology to be compared with various biochemical processes and other stochastic systems. In contrast to usual models for oscillatory processes at the biomolecular scale, which hard-code the desired global cycle into the structure of the reaction network, our models are constructed from identical repetitive motifs representing simple reactions at the level of single constituents. The global cycle arises as an emergent property of the system, and can occur over widely varying time scales and length scales simply by changing the number of constituents in the system, directly linking structure to emergent function. In future work, it would be important to investigate and catalogue different oscillatory biochemical processes, to elucidate whether topologically-protected edge currents have already been put to use by biological systems. A good indication that a biochemical process might be exploiting such currents would be the observation of microscopic “futile” cycles that leave the system unchanged, e.g. polymerization-depolymerization or phosphorylation-dephosphorylation. A further signature of topologically-protected edge currents would be an increase in the robustness and regularity of the cycles with the difference between two main timescales of the system (the external and internal transitions).

Lastly, our work opens up new directions for engineering other interesting phases in a realistic setting. Our introduction of biologically-inspired features such as dynamical boundaries, new geometries and coupled systems suggest avenues for the exploration of novel topological states. By producing a blueprint for the emergence of protected edge currents, our formalism can be used to guide the design of new pathways in synthetic biology and materials self-assembly, e.g. towards desired oscillatory assembly or reaction processes\textsuperscript{3–6}. Further, our models suggest new topological states that can implemented in other tunable systems such as photonics\textsuperscript{31–34} and topoelectronics\textsuperscript{37,38}. These rapid and continuing developments hold promise for the prediction of new states.
of matter in both classical and quantum systems.
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Appendix A: Stationary state in the fully chiral case

We first directly analyze the steady state of the system in the fully chiral case, with \( \gamma_{in} = \gamma_{ex} = 0 \). We take the bottom edge of the lattice, be it square or Kagome, as an example without loss of generality. We call the probability for a B site on the edge \( p_B \), and for the C site \( p_C \). The probability of bulk sites away from the edge is called \( p_b \). The stationarity condition for the B site reads \( \gamma_{in} p_B - \gamma_{ex} p_{B} = 0 \) whereas for the C site it reads \( \gamma_{in} p_b + \gamma_{ex} p_{B} - \gamma_{in} p_{C} = 0 \). Using both to solve for \( p_B \) and \( p_C \), we obtain \( p_B = p_b \) and \( p_C = \frac{\gamma_{in} + \gamma_{ex}}{\gamma_{in}} p_b \).

The stationarity of corner sites also implies that they have probability \( p_C \). The fact that \( p_B = p_b \) ensures that the bulk site contiguous to the edge site B is stationary as well, with probability \( p_b \), as are all other bulk sites.

The probability current along the edge can be calculated as \( J = \gamma_{in} p_C - \gamma_{in} p_b = \gamma_{ex} p_b \). To obtain the global probability of being at the edge in a square 4-state model with \( N_x = N_y = N \), we note that there are \( n_{e,c} = 4(N+1) \) sites with probability \( p_C \) on the edge, \( n_{e,b} = 4N \) sites with probability \( p_b \) on the edge, and \( n_b = 4N^2 \) bulk sites, all with probability \( p_b \). The global probability is then \( P_{edge} = \frac{p_C n_{e,c} + p_b n_{e,b}}{p_C n_{e,c} + p_b n_{e,b} + p_b n_b} \) or, explicitly,

\[
P_{edge} = \frac{\gamma_{in} + \gamma_{ex}}{\gamma_{in}} (N + 1) + \frac{N}{N + \frac{\gamma_{in} + \gamma_{ex}}{\gamma_{in}} (N + 1)}
\]

which in the limit \( \gamma_{ex} \gg \gamma_{in} \) results in the expression quoted in the main text.

Appendix B: Symmetries and band structure of \( W \)

The properties of the transition matrix \( W \) governing the Master equation can be analyzed using the decomposition \( W = A - D \), where \( A_{ij} = \langle i | j \rangle \) is the transition rate from state \( p_j \) to \( p_i \) and \( D_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \sum_k |k| \)\(^5\). \( A \) and \( W \) are also the adjacency and Laplacian matrices, respectively.

