

# Pandemic Lessons - Devising an assessment framework to analyse policies for sustainability

Pradipta Banerjee<sup>a,1</sup> and Subhrabrata Choudhury<sup>a,2</sup>

COVID-19 pandemic has sharply projected the globally persistent multi-dimensional fundamental challenges in securing general socio-economic wellbeing of the society. The problems intensify with increasing population densities and also vary with several socio-economic-geo-cultural activity parameters. These problems directly highlight the urgent need for accomplishing the interdependent United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to ensure that in future we do not enter into vicious loops of contracting newer zoonotic viruses and need not search for their vaccines while incurring socio-economic havoc. Behavioural changes in human activities/responses are indispensable for achieving the interdependent SDGs. Using root cause analysis approach, we have developed a yearly assessment framework for viably analysing and identifying requisite region-specific downstream/upstream socio-economic policies to reach the SDGs. The framework makes use of an infographic bar chart representation based on the normalised values of 20 human activity/impact parameters classified under three categories as - negative, limiting and positive. With a holistic view encompassing the SDGs, we illustrate through this framework the impact and urgent need of region-specific human behavioural reforms. This framework enables the foresight about policies regarding their potential in bringing down the negative parameter values to the desired zero level for accomplishing the SDGs through planetary health.

Social Systems | Human Development | Policy | SDG | Ecosystem

The catastrophic damage caused by COVID-19 has highlighted the underpinnings of several facets of the societal structure and its functioning. This calls for rethinking of policy design frameworks and proactive actions to fight with such adversities. The response to such crisis scenarios maybe categorized into 'confrontative' and 'tactical' measures. Confrontative ways comprise of medical treatment, provisioning medico-logistics, efforts for curable drugs and vaccines. Surely these measures have to be expedited but they have their own limitations in terms of ratio of established healthcare infrastructure to population, access and distribution of such immobile facilities and minimum time requirement for treatment or for development of vaccines. Hence tactical measures become very crucial to avoid such crisis scenarios so that in future the crises do not crop up in the first place itself. These tactical means can be perceived in terms of both 'downstream' and 'upstream' measures (1).

The observations (2, 3) that humans have penetrated much deeper into ecozones which were not occupied earlier have got strongly re-emphasized in the context of CoVID-19 pandemic. Such analytics also point to the likelihood of similar outbreaks frequently in future (4) unless requisite societal behavioural changes take place in time. Two major questions that arise in this context are - i) why the sufferings in these crises attain such high intensities and ii) what should be the short to long term measures focussed to address complex social problems so that we do not encounter any pandemic in future be it of contagions, hunger or climate change. This comprehensively relates to the fact that attaining the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) is the only solution to these challenges.

An apparent observation of the SDGs highlights the fact that the goals specifically relate to prevalent societal crisis areas. Policies are designed to achieve the targets associated with these goals.

Framing such policies also need to address the issues arising from trade-offs between the SDGs (5). Evidently this is predominantly a symptomatic approach to resolve the prevalent issues. We propose a root causal approach for assessing and identifying necessary measures to ensure planetary health for achieving the SDGs by investigating the impacts/activities responsible for the prevailing problems.

The extent of human impacts inflicted on Earth's geocological picture are such that even the name of the age we are living in has been based upon human-activities (6). This is an ominous sign as it relates to the fact that human beings are driving the deadliest mass extinction (also self) by all means (7) and implicitly rendering the existence of a sustainable harmonious social system as impossible. To avert the catastrophes due to such impacts, not only damage control but rather damage-restoration in particular has to be precisely taken up. Villages, farmlands, urbanization, industries and infrastructure development, all have been done at the behest of severe exploitation of nature. The earth system's balance is already in red and therefore the only possible solution is to rapidly consolidate the present scenario and systematically restore the encroached natural ecozones rather than forcibly creating newer ones. For this purpose, we investigated the basic impacts and driving forces of humans that led to such scenarios.

