

Cocycles on Certain Groupoids Associated to \mathbb{N}^k -Actions

Carla Farsi, Leonard Huang, Alex Kumjian & Judith Packer

January 3, 2022

Abstract

We consider groupoids constructed from a finite number of commuting local homeomorphisms acting on a compact metric space, and study generalized Ruelle operators and C^* -algebras associated to these groupoids. We provide a new characterization of 1-cocycles on these groupoids taking values in a locally compact abelian group, given in terms of k -tuples of continuous functions on the unit space satisfying certain canonical identities. Using this, we develop an extended Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theory for dynamical systems of several commuting operators (k -Ruelle triples and commuting Ruelle operators). Results on KMS states on C^* -algebras constructed from these groupoids are derived. When the groupoids being studied come from higher-rank graphs, our results recover existence-uniqueness results for KMS states associated to the graphs.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Notation and conventions	4
2	Ruelle triples and Ruelle operators	5
3	Continuous 1-cocycles on semigroups and continuous 1-cocycles on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$	8
3.1	Semigroup cocycles	8
3.2	Continuous 1-cocycles on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$	11
3.3	Cocycles and Ruelle operators	15
4	Ruelle dynamical systems	16
5	The Radon-Nikodym Problem and KMS states	21
6	KMS states associated to higher-rank graphs	26

1 Introduction

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ a local homeomorphism of X onto itself. The so-called Deaconu-Renault groupoid and its associated C^* -algebra corresponding to the pair (X, σ) were first studied by V. Deaconu in [7] based on a construction of J. Renault in [23] in the setting of groupoids of Cuntz algebras. Deaconu adapted Renault's construction by replacing the shift map on the infinite sequence space with a local homeomorphism. Renault further generalized this construction to local homeomorphisms defined on open subsets in [24]. The étale groupoid associated to a finite family of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space has gone by various names including Deaconu-Renault groupoids of higher rank, and semidirect product groupoid corresponding to the action of the semigroup \mathbb{N}^k [10]. In [28], these groupoids were generalized to the setting of partial semigroup actions. Our main purpose in this paper is the study of these groupoids, their associated C^* -algebras and KMS states which arise naturally on a specific class of related dynamical systems. This is a sufficiently broad class to include higher-rank graph C^* -algebras associated to finite k -graphs. We develop cohomological methods to characterize 1-cocycles on these C^* -algebras, which in turn give rise to one-parameter automorphism groups. This leads us to study the KMS states on these C^* -algebras.

KMS states have their origin in equilibrium statistical mechanics and have long been a very fruitful tool in the study of operator algebras. In this paper, we study KMS states for groupoids associated to a finite family of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space by further developing a Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius (RPF) theory of dynamical systems of several commuting operators. Although an RPF theory for free abelian semigroups has been introduced by M. Carvalho, F. Rodrigues, and P. Varandas in [4] and [5], their main emphasis was on skew products, random walks, and topological entropy, whereas our emphasis here will be on the connection to the C^* -algebras and the use of the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator to prove the existence of measures with appropriate properties (hence states with related properties).

In the groupoid perspective, as first explained by Renault in [23], time evolutions (dynamics) on the reduced C^* -algebra of a groupoid \mathcal{G} are implemented by continuous real-valued 1-cocycles on \mathcal{G} , and the task of understanding the KMS states for these dynamics on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$ requires, at a minimum, identifying the measures on the unit space of \mathcal{G} that are quasi-invariant. There are now refinements of Renault's result, see for example work by S. Neshveyev [20] and K. Thomsen [32]. More recently, J. Christensen's paper [6] combines quasi-invariant measures with a certain group of symmetries to describe KMS states on groupoid C^* -algebras for locally compact second countable Hausdorff étale groupoids.

Our analysis of the KMS states on groupoids associated to a finite family of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space stems from a new characterization of their continuous real-valued 1-cocycles, which in a nutshell are determined completely by a k -tuple of continuous real-valued functions on the unit space of the groupoid satisfying canonical identities. In so doing, we give an isomorphism between the first monoid cohomology of \mathbb{N}^k with coefficients in the module $C(X, H)$ of continuous functions on X with values in H , where H is a locally compact abelian group, and the first continuous cocycle groupoid

cohomology taking values in H .

We base our constructions on the established analysis of KMS states on Deaconu-Renault groupoids of ([9, 13, 17, 25]), together with an extended Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theory for dynamical systems of several commuting operators, modeled on the one-dimensional theory of D. Ruelle [29] and P. Walters [33].

In [17], A. Kumjian and J. Renault associated KMS states to Ruelle operators constructed on a groupoid arising from a single expansive map, and in [13], M. Ionescu and A. Kumjian related the associated states to Hausdorff measures, which led to applications to KMS states on Cuntz algebras, C^* -algebras arising from directed graphs, and C^* -algebras associated to fractafolds. In addition, Ruelle operators were used in [2]. In this paper, we generalize some of these results to groupoids associated to a finite family of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space. In particular we deduce that in order for the adjoint of the Ruelle operator associated to a finite family of commuting local homeomorphism to have an eigenmeasure, it is necessary and sufficient that the adjoint of the Ruelle operator corresponding to a non-trivial product of the local homeomorphisms have that same eigenmeasure, thus reducing matters to the one-dimensional case studied by P. Walters.

Ruelle operators are important tools in mathematical physics, particularly thermodynamics, and yield a formulation of a “continuous” extension of the seminal Perron-Frobenius Theorem. Ruelle’s classical result, known as the “Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius (RPF) Theorem” gives a sufficient condition for a Ruelle triple to satisfy the unique positive eigenvalue condition [29, 30]. In [34], building on earlier work of R. Bowen, P. Walters gave criteria for the RPF Theorem to hold for more general Ruelle triples (X, σ, φ) merely demanding that X be a metric space, σ be positively expansive and exact, and φ satisfy a smoothness condition. We extend the RPF Theorem to certain Ruelle triples of type $(X, \sigma, \varphi) := (X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i)_{i=1}^k$, where the σ_i form a commuting family of local homeomorphisms which are positively expansive and exact, and the φ_i satisfy the Walters conditions.

To derive our generalization of the the RPF Theorem, we first need to construct continuous 1-cocycles on the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ arising from a k -tuple of commuting local homeomorphisms on X , with values in \mathbb{R} . In order to study this in the greatest possible generality, we first study the problem of calculating $H^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ where A is an \mathbb{N}^k -module. In Theorem 3.4, we are able to give an explicit formula for all elements of $Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ from k -tuples in A^k satisfying what we call the “module cocycle condition” and describe which of these are coboundaries. In the case $k = 1$, this formula is similar to the formula given in [8].

We apply this theorem by starting with a k -tuple of commuting local homeomorphisms of X , and using them to give $C(X, H)$ an \mathbb{N}^k -module structure, for any locally compact abelian group H . We then provide an explicit isomorphism between $H^1(\mathbb{N}^k, C(X, H))$ and the first cohomology group $H_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$. Specializing to the case where $H = \mathbb{R}$, we obtain explicit formulas for elements of $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), \mathbb{R})$ that we use in the generalized RPF theorem.

Recently there has been great interest (cf. [1, 12, 18]) in the KMS states associated to 1-parameter dynamical systems on $C^*(\Lambda)$ where Λ is a higher-rank graph and the dynamics arises either from the canonical gauge action of \mathbb{T}^k on $C^*(\Lambda)$ or from a generalized gauge

action. In particular, for a finite strongly connected k -graph, in [1, 12, 18], one can endow $C^*(\Lambda)$ with a (generalized) gauge dynamics and show the existence of unique KMS states. Here, we are able to recover some of the results in [1, 12, 18] from a different perspective, using the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius theorem and the generalized gauge dynamics that we obtain from our description of $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), \mathbb{R})$ given in Proposition 3.10.

In a follow-up paper, we plan to extend our results to topological k -graphs.

We now outline the structure of the paper. Section 2 introduces classical Ruelle triples, triples that satisfy the unique positive eigenvalue condition (see Definition 2.3), and Ruelle operators. These are basic objects that we will generalize to higher-dimensions in Section 4. We also review several essential results of P. Walters, R. Bowen and D. Ruelle in this section. In Section 3, we review the construction of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ associated to a finite family σ of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space X , and then briefly review level one semigroup cohomology, continuous groupoid cohomology, and relate the two. We also give an algebraic way of constructing all continuous 1-cocycles both in the semigroup and groupoid case. Our main interest are continuous real-valued 1-cocycles on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$. In Section 4, we introduce k -Ruelle dynamical systems, the related families of commuting Ruelle operators and their duals, and their eigenmeasures. In Section 5, we use the results of the previous sections to consider the Radon-Nikodym Problem for these groupoids, which provides a link between quasi-invariant measures for the groupoids $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ and KMS states for a generalized gauge dynamics. In particular, we prove that if the generalized Ruelle operator associated to a k -Ruelle system has an eigenmeasure with eigenvalue 1, then there exists a KMS state for the generalized gauge dynamics coming from certain groupoid 1-cocycles related to the groupoid C^* -algebra. Finally, in Section 6, we apply the results obtained thus far to answer some existence and uniqueness questions concerning KMS states for a generalized gauge dynamics associated to higher-rank graphs.

1.1 Notation and conventions

In the sequel we will be using the following notational conventions. We denote by \mathbb{N} the semigroup of natural integers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and by $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ the set of positive elements of \mathbb{N} . For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, we denote by \mathbb{N}^k the semigroup of all ordered k -tuples of elements of \mathbb{N} , and by $[k]$ the set $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$. We define the length $|n|$ of an element $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ by: $|n| := n_1 + \dots + n_k$.

For every compact Hausdorff space X , and topological locally compact group H , we let $C(X, H)$ be the group of continuous functions from X to H . In many instances $H = \mathbb{R}$ in this paper. We will also let $\mathcal{M}(X)$ denote the Banach space of finite signed Borel measures on the Borel subsets of X , which is isometrically isomorphic to the dual space $C(X, \mathbb{R})'$ of $C(X, \mathbb{R})$.¹

For every (locally) compact Hausdorff étale groupoid \mathcal{G} , there is a standard dense linear embedding of $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ into $C_r^*(\mathcal{G})$. The groupoids that we study are amenable, so unless there

¹By [36, pp. 91–92], any measure on a locally compact and second countable space that is finite on compact sets is Radon, hence regular.

is a danger of confusion, we shall identify $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ with its image (also denoted by f) in $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}) \cong C^*(\mathcal{G})$.

In what follows, X will always denote a (non-empty) compact Hausdorff topological space.

Acknowledgments

This work was also partially supported by Simons Foundation Collaboration grants #523991 (C.F.), #353626 (A.K.) and #316981 (J.P.). C.F. also thanks the sabbatical program at the University of Colorado Boulder for support.

The authors also thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

2 Ruelle triples and Ruelle operators

We begin by defining Ruelle triples and Ruelle operators (sometimes called *transfer operators*), which were introduced in [29] in the case of totally disconnected spaces, and generalized to arbitrary compact metric spaces by P. Walters in [33] and [34]. These will be the basic objects of concern in this paper. Ruelle operators are important tools in mathematical physics, particularly thermodynamics, and yield a formulation of a “continuous” extension of the classical Perron-Frobenius Theorem. In [17], A. Kumjian and J. Renault associated KMS states to Ruelle operators constructed on a groupoid arising from a single expansive map on a compact metric space, and in [13], M. Ionescu and A. Kumjian related the associated states to a Hausdorff measure on X , which led to applications to KMS states on Cuntz C^* -algebras, C^* -algebras arising from directed graphs, and C^* -algebras associated to fractafolds.

Definition 2.1 (Ruelle Triples and Operators).

- (1) A *Ruelle triple* is an ordered triple (X, T, φ) , where
 - (a) X is a compact metric space.
 - (b) $T : X \rightarrow X$ is a surjective local homeomorphism.
 - (c) $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function, that is, $\varphi \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$.
- (2) The *Ruelle operator* associated to a Ruelle triple (X, T, φ) is the bounded linear operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{X,T,\varphi} : C(X, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(X, \mathbb{R})$$

defined by, for all $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, $\forall x \in X$:

$$[\mathcal{L}_{X,T,\varphi}(f)](x) := \sum_{y \in T^{-1}[\{x\}]} e^{\varphi(y)} f(y). \quad (1)$$

Our goal is to extend some results from [17] and [13] from a single local homeomorphisms to commuting k -tuples of local homeomorphisms on X in part by employing cohomological methods. The following lemma follows from [10, Proposition 2.2].