For the 4-state model in Fig. 1(c), we can write the adjacency matrix \( A \) explicitly, where we denote the four internal states of cell \((x,y)\) as \((x,y)_A\) to \((x,y)_D\), as

\[
A = \gamma_{in} \sum_{x=0}^{N_x} \sum_{y=0}^{N_y} \left[ |(x,y)_B\rangle\langle(x,y)_C| + |(x,y)_A\rangle\langle(x,y)_B| + |(x,y)_D\rangle\langle(x,y)_A| + |(x,y)_C\rangle\langle(x,y)_D| \right] \\
+ \gamma_{ex} \left[ \sum_{x=0}^{N_x-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N_y} |(x+1,y)_C\rangle\langle(x,y)_B| + |(x,y)_A\rangle\langle(x+1,y)_D| + \sum_{x=0}^{N_x} \sum_{y=0}^{N_y-1} |(x,y+1)_B\rangle\langle(x,y)_A| + |(x,y)_D\rangle\langle(x,y+1)_C| \right] \\
+ \gamma_{ex}^0 \left[ \sum_{y=0}^{N_y} |(0,y)_C\rangle\langle(N_x,y)_B| + |(N_x,y)_A\rangle\langle(0,y)_D| + \sum_{x=0}^{N_x} \sum_{y=0}^{N_y-1} |(x,0)_B\rangle\langle(x,N_y)_A| + |(x,N_y)_D\rangle\langle(x,0)_C| \right].
\]

The edge transition probability \( \gamma_{ex}^0 \) interpolates between periodic boundary conditions (PBC) and open boundary conditions (OBC). PBC occur when \( \gamma_{ex}^0 = \gamma_{ex} \), while OBC occur when \( \gamma_{ex}^0 = 0 \). The diagonal matrix \( D \) can then be easily calculated using its definition above.

This describes a 2d non-Hermitian version of the SSH model\(^2\), which we analyze here for simplicity (the more general case will follow). For a system with PBC, this transition matrix can be expressed in Fourier space as

\[
W_k = \begin{pmatrix}
-\gamma_{tot} & \gamma_{in} & 0 & \gamma_{ex}e^{-ik_x} \\
\gamma_{ex}e^{ik_x} & -\gamma_{tot} & \gamma_{in} & 0 \\
0 & \gamma_{ex}e^{ik_x} & -\gamma_{tot} & \gamma_{in} \\
\gamma_{in} & 0 & \gamma_{ex}e^{-ik_x} & -\gamma_{tot}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where \( \gamma_{tot} = \gamma_{ex} + \gamma_{in} \) and \( k = (k_x,k_y) \), the reciprocal lattice vector.

\( W_k \) obeys inversion and time-reversal symmetries, \( D W_k I^{-1} = W_{-k} \) and \( W_k = W_{-k}^{*} \) respectively. \( I \) is a unitary operator which can be represented as \( I = \sigma_z \otimes 1 \), where \( \sigma_z \) is a Pauli matrix and \( 1 \) is the identity matrix. In addition, using \( W_k = A_k - \gamma_{tot} 1 \), we note that \( A_k \) further obeys sublattice symmetry \( \mathcal{S}A_k\mathcal{S}^{-1} = -A_k \), where \( S \) can be represented as \( S = 1 \otimes \sigma_z \).

With regards to the spectrum, these symmetries suggest that the eigenvalues are either real or complex with conjugate pairs. We indeed see this when analyzing the spectrum of \( W_k \):

\[
E(k)_{\pm} = -\gamma_{tot} \pm \sqrt{a(k) \pm \sqrt{a(k)^2 + \beta}}
\]