Probing the causal roots to the existing scenarios we have determined 20 key human activity/impact parameters obtainable from yearly surveys. The info-graphic yearly assessment framework based upon these parameters, having a holistic view encompassing the SDGs, can be used by policymakers and researchers to analyse the ground reality of a specific region and identify the required downstream and upstream measures for sustainability of that region. The rest of this article has been organized as follows:

<sup>a</sup>National Institute of Technology Durgapur, India

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: pradiptab@gmail.com

Section 2 (Exploring the roots) provides a comprehensive detailing for root cause analysis of the prevalent interrelated multidimensional crises. The motivation of our approach is greatly influenced by the brighter sides of reduced human activity/response during the pandemic lockdown periods which have been described in Section 3 (Inactivity's bright picture). Section 4 (Constructing the system monitor) describes the assessment framework primarily focussing on assessing the 'Why's instead of right away starting with the 'What's and 'How's for efficient and sustainable solutions to design the 'What's and 'How's. The info-graphic bar chart assessment framework along with the correlation of the selected 20 human activity/impact parameters with the SDGs and their breakdown analysis have been illustrated in this section. We conclude our work with a brief discussion about the key concerns for sustainability and how our assessment framework helps in analysing the same in Section 6 (Discussions).

### Exploring the roots

Apparently exhaustive human actions are continuously destabilizing the ecosystem whose adverse effects are unevenly distributed all across the globe by dint of the simple fact that we all share the same four spheres of the tightly coupled earth system. The instances of such activities present several ironies in the face of human development. Studies (8–10) have linked higher COVID-19 morbidity and mortality to air pollution. An interesting fact worth mentioning in this context is that even a short-haul flight produces carbon emission more than a whole year's carbon footprint of a person from developing nations (11). Respite from pollution via usage of electric vehicles also seems to be a paradoxical hope apart from questions of its financial viability for masses and battery waste management concerns, because it can contribute even more severely to carbon emission and be hazardous to the environment compared to fossil fuels due to several unanswered critical issues (12). Solar and nuclear sources also do not provide the perceived green energy alternatives due to their inherent drawbacks and hazards (13, 14). Thereby expedited thrust upon renewable energy production would rather further exacerbate mining threats to biodiversity (15, 16). A reassuring optimistic hope that soon someday a new mass-accessible viable system shall evolve which would produce comparatively nominal polluting levels is hugely detrimental, as the prime question is to rationally think whether humans would survive to ever reach that state given the present rate of nature's exploitation.

Urban Heat Islands and regional hot-spot formations especially in developing countries pose big challenges globally because of its potential to exacerbate damages inflicted by heatwaves (17–19) and precariously disrupt rainfall patterns all across the globe (20–22). Adding to the woes, cooling requirements for air-conditioning, commercial refrigeration and data-centres actually create feedback loops of greenhouse gases as cooling systems themselves are large emitters of these gases thereby temperature rises with increase in usage of cooling systems propelling further cooling requirement. Systems have disbalanced such that as per analysis of almost 40 years' satellite data (23) the annual snowfall can no longer replenish the melted ice that flows into the ocean from Greenland's glaciers.

The adverse socio-economic impacts of natural calamities are also loud and clear (24, 25). In spite of substantial technological advancements, 21% of world's food output growth has been lost since 1960s due to climate change (26). As founded in (27), one out of every three persons worldwide does not have access to

drinking water whereas on the other hand presently the whole world is pushing for stricter hygiene, sanitisation and washing practices. Furthermore, water demand globally is projected to increase by 55% between 2000 and 2050 (28) while by the year 2040 there will not be enough water in the world to quench the thirst of the world population and keep the current energy and power solutions going if we continue doing what we are doing today (29). The present agricultural yield is responsible for 70% of the freshwater usage (30) and food production will need to grow by 69% by 2035 to feed the growing population (31). Constraints persist with respect to organic farming (32) which makes it not applicable for several major food crops and has limited potential to decrease water usage. Intriguingly, had the agricultural production been limited only for direct human consumption, the present yield is multiple times more than the existing human population's total calorie intake requirement (33). Even then hunger still remains a prevalent crisis in the world due to lack of transparent and efficient distribution mechanisms apart from reasons like that of wars and political crises. In this context studies (34, 35) show that not only calorie requirements but also all protein and micronutrient requirements can be easily achieved without depending on animal sources. Healthy scepticism prevails over in vitro meat as the potential green alternative of conventional meat as it may create more problems than it solves (36, 37). This makes conscious incentivised efforts towards changes in cultivation patterns and food habits (38) crucial as it is evidently unjustified to not evolve accordingly (39, 40) amidst such paradoxical crises towards a more humane society barring reasons for mere lack of access.

