Abstract: Our recent work established existence and uniqueness results for $C^{k,\alpha}_{\text{loc}}$ globally defined linearizing semiconjugacies for $C^1$ flows having a globally attracting hyperbolic fixed point or periodic orbit (Kvalheim and Revzen, 2019). Applications include (i) improvements, such as uniqueness statements, for the Sternberg linearization and Floquet normal form theorems; (ii) results concerning the existence, uniqueness, classification, and convergence of various quantities appearing in the “applied Koopmanism” literature, such as principal eigenfunctions, isostables, and Laplace averages.

In this work we give an exposition of some of these results, with an emphasis on the Koopmanism applications, and consider their broadness of applicability. In particular we show that, for “almost all” $C^\infty$ flows having a globally attracting hyperbolic fixed point or periodic orbit, the $C^\infty$ Koopman eigenfunctions can be completely classified, generalizing a result known for analytic systems. For such systems, every $C^\infty$ eigenfunction is uniquely determined by its eigenvalue modulo scalar multiplication.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Linear dynamical systems and control systems are very well understood, in contrast with their nonlinear counterparts. Most models of real-world systems are, unfortunately, nonlinear. Thus, any means for applying linear systems techniques to the analysis and synthesis of nonlinear systems is of general interest in both science and engineering applications.

A common approach is to approximate a nonlinear system as a linear system near some nominal trajectory and apply linear systems techniques to the approximation (Khalil, 2002, Sec. 4.3, 12.2). While this approach works well in many situations, it is inherently local and often fails if the system is sufficiently far from the nominal trajectory. A recent alternative approach seeks linear representations of nonlinear systems that are instead global and exact. This is the approach taken in the applied Koopman operator theory literature, initiated largely in the early 2000s by Dellnitz and Junge (1999); Mezić and Banaszuk (2004); Mezić (2005), around 70 years after Koopman’s seminal work (Koopman, 1931).

The Koopman operator of a (nonlinear) dynamical system is an infinite-dimensional linear operator that acts on scalar-valued functions of state, or observables, by evolving them via the underlying dynamics. Since this operator is linear, one can discuss its spectral theory, and its spectral objects often have dynamical relevance. In particular, Koopman eigenfunctions are observables that evolve linearly under the dynamics; the dynamics and control of observables spanned by Koopman eigenfunctions is thus governed by linear systems theory. We emphasize that such a reduction is both exact and global; furthermore, given enough independent eigenfunctions one obtains an exact, global change of coordinates transforming the nonlinear system into a linear one.

Thus, methods to identify Koopman eigenfunctions are of interest, and the numerical computation of such eigenfunc-
tions is an active research area. The body of work most relevant to the present paper concerns the numerical computation of isostables (Mauroy et al., 2013) and isostable coordinates (Wilson and Moehlis, 2016a; Shirasaka et al., 2017; Wilson and Ermentrout, 2018; Monga et al., 2019) for dynamical systems having an asymptotically stable equilibrium or limit cycle; these objects can be expressed in terms of Koopman eigenfunctions as discussed in Kvalheim and Revzen (2019). Isostables and isostable coordinates are useful tools for nonlinear model reduction, and it has been proposed that these objects could prove useful in real-world applications such as treatment design for Parkinson’s disease, migraines, cardiac arrhythmias (Wilson and Moehlis, 2016b), and jet lag (Wilson and Moehlis, 2014).

In analyzing the theoretical properties of any algorithm for computing some quantity, it is desirable to know whether the computation is well-posed (Hadamard, 1902), and in particular whether the quantity in question exists and is uniquely determined. An existence and uniqueness theorem for isostables, isostable coordinates, and more general Koopman eigenfunctions is thus desirable. In the context of attracting equilibria and limit cycles, some existence results can be obtained by invoking Hartman-Grobman type linearization theorems (Lan and Mezić, 2013; Eldering et al., 2018). On the other hand, it seems that uniqueness was less well understood, with an exception for the case of analytic dynamics having a nonresonant linearization (Lan and Mezić, 2013; Ela-Libhaber et al., 2018). On the other hand, it seems that uniqueness was less well understood, with an exception for the case of analytic dynamics having a nonresonant linearization (Mauroy et al., 2013; Mezić, 2019).