Lemma 2.2 (Composition of Ruelle Operators). *Let (X, S, φ) and (X, T, ψ) be Ruelle triples. Then $(X, S \circ T, \varphi \circ T + \psi)$ is a Ruelle triple, and*

$$\mathcal{L}_{X,S,\varphi} \circ \mathcal{L}_{X,T,\psi} = \mathcal{L}_{X,S \circ T, \varphi \circ h + \psi}.$$

We will now define an important subclass of Ruelle triples, those for which the positive eigenvalue problem for the dual Ruelle operator has a unique solution. These Ruelle triples enjoy important fixed-point properties, and admit generalizations to dynamical systems that will be described in Section 4.

Definition 2.3. A Ruelle triple (X, T, φ) is said to satisfy the *unique positive eigenvalue condition* if there exists a unique ordered pair (λ, μ) such that

- (1) λ is a positive real number.
- (2) μ is a Borel probability measure on X .
- (3) If we denote by $(\mathcal{L}_{X,T,\varphi})^* : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ the dual of the Ruelle operator $\mathcal{L}_{X,T,\varphi}$, then

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X,T,\varphi})^*(\mu) = \lambda\mu.$$

Ruelle's classical result, known as the ‘‘Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius (RPF) Theorem’’, generalizes the seminal Perron-Frobenius Theorem for primitive matrices to subshifts of finite type, and gives a sufficient condition for a Ruelle triple that satisfies Definition 2.3 [29, 30]. The RPF theorem below is taken from [9, Theorem 2.2].

To introduce the required notation to state the RPF theorem, fixing $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, let $A = (A_{i,j})_{i,j \in [k]}$ be an $(n \times n)$ zero-one matrix with no row or column of zeros, and let (Σ_A, σ) be the associated (one-sided) subshift of finite type, where Σ_A is the compact topological subspace of the infinite product space $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [k]$ defined by

$$\Sigma_A := \left\{ x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [k] \mid A_{x_i, x_{i+1}} = 1, \forall i \geq 0 \right\},$$

and $\sigma : \Sigma_A \rightarrow \Sigma_A$ is the ‘‘left shift’’ given by

$$\sigma(x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots).$$

Moreover, given a real number $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we define a compatible metric d on Σ_A by setting, for $x, y \in \Sigma_A$ and $x \neq y$, $d(x, y) = \beta^{N(x,y)}$, where $N(x, y)$ is the least integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_i \neq y_i$. Furthermore $\min(\emptyset) := \infty$ by convention.

We can now state the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem as presented by R. Exel, see [9, Theorem 2.2] and [9, Proposition 2.3].

Theorem 2.4 (Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem). *With notation as above let φ be a continuous real-valued function defined on Σ_A . Suppose that*

- (1) *There exists a positive integer m such that $A^m > 0$ (in the sense that all entries are positive) and*
- (2) *φ is Hölder-continuous.*

Then there exist a strictly positive function $h \in C(\Sigma_A, \mathbb{R})$, a Borel probability measure μ on Σ_A , and a positive real number λ such that

- (a) $(\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_A, \sigma, \varphi})(h) = \lambda h$ and
- (b) $(\mathcal{L}_{\Sigma_A, \sigma, \varphi})^*(\mu) = \lambda \mu$.

In particular $(\Sigma_A, \sigma, \varphi)$ also satisfies the unique positive eigenvalue condition of Definition 2.3.

In the sequel, we will also refer to the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem as the RPF Theorem. In [34, 35], P. Walters gave criteria for the RPF Theorem to hold for more general Ruelle triples (X, T, φ) , which was modified by Kumjian and Renault in [17], requiring that X be a metric space, T be positively expansive and exact, and that φ obey some summability condition. We will now detail these results.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, T, φ) be a Ruelle triple, and let d be the metric on X . Consider the three conditions listed below.

- (1) *T is positively expansive, i.e., there is an $\epsilon > 0$ such that for all distinct $x, y \in X$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(T^n(x), T^n(y)) \geq \epsilon$.*
- (2) *T is exact, i.e., for every non-empty open subset U of X , there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^n[U] = X$.*
- (3) *There exists a compatible metric d' on X and positive numbers $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ with the property that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and for all $x, y \in X$ we have that $d'(T^i(x), T^i(y)) \leq \delta$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ implies*

$$\left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \varphi(T^i(x)) - \varphi(T^i(y)) \right| \leq C.$$

We say that (X, T, φ) satisfies the *Walters conditions* if it satisfies condition (1) and (2) above, and it satisfies the *Bowen's condition* ([3]) if it satisfies Condition (3) above. Moreover, T is positively expansive if and only if there is an open neighborhood U of $\Delta(X)$, the diagonal of X , such that for all distinct $x, y \in X$, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(T^n(x), T^n(y)) \notin U$.

In all of our examples the function φ is Hölder continuous, and that together with condition (1) implies Bowen's condition, as noted in the following well-known proposition whose proof is sketched in [17, pg. 2071].

Proposition 2.6. *Let (X, T, φ) be a Ruelle triple, with T positively expansive. If φ is Hölder-continuous with respect to a compatible metric d on X , then condition (3) of Definition 2.5 is satisfied for d by φ with respect to T .*

The main results of [34] and [14] yield the following theorem.

Theorem 2.7. *A Ruelle triple satisfies the unique positive eigenvalue condition of Definition 2.3 if it satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.5.*

In [14], Y. Jiang and Y.-L. Ye stated analogous conditions for weakly contractive iterated-function systems for which results similar to Theorem 2.7 hold.

3 Continuous 1-cocycles on semigroups and continuous 1-cocycles on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$

3.1 Semigroup cocycles

We now discuss semigroup cocycles with values a semigroup module A , with the aim of explicitly constructing all \mathbb{N}^k 1-cocycles with values in the \mathbb{N}^k -module A .

Definition 3.1 (Semigroup Cocycles). Let S be a semigroup and A an S -module, so that A is an abelian group and there exists a homomorphism $\pi : S \rightarrow \text{End}(A)$. When there is no danger of confusion, for $s \in S$ and $\alpha \in A$, we denote by $s\alpha \in A$ the element $[\pi(s)](\alpha)$ of A . Define $Z^1(S, A)$ to be the set of A -valued 1-cocycles on S , that is, $Z^1(S, A)$ is the set of functions

$$Z^1(S, A) := \{\gamma : S \rightarrow A \mid \gamma(st) = \gamma(s) + s\gamma(t), \forall s, t \in S\}.$$

A function $\gamma : S \rightarrow A$ is said to be an A -valued 1-coboundary on S if there is an $\alpha \in A$ such that $\gamma(s) = \alpha - s\alpha$ for all $s \in S$, in which case we write $\gamma = \gamma_\alpha$. Let $B^1(S, A)$ denote the collection of all A -valued 1-coboundaries on S .

Routine computations show that $Z^1(S, A)$ forms a group under addition, that every 1-coboundary is a 1-cocycle and that $B^1(S, A)$ is a subgroup of $Z^1(S, A)$. We verify that every 1-coboundary is in fact a 1-cocycle. Let $\alpha \in A$ and $s, t \in S$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_\alpha(st) &= \alpha - (st)\alpha = \alpha - [\pi(st)](\alpha) = \alpha - [\pi(s)](\alpha) + [\pi(s)](\alpha) - [\pi(s)]([\pi(t)](\alpha)) \\ &= \alpha - s\alpha + s\alpha - [\pi(s)]([\pi(t)](\alpha)) = \alpha - s\alpha + s\alpha - s(t\alpha) \\ &= \gamma_\alpha(s) + s\gamma_\alpha(t). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $B^1(S, A) \subseteq Z^1(S, A)$. Moreover, we define the first semigroup cohomology of S with coefficients in A by $H^1(S, A) := Z^1(S, A)/B^1(S, A)$.

For the special case $S = \mathbb{N}^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$, the following definition provides an important example of an S -module.

Definition 3.2. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphism on the locally compact Hausdorff space X . Let H be a topological locally compact abelian group. Define an \mathbb{N}^k -module structure on $A = C(X, H)$ by setting

$$[\pi_n(f)](x) := f(\sigma^n(x)),$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $f \in C(X, H)$ and $x \in X$.

The next condition will be crucial in constructing 1-cocycles on \mathbb{N}^k .

Definition 3.3 (Module Cocycle Condition). Let A be an \mathbb{N}^k -module, and let $a = (a_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a k -tuple of elements of A . We say that $(a_i)_{i \in [k]}$ satisfies the *module cocycle condition* if for all $i, j \in [k]$, $i \neq j$:

$$a_i + \mathbf{e}_i a_j = a_j + \mathbf{e}_j a_i, \quad (2)$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i \in [k]}$ are the canonical generators of \mathbb{N}^k .

Theorem 3.4. *Let A be an \mathbb{N}^k -module.*

- (1) *Suppose that the k -tuple $a = (a_i)_{i \in [k]} \in A^k$ satisfies the module cocycle condition of Equation (2). Then there is a unique cocycle $c_a \in Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ satisfying, for every $\ell \in [k]$:*

$$c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) = a_\ell. \quad (3)$$

The cocycle c_a is given by the following formula:

$$c_a(n) := \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \mathbf{e}_1^i a_1 + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} \mathbf{e}_2^i a_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} \mathbf{e}_2^{n_2} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \mathbf{e}_k^i a_k. \quad (4)$$

- (2) *The correspondence between the k -tuples $a = (a_i)_{i \in [k]} \in A^k$ satisfying the module cocycle condition and the associated cocycles $c_a \in Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ is a bijection.*
- (3) *Such a 1-cocycle $c_a \in Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ corresponds to a coboundary in $B^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ if and only if there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that $a_i = \alpha - \mathbf{e}_i \alpha$ for $i \in [k]$.*

Proof. Proof of (1). We subdivide the proof in two parts. We will first prove that the formula for c_a in Equation (4) gives a 1-cocycle on \mathbb{N}^k satisfying the conditions of Equation (3). Then we will prove the uniqueness.

For the first part of the proof, we will proceed by induction. For a fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$ our induction statement is that for any $t, m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, with $|t| \leq N$ and $|m+n| \leq N$, we have:

$$c_a(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{t_1-1} \mathbf{e}_1^i a_1 + \mathbf{e}_1^{t_1} \sum_{i=0}^{t_2-1} \mathbf{e}_2^i a_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_1^{t_1} \mathbf{e}_2^{t_2} \cdots \mathbf{e}_{k-1}^{t_{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{t_k-1} \mathbf{e}_k^i a_k, \text{ and} \quad (5)$$

$$c_a(m+n) = c_a(m) + m \cdot c_a(n). \quad (6)$$

The base case $N = 1$ is easily checked as it amounts to, for all $\ell \in [k]$:

$$c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) = \mathbf{e}_1^0 \dots \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}^0 \mathbf{e}_\ell^0 a_\ell = a_\ell, \text{ and}$$

$$c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) = c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) c_a(0), \quad c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) = c_a(0) + 0 c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell).$$

For the inductive step, we now suppose that the cocycle formula in Equation (5) holds for all $t \in \mathbb{N}^k$, with $|t| \leq N$, and that Equation (6) hold for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|n + m| \leq N$. We need to show that Equation (5) holds for all $c_a(t)$, with $|t| \leq N + 1$, and that Equation (6) hold for all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|n + m| \leq N + 1$. To do so, fix $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|m + n| = N$, and choose any $\ell \in [k]$ so that $(m + n + \mathbf{e}_\ell) \in \mathbb{N}^k$, which implies $|m + n + \mathbf{e}_\ell| = N + 1$.

