

EQUIVALENT CLASSES OF STURM-LIOUVILLE PROBLEMS

EVGENY L. KOROTYAEV

ABSTRACT. We consider Sturm-Liouville problems on the finite interval. We show that spectral data for the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions are equivalent to spectral data for Neumann boundary conditions. In particular, the solution of the inverse problem for the first one is equivalent to the solution of the inverse problem for the second one. Moreover, we discuss similar results for other Sturm-Liouville problems, including a periodic case.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

1.1. Introduction. In this paper we discuss inverse spectral theory for Sturm-Liouville problems on the unit interval, under the different boundary conditions. We shortly describe well-known results about it. Consider the Sturm-Liouville problem on the interval $[0, 1]$ with the Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$-f'' + qf = \lambda f, \quad f(0) = f(1) = 0,$$

where the real potential $q \in L^2(0, 1)$. Let μ_n and $y_n, n \geq 1$ be the corresponding eigenvalues and real eigenfunctions, such that $y'_n(0) = 1$. All these eigenvalues are simple and satisfy $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots$ and $\mu_n = (\pi n)^2 + o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We recall only some important steps mainly on the characterization problem, i.e., the complete description of spectral data that correspond to some fixed class of potentials. Borg obtained the first uniqueness result for Sturm-Liouville problems. Later on, Marchenko [27] proved that a spectral function (constructed from the eigenvalues μ_n plus so-called normalizing constants $\mathbf{c}_n = \int_0^1 y_n^2 dx$) determine the potential uniquely (see also Krein [24], [25] about it). Gel'fand and Levitan [7] created a basic method to reconstruct the potential q from its spectral function: they determined an integral equation and expressed $q(x)$ in terms of the solution of this equation. Unfortunately, there was a gap between necessary and sufficient conditions for the spectral functions corresponding to fixed classes of $q(x)$. This gap was closed by Marchenko and Ostrovski [28]. They gave the complete solution of the inverse problem in terms of two spectra, for large class of potentials.

Trubowitz and co-authors [5], [11], [12] suggested an analytic approach, see also the nice book [29] and references therein. It is based on analytic properties of the mapping $q \rightarrow (\mu_n, h_{s,n})_1^\infty \in \{\text{spectral data}\}$ and the explicit solutions when only a finite number of spectral parameters from $(\mu_n, h_{s,n})_{n=1}^\infty$ changed. Here $h_{s,n} = \log |y'_n(1)|$ are the norming constants, which differ from the normalizing constants \mathbf{c}_n , but the characterizations are equivalent (see Appendix in [2]). Also, this approach was applied to other inverse problems with purely discrete spectrum: (for an impedance [3], [4], singular Sturm-Liouville operators on a finite interval [8]; periodic potentials [6], [14], perturbed harmonic oscillators [1] and vector-valued operators [2]. Moreover, it was used to construct action-angle variables for periodic KdV [13].

Date: October 8, 2020.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34A55 (34B24 47E05 47N50 81Q10).

Key words and phrases. inverse problem, eigenvalues, Sturm-Liouville problem.

Now we discuss the case of periodic boundary conditions. The main step was made by Marchenko and Ostrovski [28]. They proved the following: the quasimomentum has a global analytic continuation from the real line onto the upper half plane \mathbb{C}_+ , which is a conformal mapping from \mathbb{C}_+ onto a cut domain (\mathbb{C}_+ without vertical cuts crossing points $\pi n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$). The inverse problem including characterization of the periodic spectrum in terms of this conformal mapping was given. Inverse problem (including characterization) in terms other spectral parameters (the spectral gaps, the Dirichlet eigenvalues and the sequence \pm) was solved by Korotyaev [22]. The proof is short but it needs an estimate of potentials in terms of gap-lengths obtained in [16].

1.2. Definitions. In order to formulate our main results we recall standard facts about Sturm-Liouville problem, see e.g., [28], [29]. We consider the following Sturm-Liouville operators on the interval $[0, 1]$ with the Dirichlet and Neumann boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{00}f &= -f'' + qf, & f(0) &= f(1) = 0, \\ H_{11}f &= -f'' + qf, & f'(0) &= f'(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Here the potential q belongs to the real space

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ q \in L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}) : \int_0^1 q dx = 0 \right\}$$

equipped with the norm $\|q\|_0^2 = \int_0^1 q^2(x) dx$. Let μ_n and $\nu_0, \nu_n, n \geq 1$ be the eigenvalues of H_{00} and H_{11} respectively. Thus the spectrum of these operators are given by

$$\sigma(H_{00}) = \{\mu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \sigma(H_{11}) = \{\nu_0, \nu_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (1.2)$$

All these eigenvalues are simple and satisfy $\nu_n, \mu_n = (\pi n)^2 + o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $(\pi n)^2, n \geq 1$ are the corresponding unperturbed eigenvalues. We will show that $\mu_n(\mathcal{U}q) = \nu_n(q)$ for all $(n, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{H}$ and for some nonlinear homeomorphism $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Thus, roughly speaking, it shows that the eigenvalues μ_n and $\nu_n, n \geq 1$ are equivalent. Moreover, we determine such properties for other Sturm-Liouville problems and describe new inverse problems. We also consider Sturm-Liouville operators on the interval $[0, 1]$ with the so-called mixed boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{01}f &= -f'' + qf, & f(0) &= f'(1) = 0, \\ H_{10}f &= -f'' + qf, & f'(0) &= f(1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Let τ_n , and $\varrho_n, n \geq 1$ be the eigenvalues of H_{01} and H_{10} respectively with mixed boundary conditions, and we say shortly mixed eigenvalues. Thus we have

$$\sigma(H_{01}) = \{\tau_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \quad \sigma(H_{10}) = \{\varrho_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \quad (1.4)$$

All these eigenvalues $\nu_n, \mu_n, \varrho_n, \tau_n$ are simple and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_0 &< \overline{\tau_1, \varrho_1} < \overline{\mu_1, \nu_1} < \overline{\tau_2, \varrho_2} < \overline{\mu_2, \nu_2} < \dots, \\ \nu_n, \mu_n &= \mu_n^o + o(1), \quad \tau_n, \varrho_n = \tau_n^o + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\overline{u, v}$ denotes $\min\{u, v\} \leq \max\{u, v\}$ for shortness and $\nu_0^o = 0, \nu_n^o = \mu_n^o = (\pi n)^2$ and $\tau_n^o = \varrho_n^o = \pi^2(n - \frac{1}{2})^2, n \geq 1$ are the corresponding unperturbed eigenvalues. We sometimes write $\mu_n(q), \nu_n(q), \dots$ instead of μ_n, ν_n, \dots , when several potentials are being dealt with.