Our recent work (Kvalheim and Revzen, 2019) filled some of the gap by establishing existence and uniqueness results for $c_{\mathrm{loc}}^{k,\alpha}$ linearizing semiconjugacies for $C^1$ dynamical systems, of which Koopman eigenfunctions are a special case. In particular, we obtained uniqueness results for $c_{\mathrm{loc}}^{k,\alpha}$ Koopman eigenfunctions; we also obtained $c_{\mathrm{loc}}^{k,\alpha}$ existence results that, to the best of our knowledge, are stronger than those appearing elsewhere in the literature.\footnote{Due to space constraints we mention only the review Budisić et al. (2012) here; see the references in Kvalheim and Revzen (2019) for many additional examples of this literature.} Our result was particularly strong for the case $k = \infty$: the $C^\infty$ eigenfunctions admit a complete classification for $C^\infty$ dynamical systems satisfying a nondegeneracy condition. The conclusion of this classification result yields much information about the eigenfunctions, so one would naturally like to understand how often it holds hypothesized.

The contribution of the present work is to show that the classification, existence, and uniqueness results for $C^\infty$ eigenfunctions in Kvalheim and Revzen (2019) in fact hold for “almost all” $C^0$ vector fields having a globally asymptotically stable equilibrium or periodic orbit, in both topological and measure-theoretic senses described in §2.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In §2 we prove our main result, Theorem 5, after some preliminary definitions and lemmas. In §3 we discuss the implications of Theorem 5 for the results of Kvalheim and Revzen (2019) relevant to Koopman eigenfunctions. Finally, Appendix A contains background on symmetric polynomials for the convenience of the reader.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

This section contains our main result, Theorem 5. But first, we need some preliminary definitions and lemmas.

The following definition is Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Def. 1) and is essentially an asymmetric version of definitions appearing in Sternberg (1957); Sell (1985). By some abuse of notation we also apply this definition to real matrices by identifying them with their complexifications; when discussing eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a linear map or matrix in this work, we always mean eigenvalues and eigenvectors of its complexification. By a further abuse of notation we also apply this definition to (the complexifications of) general endomorphisms of finite-dimensional vector spaces.

**Definition 1.** $(X,Y)$ $k$-nonresonant. Let $X \in \mathbb{C}^{d \times d}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ be matrices with eigenvalues $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d$ and $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, respectively, repeated with multiplicities. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$, we say that $(X,Y)$ is $k$-nonresonant if, for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ and any $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ satisfying $2 \leq m_1 + \cdots + m_n \leq k$, \begin{equation} \mu_1 \neq \lambda_1^{m_1} \cdots \lambda_n^{m_n}. \end{equation} (Note that this condition vacuously holds if $k = 1$). We say $(X,Y)$ is $\infty$-nonresonant if $(X,Y)$ is $k$-nonresonant for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$.

Let $\mathcal{N}_n \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the set of $n \times n$ real invertible matrices $A$ with distinct eigenvalues such that $(A,A)$ is $\infty$-nonresonant; by abuse of notation we also apply this definition to endomorphisms of a general $n$-dimensional vector space.

**Lemma 2.** $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N}_n$ has Lebesgue measure zero.

**Proof.** From Definition 1, matrices in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N}_n$ have eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ that satisfy: (i) $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_k$ for some $j \neq k$ or (ii) $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_1^{m_1} \cdots \lambda_n^{m_n}$ for some $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathcal{M}_n$, where \begin{align*} \mathcal{M}_n := \{(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \mid m_1 + \cdots + m_n \geq 2\}. \end{align*} Condition (i) is equivalent to \begin{align*} 0 = f(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) := \prod_{j \neq k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k), \end{align*} and condition (ii) is equivalent to \begin{align*} \forall m \in \mathcal{M}_n : 0 = g_m(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n), \end{align*} where \begin{align*} g_m(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) := \prod_{\sigma \in S_n} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^{m_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots \lambda_n^{m_{\sigma(n)}}), \end{align*} and $S_n$ is the group of permutations $\sigma$ on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Since $f$ and $g_m$ (for any $m$) are symmetric polynomials in the eigenvalues, they are also expressible as polynomials $F, G_m : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the matrix entries. This follows from the fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials and Vieta’s theorem by recalling that the eigenvalues are roots of the characteristic polynomial whose coefficients are polynomials in the matrix entries (for more details see Appendix A). None of them are identically zero since, e.g., \begin{align*} F(\text{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)) = f(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \neq 0, \end{align*}
and likewise for each $G_m$. As a result, 
\[ \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \setminus \mathcal{N}_n = F^{-1}(0) \cup \bigcup_{m \in \mathcal{M}_n} G_m^{-1}(0), \]

is a countable union of measure zero sets and is also measure zero. □

Let $\mathcal{S}_n \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the set of matrices whose eigenvalues belong to the open unit disk in $\mathbb{C}$. The following definition will be used in the proof of Lemma 4 below, and throughout §3.