Assume first $m = 0$. Then since $|n| = N$, the induction hypothesis (particularly Equation (5)) implies:

$$c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell c_a(n) = c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \mathbf{e}_1^i a_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} \mathbf{e}_2^{n_2} \dots \mathbf{e}_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \mathbf{e}_k^i a_k \right). \quad (7)$$

Now note that for $j < \ell$, the module cocycle condition of Equation (2) implies that:

$$\mathbf{e}_\ell \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \mathbf{e}_j^i a_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \mathbf{e}_j^i (\mathbf{e}_\ell a_j) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \mathbf{e}_j^i (a_j + \mathbf{e}_j a_\ell - a_\ell) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_j-1} \mathbf{e}_j^i a_j + \mathbf{e}_j^{n_j} a_\ell - a_\ell. \quad (8)$$

Next, we will use Equation (8) to replace the terms $\leq \ell$ in Equation (7) with equivalent expressions, to get

$$\begin{aligned} c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell c_a(n) = & a_\ell + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \mathbf{e}_1^i a_1 + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} a_\ell - a_\ell \right) + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} \mathbf{e}_2^i a_2 + \mathbf{e}_j^{n_j} a_\ell - a_\ell \right) + \dots \\ & \dots + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1-1} \mathbf{e}_2^{n_2} \dots \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}^{n_{\ell-1}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n_\ell} \mathbf{e}_\ell^i (a_\ell) - a_\ell \right) + \dots + \mathbf{e}_\ell \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1-1} \mathbf{e}_2^{n_2} \dots \mathbf{e}_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \mathbf{e}_k^i a_k. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

By using the telescopic properties of Equation (9) above, one easily sees that $c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell c_a(n)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \mathbf{e}_1^i a_1 + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} \mathbf{e}_2^i a_2 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} \mathbf{e}_2^{n_2} \dots \mathbf{e}_{\ell-1}^{n_{\ell-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_\ell} \mathbf{e}_\ell^i a_\ell + \dots + \mathbf{e}_1^{n_1} \mathbf{e}_2^{n_2} \dots \mathbf{e}_{k-1}^{n_{k-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} \mathbf{e}_k^i a_k,$$

which equals $c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell + n)$. That is we have proven that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $|n| = N$, and for any $\ell \in [k]$:

$$c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell c_a(n) = c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell + n). \quad (10)$$

Moreover, by using Equation (5) to replace $c_a(n)$ with the RHS of that equation in the above expression, a straightforward calculation shows that Equation (5) holds for $t = n + \mathbf{e}_\ell$.

We now suppose that $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|m + n| = N + 1$ and $|m| > 0$, with positive ℓ -th coordinate m_ℓ , for some $\ell \in [k]$. Define $m' := m - \mathbf{e}_\ell \in \mathbb{N}^k$, and note that $|\mathbf{e}_\ell + m' + n| = |m + n| - 1 = (N + 1) - 1 = N$. Therefore by Equation (10) we get:

$$c_a(m + n) = c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell + m' + n) = c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell c_a(m' + n).$$

By using the induction hypothesis twice we now get

$$\begin{aligned} c_a(m+n) &= c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell(f(m') + m'c_a(n)) = c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell c_a(m') + (\mathbf{e}_\ell + m)c_a(n) \\ &= c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell + m') + (\mathbf{e}_\ell + m)c_a(n) = c_a(m) + m c_a(n). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by using Equation (5) to replace in the above expression $c_a(m)$ and $c_a(n)$ with the RHS of that equation, a straightforward calculation shows that Equation (5) holds for $t = m + n$.

This completes the induction step, and so we have proven that the formula for c_a in Equation (4) gives a 1-cocycle on \mathbb{N}^k satisfying the conditions of Equation (3).

We now prove the uniqueness. Let c_a be as described above, and let f be any other 1-cocycle on \mathbb{N}^k such that $f(\mathbf{e}_i) = a_i$ for $i \in [k]$. Now we proceed by induction on the length $|n|$ of n . The base case that $c_a(n) = f(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|n| \leq 1$ follows from the definition of c_a and f .

For the inductive step, assume that $c_a(r) = f(r)$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $|r| \leq N$, and suppose $|n| = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k = N$, $|m| = m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = N + 1$, which implies $m = n + \mathbf{e}_\ell$ for some ℓ . By using the inductive hypothesis and the module cocycle condition of Equation (2), we then get

$$f(m) = f(\mathbf{e}_\ell + n) = c_a(\mathbf{e}_\ell) + \mathbf{e}_\ell c_a(n) = c_a(m).$$

Proof of (2). We have already proven in (1) that the correspondence $a \rightarrow c_a$ is injective. To prove that $a \rightarrow c_a$ is a surjection onto $Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$, let us take $c \in Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ and set $a_i := c(\mathbf{e}_i)$. It is then easy to check that the k -tuple $(a_i)_{i \in [k]}$ satisfies the module cocycle condition.

Proof of (2). Suppose that the k -tuple $a = (a_i)_{i \in [k]} \in A^k$ gives rise to a coboundary c_a . Then by definition there exists $\alpha \in A$ such that for all $i \in [k]$:

$$a_i = c_a(\mathbf{e}_i) = \alpha - \mathbf{e}_i \alpha.$$

The other direction is clear. □

Remark 3.5. In the most important of our uses of the above result, Theorem 3.4 applies to the \mathbb{N}^k -module $A = C(X, \mathbb{R})$ as in Definition 3.2.

3.2 Continuous 1-cocycles on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$

In this subsection, our objective is to give an algebraic way of constructing all continuous H -valued 1-cocycles, where H is a locally compact abelian group, on groupoids associated to a finite family of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space. In later sections we will mainly be interested in the case $H = \mathbb{R}$. We begin by recalling the definition of these groupoids.

Definition 3.6 ([7, 10]). Let $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphism on the compact Hausdorff space X . We regard σ as an action of \mathbb{N}^k on X by the formula $\sigma^n = \sigma_1^{n_1} \dots \sigma_k^{n_k}$, where $n = (n_i)_{i \in [k]} \in \mathbb{N}^k$. The transformation groupoid (also called the semi-direct product groupoid of the action) $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma) := \{(x, p - q, y) \in X \times \mathbb{Z}^k \times X \mid p, q \in \mathbb{N}^k \text{ and } \sigma^p(x) = \sigma^q(y)\}.$$

We identify X with the unit space of $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ via the map $x \mapsto (x, 0, x)$. The structure maps are given by $r(x, n, y) = x$, $s(x, n, y) = y$, $(x, m, y)^{-1} = (y, -m, x)$, and $(x, m, y)(y, n, z) = (x, m + n, z)$. A basis for the topology on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ is given by subsets of the form

$$\mathcal{Z}(U, V, m, n) := U \times \{p - q\} \times V,$$

where U, V are open in X and $\sigma^p[U] = \sigma^q[V]$. We will denote by $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)^{(2)}$ the set of composable pairs of $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$.

The number k is called the *rank* of $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$.

It is well known that $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ is an étale locally compact Hausdorff amenable groupoid (cf. [7, 28]).

Definition 3.7 (Continuous Groupoid 1-Cocycles). Let \mathcal{G} be a topological groupoid and H be a topological locally compact abelian group. A continuous H -valued 1-cocycle on \mathcal{G} is a continuous function $c : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow H$ such that for any (γ, γ') in $\mathcal{G}^{(2)}$ we have

$$c(\gamma\gamma') = c(\gamma) + c(\gamma').$$

In other words, c is just a continuous groupoid homomorphism from \mathcal{G} to H . We will denote by $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}, H)$ the set of continuous H -valued 1-cocycles on \mathcal{G} .

It is well known that $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}, H)$ is a group under pointwise addition and that $B_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}, H)$, the collection of continuous functions $c : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow H$ such that there is a continuous function $f : \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \rightarrow H$ such that for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$, $c(\gamma) = f(r(\gamma)) - f(s(\gamma))$, is a subgroup of $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}, H)$. We define the first continuous cocycle groupoid cohomology of \mathcal{G} by

$$H_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}, H) := Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}, H) / B_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}, H).$$

Our goal is to give an algebraic characterization of the cocycles in $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ expressed in terms of their coordinate defining functions as given in (2) below. To do so, we will introduce the following definition. A special case of the module cocycle condition of Equation (2) is

Definition 3.8 (The Cocycle Condition). Let H be a topological locally compact abelian group. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let (X, σ, φ) be an ordered triple with:

- (1) X a compact metric space.
- (2) $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ a k -tuple of commuting surjective local homeomorphisms of X .

(3) $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ a k -tuple of elements from $C(X, H)$.

Then (X, σ, φ) is said to satisfy the *cocycle condition of order k* if, for all $i, j \in [k]$:

$$\varphi_i + \varphi_j \circ \sigma_i = \varphi_j + \varphi_i \circ \sigma_j. \quad (11)$$

Note that Equation (11) is a special case of the module cocycle condition of Definition 3.3. When the order k is understood, we will omit it and just say that (X, σ, φ) satisfies the cocycle condition. Moreover, with a slight abuse of notation, when X and σ are understood, we will also say that φ satisfies the cocycle condition.

Example 3.9. With notation as in Definition 3.8, if φ_i is constant for each i , then (X, σ, φ) satisfies the cocycle condition.

The cocycle condition will be the characterizing feature for Ruelle triples in the case of a finite family of commuting endomorphisms, see Definition 4.1.

We now show that every groupoid cocycle $c \in Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ arises from a k -tuple of functions $(\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ satisfying the cocycle condition as above, and conversely.

Proposition 3.10 (Cocycle Characterization). *Let (X, σ, φ) be a triple that satisfies conditions (1), (2) and (3) of Definition 3.8. Then statements (1) and (2) below are equivalent.*

- (1) *Algebraic characterization: (X, σ, φ) satisfies the cocycle condition.*
- (2) *There exists a unique $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi} \in Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ such that $c(x, \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(x)) = \varphi_i(x)$ for all $i \in [k]$ and $x \in X$.*

Moreover, every $c \in Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ arises as a $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$, for some k -tuple φ satisfying the cocycle condition. In addition, $c \in Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ is a 1-coboundary if and only if there exists $\psi \in C(X, H)$ such that $c(x, \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(x)) = \psi(x) - \psi(\sigma_i(x))$, for all $i, j \in [k]$.

Proof. (1) \implies (2): Assume (1). Since $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ satisfies the cocycle condition, by Proposition 3.4, there is a unique 1-cocycle c_φ on \mathbb{N}^k , taking values in the \mathbb{N}^k -module $C(X, H)$, that satisfies $c(\mathbf{e}_i) = \varphi_i$ for $i \in [k]$. Define $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi} \in Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$, for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, by:

$$c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, m - n, y) := [c_\varphi(m)](x) - [c_\varphi(n)](y).$$

We must show $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ is well defined, i.e., if there exist $p, q \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $(x, m - n, y) = (x, p - q, y) \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, so that $\sigma^m(x) = \sigma^n(y)$ and $\sigma^p(x) = \sigma^q(y)$, then

$$c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, m - n, y) = c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, p - q, y).$$

For, note that since $m - n = p - q$, we have, for all $i \in [k]$:

$$m(i) - n(i) = p(i) - q(i), \quad m(i) - p(i) = n(i) - q(i).$$

Define $r \in \mathbb{N}^k$ by:

$$r = (r(1), r(2), \dots, r(k)), \quad \text{where } r(i) = \begin{cases} p(i) - m(i) = q(i) - n(i), & \text{if } p(i) - m(i) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } p(i) - m(i) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It then follows: $m + r = m \vee p$ and $n + r = q \vee p$, where for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^k$, we shall denote by $\alpha \vee \beta$ the element of \mathbb{N}^k obtained by taking the max of the corresponding coordinates in α and β . Similarly to r one can also define $t \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $p + t = m \vee p$ and $q + t = n \vee q$. Hence $m + r = p + t$ and $n + r = q + t$.