Deforestations continue to take place in order to accommodate and create employment opportunities for the growing mass of people. Planting trees in selected lands as per human convenience for compensating the fallouts of urbanisation due to felling of trees from their natural origins raises several pertinent questions. Even after assuming that adequate number of trees have been planted to compensate the amount of deforestation done and they grow ideally upon ensuring of proper maintenance; by the time they become equally efficient carbon sinks the ecoregion demography would sharply change because of the continuously increasing number of carbon emission contributors. Circular Economy models of Make, Use, Reuse, Remake, Recycle, have limited potential in contributing towards sustainability simply due to the restricted scope of reusability and the negative environmental impacts associated with remaking and recycling. The crises may temporarily go down at some place whereas simultaneously increase somewhere else having an uneven distribution across the globe. As evident human societies are running out of time and the coming 50 years would be the decider for future harmonious sustainability. Over the years reactive and symptomatic treatments for socio-ecological problems completely relying on technological innovations have rather resulted in giving rise to newer disorders in place of freeing from the existing problems. This relates to the greater need of policy designs with a global focus having a sustained local region-specific emphasis. All these scenarios imperatively point out to the fact that scientific advancements would not be solely able to redress the prevalent crises and root causal solution approaches have to be figured out to induce calculated, planned yet overhauling societal behavioural changes for eradicating the prevailing crises from its core without any further transgression of the ecozones.

## Inactivity's bright picture

The motivation of our approach is greatly influenced by the brighter sides of reduced human activity/response during the pandemic lockdown periods which have been described

The brighter aspects observed while the whole world was compelled to go into strict lockdown reveals that mother nature had been rapidly recuperating (41) within one-month. The pollution levels went down to that which existed few decades ago while sea and river waters got considerably clean rejuvenating the wildlife. Deeper analysis and rethinking of these phenomena unravels the fundamental truth that infinite development cannot be feasibly pursued on fixed resources. A crucial understanding needs to be perceived that with every unit measure of GDP it accounts for exhaustion of a substantial share of the limited non-renewable natural resources. This purportedly technology backed human development and growth is in actuality continuously destroying the ecological balances propelling climate changes and also making human habitats prone to zoonotic pandemics that of COVID-19. Evidently the invasive nature of human activities is taking its toll on social and ecological welfare. Sharply focussed policies for "planetary health" stands out to be the only probable way-out for human sustenance and egalitarian sustainability.

## Constructing the system monitor

Taking insights from the discussed crisis scenarios we focussed on assessing the 'Why's instead of directly starting with the 'What's and 'How's for finding efficient and sustainable solutions. For this purpose, we devised an assessment mechanism based on year-wise survey data which would enable to visualize and continuously analyse the overall impact of any policy on the local region-specific demographic structure, environmental status and socio-economic scenario. This region-specific approach has its focus on sustainable global development maintaining planetary health.

To illustrate our root causal analysis approach, we take up the issue of encroachment of ecozones by humans and assess the 'Why's associated with it. The underlying reason behind frequent incidents of wild animals intruding human habitat is that in reality humans have invaded their natural homes in the woods. Deforestations have taken place to establish farmlands, villages or for setting up infrastructure and industries by simply destroying the ecosystem balance. Urbanization of regions continuously add on to the imbalances. Apparently in this process we have encroached the natural ecozones beyond permissible limits. All these are done only for providing employment and accommodating increasing human population through development aided by technological advancements and industrializations. Thereby putting things back to order the only way out is to systematically restore the original ecoregion demographics by focussed reforestations apart from means of damage control through afforestation.

Based upon the root causal analysis approach we have selected 20 human activity/impact parameters crucial for human sustainability in our yearly analysis framework. These parameters based on annual survey data are classified under three categories of - negative, limiting and positive. The selected parameters are given a notation index starting with N, L and P, for being negative, limited and positive respectively, to be used in following tables. The indexed parameters are listed along with their self-explanatory description in Table 1 -

Incidence of animal abuse, criminal and corruption cases used in N4, N5, N6 and N7 is expressed as the number of events suf-

