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ABSTRACT. In the quest in constructing conformal field theories (CFT) Jones has discovered a beautiful and deep connection between CFT, Richard Thompson’s groups and knot theory. This led to a powerful framework for constructing actions of particular groups arising from categories such as Richard Thompson’s groups and braid groups. In particular, given a group and two of its endomorphisms one can construct a semidirect product. Those semidirect products have remarkable diagrammatic descriptions which were previously used to provide new examples of groups having the Haagerup property. Moreover, they naturally appear in certain field theories as being generated by local and global symmetries.

We consider in this article the class of groups obtained in that way where one of the endomorphism is trivial leaving the case of two nontrivial endomorphisms to a second article. The groups obtained can be described in terms of permutational restricted twisted wreath products containing the larger Thompson group. We classify this class of groups up to isomorphisms and provide a thin description of their automorphism group thanks to an unexpected rigidity phenomena.

À la mémoire de Vaughan Jones

INTRODUCTION

The present paper studies a class of groups that are constructed via a recent framework due to Jones [Jon17]. This framework was discovered by accident in the land of quantum field theory as we will now explain, see the following survey for more details [Bro19b]. Conformal field theories (in short CFT) à la Haag-Kastler provide subfactors and conversely certain subfactors provide CFT but the reconstruction is on a case by case basis and so far the most intriguing subfactors (with exotic representation theory uncaptured by groups and quantum groups) are not known to provide a CFT [EK92, JMS14, Bis17, Xu18]. By using the planar algebra of a subfactor, Jones created a lattice model approximating the desired CFT. This did not converge to a classical CFT but rather defined a discontinuous physical model particularly relevant at quantum phase transition where Richard Thompson’s group $T$ plays the role of the spatial conformal group [Jon18a, Jon18b, OS19, BS20, BS19].

By extracting the mathematical essence of this construction Jones found a wonderful machine for constructing actions of certain groups (e.g. Thompson groups and braid groups) called Jones actions.

Recall that Richard Thompson’s group $F$ is the group of piecewise linear homeomorphisms of the unit interval having finitely many breakpoints all at dyadic rationals and having slopes powers of 2. There are two other groups: Thompson group $T$ containing $F$ and translations by dyadic rationals acting by homeomorphisms on the unit torus, and Thompson group $V$ containing $T$ and discontinuous exchanges of subintervals of $[0, 1]$.
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that acts by homeomorphisms on the Cantor space, see [CFP96] for details. We will be focusing on Thompson group $V$ in this paper but the study can be adapted to $F$ and $T$ without major changes.

Thompson groups $F, T$ and $V$ are countable discrete groups that are notoriously difficult to understand. However, they admit simple descriptions in term of fraction groups of categories which is the description used by Jones technology. It has been known for a long time that a category having nice cancellation properties (a category with a left calculus of fraction) provides a groupoid by formally inverting its morphisms and in particular groups by fixing a common source to all morphisms: such groups are called a *fraction groups* or *groups of fractions* [GZ67]. In particular, the fraction group of the category of binary forests (at the object 1) is Thompson group $F$: elements of $F$ are described by (an equivalence class of) a pair of trees having the same number of leaves. The larger groups $T$ and $V$ have similar descriptions but with trees having their leaves decorated by natural numbers corresponding to permutations, see [Bel04, Bro19a].

Jones made the crucial observation that, if we consider any functor starting from such a category (e.g. the category of binary forests), then we obtain an action of the fraction group (e.g. of $F$, $T$ or $V$). This led to a powerful and practical framework for constructing group actions and put in evidence unsuspected connections between different fields of research. We present some of those connections.

- Functors from the category of binary forests into the category of Conway tangles provide ways to construct knots and links via the Thompson group. Jones proved that they can all be constructed in that way concluding that “the Thompson group is as good as the braid group for producing knots and links.” This led to a profound connection between Thompson group $F$ and knot theory, providing new invariants for knots and linking for the second time, after the Jones polynomials, subfactor theory with knot theory [Jon85, Jon19b].

- Using functors ending in the category of Hilbert spaces we obtain unitary representations and have access to matrix coefficients that are explicitly computable via an algorithm depending on the functor chosen [Jon17, Jon19a, ABC19]. Jones and the author used this approach for constructing new families of unitary representations and matrix coefficients for the Thompson groups [BJ19b, BJ19a]. In particular, two bounded operators $a, b$ on a Hilbert spaces satisfying $a^* a + b^* b = 1$ provides a unitary representation of Thompson groups $F, T, V$ giving many new explicit examples of positive definite maps and a deep connection between those groups and the Cuntz algebra complementing previous works of Nekrashevitz [Nek04]. This also led to new effortless proofs establishing analytic properties of Thompson groups (absence of Kazhdan property, Haagerup property).

- A functor $\Phi : C \rightarrow \text{Gr}$ ending in the category of groups gives an action $G_C \acts K$ of the fraction group $G_C$ associated to the source category $C$ on a limit group $K$. The author made the key observation that the semidirect product $K \rtimes G_C$ is again a fraction group and provided an explicit description of the category inducing this group [Bro19a]. Jones framework can be then reapplied to this semidirect product $K \rtimes G_C$ for constructing unitary representations and computing matrix coefficients. With this method the author proved that a large class of semidirect products had the Haagerup property. In particular, all wreath products $@_{\mathbb{Q}} \Gamma \rtimes V$, with $\Gamma$ any group having the Haagerup property and
$V \rtimes \mathbb{Q}_2$ the classical action of Thompson group $V$ on the dyadic rational, have the Haagerup property [Bro19a]. This provided, using a result of Cornulier, the first examples of finitely presented wreath products having the Haagerup property for a nontrivial reason (i.e. the group acting is nonamenable and the base space is infinite) [Cor06]. This class of wreath products/fraction groups is contained in the class that we are studying in this article.

- Groups constructed as above naturally appear in certain field theories. Stottmeister and the author constructed physical models in the line of Jones work but also using previous constructions appearing in loop quantum gravity. In those physical models, keeping the notations of above, the physical space is approximated by $\mathbb{Q}_2$ with local symmetries being the group $\Gamma$ and Thompson group $T$ playing the role of spatial symmetries acting by local scale transformations and rotations on $\mathbb{Q}_2$. Together they generated a group of the form $K \rtimes T$ admitting a fraction group description where $K \subset \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \Gamma$ plays the role of a discrete loop group [BS20, BS19].

All those previous studies and beautiful connections in knot theory, group theory and mathematical physics motivated us to better understand the class of fraction groups appearing in the last two bullet points. In particular, we are interested in knowing which one are isomorphic to each other and what are the symmetries of those groups.

In this paper we are considering the class of semidirect products $K \rtimes V$ constructed from covariant monoidal functors $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Gr}$ where $\mathcal{F}$ is the category of binary forests and $\text{Gr}$ is the category of groups. Note that all morphisms of $\mathcal{F}$ (i.e. forests) can be obtained by composing and taking tensor products of a single nontrivial morphism: the tree with two leaves. For this reason, a covariant monoidal functor $\Phi$ is completely described by a group $\Gamma$ and a unique morphism $\Gamma \to \text{leaves}$. For this reason, a covariant monoidal functor $\Phi$ is completely described by a group $\Gamma$ and Thompson group $T$ playing the role of spatial symmetries acting by local scale transformations and rotations on $\mathbb{Q}_2$. Together they generated a group of the form $K \rtimes T$ admitting a fraction group description where $K \subset \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \Gamma$ plays the role of a discrete loop group [BS20, BS19].

In particular, we obtain that two nonisomorphic groups $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$ provide two nonisomorphic fraction groups. Note that this theorem provides a classification of a particular class of

\textbf{Theorem A} (Theorem 3.11). Consider some groups $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$, some automorphisms $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ and the associated fraction groups $G := K \rtimes V$ and $\tilde{G} := \tilde{K} \rtimes V$ associated to $(\Gamma, \alpha)$ and $(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\alpha})$ respectively as explained above.

The groups $G$ and $\tilde{G}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\beta \in \text{Isom}(\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma})$ and an element $h \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ satisfying $\tilde{\alpha} = \text{ad}(h) \circ \beta \alpha \beta^{-1}$.

In particular, we obtain that two nonisomorphic groups $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$ provide two nonisomorphic fraction groups.
twisted permutational restricted wreath products $\oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma \rtimes V$ built from the action $V \ltimes Q_2$. We took advantage of the high transitivity of the action $V \ltimes Q_2$ which led to a surprising rigidity phenomena: all isomorphisms between two of those fraction groups are spatial in the sense that they restrict into isomorphisms

$$\kappa : \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma \to \oplus_{Q_2} \hat{\Gamma}$$

which, up to isomorphisms between $\Gamma$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$, are of the form: $\kappa(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ where $\varphi : Q_2 \to Q_2$ is the restriction of a homeomorphism of the Cantor space, see Section 1 for details. This is very surprising and cannot be expected for arbitrary classes of wreath products. It is known that the regular restricted wreath product $\Gamma \wr \Lambda := \oplus_{\Lambda} \Gamma \rtimes \Lambda$ remembers the group $\Gamma$ (if $\Gamma \wr \Lambda \simeq \hat{\Gamma} \wr \tilde{\Lambda}$, then $\Gamma \simeq \hat{\Gamma}$) and moreover the subgroup $\oplus_{\Lambda} \Gamma \subset \Gamma \wr \Lambda$ is characteristic but isomorphisms between two such groups are not necessarily spatial in the sense of above [Neu64]. There exists some partial results for certain permutational and twisted permutational wreath products extending Neumann’s work [Gro92]. However, Gross pointed out the existence of restricted permutational wreath products $\oplus_X \Gamma \rtimes \Lambda$ constructed from a transitive action $\Lambda \ltimes X$ satisfying that $\oplus_X \Gamma \subset \oplus_X \Gamma \rtimes \Lambda$ is not even a characteristic subgroup implying the existence of isomorphisms that are far from being spatial [Gro92].

The fact that isomorphisms behave well with the wreath product structure gives us hope to understand in details all isomorphisms between such fraction groups. We indeed succeeded to decompose any automorphism of a fixed wreath product in our class into the product of four elementary ones. Moreover, we could describe the structure of the automorphism group via an explicit semidirect product. We restricted this study to untwisted wreath products $G = K \rtimes V \simeq \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma \rtimes V$ corresponding to all monoidal functors induced by the group morphism $g \in \Gamma \mapsto (g, e) \in \Gamma \oplus \Gamma$ and left the general case for future study. Before stating this main result, we introduce some notations: $Z\Gamma$ is the centre of $\Gamma$; $N(G)/Z\Gamma$ is the group of maps $f : Q_2 \to \Gamma$ normalising $G$ mod out by constant maps valued in the centre of $\Gamma$; and $\text{Stab}_{N}(Q_2)$ is the group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor space normalising $V$ and stabilising a copy of $Q_2$ inside the Cantor space.

Theorem B (Theorem 4.8). Let $\Gamma$ be a group and $G := K \rtimes V \simeq \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma \rtimes V$ be the fraction group associated to the morphism $g \mapsto (g, e)$ as explained above. There exists a surjective morphism from the semidirect product

$$(Z\Gamma \times N(G)/Z\Gamma) \rtimes (\text{Stab}_{N}(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma))$$

onto the automorphism group of $G$ whose quotient is the set $\{(\bar{g}, \text{ad}(g)^{-1}) : g \in \Gamma\}$ where $\bar{g} \in N(G)/Z\Gamma$ is the equivalence class of the constant map equal to $g$ and $\text{ad}(g) \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is the inner automorphism of $\Gamma$ associated to $g$.

We refer the reader to Section 4.2 for the definition of the action

$$(\text{Stab}_{N}(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma)) \ltimes (Z\Gamma \times N(G)/Z\Gamma)$$

of the semidirect product of above. The actions of $N(G), \text{Stab}_{N}(Q_2)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on $G$ are not surprising: the first acts by conjugation, the second acts by shifting indices on $K = \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma$ and by conjugation on $V$ and the third acts diagonally on $K = \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma$ leaving $V$ invariant. However, the action of $Z\Gamma$ on $G$ was unexpected. It is built using the slopes
of elements of $V$ and the dyadic valuation. More precisely, the map
\[ \ell : V \to \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma, \ell_v(x) = \log_2(v'(v^{-1}x)), \quad v \in V, x \in Q_2 \]
satisfies the cocycle identity $\ell_{vw} = \ell_v + \ell_w, v, w \in V$ implying that given any $\zeta \in Z\Gamma$ the formula
\[ av \mapsto a \cdot \zeta^v \cdot v, a \in \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma, v \in V \]
defines an automorphism of the unrestrained wreath product $\prod_{Q_2} \Gamma \rtimes V$. However, $\ell_v, v \in V$ is not finitely supported in general implying that our automorphism of above does not restrict to an automorphism of the restricted wreath product. By manipulating $\ell_v$, we can define a finitely supported map by considering $p_v = \ell_v + \nu - \nu^v$ where $\nu : Q_2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is the dyadic valuation. This latter map does satisfy the cocycle identity of above, is finitely supported and nontrivial when $v$ is. Therefore, the formula
\[ av \mapsto a \cdot \zeta^{p_v} \cdot v, a \in \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma, v \in V \]
defines an automorphism of $G$ for any $\zeta \in Z\Gamma$ and in fact a faithful action $Z\Gamma \curvearrowright G$.

We now further comment on the main results and the proofs. Given any monoidal covariant functor $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Gr}$ with associated group $G := K \rtimes V$ we prove that $K \subset G$ is a characteristic subgroup, i.e. $K$ is preserved by any automorphism of $G$. The proof is following a similar scheme as a proof of Neumann showing that if $\Gamma \lhd \Lambda = \oplus \Lambda \rtimes \Lambda$ is a restricted wreath products, then $\oplus \Lambda \subset \Gamma \lhd \Lambda$ is characteristic [Neu64]. In fact, the proof in our case is even slightly simpler thanks to the high transitivity of the action of $V$ on the dyadic rationals.

Consider the hypothesis of Theorem A and an isomorphism $\theta : G \to \hat{G}$. Using the fact that $K \subset G$ and $\hat{K} \subset \hat{G}$ are characteristic we have that $\theta(K) = \hat{K}$ and thus $\theta(av) = \kappa(a) \cdot c_v \cdot \phi(v), a \in K, v \in V$ with $\kappa : K \to \hat{K}$ an isomorphism, $c : V \to \hat{V}, v \mapsto c_v$ a map satisfying a cocycle condition and $\phi$ an automorphism of $V$. We use a fundamental result due to Rubin which is crucial in our study: $\phi(v) = \varphi v \varphi^{-1}, v \in V$ for a unique homeomorphism $\varphi$ of the Cantor space [Rub96]. We prove the surprising fact that $\text{supp} (\kappa(a)) = \varphi(\text{supp}(a)), a \in K$ where $\text{supp}(a) := \{ x \in Q_2 : a(x) \neq e \}$ is the support of $a$. Note that this forces $\varphi$ to stabilise the dyadic rational inside the Cantor space, i.e. $\varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2)$. Moreover, this implies that $\kappa : \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma \to \oplus_{Q_2} \hat{\Gamma}$ is a direct product of isomorphisms: $\kappa = \prod_{x \in Q_2} \kappa_x \in \prod_{x \in Q_2} \text{Isom}(\Gamma, \hat{\Gamma})$.

To prove the relation between $a$ and $x$ we use the formula $(vav^{-1})(x) = \alpha^n(a(v^{-1}x)), a \in \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma, v \in V, x \in Q_2$ where $2^n$ is the slope of $v$ at the point $v^{-1}x$. We prove and use the fact that the slope of $\varphi v \varphi^{-1}$ at $\varphi(x)$ is equal to the slope of $v$ at $x$ when $x \in Q_2, v x = x, v \in V$ and $\varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2)$. Note that this equality of slopes will no longer be true in general if we were not assuming that $\varphi$ stabilises the dyadic rationals $Q_2$, see Remark 1.6. We will see in a second article that the situation is more complex when one has to work with all automorphisms of $V$ and not only those coming from $\text{Stab}_N(Q_2)$.

We now consider the automorphism group of $G = K \rtimes V$ that we study in the untwisted case. Hence, $G$ is a permutational wreath product of the form $\oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma \rtimes V$ for some group $\Gamma$. Using the fact that $K \subset G$ is a characteristic subgroup and the result concerning the support mentioned earlier we obtain that any $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$ can be decomposed as $\theta(av) = \kappa(a) \cdot c_v \cdot a \varphi(v), a \in K, v \in V$ such that $\kappa(a)(\varphi(x)) = \kappa_x(a(x)), x \in Q_2$ for some
\(\kappa \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) and a fixed \(\varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2)\). Up to compose with \(av \mapsto a^\varphi \cdot \text{ad}_\varphi(v)\) we can assume that \(\varphi\) is trivial. Moreover, up to compose with elements of the normaliser \(N(G)\) and automorphisms of \(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) acting on the wreath product we can assume that \(\kappa\) is the identity. It remains an automorphism of the form: \(av \mapsto a \cdot c_v \cdot v\) which forces \(c_v(x)\) to be in the centre of \(\Gamma\) for all \(v \in V, x \in Q_2\). We obtain a map \(v \in V \mapsto c_v \in \bigoplus_{Q_2} \text{Z} \Gamma\) satisfying the cocycle identity \(c_{vw} = c_v \cdot c_w, v, w \in V\). To finish the proof of the theorem we classify all such maps but valued in the product (not the direct sum) of the \(\text{Z}\) that is:

\[
\{d : V \rightarrow \prod_{Q_2} \text{Z} : d_{vw} = d_v \cdot d_w^v, \forall v, w \in V\}.
\]

They form an Abelian group for the pointwise product and moreover all cocycle \(d\) can be decomposed as a product of two as follows:

\[
d_v(x) = \zeta^{\text{log}_2(v^{-1}x))} \cdot f(x)f(v^{-1}x), v \in V, x \in Q_2
\]

for a pair \((\zeta, f) \in \text{Z} \Gamma \times \prod_{Q_2} \text{Z} \Gamma\) that is unique up to multiply \(f\) by a constant map.