We now use the cocycle identity for c_φ to get, for all $(x, m - n, y) = (x, p - q, y) \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$:

$$\begin{aligned} [c_\varphi(m + r)](x) - [c_\varphi(n + r)](y) &= [c_\varphi(m)](x) + [c_\varphi(r)](\sigma^m(x)) - ([c_\varphi(n)](y) + [c_\varphi(r)](\sigma^n(y))) \\ &= [c_\varphi(m)](x) - [c_\varphi(n)](y) + [c_\varphi(r)](\sigma^m(x)) - [c_\varphi(r)](\sigma^n(y)), \end{aligned}$$

and because $\sigma^m(x) = \sigma^n(y)$, the last two terms cancel each other out.

In the same way we show $[c_\varphi(p + t)](x) - [c_\varphi(q + t)](y) = [c_\varphi(p)](x) - [c_\varphi(q)](y)$.

Therefore, $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, m - n, y) = c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, p - q, y)$, so $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ is well-defined. Moreover, using the fact that c_φ is a cocycle, it easily follows that $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ is a cocycle. The fact that $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ is continuous follows from the fact that c_φ takes on values in $C(X, H)$.

A straightforward calculation shows that $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ is unique. Indeed, any $c \in Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ is completely determined by $\varphi_i(x) := c(x, \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma^{\mathbf{e}_i}(x))$, $i \in [k]$, and $c = c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$, where $\varphi = (\varphi_i)$ satisfies the cocycle condition.

(2) \implies (1): Assume (2). Then we have, for all $i, j \in [k]$ and $x \in X$, that:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(x))(\sigma_i(x), \mathbf{e}_j, \sigma_j(\sigma_i(x))) &= (x, \mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j, \sigma_j(\sigma_i(x))) \\ &= (x, \mathbf{e}_j + \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(\sigma_j(x))) \\ &= (x, \mathbf{e}_j, \sigma_j(x))(\sigma_j(x), \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(\sigma_j(x))), \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, for all $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(x) + \varphi_j(\sigma_i(x)) &= c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(x)) + c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(\sigma_i(x), \mathbf{e}_j, \sigma_j(\sigma_i(x))) \\ &= c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}((x, \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(x))(\sigma_i(x), \mathbf{e}_j, \sigma_j(\sigma_i(x)))) \\ &= c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(x, \mathbf{e}_j, \sigma_j(x)) + c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(\sigma_j(x), \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(\sigma_j(x))) \\ &= \varphi_j(x) + \varphi_i(\sigma_j(x)), \end{aligned}$$

which yields (1).

The statement about coboundaries is easily checked. □

In the particular setting of a groupoid $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, with $A = C(X, H)$ endowed with an \mathbb{N}^k -module structure as in Definition 3.2 and Remark 3.5, Theorem 3.4 specializes to outline the relationship between $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ and \mathbb{N}^k -cocycles with values in A .

Corollary 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ be a groupoid associated to a k -tuple σ of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space X , and endow $A = C(X, H)$ with the structure of an \mathbb{N}^k -module as in Definition 3.2. Then there is an isomorphism*

$$\Phi : Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A) \longrightarrow Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H), \quad \Phi(c_\varphi) := c_{X, \sigma, \varphi},$$

where, by means of Theorem 3.4, $c_\varphi \in Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ is determined by the k -tuple $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]} \in A^k$, and $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ is the continuous groupoid cocycle associated to (X, σ, φ) as in Proposition 3.10.

Moreover, Φ restricts to an isomorphism between coboundary groups, and in addition induces a first cohomology group isomorphism

$$\overline{\Phi} : H^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A) \cong H_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H).$$

Proof. It is clear that Φ preserves the group operations between $Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ and $Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$, and Theorem 3.4 shows that Φ is a bijection. So Φ is an isomorphism. It only remains to show that Φ induces an isomorphism between $B^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ and $B_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$. For, assume that c_φ is a coboundary, that is, there exists $f \in A$ such that $c_\varphi = \gamma_f$, which implies $\varphi_i = f - \mathbf{e}_i f$ for all $i \in [k]$. Define, for all $i \in [k]$:

$$\varphi_i(x) = f(x) - (\mathbf{e}_i f)(x) = f(x) - f(\sigma^{\mathbf{e}_i}(x)).$$

Then the cocycle $c_f := \Phi(c_\varphi)$ is given by, on $(x, l, y) \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ for $l = m - n$ with $\sigma^m(x) = \sigma^n(y)$:

$$c_f(x, l, y) = f(x) - f(y),$$

which is obviously the coboundary corresponding to $f \in C(X, H)$. It is clear that Φ is one-to-one and onto from $B^1(\mathbb{N}^k, C(X, H))$ to $B_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$. By the Fundamental Theorem for group homomorphisms, Φ also induces an isomorphism

$$H^1(\mathbb{N}^k, C(X, H)) \cong H_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H). \quad \square$$

3.3 Cocycles and Ruelle operators

We now use Proposition 3.10 to deduce properties of Ruelle operators corresponding to k -tuples $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ satisfying the cocycle condition. Recall that by Definition 2.1 the Ruelle operator associated to the Ruelle triple $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\varphi})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\sigma}, \tilde{\varphi}}$.

Theorem 3.12. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a k -tuple of commuting local homeomorphisms of X , and let $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a k -tuple of elements of $A = C(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

- (1) *Assume that φ satisfies the cocycle condition, and let $c_\varphi \in Z^1(\mathbb{N}^k, A)$ be the cocycle corresponding to φ as in Theorem 3.4. Then the assignment*

$$\Psi : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \text{End}(C(X, \mathbb{R})), \quad \Psi(n) := \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n)}$$

is a semigroup homomorphism.

- (2) *If the k -tuple of Ruelle operators $(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma^{\mathbf{e}_i}, \varphi_i})_{i \in [k]}$ commutes, then φ satisfies the cocycle condition.*

Proof. For the proof of (1) see Lemma 2.2, which relies on [10, Proposition 2.2] (this latter reference was pointed to us by the referee, whom we thank you for it).

To prove (2), let $i, j \in [k]$, $x \in X$, and $z = (\sigma_i \circ \sigma_j)(x)$. As the set

$$S = (\sigma_i \circ \sigma_j)^{-1}[\{z\}] = (\sigma_j \circ \sigma_i)^{-1}[\{z\}]$$

is finite, we can use Urysohn's Lemma to find an $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(x) = 1$ and $f(y) = 0$ for all $y \in S \setminus \{x\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\varphi_i(\sigma_j(x)) + \varphi_j(x)} &= [(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i} \circ \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_j, \varphi_j})(f)](z) \\ &= [(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_j, \varphi_j} \circ \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i})(f)](z) \\ &= e^{\varphi_j(\sigma_i(x)) + \varphi_i(x)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\varphi_i(\sigma_j(x)) + \varphi_j(x) = \varphi_j(\sigma_i(x)) + \varphi_i(x)$. As $x \in X$ is arbitrary, we have proved Equation (11). \square

4 Ruelle dynamical systems

We now introduce the main objects of our study: k -Ruelle dynamical systems, which are higher-rank analogs of Ruelle triples.

Definition 4.1 (*k -Ruelle Dynamical Systems*). A k -Ruelle dynamical system is an ordered triple (X, σ, φ) that satisfies conditions (1), (2), and (3) of Definition 3.8 and the cocycle condition. For a k -Ruelle dynamical system (X, σ, φ) , we will denote by $c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ the unique $c \in Z_{\text{cont}}^1(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma), H)$ such that for all $i \in [k]$ and $x \in X$, we have

$$c(x, \mathbf{e}_i, \sigma_i(x)) = \varphi_i(x).$$

Note that the existence of such a 1-cocycle is guaranteed by Proposition 3.10.

In Definition 4.1, we could have replaced the cocycle condition by any of its equivalent formulations in Proposition 3.10. However the cocycle condition usually is the easiest of the three equivalent conditions in Proposition 3.10 to verify and work with.

In analogy with triples that satisfy the unique positive eigenvalue condition of Definition 2.3, we define

Definition 4.2. A k -Ruelle dynamical system (X, σ, φ) is said to *admit a unique solution for the positive eigenvalue problem of the dual of the Ruelle operator* if there exists a unique ordered pair $(\lambda^{X, \sigma, \varphi}, \mu^{X, \sigma, \varphi})$ with the following properties:

- (1) $\lambda^{X, \sigma, \varphi} = \left(\lambda_i^{X, \sigma, \varphi} \right)_{i \in [k]}$ is a k -tuple of positive real numbers.
- (2) $\mu^{X, \sigma, \varphi}$ is a Borel probability measure on X .

(3) If $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*$ denotes the dual of the Ruelle operator, then for each $i \in [k]$:

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}) = \lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi} \mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}.$$

The next result is the k -tuples version of Theorem 2.4.

Theorem 4.3. *A k -Ruelle dynamical system (X, σ, φ) satisfies Definition 4.2 if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n))$ satisfies the unique positive eigenvalue condition of Definition 2.3.*

Proof. Suppose that there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$ such that $(X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n))$, with $\varphi_i = c_\varphi(\mathbf{e}_i)$, is a triple that satisfies the unique positive eigenvalue condition of Definition 2.3. Theorem 3.12 tells us that, for all $i \in [k]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^{e_i},\varphi_i}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^n,c_\varphi(n)}$ commute, which implies that $\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^{e_i},\varphi_i}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^n,c_\varphi(n)}^*$ also commute, and that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^n,c_\varphi(n)})^*((\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu)) &= (\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*((\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^n,c_\varphi(n)})^*(\mu)) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\lambda\mu) = \lambda(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu)$ is an eigenmeasure of $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^n,c_\varphi(n)})^*$ with eigenvalue λ . As we have for all $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ that

$$\int_X f \, d(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu) = \int_X \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i}(f) \, d\mu \geq 0,$$

it follows that $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu)$ is a non-negative measure on X . Furthermore, by the surjectivity of σ_i ,

$$\int_X 1_X \, d(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu) = \int_X \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i}(1_X) \, d\mu > 0,$$

where we denote by 1_X the characteristic function of X . It follows that

$$\frac{(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu)}{\int_X \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i}(1_X) \, d\mu}$$

is a probability eigenmeasure of $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma^n,c_\varphi(n)})^*$ with eigenvalue λ . Since $(X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n))$ satisfies Definition 2.3, we must have

$$\left(\lambda, \frac{(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu)}{\int_X \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i}(1_X) \, d\mu} \right) = (\lambda, \mu),$$

which yields

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\mu) = \left(\int_X \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i}(1_X) \, d\mu \right) \mu.$$

Now if $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in [k]}$ is a k -tuple in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$, and ν a probability Borel measure on X such that for all $i \in [k]$,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i})^*(\nu) = \alpha_i \nu,$$

then by Theorem 3.12, we get, if we set $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n)})^*(\nu) = \alpha^n \nu.$$

As $\alpha^n > 0$ and $(X, \sigma^n, c_\varphi(n))$ satisfies Definition 2.3, we also obtain $(\alpha^n, \nu) = (\lambda, \mu)$, so $\nu = \mu$. Hence, for all $i \in [k]$,

$$\alpha_i \mu = \left(\int_X \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i}(1_X) \, d\mu \right) \mu,$$

which yields $\alpha_i = \int_X \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i}(1_X) \, d\mu$ for all $i \in [k]$. \square

We will now give two examples of k -Ruelle dynamical systems.

Example 4.4. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$, let $X := \mathbb{Z}_k^{\mathbb{N}_{>0}}$ be equipped with the product topology, where $\mathbb{Z}_k := \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$. It is well-known that the cylinder sets of X form a basis for the topology on X ; recall that every finite word $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_k)^m$ defines an associated cylinder set $\mathcal{Z}[\alpha]$ by:

$$\mathcal{Z}[\alpha] := \{x \in X \mid (x_j)_{j=1, \dots, m} = \alpha\}.$$

Define a commuting k -tuple $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ of surjective local homeomorphisms on X by

$$\forall i \in [k], \forall x \in X : \quad \sigma_i(x) := (x_{n+1} + (i-1))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}.$$

We want to verify Definition 2.5. To do so we first check that σ_i is positively expansive and exact for each $i \in [k]$, and subsequently verify the φ is Hölder-continuous.