ferred per head of the region's human population in a year. The two positive parameters introduced in the framework focus on the minimum requirements of a person for her/his mental and physical well-being as emphasized in WHO guidelines (42). The issues were also enlisted in Agenda 21: Chapter 7: Promoting Sustainable Human Settlement Development (43) however no target had been set for the same then. Lack of organised focus in this dimension has yielded severe blows to the demographic and human settlement structure. Without treating the root causes, planning for living in small congested dwellings for the sake of energy efficiency is a gross violation of the WHO guidelines and the pandemic has reiterated the perils of overcrowding (44). Without phenomena like in (45) cannot be just let to happen and systematic policy approaches are required to reverse this scenario globally. We take the parameter for percentage of population both in rural and urban areas owning personal green cover of 50sqm per person by extending the concept of desired Urban Green Space (UGS) of 50sqm per person (46). Farmlands in rural areas will not be considered for this parameter as basically it is one's workplace. The essential benefits (47–49) of personal backyards/gardens would be incorporated through this parameter. Having personal gardens also help in maintaining the required green cover (50) and also signifies the level of decongested habitat one possesses. The second positive parameter for percentage of population having habitable surface area of at least 35sqm per person. Habitable space is considered as the floor area in a dwelling excluding service/utility spaces (kitchen, WC, bathroom, storage, hallways). This has been based upon the Minnergie A standard of habitable area per person which has been optimised for sustainability through energy efficiency (51). Due to heating or cooling requirements in highly developed countries having cold or hot climates respectively the energy consumption per unit area can be considerably high. The value of 35sqm standard as per Minnergie A stands out to be also optimised for such scenarios in highly developed countries like Switzerland even after fulfilling the space criteria for the social and private requirements of an individual for her/his mental and physical welfare as highlighted in WHO guidelines. We have also incorporated two proposed indices of Water Pollution Index (WPI) (52) and Urban Heat Island Index (UHII) (53) as parameters in our assessment framework. The measure of UHII is proposed to be calculated for both urban and rural areas. The info-graphic bar chart utilised to represent these parameters whose values are normalized on a scale of -1 to 1 is demonstrated using Fig. 1 based on the arbitrary illustrative values given in Table 2.

Values of the negative/positive parameters have a range between 0 to 1. Range of the limiting parameters have been set as -1 to 1 because L1 (Green cover area growth percentage) and L6 (Human population growth percentage) can bear negative values. The limiting parameters represent the core human impacts/activities which needs to be intervened by policy makers through downstream/upstream measures with the objective of maximising the positive parameters while simultaneously bringing down the negative ones to the desired zero level for accomplishing the SDGs through planetary health. This framework enables the foresight in assessing cumulative outcomes of the policies while focusing towards this target to ensure that – i) the limiting parameters, whose values may have an interim allowance for fluctuations under controlled downstream interventions, should be in decreasing order on a medium to long term basis and ii) the positive parameters should always have an increasing trend without ever decreasing. There exists direct correlation between the negative/positive parameters

Negative	Limiting	Positive
N1 - Unemployment percentage	L1 – Green cover area growth percentage	P1 - Percentage of population owning personal green cover of 50 sqm per person
N2 - Percentage of people undernourished	L2 – Dietary carbon footprint percentage	P2 - Percentage of population having habitable surface area of at least 35 sqm per person
N3 - Percentage of effective population migration	L3 – Carbon footprint percentage from industries and vehicles	
N4 - Incidence of animal abuse cases	L4 - Percentage of freshwater usage for Irrigation	
N5 - Incidence of criminal cases of violence against women	L5 - Percentage of freshwater usage by Industries	
N6 - Incidence of criminal cases of violence other than against women	L6 – Human population growth percentage	
N7 - Incidence of Corruption Cases		
N8 - Percentage of illiteracy		
N9 - Percentage of people not under comprehensive health insurance		
N10 - Percentage of Hospital Bed occupancy for infectious diseases		
N11 - Water Pollution Index (WPI)		
N12 - Urban Heat Island Index (UHII) (calculated also over rural areas)		

**Table 1. Human activity/impact parameter list alongwith corresponding notation index classified for visualizing region-specific sustainability status through the info-graphic bar chart**




Fig. 1. Info-graphic bar chart for assessing region-specific sustainability status

Parameters	Values normalised as per the framework's range scale
N1	0.40
N2	0.32
N3	0.20
N4	0.43
N5	0.30
N6	0.25
N7	0.50
N8	0.20
N9	0.43
N10	0.20
N11	0.50
N12	0.30
L1	-0.02
L2	0.30
L3	0.50
L4	0.70
L5	0.25
L6	0.02
P1	0.12
P2	0.15

Table 2. Arbitrary illustrative values for the Negative, Limiting and Positive parameters

and the interdependent SDGs on a fundamental level, which has been illustrated in Table 3. For readability reasons the directly related Negative/Positive Parameters are represented using their corresponding notation index given in Table 1. From descriptions of the interrelated parameters in Table 1 we infer the direct influencers for each of them to form Table 4. As because unemployment, school leaving (54) and population size (55) have direct impacts on N5 (Incidence of criminal cases of violence against women) and N6 (Incidence of criminal cases of violence other than against women) N1 (Unemployment percentage), N8 (Percentage of illiteracy) and L6 (Human population growth percentage) are listed as their direct influencers. N8 (Percentage of illiteracy) is marked as the direct influencer for N9 (Percentage of people not under comprehensive health insurance) due to the fact that education brings about the awareness for health insurance necessities.

