

MODIFIED WAVE OPERATORS FOR THE WAVE-KLEIN-GORDON SYSTEM

ZHIMENG OUYANG

ABSTRACT. We consider a coupled Wave-Klein-Gordon system in 3D, which is a simplified model for the global nonlinear stability of the Minkowski space-time for self-gravitating massive fields. In this paper we study the large-time asymptotic behavior of solutions to such systems, and prove modified wave operators for small and smooth data with mild decay at infinity. The key novelty comes from a crucial observation that the asymptotic dynamics are dictated by the resonant interactions. As a consequence, our main results include the derivation of a resonant system with good error bounds, and a detailed description of the asymptotic dynamics of such quasilinear evolution system of hyperbolic and dispersive type.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Setup of the Problem	4
3. A Priori Estimates	15
4. Bounds on the Nonlinearities of Wave Equation	27
5. Bounds on the Nonlinearities of Klein-Gordon Equation	32
6. Energy Estimates for Wave Equation	38
7. Energy Estimates for Klein-Gordon Equation	41
Appendix A. Technical Lemmas	45
Acknowledgements	49
References	49

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. The Wave-Klein-Gordon System. In this paper we are interested in the global behavior of the Wave-Klein-Gordon (W-KG) system in $3 + 1$ space-time dimensions:

$$\begin{aligned} -\square u &= A^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha v \partial_\beta v + Dv^2, \\ (-\square + 1)v &= uB^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta v + Euv, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\square := -\partial_t^2 + \Delta$ is the d'Alembert operator. The unknowns $u, v : \mathbb{R}_t^+ \times \mathbb{R}_x^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are real-valued functions, and $A^{\alpha\beta}$, $B^{\alpha\beta}$, D and E are real constants. Without loss of generality we may assume that $A^{\alpha\beta} = A^{\beta\alpha}$ and $B^{\alpha\beta} = B^{\beta\alpha}$, $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. For convenience we will also assume that $B^{00} = 0$.¹

Our main focus is the description of asymptotic behavior for small solutions to a prototype of the above system:

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t^2 - \Delta)u &= |\nabla_{t,x} v|^2 + v^2, \\ (\partial_t^2 - \Delta + 1)v &= u\Delta v. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

This coupled system consists of a semi-linear wave equation for u and a quasi-linear Klein-Gordon equation for v .

Key words and phrases. quasilinear dispersive equations, modified scattering, wave operators, resonances.

Z. Ouyang is supported by NSF Grant DMS-2202824.

¹This can be achieved by adding some higher order terms in the second equation in (1.1), which do not change the analysis.

1.2. Background and Motivation. The system (1.1) was derived by LeFloch-Ma [29] as a simplified model for the Einstein-Klein-Gordon (E-KG) system, which describes the coupled evolution of the Lorentzian metric and a self-gravitating massive scalar field. We refer to LeFloch-Ma [29, 30], Ionescu-Pausader [21, 22, 23] and Wang [36] for more details.

Consider an Einstein field equation for an unknown space-time $(M, \mathbf{g})$

$$G_{\alpha\beta} := R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}R\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} = T_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $G_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Einstein tensor, $\mathbf{g}$ is a Lorentzian metric, $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Ricci tensor and R is the scalar curvature, and $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of matter in the space. In the presence of massive field ψ , $T_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$T_{\alpha\beta} := \mathbf{D}_\alpha\psi\mathbf{D}_\beta\psi - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{D}_\mu\psi\mathbf{D}^\mu\psi + \psi^2), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ denotes covariant derivatives. Using Bianchi identities $\mathbf{D}^\alpha G_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, we may derive the evolution of the massive scalar field ψ as

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\psi - \psi = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\square_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{D}_\alpha\mathbf{D}_\beta$. If we choose the wave coordinates satisfying $-\square_{\mathbf{g}}x^\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, 3$, then $(\mathbf{g}, \psi)$ satisfies the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \mathbf{D}_\alpha\psi\mathbf{D}_\beta\psi = \frac{\psi^2}{2}\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$\square_{\mathbf{g}}\psi - \psi = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Further, under the harmonic gauge, the above system reduces to

$$-\tilde{\square}_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} = 2\partial_\alpha\psi\partial_\beta\psi + \psi^2\mathbf{g}_{\alpha\beta} - F_{\alpha\beta}^{\geq 2}(\mathbf{g}, \partial\mathbf{g}) = 0, \quad (1.8)$$

$$-\tilde{\square}_{\mathbf{g}}\psi + \psi = 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $\tilde{\square}_{\mathbf{g}} := \mathbf{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta$, and $F_{\alpha\beta}^{\geq 2}(\mathbf{g}, \partial\mathbf{g})$ contains all higher-order terms.