Let a potential q be defined on the interval $[0, 1]$ and we denote by the same letter q its 1-periodic extension to the real line. We consider the operator $Ty = -y'' + qy$ on $L^2(0, 2)$ with

2-periodic conditions $y(x+2) = y(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The spectrum of T is a union of the eigenvalues $\lambda_0^+ \lambda_n^\pm$, $n \geq 1$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0^+ &< \lambda_1^- \leq \lambda_1^+ < \dots \leq \lambda_{n-1}^+ < \lambda_n^- \leq \lambda_n^+ < \dots, \\ \lambda_n^\pm &= (\pi n)^2 + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

We need the relations

$$\tau_n, \varrho_n \in (\lambda_{n-1}^+, \lambda_n^-), \quad \nu_0 \leq \lambda_0^+, \quad \text{and } \mu_n, \nu_n \in [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+], \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (1.6)$$

see Fig. 1. Here the equality $\lambda_n^- = \lambda_n^+$ means that λ_n^- is a double eigenvalue. The lowest eigenvalue λ_0^+ is simple, and the corresponding eigenfunction has period 1. The eigenfunctions corresponding to λ_n^\pm have period 1 when n is even and they are antiperiodic, $y(x+1) = -y(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, when n is odd.




FIGURE 1. The periodic $\lambda_0^+, \lambda_n^\pm$, Dirichlet μ_n , Neumann ν_0, ν_n and mixed τ_n, ϱ_n , $n \geq 1$, eigenvalues.

1.3. Main results. We introduce the fundamental solutions $\varphi(x, \lambda), \vartheta(x, \lambda)$ of the equation

$$-f'' + q(x)f = \lambda f, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C},$$

under the following conditions: $\varphi'(0, \lambda) = \vartheta(0, \lambda) = 1$ and $\varphi(0, \lambda) = \vartheta'(0, \lambda) = 0$. Note that $\{\mu_n\}, \{\nu_n\}, \{\tau_n\}$ and $\{\varrho_n\}$ are the zeros of $\varphi(1, \lambda), \vartheta'(1, \lambda), \varphi'(1, \lambda)$ and $\vartheta(1, \lambda)$ respectively. Introduce the real Hilbert spaces $\ell_\alpha^2, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ of real sequences $v = (v_n)_1^\infty$ equipped with the norm $\|v\|_{(\alpha)}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{2\alpha} v_n^2$ and let $\ell^2 = \ell_0^2$. Following the book of Pöschel and Trubowitz [29] we define the set $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha^o, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ of all real, strictly increasing sequences by

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha^o = \left\{ s = (s_n)_1^\infty : s_1 < s_2 < \dots, \quad s_n = \mu_n^o + \tilde{s}_n, \quad \tilde{s} = (\tilde{s}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell_\alpha^2 \right\}.$$

Note that the mapping $s \leftrightarrow \tilde{s}$ is a natural coordinate map between \mathfrak{J}_α^o and some open convex subset $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_\alpha^o$ of ℓ_α^2 . We shall identify \mathfrak{J}_α^o and $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}_\alpha^o$. As in [29] this identification allows us to do analysis on \mathfrak{J}_α^o as if it is an open convex subset of ℓ_α^2 . We also define a similar set \mathfrak{J}_α^1 of all real, strictly increasing sequences given by

$$\mathfrak{J}_\alpha^1 = \left\{ t = (t_n)_1^\infty : t_1 < t_2 < \dots, \quad t_n = \tau_n^o + \tilde{t}_n, \quad \tilde{t} = (\tilde{t}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell_\alpha^2 \right\}$$

and let $\mathfrak{J}^o = \mathfrak{J}_0^o$ and $\mathfrak{J}^1 = \mathfrak{J}_0^1$. We introduce the mappings $q \rightarrow \mu, q \rightarrow \nu$ from \mathcal{H} into \mathfrak{J}^o and the mappings $q \rightarrow \tau, q \rightarrow \varrho$ from \mathcal{H} into \mathfrak{J}^1 by

$$\begin{aligned} q \rightarrow \mu &= (\mu_n)_1^\infty, \quad q \rightarrow \nu = (\nu_n)_1^\infty, \\ q \rightarrow \tau &= (\tau_n)_1^\infty, \quad q \rightarrow \varrho = (\varrho_n)_1^\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Define so-called norming constants $h_{s,n}, \mathfrak{h}_{s,n}$ by

$$h_{s,n} = \ln |\varphi'(1, \mu_n)|, \quad \mathfrak{h}_{s,n} = \ln |\vartheta(1, \nu_n)|, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.8)$$

and mappings by

$$q \rightarrow h_{(s)} = (h_{s,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}, \quad q \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_{(s)} = (\mathfrak{h}_{s,n})_{n=1}^{\infty}. \quad (1.9)$$

We introduce the mappings for the inverse periodic problem, i.e., for the inverse problem on the circle. Note that only the periodic eigenvalues do not determine a potential uniquely and we need to add additional spectral data: Dirichlet eigenvalues plus sequence of \pm . Firstly we define the gap-length mapping or more exactly the periodic-Dirichlet-sign mapping $p : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ from [22] by

$$\begin{aligned} q \rightarrow p = (p_n)^{\infty}, \quad p_n = (p_{n,1}, p_{n,2}) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |p_n| &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-), \\ p_{n,1} &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^-) - \mu_n, \quad p_{n,2} = \left| |p_n|^2 - (p_{n,2})^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{sign } h_{s,n}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

Here the vector $p_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$ has the length $|p_n| = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-) \geq 0$.

Secondly we define the Marchenko-Ostrovski mapping $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell_1^2 \oplus \ell_1^2$ from [28] as $h : q \rightarrow h(q) = (h_n(q))_1^{\infty}$ where the components $h_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and its length $|h_n|$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} h_n = (h_{c,n}, h_{s,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |h_n|^2 &= h_{c,n}^2 + h_{s,n}^2, \quad \cosh |h_n| = |\Delta(\lambda_n)|, \\ h_{c,n} &= \left| |h_n|^2 - h_{s,n}^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{sign}(\lambda_n - \mu_n), \quad h_{s,n} = \log |\varphi'(1, \mu_n)|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where $\Delta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi'(1, \lambda) + \vartheta(1, \lambda))$ is the Lyapunov function and $\lambda_n \in [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+]$, $n \geq 1$ is the point, where the function $\Delta^2(\lambda)$ has a local maximum on the real line and note that $(-1)^n \Delta(\lambda_n) \geq 1$. Recall that $(-1)^n \Delta(\mu_n) = \cosh h_{s,n}$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $|h_n| \geq |h_{s,n}|$, since $(-1)^n \Delta$ has the maximum at λ_n on the segment $[\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+]$, see more in [28].