From this table an elemental level view of the core human activities/impacts can be drawn from the parameters directly influencing the sustainable development goals. Upon breakdown analysis of the direct influencers we find out that the 6 limiting human activities/impacts form the core control of the negative and positive parameters which in turn directly govern the SDGs. Further among these 6 limiting parameters L6 (Human population growth percentage) emerge as the most widely influential ones.

Analysing the issue of GHGs it is evident that not only reducing per capita footprint is crucial but the cumulative output is even more concerning simply because the positive impacts of reduction in per capita emission through technological innovations can be reversed by the increasing number of GHG producers. Every human being is essentially a gigantic sized consumer in comparison of all other species, consuming and also permanently exhausting considerable amount of the Earth's limited natural resources.

Sustainable Development Goal	Parameters
1. No Poverty	N1
2. Zero Hunger	N1, N2
3. Good Health and Well-being	N1, N2, N9, N10, P1, P2
4. Quality Education	N1, N2, N8
5. Gender Equality	N5, N8
6. Clean Water and Sanitation	N1, N11
7. Affordable and Clean Energy	N1, N2
8. Good Jobs and Economic Growth	N1, N8
9. Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure	N1, N8
10. Reduced Inequalities	N1, N8
11 Sustainable Cities and Communities	N2, N3, N4, N5, N6, N7, P1, P2
12. Responsible Consumption and Production	N11, N12
13. Climate Action	N3, N11, N12
14. Life Below Water	N4, N11
15. Life on Land	N2, N4
16. Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions	N4, N5, N6, N7

**Table 3. The directly related positive/negative parameters of the assessment framework corresponding to each of the Sustainable Development Goals**

Parameters	Direct Influencers
N1	L6
N2	N1, L6
N3	N1, L6
N4	L2, L6
N5	N1, N8, L6
N6	N1, N8, L6
N7	N1, N8, L6
N8	N1
N9	N1, N8
N10	N1, N2, L1
N11	L4, L5, L6
N12	L1, L2, L3, L6
P1	L6
P2	L6

**Table 4. Mapping of direct influencers for each of the Negative/Positive parameters**

Population growth in the underdeveloped countries is much higher than in the developed ones (56) and as projected in (57) 97% of the global population growth of 1.2 billion people will be in the developing world between 2013 and 2030. With increasing average life expectancy the issue needs more systematic focus. The nearly vertical growth of the world population from around 1700 AD (58), after the Industrial Revolution picked up, which radically changed the global habitat demography by exploiting the environment surely has much deeper socio-economic constructs other than just technological and healthcare advancements. It is also evident that post Industrial Revolution, avenues and growth of income from developing human capital through personal skills overtook that from private wealth like inherited ownership of land. The transformation processes of rags to riches and vice-versa became eminent. Thereby personal aspirations, growing competition with future insecurities, gender discriminative socio-economic customs, lack of guaranteed social security benefits and weakening of cohesive community social structure towards nuclear family based belief systems can be logically ascertained as the reasons behind the steep rise in population size.

## Discussion

The vital need of the hour is to engender non-congested rural and urban human habitats where people would not be living in pigeonholed houses bereft of minimum required personal open spaces and gardens indispensable for one's mental/physical well-being while simultaneously returning lands deforested for cities and villages to the woods in a gradual systematic pattern. However even if the population growth and unemployment rates decrease absolute values of their sizes keep on increasing. Considering the periods before Covid-19 pandemic struck, it is evident from the growing global unemployed population size that the present eligible workforce for both production and service sector is many times more than it should ideally have been given the present technological scenario even without accounting for future innovations. With passing of time this ratio is worsening in several dimensions aggravating parameters from inequalities to environmental damages. Clearly it is understandable that with even present technology in hand there remains no scope for the argument that there would be labour shortage if somehow the population pyramid base shrinks

sharply. Countries where the absolute number of births are on the rise, they not only are deteriorating their own demographics but are also having adverse impacts on other countries through multiple dimensions from migrations for employment to propelling climate changes as understandable from the illustrations about our shared Earth system in the previous sections. It is a fact that in recent past the absolute number of births have somewhat declined in some of the non-developed countries. However, these can be mostly accredited to reasons arising from the scenarios of economic stress for sustenance more than a conscious effort and evidently by the time the nominal declining trend had started those countries are already overcrowded with the damage have being done. Clearly only populations having much smaller and stable sizes would enable settling for a stable pricing and income equilibrium preserving planetary sustainability.