Hence, this later group of cocycles is isomorphic to \(\text{Z} \Gamma \times \prod_{Q_2} \text{Z} \Gamma / \text{Z} \Gamma\). By considering \(p_v := \ell_v + v - v^\varphi, v \in V\) rather than \(\ell_v\) we decompose the automorphism \(av \mapsto a \cdot c_v \cdot v\) into a product of \(\text{ad}(f)\) with \(f \in N(G) \cap \prod_{Q_2} \text{Z} \Gamma\) and an exotic automorphism:

\[
E_\zeta : av \mapsto a \cdot \zeta^{p_v} \cdot v, a \in K, v \in V
\]

with \(\zeta \in \text{Z} \Gamma\). This achieves the proof that every automorphism of \(G\) is the product of four kinds of elementary automorphisms as previously described.

We obtained that \(\text{Aut}(G)\) is generated by the copies of the groups \(\text{Z} \Gamma, N(G), \text{Stab}_N(Q_2)\) and \(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)\). To avoid confusions we write here \(D(\text{Z} \Gamma) \subset N(G)\) the subgroup of constant maps from \(Q_2\) to \(\text{Z} \Gamma\). It is rather easy to see that \(\text{Z} \Gamma, N(G)/D(\text{Z} \Gamma), \text{Stab}_N(Q_2), \text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) seat faithfully inside \(\text{Aut}(G)\). We want to understand how those subgroups interact with each other. A straightforward check shows that \(\text{Z} \Gamma\) commutes with \(\text{N}(G)\) and \(\text{Stab}_N(Q_2)\) commutes with \(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)\). Both groups \(\text{Stab}_N(Q_2)\) and \(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) normalise \(N(G)\) and act in the expected way: \([(\varphi, \beta) \cdot f](x) \colonequals \beta(f(\varphi^{-1}x)), \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2), \beta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), f \in N(G), x \in Q_2\). Those actions are clearly factorisable into actions on the quotient group \(N(G)/D(\text{Z} \Gamma)\). The group \(\text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) normalises \(\text{Z} \Gamma\) acting as \(\beta \cdot \zeta \colonequals \beta(\zeta), \beta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), \zeta \in \text{Z} \Gamma\). However, \(\text{Stab}_N(Q_2)\) does not normalise \(\text{Z} \Gamma\) but normalises the product of groups \(\text{Z} \Gamma \times N(G)/D(\text{Z} \Gamma)\). The action is more complicated than expected but can be written down using slopes of elements of \(V\). For clarity of the presentation we choose to first define a semidirect product \((\text{Z} \Gamma \times N(G)/D(\text{Z} \Gamma)) \rtimes (\text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma))\) without referring to \(G\) and thus proving that the complicating formula describing the action of \(\text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) on \(\text{Z} \Gamma \times N(G)/D(\text{Z} \Gamma)\) is indeed well-defined. We end the proof of Theorem B by defining the group morphism from the semidirect product \((\text{Z} \Gamma \times N(G)/D(\text{Z} \Gamma)) \rtimes (\text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma))\) onto \(\text{Aut}(G)\) and by computing its kernel which is an easy task.

1. Preliminaries

In this section we start by briefly presenting the general framework of Jones actions introduced in [Jon18a]. The general phylosophy is that a nice category provides a group (a fraction group) and any functor starting from this category provides an action of this group (a Jones action). We specialise our study to functors from the category of binary forests to the category of groups which provides actions of the Thompson group on a group. We consider the semidirect product which again has a natural structure of fraction group.
that we describe. All of these have been extensively explained in [Bro19a, Section 2] that we refer the reader to for additional details.

We end this preliminary section by recalling and proving elementary facts on the Thomp-
sont group, considered as a subgroup of the homeomorphisms of the Cantor space, and its
automorphism group.

1.1. Jones general framework. Given a small category $\mathcal{C}$ with a chosen object $e \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{C})$ assume that $\mathcal{C}$ admits a calculus of left fractions in $e$. This implies that we can formally inverse morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ with source $e$. We then consider the set of pairs $(f, g)$ of morphisms of $\mathcal{C}$ having domain $e$ and common codomain. We mod out this set of pairs by the equivalence relation generated by $(f, g) \sim (p \circ f, p \circ g)$ for $p$ any composable morphism and write $\frac{f}{g}$ the equivalence class of $(f, g)$ calling it a fraction. This quotient set admits a group structure given by the multiplication:

$$\frac{f}{g} \cdot \frac{f'}{g'} := \frac{p \circ f}{q \circ g'}$$

for any choice of $p, p'$ satisfying $p \circ g = p' \circ f'$.

This forms a group $G_{\mathcal{C}, e} = G_{\mathcal{C}}$ that we call the fraction group of $(\mathcal{C}, e)$ or simply the fraction group of $\mathcal{C}$ if the context is clear.

Jones made the fundamental observation that given any functor $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ one can construct a group action $\pi_{\Phi} : G_{\mathcal{C}} \ltimes X_{\Phi}$ called the Jones action.

If $\Phi$ is a covariant functor and $\mathcal{D}$ is the category of Hilbert spaces with isometries for morphisms, then $X_{\Phi}$ is a preHilbert space and the Jones action $\pi_{\Phi}$ can be extended into a unitary representation of $G_{\mathcal{C}}$ on the completion of $X_{\Phi}$. This provides a wonderful machine for constructing unitary representations and matrix coefficients, see [Jon19a, BJ19b, BJ19a, Bro19a, ABC19].

If $\Phi : \mathcal{C} \to \text{Gr}$ is a covariant functor where Gr is the category of groups, then $X_{\Phi}$ is a group and the Jones action $\pi_{\Phi} : G_{\mathcal{C}} \ltimes X_{\Phi}$ is an action by automorphisms on this group. We can then consider the semidirect product $X_{\Phi} \rtimes G_{\mathcal{C}}$ obtaining a group from the functor $\Phi$ (and the choice of a fixed object $e \in \mathcal{C}$).

The author made the observation that $X_{\Phi} \rtimes G_{\mathcal{C}}$ has a very natural description in terms of fraction group, see [Bro19a]. There is a category $\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}$ with same objects than $\mathcal{C}$ but with more morphisms. The fraction group of $(\mathcal{C}_{\Phi}, e)$ is then isomorphic to $X_{\Phi} \rtimes G_{\mathcal{C}}$.

We will describe and study those semidirect products when the initial category $\mathcal{C}$ is a certain category of forests and $\Phi$ is covariant and monoidal.

1.2. The case of forests and groups.

1.2.1. The category of forests. An ordered rooted binary tree $t$ is a tree-graph with one root $*$ and finitely many vertices. We imagine it as a graph drawn in the plane with the root on the bottom and leaves on top. Every vertex $v$ of $t$ that is not a leave has two descendants $v_l, v_r$ that are vertices placed at the top left and top right of $v$ respectively. We say that the edge from $v$ to $v_l$ (resp. from $v$ to $v_r$) is a left edge (resp. a right edge).

A forest is the reunion of finitely many ordered rooted binary trees where the roots (and hence the trees) are ordered from left to right. From now on we will call those objects trees and forests. We form a category $\mathcal{F}$ whose set of objects is $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} := \{1, 2, 3, \cdots \}$ and morphism space $\mathcal{F}(n, m)$ from $n$ to $m$ is the set of all forests having $n$ roots and $m$ leaves. The composition is done by stacking forests $f, g$ on top of each other by lining the leaves of the bottom forest $g$ with the root of the top forest $f$ obtaining $f \circ g$. We equip
this category with a monoidal structure \( \otimes \) such that \( n \otimes m = n + m \) for objects \( n, m \) and \( f \otimes g \) is the horizontal concatenation of the two forests \( f \) and \( g \) where \( f \) is on the left and \( g \) on the right. Denote by \( I \) and \( Y \) the tree with one leaf and the tree with two leaves respectively. The category \( \mathcal{F} \) has the remarkable property that every morphism is the composition of tensor products of \( I \) and \( Y \). Indeed, write \( f_{j,n} = f^{\otimes j-1} \otimes Y \otimes I^{n-j} \) the forest with \( n \) roots, \( n + 1 \) leaves such that the \( j \)-th tree is \( Y \) and all the other are the trivial tree \( I \), \( n \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n \). It is easy to see that all forests is a finite composition of such \( f_{j,n} \). Let \( \mathfrak{T} \) be the set of all trees which is the set of all morphisms of \( \mathcal{F} \) with source 1. Given a tree \( t \) we equip its set of vertices with the usual tree distance \( d \). Let \( w \) be the number of edges in the unique geodesic path between \( v \) and \( w \). We equip \( \mathfrak{T} \) with the following partial order:

\[
t \leq s \text{ if and only if } s = f \circ s \text{ for some forest } f.
\]

For each \( n \geq 1 \) we write \( t_n \) the tree with \( 2^n \) leaves all at distance \( n \) from the root. Observe that \( (t_n : n \geq 1) \) is a cofinal sequence in \( (\mathfrak{T}, \leq) \) meaning that for all \( t \in \mathfrak{T} \) there exists \( n \geq 1 \) satisfying \( t \leq t_n \). We consider \( t_\infty \) the infinite rooted binary tree. For convenience, we will often identify elements of \( \mathfrak{T} \) with finite rooted sub-trees of \( t_\infty \).

1.2.2. The Cantor space. We write \( \mathcal{C} \) the Cantor space that we define as being the set of all infinite sequences in 0 and 1, that is \( \mathcal{C} := \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N} \), equipped with the product topology. We consider the map

\[
S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow [0,1], x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{x_n}{2^n}
\]

which is surjective. Recall that a dyadic rational is a number of the form \( \frac{a}{2^b} \) with \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \) equal to the ring \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \). Any element of \( [0,1] \) that is not a dyadic rational has a unique pre-image. However, each dyadic rational \( r \in (0,1) \) admits exactly two pre-images: \( x, y \in \mathcal{C} \) satisfying that there exists \( N \geq 1 \) such that \( x_n = y_n \) if \( n \leq N - 1 \), \( x_N = 1, y_N = 0 \) and \( x_n = 0, y_n = 1 \) for all \( n \geq N + 1 \). In particular, \( S \) realises a bijection from

\[
\{ x \in \mathcal{C} : \exists N \geq 1, x_n = 0, \forall n \geq N \}
\]

onto the set of dyadic rationals contained in \([0, 1]\).

**Notation 1.1.** We write \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \) the set \( \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \cap [0, 1) \) that we identify with \( \{ x \in \mathcal{C} : \exists N \geq 1, x_n = 0, \forall n \geq N \} \).

We write \( \leq \) the lexicographic order of \( \mathcal{C} \) and remark that \( S(x) \leq S(y) \) if and only if \( x \leq y \) for all \( x, y \in \mathcal{C} \).

The topology of \( \mathcal{C} \) is generated by the following clopen sets (sets that are open and closed) that are \( I := \{ m_I \cdot x : x \in [0,1]^\mathbb{N} \} \) where \( m_I \in \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N} \) is a finite sequence of 0 and 1 that we call a word and where the symbol \( \cdot \) is the concatenation. We say that \( I \) is a clopen interval of \( \mathcal{C} \). Observe that \( S(I) = \left[ \frac{a}{2^b}, \frac{a+1}{2^b} \right) \) for certain \( a, b \in \mathbb{N} \). For technical reason we will consider the half-open interval \( \hat{S}(I) := \left[ \frac{a}{2^b}, \frac{a+1}{2^b} \right) \) and call it a standard dyadic interval (in short \( sdi \)). By abuse of terminology we may call \( I \) a \( sdi \) rather than a clopen interval and may identify it with \( S(I) \) or with \( \hat{S}(I) \cap \mathbb{Q}_2 \). Consider a finite collection of clopen intervals \( I_1, \cdots, I_n \) that are mutually disjoint and whose union is equal to \( \mathcal{C} \). Up to reorder them we obtain that \( S(I_1) = [0,a_1], S(I_2) = [a_1,a_2], \cdots, S(I_n) = [a_{n-1},1] \) with \( 0 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_{n-1} < 1 \). We say that the corresponding family of half-open intervals \([0,a_1), [a_1,a_2), \cdots, [a_{n-1},1)\) is a standard dyadic partition of \([0,1)\) (in short \( sdp \)).
The family is ordered if \( \sup(I_k) \leq \inf(I_{k+1}) \) for all \( 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 \). If the context is clear we may suppress the word ordered.

We now present how trees are useful for studying and representing the Cantor space as a topological space. Consider the rooted binary infinite tree \( t_\infty \) with root \(*\). Given an edge \( e \) of \( t_\infty \) we set \( E(e) = 0 \) if \( e \) is a left edge and \( E(e) = 1 \) if \( e \) is a right edge where \( E \) stands for evaluation. If \( p \) is a path going from bottom to top (which is necessarily a geodesic then) it is the concatenation of some edges \( p = e_1 \cdot e_2 \cdot e_3 \cdots \). We extend \( E \) on those paths as \( E(p) = E(e_1) \cdot E(e_2) \cdot E(e_3) \cdots \). If \( p \) is finite, then \( E(p) \) is word in \( 0, 1 \) and if \( p \) is infinite, then \( E(p) \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} \). It is easy to see that \( E \) realises a bijection from the set of infinite geodesic paths of \( t_\infty \) with source \(*\) and the Cantor space.

Given a vertex \( \nu \) of \( t_\infty \) there exists a unique geodesic path \( p_\nu \) going from \(*\) to \( \nu \). We can then consider \( E(p_\nu) \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} \) and the following subset of the Cantor space:

\[
I_\nu := \{ E(p_\nu) \cdot x \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} \}
\]

that are all elements of \( \mathcal{C} \) with common prefix \( E(p_\nu) \). We obtain bijections between vertices of \( t_\infty \), finite sequences of 0,1 and \( \text{sdI} \) (i.e. clopen intervals) of \( \mathcal{C} \). Given now a tree \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) that is a finite rooted tree that we identify with a rooted subtree of \( t_\infty \). To each leaf \( \ell \) of \( t \) corresponds a vertex of \( t_\infty \) and thus a \( \text{sdI} \) \( I_\ell \) of \( \mathcal{C} \). We obtain that \( (I_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)) \) is a \( \text{sdI} \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) and in fact

\[
t \in \mathbb{T} \mapsto (I_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)) =: P_t
\]
realises a bijection between the trees and the \( \text{sdI} \) of \( \mathcal{C} \). Consider now \( t \in \mathbb{T} \) and \( f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{F}) \) a forest composable with \( t \). Observe that the \( \text{sdI} \) \( P_{fat} \) associated to \( f \circ t \) is a refinement of the \( \text{sdI} \) \( P_t \) associated to \( t \) in the sense that any \( \text{sdI} \) of \( P_t \) is either in \( P_{fat} \) or is equal to the union of some \( \text{sdI} \) of \( P_{fat} \).

1.2.3. Thompson groups. Consider two \( \text{sdI} \) \( (I_1, \cdots, I_n) \) and \( (J_1, \cdots, J_n) \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) with the same number of \( \text{sdI} \). There exists a unique homeomorphism \( v \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) satisfying that \( v(m_k \cdot x) = m_k \cdot x \) for all \( 1 \leq k \leq n \) and \( x \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} \) where \( m_k \) is the unique word satisfying that

\[
I_k = \{ m_k \cdot x : x \in \{0, 1\}^\mathbb{N} \}.
\]

We write \( V \) the collection of all such maps \( v \) which forms a group called Thompson group \( V \). The element \( v \) defines a bijection of \( \{0, 1\} \) as follows: consider the unique map realising an increasing affine bijection from \( \hat{S}(I_k) \) to \( \hat{S}(J_k) \) (recall that \( \hat{S}(I_k) \) is equal to \( S(I_k) \) minus its last point and \( S : \mathcal{C} \to [0, 1] \) is the classical surjection). This provides a piecewise linear bijection of \( \{0, 1\} \) having finitely many discontinuity points all at dyadic rational and having slopes powers of 2. Equivalently, all such bijection of \( \{0, 1\} \) comes from an element of \( V \).

Thompson group \( V \) contains two subgroups \( F, T \) satisfying that \( F \subset T \): the subgroup \( T \) (resp. \( F \)) is the set of all transformation of \( V \) which restricts to a homeomorphism of the torus \( \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \) (resp. of \( [0, 1) \)) which is the set of all transformations \( v \) as above sending an ordered \( \text{sdI} \) \( (I_1, \cdots, I_n) \) to another \((J_1, \cdots, J_n)\) in such a way that there exists \( 0 \leq d \leq n - 1 \) satisfying that \( v(I_k) = J_{k+d} \) (resp. \( v(I_k) = J_k \)) for all \( 1 \leq k \leq n \) and where the index \( k + d \) is considered modulo \( n \).

The three Thompson groups can be realised as fraction groups. Thompson group \( F \) is isomorphic to the fraction group of \( (\mathbb{F}, 1) \). This comes from the fact that an element of \( F \) is totally described by two \( \text{sdI} \) with the same number of \( \text{sdI} \). This corresponds to a pair of trees with the same number of leaves. To obtain Thompson group \( T \) one has to index...
the leaves of the trees in a cyclic way and thus we then consider the category of affine forests $\mathcal{AF}$ with object the nonzero natural numbers and morphism space $\mathcal{AF}(n,m) = \mathcal{F}(n,m) \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. We obtain that $T$ is isomorphic to the fraction group of $(\mathcal{AF}, 1)$. To obtain the larger Thompson group $V$ one can have any indexing of the leaves of trees which corresponds in adding any permutation in the data of the trees giving the category $\mathcal{SF}$ with same set of objects than before but with morphism space $\mathcal{SF}(n,m) = \mathcal{F}(n,m) \times S_m$ where $S_m$ is the group of permutation of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Thompson group $V$ is isomorphic to the fraction group of $(\mathcal{SF}, 1)$ and so $v \in V$ is equal to a fraction $\frac{\sigma \tau}{\sigma \tau}$ with $t,s$ trees and $\tau, \sigma$ permutations playing the role of indexation of the leaves of $t$ and $s$ respectively. Note that this fraction is equal to $\frac{\tau^{-1} \tau \sigma}{\tau^{-1} \tau \sigma}$ so we can always represent elements of $V$ with a fraction having at most one nontrivial permutation.