Using the eigenvalues $\mu_n, \nu_n, \tau_n, \varrho_n$, $n \geq 1$ we define a mapping $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2$ from [17] by

$$q \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}(q) = (\mathfrak{f}_n(q))_1^{\infty}, \quad \mathfrak{f}_{2n-1} = \varrho_n - \tau_n, \quad \mathfrak{f}_{2n} = \nu_n - \mu_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.12)$$

which will be basic for us. Recall some definitions. We write $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ for the complexification of the real Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose that $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_o$ are real separable Hilbert spaces. The mapping $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_o$ is a local real analytic isomorphism iff for any $y \in \mathcal{H}$ it has an analytic continuation \tilde{F} into some complex neighborhood $y \in U \subset \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$, which is a bijection between U and some open set $\tilde{F}(U) \subset \mathcal{H}_{o\mathbb{C}}$ and if $\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}^{-1}$ are analytic mappings on $U, \tilde{F}(U)$ respectively. F is a real-analytic bijection (shortly RAB) between \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_o if it is both a bijection and a local real analytic isomorphism. We recall well known results about bijections.

Theorem A. *i) The mapping $q \mapsto (\mu \times \tau)(q)$ defined by (1.7) is a RAB (i.e., a real-analytic bijection) between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^1$.*

ii) The mapping $q \mapsto (\mu \times h_{(s)})(q)$ defined by (1.7), (1.9) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \ell_1^2$.

iii) The mapping $p : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ given by (1.10) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$. Furthermore, the following estimates hold true:

$$\|q\| \leq 2\|p\|(1 + \|p\|^{\frac{1}{3}}), \quad \|p\| \leq \|q\|(1 + \|q\|^{\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (1.13)$$

where $\|q\|^2 = \int_0^1 q^2(x)dx$ and $\|p\|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} (p_{n1}^2 + p_{n2}^2) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \geq 1} |\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-|^2$.

iv) The mapping $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2$ defined by (1.12) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and ℓ^2 . Furthermore, the following estimates hold true:

$$\|q\| \leq 2\|\mathfrak{f}\|(1 + 2\|\mathfrak{f}\|^{\frac{1}{3}}), \quad \|\mathfrak{f}\| \leq 2\|q\|(1 + 2\|q\|^{\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (1.14)$$

where $\|\mathfrak{f}\|^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} (|\nu_n - \mu_n|^2 + |\tau_n - \varrho_n|^2)$.

v) The mapping $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell_1^2 \oplus \ell_1^2$ given by (1.11) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\ell_1^2 \oplus \ell_1^2$. Furthermore, the following estimates hold true:

$$\|q\| \leq 3\|h\|_1(6 + \sup |h_n|)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|h\|_1 \leq 2\|q\|(1 + \|q\|^{\frac{1}{3}}), \quad (1.15)$$

where $\|h\|_1^2 = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^2 |h_n|^2$.

Remark. 1) A bijection in i) was proved in [28], see also [17] for a simpler proof.

2) Results of ii) were proved in the book [29], see also [28].

3) Results of iii) were proved in [22]. The proof is sufficiently short, since the estimates (1.13) from [16] were used. The proof of (1.13) is based on the conformal mapping theory and trace formulas. Note that there is a unique way of placing the sequence of open tiles of lengths $\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-$, $n \geq 1$, in order on the half line $[\lambda_0^+, \infty)$ so that the complement is the set of bands for a function $q \in \mathcal{H}$, so that they are genuine gaps, see [22]. It does not depend on the positions of the Dirichlet spectrum $\{\mu_n\}$ and $\{\text{sign } h_{s,n}\}$.

4) Results of iv) were proved in [17].

5) A bijection in v) was proved in [28]. The proof is very complicated and is based on the inverse scattering on the half line (with decreasing potentials). The results about h from [28] were reproved in [23], where the proof is simpler and is based on analytic approach from [14], [29]. The estimates (1.15) were obtained in [20], where the proof is based on the conformal mapping theory and trace formulas.

Introduce the sequence $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_j)_1^\infty$, $\mathfrak{s}_j \in \{0, 1\}$. For each sequence \mathfrak{s} we define a mapping $\mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and the unitary operator $J_\mathfrak{s}$ on ℓ^2 by

$$\mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{f}^{-1} J_\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad (J_\mathfrak{s} v)_j = (-1)^{\mathfrak{s}_j} v_j, \quad v = (v_j)_1^\infty, \quad (1.16)$$

where \mathfrak{f} is defined by (1.12). Note that $\mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s}^2 = I_\mathcal{H}$ is the identity map in \mathcal{H} . We introduce other mappings for inverse periodic problems. Since the periodic eigenvalues do not determine a potential uniquely, we need additional data: Neumann eigenvalues and sequence of \pm . We define a periodic-Neumann-sign mapping $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ by $q \rightarrow \mathfrak{p} = (\mathfrak{p}_n)_1^\infty$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_n &= (\mathfrak{p}_{n,1}, \mathfrak{p}_{n,2}) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad |\mathfrak{p}_n| = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-), \\ \mathfrak{p}_{n,1} &= \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^-) - \nu_n, \quad \mathfrak{p}_{n,2} = \left| |\mathfrak{p}_n|^2 - (\mathfrak{p}_{n,2})^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{sign } \mathfrak{h}_{s,n}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.17)$$

Now we construct the mapping $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell_1^2 \oplus \ell_1^2$ by $h : q \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}(q) = (\mathfrak{h}_n)_1^\infty$ similar to (1.11), where the vector $\mathfrak{h}_n = (\mathfrak{h}_{c,n}, \mathfrak{h}_{s,n}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and its length $|\mathfrak{h}_n|$ are defined by

$$\mathfrak{h}_{c,n} = \left| |\mathfrak{h}_n|^2 - \mathfrak{h}_{s,n}^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{sign}(\lambda_n - \nu_n), \quad \mathfrak{h}_{s,n} = \log |\vartheta(1, \nu_n)|, \quad \cosh |\mathfrak{h}_n| = |\Delta(\lambda_n)|. \quad (1.18)$$

Here we have recalled $\mathfrak{h}_{s,n}$ from (1.8) and instead of μ_n we use ν_n for all $n \geq 1$. We show that the mapping \mathfrak{h} is equivalent to h . In our first main result we describe the mappings including their characterizations.