The global stability and asymptotic behavior of the Minkowski space-time are central topics in general relativity. The small data global well-posedness, regularity and stability of the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system were studied in LeFloch-Ma [30], Ionescu-Pausader [22] and Wang [36].

Heuristically, in the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system, if we replace the deviation of the Lorentzian metric $\mathbf{g}$ from the Minkowski metric by a scalar function u , replace the massive scalar field ψ by v , and neglect the higher-order interactions involving ψ (i.e. ignoring $F_{\alpha\beta}^{\geq 2}(\mathbf{g}, \partial\mathbf{g})$), we arrive at the Wave-Klein-Gordon system (1.1).

The small data global well-posedness, regularity and stability of the Wave-Klein-Gordon system were studied in LeFloch-Ma [29], Ionescu-Pausader [21], Dong-Wyatt [7]. The lower-dimensional results can be found in Ma [32, 31], Ifrim-Stingo [18] and Stingo [35]. Some earlier results on wave and Klein-Gordon type equations can be found in Georgiev [10] and Katayama [26].

Roughly speaking, LeFloch-Ma [29, 30], Wang [36], and Dong-Wyatt [7] used a refined hyperbolic foliation method (see also LeFloch-Ma [28]), which requires restricted initial data (e.g. compactly supported). This restriction can be slightly lifted to treat more general data (see Ma [33] for a model equation).

On the other hand, Ionescu-Pausader [21, 22, 23] relies on the so-called space-time resonance method. It combines the energy method and Fourier analysis to study the global well-posedness of quasi-linear dispersive equations. Generally speaking, energy method combined with vector fields may handle the higher-order regularity terms, and Fourier analysis with normal forms could justify the dispersive decay of lower-regularity terms. This method has been proven to be very effective in many kinds of nonlinear dispersive equations. We refer to Delort-Fang [1], Delort-Fang-Xue [2], Germain-Masmoudi-Shatah [11, 12], Gustafson-Nakanishi-Tsai [15], Deng [3], Deng-Ionescu-Pausader [4], Hani-Pausader-Tzvetkov-Visciglia [16], Deng-Ionescu-Pausader-Pusateri [5], Guo-Ionescu-Pausader [13], Guo-Pausader [14], Ionescu-Pausader [19, 20], Deng-Pusateri [6], and Ionescu-Pusateri [24]. In this paper, we will follow this path to study the long-time behavior of the Wave-Klein-Gordon system.

1.3. Main Result. The Wave-Klein-Gordon system does not have a null structure, but it presents an intricate resonant pattern, which is reflected in the asymptotic behavior. Ionescu-Pausader [21, Remark 1.3] pointed out the modification to linear scattering for the Wave-Klein-Gordon system. We interpret their result as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (Modified Scattering). *Let (u, v) be a global solution of the system (1.2). Then there exists a scattering profile $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg})$ such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (\partial_t - i|\nabla|)u(t) - e^{-it|\nabla|} V_\infty^{wa} \right\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (\partial_t - i\langle \nabla \rangle)v(t) - e^{-it\langle \nabla \rangle + i\Theta(t, \nabla)} V_\infty^{kg} \right\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

where $\Theta(t, \nabla)$ is a phase-correction operator depending on u .

Remark 1.1. This theorem indicates that the wave component u scatters linearly and the Klein-Gordon component v undergoes nonlinear scattering.

In this paper, we will focus on the converse statement – existence of wave operators, namely that every possible asymptotic behavior is achieved. More precisely, we intend to justify that any asymptotic dynamic arises in a unique way as the limit of a solution to the W-KG system.

Theorem 1.2 (Main Theorem - Modified Wave Operator, informal version). *Assume the scattering data $(V_\infty^{wa}(x), V_\infty^{kg}(x))$ is sufficiently small under suitable weighted Sobolev-type norms. Then there exists a unique global solution (u, v) of the system (1.2) such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (\partial_t - i|\nabla|)u(t) - e^{-it|\nabla|} (V_\infty^{wa} + \check{\mathcal{H}}_\infty(t)) \right\|_{L^2} = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (\partial_t - i\langle \nabla \rangle)v(t) - e^{-it\langle \nabla \rangle + i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \nabla)} V_\infty^{kg} \right\|_{L^2} = 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Here $\check{\mathcal{H}}_\infty(t, x)$ is a function depending on V_∞^{kg} and $\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \nabla)$ is a phase-correction operator that explicitly depends on $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg})$.