A straightforward calculation shows that, if we define $U := \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x_1 = y_1\}$, then:

$$\Delta(X) \subseteq U = \bigcup_{i \in [k]} \mathcal{Z}[i] \times \mathcal{Z}[i].$$

To deduce that σ_i is positively expansive for each $i \in [k]$, simply observe that if $x, y \in X$ are distinct, then $(\sigma_i^{m-1}(x), \sigma_i^{m-1}(y)) \notin U$, where $m := \min(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \mid x_n \neq y_n\})$.

Now we will show that σ_i is exact for each $i \in [k]$. Let $U \subseteq X$ be a nonempty open set. Since the cylinder sets form a basis for the topology on X , there is a cylinder set $\mathcal{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq U$, with $|\alpha| = m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$. Then, $\sigma_i^m[U] \supset \sigma_i^m[\mathcal{Z}[\alpha]] = X$, which means that σ_i is exact for each $i \in [k]$.

Let $d : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ denote the compatible metric on X defined by, for all $x, y \in X$:

$$d(x, y) := 2^{-\min(\{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0} \mid x_n \neq y_n\})},$$

where $\min(\emptyset) := \infty$ by convention.

Now, let $(a_i)_{i \in [k]} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, and define $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by, for all $i \in [k]$, $\forall x \in X$:

$$\varphi(x) := a_i \iff \sum_{n=1}^k x_n = i - 1 \pmod{k}.$$

Clearly, φ is continuous. Indeed, we note that the value of φ at $x \in X$ only depends on the first k components of x , so that if $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) < 2^{-k}$, then $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ so that $|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| = 0$ in that case. One therefore computes, for all $x, y \in X$,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq 2^k \left(\max_{i, j \in [k]} |a_i - a_j| \right) d(x, y),$$

which implies that φ is Hölder-continuous with respect to d .

Let $(c_i)_{i \in [k]} \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then for all $i, j \in [k]$ and for all $x \in X$,

$$(\varphi + c_i 1_X)(\sigma_j(x)) - (\varphi + c_j 1_X)(\sigma_i(x)) = (\varphi(\sigma_i(x)) + c_i) - (\varphi(\sigma_j(x)) + c_j) = c_i - c_j,$$

the final equality holding because $\varphi(\sigma_i(x)) = \varphi(\sigma_j(x))$. Therefore, $(X, (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}, (\varphi + c_i 1_X)_{i \in [k]})$ is a Ruelle dynamical system, and since the Ruelle triple (X, σ_i, φ_i) satisfies conditions of Definition 2.5 for each $i \in [k]$, we conclude that $(X, (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}, (\varphi + c_i 1_X)_{i \in [k]})$ satisfies the unique positive eigenvalue condition of Definition 2.3.

The next example exhibits a dynamical system on a non-Cantor space, admitting a unique solution to the positive eigenvalue problem.

Example 4.5. Let $n = (n_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a k -tuple, $n_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$, and define a commuting k -tuple $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ of surjective local homeomorphisms on \mathbb{T} by, for all $i \in [k]$, and for all $z \in \mathbb{T}$: $\sigma_i(z) := z^{n_i}$. The local homeomorphism σ_i is expansive for each $i \in [k]$ by [31], top of p. 176. Now let U be a non-empty open subset of \mathbb{T} . Then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\alpha < \beta$ and

$$\{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \mid \alpha < \theta < \beta\} \subseteq U.$$

Let $i \in [k]$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2\pi \leq m|n_i|(\beta - \alpha)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i^m[U] &\supseteq \sigma_i^m[\{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \mid \alpha < \theta < \beta\}] = \{e^{imn_i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \mid \alpha < \theta < \beta\} \\ &= \{e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{T} \mid \theta \text{ between } mn_i\alpha \text{ and } mn_i\beta\} = \mathbb{T}, \end{aligned}$$

so σ_i is exact.

Let d denote the metric on \mathbb{T} , defined by, for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$d(e^{i\alpha}, e^{i\beta}) = \inf\{|\alpha - (\beta + n\pi)| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The metric d generates the standard topology on \mathbb{T} .

Define a k -tuple $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ in $C(X, \mathbb{C})$ by, for all $i \in [k]$, $\forall z \in \mathbb{T}$: $\varphi_i(z) = z^{n_i} - z$. A straightforward calculation shows that φ_i is Hölder-continuous with respect to d for each $i \in [k]$, and that the (\mathbb{C} -valued) cocycle condition $\varphi_i + \varphi_j \circ \sigma_i = \varphi_j + \varphi_i \circ \sigma_j$ holds.

Now let $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous additive map (e.g., $f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(z)$). As f is then Hölder-continuous with respect to the Euclidean metrics on \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} , $f \circ \varphi_i$ is also Hölder-continuous with respect to d , and by the additivity of f , for every $\forall i, j \in [k]$,

$$(f \circ \varphi_i) + (f \circ \varphi_j) \circ \sigma_i = f \circ (\varphi_i + \varphi_j \circ \sigma_i) = f \circ (\varphi_j + \varphi_i \circ \sigma_j) = (f \circ \varphi_j) + (f \circ \varphi_i) \circ \sigma_j,$$

so that $(\mathbb{T}, \sigma, (f \circ \varphi_i)_{i \in [k]})$ is a Ruelle dynamical system. As the Ruelle triple $(\mathbb{T}, \sigma_i, f \circ \varphi_i)$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.5 for each $i \in [k]$, we conclude that $(\mathbb{T}, \sigma, (f \circ \varphi_i)_{i \in [k]})$ has a unique eigenmeasure as in Definition 4.2.

Example 4.6. Fixing $d \in \mathbb{N}$ where $d > 1$, define two commuting local homeomorphisms σ_1 and σ_2 of \mathbb{T}^2 by, for all $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{T}$:

$$\sigma_1(z_1, z_2) := (z_1^d, z_2^d), \quad \sigma_2(z_1, z_2) := (z_1 z_2^{-1}, z_1 z_2).$$

Since all the eigenvalues of the associated matrices $M_{\sigma_1} := \begin{bmatrix} d & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{bmatrix}$ and $M_{\sigma_2} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ have modulus larger than 1, σ_1 and σ_2 are toral endomorphisms that are positively expansive and exact (see [19], proof of Theorem 1). In this case, we can choose the functions $\varphi_j := c_j 1_{\mathbb{T}^2}$ to be constant functions. Therefore the Ruelle triple $(\mathbb{T}^2, \sigma_i, c_i 1_{\mathbb{T}^2})$ satisfies the conditions of Definition 2.5, for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

The next example is a combination of Examples 4.5 and 4.4.

Example 4.7. Let $X := \mathbb{Z}_k^{\mathbb{N}_{>0}}$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$. Fixing $I \in [k]$ and $J \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$, define local homeomorphisms $\sigma_I, \sigma_J : X \times \mathbb{T} \rightarrow X \times \mathbb{T}$, by the following formula: For all $(x, z) \in X \times \mathbb{T}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_I(x, z) &:= \left((x_{n+1} + (I - 1))_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}}, z \right), \\ \sigma_J(x, z) &:= (x, z^J). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that σ_I and σ_J commute and one calculates that the composition $\sigma_I \circ \sigma_J$ is positively expansive and exact. By proceeding as in Examples 4.5 and 4.4, and using Theorem 4.3 with $k = 2$ and $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 1)$, the resulting Ruelle triple satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.5.

Example 4.8. We will now compute Ruelle eigenvalues and eigenmeasures for the k -Ruelle dynamical system (X, σ, φ) , with $X = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \{0, 1\}$, where $\{0, 1\} = \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ defined by, for $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$


$$\sigma_1(x) := (x_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad \sigma_2(x) := (x_n + 1)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Moreover, for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, define $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ by the following equation, where below addition is considered modulo 2:

$$\varphi_1(x) := \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x_0 + x_1 = 0, \\ b & \text{if } x_0 + x_1 = 1, \end{cases} \quad \varphi_2(x) := c. \quad (12)$$

Firstly, it is a simple exercise to determine that the eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 of the associated Ruelle operator are given by $\lambda_1 = e^a + e^b$ and $\lambda_2 = e^c$, and that $\mu(Z(0)) = \mu(Z(1)) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Moreover, the eigenmeasure μ on all of the cylinder sets can be computed by using induction, thus proving that μ is defined on the cylinder sets of X according to the following probability diagram and formula. We leave the details of this calculation to the reader.



$$\mu(Z(x_0x_1 \dots x_n)) = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{e^{\psi(x_j+x_{j+1})}}{e^a + e^b}, \quad (13)$$

where $\psi : \{0, 1\} \rightarrow \{a, b\}$ is defined by $\psi(0) := a$ and $\psi(1) := b$.

In the above formula, note that when $n = 0$, the resulting product is empty, and so by convention equal to 1. Therefore, $\mu(Z(x_0)) = \frac{1}{2}$, for all $x_0 \in \{0, 1\}$.

5 The Radon-Nikodym Problem and KMS states

This section addresses the Radon-Nikodym problem for groupoids associated to a finite family of commuting local homeomorphisms of a compact metric space, which provides a link between quasi-invariant measures for these groupoids and KMS states for a generalized gauge dynamics on the associated C^* -algebra. As a result, there will be a heavier emphasis on measure theory and topology than the previous sections.

Definition 5.1 (Pull-Back and Quasi-Invariant Measures). Let μ be a Borel probability measure defined on the Borel sets of the compact metric space X with associated Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(X)$, and let $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a commuting k -tuple of surjective local homeomorphisms on X . Define regular Borel measures $s^*\mu$ and $r^*\mu$ on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ by, for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$:

$$(s^*\mu)(B) := \int_X \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)_x} 1_B(\gamma) \right) d\mu(x),$$

$$(r^*\mu)(B) := \int_X \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)^x} 1_B(\gamma) \right) d\mu(x),$$

where we denoted by $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)_x$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)^x$) the set of arrows in $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ with source x (resp. range x). We then say that μ is *quasi-invariant* for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ if $s^*\mu$ and $r^*\mu$ are equivalent to one another, in which case a *Radon-Nikodym derivative* for μ is any measurable function on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ in the same equivalence class (with respect to the equivalence relation module sets of measure zero) as $\frac{dr^*\mu}{ds^*\mu}$ [23, Section 3].

The following lemma will be used in the proof of Theorem 5.3. Its proof follows from the definition of local homeomorphism and the fact that X is compact.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a local homeomorphism of topological spaces from the compact metric space X to the metric space Y . Then $\sup_{y \in Y} \text{Card}(T^{-1}[\{y\}]) < \infty$.*

The following theorem is a generalization of Proposition 4.2 of [25] from Deaconu-Renault groupoids to groupoids $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, and characterizes the solutions of the Radon-Nykodym problem in this general setting. Its proof is quite technical, although in part it is possible to rely on the steps given in Renault's proof in [25].

Theorem 5.3. *Let (X, σ, φ) be a k -Ruelle dynamical system, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2, and μ a Borel probability measure on X . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) μ is quasi-invariant for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, and the Radon-Nikodym derivative $\frac{dr^*\mu}{ds^*\mu}$ is the continuous function $e^{c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}}$ on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$.
- (2) $(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i})^*(\mu) = \mu$ for each $i \in [k]$.

Proof. Assume that (1) holds and fix $i \in [k]$; then μ is quasi-invariant for the subgroupoid

$$\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma_i) := \{(x, \ell e_i, y) \mid x, y \in X, m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \ell = m - n, \sigma_i^m(x) = \sigma_i^n(y)\}$$

of $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ determined by the singly generated system (X, σ_i) . Thus, by Proposition 4.2 of [25], and using its notation, we have that ${}^t\mathcal{L}_{\varphi_i}(\mu) = \mu$, which in our notation means $(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i})^*(\mu) = \mu$. So (1) \implies (2).