Theorem 1.1. *Let a mapping $\mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be defined by (1.16), where $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_j)_1^\infty$ and $\mathfrak{s}_j = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Dirichlet (μ_n) , Neumann (ν_n) and mixed (τ_n, ϱ_n) and periodic (λ_n^\pm) eigenvalues satisfy*

$$(\mu_n, \nu_n, \tau_n, \varrho_n) = (\nu_n, \mu_n, \varrho_n, \tau_n) \circ \mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s}, \quad (1.19)$$

$$\lambda_0^+ = \lambda_0^+ \circ \mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s}, \quad \lambda_n^\pm = \lambda_n^\pm \circ \mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s}, \quad (1.20)$$

$$\|q\| = \|\mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s} q\|, \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (1.21)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Let, in addition, $\mu = (\mu_n)_1^\infty, \nu = (\nu_n)_1^\infty, \tau = (\tau_n)_1^\infty, \varrho = (\varrho_n)_1^\infty$ and $h_{(s)}, \mathfrak{h}_{(s)}$ be defined by (1.7), (1.9). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nu \times \varrho &= (\mu \times \tau) \circ \mathcal{U}_s, & \nu \times \mathfrak{h}_{(s)} &= (\mu \times h_{(s)}) \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \\ \mathfrak{p} &= p \circ \mathcal{U}_s, & \mathfrak{h} &= h \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \end{aligned} \quad (1.22)$$

where the periodic mappings p, \mathfrak{p}, h and \mathfrak{h} are defined by (1.10), (1.17), (1.11) and (1.18).

Moreover, the following mappings are real-analytic bijections, i.e., RABs.

- i) The mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \varrho)(q)$ from \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^1$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^1$.
- ii) The mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \mathfrak{h}_{(s)})(q)$ from \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \ell_1^2$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \ell_1^2$.
- iii) The mapping $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ given by (1.17) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$.
- iv) The mapping $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell_1^2 \oplus \ell_1^2$ given by (1.18) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\ell_1^2 \oplus \ell_1^2$.

Remark. 1) Identities (2.8) imply that the Neumann eigenvalues $\nu_n, n \geq 1$ and Dirichlet eigenvalues $\mu_n, n \geq 1$ are equivalent due to the mapping \mathcal{U}_s .

2) The mapping \mathcal{U}_s from this theorem is iso-spectral for the periodic eigenvalues.

Theorem 1.2. Let a mapping $\mathcal{U}_s : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be defined by (1.16), where $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_j)_1^\infty$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{2j} = 0$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{2j-1} = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the Dirichlet (μ_n) , Neumann (ν_n) and mixed (τ_n, ϱ_n) and periodic (λ_n^\pm) eigenvalues satisfy

$$(\mu_n, \nu_n) = (\mu_n, \nu_n) \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \quad (\tau_n, \varrho_n) = (\varrho_n, \tau_n) \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \quad (1.23)$$

$$\lambda_0^+ = \lambda_0^+ \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \quad \lambda_n^\pm = \lambda_n^\pm \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \quad (1.24)$$

$$\|q\| = \|\mathcal{U}_s q\|, \quad \forall q \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (1.25)$$

for all $n \geq 1$. Let, in addition, $\mu = (\mu_n)_1^\infty, \nu = (\nu_n)_1^\infty, \tau = (\tau_n)_1^\infty$ and $\varrho = (\varrho_n)_1^\infty$. Then

$$\nu \times \varrho = (\nu \times \tau) \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \quad \mu \times \tau = (\mu \times \varrho) \circ \mathcal{U}_s. \quad (1.26)$$

Moreover, the mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \tau)(q)$ (and $q \mapsto (\mu \times \varrho)(q)$) from \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^o$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^o$.

Remark. The mapping \mathcal{U}_s from this theorem is iso-spectral for the Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues and the periodic eigenvalues. Moreover, due to (1.22), (1.26), the mapping $\mu \times \tau$ is equivalent to each from mappings $\mu \times \varrho, \nu \times \varrho$ and $\nu \times \tau$.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_j)_1^\infty$, where $\mathfrak{s}_{2j} \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{2j-1} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define mappings $q \rightarrow \xi = (\xi_n)_1^\infty, q \rightarrow \zeta = (\zeta_n)_1^\infty, q \rightarrow \phi = (\phi_n)_1^\infty$, where the components are given by

$$\zeta_n = \begin{cases} (\mu_n, \tau_n) \\ (\nu_n, \varrho_n) \end{cases}, \quad \xi_n = \begin{cases} (\mu_n, h_{s,n}) \\ (\nu_n, \mathfrak{h}_{s,n}) \end{cases}, \quad \phi_n = \begin{cases} p_n, & \text{if } \mathfrak{s}_n = 0 \\ \mathfrak{p}_n, & \text{if } \mathfrak{s}_n = 1 \end{cases}. \quad (1.27)$$

Then these mappings satisfy

$$\zeta = (\mu \times \tau) \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \quad \xi = (\mu \times h_{(s)}) \circ \mathcal{U}_s, \quad \phi = p \circ \mathcal{U}_s. \quad (1.28)$$

- i) The mapping $\zeta : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^1$ defined by (1.27) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^1$.
- ii) The mapping $\xi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}^o \times \ell^2$ defined by (1.27) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \ell^2$.
- iii) The mapping $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ given by (1.27) is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$.

We do not know such results for vector valued Sturm-Liouville problems and for the inverse scattering theory. Moreover, we do not know any such results for the multidimensional case.

Finally we add that in Section 3 we consider the case of smooth and singular potentials.

2. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

2.1. **Preliminaries.** For each $q \in \mathcal{H}_0$ we define an even function \tilde{q} by

$$\tilde{q}(x) = \begin{cases} q(x), & 0 < x < 1 \\ q(2-x), & 1 < x < 2 \end{cases}. \quad (2.1)$$

We consider the Schrödinger operator \tilde{H}_0 with the Dirichlet boundary conditions on the interval $[0, 2]$ given by

$$\tilde{H}_0 f = -f'' + \tilde{q}f, \quad f(0) = f(2) = 0,$$

and the operator \tilde{H}_1 with the Neumann boundary conditions defined by

$$\tilde{H}_1 f = -f'' + \tilde{q}f, \quad f'(0) = f'(2) = 0.$$

Let $\tilde{\mu}_n, n \geq 1$ and $\tilde{\nu}_n, n \geq 0$ be the eigenvalues of \tilde{H}_0 and \tilde{H}_1 respectively.