1.2.4. *Constructions of fraction groups*. Consider $\text{Gr}$ the category of groups that we equip with the classical monoidal structure: the direct sum $\oplus$. Consider a (covariant) monoidal functor $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Gr}$. If $\Gamma = \Phi(1)$, then $\Phi(n) = \Gamma^n$ the $n$-th direct sum of $\Gamma$ for all $n \geq 1$ since $\Phi$ is monoidal. Consider $R := \Phi(Y)$ (where $Y$ stands for the tree with two leaves) which is a group morphism from $\Gamma$ to $\Gamma \oplus \Gamma$ and thus of the form $R(g) = (\alpha_0(g), \alpha_1(g)), g \in \Gamma$ for some endomorphisms $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \text{End}(\Gamma)$. Note that each morphism of $\mathcal{F}$ is a composition of some $f_{j,n} = I^{\otimes j-1} \otimes Y \otimes I^{\otimes n-j}$ and that $\Phi(f_{j,n}) = \text{id}_{\Gamma^{j-1}} \oplus R \oplus \text{id}_{\Gamma^{n-j}}$. Therefore, $\Phi$ is completely described by $\Gamma$ and the (ordered) pair $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. Conversely, any choice of group $\Gamma$ and pair of endomorphisms $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \in \text{End}(\Gamma)^2$ defines a monoidal functor from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\text{Gr}$.

Assume we have chosen $\Gamma, \alpha_0, \alpha_1$ and write $R$ as above. Let $\Phi$ be the associated monoidal functor. For each tree $t \in \mathcal{T}$ we consider $\Gamma_t$ a copy of $\Gamma^n$ where $n$ is the number of leaves of $t$. Given a forest $f$ with $n$ roots we define $\iota_{ft,t} : \Gamma_t \to \Gamma_{ft}$ such that $\iota_{ft,t}(g_1, \ldots, g_n) := \Phi(f)(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$. For example, if $t = Y$ and $f = I \otimes Y$, then

$$
\iota_{ft,t}(g_1, g_2) = (g_1, R(g_2)) = (g_1, \alpha_0(g_2), \alpha_1(g_2)).
$$

This provides a directed system of groups

$$(\Gamma_t, \iota_{s,t} : s, t \in \mathcal{T}, s \geq t).$$

Let $\lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma_t$ be the directed limit which is still a group and in bijection with the quotient space:

$$\{(g, t) : t \in \mathcal{T}, g \in \Gamma^{\text{Leaf}(t)}\}/\sim$$

where

$$(g, t) \sim (g', t')$$

if and only if $\exists f, f'$ satisfying $ft = f't'$ and $\Phi(f)(g) = \Phi(f')(g')$. This is the group $X_\Phi$ mentioned earlier in Section 1.1.

We now describe the Jones action $\pi_\Phi : V \times \lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma_t$. If $v \in F$, then $v$ is described by a pair of trees $(t,s)$ and thus by a fraction $\frac{t}{s}$. Consider $g \in \lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma_t$. Up to refine both $t$ and $s$ (by considering $(ft, fs)$ rather than $(t,s)$) we can assume that $g$ admits a representative $(h, s) \in \Gamma_s$ and thus using the fraction notation we have $g = \frac{t}{h}$. The Jones action is then

$$\pi_\Phi\left(\frac{t}{s}\right) = \frac{t}{h},$$

Another way to interpret this action is to consider $g$ as an equivalence class of diagrams where one representative if the tree $s$ with on top of each of its leaf $\ell$ is placed an element $h_\ell \in \Gamma$ so that $h = (h_\ell)_{\ell \in \text{Leaf}(s)}$. Then $\pi_\Phi(v)(\frac{t}{s})$ corresponds in placing $t$ rather than $s$
under those group element. If \( v \in F \), then we do not change the order of the component of \( h \) (when reading from left to right the group element placed on top of the leaves of the tree \( s \) or \( t \)). If \( v \in T \) we may change cyclically the order of the component and if \( v \in V \) we may change using any permutation the order of the components.

Here is another interpretation of the Jones action. Consider \( v \in V \) and \( g \in \varprojlim \Gamma_t \). The element \( v \) is a homeomorphism of \( C \) sending an sdp \((I_1, \cdots, I_n)\) onto another \((J_1, \cdots, J_n)\) such that \( v(I_k) = J_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} \) with \( \sigma \in S_n \). There exists a tree \( s \) and a \( h = (h_1, \cdots, h_m) \in \Gamma_m \) such that \( g \) has for representative \((s, h) \in \Gamma_s \). Up to refine the sdp \((I_1, \cdots, I_n)\) and considering \((f \circ s, \Phi(f)(h))\) as representative of \( g \) we may assume that \((I_1, \cdots, I_n)\) is equal to the sdp associated to the tree \( s \) (and thus \( n = m \)). We then obtain that \( \pi_\Phi(v)(g) \) has for representative \((t, (h_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, h_{\sigma(n)})) \in \Gamma_t \) where \( t \) is the tree associated to the sdp \((J_1, \cdots, J_n)\).

We will now explain how we can interpret the semidirect product \( \varprojlim \Gamma_t \times V \) as a fraction group. Consider the category \( C_\Phi \) whose set of object is \( \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} = \{1, 2, 3, \cdots\} \) and morphism spaces \( C_\Phi(n, m) \) equal to \( \mathcal{F}(n, m) \times S_m \times \Gamma_m \). A morphism can be diagrammatically represented as a forest plus on top of it a permutation that we see as a diagram with \( n \) segments (if the forest has \( n \) leaves) going from \((k, a)\) to \((\sigma(k), a + 1)\), \( 1 \leq k \leq n \) where the coordinates are taken in \( \mathbb{R}^2 \) and where \( a \) stands for the altitude of the leaves in the diagram. On top of the permutation we place some elements of \( \Gamma \). Note that if \( \Gamma \) was trivial, then we will simply obtain a diagramatic representation of the category giving the fraction group \( V \). In picture we obtain that the morphism \((Y, (12), g_1, g_2) \in C_\Phi(n, m)\) is represented by the diagram:

We interpret \((Y, (12), g_1, g_2)\) as the composition of three morphisms being \((g_1, g_2), (12)\) and \( Y \) and thus identify \( \Gamma_m, S_m \) and \( \mathcal{F}(n, m) \) as subsets of the morphism space \( C_\Phi(n, m), n, m \geq 1 \). The composition of morphisms is explained by the following diagrams where we freely use the identifications just mentioned:
which is equal to

\[
\begin{array}{c}
g_1 h_4 \cdot d(g_2 h_5) h_6 \cdot d(g_3 h_1).
\end{array}
\]

Elements of the fraction group of this category (at the object 1) is the set of (equivalence classes of) pairs of decorated trees as defined above. Consider now such a pair of fractions that consist of a pair of trees \((t, s)\) having the same number \(n\) of leaves, a permutation \(\sigma\) of the leaves and a \(n\)-tuple of elements \(g\) of \(\Gamma\) that is \(g_{\sigma}\). To the pair of trees together with a permutation we associated an element of \(V\) described by the fraction \(t \sigma s\) and to the \(n\)-tuple \(g\) we consider the class of \((g, t)\) inside \(\lim_{\rightarrow t \in \Gamma} t \ltimes \Gamma\). This provides an isomorphism from the fraction group of \(C\Phi\) to \(\lim_{\rightarrow t \in \Gamma} t \ltimes \Gamma \ltimes V\).

1.3. Thompson group: automorphisms and slopes.

1.3.1. Slopes. Consider \(v \in V\) and note that for any \(x \in [0, 1)\) there exists a sdi \(I\) on which \(v\) is adapted to \(I\) (is affine on this interval) with slope \(2^n\) for a certain \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\). We write \(v' : I \to \mathbb{Z}\), \(x \mapsto v'(x)\) to express its slope. Observe that \(vI\) is also a sdi and we can find two words \(m_{I}\) and \(m_{vI}\) in \(0, 1\) satisfying that

\[
I = \{m_I \cdot x : x \in \{0, 1\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad vI = \{m_{vI} \cdot x : x \in \{0, 1\}\}.
\]

where we view \(I\) and \(vI\) inside the Cantor space \(\mathcal{C}\). One can see that \(n = |m_I| - |m_{vI}|\). In this way we can alternatively defined the slope of \(v\) in \(V\) at any element of \(\mathcal{C}\). We obtain that \(v' : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{Z}, x \mapsto v'(x)\) is continuous and moreover there exists a sdp \((I_1, \ldots, I_n)\) such that \(v'\) is constant on each \(I_k, 1 \leq k \leq n\).

It is easy to see that the slope of elements of \(V\) satisfies the chain rule:

\[
(vw)'(x) = v'(wx) \cdot w'(x) \quad \text{for all } v, w \in V, x \in [0, 1) \text{ or in } \mathcal{C}.
\]

We will often consider the map \(\ell : v \in V \mapsto \ell_v : x \mapsto \log_2(v'(v^{-1}x))\) where \(\log_2\) is the logarithm in base 2. Note that the chain rule implies that \(\ell_{vw} = \ell_v + \ell_w\) where \(\ell_w(x) := \ell_w(v^{-1}x), x \in \mathcal{C}, v, w \in V\).

Consider \(x \in \mathcal{C}\) and consider the subgroup

\[
V_x := \{v \in V : vx = x\}.
\]

Denote by \(V_x'\) the derived subgroup of \(V_x\) (the subgroup generated by the commutators). We have the following fact.

**Lemma 1.2.** If \(x \in \mathbb{Q}_2\), then

\[
V_x' = \{v \in V : vx = x \text{ and } v'(x) = 1\}.
\]

**Proof.** Fix \(x \in \mathbb{Q}_2\), consider the subgroup \(V_x\) and define the subgroup

\[
W_x := \{v \in V : vx = x \text{ and } v'(x) = 1\}.
\]

Since the element of \(V\) are piecewise linear we have that if \(v \in W_x\), then \(v\) acts like the identity in a neighbourhood of \(v\) and thus on a sdi \(I\) containing \(x\). If we write \(\mathbb{Z}_x\) the set of
all sdi containing \( x \) we obtain that \( W_x = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{I}_x} W_I \) where \( W_I = \{ v \in V : vy = y, \forall y \in I \} \). Observe that \( \mathcal{C} \setminus I \) is a finite union of sdi not equal to \( \mathcal{C} \). Therefore, there exists \( w \in V \) such that \( w(\mathcal{C} \setminus I) \) is equal to the first half \( J \) of \( \mathcal{C} \). It is easy to see that \( W_{\mathcal{C},J} \) is isomorphic to \( V \) by considering the conjugation by the homeomorphism \( x \in \mathcal{C} \mapsto 0 \cdot x \in J \) and so does \( W_I \) using the conjugation by \( w \). Since \( V \) is simple so does \( W_I \) and thus \( W_I = W'_I \) since \( W'_I \) is nontrivial. This implies that \( W_x \) is equal to its derived group \( W'_x \). By definition, \( W_x \) is a subgroup of \( V_x \) implying that \( W_x \subset V'_x \).

We obtain the converse inclusion \( V'_x \subset W_x \) by using the chain rule of slopes. \( \square \)

1.3.2. **Automorphism group of** \( V \). Let \( \text{Aut}(V) \) be the automorphism group of \( V \). Recall that \( V \) is defined as a subgroup of \( \text{Homeo}(\mathcal{C}) \) the set of all homeomorphisms of \( \mathcal{C} \). A classical argument using Rubin theorem tells us that any automorphism \( \phi \in \text{Aut}(V) \) is implemented by \( \varphi \in \text{Homeo}(\mathcal{C}) \) that is: \( \phi(v) = \varphi v \varphi^{-1} \) for all \( v \in V \) [Rub96], see also [BCM+19, Section 3]. Moreover, one can prove that if \( \varphi \in \text{Homeo}(\mathcal{C}) \) and normalises the subgroup \( V \), then the bijection \( v \in V \mapsto \varphi v \varphi^{-1} \) is trivial if and only if \( \varphi \) is, i.e. the action is faithful. We obtain that \( \text{Aut}(V) \) is naturally isomorphic to the normaliser subgroup

\[
N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) := \{ \varphi \in \text{Homeo}(\mathcal{C}) : \varphi V \varphi^{-1} = V \}.
\]

We will study elementary properties of elements of \( N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \).

**Lemma 1.3.** If \( \varphi \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \) and \( I \) is a sdi, then \( \varphi(I) \) is a finite union of sdi.

**Proof.** Consider a sdi \( I \) and \( \varphi \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \). There exists \( v \in V \) such that its set of fixed points \( \{ x \in \mathcal{C} : vx = x \} \) is equal to \( I \). Observe that \( \varphi(\{ x \in \mathcal{C} : vx = x \}) \) is the set of fixed point of \( \varphi v \varphi^{-1} \). Since \( \varphi \) normalises \( V \) we have that \( \varphi v \varphi^{-1} \) is in \( V \). Therefore, the set of fixed points of \( \varphi v \varphi^{-1} \) is a finite union of sdi and so does \( \varphi(I) \). \( \square \)

In this paper we will be working with a subgroup of \( N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \). Let \( \text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \) be the set of \( \varphi \in N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \) stabilising \( Q_2 \) inside \( \mathcal{C} \) that is:

\[
\text{Stab}_N(Q_2) := \{ \varphi \in \text{Homeo}(\mathcal{C}) : \varphi V \varphi^{-1} = V \text{ and } \varphi(Q_2) = Q_2 \}.
\]

Recall that \( Q_2 \subset \mathcal{C} \) is the set of sequences \( x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \{0,1\}^\mathbb{N} \) satisfying that there exits \( N \geq 1 \) such that \( x_n = 0 \) for all \( n \geq N \).

**Remark 1.4.** In general, an element of \( N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \) does not stabilise \( Q_2 \). Consider for instance \( x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (\overline{x_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) where \( \overline{0} = 1, \overline{1} = 0 \). It is an element of \( N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \) sending all stationary sequences eventually equal to 0 (so \( Q_2 \)) to the the space of all stationary sequences eventually equal to 1 (that is the other copy of the dyadic rationals inside the Cantor space).

There exists more exotic elements of \( N_{\mathcal{C}}(V) \) which do not stabilise the union of the copies of the dyadic rationals inside the Cantor space, see Remark 1.6.

We have the following fact for those specific homeomorphisms of the Cantor space.

**Proposition 1.5.** If \( x \in Q_2, v \in V \) satisfying \( vx = x \) and \( \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \), then

\[
(\varphi v \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x)) = v'(x).
\]

**Proof.** Consider \( x \in Q_2, v \in V_2 \) and \( \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \).

We start by showing that if \( v'(x) < 1 \), then \( (\varphi v \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x)) < 1 \). Define \( I, J \) some sdi containing \( x \) and \( \varphi(x) \) that are adapted to \( v \) and \( \varphi v \varphi^{-1} \) respectively. Note that since \( x \in Q_2 \) the sdi \( I \) is necessarily of the form \( [x, x + a) \) and the restriction of \( v \) to this
onto \( V \) which maps it to its first half. We then obtain that \( f \) sends \( V \) onto \( N \). Indeed, for each \( x \in N \) interval acts in the following way: \( x + b \mapsto x + v'(x)b \). We have a similar description of \( J \) and the restriction of \( \varphi \varphi^{-1} \) to \( J \) since \( \varphi(x) \in Q_2 \), \( \varphi \varphi^{-1} \in V \) and \( (\varphi \varphi^{-1})(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(x) \).

Up to reduce \( J \) we can assume that \( \varphi(I) \) contains \( J \). Assume that \( v'(x) < 1 \) and observe that this condition is equivalent to have that for all \( y \in I \) we have \( \lim_{n \to \infty} v_n(y) = x \). Consider \( z \in J \) that we can write as \( \varphi(y) \) for some \( y \in I \). By continuity of \( \varphi \) we obtain that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} (\varphi \varphi^{-1})^n(\varphi(y)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(v_n(y)) = \varphi(x) \) implying that \( (\varphi \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x)) < 1 \).

We will now finish the proof by looking at \( V_x/V'_x \). Consider the stabilizer subgroup \( V_x \subset V \) of the point \( x \) and its derived subgroup (i.e. commutator subgroup) \( V'_x = [V_x, V_x] \). The map

\[
N_x : V_x \to \mathbb{Z}, w \mapsto \log_2(w'(x))
\]

is a group morphism with kernel \( V_x' \) by Lemma 1.2. Consider the factorised morphism \( \overline{N}_x : V_x/V'_x \to \mathbb{Z} \). Note that since \( x \in Q_2 \) is a dyadic rational the map \( N_x \) is surjective. Indeed, for each \( x \in Q_2 \) there exists a sdi starting at \( x \) and \( v \in V \) adapted to this sdi which maps it to its first half. We then obtain that \( vx = x \) and \( v'(x) = 1/2 \) that is \( N_x(v) = -1 \) which generates \( \mathbb{Z} \). (Note that \( N_x \) is not surjective in general for \( x \in \mathbb{C} \). In fact, one can prove that it is only surjective when \( x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C} \) is eventually a stationary sequence, i.e. \( S(x) \) is a dyadic rational.) Therefore, \( \overline{N}_x \) is an isomorphism. Observe that the automorphism

\[
\operatorname{ad}_{\varphi} : V \to V, v \mapsto \varphi v \varphi^{-1}
\]

sends \( V_x \) onto \( V_{\varphi(x)} \) and \( V'_x \) onto \( V'_{\varphi(x)} \). Write \( \overline{\operatorname{ad}}_{\varphi} \) the induced isomorphism from \( V_x/V'_x \) onto \( V_{\varphi(x)}/V'_{\varphi(x)} \). We obtain an automorphism

\[
f := \overline{N}_{\varphi(x)} \circ \overline{\operatorname{ad}}_{\varphi} \circ \overline{N}_x^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}).
\]

Therefore, \( f(n) = n \) or \( -n \) for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). We proved that if \( v'(x) < 1 \), then \( (\varphi \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x)) < 1 \) which implies that \( f(n) = n \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and thus \( v'(x) = (\varphi \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x)) \). \( \square \)

**Remark 1.6.** As pointed out in the introduction it is important to ask that \( \varphi \) stabilises \( Q_2 \) for proving the last proposition. The Cantor space contains two copies of the dyadic rationals that we write \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_2' \). One can prove that \( N_x : V_x \to \mathbb{Z}, v \mapsto \log_2(v'(x)) \) is surjective if and only if \( x \in Q_2 \cup Q_2' \). By adapting the proof of above we then obtain that, if \( vx = x, v'(x) \neq 1, x \in Q_2 \) and \( \varphi(Q_2) \neq Q_2, Q_2' \), then \( (\varphi \varphi^{-1})'(\varphi(x)) \neq v'(x) \). Fortunately, we are only working with elements in \( \operatorname{Stab}_N(Q_2) \) but one should be aware that there exists homeomorphisms of the Cantor space sending dyadic rationals to non-dyadic rationals. Such an example was found by Feyisayo Olukoya and communicated to us by Collin Bleak that we both warmly thank for sharing it. The construction of this example follows deep works on the understanding of homeomorphisms of the Cantor space and their descriptions in term of transducers (automata), see [GS00, BCM+19].