where \( a(k) = \gamma_{in}\gamma_{ex}(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) \) and \( \beta = (\gamma_{in}^2 - \gamma_{ex}^2) \).
FIG. 7. Band structure of $W_k$ in the fully chiral case. The bands (or spectrum) $E(k)$ can be exactly solved for the periodic system (see Eq. B2), where the top $E_{+,+}$ and bottom $E_{-,+}$ bands are in yellow and the middle bands $E_{+,}$ and $E_{-,}$ are in green. **Left:** When $r = 1/2 (\gamma_{in} = \gamma_{ex})$, the bands have degeneracies at $E(k) = -\gamma_{tot}$. **Right:** When $r \neq 1/2 (\gamma_{in} \neq \gamma_{ex})$, band gaps open in real space (top) and imaginary space (bottom) away from $E = -\gamma_{tot}$. As the spectrum is even about $\gamma_{in} = \gamma_{ex}$, the same result is obtained for $r = 1/3$ and $2/3$, which are the parameters we use. Note that the ratio $r$ interpolates between the transition probability strengths, i.e., $\gamma_{ex} = r\gamma_{tot}$ and $\gamma_{in} = (1 - r)\gamma_{tot}$. In all panels, values of $E$ are given in units of $\gamma_{tot}$.

Each pair is illustrated in yellow and green respectively in Fig. 7. The spectrum is even about $\gamma_{in} = \gamma_{ex}$, where bandgaps open for $\gamma_{in} \neq \gamma_{ex}$. At $\gamma_{in} = \gamma_{ex}$, the bandgap closes to yield degenerate solutions at $E(k) = -\gamma_{tot}$.

We can similarly obtain the spectrum for the 3-state system [Fig. 1(e)] which obeys the expression

$$(E(k) + \gamma_{tot})^3 + \gamma_{in}^3 + \gamma_{ex}^3 = \gamma_{in}\gamma_{ex}\left[e^{ikx} + 2e^{-i\pi/3}\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}kx}{2}\right)\right](E(k) + \gamma_{tot}).$$

Upon generalizing the phase space to include the reverse transitions, the spectrum of $W_k$ still holds a similar form as Eq. (B2) and is given in the main text. The symmetries of $W_k$ and $A_k$ that were previously discussed also remain in the general case.

**Appendix C: Berry connection and Zak phase of $W$**

The Berry connection is defined for the Hamiltonian $H$ as the generator of time translation, i.e.,

$$-\frac{i}{\hbar}\frac{d}{dt}\psi(t) = H\psi(t).$$ (C1)

In this section, we show that the Berry connection and Zak phase can be identically computed using the eigenvectors of $W$. Consider the Berry connection $Q_m(k)$ defined for a Hermitian Hamiltonian $^{21,27}$ as

$$Q_m(k) = i\phi^\dagger_m(k)\partial_k\psi_m(k).$$ (C2)

Here the $\psi_m$s are the right eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian. For a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, this becomes a complex quantity$^{26,33}$

$$Q^c_m(k) = i\phi^\dagger_m(k)\partial_k\psi_m(k).$$ (C3)

where now $\psi$ and $\phi$ are normalized biorthogonal right and left eigenvectors. The latter are also the eigenvectors of the Hermitian conjugate of the Hamiltonian.

Now, since the Master equation is identical to the Schroedinger equation in Eq. (C1) up to a prefactor, an effective Hamiltonian $H$ can be defined which is identical to $W$ up to a prefactor. $W$ and $H$ have the same eigenvectors, and the Berry connection defined above will be identical to the Berry connection of $W$.

Using the decomposition mentioned above of $W = A - D$ allows further progress. Under periodic boundary conditions, $D$ is simply proportional to the identity, so its presence does not change the resulting eigenvectors. Thus the eigenvectors of $W$ and $A$ are also identical, as is the Berry connection of their respective bands. Further, $A$ obeys sublattice (or chiral) symmetry $S A_k S^{-1} = -A_k$, which has been shown to quantize the integral of the complex Berry connection $Q^c_m(k)$ across reciprocal space$^{26,33}$. $W$ hence inherits this Berry connection quantization, and thus supports the Zak phase and edge states.