Let a potential \tilde{q} be defined on the interval $[0, 2]$ by (2.1) and we denote by the same letter \tilde{q} its 2-periodic extension to the real line. We consider the operator $\tilde{T}y = -y'' + \tilde{q}y$ on $L^2(0, 4)$ with 4-periodic conditions $y(x+4) = y(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. The spectrum of \tilde{T} is a union of the eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}_0^+, \tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, n \geq 1$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\lambda}_0^+ &< \tilde{\lambda}_1^+ \leq \tilde{\lambda}_1^+ < \dots \leq \tilde{\lambda}_{n-1}^+ < \tilde{\lambda}_n^- \leq \tilde{\lambda}_n^+ < \dots \\ \tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm &= \left(\frac{\pi n}{2}\right)^2 + o(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence $\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, n \geq 0$ is the spectrum of the equation $-y'' + \tilde{q}y = \lambda y$ with 4-periodic boundary conditions, that is $y(x+4) = y(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here the equality $\tilde{\lambda}_n^- = \tilde{\lambda}_n^+$ means that $\tilde{\lambda}_n^-$ is a double eigenvalue. The lowest eigenvalue $\tilde{\lambda}_0^+$ is simple, and the corresponding eigenfunction has period 2. The eigenfunctions corresponding to $\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm$ have period 2 when n is even and they are antiperiodic, $y(x+2) = -y(x), x \in \mathbb{R}$, when n is odd. It is well known (see e.g., [6], [14]) that in the case \tilde{q} the Dirichlet and Neumann eigenvalues satisfy

$$\tilde{\nu}_0 = \tilde{\lambda}_0^+, \quad \{\tilde{\lambda}_n^-, \tilde{\lambda}_n^+\} = \{\tilde{\mu}_n, \tilde{\nu}_n\} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Recall standard results, see e.g., [17].

Lemma 2.1. *Let \tilde{q} be given by (2.1). Then the Dirichlet $\tilde{\mu}_n$ and Neumann eigenvalues $\tilde{\nu}_{n-1}$ and periodic eigenvalues $\tilde{\lambda}_n^\pm, n \geq 1$ satisfy*

$$\tilde{\mu}_{2n-1} = \tau_n, \quad \tilde{\mu}_{2n} = \mu_n, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tilde{\nu}_{2n-1} = \varrho_n, \quad \tilde{\nu}_{2n} = \nu_n, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\{\tilde{\lambda}_{2n-1}^-, \tilde{\lambda}_{2n-1}^+\} = \{\varrho_n, \tau_n\}, \quad \{\tilde{\lambda}_{2n}^-, \tilde{\lambda}_{2n}^+\} = \{\mu_n, \nu_n\}. \quad (2.5)$$

2.2. **Proof of theorems.** Recall that $\mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{f}^{-1}J_\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{f}$, where the mapping $q \rightarrow \mathfrak{f} = (\mathfrak{f}_n)_1^\infty, \mathfrak{f}_{2n-1} = \tau_n - \varrho_n, \mathfrak{f}_{2n} = \mu_n - \nu_n, n \geq 1$, is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and ℓ^2 . We prove a lemma, which will be crucial for us.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_j)_1^\infty$, where $\mathfrak{s}_j = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $q^\bullet = \mathcal{U}_\mathfrak{s}(q)$ for some $q \in \mathcal{H}$. Then the eigenvalues for q, q^\bullet satisfy*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\mu}_{2n} = \mu_n = \nu_n(q^\bullet), \\ \tilde{\mu}_{2n-1} = \tau_n = \varrho_n^\bullet \end{array} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{\nu}_{2n} = \nu_n = \mu_n^\bullet \\ \tilde{\nu}_{2n-1} = \varrho_n = \tau_n^\bullet \end{array} \right\}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{cases} \{\tilde{\lambda}_{2n-1}^-, \tilde{\lambda}_{2n-1}^+\} = \{\varrho_n, \tau_n\} = \{\varrho_n^\bullet, \tau_n^\bullet\}, \\ \{\tilde{\lambda}_{2n}^-, \tilde{\lambda}_{2n}^+\} = \{\mu_n, \nu_n\} = \{\mu_n^\bullet, \nu_n^\bullet\} \end{cases}, \quad (2.7)$$

$$(\mu, \nu, \tau, \varrho)(q) = (\nu, \mu, \varrho, \tau)(q^\bullet), \quad (2.8)$$

where \tilde{q} is given by (2.1) and $\mu_n = \mu_n(q)$, $\mu_n^\bullet = \mu_n(q^\bullet)$, ... and $\tilde{\mu}_n = \mu_n(\tilde{q})$, ... for shortness, and

$$\varphi'(1, \lambda, q) = \vartheta(1, \lambda, q^\bullet), \quad \vartheta(1, \lambda, q) = \varphi'(1, \lambda, q^\bullet), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\mathfrak{h}_{s,n}(q) = \ln |\vartheta(1, \nu_n, q)| = \ln |\varphi'(1, \mu_n^\bullet, q^\bullet)| = h_{s,n}(q^\bullet). \quad (2.10)$$

Proof. Fix $q \in \mathcal{H}$ and the corresponding $\mathfrak{f}(q) = (\mathfrak{f}_n(q))_1^\infty$. Define a vector $\mathfrak{f}^\bullet = -\mathfrak{f}(q) \in \ell^2$. For this case there exists $q^\bullet \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\mathfrak{f}(q^\bullet) = \mathfrak{f}^\bullet = -\mathfrak{f}(q)$, since \mathfrak{f} is a homeomorphism. Then $q^\bullet = \mathcal{U}_s q \in \mathcal{H}$ and we obtain

$$\begin{cases} -\mathfrak{f}_{2n-1}(q) = -\tau_n(q) + \varrho_n(q) = \mathfrak{f}_{2n-1}^\bullet = \tau_n(q^\bullet) - \varrho_n(q^\bullet), \\ -\mathfrak{f}_{2n}(q) = -\mu_n(q) + \nu_n(q) = \mathfrak{f}_{2n}^\bullet = \mu_n(q^\bullet) - \nu_n(q^\bullet) \end{cases} \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2.11)$$