Note that if \( vx \neq x \), then the last proposition does not work anymore. Indeed, consider the element \( \varphi \in V \) which permutes cyclically the intervals \([0, 1/2], [1/2, 3/4]\) and \([3/4, 1]\) and \( v \in V \) that permutes \([0, 1/2]\) and \([1/2, 1]\). Note that \( v'(x) = 1 \) for all \( x \in Q_2 \) but \( \varphi \varphi^{-1}(0, 1/2) = \varphi[3/4, 1] = \varphi[1/4, 1/2] = [5/8, 6/8] \). Hence, \( \varphi \varphi^{-1} \) has slope 1/4 on the interval \([0, 1/2]\) which is different than the slopes of \( v \).

2. **General properties of fraction groups constructed from forests**

In this section we consider any monoidal covariant functors \( \Phi \) from the category of forests \( \mathcal{F} \) to the category of groups \( \mathcal{G} \). As we have seen in the previous section \( \Phi \) is totally
described by the choice of a group $\Gamma$ and a morphism
\[ \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \oplus \Gamma, \ g \mapsto (\alpha_0(g), \alpha_1(g)) \]
with $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \text{End}(\Gamma)$. To emphasise the choice of the pair $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ we write $\Phi_\alpha$. Denote by $K_\alpha := \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \Gamma$, the associated limit group, $\pi_{\alpha} : V \curvearrowright K_\alpha$ the Jones action and $G_\alpha := K_\alpha \rtimes V$ the associated semidirect product. Finally write $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ the larger category of forests with leaves decorated by elements of $\Gamma$ and natural numbers satisfying that $G_\alpha$ is the fraction group of the category $\mathcal{C}_\alpha$ at the object 1. The aim of this section is to prove the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** The normal subgroup $K_\alpha \triangleleft G_\alpha$ is characteristic of $G_\alpha$, i.e. any automorphism of $G_\alpha$ restricts to an automorphism of $K_\alpha$.

In fact we will prove more.

**Definition 2.2.** Consider a normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$. If $X \subset N$ is a subset, then we write $\mathcal{N}_N(X)$ the smallest normal subgroup of $N$ containing $X$ and call it the normalizer of $X$ inside $N$. A normal subgroup $N \triangleleft G$ satisfies the decomposable property if:

1. $N$ can be decomposed as a direct product of two groups $N = A \oplus B$;
2. $\mathcal{N}_G(A) = \mathcal{N}_G(B)$.

Denote by $t_n, n \geq 1$ the tree with $2^n$ leaves all at distance $n$ from the root. For example, $t_1 = Y$ and $t_2 = (Y \otimes Y) \circ Y$. Consider the permutations $\sigma = (21), \tau = (2134)$ (here we write $(a_1 \cdots a_n)$ the permutation $i \mapsto a_i$) and the elements $v_\sigma := \frac{a_1}{t_1}, v_\tau := \frac{a_2}{t_2}$. Note that in the first case we permute the two leaves of $t_1$ as in the second case we permute the two first leaves of $t_2$ and let invariant the two other. The permutations $\sigma, \tau$ induce some permutation $\sigma_n, \tau_n$ on $2^n, n \geq 2$ elements that are

\[ \sigma_n(i) = i + 2^{n-1} \mod 2^n \]
and

\[ \tau_n(i) = \begin{cases} 
  i + 2^{n-2} & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-2} \\
  i - 2^{n-2} & \text{if } 2^{n-2} + 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1} \\
  i & \text{if } 2^{n-1} + 1 \leq i \leq 2^n 
\end{cases} \]

More generally, for any permutation $\kappa \in S_{2^n}, k \geq 1$ on $2^k$ elements and $n \geq k$ we can define a $2^{n-k}$-cable version $\kappa_n \in S_{2^n}$ of $\kappa$ that is:

\[ \kappa_n(i + j2^{n-k}) = i + \kappa(j)2^{n-k} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 2^{n-k} \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq 2^k. \]

We then identify the permutation $\kappa_n$ with the automorphism of the group $\Gamma_{t_n} \simeq \Gamma^\oplus 2^n$ that is permuting the coordinates.

**Definition 2.3.** Given any permutation $\kappa \in S_{2^k}, k \geq 1$ and $n \geq k$ we consider the set

\[ X_{\kappa,n} = \{g\kappa_n(g^{-1}) : g \in \Gamma_{t_n}, \supp(g) \cap \kappa(\supp(g)) = \emptyset\} \]

where $\supp(g)$ is the set of $1 \leq i \leq 2^n$ for which the $i$-th component of $g$ is nontrivial.

**Proposition 2.4.** Consider a chain of nontrivial normal subgroups $L \triangleleft K \triangleleft G_\alpha$ and assume that $L$ is not contained inside $K_\alpha$. The following assertions are true.

1. For any permutation $\kappa \in S_{2^k}$ there exists $n_{\kappa,K} \geq 2$ such that if $n \geq n_{\kappa,K}$, then $X_{\kappa,n}$ is contained inside $K$.
(2) Consider the following set \( Y_n, n \geq 3 \) of elements \( y = (g, g^{-1}, e, e, g^{-1}, g, e, e) \in G_{t_n} \) for \( g \in G_{t_n-3} \) and where we identify \( G_{t_n} \) with \( G_{t_n-3}^8 \). There exists \( n_{L,K} \geq 2 \) such that if \( n \geq n_{L,K} \), then \( Y_n \) is contained inside \( L \).

(3) If \( \tilde{K} \triangleleft G_\alpha \) is a proper normal subgroup with the decomposable property, then \( \tilde{K} \) is contained inside \( K_\alpha \).

(4) The subgroup \( K_\alpha \triangleright G_\alpha \) is the unique maximal normal subgroup of \( G_\alpha \) with the decomposable property. In particular, it is a characteristic subgroup.

**Proof.** Proof of (1). Since \( K \) is nontrivial and not contained inside \( K_\alpha \) there exists \( a \in K_\alpha \) and a nontrivial element \( v \in V \) such that \( av \in K \). Since \( V \) is simple this implies that for any \( w \in V \) there exists \( b \in K_\alpha \) such that \( bw \in N_{G_\alpha}(av) \) and thus in \( K \) since this later is a normal subgroup. Consider a permutation \( \kappa \) on \( 2^k \) elements with \( k \geq 1 \) and the associated element \( v_\kappa \in V \). For \( n \) large enough there exists \( a \in \Gamma_{t_n} \) such that \( av_\kappa \) belongs to \( K \). Given \( x \in G_{t_n} \), we consider the element \( xav_\kappa x^{-1} = xav_\kappa x^{-1}v_\kappa \). We obtain \( \tilde{a} = xav_\kappa x^{-1} \) with coordinate \( \tilde{a}_i = x_i a_i x^{-1}_i \). Choose \( x \) such that \( x_i = a_i^{-1} \) on a set of \( i \in A \) such that \( \kappa(A) \cap A = \emptyset \) and put \( x_i = e \) outside of \( A \). We then obtain that \( \tilde{a}_i = e \) for any \( i \in A \). Consider now \( y \in G_{t_n} \) supported on such a set \( A \) and observe that the commutator \( [y, \tilde{a}v_\kappa] = [y, xav_\kappa x^{-1}] \) is equal to \( xav_\kappa x^{-1} \) that is by definition in \( K \).

Proof of (2). A similar argument as above tells us that for any \( v \in V \) there exists \( a \in K_\alpha \) such that \( av \in L \). In particular, if \( v = v_\sigma \) for the permutation \( \sigma = (21) \in S_2 \), then there exists a large enough \( n \geq 2 \) such that \( av_\sigma \in L \) and \( a \in \Gamma_{t_n} \). By (1), we can choose a large enough \( n \) such that \( X_{\tau,n}, X_{\kappa,n} \subset K \) where \( \tau = (2134) \in S_4 \) and \( \kappa = (12346578) \in S_8 \). Write \( a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \) as an element of \( G_{t_n} \) identified with \( G_{t_n-2}^4 \) and consider \( x := (a_1^{-1}, a_1, e, e) \) that is in \( X_{\tau,n} \) and thus in \( K \). Since \( L \) is a normal subgroup of \( K \) we have that \( xav_\kappa x^{-1} \) is in \( K \) that is \( \tilde{a}v_\kappa \) with \( \tilde{a} = xav_\kappa x^{-1} \). Note that \( \tilde{a} \) is of the form \( (e, \tilde{a}_2, \tilde{a}_3, \tilde{a}_4) \). Hence, we can assume that the first coordinate of \( a \in G_{t_n-2}^4 \) is trivial. Now identify \( G_{t_n} \) with \( G_{t_n-3}^8 \) and fix \( g \in G_{t_n-3} \). Define the element \( x := (e, e, e, g^{-1}, g, e, e) \) and observe that it is in \( X_{\kappa,n} \) and thus in \( K \) by hypothesis on \( n \). Consider the commutator \( [x, av_\sigma] = xav_\sigma x^{-1}a^{-1} \) and observe that is equal to \( (g, g^{-1}, e, e, g^{-1}, g, e, e) \).

This finishes the proof of (2).

Proof of (3). Consider \( \tilde{K} \triangleleft G_\alpha \) such that \( \tilde{K} = A \oplus B \) and \( N_{G_\alpha}(A) = N_{G_\alpha}(B) = \tilde{K} \). Assume that \( \tilde{K} \) is not contained inside \( K_\alpha \). If \( A \) or \( B \) is contained inside \( K_\alpha \), then so does its normaliser implying that \( \tilde{K} \subset K_\alpha \), a contradiction. Therefore, both \( A \) and \( B \) are not contained inside \( K_\alpha \). Since \( A \) commutes with \( B \) we obtain that \( A \) is a normal subgroup of \( K \) and likewise for \( B \). By (2), applied to \( A \subset K \subset G_\alpha \) and \( B \subset K \subset G_\alpha \), there exists a large enough \( n \) such that \( Y_n \subset A \) and \( Y_n \subset B \), a contradiction since \( A \cap B = \{e\} \).

Proof of (4). Consider \( A_n \subset G_{t_n} \) (resp. \( B_n \)) the set of elements with support contained in the first (resp. the last) \( 2^{n-1} \) coordinates. Note that \( G_{t_n} = A_n \oplus B_n \) and that \( \Phi_\alpha(\Phi_{n+1})(A_n) \subset A_{n+1}, \Phi_\alpha(\Phi_{n+1})(B_n) \subset B_{n+1} \) where \( \Phi_{n+1} \) is the forest with \( 2^n \) roots whose each tree is \( Y \). This implies that the set of fractions \( A := \{ \frac{a}{t_n} : n \geq 1, a \in A_n \} \) forms a subgroup of \( K_\alpha \) and that \( K_\alpha = A \oplus B \). Note that \( v_\sigma A v_\sigma^{-1} = B \) where \( \sigma = (21) \in S_2 \), implying that the normaliser of \( A \) inside \( G_\alpha \) contains \( K_\alpha \) and likewise for \( B \). Since \( K_\alpha \triangleleft G_\alpha \) is a normal subgroup we obtain that \( N_{G_\alpha}(A) = K_\alpha = N_{G_\alpha}(B) \). We obtain that \( K_\alpha \triangleleft G_\alpha \) has the decomposable property. By (3), any proper normal subgroup with this later property is contained inside \( K_\alpha \) making it maximal. The rest of the proposition is obvious. \( \square \)
Theorem 2.1 follows from the last point of the proposition.

3. Classification of fraction groups

In this section we restrict the class of functors $\Phi$ considered. We now assume that $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Gr}$ is a covariant monoidal functor built from the data of a group $\Gamma$ and a morphism of the following form:

$$\Phi(Y)(g) : \Gamma \to \Gamma \oplus \Gamma, \ g \mapsto (\alpha_0(g), e).$$

We write $\alpha$ rather than $\alpha_0$ which can be any endomorphism of $\Gamma$. The associated functors, limit group, Jones action, fraction group and category are denoted as in the previous section by $\Phi_\alpha, K_\alpha, \pi_\alpha, G_\alpha, \mathcal{C}_\alpha$ respectively.

We are going to fully classify the class of all such fraction groups $G_\alpha$ up to isomorphism.

3.1. From an endomorphism to an automorphism. In this subsection we reduce the study to $\alpha$ an automorphism. The idea is to build a group denoted by $\lim \Gamma$ and extending $\alpha$ on $\lim \Gamma$ as an automorphism $\lim \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\lim \Gamma)$. We will then show that the induced fraction groups $G_\alpha$ and $G_{\lim \alpha}$ are isomorphic justifying this reduction of study.

Definition 3.1. Consider a group $\Gamma$ and any endomorphism $\alpha \in \text{End}(\Gamma)$. We define the directed system of groups $(\Gamma_n, n \geq 0)$ with the family of group morphisms $(\iota_n^m : \Gamma_n \to \Gamma_m, m \geq n)$ where

$$\Gamma_n := \{(g, n) : g \in \Gamma\}$$

is a copy of $\Gamma$ and

$$\iota_n^m(g, n) := (\alpha^p(g), n + p), \ n, p \geq 0, g \in \Gamma.$$ We write $\lim \Gamma := \lim_{\rightarrow \Gamma_n}$ the inductive limit of this directed system. Denote by $\sim$ the equivalence class generated by $(g, n) \sim (\alpha(g), n + 1), g \in \Gamma, n \geq 0$ and write $[g, n]$ the equivalence class of $(g, n)$ which corresponds to an element of $\lim \Gamma$. We extend the endomorphism $\alpha$ of $\Gamma$ into an endomorphism $\lim \alpha$ of $\lim \Gamma$ as follows:

$$(\lim \alpha)[g, n] := [\alpha(g), n] \text{ for all } n \geq 0, g \in \Gamma.$$ We obtain two groups of fractions $G_\alpha = K_\alpha \rtimes V$ and $G_{\lim \alpha} = K_{\lim \alpha} \rtimes V$.

Lemma 3.2. The map $\lim \alpha$ is a group automorphism of $\lim \Gamma$.

Proof. Write $\beta_n : \Gamma_n \to \Gamma_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ defined as $\beta_n(g, n) = (\alpha(g), n)$. Consider $n, p \geq 0, g \in \Gamma$ and note that

$$\iota_n^{n+p}\beta_n(g, n) = \iota_n^{n+p}(\alpha(g), n) = (\alpha^{p+1}(g), n + p) = \beta_{n+p}(\alpha^p(g), n + p) = \beta_{n+p} \circ \iota_n^{n+p}(g, n).$$ Therefore, the family $(\beta_n : n \geq 0)$ defines a map $\lim \alpha$ from $\lim \Gamma$ to itself. Moreover, $\lim \alpha$ is a group morphism because each $\beta_n, n \geq 0$ is.

Assume that $\lim \alpha[g, n] = e$ where $e$ is the neutral element of $\lim \Gamma$. Note that $[h, j] = e$ if and only if there exists $p$ large enough satisfying $\alpha^p(h) = e$ for $h \in \Gamma, j \geq 0$. Since $\lim \alpha[g, n] = [\alpha(g), n]$ we obtain that $\alpha^p(g) = e$ for $p$ large enough and thus $[g, n] = e$ implying that $\lim \alpha$ is injective.

Consider $[g, n] \in \lim \Gamma$ with $n \geq 0$ that is equal to $[\alpha(g), n + 1] = (\lim \alpha)[g, n + 1]$ and thus belongs to the range of $\lim \alpha$. This implies that $\lim \alpha$ is surjective and all together $\lim \alpha$ is an automorphism of the group $\lim \Gamma$. $\square$
Proposition 3.3. Consider the morphism $\theta_0 : \Gamma \to \lim \Gamma, g \mapsto (g, 0)$. This induces the morphism

$$\theta_t : \Gamma_t \to (\lim \Gamma)_t, g = (g_\ell)_{\ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)} \mapsto (\theta_0(g_\ell))_{\ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)}$$

for any tree $t \in \mathcal{T}$. Those maps are compatible with the two directed structures inducing a group isomorphism

$$\theta : \lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma_t \to \lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} (\lim \Gamma)_t.$$

This isomorphism is $V$-equivariant for the two Jones actions and extends uniquely into a group isomorphism between the fraction groups $G_\alpha$ and $G_{\lim \alpha}$.

Proof. Let $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Gr}$ and $\lim \Phi : \mathcal{F} \to \text{Gr}$ be the monoidal functors induced by $\alpha$ and $\lim \alpha$ respectively.

To prove that we have a directed system of maps $\theta_t$ it is sufficient to check that:

$$\theta_{ft} \circ \Phi (f) = (\lim \Phi)(f) \circ \theta_t$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}, f \in \mathcal{F}$.

This later equality is a consequence of the following: $\lim \alpha \circ \theta_0 = \theta_0 \circ \alpha$. Therefore, $\theta$ is well-defined and is a group morphism as a limit of group morphisms.

Consider $(g, t) \in \lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma_t$. We have that $g = (g_\ell)_{\ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)}$ with $g_\ell \in \Gamma$. If $\theta_t(g, t) = e$, then $\theta_0(g_\ell) = e$ for any $\ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)$. That is, there exists a power $N_\ell \geq 1$ such that $\alpha^{N_\ell}(g_\ell) = e$ by definition of the limit group $\lim \Gamma := \lim_{\mathcal{T}} \Gamma_n$.

Put $N := \max(N_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t))$ and consider a forest $f$ that is composable with $t$ and satisfying that each of its leaf is at distance $N$ from the root in its connected component. We get that $\Phi(f)(g)$ has all of its components equal to $e$ or $\alpha^N(g_\ell) = e$ since $N \geq N_\ell$.

Since $(g, t) \sim (\Phi(f)(g), ft) = (e, ft)$ inside the limit group $\lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} \Gamma_t$ we obtain that $(g, t)$ is trivial and thus $\theta$ is injective.