$W$ also has inversion symmetry, which similarly quantizes the integrated Berry connection in the Hermitian limit$^{21,27}$. This limit has been well-studied, where previous results show that $A$ (the 2d SSH model$^{27}$) and therefore $W$ exhibit the Zak phase above $r^* = 1/2$. We verify that the bandgap does not close as we interpolate from $c = 1/2$ into the fully non-Hermitian limit $c = 1$ above $r^+(c)$, using our expression for the band structure of $W$ given in the main text. As the system maintains sublattice symmetry throughout and the bands remain separate above $r^+(c)$, it exhibits the Zak phase and edge states.
Appendix D: Stationary state of $\mathcal{W}$ in the general case

We now analyze the steady state of the general system, including the reverse transitions $\gamma'_{\text{ex}}$ and $\gamma'_{\text{in}}$. We focus on the 4-state system, and consider a ribbon periodic along the vertical dimension but open along the horizontal direction (Fig. 8). Defining the matrices $P_n^- \equiv [p_D^0 \ p_C^0 \ p_A^0 \ p_B^0]^T$ and $P_n^+ \equiv [p_D^0 \ p_C^0 \ p_A^0 \ p_B^0]^T$, the stationarity conditions can be written as

\[
P_n^- = U_1 P_{n-1}^- + U_2 P_{n-1}^+ \quad \text{(D1)}
\]

\[
P_n^+ = U_3 P_{n-1}^+ + U_4 P_{n}^- \quad \text{(D2)}
\]

where we have defined the matrices

\[
U_1 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma'_{\text{in}}/\gamma_{\text{ex}} & 0 \\ -\gamma_{\text{in}}/\gamma'_{\text{ex}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(D3)}
\]

\[
U_2 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\text{ex}} + \gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' & -\gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' \\ -\gamma_{\text{ex}} + \gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(D4)}
\]

\[
U_3 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} -\gamma_{\text{ex}}/\gamma_{\text{in}} & 0 \\ 0 & -\gamma_{\text{ex}}/\gamma'_{\text{in}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(D5)}
\]

\[
U_4 \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\text{ex}} + \gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' & -\gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' \\ -\gamma_{\text{ex}} + \gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}' \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(D6)}
\]

Plugging in the equation for $P_n^-$ into the one for $P_n^+$, we obtain a transfer matrix $M$ in the rightwards direction for the probability of the 4-site cells $P_n \equiv [p_D^n \ p_C^n \ p_A^n \ p_B^n]^T$, that is, the $4 \times 4$ matrix $M$ that gives

\[
P_n = MP_{n-1} \quad \text{(D7)}
\]

and has the form

\[
M = \begin{pmatrix} U_1 & U_2 \\ U_4 U_1 & U_4 U_2 + U_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(D8)}
\]

Two of the eigenvalues of $M$ are always equal to 1, and have identical associated eigenvectors $V_1 = [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1]^T$. This reflects that the steady state is uniform in the bulk. However, we also find two other eigenvalues $\alpha$ and $1/\alpha$, with $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. The corresponding eigenvectors, $V_\alpha$ and $V_{1/\alpha}$, are non-trivial, and they are related to each other by

\[
V_{1/\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} V_\alpha \quad \text{(D9)}
\]

i.e., $V_\alpha$ is identical to $V_{1/\alpha}$, except for a left-right, up-down reflection (parity symmetry). These properties strongly suggest that they correspond to the perturbations to the bulk behavior induced by the presence of the left edge ($V_\alpha$) and right edge ($V_{1/\alpha}$) of the system. The perturbation decays geometrically, with rate $\alpha$, as we move away from the edge.

To ensure that these perturbations indeed correspond to stationary solutions at the edges, we try a solution of the form $P_0 = p_b(V_1 + \xi V_\alpha)$ at the left edge, where $p_b$ corresponds to the probability in the bulk, far away from the edge. We find that the stationarity conditions at the left edge are satisfied if

\[
\xi = \frac{\gamma_{\text{ex}} - \gamma'_{\text{ex}}}{(\gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}') - (\gamma_{\text{in}} + \gamma_{\text{ex}}) - \gamma_{\text{in}}} \cdot V_\alpha \quad \text{(D10)}
\]