Conversely, assume (2) holds. We first briefly explain why μ is quasi-invariant for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$. A straightforward calculation shows that for all $i \in [k]$, $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$, and $x \in X$, we have

$$\int_X f d((\sigma_i)_*\mu) = \int_X f \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i}(1_X) d\mu.$$

The Riesz representation theorem then implies that for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$,

$$((\sigma_i)_*\mu)(A) = \int_X 1_A \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i}(1_X) d\mu,$$

which yields $(\sigma_i)_*\mu = \mu \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \ll \mu$ for $i \in [k]$. On the other hand, $\mu \ll \mu \circ \sigma_i^{-1}$ for $i \in [k]$ by Proposition 4.2 of [25]. By Lemma 5.2, we now get that for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ and some $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$,

$$\mu(s[B]) \leq (s^*\mu)(B) \leq N\mu(s[B]),$$

which implies that $\mu(s[B]) = 0$ if and only if $(s^*\mu)(B) = 0$; using a similar technique, we can also prove that $\mu(r[B]) = 0$ if and only if $(r^*\mu)(B) = 0$. Finally, by the monotonicity and countable additivity of μ , we have for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$, that:

$$\mu(s[B]) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}^k} (\sigma^m)^{-1}[\sigma^n[r[B]]]\right) = 0,$$

so that if $\mu(r[B]) = 0$, then $\mu(s[B]) = 0$, and the same method shows that if $\mu(s[B]) = 0$, then $\mu(r[B]) = 0$. All of these facts taken together imply that for a fixed $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$, we have $(r^*\mu)(B) = 0$ if and only if $(s^*\mu)(B) = 0$, so $r^*\mu$ and $s^*\mu$ are equivalent Borel measures on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$. Therefore, μ is quasi-invariant for $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$.

Now by (2), we have for all $f \in C(X, \mathbb{R})$ and every $i \in [k]$, that:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X f \, d\mu &= \int_X f \, d(\mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i})^*(\mu) = \int_X \mathcal{L}_{X, \sigma_i, \varphi_i}(f) \, d\mu \\ &= \int_X \left(\sum_{y \in \sigma_i^{-1}[\{x\}]} e^{\varphi_i(y)} f(y) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_X \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)_x} e^{\varphi_i(r(\gamma))} f(r(\gamma)) 1_{S_i}(\gamma) \right) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)} e^{\varphi_i(r(\gamma))} f(r(\gamma)) 1_{S_i}(\gamma) \, d(s^*\mu)(\gamma) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)} e^{c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(\gamma)} f(r(\gamma)) 1_{S_i}(\gamma) \, d(s^*\mu)(\gamma) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)} f(r(\gamma)) 1_{S_i}(\gamma) e^{c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(\gamma)} \, d(s^*\mu)(\gamma). \end{aligned}$$

From the above equations it follows that the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $r^*\mu$ with respect to $s^*\mu$ must be equal to $e^{c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(\gamma)}$ for almost all $\gamma \in S_i$. By [23], Proposition I.3.3, D is a measurable $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ -valued 1-cocycle on $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$, and $D =_{\text{a.e.}} e^{c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}}$, which is continuous by assumption. Therefore, $e^{c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}}$ is a continuous Radon-Nikodym cocycle associated to the quasi-invariant measure μ , and we have established (2) \implies (1). \square

We now illustrate a particular problem of existence of KMS states arising in the context of $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$. In the following example there are no KMS states associated to the dynamics, even though one of the associated local homeomorphisms acting on X is expansive and exact.

Example 5.4. Recall that the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_N , where $N \geq 2$, is the C^* -algebra associated to the groupoid arising from the action of the standard shift σ_N on $X_N := \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [N]$. In [21], D. Olesen and G. Pedersen prove that for $N \geq 2$ there is exactly one KMS state for \mathcal{O}_N with respect to the canonical gauge action α_N of \mathbb{R} on \mathcal{O}_N associated to the cocycle determined by $\varphi_N = 1$. This KMS state arises at the inverse temperature value $\beta = \ln(N)$.

Now take $X = X_2 \times X_3$, and define $\sigma = (\sigma_2, \sigma_3)$, where σ_j is the standard shift on X_j ; also set $\varphi = (\varphi_2, \varphi_3)$, with $\varphi_2 = 1$ and $\varphi_3 = 1$. Note that the shift corresponding to $(1, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ is expansive and exact. Consider the automorphism group $\alpha = \alpha_2 \otimes \alpha_3$ defined on the C^* -algebra corresponding to (X, σ, φ) , which is the tensor product $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_3$ of the C^* -algebras \mathcal{O}_2 and \mathcal{O}_3 . Suppose that for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a state ω on this tensor product C^* -algebra that satisfies the KMS condition for the automorphism group α . Then by the Olesen-Pedersen result, ω restricted to the C^* -subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathbb{C} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_3}$ satisfies the KMS condition for α only at $\beta = \ln(2)$, whereas ω restricted to the subalgebra $\mathbb{C} \text{Id}_{\mathcal{O}_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_3$ satisfies the KMS condition for α only at $\beta = \ln(3)$. Therefore, by the aforementioned result of Olsen and Petersen [21], there cannot be any KMS states for the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_3$ associated to (X, σ, φ) for the automorphism group $\alpha = \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2$.

We are now in a position to introduce the generalized gauge dynamics of a k -Ruelle dynamical system, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2.

Definition 5.5 (Generalized Gauge Dynamics). The *generalized gauge dynamics* of a k -Ruelle dynamical system (X, σ, φ) , which satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2, is by definition the \mathbb{R} -dynamical system $(C^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)), \alpha^{X, \sigma, \varphi})$ defined by:

$$\left(\alpha_t^{X, \sigma, \varphi}(f) \right)(\gamma) := e^{itc_{X, \sigma, \varphi}(\gamma)} f(\gamma),$$

for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where here we are implicitly using the canonical embedding of $C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$ into $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$.

The following result may be found in [23]; see also the discussion preceding Proposition 3.2 of [17].

Proposition 5.6 ([23]). *Let (X, σ, φ) be a k -Ruelle dynamical system that satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every quasi-invariant measure μ for (X, σ) with continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative $e^{-\beta c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}}$, there exists a KMS_β -state ω for the generalized gauge dynamics of (X, σ, φ) that is uniquely determined by:*

$$\omega(f) = \int_X f(x, 0, x) \, d\mu,$$

for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma))$.

It is not necessarily the case that every KMS_β -state for the generalized gauge dynamics of a k -Ruelle dynamical system, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2, (X, σ, φ) originates from a quasi-invariant measure for (X, σ) with $e^{-\beta c_{X, \sigma, \varphi}}$ as a continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative, as described above. However, A. Kumjian and J. Renault showed in

[17, Proposition 3.2] that this is indeed the case if $c_{X,\sigma,\varphi}^{-1}[\{0\}]$ is a principal sub-groupoid of $\mathcal{G}(X, \sigma)$.

Using Proposition 5.6, we can now prove the following result.

Theorem 5.7. *Let (X, σ, φ) be a k -Ruelle dynamical system, which has a unique eigenmeasure as in Definition 4.2, and $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\beta\varphi_i})^*(\mu) = \mu$ for each $i \in [k]$, where μ is an eigenmeasure for the corresponding Ruelle operator with eigenvalue 1, see Definition 2.1(2). Then there exists a KMS_β state as in Proposition 5.6 for the generalized gauge dynamics corresponding to $(X, \sigma, \beta\varphi)$.*

Even if the k -Ruelle dynamical system does not satisfy the conditions of Definition 4.2 so that there does not exist an eigenmeasure for the dual of the Ruelle operator with eigenvalue 1, we can sometimes modify the 1-cocycle φ to obtain a new 1-cocycle ς that does satisfy those hypotheses. The next result was motivated by [12, Proposition 4.4], which was in turn based on [18, Remark 5.25 and Proposition 5.8].

Corollary 5.8. *Let (X, σ, φ) be a k -Ruelle dynamical system satisfying the conditions in Definition 4.2. For $i \in [k]$ and $x \in X$, define*

$$\varsigma_i(x) := \ln\left(\lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi}\right) - \varphi_i(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \varsigma := (\varsigma_i)_{i \in [k]}.$$

Then (X, σ, ς) is a k -Ruelle dynamical system and $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varsigma}$ is a quasi-invariant measure for (X, σ) , with continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative $e^{-c_{X,\sigma,\varsigma}}$. Moreover $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varsigma} = \mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$ so that $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varsigma}$ corresponds by Proposition 5.6 to a KMS state for the generalized gauge dynamics of (X, σ, ς) .

Proof. Since the $(\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ satisfies the cocycle condition, it is easily checked that $(\varsigma_i)_{i \in [k]} = \left(\ln\left(\lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi}\right) - \varphi_i\right)_{i \in [k]}$ satisfies the cocycle condition, so that (X, σ, ς) is a k -Ruelle dynamical system. Similarly, $(X, \sigma, -\varsigma)$ is a k -Ruelle dynamical system too.

Suppose that $(\alpha_i)_{i \in [k]}$ is a k -tuple in $\mathbb{N}_{>0}$ and ν is a Borel probability measure on X such that $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,-\varsigma_i})^*(\nu) = \alpha_i \nu$ for all $i \in [k]$. Then for each $i \in [k]$, the equalities

$$\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,-\varsigma_i} = \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i - \ln(\lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi})} = e^{-\ln(\lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi})} \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i} = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi}} \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i},$$

imply

$$(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,\varphi_i})^*(\nu) = \left(\lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi} \mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,-\varsigma_i}\right)^*(\nu) = \lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi} (\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,-\varsigma_i})^*(\nu) = \lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi} \alpha_i \nu.$$

As (X, σ, φ) satisfies Definition 2.3, it follows that $\nu = \mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$ and $\alpha_i = 1$ for each $i \in [k]$, so $(X, \sigma, -\varsigma)$ satisfies Definition 2.3 too. Moreover by Definition 4.2 we have $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varsigma} = \mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$.

Now, as $(\mathcal{L}_{X,\sigma_i,-\varsigma_i})^*(\mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}) = \mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$ for all $i \in [k]$, Theorem 5.3 tells us that $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$ is quasi-invariant for (X, σ) , with continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative $e^{c_{X,\sigma,-\varsigma}} = e^{-c_{X,\sigma,\varsigma}}$. Therefore,

by Proposition 5.6, $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$ corresponds to a KMS-state for the generalized gauge dynamics of (X, σ, ς) . \square

The following corollary is thus clear.

Corollary 5.9. *Let (X, σ, φ) be a k -Ruelle dynamical system, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Define (with notation as in Definition 4.2) for $i \in [k]$ and $x \in X$*

$$\varsigma_i(x) := \frac{\ln(\lambda_i^{X,\sigma,\varphi}) - \varphi_i(x)}{\beta} \quad \text{and} \quad \varsigma := (\varsigma_i)_{i \in [k]}.$$

Then (X, σ, ς) also satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2 and $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varsigma} = \mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$ is a quasi-invariant measure for (X, σ) , with continuous Radon-Nikodym derivative $e^{-\beta c_{X,\sigma,\varsigma}}$. Consequently, $\mu^{X,\sigma,\varphi}$ corresponds by Proposition 5.6 to a KMS_β -state for the generalized gauge dynamics of (X, σ, ς) .

6 KMS states associated to higher-rank graphs

In this section, we shall use the results obtained thus far to answer existence-uniqueness questions on KMS states for generalized gauge dynamics associated to finite higher-rank graphs.

In what follows, \mathbb{N}^k is viewed as a countable category with a single object 0 and composition of morphisms implemented by $+$.

Definition 6.1 (k -Graphs [15]). A *higher-rank graph* Λ of rank k or, more briefly, a *k -graph* is a countable category Λ equipped with a functor $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$ — called the *degree functor* — such that the factorization property holds: for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ such that $d(\lambda) = m + n$, there are unique $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$ that satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $d(\mu) = m$ and $d(\nu) = n$.
- (2) $\lambda = \mu\nu$.