Due to Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{cases} \{\tilde{\lambda}_{2n-1}^-, \tilde{\lambda}_{2n-1}^+\} = \{\varrho_n, \tau_n\} = \{\varrho_n^\bullet, \tau_n^\bullet\}, \\ \{\tilde{\lambda}_{2n}^-, \tilde{\lambda}_{2n}^+\} = \{\mu_n, \nu_n\} = \{\mu_n^\bullet, \nu_n^\bullet\} \end{cases}, \quad (2.12)$$

and jointly with (2.11) we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{\mu}_{2n} = \mu_n = \nu_n^\bullet, & \tilde{\nu}_{2n} = \nu_n = \mu_n^\bullet \\ \tilde{\mu}_{2n-1} = \tau_n = \varrho_n^\bullet, & \tilde{\nu}_{2n-1} = \varrho_n = \tau_n^\bullet \end{cases}. \quad (2.13)$$

These identities give (2.6) and (2.7), which yields (2.8). Due to (2.2) the eigenvalues $\tilde{\nu}_n, \tilde{\mu}_n$ belong to the ends of gaps $(\tilde{\lambda}_n^-, \tilde{\lambda}_n^+)$ for the even potential \tilde{q} . From (2.6) we have

$$\varphi'(1, \lambda, q) = \vartheta(1, \lambda, q^\bullet), \quad \vartheta(1, \lambda, q) = \varphi'(1, \lambda, q^\bullet),$$

which yields $\mathfrak{h}_{s,n}(q) = \ln |\vartheta(1, \nu_n, q)| = \ln |\varphi'(1, \mu_n^\bullet, q^\bullet)| = h_{s,n}(q^\bullet)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.1. In Lemma 2.2 we have proved (1.19), (1.20). Thus the mapping \mathcal{U}_s is iso-spectral for the periodic eigenvalues and in this case it is well known that $\|q\| = \|\mathcal{U}_s q\|$ for all $q \in \mathcal{H}$, see e.g., [6] or [23].

i) From (2.8) we obtain $(\mu, \tau)(q) = (\nu, \varrho)(q^\bullet)$, which yields $(\mu \times \tau)(q) = (\nu \times \varrho)(\mathcal{U}_s q)$. Then due to Theorem A the mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \varrho)(q)$ from \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^1$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \mathfrak{J}^1$.

ii) From (2.8) we obtain $(\mu, h_{(s)})(q) = (\nu, \mathfrak{h}_{(s)})(q^\bullet)$, which yields $(\mu \times h_{(s)})(q) = (\nu \times \mathfrak{h}_{(s)})(\mathcal{U}_s q)$. Then due to Theorem A the mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \mathfrak{h}_{(s)})(q)$ from \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \ell^2$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\mathfrak{J}^o \times \ell^2$.

iii) From (2.9) we deduce that

$$2\Delta(\cdot, q) = \varphi'(1, \cdot, q) + \vartheta(1, \cdot, q) = \vartheta(1, \cdot, q^\bullet) + \varphi'(1, \cdot, q^\bullet) = 2\Delta(\cdot, q^\bullet),$$

which yields $\lambda_0^+(q) = \lambda_0^+(q^\bullet)$, $\lambda_n^\pm(q) = \lambda_n^\pm(q^\bullet)$ for all $n \geq 1$. From here and (2.8), (2.10) we obtain

$$p_{n,1}(q) = \left(\frac{\lambda_n^- + \lambda_n^+}{2} - \mu_n \right)(q) = \left(\frac{\lambda_n^- + \lambda_n^+}{2} - \nu_n \right)(q^\bullet) = \mathfrak{p}_{n,1}(q^\bullet),$$

$$p_{n,2}(q) = \left(\left| |p_n|^2 - (p_{n,2})^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{sign } h_{s,n} \right)(q) = \left(\left| |\mathfrak{p}_n|^2 - (\mathfrak{p}_{n,2})^2 \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{sign } \mathfrak{h}_{s,n} \right)(q^\bullet) = \mathfrak{p}_{n,2}(q^\bullet).$$

Then we have $p(q) = \mathbf{p}(U_s q)$ and from Theorem A we deduce that it is a RAB between \mathcal{H} and $\ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$. The proof of iv) is similar to the case iii). ■

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

3. SMOOTH AND SINGULAR POTENTIALS

3.1. Smooth potentials. In order to discuss smooth potentials we define the Sobolev space W_α by

$$W_\alpha = \{q, q^{(\alpha)} \in L^2(0, 1)\}, \quad \alpha \geq 0.$$

Recall results from [28]: if $q \in W_\alpha$, then the Dirichlet eigenvalues μ_n and the mixed eigenvalues $\tau_n, n \geq 1$ of the boundary value problems $y(0) = y(1) = 0$ and $y(0) = y'(1) = 0$ have the asymptotics (3.1), (3.2). We recall the well-known results of Marchenko and Ostrovski [28] about inverse problem from two spectra $(\mu_n)_1^\infty$ and $(\tau_n)_1^\infty$.

Theorem B. *Two sequences of real numbers $(\mu_n)_1^\infty$ and $(\tau_n)_1^\infty$ are the spectra of the boundary value problems $y(0) = y(1) = 0$ and $y(0) = y'(1) = 0$ with real potential $q \in W_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ iff that they are strongly increasing, alternate and satisfy the asymptotics*

$$\sqrt{\mu_n} = \sqrt{\mu_n^o} + \frac{\sigma_0}{2\sqrt{\mu_n^o}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_n^o}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \frac{a_j}{(4\mu_n^o)^j} + \frac{u_n}{n^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sqrt{\tau_n} = \sqrt{\tau_n^o} + \frac{\sigma_0}{2\sqrt{\tau_n^o}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_n^o}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \frac{b_j}{(4\tau_n^o)^j} + \frac{v_n}{n^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (3.2)$$

for some real σ_0, a_j, b_j and $(u_n)_1^\infty, (v_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$.

We prove results similar to Theorem B about inverse problem from other two spectra $(\nu_n)_1^\infty$ and $(\varrho_n)_1^\infty$, where the first Neumann eigenvalue ν_0 is not used.