Consider $g \in \lim_{t \in \mathcal{T}} (\lim \Gamma)_t$. We can assume, up to identify classes with representatives, that $g = (g, t) \in (\lim \Gamma)_t$ for a certain tree $t$ and thus can be written $g = (g_\ell)_{\ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)}$ with $g_\ell \in \lim \Gamma$. Since $\lim \Gamma = \lim_{\mathcal{T}} \Gamma_n$ and Leaf$(t)$ is finite we can assume that there exists a large enough $n$ such that $g_\ell = [x_\ell, n]$ with $x_\ell \in \Gamma$ for all $\ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)$. Consider a forest $f$ composable with $t$ for which each of its leaf is at distance $n$ from the root in its connected component. We obtain that $(g, t) \sim ((\lim \Phi)(f)(g), ft)$ and note that every components of $((\lim \Phi)(f)(g)$ are either trivial or of the form $[\alpha^N(x_\ell), n] \in \lim \Gamma$. Observe that $[\alpha^n(x_\ell), n] = [x_\ell, 0]$ inside $\lim \Gamma$ and thus belongs to the range of $\theta_0$. We obtain that $g$ is in the range of $\theta_{ft}$ implying that $\theta$ is onto. □

Example 3.4. Consider the group $\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}$ and the non-surjective endomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}, m \mapsto qm$ defined for some fixed natural number $q \geq 2$. We have the isomorphism:

$$\lim \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}[1/q], [g, n] \mapsto \frac{g}{q^n}$$

Moreover, $\lim \alpha$ is conjugated to the following automorphism:

$$\mathbb{Z}[1/q] \to \mathbb{Z}[1/q], x \mapsto qx.$$
Proposition 3.5. Consider a group $\Gamma$, an automorphism $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and the associated fraction group $G_\alpha = K_\alpha \rtimes V$. Let $\oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma$ be the direct sum of $\Gamma$ over the dyadic rational in $[0,1)$ and define the action
\[
\beta : V \curvearrowright \oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma, \quad \beta_v(a)(x) := \alpha^{\log_2(v(v-1)x)}(a(v^{-1}x)), \quad v \in V, a \in \oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma, x \in Q_2.
\]
Write $\oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma \rtimes_\alpha V$ the associated wreath product.
The groups $G_\alpha$ and $\oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma \rtimes_\alpha V$ are isomorphic.
Moreover, we can describe explicitly an isomorphism between them as follows:
consider $t$ a tree and $g = (g_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)) \in \Gamma_t$. Let $I^t_\ell := |r^t_\ell, s^t_\ell|$ be the sdi associated to the leaf $\ell$ of $t$ of length $2^{-N^t_\ell}$ where $N^t_\ell$ is the distance between the root of $t$ to the its leaf $\ell$. We define
\[
\theta_t(g) : Q_2 \to \Gamma, \quad \theta_t(g)(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha^{-N^t_\ell}(g_\ell) & \text{if } x = r^t_\ell, \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]
The family of maps $(\theta_t : t \in \mathfrak{T})$ defines a group isomorphism from $K_\alpha$ to $\oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma$ which is $V$-equivariant and thus extends uniquely into an isomorphism from $G_\alpha$ to $\oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma \rtimes_\alpha V$.

Proof. This proposition was already observed in [Bro19a, Section 2.3]. We provide a short proof for the convenience of the reader. Let $(\Gamma_t, t \in \mathfrak{T} : s, t \in \mathfrak{T}, s \geq t)$ be the directed system of groups associated to the functor $\Phi_\alpha$ built from $\alpha$. Consider a tree $t$ and $\theta_t$ as described above. It is clearly valued in $\oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma$ since $|\text{supp}(\theta_t(g))| \leq |\text{Leaf}(t)|$ for all $g \in \Gamma_t$.
It defines a group morphism from $\Gamma_t$ to $\oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma$ and in fact an isomorphism from $\Gamma_t$ to $\oplus L_t \Gamma$ where $L_t := \{r^t_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)\}$.
Consider a forest $f$ that is composable with $t$. For each leaf $\ell$ of $t$ is associated a root $R_\ell$ of $f$ and a geodesic path starting at $R_\ell$ going upward with only left edges ending at $S_\ell$ which is a leaf of $f$. If $N^f_\ell$ is the length of this path we obtain that $\iota_{f,t}(g)$ is supported on $\{R_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)\}$ such that
\[
\iota_{f,t}(g)(S_\ell) = \alpha^{-N^f_\ell}(g_\ell), \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t).
\]
We deduce that $\theta_{f,t} \circ \iota_{f,t} = \theta_t$ and thus there exists a unique group morphism $\theta : \lim_{t \in \mathfrak{T}} \Gamma_t \to \oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma$ satisfying that $\theta$ restricts to $\theta_t$ on $\Gamma_t$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{T}$.
It remains to show that $\theta$ is an isomorphism and is $V$-equivariant. Note that $\theta_t$ realises an isomorphism from $\Gamma_t$ to the elements $a \in \oplus Q_\alpha \Gamma$ supported on $\{r^t_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)\}$ implying that $\theta$ is an injective but also bijective since any dyadic rational corresponds to the first point of sdi and thus to the leaf of a tree.
Let us show that $\theta$ is $V$-equivariant. Consider $g \in K_\alpha$ and $v \in V$. We can assume that $g = (g_\ell)_{\ell \in \text{Leaf}(t)}$ is in $\Gamma_t$ for some tree $t \in \mathfrak{T}$. Moreover, taking $t$ large enough we can assume that $v$ is adapted to the sdp $(I^t_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(t))$ of sending this sdp to the sdp $(J^s_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(s))$ (here we implicitly identify the leaves of $t$ and $s$). Consider the Jones action $\pi : V \curvearrowright K_\alpha$ and note that $\pi_v(g)$ has for representative $(g_\ell)_{\ell \in \text{Leaf}(s)}$ but as an element of $\Gamma_s$ inside $K_\alpha$. We obtain that $\theta(\pi_v(g))$ is supported in $\{r^s_\ell : \ell \in \text{Leaf}(s)\}$ satisfying
\[
\theta(\pi_v(g))(vr^s_\ell) = \theta(\pi_v(g))(r^s_\ell) = \alpha^{-N^s_\ell}(g_\ell) = \alpha^{N^f_\ell-N^s_\ell}(\theta(g)(r^s_\ell)).
\]
We conclude by observing that the slope of $v$ restricted to $I^t_\ell$ is equal to $\frac{|r^t_\ell|}{|s^t_\ell|} = 2^{N^t_\ell-N^s_\ell}$ implying that $\beta_v(\theta(g))(vr^s_\ell) = \theta(\pi_v(g))(vr^s_\ell)$ for all $\ell$ and thus $\beta_v(\theta(g)) = \theta(\pi_v(g))$. \hfill $\square$

Remark 3.6. The isomorphism of above might not seem to be the most natural one but will be easy to work with in the coming sections. Probably the most natural way to
consider $G_\alpha$ as a wreath product is to replace $N^I_\ell$ by the number $M^I_\ell$ of left edges between the root and the leaf $\ell$ rather than the number of all edges. This provide an isomorphism from $K_\alpha$ to $\oplus_{q_2} \tilde{\Gamma}$. However, to make it $V$-equivariant we then consider the action

$$\gamma_v(a)(x) = a^{M^v}(a(v^{-1}x))$$

rather than $\beta_v$ where $M^v := M_{v^{-1}I} - M_I$ for the choice of a sdi $I$ adapted to $v^{-1}$ and containing $x$ and where $M_I$ is equal to the number of left edges to go from $[0,1)$ to $I$ inside the infinite rooted binary $t_\infty$ as described in (1.2.1). Note that this formula does not depend on the choice of $I$.

### 3.2. Thin classification of fraction groups.

In this section we will decide when two fraction groups are isomorphic or not in term of the input data. We consider some groups $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$, automorphisms $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ and the associated fraction groups $G_\alpha = K_\alpha \rtimes V, G_{\tilde{\alpha}} = K_{\tilde{\alpha}} \rtimes V$ that we often write $G = K \rtimes V$ and $\tilde{G} = \tilde{K} \rtimes V$ respectively. Moreover, we identify the fraction groups with the corresponding wreath products described in the previous subsection. We start by constructing some elementary isomorphisms.

**Lemma 3.7.** Consider two isomorphic group $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\beta \in \text{Isom}(\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}), \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$. Then the fraction groups $G = K \rtimes V$ and $\tilde{G} = \tilde{K} \rtimes V$ constructed from $\alpha$ and $\tilde{\alpha} := \beta \alpha \beta^{-1}$ are isomorphic.

**Proof.** Consider the isomorphism $\kappa$ from $\prod_{Q_2} \Gamma$ to $\prod_{Q_2} \tilde{\Gamma}$ defined as $\kappa(a)(x) = \beta(a(x))$ for all $a \in \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma$ and $x \in Q_2$. Observe that $\text{supp}(\kappa(a)) = \text{supp}(a)$ for any maps $a$ implying that $\kappa$ sends finitely supported maps to finitely supported ones and thus $\kappa$ restricts to an isomorphism from $K$ to $\tilde{K}$. Let us check that $\kappa$ is $V$-equivariant. Denote by $\pi : V \acts K$ and $\tilde{\pi} : V \acts \tilde{K}$ the Jones actions. We have that

$$\kappa(\pi_v(a))(vx) = \beta(\pi_v(a)(vx)) = \beta(\alpha^{\log_2(v')}(a(x)))
\quad = (\beta \alpha \beta^{-1})^{\log_2(v')}(\beta(a(x)))
\quad = \tilde{\alpha}^{\log_2(v')}(\beta(a(x)))
\quad = \tilde{\pi}_v(\kappa(a))(vx), \ a \in K, v \in V, x \in Q_2.$$

Therefore, the isomorphism $\kappa : K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$ extends to an isomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ such that $\theta(av) = \kappa(a)v$ for any $a \in K, v \in V$.

The construction of the following isomorphism is less trivial than the last one and uses the dyadic valuation.

**Notation 3.8.** Let $\nu : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the dyadic valuation such that $\nu(0) = 0$ and $\nu(\prod_{p\text{ prime}} p^{n_p}) = n_2$ for any finitely supported maps $p \mapsto n_p \in \mathbb{Z}$.

**Lemma 3.9.** Consider $\Gamma \in \text{Gr}, \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and its associated fraction group that we denote here by $G = K \rtimes_{\alpha} V$. Given $k \in \Gamma$ we consider the automorphism $\tilde{\alpha} := \text{ad}(k)\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and the associated fraction group that we denote by $\tilde{G} = K \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} V$.

We have that $\tilde{G} \cong \tilde{G}$.
Proof. We start by constructing a family \((k_n, n \in \mathbb{Z})\) of \(\Gamma\) satisfying \(\tilde{\alpha}^n = \text{ad}(k_n)\alpha^n\) for all \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\). Such a family is defined by induction as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_0 &= e \\
  k_{n+1} &= k_n \alpha^n(k) \text{ for } n \geq 0 \\
  k_{-(m+1)} &= k_{-m} \alpha^{-1}(m+1)(k^{-1}) \text{ for } m \geq 0
\end{align*}
\]

We will be using the following equation:

\[
(3.1) \quad k_n \alpha^n(k_m) = k_{n+m} \text{ for any } n, m \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Consider the dyadic valuation \(\nu : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Z}\) defined in (3.8).

Claim: For any \(v \in V\) there exists a finite subset \(F_v \subset \mathbb{Q}_2\) such that

\[
\log_2(\nu'(x)) = \nu(vx) - \nu(x) \text{ for any } x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \setminus F_v.
\]

Since \(v\) is locally affine with slope a power of 2 and translation sending a dyadic rational to another it is sufficient to check this property for the two functions \(v : x \mapsto 2^k x\) and \(\tau : x \mapsto x + \frac{n}{2^m}\) with \(k \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \geq 1\) with domain \([0,1]\). The property of the claim is closed under composition and taking inverses. We can then assume that \(k = 1, n = 1\).

The map \(x \mapsto \nu(2x) - \nu(x)\) is constant equal to 1 on \((0,1]\) and \(x \mapsto \nu(x + 1/2^m) - \nu(x)\) has its support contained in \(\{n/2^m : 0 \leq n \leq 2^m\}\) if \(x\) is restricted to \([0,1]\). In both cases we obtain the equality \(\log_2(\nu'(x)) = \nu(vx) - \nu(x)\) for all but finitely many \(x \in \mathbb{Q}_2\).

Consider \(\overline{K} := \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \Gamma\) the group of all maps from \(\mathbb{Q}_2\) to \(\Gamma\). Using the description of \(G, \tilde{G}\) as wreath products we can easily extend the Jones actions \(\pi : V \rtimes \overline{K}\) and \(\tilde{\pi} : V \rtimes \overline{K}\) induced by \(\alpha\) and \(\tilde{\alpha}\) respectively into actions of \(V\) on \(\overline{K}\). We continue to denote by \(\pi, \tilde{\pi} : V \rtimes \overline{K}\) those extensions and write \(\overline{K} \rtimes_\alpha V\) and \(\overline{K} \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} V\) the corresponding semidirect products.

Claim: We have an isomorphism

\[
\theta : \overline{K} \rtimes_\alpha V \to \overline{K} \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} V
\]

defined as

\[
\theta(av) = \text{ad}(f)(a \cdot c_v \cdot v) = f \cdot a \cdot c_v \cdot v \cdot f^{-1}, \ a \in \overline{K}, v \in V
\]

where we define

\[
f(x) := k_{\nu(x)}, \ c_v(vx) := (k_{\log_2(\nu'(x))})^{-1} \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{Q}_2, v \in V.
\]

Since \(\text{ad}(f)\) is an automorphism of \(\overline{K} \rtimes_\alpha V\) it is sufficient to show that

\[
\rho : av \mapsto a \cdot c_v \cdot v
\]

defines an isomorphism from \(\overline{K} \rtimes_\alpha V\) to \(\overline{K} \rtimes_{\tilde{\alpha}} V\). The map \(\rho\) is multiplicative if and only if

\[
\pi_v(b)c_{vw} = c_v \tilde{\pi}_v(bc_{vw}) \text{ for all } b \in \overline{K}, v, w \in W.
\]

Fix \(x \in \mathbb{Q}_2\) and write \(n := \log_2(\nu'(wx))\) and \(m := \log_2(w'(x))\). We have that

\[
[\pi_v(b)c_{vw}](vwx) = \alpha^n(b(wx)) \cdot k_{\log_2((vw)'(x))}^{-1} = \alpha^n(b(wx)) \cdot k_{n+m}^{-1}
\]

using the chain rule \((vw)'(x) = v'(wx) \cdot w'(x)\) which is valid for elements of \(V\). Now,

\[
[c_v \tilde{\pi}_v(bc_{vw})](vwx) = k_{n}^{-1} \cdot \alpha^n(b(wx))c_v(w(x)) = k_{n}^{-1} \text{ad}(k_n)\alpha^n(b(wx) \cdot k_{m}^{-1})
\]

\[
= \alpha^n(b(wx)) \cdot \alpha^n(k_{m}^{-1})k_{n}^{-1}
\]

\[
= \alpha^n(b(wx)) \cdot k_{m+n}^{-1}
\]
by Equation (3.1). This proves that $\rho$ is multiplicative. It is then a group morphism. A similar proof shows that the formula $av \mapsto a \cdot c^{-1}_v \cdot v$ defines a group morphism from $K \rtimes \alpha V$ to $K \rtimes \alpha V$ which is an inverse of $\rho$ implying that $\rho$ is an isomorphism.

**Claim:** The isomorphism $\theta$ restricts to an isomorphism from $K \rtimes \alpha V$ onto $K \rtimes \tilde{\alpha} V$.

Observe that $\theta(av) = (f cf^{-1} \cdot (f(c \tilde{c}(f^{-1}))) \cdot v, a \in K, v \in V$ and that $\text{supp}(f cf^{-1}) \subset \text{supp}(a)$. Therefore, it is sufficient to check that $f c \tilde{c}(f^{-1})$ is finitely supported for any $v \in V$. Fix $v \in V, x \in Q_2 \setminus F_v$ and write $n := \log_2(v'(x))$. We have that:

$$(f c \tilde{c}(f^{-1}))(vx) = f(vx)c_v(vx)k_n\alpha^n(f(x)^{-1})k_n^{-1}$$

$$= k_n(vx)\alpha^n(k_n^{-1})$$

$$= k_n(vx)[k_n\alpha^n(k_n^{-1})]^{-1}$$

$$= k_n(vx)(k_n+\nu(x))^{-1}$$

$$= e,$$

by definition of $F_v$ and Equation 3.1. Therefore, the support of $(f c \tilde{c}(f^{-1}))$ is contained in the finite set $F_v$. This implies that $\theta$ maps $K \rtimes \alpha V$ inside $K \rtimes \tilde{\alpha} V$. A similar proof shows that $av \mapsto \text{ad}(f^{-1})(a[f c^{-1}(f v)^{-1}]v)$ defines a group morphism from $K \rtimes \alpha V$ to $K \rtimes \alpha V$ which is an inverse to $\theta$ implying that $\theta$ is an isomorphism.

Before proving the main theorem of this section we prove the following surprising rigidity fact: any isomorphism between fraction groups of the class considered is spatial in the sense described below.

**Proposition 3.10.** Consider two groups $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$ with $\Gamma$ nontrivial and the associated fraction groups $G := K \times V, \tilde{G} := K \times V$ where $K = \oplus_{Q_2} \Gamma, \tilde{K} = \oplus_{Q_2} \tilde{\Gamma}$. Assume we have an isomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$.

Then $\theta$ restricts to an isomorphism $\kappa : K \rightarrow \tilde{K}$. Moreover, there exists a unique homeomorphism $\varphi$ of the Cantor set, normalising $V$ and stabilising $Q_2$ (i.e. $\varphi \in \text{Stab}_X(Q_2)$) satisfying

$$\text{supp}(\kappa(a)) = \varphi(\text{supp}(a)) \text{ for all } a \in K.$$

In particular, there exists a unique family of isomorphisms $(\kappa_x : \Gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}, x \in Q_2)$ satisfying that

$$\kappa(a)(\varphi(x)) = \kappa_x(a(x)) \text{ for all } a \in K, x \in Q_2.$$

**Proof.** Consider $\theta : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ as above. Theorem 2.1 implies that $\theta$ restricts to an isomorphism $\kappa$ from $K$ to $\tilde{K}$. Therefore, $\theta(av) = \kappa(c_v \phi_v, a \in K, v \in V$ where $\kappa \in \text{Isom}(K, \tilde{K}), \phi \in \text{Aut}(V)$ and $c : V \rightarrow K, v \mapsto c_v$. By Rubin theorem we have that $\phi = \text{ad}_\varphi$ for a unique $\varphi \in N_{H(\boldsymbol{C})}(V)$. Define

$$W_z := \{v \in V : vz = z \text{ and } v'(z) = 1\}$$

for any $z \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that $v \in W_z$ if and only if there exists a sdi $I$ containing $z$ on which $v$ acts like the identity. This implies that $\text{ad}_\varphi : v \mapsto \varphi v \varphi^{-1}$ restricts to an isomorphism from $W_z$ to $W_{\varphi(z)}$, hence $\phi(W_z) = W_{\varphi(z)}$. Since $\Gamma$ is nontrivial there exists $g \in \Gamma, g \neq e$. 