**Definition 3.** $(X, Y)$ spectral spread. Let $X \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$ and $Y \in \mathcal{S}_n \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. We define the spectral spread $\nu(X, Y)$ to be
\[ \nu(X, Y) := \max_{\mu \in \text{spec}(X)} \frac{\ln(|\mu|)}{\ln(|\lambda|)} \]

**(2)\]

**Lemma 4.** $\mathcal{N}_n \cap \mathcal{S}_n$ is open in $\mathcal{S}_n$.

**Proof.** Fix any $A \in \mathcal{N}_n$. Since $\nu(A, A)$ is always finite, we have $\nu(A, A)< k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from Definitions 1 and 3 that $\mathcal{N}_n$-nonresonance of $(B, B)$ is implied by (i) $k$-nonresonance of $(B, B)$ and (ii) $\nu(B, B)< k+1$. Since the eigenvalues of a matrix depend continuously on the matrix (Palis and De Melo, 1982, p. 53), the set of matrices satisfying each of these latter conditions is open. Hence $\mathcal{N}_n$ has a neighborhood in $\mathcal{S}_n$ contained in $\mathcal{N}_n$. □

We use the following notation in the remainder of this paper. Given a differentiable map $F: M \to N$ between smooth manifolds, $D_x F$ denotes the derivative of $F$ at the point $x \in M$. (Recall that $D_x F: T_x M \to T_{F(x)} N$ is a linear map between tangent spaces (Lee, 2013), which can be identified with the Jacobian of $F$ evaluated at $x$ in local coordinates.) In particular, given a flow $\Phi: Q \times R \to Q$ and fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we write $D_t \Phi_x: T_x Q \to T_{\Phi^t(x)} Q$ for the derivative of the time-$t$ map $\Phi^t: Q \to Q$ at the point $x \in Q$.

We need some additional notation for our main result. Let $Q$ be a smooth $n$-dimensional manifold with $n \geq 1$. Let $X_{\text{fix}}(Q)$ and $X_{\text{per}}(Q)$ be the set of $C^\infty$ vector fields $f$ whose flows possess a globally asymptotically stable fixed point $x_f$ and globally asymptotically stable nonstationary periodic orbit $\Gamma_f$, respectively. We use the notation $\Phi^t_f$ for the flow of such a vector field $f$. Given $f \in X_{\text{per}}$, we let $x_f \in \Gamma_f$ be an arbitrary point, $\tau_f$ be the period of $\Gamma_f$, and $E^s_x(f)$ be the unique $D_{x_f} \Phi^t_f$-invariant complement to $\text{span}\{f(x_f)\}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}} \subset X_{\text{fix}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\text{per}} \subset X_{\text{per}}$ denote the “good” vector fields such that every $f \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}$, $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{per}}$ satisfy $D_{x_f} \Phi^t_f \in \mathcal{N}_n$ and $D_{x_f} \Phi^t_g \in \mathcal{N}_{n-1}$. Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{fix}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{per}}$ be the maps sending each $f \in X_{\text{fix}}$, $g \in X_{\text{per}}$ to the appropriate linearizations of its flow: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{fix}}(f) := D_{x_f} \Phi^1_f$, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{per}}(g) := D_{x_f} \Phi^\tau_g \phi_{E^s_x(f)}$.

The following theorem is our main result. We refer the reader to Hirsch (1994, Ch. 2) for the definitions of the $C^k$ Whitney (strong) and compact-open (weak) topologies.

**Theorem 5.** $\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G}_{\text{per}}$) is open in $X_{\text{fix}}(Q)$ (resp. $X_{\text{per}}(Q)$) with respect to the $C^1$ compact-open topology, and dense in $X_{\text{fix}}(Q)$ (resp. $X_{\text{per}}(Q)$) with respect to the $C^\infty$ Whitney topology. Furthermore, $\mathcal{S}_n \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}})$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_n \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\text{per}}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{per}})$) has Lebesgue measure zero.