The excess (or lack) of probability at the edge is therefore $\delta P_0 = p_b \xi V_\alpha$, while for the $n$-th cell away from the edge it is $\delta P_n = p_b \xi V_\alpha^n$. Assuming that the system size $N$ is large enough such that the probability disturbance decays away from the boundary, i.e. $\alpha N \ll 1$ or $N \gg -1/\log \alpha$, the total probability disturbance due to the presence of the edge can be calculated as

\[
\delta P_{\text{tot}} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \cdot \delta P_n = p_b \frac{\xi}{1 - \alpha} [1 \ 1 \ 1 \ 1] \cdot V_\alpha \quad \text{(D11)}
\]

which is positive if probability accumulates at the boundary, and negative if probability is depleted at the boundary. The total probability flux along the edge can be directly calculated from the steady state probabilities as

\[
J = p_b \frac{\xi}{1 - \alpha} [\gamma_{\text{in}} - \gamma'_{\text{in}} \ \gamma_{\text{ex}} - \gamma'_{\text{ex}}] \cdot V_\alpha \quad \text{(D12)}
\]

and is positive for net counter-clockwise edge flux (net flux downwards at the left edge) and negative for net clockwise edge flux (net flux upwards at the left edge).

In the limit of a fully chiral system, we find $\alpha = 0$ and we recover the results obtained in Appendix A. It is also interesting to note that, according to Eq. (D10), the effect...
of the boundaries completely vanishes (both in terms of probability disturbance and probability flux) when $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = \gamma_{\text{in}}$. Thus, chirality in the external transitions is essential to obtain boundary effects at steady state.

In this way, we can characterize the steady states of the system by simply studying the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a $4 \times 4$ matrix. Notably, the results are independent of the system size or the shape of the boundaries, even if they give us information about probability accumulation and fluxes at the edges, see Fig. 9.

Appendix E: Synchronization in asymmetric systems

Due to the high dimensionality of the parameter space in asymmetric systems (8 transition rates in the fully chiral 4-state model and 6 transition rates in the fully chiral 3-state model, which double when we consider weakly chiral models), a systematic exploration of the possible types of synchronization in these models is a difficult task which we do not attempt in this work. As a proof of concept, however, we have simulated an asymmetric 3-state model, with symmetric external transitions but with internal transition rates fastest along the vertical direction, slower along the diagonal direction, and slowest along the horizontal direction ($\gamma_{\text{BA}} > \gamma_{\text{AC}} > \gamma_{\text{BC}}$), see Fig. 10. We find weak in-phase synchronization, in particular during the initial stages of growth, i.e. at low $x$.


FIG. 10. In-phase synchronization in an asymmetric 3-state model. (a) Trajectories for two coupled 3-state models with asymmetric internal transition rates $\gamma_{\text{in}}$ given by $\gamma_{\text{in}}^{\text{BA}} = 10^{-4} \gamma_{\text{in}}$ for the horizontal transitions, $\gamma_{\text{in}}^{\text{BA}} = 10^{-3} \gamma_{\text{in}}$ for the diagonal transitions, and $\gamma_{\text{in}}^{\text{BA}} = 10^{-2} \gamma_{\text{in}}$ for the upwards transitions. (b) Probability distribution of finding a given $x_1$ and $x_2$ simultaneously for the same simulation. We find that the two systems show weak in-phase synchronization, particularly at initial growth. The system size is $N = 10$. 
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SUPPLEMENTAL VIDEOS

• Movie 1: Stochastic simulation of the fully-chiral, symmetric 4-state model with $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = 10^3 \gamma_{\text{in}}$ and $N_x = N_y = 20$.

• Movie 2: Stochastic simulation of the fully-chiral, symmetric 3-state model with $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = 10^3 \gamma_{\text{in}}$, $N_x = 20$ and the phosphorylation-type constraint $y \leq x$.

• Movie 3: Stochastic simulations of two coupled fully-chiral, symmetric 3-state models with $\gamma_{\text{ex}} = 10^3 \gamma_{\text{in}}$ and constraints $x_1 + x_2 \leq 20$, $y_1 \leq x_1$, $y_2 \leq x_2$. The blue dot corresponds to $(x_1, y_1)$ whereas the green dot corresponds to $(20 - x_2, y_2)$. The blue dot thus moves counter-clockwise whereas the green dot moves clockwise, and the constraint on $x_1 + x_2$ implies that the blue dot must remain to the left of the green dot. Anti-phase synchronization between the two systems is observed, where one system reaches maximum extension $x = 20$ while the other is at minimum extension $x = 0$ and vice versa.