For \( x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \) we write \( g_x \in K \) the map supported at \( \{x\} \) taking the value \( g \). Observe that if \( v \in W_x \), then

\[
\theta(vg_xv^{-1}) = \theta(\lfloor \log_2(v'(x)) \rfloor) = \kappa(g_x)
\]

\[
= \theta(v)\theta(g_x)\theta(v)^{-1}
\]

\[
= c_v \cdot \phi_v\kappa(g_x)^{-1} \cdot c_v^{-1}
\]

\[
= \text{ad}(c_v)\bar{\phi}_v(\kappa(g_x)).
\]

In particular \( \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) = \phi_v(\text{supp}(\kappa(g_x))) \) and thus \( \phi(W_x) = W_{\varphi(x)} \) is a subgroup of the stabiliser subgroup

\[
\text{Stab}_V(\kappa(g_x)) := \{ w \in V : w \cdot \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) = \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) \}.
\]

This implies that \( \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) \) is equal to the singleton \( \{ \varphi(x) \} \). Indeed, assume that there exists \( s \in \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)), s \neq \varphi(x) \). Since \( \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) \) is a finite subset of \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \) we can find a sdi \( I \) such that \( \varphi(x) \notin I \) and \( I \cap \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) = \{ s \} \). Let \( I_0 \) and \( I_1 \) be the first and second half of \( I \) and consider \( v \in V \) permuting \( I_0 \) with \( I_1 \) and letting all other elements of \( \mathbb{C} \) fixed. In particular, \( v \in W_{\varphi(x)} \) and thus \( v \) stabilises \( \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) \). However, by definition of \( v \) we have that \( vs \notin \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) \), a contradiction. Since \( \kappa \) is injective and \( g \neq e \) we have that \( \text{supp}(\kappa(g_x)) \) has at least one point and this point must be \( \varphi(x) \). In particular, \( \varphi \) stabilises \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \). By observing that any \( a \in K \) is a finite product of some \( g_x \) as above we obtain that \( \text{supp}(\kappa(a)) = \varphi(\text{supp}(a)) \).

We now prove the following: if \( \kappa : K \to \tilde{K} \) is an isomorphism satisfying that \( \text{supp}(\kappa(a)) = \varphi(\text{supp}(a)) \) for a certain \( \varphi \in \text{Stab}_V(\mathbb{Q}_2) \), then there exists a unique family of isomorphisms \( (\kappa_x : K \to \tilde{K}, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2) \) satisfying that

\[
\kappa(a)(\varphi(x)) = \kappa_x(a(x)) \quad \text{for all} \quad a \in K, \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}_2.
\]

Consider \( g \in \Gamma, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \) and \( g_x \in K \) as previously defined. We have that \( \kappa(g_x) \) has support equal to \( \{ \varphi(x) \} \) if \( g \neq e \) and thus there exists \( \kappa_x(g) \in \hat{\Gamma} \) satisfying that \( \kappa(g_x) = [\kappa_x(g)]_{\varphi(x)} \). Using that \( \kappa \) is a group morphism we obtain that

\[
[\kappa_x(gh)]_{\varphi(x)} = \kappa(gh) = \kappa(g) \cdot \kappa(h) = [\kappa_x(g)]_{\varphi(x)} \cdot [\kappa_x(h)]_{\varphi(x)} = [\kappa_x(g) \cdot \kappa_x(h)]_{\varphi(x)}
\]

for \( g, h \in \Gamma \) implying that \( \kappa \) is a group morphism. Therefore, \( (\kappa_x, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2) \) is a family of group morphisms implying that

\[
\prod_{x \in \mathbb{Q}_2} \kappa_x : a \mapsto (\varphi(x) \mapsto \kappa_x(a(x)))
\]

is a group morphism. This latter morphism coincide with \( \kappa \) on \( \{ g_x : g \in \Gamma, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \} \) but since this set is generating \( K \) we obtain that \( \kappa = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Q}_2} \kappa_x \). It is rather obvious to see that \( a \mapsto (\varphi(x) \mapsto \kappa_x(a(x))) \) is an isomorphism if and only if each \( \kappa_x \) is an isomorphism which finishes the proof.

We are now able to prove the main theorem of this section which shows that the only isomorphic pairs of fraction groups come from the last two lemmata.

**Theorem 3.11.** Consider two groups with automorphisms \( (\Gamma, \alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)) \) and \( (\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{\Gamma})) \) and their associated fraction groups \( G := K \ltimes V \) and \( \tilde{G} := \tilde{K} \ltimes V \).
The groups $G$ and $\hat{G}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists $\beta \in \text{Isom}(\Gamma, \hat{\Gamma})$ and $h \in \hat{\Gamma}$ such that $\hat{\alpha} = \text{ad}(h) \circ \beta \alpha \beta^{-1}$.

Proof. The statement is trivially true if $\Gamma$ and $\hat{\Gamma}$ are the trivial groups. We now assume that $\Gamma$ is nontrivial.

Consider an isomorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow \hat{G}$ with $G, \hat{G}$ as above. By Proposition 2.4.4 we have that $\theta(K) = \hat{K}$. Therefore, we can write $\theta(av) = \kappa(a) \cdot c_v \cdot \phi_v$ with $\kappa \in \text{Isom}(K, \hat{K})$, $\phi = \text{ad}_v \in \text{Aut}(V)$ where $\varphi \in N_{H(V)}(V)$ and a map $c : V \rightarrow \hat{K}$. Moreover, Proposition 3.10 implies that $\varphi$ stabilises $Q_2$ and that $\kappa$ can be written as a product of isomorphisms: there exists a family $(\kappa_x : x \in Q_2)$ such that

$$\kappa(a)(\varphi(x)) = \kappa_x(a(x)) \text{ for all } x \in Q_2, a \in K.$$

In particular, $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\Gamma}$ (via any of the $\kappa_x, x \in Q_2$).

Let us show now that $\hat{\alpha} = \text{ad}(h) \circ \beta \alpha \beta^{-1}$ for suitable $\beta \in \text{Isom}(\Gamma, \hat{\Gamma}), h \in \hat{\Gamma}$. Fix $x \in Q_2$ and $v \in V$ such that $vx = x$ and $v'(x) = 1/2$. Note that $\phi_v(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(x)$ and $\phi_v'(\varphi(x)) = 1/2$ in virtue of Proposition 1.5. If $g \in \Gamma$ and $g_x$ is the map supported in $\{x\}$ taking the value $g$ we obtain that

$$\theta(vg_xv^{-1}) = \kappa([\alpha(g)]_x)$$

$$= c_v \cdot \phi_v \kappa(g_x) \phi_v^{-1} \cdot c_v^{-1}$$

$$= \text{ad}(c_v(\pi_v(\kappa(g_x)))).$$

Note that $\kappa(g_x)$ is supported in $\{\varphi(x)\}$ and thus $\pi_v(\kappa(g_x))$ is also supported in $\{\varphi(x)\}$ since $\phi_v(\varphi(x)) = \varphi(x)$. Moreover, $\phi_v'(\varphi(x)) = 1/2$ implying that $\pi_v(\varphi(1/2)) = \hat{\alpha}(a(\varphi(x)))$ for all $a \in \hat{K}$. If we evaluate the equality of above at $\varphi(x)$ we obtain:

$$\kappa_x(\alpha(g)) = \text{ad}(c_v(\varphi(x))(\hat{\alpha}(\kappa_x(g)))).$$

Since $g$ was arbitrary chosen we deduce the equality:

$$\kappa_x \circ \alpha = \text{ad}(c_v(\varphi(x)) \circ \hat{\alpha} \circ \kappa_x).$$

In particular,

$$\hat{\alpha} = \text{ad}(h) \circ \beta \alpha \beta^{-1}$$

where $\beta = \kappa_x$ and $h = c_v(\varphi(x))^{-1}$.

The converse is given by Lemma 3.7 and 3.9. $\square$

Remark 3.12. Note that it is possible to follow Neumann’s original proof for restricted wreath products in order to obtain that $\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\Gamma}$ [Neu64]. However, the proof would be rather indirect and would provide a less precise statement regarding the relation between the automorphisms $\alpha$ and $\hat{\alpha}$. Our proof takes advantage of the highly transitive action of $V \curvearrowright Q_2$ which provides that up to a bijection of $Q_2$ the support of elements of $K$ are unchanged by isomorphisms. This is not true in general for restricted wreath products and a fortiori not true for general restricted permutational wreath products. It also provides a rigidity result concerning automorphisms of the group of fractions $G$ as we will see in Section 4.

Considering endomorphisms rather than automorphisms we obtain the following classification result.
Corollary 3.13. Consider some groups $\Gamma, \tilde{\Gamma}$ and endomorphisms $\alpha \in \text{End}(\Gamma), \tilde{\alpha} \in \text{End}(\tilde{\Gamma})$. Let $G = K \ltimes V$ and $\tilde{G} = \tilde{K} \ltimes \tilde{V}$ be the associated fraction groups. Let $\lim \Gamma$ be the directed limit of groups constructed in Section 3.1 with automorphisms $\lim \alpha$ and similarly consider $(\lim \tilde{\Gamma}, \lim \tilde{\alpha})$.

The groups $G$ and $\tilde{G}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists an isomorphism $\beta \in \text{Isom}(\lim \Gamma, \lim \tilde{\Gamma})$ and $h \in \lim \Gamma$ such that

$$\lim \alpha = \text{ad}(h) \circ \beta \circ \lim \tilde{\alpha} \circ \beta^{-1}.$$ 

Note that the isomorphism $\beta$ of above can be arbitrary. This suggests that there are no simple way to express the connections between $(\Gamma, \alpha)$ and $(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{\alpha})$ without passing through the limits $(\lim \Gamma, \lim \alpha)$ and $(\lim \tilde{\Gamma}, \lim \tilde{\alpha})$.

4. Description of the automorphism group of a fraction group

In all this section we consider fraction groups that are isomorphic to untwisted restricted permutation wreath products. Those are the fraction groups built via the map $g \mapsto (g, e)$ and thus where $\alpha$ is the identity. We consider a fixed group $\Gamma$ that we assume nontrivial, the trivial case being not interesting for our study. Put $K := \bigoplus_{Q_2} \Gamma$ the group of finitely supported maps from $Q_2$ to $\Gamma$ and write $G := K \ltimes V$ the restricted permutation wreath product associated to the action $V \curvearrowright Q_2$ which is the fraction group we want to study. The aim of this section is to provide a clear description of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(G)$.

4.1. The four groups acting on $G$. We start by fixing some notation and defining certain groups. Recall that $\text{Homeo}(C)$ is the group of homeomorphisms of the Cantor set $C$ and that Thompson group $V$ is identified with a subgroup of it. Let $N_{H(C)}(V) := \{ \varphi \in \text{Homeo}(C) : \varphi V \varphi^{-1} \}$ be the normaliser subgroup and put $\text{Stab}_{N}(Q_2)$ the stabiliser subgroup of $N_{H(C)}(V)$ for the subset $Q_2 \subset C$. Therefore,

$$\text{Stab}_{N}(Q_2) := \{ \varphi \in \text{Homeo}(C) : \varphi V \varphi^{-1} = V \text{ and } \varphi(Q_2) = Q_2 \}.$$

Consider the group

$$K := \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma$$

of all maps from $Q_2$ to $\Gamma$ and the full permutation wreath product

$$G := \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma \ltimes V.$$

Identify $G := \bigoplus_{Q_2} \Gamma \ltimes V$ as subgroup of $G$ and consider the elements of $K$ inside $G$ which normalise $G$. We write

$$N_{K}(G) := \{ f \in \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma : f G f^{-1} = G \}$$

the normaliser subgroup. As usual $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is the automorphism group of $\Gamma$ and $Z\Gamma$ the centre of $\Gamma$.

We are going to show that $\text{Aut}(G)$ is generated by some copy of the following groups:

$$\text{Stab}_{N}(Q_2), \text{Aut}(\Gamma), N_{K}(G) \text{ and } Z\Gamma.$$

They will all act faithfully on $G$ except $N_{K}(G)$ that we will mod out by the normal subgroup of constant maps from $Q_2$ to $Z\Gamma$ that we simply denote by $Z\Gamma$. 
4.1.1. Action of the automorphism group of the input group. The whole automorphism group \( \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \) acts on \( G \) in the expected diagonal way:

\[
\beta \cdot av := \overline{\beta}(a)v, \quad \beta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), a \in K, v \in V
\]

where

\[
\overline{\beta}(a) : Q_2 \to \Gamma, x \mapsto \beta(a(x)).
\]

It is easy to check that this formula defines a faithful action by automorphism \( \overline{\beta} : \Gamma \curvearrowright G \).

4.1.2. Action of certain automorphisms of the Thompson group. The stabiliser \( \text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \) acts spatially on \( G \) as follows:

\[
\varphi \cdot (av) := a^\varphi \cdot \text{ad}_\varphi(v), \quad \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2), a \in \bigoplus Q_2 \Gamma, v \in V
\]

where

\[
a^\varphi : Q_2 \to \Gamma, x \mapsto a(\varphi^{-1}x) \text{ and } \text{ad}_\varphi(v) = \varphi v \varphi^{-1}.
\]

This is well-defined since \( \text{supp}(a^\varphi) = \varphi(\text{supp}(a)) \) and thus if \( a \) is finitely supported so does \( a^\varphi \). This action is clearly faithful since the action of \( N_{H(Q)}(V) \) on \( V \) is known to be faithful.

4.1.3. Adjoint action of \( \Gamma \)-valued functions. Given \( f \in K \) we can act on \( \overline{G} \) by conjugation:

\[
f \cdot (av) = \text{ad}(f)(av) = favf^{-1} = (f(a^v))^{-1} \cdot v, \quad f \in K, a \in K, v \in V.
\]

We restrict this action of \( K \) to the elements of \( N_{\overline{G}}(G) \) which normalise the smaller group \( G \) inside \( \overline{G} \).

Assume that \( \text{ad}(f) = \text{id}_G \) for a certain \( f \in N_{\overline{G}}(G) \). We then obtain that \( v = \text{ad}(f)(v) = f(a^v)^{-1}v \) implying that \( f = f^v \) for all \( v \in V \). Since \( V \curvearrowright Q_2 \) is transitive we obtain that \( f \) is constant. Consider \( a \in K, x \in Q_2 \) and observe that \( a(x) = \text{ad}(f)(a)(x) = f(x)a(x)f^{-1}(x) \) implying that \( f(x) \) is central in \( \Gamma \) and thus \( f \) is constant and valued in \( Z\Gamma \). Conversely, if \( \zeta \in Z\Gamma \) and \( f(x) = \zeta \) for all \( x \in Q_2 \), we have that

\[
\text{ad}(f)(av) = fa(f^v)^{-1}v = \zeta^{-1}av = av
\]

for all \( a \in K, v \in V \). We have proven that the kernel of the action of \( N_{\overline{G}}(G) \) is \( Z\Gamma \) where \( Z\Gamma \) is identified with the normal subgroup of \( N_{\overline{G}}(G) \) of constant maps from \( Q_2 \) to \( Z\Gamma \).

Note that the group \( N_{\overline{G}}(G) \) is in general strictly larger than \( K \) as shown in the following remark. It is clear that constant maps are in the normalisers but they are also some less trivial ones.

Remark 4.1. We provide an example of an element of the normaliser subgroup that is not in \( K \) nor constant. Assume \( \Gamma = Z_2 \). Consider the following set:

\[
X := \left\{ \frac{4k + 1}{2^n} : n \in Z, k \in Z \right\}
\]

and write \( Y := X \cap [0, 1) \). We put \( f := \chi_Y \) the characteristic function of \( Y \) interpreted as an element of \( \overline{K} = \prod Q_2 Z_2 \). Observe that \( X = 2X \) and that the symmetric difference \( (X + \frac{1}{2}) \Delta X \) is locally finite in the sense that its intersection with any interval \( (x, y), x, y \in R \) is finite. This implies that for any \( v \in V \) we have that \( v \cdot \chi_Y = \chi_Y \mod \oplus Q_2 Z_2 \).

Hence,

\[
\text{ad}(f)(av) = fa(f^v)^{-1}v = \chi_Y \Delta v \cdot av, \quad a \in K, v \in V,
\]

which is an element of \( K \not\propto V \) since \( \chi_Y \Delta v \cdot av \) is finitely supported. However, \( f = \chi_Y \) is not in \( K \) since \( Y \) is an infinite set nor is constant.
4.1.4. **Exotic automorphisms: actions of the centre of the input group.** There is an unexpected action of $Z\Gamma$ on $G$ that we now describe. We construct those exotic automorphisms in a similar way than in Lemma 3.9 by using the dyadic valuation and the slopes of elements of $V$.

**Proposition 4.2.** For any $v \in V$ we define the map $p_v := \log_2(v')^v - \nu + \nu^v$ that is

$$p_v(x) = \log_2(v'(v^{-1}x)) - \nu(x) + \nu(v^{-1}x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Q}_2.$$  

For any $\zeta \in Z\Gamma$ we put

$$c(\zeta) : V \to \prod_{Q_2} Z\Gamma, \quad c(\zeta)_v = \zeta^v.$$ 

The map $c(\zeta)$ is valued in $\bigoplus_{Q_2} Z\Gamma$ and satisfies the following cocycle identity:

$$c(\zeta)_{vw} = c(\zeta)_v \cdot c(\zeta)_w^v \text{ for all } v, w \in V.$$ 

This defines an injective group morphism:

$$E : Z\Gamma \to \text{Aut}(G), \quad E_\zeta(av) := a \cdot c(\zeta)_v \cdot v \text{ for all } a \in K, v \in V, \zeta \in Z\Gamma.$$ 

**Proof.** The first claim of Lemma 3.9 implies that $p_v : \mathbb{Q}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}$ is finitely supported and so does $c(\zeta)_v$ for all $v \in V, \zeta \in Z\Gamma$.