**Remark 6.** The point of Theorem 5 is the following. Many results proved in Kvalheim and Revzen (2019), including those in the following §3, hold for flows of vector fields belonging to $\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}$ or $\mathcal{G}_{\text{per}}$. Theorem 5 implies that a “typical” vector field satisfying the other hypotheses of those results belong to $\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}$ or $\mathcal{G}_{\text{per}}$, where “typical” is meant in both topological and measure-theoretic senses.

**Proof.** We prove the theorem for $X^\infty_{\text{fix}}$; the corresponding statements for $X^\infty_{\text{per}}$ are proved similarly. The $X^\infty_{\text{fix}}$ statements hold vacuously if $X^\infty_{\text{fix}}(Q) = \emptyset$; if $X^\infty_{\text{fix}}(Q) \neq \emptyset$ then $Q$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^n$ (Wilson, 1967), so we may henceforth assume that $Q = \mathbb{R}^n$. By a coordinate translation we may also assume without loss of generality that $x_f = 0$.

**Measure —** For every $A \in \mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{N}_n$, the linear vector field $x \mapsto Ax$ belongs to $\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}$. Hence $\mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}})$, so Lemma 2 implies that $\mathcal{S}_n \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}})$ has measure zero. For later use, we note that this implies that $\mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{N}_n = \mathcal{L}_{\text{fix}}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{fix}})$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}_n$ (Lee, 2013, Prop. 6.8).

**Density —** Let $f \in X^\infty_{\text{fix}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be arbitrary and let $U \subset Q$ be a precompact open neighborhood of $0 (=: x_f)$. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$ be a $C^\infty$ function equal to 1 on a neighborhood of 0 and having support contained in $U$. As remarked above, $\mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{N}_n$ is dense in $\mathcal{S}_n$. Hence there exists a sequence $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of matrices in $\mathcal{S}_n \cap \mathcal{N}_n$ converging to $e^{D_0 f} = D_0 \Phi^1_f \in \mathcal{S}_n$. By continuity, we may assume that each $B_n$ has no negative real eigenvalues since the same is true of $e^{D_0 f}$. Hence we may write $B_n = e^{\delta_{B_n}}$ for some real matrix logarithms $\mathcal{A}_n$. We now define a sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $C^\infty$ vector fields with $D_0 \Phi^1_{g_n} = B_n \in \mathcal{N}_n$ via
\[ g_n(x) := f(x) + \varphi(x)(A_n - D_0 f) \cdot x. \]

All derivatives of the $g_n$ converge uniformly to those of $f$ on $U$, and $g_n$ is equal to $f$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus U$, so $g_n$ converges to $f$ in the $C^\infty$ Whitney topology. Note that $g_n(0) = f(0) = 0$ for all $n$. It remains only to prove that $g_n \in X^\infty_{\text{fix}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $n$ sufficiently large, i.e., that $0$ is globally asymptotically stable for $g_n$ for large $n$; this follows from a general result of Smith and Waltman (1999, Thm 2.2).

**Openness —** Let $f \in X^\infty_{\text{fix}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a vector field for which $D_0 \Phi^1_f \in \mathcal{N}_n$. Let $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of vector fields in $X^\infty_{\text{fix}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ converging to $f$ in the $C^1$ compact-open topology; i.e., $g_n$ and $Dg_n$ converge to $f$ and $Df$ uniformly on compact sets. Since the $C^1$ compact-open topology can be given the structure of a Banach space, the (Banach space version of the) implicit function theorem implies that $x_{g_n} \to 0$ and hence $D_{x_{g_n}} \Phi^1_{g_n} \to D_{x_f} f = D_0 \Phi^1_f$. It now follows from Lemma 4 that $D_{x_{g_n}} \Phi^1_{g_n} \to \mathcal{N}_n$ for all $n$ sufficiently large. Since $X^\infty_{\text{fix}}$ with the $C^1$ compact-open topology is first countable, this implies the desired openness condition and completes the proof. □