Understandably no further mode of denialism (59) and laissez-faire attitude towards the most influential limiting parameters apparent from our root causal analysis assessment framework is acceptable if the planet has to be saved for egalitarian human sustainability. The growth of total population size of the countries which are not highly developed needs to have a sharp declining curve similar to how steeply it rose post-industrial revolution. Gradual decline dynamics after the natural development of those countries in due course of time would not serve the purpose. Concerted efforts for behavioural changes, ranging from dietary habits to family planning, collectively amounting to cultural reforms are essential to evolve as a more humane civilization. Imperatively without any delay we need focussed activism to build movements for spreading awareness breaking outmoded thinking (60) to create a better world. These movements have to be complimented by policies having a balance of incentives and deterrents which would provision greater social securities for people to allay socio-psychological fears about future uncertainties. Critical emphasis is required to be given upon moral and civic education more than that over knowledge for skill development and physical sciences. Bringing about radical reforms for property inheritance rights would also play a vital role in strengthening the cohesive communityhood framework to bring about the paradigm shift from "My family is my world" towards "The world is my family". As per our assessment framework when values of all the negative parameters become zero, the socio-ecological balance for planetary health would be

achieved eradicating the problems of scarcity, confrontations and crimes therein by instilling a feeling of stability, security and affluence amongst all individuals of the society. This would also result in quantitatively attaining the ten central capabilities towards human development (61) as per the capability theory of justice by Martha Nussbaum which is arguably the most systematic, extensive, and influential philosophical model for human development till date.