Let us show that $E$ defines a group morphism. Consider $a, b \in K, v, w \in V, \zeta \in Z\Gamma$. We have that

$$E_\zeta(av) \cdot E_\zeta(bw) = a \cdot \zeta^v \cdot v \cdot b \cdot \zeta^w \cdot w = a \cdot \zeta^v \cdot b^v \cdot \zeta^w \cdot vw = \zeta^v + \zeta^w \cdot ab^v \cdot vw$$

$$E_\zeta(av \cdot bw) = E_\zeta(ab^v \cdot vw) = ab^v \cdot \zeta^w \cdot vw.$$ 

Observe now that

$$[p_v + p_w^v](x) = \log_2(v'(v^{-1}x)) - \nu(x) + \nu(v^{-1}x) + \log_2(w'(w^{-1}v^{-1}x)) - \nu(v^{-1}x) + \nu(w^{-1}v^{-1}x)$$

$$= \log_2(v'(v^{-1}x)) + \log_2(w'(w^{-1}v^{-1}x)) - \nu(x) + \nu(w^{-1}v^{-1}x)$$

$$p_{vw}(x) = \log_2((vw)'((vw)^{-1}x) - \nu(x) + \nu((vw)^{-1}x)$$

$$= \log_2(v'(v^{-1}x)) + \log_2(w'(w^{-1}v^{-1}x)) - \nu(x) + \nu(w^{-1}v^{-1}x),$$

for $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$. We proved that

$$p_v + p_w^v = p_{vw}.$$ 

All together this implies that for any $\zeta \in Z\Gamma$ the map $E_\zeta$ is an endomorphism of the group $G$. It is easy to see that $E_\zeta$ is bijective with inverse map $E_{\zeta^{-1}}$ implying that $E_\zeta$ is an automorphism of $G$ for $\zeta \in Z\Gamma$. It is rather obvious that $E_\zeta \circ E_\eta = E_{\zeta\eta}$ for $\zeta, \eta \in Z\Gamma$ implying that $E$ defines a group morphism from $Z\Gamma$ to $\text{Aut}(G)$.

To finish the proof it is sufficient to prove that $E$ is faithful. Assume that $E_\zeta = \text{id}$ for a certain $\zeta \in Z\Gamma$. This is equivalent to have that $\zeta^v = e$ for all $v \in V, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$. Consider $v \in V$ such that $v0 = 0$ and $v'(0) = 2$. We obtain that $\zeta^{v(0)} = \zeta$ and thus $\zeta = e$ and the kernel of $E$ is trivial. $\square$

We now fix some notations concerning the actions of those four groups on $G$ and summarise the observation of above in the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.3.** Consider the direct product $\text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and define the map

$$A : \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \to \text{Aut}(G), \quad A_{\varphi, \beta}(av) := \overline{\beta}(a)^{\varphi} \text{ ad}_{\varphi}(v)$$
for $\varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2), \beta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), a \in K, v \in V$ such that 
\[ \overline{\beta}(a)(x) := \beta(a(x)) \text{ and } a^\varphi(x) := a(\varphi^{-1}(x)), x \in Q_2. \]

The map $A$ is an injective group morphism. Define the map 
\[ \text{ad} : N_K(G) \to \text{Aut}(G), \text{ad}(f)(av) := favf^{-1} = (faf^{-1})f(v)^{-1}v \]
for $f \in N_K(G), a \in K, v \in V$. The map $\text{ad}$ is a group morphism and its kernel is $Z\Gamma \triangleleft N_K(G)$. We continue to write 
\[ \text{ad} : N_K(G)/Z\Gamma \to \text{Aut}(G) \]
the factorised injective group morphism.

For any $v \in V$ we put 
\[ p_v : Q_2 \to \mathbb{Z}, p_v(x) := \log_2((v^{-1}x)) - \nu(x) + \nu(v^{-1}x) \]
where $\nu$ is the dyadic valuation and define the map 
\[ E : Z\Gamma \to \text{Aut}(G), E_\zeta(av) = a \cdot \zeta^{pv} \cdot v, a \in K, v \in V, \zeta \in Z\Gamma \]
which is an injective group morphism.

We have nothing to prove except that the actions of $\text{Stab}_N(Q_2)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on $G$ mutually commute which is an easy computation.

4.2. Semidirect product. We will later prove that any automorphism of $G$ can be decomposed uniquely as a product of four elements of the groups 
\[ \text{Stab}_N(Q_2), \text{Aut}(\Gamma), N_K(G)/Z\Gamma \text{ and } Z\Gamma. \]

In this section we describe how those four groups seat together inside $\text{Aut}(G)$. They come in two direct products: $\text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and $Z\Gamma \times N_K(G)/Z\Gamma$ with the first direct product acting on the second in a semidirect product fashion. Before defining the action we will need a technical lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** Consider $x \in Q_2, \phi, \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2)$ and $v, w \in V$. We have the following formula:

1. If $vx = wx$, then 
\[ \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x)) - \log_2(v'(x)) = \log_2((\varphi^{-1}w\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x)) - \log_2(w'(x)); \]

2. Given $x \in Q_2$ and $v \in V$ satisfying $v0 = x$ we put 
\[ \gamma_\varphi(x) := \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) - \log_2(v'(0)). \]

This formula does not depend on the choice of $v$ and defines a map $\gamma_\varphi : Q_2 \to \mathbb{Z};$

3. For any $x \in Q_2$ and $v \in V$ we have 
\[ \gamma_\varphi(vx) - \gamma_\varphi(x) = \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x)) - \log_2(v'(x)); \]

4. We have the equality $\gamma_{\phi\varphi} = \gamma_\phi + \gamma_\varphi - \gamma_\varphi(\phi^{-1}(0)).$
Proof. Consider $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$, $\phi, \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2)$ and $v, w \in V$.

Proof of (1).
Assume that $vx = wx$ and put $u := v^{-1}w$. Since $ux = x$ we can use Proposition 1.5 obtaining that

$$(\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x) = v'(x).$$

Observe that

$$
\frac{(\varphi^{-1}vu\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x)}{(vu)'(x)} = \frac{([\varphi^{-1}v\varphi] \circ [\varphi^{-1}u\varphi])'(\varphi^{-1}x)}{v'(ux) \cdot u'(x)}
= \frac{[\varphi^{-1}v\varphi]'(\varphi^{-1}x) \cdot [\varphi^{-1}u\varphi]'(\varphi^{-1}x)}{v'(x) \cdot u'(x)}
= \frac{[\varphi^{-1}v\varphi]'(\varphi^{-1}x)}{v'(x)}.
$$

Taking the logarithm we obtain (1).

Proof of (2).
We need to show that if $v0 = w0$, then

$$
\log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) - \log_2(v'(0)) = \log_2((\varphi^{-1}w\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) - \log_2(w'(0)).
$$

This is a direct consequence of (1) applied to $x = 0$.

Proof of (3).
Consider $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ such that $w0 = x$ and let $v$ be in $V$. Observe that

$$
\gamma_{\varphi}(vx) - \gamma_{\varphi}(x) = \gamma_{\varphi}(vw0) - \gamma_{\varphi}(w0)
= \log_2((\varphi^{-1}vw\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) - \log_2((v'w)(0))
- \log_2((\varphi^{-1}w\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) + \log_2(w'(0))
= \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}w0)) + \log_2((\varphi^{-1}w\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) - \log_2((v'w)(0))
- \log_2((\varphi^{-1}w\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) + \log_2(w'(0))
= \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}w0)) - \log_2(v'(w0)) + \log_2(w'(0)) + \log_2(w'(0))
= \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}w0)) - \log_2(v'(w0))
= \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x)) - \log_2(v'(x)).
$$

Proof of (4).
Consider $y \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ and $v \in V$ such that $v0 = y$. 
Observe that
\[
\gamma_{\phi}(y) = \gamma_{\phi}(v_0) = \log_2((\phi \cdot \varphi)^{-1}v(\phi \cdot \varphi))'((\varphi^{-1} \phi^{-1}0) - \log_2(v'(0))
\]
\[
= \log_2([\varphi^{-1}(\phi^{-1}v_0)\varphi]'(\varphi^{-1}[\phi^{-1}0]) - \log_2((\phi^{-1}v_0)'(\phi^{-1}0)) + \log_2((\phi^{-1}v_0)'(\phi^{-1}0) - \log_2(v'(0))
\]
\[
= \log_2([\varphi^{-1}(\phi^{-1}v_0)\varphi]'(\varphi^{-1}[\phi^{-1}0]) - \log_2((\phi^{-1}v_0)'(\phi^{-1}0)) + \gamma_{\phi}(v_0)
\]
\[
= \gamma_{\phi}(v_0)
\]
\[
= \gamma_{\phi}(\varphi^{-1}0) + \gamma_{\phi}(0) + \gamma_{\phi}(v_0)
\]
\[
= [\gamma_{\phi}^0 + \gamma_{\phi}](y) - \gamma_{\phi}(\varphi^{-1}0).
\]
\[
\square
\]

Let \( \nu : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Z} \) be the dyadic valuation, see Notation 3.8. For any \( \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2) \) define the map \( \mu_{\varphi} : \mathbb{Q}_2 \to \mathbb{Z} \) as follows:

\[
(4.1) \quad \mu_{\varphi} = \gamma_{\varphi} - \nu^\varphi + \nu.
\]

Note that if \( x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \) and \( v \in V \) satisfies \( v_0 = x \), then
\[
\mu_{\varphi}(x) = \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v_0)'(\varphi^{-1}0)) - \log_2(v'(0)) - \nu(\varphi^{-1}v_0) + \nu(v_0)
\]
and this formula is independent of the choice of \( v \) by the previous lemma. We can now define an action of \( \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \) on \( \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z} \) which we will prove to be the action describing the semidirect product decomposition of \( \text{Aut}(G) \).

**Proposition 4.5.** We have an action by automorphism:
\[
\sigma : \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}G)
\]
described by the formula:
\[
\sigma(\varphi, \beta)(\zeta, f) := (\beta(\zeta), \overline{\beta}(f)^{\varphi} \cdot \beta(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi}})
\]
for all
\[
(\zeta, f) \in \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}G \text{ and } (\varphi, \beta) \in \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma).
\]

This map \( \sigma \) induces an injective group morphism
\[
\sigma : \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \to \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}G).
\]

**Remark 4.6.** The notation is slightly misleading. Given \( \beta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), \varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(\mathbb{Q}_2), f \in N_G(\zeta), x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \) we have that
\[
\overline{\beta}(f)^{\varphi}(x) := \beta(f(\varphi^{-1}x))
\]
where the superscript \( \varphi \) means that we precompose \( f \) with the function \( \varphi^{-1} \) while
\[
\beta(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi}}(x) := \beta(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi}(x)}
\]
where the superscript \( \mu_{\varphi} \) stands for \( \zeta \) elevated to the power \( \mu_{\varphi} \).

**Proof.** Consider \( (\varphi, \beta) \in N_H(\zeta)(V) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \). It is clear that the formula
\[
\sigma(\varphi, \beta)_0 : (\zeta, f) \mapsto (\beta(\zeta), \overline{\beta}(f)^{\varphi} \cdot \beta(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi}})
\]
defines a map from \( \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}G \) to itself since any automorphism of \( \Gamma \) maps its centre to itself. Moreover, this is clearly a group endomorphism of \( \mathbb{Z}G / \mathbb{Z}G \).
Consider the quotient map \( q : Z\Gamma \times K \to Z\Gamma \times K / Z\Gamma \). If \((e, f) \in \ker(q)\) (that is \(f \in Z\Gamma\)), then \(\sigma(e, f)_0 = (e, \overline{\beta}(f)^\varphi) = (e, \overline{\beta}(f)) \in \ker(q)\) and thus \(\sigma(\varphi, \beta)_0\) factorises into an endomorphism written \(\sigma(\varphi, \beta)\) of \(Z\Gamma \times K / Z\Gamma\).

Let us check that \(\sigma\) is multiplicative. Observe that if \(\varphi, \varphi_0 \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2), \beta, \beta_0 \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma), \zeta \in Z\Gamma, f \in K\), then:

\[
\sigma(\varphi, \beta) \circ \sigma(\varphi_0, \beta_0)(\zeta, f) = \sigma(\varphi, \beta)(\beta_0(\zeta), \overline{\beta_0}(f)^{\varphi_0} \cdot \beta_0(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi_0}}) \\
= (\beta_0(\zeta), \overline{\beta}(\beta_0(f)^{\varphi_0} \beta_0(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi_0}})^{\varphi} \cdot \beta(\zeta)^{\mu_\varphi}) \\
= (\beta_0(\zeta), (\overline{\beta_0}(f)^{\varphi_0} \beta_0(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi_0}})^{\varphi} \cdot (\beta_0(\zeta)^{\mu_\varphi}) \\
= (\beta_0(\zeta), (\overline{\beta_0}(f)^{\varphi_0} \beta_0(\zeta)^{\mu_{\varphi_0} + \mu_\varphi}).
\]

To prove that the map \(\sigma\) is multiplicative it is sufficient to check that:

\[
\zeta^{\mu_{\varphi_0} + \mu_\varphi} = \zeta^{\mu_{\varphi_0}} \mod Z\Gamma \text{ for all } \zeta \in Z\Gamma.
\]

It is then sufficient to check that

\[
\mu_{\varphi\varphi_0} = \mu_{\varphi_0}^\varphi + \mu_\varphi \mod Z.
\]

Lemma 4.4 Formula (3) implies that

\[
\mu_{\varphi\varphi_0} = \mu_{\varphi_0}^\varphi + \mu_\varphi - \mu_{\varphi_0}(\varphi^{-1}(0))
\]

giving us the desirable equality modulo the constant maps.

Observe now that \(\sigma(e, e)\) is the identity implying that \(\sigma\) is a group morphism from \(\text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma)\) to \(\text{Aut}(Z\Gamma \times K / Z\Gamma)\).

We now show that \(\sigma\) acts on the smaller group \(Z\Gamma \times N_K(G) / Z\Gamma\). Consider \(\zeta \in Z\Gamma\) and \(\varphi \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2)\). We want to show that \(\zeta^{\mu_\varphi}\) is normalising \(G\). Consider \(av \in G\) and observe that

\[
\text{ad}(\zeta^{\mu_\varphi})(av) = \zeta^{\mu_\varphi - \mu_\varphi} av.
\]

To conclude it is then sufficient to show that the support of \(\mu_\varphi - \mu_\varphi^v\) is finite for all \(v \in V\).

Observe that for \(x \in Q_2\) we have:

\[
[\mu_\varphi - \mu_\varphi^v](vx) = \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x)) - \log_2(v'(x)) \\
- \nu(\varphi^{-1}vx) + \nu(vx) + \nu(\varphi^{-1}x) - \nu(x) \text{ by Lemma 4.4} \\
= \log_2((\varphi^{-1}v\varphi)'(\varphi^{-1}x)) - \nu((\varphi^{-1}vx) + \nu(\varphi^{-1}x)) \\
- \log_2(v'(x)) - \nu(vx) + \nu(x) \\
= p_{\varphi^{-1}vx}(\varphi^{-1}x) - p_v(vx).
\]

Since \(p_{\varphi^{-1}vx}\) and \(p_v\) are finitely supported so does the map \(\mu_\varphi - \mu_\varphi^v\) implying that \(\zeta^{\mu_\varphi} \in N_K(G)\). It is now easy to deduce that

\[
\sigma(\varphi, \beta)_0(Z\Gamma \times N_K(G)) = Z\Gamma \times N_K(G) \text{ for all } (\varphi, \beta) \in \text{Stab}_N(Q_2) \times \text{Aut}(\Gamma).
\]

This implies that \(\sigma\) provides an action by automorphisms on \(Z\Gamma \times N_K(G) / Z\Gamma\).

It remains to prove that this latter action is faithful. Assume that \(\sigma(\varphi, \beta) = e\). Since \(\Gamma\) is nontrivial by assumption there exists \(g \in \Gamma, g \neq e\). Write \(g_x \in K\) the map with support \(\{x\}\) taking the value \(g\). Note that \(\sigma(\varphi, \beta)(e, g_x) = (e, \beta(g)_{\varphi(x)})\) implying that

\[
g_x = \beta(g)_{\varphi(x)} \mod Z\Gamma \text{ for all } x \in Q_2, g \in \Gamma.
\]
This implies that $\varphi(x) = x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ and $\beta(g) = g$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ concluding the proof.

4.3. Decomposition of automorphisms of $G$. We start by classifying all automorphisms of $G$ of the following form:

$$av \mapsto a \cdot c_v \cdot v, \ a \in K, v \in V$$

and where $c : V \to K, v \mapsto c_v$. We call such c cocycles for the following formula that they must satisfy for defining an action:

$$c_{vw} = c_v \cdot c_w, \ v, w \in V.$$  

Write

$$\text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma) := \{c : V \to \prod_{Q_2} \Gamma : c_{vw} = c_v \cdot c_w \text{ for all } v, w \in V\}.$$  

We will be particularly interested by the subsets $\text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} ZT)$ and $\text{Coc}(V \rtimes K)$.

Proposition 4.7. Let $\Lambda$ be an Abelian group and consider the set of cocycles

$$\text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda) := \{c : V \to \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda : c_{vw} = c_v \cdot c_w, \ \forall v, w \in V\}.$$  

1. Equipped with the product

$$(c \cdot d)_v(x) := c_v(x)d_v(x), \ c, d \in \text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda), v \in V, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$$

the set $\text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda)$ is an Abelian group.

2. Given any $\zeta \in \Lambda$ the formula

$$s(\zeta)_v(x) := \zeta^{\log_2(v'(v^{-1}x))}, \ v \in V, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$$

defines a cocycle that we call the slope cocycle associated to $\zeta$;

3. For any $c \in \text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda)$ there exists $\zeta \in \Lambda$ and $f \in \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda$ satisfying

$$c_v = s(\zeta)_v \cdot f(v)^{-1}, \ v \in V.$$  

The pair $(\zeta, f)$ is unique up to multiply $f$ by a constant map.

4. The assignment $c \mapsto (\zeta, f)$ realises a group isomorphism from $\text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda)$ onto $\Lambda \times \left(\prod_{Q_2} \Lambda\right) / \Lambda$.

Proof. Consider $c, d \in \text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda)$ and $v, w \in V$. Then

$$(cd)_v = c_v \cdot d_v = c_v \cdot c_w \cdot d_v = (c_v d_v)(c_v) = (cd)_v \cdot (cd)_w.$$  

Therefore, $cd$ is a cocycle. The cocycle $c$ such that $c_v(x) = e$ for all $v \in V, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ is neutral for the multiplication and it is easy to see that given $d \in \text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda$) we have that an inverse of $d$ is given by $b_v(x) := d_v(x)^{-1}, v \in V, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$. Moreover,

$$b_v = d_v^{-1}.$$  

Therefore, $b$ is in $\text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda)$ and thus $\text{Coc}(V \rtimes \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda)$ is a group which is clearly Abelian.