Theorem 3.1. *i) Let U_s be defined by (1.16), where $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_j)_1^\infty$ and $\mathfrak{s}_j = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then each mapping $U_s : W_\alpha \rightarrow W_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ is a bijection and*

$$U_s W_\alpha = W_\alpha. \quad (3.3)$$

ii) Two sequences of real numbers $(\nu_n)_1^\infty$ and $(\varrho_n)_1^\infty$ are the spectra of the boundary value problems $y'(0) = y'(1) = 0$ and $y'(0) = y(1) = 0$ with real potential $q \in W_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ iff they are strongly increasing, alternate and satisfy the asymptotics

$$\sqrt{\nu_n} = \sqrt{\mu_n^o} + \frac{\sigma_0}{2\sqrt{\mu_n^o}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu_n^o}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \frac{\tilde{a}_j}{(4\mu_n^o)^j} + \frac{\tilde{u}_n}{n^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\sqrt{\varrho_n} = \sqrt{\tau_n^o} + \frac{\sigma_0}{2\sqrt{\tau_n^o}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau_n^o}} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq \frac{\alpha+1}{2}} \frac{\tilde{b}_j}{(4\tau_n^o)^j} + \frac{\tilde{v}_n}{n^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (3.5)$$

for some real $\sigma_0, \tilde{a}_j, \tilde{b}_j$ and $(\tilde{u}_n)_1^\infty, (\tilde{v}_n)_1^\infty \in \ell^2$.

Proof. i) Let $q \in W_\alpha$. The Dirichlet (μ_n) and mixed (τ_n) eigenvalues have asymptotics (3.1), (3.2). In fact repeating the arguments from [28] we have asymptotics (3.4) and (3.5). Due to (1.19) the Dirichlet μ_n , Neumann ν_n and mixed τ_n, ϱ_n eigenvalues satisfy

$$(\mu_n, \nu_n, \tau_n, \varrho_n)(q) = (\nu_n, \mu_n, \varrho_n, \tau_n)(q^\bullet), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (3.6)$$

where $q^\bullet = \mathcal{U}_s(q)$. Then the Dirichlet $\mu_n(q^\bullet)$, Neumann $\nu_n(q^\bullet)$ and mixed $\tau_n(q^\bullet)$, $\varrho_n(q^\bullet)$ eigenvalues have the corresponding asymptotics (3.1), (3.2) and (3.4), (3.5). Thus from Theorem B we deduce that $q^\bullet = U_s q \in W_\alpha$, i.e., $U_s W_\alpha \subset W_\alpha$, and $U_s^2 = I$ gives $U_s W_\alpha = W_\alpha$.

ii) In i) we have obtained that if $q \in W_\alpha$, then the asymptotics (3.4), (3.5) hold true.

Conversely, let q be such that asymptotics (3.4), (3.5) hold true. Then from (1.19) we deduce that the Dirichlet eigenvalues $\mu_n(q^\bullet) = \nu_n(q)$ and the mixed eigenvalues $\tau_n(q^\bullet) = \varrho_n(q)$ have the corresponding asymptotics (3.4), (3.5). Thus Theorem B gives that $q^\bullet \in W_\alpha$ and i) implies that $q \in W_\alpha$. ■

3.2. Distributions. There are a lot of results about inverse problems for singular potentials (distributions), see e.g., [10], [9], [18], [30] and references therein. For example, the periodic case was discussed in [18] and inverse problems by two spectra were considered in [9], [30]. Note that inverse problems by two spectra are equivalent to inverse Dirichlet problems with even potentials, see [17]. Remark that for inverse problems for distributions the corresponding results for an impedance are important, see e.g., [3], [4], [15], [21]. In order to formulate results for distributions we define the space $\mathcal{H}_{-1} = \{q = p' : p \in \mathcal{H}_0\}$ equipped with the norm $\|q\|_{-1} = \|p\|_0$. We recall results about the distributions.

Theorem 3.2. *i) The mapping $q \rightarrow \mu \times h_{(s)}$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\mathfrak{J}_{-1}(\mu^\circ) \times \ell^2$.
ii) The mapping $q \mapsto (\mu \times \tau)(q)$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\mathfrak{J}_{-1}(\mu^\circ) \times \mathfrak{J}_{-1}(\tau^\circ)$.
iii) The mapping $\mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H}_{-1} \rightarrow \ell_{-1}^2$ defined by (1.12) is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and ℓ_{-1}^2 . Moreover, for any sequence σ the mapping $\mathcal{U}_s = \mathfrak{f}^{-1} J_s \mathfrak{f} : \mathcal{H}_{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{-1}$ is a RAB from \mathcal{H}_{-1} onto itself.
iv) The mapping $p : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ given by (1.10) has a unique extension on \mathcal{H}_{-1} and this mapping $p : \mathcal{H}_{-1} \rightarrow \ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$.
v) The mapping $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2$ given by (1.11) has a unique extension on \mathcal{H}_{-1} and this mapping $h : \mathcal{H}_{-1} \rightarrow \ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$.*

Remark. 1) Results of i) is a composition of the mappings from [3], [4] and the Ricatti mappings from [18], see also [10], where different methods and norming constants are used.

2) Results of ii) were proved in [9], [30], see also [17], where the proof is simpler, since it is shown that inverse problem by two spectra are equivalent to the Dirichlet problem with even potentials and it follows from i) (the norming constants for even potentials are equal zero).

3) Results of iii) were proved in [17].

4) Results of iv-v) were proved in [18]. Here again it is a composition of the mappings from [21], [15] and the Ricatti mappings from [18].

In Theorem 1.1 we show the identity $\nu \times \varrho = (\mu \times \tau) \circ \mathcal{U}_s$. Then the properties of the mappings $(\mu \times \tau)$ and \mathcal{U}_s describe the mapping $\nu \times \varrho$ in the following corollary. We also consider other mappings, which satisfy (1.22).