## References

- Raine, Kim D. "Addressing poor nutrition to promote heart health: moving upstream." *Canadian Journal of Cardiology* 26 (2010): 21C-24C.
- The Man Who Saw the Pandemic Coming Will the world now wake up to the global threat of zoonotic diseases? - <http://nautil.us/issue/83/intelligence/the-man-who-saw-the-pandemic-coming>
- Human society under urgent threat from loss of Earth's natural life - <https://amp.theguardian.com/environment/2019/may/06/human-society-under-urgent-threat-loss-earth-natural-life-un-report>
- Destroyed Habitat Creates the Perfect Conditions for Coronavirus to Emerge COVID-19 may be just the beginning of mass pandemics - <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/destroyed-habitat-creates-the-perfect-conditions-for-coronavirus-to-emerge/>
- Hopkins SR, Sokolow SH, Buck JC, De Leo GA, Jones IJ, Kwong LH, LeBoa C, Lund AJ, MacDonald AJ, Nova N, Olson SH. How to identify win-win interventions that benefit human health and conservation. *Nature Sustainability*. 2021 Apr;4(4):298-304.
- The Anthropocene epoch: scientists declare dawn of human-influenced age <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2016/aug/29/declare-anthropocene-epoch-experts-urge-geological-congress-human-impact-earth>
- Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR, Raven PH. Vertebrates on the brink as indicators of biological annihilation and the sixth mass extinction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2020 Jun 16;117(24):13596-602.
- Xiao Wu, M. S., R. C. Nethery, M. Benjamin Sabath, D. Braun, and F. Dominici. "COVID-19 PM2.5, A national study on long-term exposure to air pollution and COVID-19 mortality in the United States." (2020).
- Ogen, Yaron. "Assessing nitrogen dioxide (NO2) levels as a contributing factor to the coronavirus (COVID-19) fatality rate." *Science of the Total Environment* (2020): 138605.
- Setti, Leonardo, Fabrizio Passarini, Gianluigi De Gennaro, Pierluigi Baribieri, Maria Grazia Perrone, Massimo Borelli, Jolanda Palmisani et al. "SARS-Cov-2 RNA Found on Particulate Matter of Bergamo in Northern Italy: First Preliminary Evidence." medRxiv (2020).
- How your flight emits as much CO2 as many people do in a year - <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/ng-interactive/2019/jul/19/carbon-calculator-how-taking-one-flight-emits-as-much-as-many-people-do-in-a-year>
- Batteries can be part of the fight against climate change - if we do these five things - <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2017/11/battery-batteries-electric-cars-carbon-sustainable-power-energy/>
- Chandrasekhar D, Pathegama GR. CO2 emissions from renewables: solar pv, hydrothermal and EGS sources. *Geomechanics and Geophysics for Geo-Energy and Geo-Resources*. 2020 Mar;6(1):1-7.
- Wealer B, Bauer S, Göke L, von Hirschhausen CR, Kemfert C. High-priced and dangerous: Nuclear power is not an option for the climate-friendly energy mix. *DIW Weekly Report*. 2019;9(30):235-43.
- Sontler LJ, Dade MC, Watson JE, Valenta RK. Renewable energy production will exacerbate mining threats to biodiversity. *Nature communications*. 2020 Sep 1;11(1):1-6.
- Rehbein JA, Watson JE, Lane JL, Sontler LJ, Venter O, Atkinson SC, Allan JR. Renewable energy development threatens many globally important biodiversity areas. *Global change biology*. 2020 May;26(5):3040-51.
- Zhao L, Oppenheimer M, Zhu Q, Baldwin JW, Ebi KL, Bou-Zeid E, Guan K, Liu X. Interactions between urban heat islands and heat waves. *Environmental research letters*. 2018 Feb 16;13(3):034003.
- Heaviside C, Vardoulakis S, Cai XM. Attribution of mortality to the urban heat island during heatwaves in the West Midlands, UK. *Environmental health*. 2016 Dec;15(1):49-59.
- Climate crisis: alarm at record-breaking heatwave in Siberia - <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2020/jun/17/climate-crisis-alarm-at-record-breaking-heatwave-in-siberia>
- Roxy, M., Ritika, K., Terray, P. et al. Drying of Indian subcontinent by rapid Indian Ocean warming and a weakening land-sea thermal gradient. *Nat Commun* 6, 7423 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms8423>
- Roxy, M.K., Ghosh, S., Pathak, A. et al. A threefold rise in widespread extreme rain events over central India. *Nat Commun* 8, 708 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-00744-9>
- Roxy, M.K., Dasgupta, P., McPhaden, M.J. et al. Twofold expansion of the Indo-Pacific warm pool warps the MJO life cycle. *Nature* 575, 647–651 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1764-4>
- King MD, Howat IM, Candela SG, Noh MJ, Jeong S, Noël BP, van den Broeke MR, Wouters B, Negrete A. Dynamic ice loss from the Greenland Ice Sheet driven by sustained glacier retreat. *Communications Earth & Environment*. 2020 Aug 13;1(1):1-7.
- Economic losses, poverty & disasters: 1998-2017, UNDRR <https://www.undrr.org/publication/economic-losses-poverty-disasters-1998-2017>
- Whitmee, Sarah, Andy Haines, Chris Beyrer, Frederick Boltz, Anthony G. Capon, Bráulio Ferreira de Souza Dias, Alex Eze et al. "Safeguarding human health in the Anthropocene epoch: report of The Rockefeller Foundation-Lancet Commission on planetary health." *The Lancet* 386, no. 10007 (2015): 1973-2028.
- Ortiz-Bobea A, Ault TR, Carrillo CM, Chambers RG, Lobell DB. Anthropogenic climate change has slowed global agricultural productivity growth. *Nature Climate Change*. 2021 Apr;11(4):306-12.