Remark 1.2. We would like to make a few remarks:

- (1) This theorem is just an informal version and the precise statement of the theorem (Theorem 2.1) is in Section 2.6. $\check{\mathcal{H}}_\infty$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ defined in (2.62) and $\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \nabla)$ is the operator corresponding to a modification $\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)$ of the free Klein-Gordon dispersion (see (2.65) for the definition).
- (2) In the Fourier space, $\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)$ is a real-valued nonlinear function of $\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}$ which takes into account the low-frequency part of $\widehat{V_\infty^{wa}}$. In particular, the fact that $|\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ indicates a genuine nonlinear scattering for the KG component.
- (3) Compared with Theorem 1.1, we may notice that Theorem 1.2 has an extra term $\check{\mathcal{H}}_\infty$ (which is $\sim O(1)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$). This term actually provides a more precise description of the asymptotic behavior for the Wave-Klein-Gordon system. Based on Lemma 3.17, $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ does not decay in time. However, Theorem 1.2 shows that the difference between the resonant system and the W-KG system decays to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ indeed captures the asymptotic behavior of the W-KG system.
- (4) Our result also applies to the original W-KG system (1.1). For simplicity we will work with the model system (1.2), but all our arguments can be carried out for the general case (1.1) as well.

1.4. Difficulties and Methods. The energy structure for the Wave-Klein-Gordon system can be explained heuristically as follows (see Ionescu-Pausader [21, Section 1.3.1]): The structure of the W-KG system is characterized by the bilinear interactions

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Wave} &\leftarrow \text{KG} \times \text{KG} \\ \text{KG} &\leftarrow \text{Wave} \times \text{KG} \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a commutative vector field and ∂ be either time or space derivative. Then applying $\mathcal{L}$ to the Wave-Klein-Gordon system (1.2) yields

$$\square(\mathcal{L}[u]) \simeq \partial v \cdot \mathcal{L}[\partial v], \quad (1.14)$$

$$(\square + 1)(\mathcal{L}[v]) \simeq \mathcal{L}[u] \cdot \partial^2 v + u \cdot \mathcal{L}[\partial^2 v]. \quad (1.15)$$

It is clear that the order of derivatives for the nonlinear terms are imbalanced. Since the standard energy estimate may only control

$$\|\mathcal{L}[\partial u]\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{L}[v]\|_{H^1}, \quad (1.16)$$

we have difficulty in bounding $\mathcal{L}[u] \cdot \partial^2 v$ (in the sense that $\mathcal{L}[u]$ is not included in the energy and $\partial^2 v$ cannot be handled via integration by parts). Notice that $u \cdot \mathcal{L}[\partial^2 v]$ may be handled using integration by parts in the energy estimate, so we will not discuss it in the following. To overcome the above difficulty, Ionescu-Pausader [21] introduced $|\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ term in the energy structure of the wave equation.

Ideally, the wave component $\|u\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and the Klein-Gordon component $\|v\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ based on the standard dispersive estimates. For the wave equation, energy estimate implies

$$\partial_t \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}[\partial u] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial v \cdot \mathcal{L}[\partial v]) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial v\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathcal{L}[\partial v]\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\mathcal{L}[\partial v]\|_{L^2}, \quad (1.17)$$

for the frequency $|\xi| \gtrsim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. On the other hand, for the Klein-Gordon equation, energy estimate implies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \|\mathcal{L}[v]\|_{H^1} &\lesssim \|\mathcal{L}[u] \cdot \partial^2 v\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (|\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}[\partial u]) \cdot \partial^2 v \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\partial^2 v\|_{L^\infty} \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}[\partial u] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}[\partial u] \right\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.18)$$

for the frequency $|\xi| \gtrsim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. Now if we can control the energy

$$\left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}[\partial u] \right\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{L}[v]\|_{H^1}, \quad (1.19)$$

we may close the bootstrapping.

In the above analysis, we leave out the part with frequency $|\xi| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. This part cannot be handled by the energy structure with dispersive estimate, and it will not decay to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, in the long run, only the part with $|\xi| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ will play a role in the asymptotic behavior. In the Wave-Klein-Gordon system, we will extract this part and call it a resonant system for (u^{RS}, v^{RS}) .