3. **IMPLICATIONS FOR THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF KOOPMAN EIGENFUNCTIONS**

The remainder of this paper describes the implications of Theorem 5 to the findings of Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Sec. 3). We note that Kvalheim and Revzen (2019) stated
derivatives of $F$ of a function and its first from (Prop. 6) and uses some additional notation. Given the following result is Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, e of the (complexified) adjoint ( follows that the Let $Γ$ be the image of a Differentiating (3) and using the chain rule immediately We define the $C^k$ principal algebra $A^k_{C}$ to be the complex subalgebra of $C^k(Q, C)$ generated by all $C^k$ principal eigenfunctions. Remark 7. In the case that $Φ|_{M \times R}$ is minimal (has no proper, closed, nonempty invariant subsets)—(4) can be replaced by the weaker condition $∃x \in M: ψ(x) = 0$ and $∃y \in M: D_y ψ \neq 0$. (5) This will be the case in the sequel, where we consider only the cases that $M$ is either a fixed point or periodic orbit. 3.2 Principal eigenfunctions for fixed points and periodic orbits Differentiating (3) and using the chain rule immediately yields Propositions 8 and 9, which have appeared in the literature (see e.g. the proof of (Mauroy and Mezić (2016, Prop. 2)). In these results, $d$ denotes the differential of a function and $T_{x_0} Q$ denotes the cotangent space to $x_0$; $dψ(x_0)$ corresponds to $D_{x_0} ψ$ after making the canonical identification $C \in T_{ψ(x_0)} \mathbb{C}$. Proposition 8. Let $x_0$ be a fixed point of the $C^1$ flow $Φ: Q \times R \rightarrow Q$. If $ψ$ is a principal Koopman eigenfunction for $Φ$ with eigenvalue $μ \in C$, then for any $t \in R$, it follows that $dψ(x_0) \in (T^*_{x_0} Q)_C$ is an eigenvector of the (complexified) adjoint $(D_{x_0} Φ^*)^*$ with eigenvalue $e^{μt}$. Proposition 9. Let $Γ$ be the image of a $τ$-periodic orbit of the $C^1$ flow $Φ: Q \times R \rightarrow Q$. If $ψ$ is a principal Koopman eigenfunction for $Φ$ with eigenvalue $μ \in C$, then for any $x_0 \in Γ$, it follows that $dψ(x_0) \in (T^*_{x_0} Q)_C$ is an eigenvector of the (complexified) adjoint $(D_{x_0} Φ^*)^*$ with eigenvalue $e^{μτ}$; in particular, $e^{μτ}$ is a Floquet multiplier for $Γ$. The following result is Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Prop. 6) and uses some additional notation. Given $i ≥ 0$, $D^i F$ denotes the $i$-th derivative of $F$, which can be identified with an $i$-multilinear map $D^i F: (T_x M)^i \rightarrow T_{F(x)} N$ from $(T_x M)^i$ to $T_{x} N$. In local coordinates, $D^i F$ is represented by the $(1 + i)$-dimensional array of $i$-th partial derivatives of $F$ evaluated at $x$. We also refer to the $C^k$ compact-open (weak) topology (Hirsch, 1994, Ch. 2) on functions, which is the topology of uniform convergence of a function and its first $k$ derivatives on compact sets. Proposition 10. Let $Φ: Q \times R \rightarrow Q$ be a $C^1$ flow having a globally attracting hyperbolic fixed point $x_0 \in Q$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_{≥ 1} \cup \{∞\}$, fix $μ \in C$, and let $ψ \in C^k(Q, C)$ be any Koopman eigenfunction with eigenvalue $μ \in C$. Uniqueness of Koopman eigenvalues and principal eigenfunctions. Assume that $ν(ν^{i}, D_{x_0} Φ^i) ≤ k$. (1) Then there exists $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ such that $e^{μ} = e^{μ}, \ldots, e^{μ}$ are the eigenvalues of $D_{x_0} Φ^i$ repeated with multiplicities and $λ := (λ_1, \ldots, λ_n)$. (2) Additionally assume that $ψ$ is a principal eigenfunction so that $ν^{i} \in \text{spec}(D_{x_0} Φ^i)$, and assume that $(ν^{i}, D_{x_0} Φ^i)$ is $k$-nonresonant. Then $ψ$ is uniquely determined by $dψ(x_0)$, and if $μ$ and $dψ(x_0)$ are real, then $ψ: Q \rightarrow R \subset C$ is real. In particular, if $e^{μ}$ is an algebraically simple eigenvalue of the (complexification of) $D_{x_0} Φ^i$ and if $ψ$ is any other principal eigenfunction with eigenvalue $μ$, then there exists $c \in C \setminus \{0\}$ such that $ψ = cψ_2$. Existence of principal eigenfunctions. Assume that $Φ \in C^k$, that $ν^{i} \in \text{spec}(D_{x_0} Φ^i)$, that $(ν^{i}, D_{x_0} Φ^i)$ is $k$-nonresonant, and that $ν(ν^{i}, D_{x_0} Φ^i)$ is $k$-nonresonant. Then $ψ$ is a principal eigenfunction with eigenvalue $μ$, then there exists $c \in C \setminus \{0\}$ such that $ψ = cψ_2$. Proposition 11. (the $C^∞$ case). In the case that $k = ∞$, the hypotheses on $ν(ν^{i}, D_{x_0} Φ^i)$ are automatically satisfied since $ν(ν^{i}, D_{x_0} Φ^i)$ is always finite. Hence for the case $k = ∞$, no assumption is needed on the spectral spread in Proposition 10 (and in Proposition 12 below); we need only assume that $(ν^{i}, D_{x_0} Φ^i)$ is $∞$-nonresonant. Therefore, Theorem 5 and Proposition 10 imply that, for a “typical” vector field in $X^∞$, a principal eigenfunction exists for every eigenvalue of the linearization of the dynamics, and each such eigenfunction is unique modulo scalar multiplication. Furthermore, these principal eigenfunctions are given by a limiting procedure. Similar remarks for vector fields in $X^∞_{per}$ follow from Theorem 5 and Proposition 12 below. The following result is Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Prop. 7). Proposition 12. Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}_{≥ 1} \cup \{∞\}$ and let $Φ: Q \times R \rightarrow Q$ be a $C^k$ flow having a globally attracting hyperbolic $τ$-periodic orbit with image $Γ \subset Q$. Fix $x_0 \in Γ$ and let $E^s_{x_0}$ denote the unique $D_{x_0} Φ^i$-invariant subspace complementary to $T_{x_0} Γ$. Let $ψ \in C^k(Q, C)$ be any Koopman eigenfunction with eigenvalue $μ \in C$.
Uniqueness of Koopman eigenvalues. Assume that $\nu((e^{\lambda \tau})^*: D_{x_0} \Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}}) \leq k$.