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathfrak{s} = (\mathfrak{s}_j)_1^\infty$, where $\mathfrak{s}_j = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

i) The mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \varrho)(q)$ from \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{J}^\circ \times \mathfrak{J}^1$ has a unique extension on \mathcal{H}_{-1} and this mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \varrho)(q)$ from \mathcal{H}_{-1} to $\mathfrak{J}_{-1}^\circ \times \mathfrak{J}_{-1}^1$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\mathfrak{J}_{-1}^\circ \times \mathfrak{J}_{-1}^1$ and satisfies $\nu \times \varrho = (\mu \times \tau) \circ \mathcal{U}_s$.

ii) The mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \mathfrak{h}_{(s)})(q)$ from \mathcal{H} to $\mathfrak{J}^\circ \times \ell^2$ has a unique extension on \mathcal{H}_{-1} and the mapping $q \mapsto (\nu \times \mathfrak{h}_{(s)})(q)$ from \mathcal{H}_{-1} to $\mathfrak{J}_{-1}^\circ \times \ell_{-1}^2$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\mathfrak{J}_{-1}^\circ \times \ell_{-1}^2$ and and satisfies $\nu \times \mathfrak{h}_{(s)} = (\mu \times h_{(s)}) \circ \mathcal{U}_s$.

iii) The mapping $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ given by (1.17) has a unique extension on \mathcal{H}_{-1} and this mapping $\mathfrak{p} : \mathcal{H}_{-1} \rightarrow \ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$ and satisfies $\mathfrak{p} = p \circ \mathcal{U}_s$.

iv) The mapping $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2 \oplus \ell^2$ given by (1.18) has a unique extension on \mathcal{H}_{-1} and this mapping $\mathfrak{h} : \mathcal{H}_{-1} \rightarrow \ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$ is a RAB between \mathcal{H}_{-1} and $\ell_{-1}^2 \oplus \ell_{-1}^2$ and satisfies $\mathfrak{h} = h \circ \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}}$.

Proof. Introduce the operator $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ for the sequence $\mathfrak{s}_j = 1$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We show i), the proof of ii)-iv) is similar. Due to Theorem 1.1 i) we have the identity $(\nu \times \varrho)(q) = (\mu \times \tau) \circ \mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}}(q)$ for $q \in \mathcal{H}_0$ and Theorem 3.2 yields the proof of i), since the mapping $\mathcal{U}_{\mathfrak{s}}$ is a RAB onto itself \mathcal{H}_α for any $\alpha \in \{-1, 0\}$. ■

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the RSF grant No 18-11-00032. We thank Natalia Saburova for Fig. 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chelkak, D.; Kargaev, P.; Korotyaev, E. Inverse problem for harmonic oscillator perturbed by potential, characterization. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 249 (2004), no. 1, 133–196.
- [2] Chelkak, D.; Korotyaev, E. Weyl-Titchmarsh functions of vector-valued Sturm-Liouville operators on the unit interval. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 257 (2009), 1546–1588.
- [3] Coleman C.; McLaughlin, J. Solution of the inverse problem for an impedance with integrable derivative, I *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 46 (1993), 145–184.
- [4] Coleman C.; McLaughlin, J. Solution of the inverse problem for an impedance with integrable derivative II, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 46(1993), 185–212.
- [5] Dahlberg, B.; Trubowitz, E. The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. III. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 37(1984), no. 2, 255–267.
- [6] Garnett, J.; Trubowitz, E. Gaps and bands of one dimensional periodic Schrödinger operators. *Comment. Math. Helv.* 59(1984), 258–312.
- [7] Gel'fand, I. M.; Levitan, B. M. On the determination of a differential equation from its spectral function. (Russian) *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat.* 15, (1951). 309–360. English Translation: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* (2) 1 (1955), 253–304.
- [8] Guillot J.G.; Ralston J.V.: Inverse spectral theory for a singular Sturm-Liouville operator on $[0, 1]$, *J. Diff. Eq.*, 76 (1988), 353–373.
- [9] Hryniv, R.; Mykytyuk, Y. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials. II. Reconstruction by two spectra. *Functional analysis and its applications*, 97–114, North-Holland *Math. Stud.*, 197, Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2004.
- [10] Hryniv, R. O.; Mykytyuk, Ya. V. Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators with singular potentials. *Inverse Problems* 19 (2003), no. 3, 665–684.
- [11] Isaacson, E. L.; Trubowitz, E. The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 36 (1983), no. 6, 767–783.
- [12] Isaacson, E. L.; McKean, H. P.; Trubowitz, E. The inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 37 (1984), no. 1, 1–11.
- [13] Kappeler, T.; Pöschel, J. *KdV & KAM*. Springer, 2003.
- [14] Kargaev, P. ; Korotyaev E. The inverse problem for the Hill operator, direct approach. *Invent. Math.* 129(1997), 567–593.
- [15] Klein, M.; Korotyaev, E. Parametrization of periodic weighted operators in terms of gap lengths. *Inverse Problems* 16 (2000), no. 6, 1839–1860.
- [16] Korotyaev, E. Estimates of periodic potentials in terms of gap lengths. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 197 (1998), no. 3, 521–526.
- [17] Korotyaev, E. Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems for non-Borg conditions, *Journal of Inverse and Ill-Posed Problems*, 27(2019), No 3. 445–452.
- [18] Korotyaev, E. Characterization of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators with periodic distributions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* 2003, no. 37, 2019–2031.
- [19] Korotyaev, E. The estimates of periodic potentials in terms of effective masses. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 183 (1997), no. 2, 383–400.
- [20] Korotyaev, E. Estimates for the Hill operator. I. *J. Differential Equations* 162 (2000), no. 1, 1–26.
- [21] Korotyaev, E. Inverse problem for periodic "weighted" operators. *J. Funct. Anal.* 170 (2000), no. 1, 188–218.

- [22] Korotyaev, E. The inverse problem and trace formula for the Hill operator, II. *Math. Z.* 231(1999), 345–368.
- [23] Korotyaev, E. The inverse problem for the Hill operator. I. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1997, no. 3, 113–125.
- [24] Krein, M. G. Solution of the inverse Sturm-Liouville problem. (Russian) *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* 76, (1951). 21–24.
- [25] Krein, M. G. On a method of effective solution of an inverse boundary problem. (Russian) *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR (N.S.)* 94, (1954). 987–990.
- [26] Levitan, B. *Inverse Sturm-Liouville problems*. Utrecht: VNU Science Press, 1987.
- [27] Marchenko, V. A. Concerning the theory of a differential operator of the second order. (Russian) *Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR. (N.S.)* 72, (1950). 457–460.
- [28] Marchenko, V.; Ostrovski, I. A characterization of the spectrum of the Hill operator. *Mat. Sb.* 97(139), (1975), 540–606.
- [29] Pöschel, P., Trubowitz E. *Inverse Spectral Theory*. Boston, Academic Press, 1987.
- [30] Savchuk, A. M.; Shkalikov, A. A. Inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators with distribution potentials: reconstruction from two spectra. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* 12 (2005), no. 4, 507–514.

DEPARTMENT OF MATH. ANALYSIS, SAINT-PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITETSKAYA NAB. 7/9, ST. PETERSBURG, 199034, RUSSIA, KOROTYAEV@GMAIL.COM, E.KOROTYAEV@SPBU.RU