For notational convenience, we will adopt the following k -graph-theoretic terminology. Given a k -graph Λ with degree functor d , for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$, let $\Lambda^n := d^{-1}[\{n\}]$. The elements of Λ^0 are called the *vertices* of Λ , and it can be shown that $\text{Obj}(\Lambda) = \Lambda^0$. The elements of $\Lambda^{\mathbf{e}_i}$, for \mathbf{e}_i a canonical generator of \mathbb{N}^k , are called the *edges* of Λ . Also, let

$$\begin{aligned} v\Lambda &:= \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid r(\lambda) = v\}, & v\Lambda^n &:= \{\lambda \in \Lambda^n \mid r(\lambda) = v\}, \\ v\Lambda w &:= \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid s(\lambda) = w \text{ and } r(\lambda) = v\}, & v\Lambda^n w &:= \{\lambda \in \Lambda^n \mid s(\lambda) = w \text{ and } r(\lambda) = v\}. \end{aligned}$$

A k -graph Λ is called *finite* if $\text{Card}(\Lambda^n) < \infty$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$; Λ is said to be *source-free* if $v\Lambda^n \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$; and Λ is said to be *row-finite* if $v\Lambda^n$ is finite for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $v \in \Lambda^0$.

Moreover, a *k-graph morphism* from a *k-graph* Λ to another Λ' is a degree-preserving functor $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda'$.

Definition 6.2 (Strong Connectivity and Primitivity [1, 15, 16]). Let Λ be a *k-graph*. Then Λ is said to be *strongly connected* if $v\Lambda w \neq \emptyset$ for all $v, w \in \Lambda^0$, while Λ is said to be *primitive* if there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v\Lambda^n w \neq \emptyset$ for all $v, w \in \Lambda^0$. Evidently, primitivity is a stronger condition than strong connectivity.

Remark 6.3. Note that \mathbb{N}^k may itself be regarded as a *k-graph* with one vertex. It is called the *trivial k-graph* and is both finite and primitive.

Example 6.4 (see Example 1.7(ii) of [15]). Consider the countable category Ω_k whose underlying set is

$$\Omega_k := \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^k \times \mathbb{N}^k \mid m \leq n\}$$

and whose range map, source map, and morphisms are defined as follows:

- If $(m, n) \in \Omega_k$, then $s(m, n) := (n, n)$ and $r(m, n) := (m, m)$, so that $((k, l), (m, n)) \in \Omega_k^2$ is composable if and only if $l = m$.
- If $(l, m), (m, n) \in \Omega_k$, then $(l, m)(m, n) := (l, n)$.

If we equip Ω_k with the degree functor $d : \Omega_k \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$ defined by: $d(m, n) := n - m$ for $(m, n) \in \Omega_k$, then Ω_k is a *k-graph*. Note that Ω_k is both source-free and row-finite but neither finite nor strongly connected.

For the remainder of this section, we shall make the following standing assumptions:

$$\text{The } k\text{-graph } \Lambda \text{ is source-free, finite, primitive, and non-empty.} \quad (14)$$

We will now detail more *k-graphs* structures.

Definition 6.5 (Infinite Path Space [15]). Let Λ be a *k-graph* satisfying the standing assumptions of (14). The *infinite-path space* of Λ , denoted by Λ^∞ , is defined by

$$\Lambda^\infty := \{f : \Omega_k \rightarrow \Lambda \mid f \text{ is a } k\text{-graph morphism}\}.$$

As Λ is source-free and finite, Λ^∞ becomes a non-empty compact Hausdorff space when given the topology generated by the base consisting of cylinder sets, i.e., non-empty compact subsets of the form $\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where

$$\mathcal{Z}(\lambda) := \{x \in \Lambda^\infty \mid x(0, d(\lambda)) = \lambda\}.$$

We can then define a commuting *k-tuple* $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \in [k]}$ of local homeomorphisms of Λ^∞ by setting, for all $i \in [k]$, $x \in \Lambda^\infty$, and $(m, n) \in \Omega_k$:

$$[\sigma_i(x)](m, n) := x(m + \mathbf{e}_i, n + \mathbf{e}_i).$$

We call the k -tuple σ the *shift* on Λ , and it is easy to see that, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}^k$, $x \in \Lambda^\infty$, and $(m, n) \in \Omega_k$:

$$[\sigma^l(x)](m, n) = x(m + l, n + l).$$

Furthermore, it can be shown that σ_i is surjective for each $i \in [k]$. We refer the reader to [15] for details.

We now state the following lemma whose standard proof we omit, see for example [11, Proposition 2.15].

Lemma 6.6. *Let Λ be a k -graph satisfying the standing assumptions of (14). Define $\rho_\Lambda : \Lambda^\infty \times \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, where for all $x, y \in \Lambda^\infty$ we set $\rho_\Lambda(x, y) := 2^{-N_{xy}}$, with*

$$N_{xy} := \min(\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid x(np, (n+1)p) \neq y(np, (n+1)p)\}).$$

Here, we have arbitrarily chosen $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ to satisfy $(1)_{i \in [k]} \leq p$. Furthermore, $\min(\emptyset) := \infty$ by convention. Then ρ_Λ is a metric on Λ^∞ compatible with the cylinder set topology.

Lemma 6.7. *Let Λ be a k -graph satisfying the standing assumptions of (14). For any $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ satisfying $(1)_{i \in [k]} \leq p$, the local homeomorphism σ^p is positively expansive and exact.*

Proof. If $x, y \in \Lambda^\infty$ are distinct, then $x(np, (n+1)p) \neq y(np, (n+1)p)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From this, one easily verifies that $\rho_\Lambda((\sigma^p)^n(x), (\sigma^p)^n(y)) = 1$. Hence, σ^p is positively expansive.

To prove exactness, for fixed $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we will show that $\sigma^{np}[\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)] = \Lambda^\infty$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For, as Λ is primitive, there exists $q \in \mathbb{N}^k \setminus \{0\}$ such that $v\Lambda^qw \neq \emptyset$ for all $v, w \in \Lambda^0$. Now choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(\lambda) + q \leq np$, and $y \in \Lambda^\infty$. As Λ is source-free, there exists $\mu \in s(\lambda)\Lambda^{np-d(\lambda)-q}$. Next, for any $\nu \in s(\mu)\Lambda^qy(0, 0)$, (λ, μ, ν) forms a composable triple. Since $y(0, 0) = s(\lambda\mu\nu)$, Proposition 2.3 of [15] implies that there exists $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ such that $y = \sigma^{d(\lambda\mu\nu)}(x) = \sigma^{np}(x)$ with

$$\lambda\mu\nu = x(0, np) = x(0, d(\lambda)) \ x(d(\lambda), np - q) \ x(np - q, np).$$

By the factorization property, we get $x(0, d(\lambda)) = \lambda$, so $x \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)$. Hence, $y \in \sigma^{np}[\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)]$, and since $y \in \Lambda^\infty$ is arbitrary, we obtain $\sigma^{np}[\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)] = \Lambda^\infty$. Therefore, σ^{np} is exact. \square

Let $(\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ be a k -tuple of continuous real-valued functions on Λ^∞ satisfying the conditions in Definition 3.8 so that if the conditions of Proposition 3.10 and Theorem 4.3 are satisfied, then $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi)$ will satisfy the conditions in Definition 4.2.

As for Ruelle dynamical systems, there is a version of the RPF Theorem for k -graphs.

Theorem 6.8. *Let Λ be a k -graph satisfying the standing assumptions of (14). Assume that $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ is a k -tuple of continuous real-valued functions on Λ^∞ satisfying the cocycle condition, and let c_φ denote the associated 1-cocycle. If there exists a $p \in \mathbb{N}^k$ with $(1)_{i \in [k]} \leq p$ such that $c_\varphi(p) : \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Hölder-continuous with respect to ρ_Λ , then the triple $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi)$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 4.2.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, Lemma 6.6, and Lemma 6.7, the Ruelle triple $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma^p, c_\varphi(p))$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 2.5, so by Theorem 4.3 the result follows. \square

Note that Proposition 4.3, Theorem 2.4, and the hypothesis Theorem 6.8 will guarantee the existence of a Borel measure μ^φ on Λ^∞ . We will now establish some useful properties of this measure.

Proposition 6.9. *Let Λ be a k -graph satisfying the standing assumptions of (14). Suppose that $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi)$, with $\varphi = (\varphi_i)_{i \in [k]}$ a k -tuple of continuous real-valued functions on Λ^∞ satisfying the cocycle condition. Let c_φ denote the associated 1-cocycle. If $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi)$ is a k -Ruelle dynamical system satisfying the conditions in Definition 4.2, then for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,*

$$\mu^\varphi(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)) = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}^\varphi)^{-d(\lambda)} \int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} e^{c_\varphi(d(\lambda))} d\mu^\varphi x,$$

where to simplify the notation we denoted by $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^\varphi$ (resp. μ^φ) the k -tuple of eigenvalues (resp. the eigenmeasure) associated to the k -Ruelle dynamical system $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi)$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $\lambda \in \Lambda$. For every $i \in [k]$, we have $(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^\infty, \sigma_i, \varphi_i})^*(\mu^\varphi) = \lambda_i^\varphi \mu^\varphi$. Hence,

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^\infty, \sigma^{d(\lambda)}, c_\varphi(d(\lambda))})^*(\mu^\varphi) = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}^\varphi)^{d(\lambda)} \mu^\varphi.$$

so integrating $1_{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)} \in C(\Lambda^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ with respect to the equal measures on the left and right hand side of the above equation and using the definition of $(\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda^\infty, \sigma^{d(\lambda)}, c_\varphi(d(\lambda))})^*$ yields

$$\int_{\Lambda^\infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{y \in \Lambda^\infty \\ \sigma^{d(\lambda)}(y) = x}} e^{[c_\varphi(d(\lambda))](y)} 1_{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)}(y) \right] d\mu^\varphi(x) = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}^\varphi)^{d(\lambda)} \mu^\varphi(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)).$$

If $x \in \Lambda^\infty \setminus \mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))$, then there does not exist a $y \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)$ such that $\sigma^{d(\lambda)}(y) = x$, so

$$\sum_{\substack{y \in \Lambda^\infty \\ \sigma^{d(\lambda)}(y) = x}} e^{[c_\varphi(d(\lambda))](y)} 1_{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)}(y) = 0.$$

It follows that:

$$\int_{\Lambda^\infty} \left[\sum_{\substack{y \in \Lambda^\infty \\ \sigma^{d(\lambda)}(y) = x}} e^{[c_\varphi(d(\lambda))](y)} 1_{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)}(y) \right] d\mu^\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} \left[\sum_{\substack{y \in \Lambda^\infty \\ \sigma^{d(\lambda)}(y) = x}} e^{[c_\varphi(d(\lambda))](y)} 1_{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)}(y) \right] d\mu^\varphi(x).$$

Given an $x \in \mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))$, there exists precisely one $y \in \mathcal{Z}(\lambda)$ such that $\sigma^{d(\lambda)}(y) = x$, namely, λx . Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} \left[\sum_{\substack{y \in \Lambda^\infty \\ \sigma^{d(\lambda)}(y) = x}} e^{[c_\varphi(d(\lambda))](y)} 1_{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)}(y) \right] d\mu^\varphi(x) = \int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} e^{[c_\varphi(d(\lambda))](\lambda x)} 1_{\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)}(y) d\mu^\varphi(x).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} e^{[c_\varphi(d(\lambda))](\lambda x)} d\mu^\varphi(x) = (\lambda^\varphi)^{d(\lambda)} \mu^\varphi(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)),$$

and a simple rearrangement of terms yields the proposition. \square

We list an important positivity property of the measure μ^φ in the event that $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi)$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 4.2.

Corollary 6.10. *Let Λ be a k -graph satisfying the standing assumptions of (14). Suppose that $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi)$ is a k -Ruelle dynamical system, which satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.2. Then $\mu^\varphi(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)) > 0$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.*

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\mu^\varphi(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)) = 0$. By Lemma 6.7, if $(1)_{i \in [k]} \leq p$, then σ^p is positively expansive and exact. But then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sigma^{np}[\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)] = \Lambda^\infty$. We now use Proposition 4.2 of [25] again to deduce that

$$\mu^\varphi(\Lambda^\infty) = \mu^\varphi(\sigma^{np}[\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)]) = \mu^\varphi(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)) = 0.$$

Since $\mu^\varphi(\Lambda^\infty) = 1$, this gives us a contradiction. \square

Recall that if Λ is a k -graph, and H is an abelian group, a map $h : \Lambda \rightarrow H$ is called a *categorical 1-cocycle* if $h(\lambda\mu) = h(\lambda) + h(\mu)$ whenever (λ, μ) is composable. In the case when $H = \mathbb{R}$ and the image of h lies entirely inside the nonnegative real numbers, h was called an “ \mathbb{R}^+ -functor” in [12].