(1) Then there exists $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n^0$ such that

$$\mu \in m \cdot \lambda + \frac{2\pi j}{\tau} \mathbb{Z},$$

where $e^{\lambda_1 \tau}, \ldots, e^{\lambda_n \tau}$ are the eigenvalues of $D_{x_0} \Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}}$ repeated with multiplicities and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$.

(2) Additionally assume that $\psi_i$ is a principal eigenfunction so that $\nu((e^{\lambda \tau})^*: D_{x_0} \Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}}) = k$-nonresonant. Then $\psi_i$ is uniquely determined by $d\psi_i(x_0)$, and if $\mu$ and $d\psi_i(x_0)$ are real, then $\psi_i: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is real. In particular, if $e^{\lambda \tau}$ is an algebraically simple eigenvalue of $(\Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}})$, then there exists $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\psi_i = c \psi_i.$$

Existence of principal eigenfunctions. Assume that $e^{\lambda \tau} \in \text{spec}(D_{x_0} \Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}})$, that $e^{\lambda \tau} D_{x_0} \Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}}$ is $k$-nonresonant, and that $\nu((e^{\lambda \tau})^*: D_{x_0} \Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}}) < k$. Let $w \in (E_{x_0})_0^C$ be any eigenvector of the (complexified) adjoint $(D_{x_0} \Phi^*|_{E_{x_0}})^*$ with eigenvalue $e^{\lambda \tau}$. Then there exists a unique principal eigenfunction $\psi_i \in \mathcal{C}^k(Q, \mathbb{C})$ for $\Phi$ with eigenvalue $\nu((\Phi|_{E_{x_0}})|_{E_{x_0}}) = w$ and satisfying $d\psi_i(x_0)$.

Remark 13. For an example demonstrating that the uniqueness statements of Propositions 10 and 12 are fairly sharp, see Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Example 1).