The fact that $(vw)'(x) = v'(wx) \cdot w'(x)$ for $v, w \in V, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2$ implies that $s(\zeta)$ is a cocycle for $\zeta \in \Lambda$. 

Fix \( c \in \text{Coc}(V \cap \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda) \). We are going to decompose \( c \) such that \( c_v = s(\zeta)_v \cdot f(f^v)^{-1}, v \in V \) for some \( \zeta \in \Lambda, f \in \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda \). Fix \( x \in Q_2 \) and write \( V_x := \{ v \in V : vx = x \} \) with derived group \( V_x' \). Consider the map

\[
P_x : V_x \rightarrow \Lambda, \ v \mapsto c_v(x)
\]

and note that \( P_x \) is a group morphism valued in an Abelian group and thus factorises into a group morphism

\[
\overline{P}_x : V_x/V_x' \rightarrow \Lambda.
\]

Since \( x \in Q_2 \) we can find \( v \in V_x \) such that \( v'(x) = 2 \). (This would not be the case for general \( x \) in the Cantor set.) This implies that the map

\[
\ell_x : V_x \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \ v \mapsto \log_2(v'(x))
\]

is surjective. By Lemma 1.2 we have that \( V_x' = \{ v \in V_x : v'(x) = 1 \} \) implying that \( \ker(\ell_x) = V_x' \) and thus \( \ell_x \) factorises into an isomorphism

\[
\overline{\ell}_x : V_x/V_x' \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Write \( 1_\mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{Z} \) the positive generator of \( \mathbb{Z} \) and consider \( \zeta_x := P_x \circ \overline{\ell}^{-1}(1_\mathbb{Z}) \) which is in \( \Lambda \). Observe that

\[
c_v(x) = \zeta_x^{\log_2(v'(x))} \text{ for all } v \in V_x.
\]

Let us show that \( \zeta_x \) does not depend on \( x \in Q_2 \). Consider \( x, y \in Q_2 \). There exists \( w \in V \) such that \( wx = y \) since \( V \) acts transitively on \( Q_2 \). The adjoint map

\[
ad_w : V \rightarrow V, v \mapsto wvw^{-1}
\]

restricts into an isomorphism from \( V_x \) onto \( V_y \). Fix such a \( w \) and take \( v \in V_x \). Observe that

\[
c_{wvw^{-1}}(y) = c_{wvw^{-1}}(wx) = c_{wv}(wx)c_{w^{-1}}(x) = c_w(wx)c_v(x)c_{w^{-1}}(x)
\]

\[
= c_v(x)[c_w(wx)c_{w^{-1}}(x)] = c_v(x)[c_w(c_w^{w^v})(wx)]
\]

\[
= c_v(x)c_w(wx) = c_v(x).
\]

We obtain that \( \zeta_x^{\log_2(ad_w(v)(y))} = \zeta_y^{\log_2(v'(x))} \) for all \( v \in V_x \). Now observe that \( ad_w(v)'(y) = v'(x) \) if \( y = wx, v \in V_x \) by the chain rule applied to elements of the Thompson group.

Choosing \( v \in V_x \) with slope 2 at \( x \) we obtain that \( \zeta_x = \zeta_y \). We have proven that there exists a unique \( \zeta \in \Lambda \) such that

\[
c_v(x) = \zeta^{\log_2(v'(x))} \text{ for all } x \in Q_2, v \in V_x.
\]

Put

\[
s(\zeta)_v(x) := \zeta^{\log_2(v'(x))}, v \in V, x \in Q_2.
\]

We write \( c = s(\zeta) \cdot d \) where \( d := c \cdot s(\zeta)^{-1} \in \text{Coc}(V \cap \prod_{Q_2} \Lambda) \). We are going to show that \( d_v = f(f^v)^{-1} \) for a function \( f : Q_2 \rightarrow \Lambda \). Consider \( x \in Q_2 \) and \( v \in V_x \). We have that \( c_v(x) = s(\zeta)_v(x) \) and thus \( d_v(x) = e \). Given \( x, y \in Q_2 \) we put \( V_{y,x} := \{ v \in V : vx = y \} \). If \( v, w \in V_{y,x} \), then \( w^{-1}v \in V_x \) implying that \( d_{w^{-1}v}(x) = e \). We obtain that

\[
d_v(y) = d_{w^{-1}v}(y) = d_w(y) \cdot d_{w^{-1}v}(y) = d_w(y) \cdot d_{w^{-1}v}(x) = d_w(y).
\]

Therefore, \( u \in V_{y,x} \mapsto d_u(y) \in \Lambda \) is constant equal to a certain \( g_{y,x} \in \Lambda \). The cocycle formula and the fact that \( V \cap Q_2 \) is transitive imply that \( g_{y,x} = g_{x,y}g_{y,x} \) for all \( x, y, z \in Q_2 \). Since \( d_v(x) = e \) for all \( v \in V_x \), we obtain that \( g_{x,x} = e \) and thus \( g_{y,x}^{-1} = g_{x,y} \) for all
x, y ∈ Q_2. Fix a point of Q_2 say 0 and consider the map f : Q_2 → Λ, f(x) := g_x,0. Observe that f(y)f(x)^{-1} = g_{y,0}g_x^{-1} = g_{y,0}g_{0,x} = g_{y,x} for all x, y ∈ Q_2 implying that
\[ [f(f'^{-1})](x) = f(x)f(v^{-1}x)^{-1} = g_{x,v^{-1}x} = d_v(x) \text{ for all } v ∈ V, x ∈ Q_2 \]
since v ∈ V_{x,v^{-1}x}. We have proven that c_v = s(ξ)_v ∙ f(f'^{-1}) for all v ∈ V.
Assume that c_v = s(ξ)_v ∙ f(f'^{-1}) for some ξ ∈ Λ and h : Q_2 → Λ. For any v ∈ V, x ∈ Q_2 we have
\[ ζ^\log_2(v(x))f(vx)f(x)^{-1} = ξ^\log_2(v(x))h(vx)h(x)^{-1}. \]
If we consider x = 0 and v ∈ V that dilate [0, 1/4] into [0, 1/2] we obtain that ζ = ξ. Given x = 0 and τ the translation z ↦ z + y with y ∈ Q_2 we obtain that f(y) = h(y)h(0)^{-1}.
Therefore, f = h ∙ a where a : Q_2 → Λ is the constant map with value h(0)^{-1}.
Let us show that the assignment c ↦ (ζ, f) is an isomorphism from Coc(V ∩ Π_{Q_2} Λ) onto Λ × (Π_{Q_2} Λ) / Λ. Note that ζ ∈ Λ ↦ s(ξ) and f ∈ Π_{Q_2} Λ ↦ (v ↦ f(f'^{-1})) are group morphisms since Λ is Abelian. The first one is injective and the second has for kernel the constant functions since V ∩ Π_{Q_2} Λ is transitive. Therefore,
\[ Λ × (Π_{Q_2} Λ) / Λ → Coc(V ∩ Π_{Q_2} Λ), (ζ, f) ↦ (v ↦ s(ξ)_v ∙ f(f'^{-1})) \]
is an injective group morphism and we have proven that it is surjective. This finishes the proof.

Define the semidirect products induced by the action σ of the previous section that is:
\[ σ(φ, β)(ζ, f) := (β(ζ), (β(ζ))^{μ_φ} ∙ (β(f))^φ), \]
where φ ∈ Stab_N(Q_2), β ∈ Aut(Γ), ζ ∈ ZΓ, f ∈ NΓ(G)/ZΓ and such that
\[ μ_φ(v0) = \log_2((φ^{-1}vφ')((φ^{-1}0) - \log_2(vφ'(0)) - ν(φ^{-1}v0)) + ν(v0), v ∈ V. \]
Recall that the formula of μ_φ(v0) only depends on φ and v0 (but not on v). We put
\[ Q := (ZΓ × NΓ(G)/ZΓ) × (Stab_N(Q_2) × Aut(Γ)). \]

**Theorem 4.8.** The map
\[ Ξ : Q → Aut(G), (ζ, f, φ, β) ↦ E_ζ ad(f)A_{φ,β} \]
is a surjective group morphism with kernel the normal subgroup
\[ M := \{(e, g, e, ad(g^{-1})) : g ∈ Γ\} \]
where g : Q_2 → Γ is the constant map equal to g everywhere.

**Proof.** Let us prove that Ξ is a group morphism. We have already checked that the maps (φ, β) ↦ A_{φ,β}, f ↦ ad(f) and ζ ↦ E_ζ are injective group morphisms. We are reduced to verify that E_ζ commutes with ad(f) and that
\[ A_{φ,β} ∘ E_ζ ∘ ad(f) ∘ A_{φ,β}^{-1} = E_{β(ζ)} ∘ ad(β(f)^φ) ∘ ad(β(ζ)^{μ_φ}) \]
for all ζ ∈ ZΓ, f ∈ NΓ(G), φ ∈ Stab_N(Q_2), β ∈ Aut(Γ). The first statement is proved by observing that given ζ ∈ ZΓ we have that ζ^{μ_φ} commutes with any f ∈ K and thus for all
v \in V$ implying that $E_\zeta$ and $\text{ad}(f)$ commute. Choose such a quadruple $(\zeta, f, \varphi, \beta)$ and an element $a v \in G$ with $a \in K, v \in V$. Observe that

$$A_{\varphi, \beta} \circ E_\zeta \circ \text{ad}(f) \circ A_{\varphi, \beta}^{-1}(av) = A_{\varphi, \beta} \circ E_\zeta \circ \text{ad}(f)(\beta^{-1}(a) \circ \varphi \cdot (\varphi^{-1} v \varphi))$$

$$= A_{\varphi, \beta} \circ E_\zeta(f \cdot \beta^{-1}(a) \circ \varphi \cdot (\varphi^{-1} v \varphi) \cdot f^{-1})$$

$$= A_{\varphi, \beta}(f \cdot \beta^{-1}(a) \circ \varphi \cdot \zeta \varphi^{-1} v \varphi \cdot (\varphi^{-1} v \varphi) \cdot f^{-1})$$

$$= \beta(f)^\varphi \cdot a \cdot \beta(\zeta)^{\varphi^{-1} v \varphi} \cdot v \cdot (\beta(f)^\varphi)^{-1})$$

$$= \text{ad}(\beta(f)^\varphi)(a \cdot \beta(\zeta)^{\varphi^{-1} v \varphi} \cdot v).$$

On the other hand:

$$\Xi(\sigma(\varphi, \beta)(\zeta, f))(av) = \Xi((\beta(\zeta), \beta(f)^\varphi, \beta(\zeta)^{\mu_\varphi})(av)$$

$$= \text{ad}(\beta(f)^\varphi)(a \cdot \beta(\zeta)^{\mu_\varphi - \mu_\varphi} \cdot v).$$

To conclude it is sufficient to show

$$p_{\varphi^{-1} v \varphi} = \mu_\varphi + \mu_\varphi - \mu_\varphi$$

which was done in the proof of Proposition 4.5. We have proven that $\Xi$ is a group morphism.

Let us show that the kernel of $\Xi$ is the normal subgroup $M$ described in the theorem. Consider $(\zeta, f, \varphi, \beta) \in Q$ and assume that $\theta := \Xi(\zeta, f, \varphi, \beta)$ is the trivial automorphism. Consider $h \in \Gamma, h \neq e$ and $h_x \in K$ the map supported in $\{x\}$ taking the value $h$. Note that such a $h$ exists since $\Gamma$ is nontrivial. Observe that

$$\theta(h_x) = E_\zeta \circ \text{ad}(f) \circ A_{\varphi, \beta}(h_x) = E_\zeta \circ \text{ad}(f) \beta(h)\varphi(x)$$

$$= E_\zeta[f(\varphi(x))\beta(h)f(\varphi(x))^{-1}]_{\varphi(x)}$$

$$= [f(\varphi(x))\beta(h)f(\varphi(x))^{-1}]_{\varphi(x)}.$$

In particular, $\theta(h_x)$ has support $\{\varphi(x)\}$ but since $\theta(h_x) = h_x$ this latter support is equal to the support of $h_x$ which is $\{x\}$ implying that $\varphi = \text{id}$. We obtain that

$$(4.2) \quad h = f(x)\beta(h)f(x)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } h \in \Gamma, x \in Q_2.$$

Consider $v \in V$ and observe that

$$(4.3) \quad v = \theta(v) = \zeta^{\mu_\varphi} \cdot f \cdot v \cdot f^{-1} = \zeta^{\mu_\varphi} \cdot f(f^v)^{-1} \cdot v.$$

We obtain that for all $v \in V, x \in Q_2$ we have

$$f(x)\zeta^{\mu_\varphi(x)} = f(v^{-1}x).$$

Fix $x \in Q_2$ and choose $v \in V$ such that $v(x) = x$ and $v'(x) = 2$. We obtain that

$$f(x)\zeta = f(x)$$

and thus $\zeta = e$. Equation (4.3) becomes

$$f = f^v \quad \text{for all } v \in V.$$

Since $V \curvearrowright Q_2$ is transitive we deduce that $f : Q_2 \rightarrow \Gamma$ is constant. There exists $g \in \Gamma$ such that $f(x) = g$ for all $x \in Q_2$ and thus $f = \overline{g}$. Using (4.2) we obtain that $g\beta(h)g^{-1} = h$ for all $h \in \Gamma$ implying that $\beta = \text{ad}(g^{-1})$. Conversely, it is easy to see that $\Xi(e, \overline{g}, e, e) = \Xi(e, e, e, \text{ad}(g))$ implying that $\ker(\Xi) = M$.

It remains to show that $\Xi$ is surjective. Fix an automorphism $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$. 

By Theorem 2.1, we have that \( \theta(K) = K \). Moreover, Proposition 3.10 implies that there exists \( \kappa \in \text{Aut}(K) \), \( \varphi \in \text{Stab}_\lambda(\mathbb{Q}_2) \) and \( c : V \to K \) such that

\[
\theta(av) = \kappa(a) \cdot c_v \cdot \text{ad}_\varphi(v) \quad \text{for all } a \in K, v \in V.
\]

Up to compose by \( A_{\varphi,e} = \Xi(e,e,\varphi,e) \) we can assume that \( \varphi = e \) and thus

\[
\theta(av) = \kappa(a) \cdot c_v \cdot v, \quad a \in K, v \in V.
\]

By Proposition 3.10 we have that \( \text{supp}(\kappa(a)) = \text{supp}(a) \) for all \( a \in K \) since \( \varphi \) is trivial. Moreover, \( \kappa \) can be decomposed as a product of automorphisms:

\[
\kappa = \prod_{x \in \mathbb{Q}_2} \kappa_x \in \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \text{Aut}(\Gamma)
\]

such that

\[
\kappa(a)(x) = \kappa_x(a(x)) \quad \text{for all } a \in K, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2.
\]

Now given \( v \in V \) we have that

\[
\kappa(vav^{-1})(vx) = [c_v \cdot v \cdot \kappa(a) \cdot v^{-1} \cdot c_v^{-1}](vx) = \text{ad}(c_v(vx))[\kappa(a)(x)] = \text{ad}(c_v(vx))[\kappa_x(a(x))].
\]

This is equal to \( \kappa_{vx}(a(x)) \). Therefore,

\[\kappa_{vx} = \text{ad}(c_v(vx)) \circ \kappa_x \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{Q}_2, v \in V.\]  

(4.4)

Fix \( x = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{Q}_2 \) and write \( \beta := \kappa_0 \). Up to compose by \( A_{e,\beta} = \Xi(e,e,e,\beta) \) we can now assume that \( \kappa_0 = \text{id} \). This implies that \( \kappa_{e,0} = \text{ad}(c_e(v0)) \) for all \( v \in V \).

Knowing that \( \kappa_x \) is an interior automorphism of \( \Gamma \) for each \( x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \) we will now be able to decompose the cocycle \( c \). For each \( x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \) choose \( v \in V \) such that \( v0 = x \) and put \( h(x) := c_v(v0) \). Such a \( v \) always exists since \( V \cap \mathbb{Q}_2 \) is transitive. Note that \( h(0) = e \) since \( \kappa_0 = \text{id} \). Consider the map \( h : \mathbb{Q}_2 \to \Gamma, x \mapsto h(x) \) and observe that \( \kappa(a) = ah\beta^{-1} \) for all \( a \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \Gamma \). We deduce that \( \kappa(a) = ah\beta^{-1} \), \( a \in K \) and by construction \( \text{ad}(c_v(v0)) = \text{ad}(h(v0)) \) implying that

\[
c_v = h(h^v)^{-1} \mod \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \Gamma.
\]

Therefore, \( c_v = d_v \cdot h(v)^{-1} \) where \( d_v \in \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \Gamma \) for all \( v \in V \). Moreover, \( d : v \mapsto d_v \) is a cocycle valued in \( \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \Gamma \). Since \( \Gamma \) is Abelian, Proposition 4.7 implies that there exists a pair \( (\zeta, f_0) \) with \( \zeta \in \Gamma, f_0 \in \prod_{\mathbb{Q}_2} \Gamma \) satisfying

\[
d_v = s(\zeta_v \cdot f_0(f_v^v)^{-1}), \quad v \in V,
\]

where \( s(\zeta_v(x)) = \zeta^{\log_2(v(v^{-1}x))}, v \in V, x \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \) is the slope cocycle defined in Proposition 4.7. Therefore,

\[
c_v = \zeta^{p_v} \cdot f(f_v^v)^{-1}, \quad v \in V
\]

where \( p_v = \log_2(v^v) - v + v^v \) is the map defined in Proposition 4.2 and where \( f := h \cdot f_0 \cdot \zeta^v \). Up to compose by

\[
E_\zeta : av \mapsto a \cdot \zeta^{p_v} \cdot v
\]

we can now assume that \( \theta \) is of the form

\[
\theta(av) = \text{ad}(f)(av) = fa^{-1}f(f^v)^{-1}v, a \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \Gamma, v \in V
\]

where \( f \in K \) and necessarily \( f \in N_{\mathbb{Q}(G)} \).
We have proven that $\theta$ is a product of automorphisms of the form $\text{ad}(f), E_\zeta$ and $A_{\varphi,\beta}$ with $f \in N_T(G), \zeta \in \mathbb{Z}, \varphi \in N_{H(\varepsilon)}(V), \beta \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ implying that the range of $\Xi$ is generating $\text{Aut}(G)$ and thus $\Xi$ is surjective. \hfill \Box
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