- 1 in 3 people globally do not have access to safe drinking water – UNICEF, WHO - <https://www.who.int/news-room/detail/18-06-2019-1-in-3-people-globally-do-not-have-access-to-safe-drinking-water-unicef-who>
- Water Outlook to 2050: The OECD calls for early and strategic action - <https://globalwaterforum.org/2012/05/21/water-outlook-to-2050-the-oecd-calls-for-early-and-strategic-action/>
- Worldwide water shortage by 2040 - <https://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2014/07/140729093112.htm>
- AQUASTAT - FAO's Global Information System on Water and Agriculture - <http://www.fao.org/aquastat/en/overview/methodology/water-use>
- The Future of Fresh Water - <https://www.wri.org/insights/future-fresh-water>
- Seufert V, Ramankutty N. Many shades of gray—The context-dependent performance of organic agriculture. *Science advances*. 2017 Mar 1;3(3):e1602638.
- Cassidy ES, West PC, Gerber JS, Foley JA. Redefining agricultural yields: from tonnes to people nourished per hectare. *Environmental Research Letters*. 2013 Aug 1;8(3):034015.
- Mariotti, François, and Christopher D. Gardner. "Dietary Protein and Amino Acids in Vegetarian Diets—A Review." *Nutrients* 11, no. 11 (2019): 2661.
- Boutros GH, Landry-Duval MA, Garzon M, Karelis AD. Is a vegan diet detrimental to endurance and muscle strength?. *European journal of clinical nutrition*. 2020 Nov;74(11):1550-5.
- How Sustainable Is Lab-Grown Meat? - <https://earth911.com/business-policy/is-lab-grown-meat-sustainable/>
- Teich N. Cultured meat: Promises and challenges. *Environmental and Resource Economics*. 2021 Mar 19:1-29.
- Amiot CE, El Hajj Boutros G, Sukhanova K, Karelis AD. Testing a novel multicomponent intervention to reduce meat consumption in young men. *PLoS one*. 2018 Oct 11;13(10):e0204590.
- Adams, Matthew. *ANTHROPOCENE PSYCHOLOGY: Being Human in a More-than-human World*. Routledge, 2020.
- 9 Reasons Your Canine Teeth Don't Make You a Meat-Eater - <https://freefromharm.org/photo-galleries/9-reasons-your-canine-teeth-dont-make-you-a-meat-eater/>
- Climate crisis: in coronavirus lockdown, nature bounces back – but for how long? - <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/apr/09/climate-crisis-amid-coronavirus-/lockdown-nature-bounces-back-but-for-how-long>
- WHO HOUSING AND HEALTH GUIDELINES - <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/276001/9789241550376-eng.pdf>
- Agenda 21: Chapter 7: Promoting Sustainable Human Settlement Development - <https://www.un.org/esa/sustdev/natlinfo/indicators/indsd/english/chapt7e.htm>
- Subramanian SV, Karlsson O, Zhang W, Kim R. Geo-mapping of COVID-19 Risk Correlates Across Districts and Parliamentary Constituencies in India. *Special Issue 1-COVID-19: Unprecedented Challenges and Chances*. 2020 Sep 21.
- The Second-Largest Country in the World is Running Out of Land - <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2021-05-18/the-second-largest-country-in-the-world-is-running-out-of-land>
- Russo A, Cirella GT. Modern compact cities: how much greenery do we need?. *International journal of environmental research and public health*. 2018 Oct;15(10):2180.
- Thompson R. Gardening for health: a regular dose of gardening. *Clinical Medicine*. 2018 Jun;18(3):201.
- Van Den Berg AE, Custers MH. Gardening promotes neuroendocrine and affective restoration from stress. *Journal of health psychology*. 2011 Jan;16(1):3-11.
- Galhena DH, Freed R, Maredia KM. Home gardens: a promising approach to enhance household food security and wellbeing. *Agriculture & food security*. 2013 Dec;2(1):1-3.
- Cameron RW, Blanusa T, Taylor JE, Salisbury A, Halstead AJ, Henricot B, Thompson K. The domestic garden—its contribution to urban green infrastructure. *Urban forestry & urban greening*. 2012 Jan 1;11(2):129-37.
- Drouillets J, Lufkin S, Rey E. Peripheral residential developments in Switzerland: Facing carbon neutrality challenge. In *Proceedings of PLEA 2016*, 32th international Conference on Passive and Low Energy Architecture 2016 (No. CONF).
- Hossain M, Patra PK. Water pollution index—A new integrated approach to rank water quality. *Ecological Indicators*. 2020 Oct 1;117:106668.
- Sangiorgio V, Fiorito F, Santamouris M. Development of a holistic urban heat island evaluation methodology. *Scientific reports*. 2020 Oct 21;10(1):1-3.
- Farrington DP, Gallagher B, Morley L, Ledger RJ, West DJ. Unemployment, school leaving, and crime. In *The Termination of Criminal Careers 2017* Jul 5 (pp. 101-122). Routledge.
- Nolan III JJ. Establishing the statistical relationship between population size and UCR crime rate: Its impact and implications. *Journal of Criminal Justice*. 2004 Nov 1;32(6):547-55.
- Population Facts, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division, United Nations - [https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/popfacts/popfacts\\_2010-5.pdf](https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/popfacts/popfacts_2010-5.pdf)
- Berger R. *Trend Compendium 2030*. Roland Berger Strategy Consultants. 2014 May.
- Fogel RW, Costa DL. A theory of technophysio evolution, with some implications for forecasting population, health care costs, and pension costs. *Demography*. 1997 Feb;34(1):49-66.
- Almiron N, Xifra J, editors. *Climate Change Denial and Public Relations: Strategic communication and interest groups in climate inaction*. Routledge; 2019 Jun 26.
- Carroll L. *The Baby Matrix*. Laura Carroll; 2012 May 17.
- Martha, Nussbaum, and M. C. Nussbaum. "Creating Capabilities: The Human Development Approach." (2011).