Remark 14. For a discussion on how Proposition 10 can be used to guarantee convergence of Laplace averages (Mauroy et al., 2013), see Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Rem. 9). For a discussion on how Propositions 10 and 12 relate to the literature on isostable and isostable coordinates, see Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Rem. 10). For a discussion relating Proposition 10 to the work of Mohr and Mezić (2016) on principal eigenfunctions, see Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Rem. 11).

3.3 Classification of all $C^\infty$ Koopman eigenfunctions

To improve the readability of Theorems 15 and 17 below, we introduce the following multi-index notation. We define an $n$-dimensional multi-index to be an $n$-tuple $i = (i_1, \ldots, i_n) \in \mathbb{N}_n^0$ of nonnegative integers, and define its sum to be $|i| := i_1 + \cdots + i_n$. For a multi-index $i \in \mathbb{N}_n^0$ and $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, we define $z_i := z_1^i_1 \cdots z_n^i_n$. Given a $\mathcal{C}^n$-valued function $\psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n): Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, we define $\psi^{|i|}: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ via $\psi^{|i|}(x) := (\psi(x))^{|i|}$ for all $x \in Q$. We also define the complex conjugate of $\psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n)$ element-wise: $\bar{\psi} = (\bar{\psi}_1, \ldots, \bar{\psi}_n)$.

The following is Kvalheim and Revzen (2019, Thm 3).

Theorem 15. (Classification for a point attractor). Let $\Phi: Q \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Q$ be the flow of a $C^\infty$ vector field having a globally attracting hyperbolic fixed point $x_0 \in Q$. Assume that $D_{x_0} \Phi^1$ is semisimple and that $(D_{x_0} \Phi^1, D_{x_0} \Phi^1)$ is $\infty$-nonresonant.

Letting $n = \text{dim}(Q)$, it follows that there exists an $n$-tuple $\psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_n)$ of $C^\infty$ principal eigenfunctions such that every $C^\infty$ Koopman eigenfunction $\varphi$ is a (finite) sum of scalar multiples of products of the $\psi_i$ and their complex conjugates $\bar{\psi}_i$:

$$\varphi = \sum_{|i|+|m| \leq k} c_{i,m} \psi^{|i|} \bar{\psi}^{|m|} \psi^{|j|m} \bar{\psi}_i$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ and some coefficients $c_{i,m} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $j_{i,m} \in \mathbb{Z}$.
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Appendix A. SOME BACKGROUND ON SYMMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

For the reader’s convenience, this appendix reviews some facts about symmetric polynomials relevant to Lemma 2. See, e.g., Blum-Smith and Coskey (2017) for additional background. The first fact relates a polynomial’s coefficients to certain symmetric polynomials of its roots.

Theorem 18. (Vieta’s theorem). If \( f \in \mathbb{R}[x] \) is a degree \( n \) monic polynomial with roots \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C} \), then
\[
\begin{align*}
f(x) &= x^n - e_1(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)x^{n-1} + e_2(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)x^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n e_n(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n),
\end{align*}
\]
where
\[
\begin{align*}
e_1(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n, \quad (A1) \\
e_2(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}\alpha_n, \\
&\vdots \\
e_n(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n,
\end{align*}
\]
are the \( n \) elementary symmetric polynomials in \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \).

Since the eigenvalues \( \lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C} \) are the roots of the characteristic polynomial \( \lambda \mapsto \det(\Lambda_n - A) \), it follows that its coefficients, which are polynomials in the matrix entries, give the elementary symmetric polynomials in the eigenvalues. Thus, elementary symmetric polynomials in the eigenvalues can be obtained directly as polynomials in the matrix entries without computing the eigenvalues. The fundamental theorem of symmetric polynomials (FTSP) extends this conclusion to all symmetric polynomials in the eigenvalues, i.e., to \( f \in \mathbb{R}[\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n] \) for which
\[
\forall \sigma \in S_n : f(\lambda_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\sigma(n)}) \equiv f(\lambda_{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{(n)}),
\]
where \( S_n \) is the symmetry group of permutations \( \sigma \).

Theorem 19. (FTSP). If \( f \in \mathbb{R}[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] \) is symmetric, there exists a (unique) polynomial \( f_e \in \mathbb{R}[e_1, \ldots, e_n] \) with
\[
f(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \equiv f_e(e_1(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n), \ldots, e_n(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)).
\]
To summarize, any symmetric polynomial in the eigenvalues is expressible as a polynomial in the matrix entries.