Next, observe that, if h is a categorical 1-cocycle taking values in \mathbb{R} , then a routine calculation shows that the k -tuple of functions $\varphi^{h,\theta} := \left(\varphi_i^{h,\theta} \right)_{i \in [k]}$, where $\varphi_i^{h,\theta} : \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined for all $i \in [k]$ and $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ by

$$\varphi_i^{h,\theta}(x) = -\theta h(x(0, \mathbf{e}_i)), \quad (15)$$

satisfy the cocycle condition and therefore determine a groupoid 1-cocycle on $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma)$ taking values in \mathbb{R} by Lemma 3.12; we will call this cocycle $c_{\varphi^{h,\theta}}$. Hence, $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, c_{\varphi^{h,\theta}})$ is a k -Ruelle dynamical system.

Example 5.4 has shown that an automorphism group on a C^* -algebra coming from (X, σ, φ) need not have a KMS state. However, by using Corollary 5.8 and other results, we can construct a new cocycle from φ giving rise to a different dynamics for which a KMS state does exist. The following theorem was first proved in a different way in Proposition 4.4 in [12].

Theorem 6.11 ([12]). *Let Λ be a k -graph satisfying the standing assumptions of (14). Let $h : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative categorical 1-cocycle, and let θ be a positive real number. Let $\varphi^{h,\theta} = \left(\varphi_i^{h,\theta} \right)_{i \in [k]}$ be as defined in Equation (15). Then $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi^{h,\theta})$ is a k -Ruelle dynamical system that satisfies the conditions in Definition 4.2, and for each $\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, so does*

$$\left(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\ln \left(\lambda_i^{\varphi^{h,\theta}} \right) - \varphi_i^{h,\theta} \right) \right)_{i \in [k]} \right).$$

The associated generalized state ω on $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma)) \cong C^*(\Lambda)$ uniquely determined by

$$\forall f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma)) : \quad \omega(f) = \int_{\Lambda^\infty} f(x, 0, x) \, d\mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}$$

is a KMS_β -state for the dynamics determined by the cocycle ς given by

$$\varsigma := \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\ln \left(\lambda_i^{\varphi^{h,\theta}} \right) - \varphi_i^{h,\theta} \right) \right)_{i \in [k]} ;$$

moreover, $\mu^\varsigma = \mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$. We will first prove that the function $f : \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by, for all $x \in \Lambda^\infty$:

$$f(x) := h(x(0, n))$$

is Hölder-continuous with respect to ρ_Λ . As Λ^n is finite, h clearly achieves both a minimum value m and a maximum value M on Λ^n . Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \leq Np$, with $(1)_{i \in [k]} \leq p$. For $x, y \in \Lambda^\infty$ such that $\rho_\Lambda(x, y) < \frac{1}{2^N}$, then for all $j \in [N]$,

$$x(jp, (j+1)p) = y(jp, (j+1)p),$$

so $x(0, Np) = y(0, Np)$, which yields $x(0, n) = y(0, n)$ by the factorization property. Consequently, for all $x, y \in \Lambda^\infty$:

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |h(x(0, n)) - h(y(0, n))| \leq N(M - m)\rho_\Lambda(x, y).$$

As $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ is arbitrary, it follows that $\varphi_i^{h,\theta}$ is Hölder-continuous with respect to ρ_Λ for each $i \in [k]$.

A straightforward calculation demonstrates that $c_{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(p)$ is Hölder-continuous with respect to ρ_Λ , therefore by applying Theorem 6.8, we conclude that $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi^{h,\theta})$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 4.2.

Applying Theorem 6.8 we conclude that $(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \varphi^{h,\theta})$ satisfies the conditions in Definition 4.2.

It now follows from Corollary 5.8 that

$$\left(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma, \left(\frac{1}{\beta} \left(\ln \left(\lambda_i^{\varphi^{h,\theta}} \right) - \varphi_i^{h,\theta} \right) \right)_{i \in [k]} \right)$$

also satisfies the conditions in Definition 4.2, with $\mu^\varsigma = \mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}$ by Corollary 5.8. By Proposition 5.6, the state ω on $C^*(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma)) \cong C^*(\Lambda)$ that is uniquely determined by, for all $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}(\Lambda^\infty, \sigma))$:

$$\omega(f) = \int_{\Lambda^\infty} f(x, 0, x) \, d\mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}},$$

with notation as in Proposition 6.9, is a KMS_β -state for the generalized gauge dynamics of this particular dynamical system. \square

The following corollary gives more information about the eigenmeasure $\mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}$ and relates it to the eigenvalues of the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius operator.

Corollary 6.12. *Let Λ be a k -graph satisfying the standing assumptions of (14), and let $h : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, and $\varphi^{h,\theta}$ be as in Theorem 6.11; let $c_{\varphi^{h,\theta}}$ denote the associated cocycle. Then for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,*

$$\mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)) = \left(\lambda^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}\right)^{-d(\lambda)} e^{-\theta h(\lambda)} \mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))),$$

where $\varphi^{h,\theta}$ is the k -tuple of elements of $C(\Lambda^\infty, \mathbb{R})$ that is defined for all $i \in [k]$ and $x \in \Lambda^\infty$ by

$$\varphi_i^{h,\theta}(x) := -\theta h(x(0, \mathbf{e}_i)).$$

Proof. We already know from Theorem 7.9 that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^k$ and $x \in \Lambda^\infty$,

$$c_{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(n) = -\theta h(x(0, n)).$$

Hence, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, if $x \in \mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))$, we have:

$$[c_{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(d(\lambda))](\lambda x) = -\theta h((\lambda x)(0, d(\lambda))) = -\theta h(\lambda).$$

Consequently, by Proposition 6.9, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(\mathcal{Z}(\lambda)) &= \left(\lambda^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}\right)^{-d(\lambda)} \int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} e^{[c_{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(d(\lambda))](\lambda x)} d\mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(x) \\ &= \left(\lambda^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}\right)^{-d(\lambda)} \int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} e^{-\theta h(\lambda)} d\mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(x) \\ &= \left(\lambda^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}\right)^{-d(\lambda)} e^{-\theta h(\lambda)} \int_{\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))} 1 d\mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(x) \\ &= \left(\lambda^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}\right)^{-d(\lambda)} e^{-\theta h(\lambda)} \mu^{\varphi^{h,\theta}}(\mathcal{Z}(s(\lambda))). \end{aligned}$$

The corollary is therefore proven. □

References

- [1] A. an Huef, M. Laca, I. Raeburn & A. Sims, *KMS states on the C^* -Algebra of a Higher-Rank Graph and Periodicity in the Path Space*, J. Funct. Anal., **268** (2015), 1840–1875.
- [2] R. Bissacot, R. Exel, R. Frausino & T. Raszeja, *Conformal Measures on Generalized Renault-Deaconu Groupoids*, Mathematics ArXiv 2018, arXiv:1808.00765.
- [3] R. Bowen, *Some Systems with Unique Equilibrium States*, Math. Systems Theory **8** (1974), 193–202.
- [4] M. Carvalho, F. Rodrigues, & P. Varandas, *Semigroup Actions of Expanding Maps*, J. Stat. Phys., **166** (2017), 114–136.

- [5] M. Carvalho, F. Rodrigues, & P. Varandas, *A variational principle for free semigroup actions*, Advances in Math., **334** (2018), 450–487.
- [6] J. Christensen, *Symmetries of the KMS Simplex*, Comm. Math. Phys., **364** (2018), 357–383.
- [7] V. Deaconu, *Groupoids Associated with Endomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347** (1995), 1779–1786.
- [8] V. Deaconu, A. Kumjian, & P. Muhly, *Cohomology of topological graphs and Cuntz-Pimsner algebras*, J. Operator Theory **46** (2001), 251–264.
- [9] R. Exel, *KMS states for Generalized Gauge Actions on Cuntz-Krieger Algebras (An Application of the Ruelle-Perron-Frobenius Theorem)*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **35** (2004), 1–12.
- [10] R. Exel & J. Renault, *Semigroups of local homeomorphisms and interaction groups*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **27** (2007), 1737–1771.
- [11] C. Farsi, E. Gillaspy, A. Julien, S. Kang & J. Packer, *Spectral triples and wavelets for higher-rank graphs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **482** (2020), 123572, 39 pages.
- [12] C. Farsi, E. Gillaspy, N. Larsen & J. Packer, *Generalized Gauge Actions on k -Graph C^* -Algebras: KMS States and Hausdorff Structure*, arXiv:1807.08665. To appear in Indiana University Mathematics Journal.
- [13] M. Ionescu & A. Kumjian, *Hausdorff Measures and KMS States*, Indiana University Mathematics Journal, **62** (2013), 443–463.
- [14] Y. Jiang & Y.-L. Ye, *Ruelle Operator Theorem for Non-Expansive Systems*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **30** (2010), 469–487.
- [15] A. Kumjian & D. Pask, *Higher Rank Graph C^* -Algebras*, New York J. Math., **6** (2000), 1–20.
- [16] A. Kumjian & D. Pask, *Actions of \mathbb{Z}^k Associated to Higher Rank Graphs*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, **23** (2003), 1153–1172.
- [17] A. Kumjian & J. Renault, *KMS States on C^* -Algebras Associated to Expansive Maps*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **134** (2006), 2067–2078.
- [18] R. McNamara, *KMS states of graph algebras with a generalised gauge dynamics*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Otago, 2015.
- [19] E. Mihailescu, *Higher dimensional expanding maps and toral extensions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **141** (2013), 3467–3475.
- [20] S. Neshveyev, *KMS States on the C^* -Algebras of Non-Principal Groupoids*, J. Operator Theory, **70** (2013), 513–530.
- [21] D. Olesen & K. Pedersen, *Some C^* -dynamical systems with a single KMS state*, Math. Scand. **42** (1978) 111–118.

- [22] W. Reddy, *Expanding Maps on Compact Metric Spaces*, Topology Appl. **13** (1982), 327–334.
- [23] J. Renault, *A Groupoid Approach to C^* -Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **793**, Springer, Berlin (1980).
- [24] J. Renault, *Cuntz-like algebras*, Operator theoretical methods (Timișoara, 1998), 371–386, Theta Found., Bucharest, 2000.
- [25] J. Renault, *AF equivalence relations and their cocycles*, Proceedings Operator and Mathematical Physics Conference, 2003, Constanza, Romania, pp.365–377, 2003.
- [26] J. Renault, *The Radon-Nikodym problem for approximately proper equivalence relations*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. (2005), **25**, 1643–1672.
- [27] J. Renault, A. Sims, D. Williams & T. Yeend, *Uniqueness Theorems for Topological Higher-Rank Graph C^* -Algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **146** (2018), 669–684.
- [28] J. N. Renault and D. P. Williams, *Amenability of groupoids arising from partial semi-group actions and topological higher-rank graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **369** (2017), 2255–2283.
- [29] D. Ruelle, *Statistical Mechanics of a One-Dimensional Lattice Gas*, Comm. Math. Phys., **9** (1968), 267–278.
- [30] D. Ruelle, *A Measure Associated with Axiom A Attractors*, Amer. J. Math., **98** (1976), 619–654.
- [31] M. Shub, *Endomorphisms of Compact Differentiable Manifolds*, Amer. J. Math., **91** (1969), 175–199.
- [32] K. Thomsen, *KMS weights on groupoid and graph C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **266** (2014), 2959–2988.
- [33] P. Walters, *Ruelle’s operator theorem and g -measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **214** (1975), 375–387.
- [34] P. Walters, *Invariant Measures and Equilibrium States for Some Mappings which Expand Distances*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **236** (1978), 121–153.
- [35] P. Walters, *Convergence of the Ruelle Operator for a Function Satisfying Bowen’s Condition*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **353** (2001), 327–347.
- [36] D. Williams, *A tool kit for groupoid C^* -algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **241**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2019. xv+398 pp.