For the modified wave operator, we assume that the initial data for the resonant system has been prescribed. We intend to construct a solution (u, v) to the Wave-Klein-Gordon system (1.2) such that $u \rightarrow u^{RS}$ and $v \rightarrow v^{RS}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Denote $g^{wa} = u - u^{RS}$ and $g^{kg} = v - v^{RS}$. We insert it into the Wave-Klein-Gordon system (1.2) to get an equation for (g^{wa}, g^{kg}) . This equation has similar structure as (1.2) with some extra terms:

$$\begin{aligned} \square g^{wa} &= -\square u^{RS} + |\partial g^{wa} + \partial u^{RS}|^2 + |g^{wa} + u^{RS}|^2, \\ (\square + 1)g^{kg} &= -(\square + 1)v^{RS} + (g^{wa} + u^{RS})\Delta(g^{kg} + v^{RS}). \end{aligned} \quad (1.20)$$

We will design a fixed-point argument such that (g^{wa}, g^{kg}) is well-posed for $t \in [T, \infty)$ and satisfies

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g^{wa}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g^{kg}(t) = 0. \quad (1.21)$$

The nonlinear terms can be further divided into three categories: quadratic terms (two components are both g^{wa} or g^{kg}), linear terms (one component is g^{wa} or g^{kg} and the other one is u^{RS} or v^{RS}), and forcing terms (two components are both u^{RS} or v^{RS}). In particular, the most difficult estimate lies in the forcing terms, and we have to delicately utilize the cancellation with the resonant system.

Compared with Ionescu-Pausader [21], where they allow the solutions to grow mildly in energy norms as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e. nonlinear estimate is like $\langle t \rangle^{-1+\delta}$), our case is more challenging, in the sense that we must prove (g^{wa}, g^{kg}) decays as $t \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e. nonlinear estimate is like $\langle t \rangle^{-1-\delta}$). The faster decay rate is extremely crucial for our proof. This is particularly difficult for the forcing terms since the resonant system at most decays at $\langle t \rangle^{-1}$, so we must delicately analyze the difference between the forcing terms and the resonant part $-\square u^{RS}$ and $-(\square + 1)v^{RS}$ in (1.20), and eliminate the low-frequency contributions.

To summarize, the key to our proof is the energy estimate and analysis of the three types of nonlinear terms. The most difficult terms are forcing terms related to the resonant system. In particular, we focus on controlling the perturbation globally using a combination of tools from Fourier and harmonic analysis (Fourier transform, dyadic decomposition), oscillatory integrals (non-stationary/stationary phase analysis), bilinear estimates (pseudo-products operators with singular multipliers), ODE and geometric methods (normal forms, vector fields), and delicately designed function spaces and decay rate.

2. SETUP OF THE PROBLEM

2.1. Reformulation of the Equations: Duhamel's Formula. Throughout this paper the Fourier transform is defined as

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \mathcal{F}[f](\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} f(x) dx. \quad (2.1)$$

Define the operators on $\mathbb{R}^3$

$$\Lambda_{wa} := |\nabla| = \mathcal{F}^{-1}|\xi|\mathcal{F}, \quad \Lambda_{kg} := \langle \nabla \rangle = \sqrt{|\nabla|^2 + 1} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\langle \xi \rangle \mathcal{F}, \quad (2.2)$$

which relate to the dispersion relations for the wave and Klein-Gordon equation, respectively.

We denote also the corresponding multipliers in frequency space by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{wa,+}(\xi) &= \Lambda_{wa}(\xi) := |\xi|, & \Lambda_{kg,+}(\xi) &= \Lambda_{kg}(\xi) := \langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{|\xi|^2 + 1}, \\ \Lambda_{wa,-}(\xi) &= -\Lambda_{wa,+}(\xi), & \Lambda_{kg,-}(\xi) &= -\Lambda_{kg,+}(\xi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The (complex-valued) *normalized solutions* U^{wa}, U^{kg} of the system (1.2) are defined by

$$U^{wa}(t) := [\partial_t - i\Lambda_{wa}]u(t), \quad U^{kg}(t) := [\partial_t - i\Lambda_{kg}]v(t), \quad (2.4)$$

along with

$$\begin{aligned} U^{wa,+} &:= U^{wa}, & U^{kg,+} &:= U^{kg}, \\ U^{wa,-} &:= \overline{U^{wa}} = [\partial_t + i\Lambda_{wa}]u(t), & U^{kg,-} &:= \overline{U^{kg}} = [\partial_t + i\Lambda_{kg}]v(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

so that the solutions u, v can be recovered from U^{wa}, U^{kg} by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u &= \frac{1}{2}(U^{wa} + \overline{U^{wa}}) = \operatorname{Re}(U^{wa}), & \partial_t v &= \frac{1}{2}(U^{kg} + \overline{U^{kg}}) = \operatorname{Re}(U^{kg}), \\ \Lambda_{wa}u &= \frac{i}{2}(U^{wa} - \overline{U^{wa}}) = -\operatorname{Im}(U^{wa}), & \Lambda_{kg}v &= \frac{i}{2}(U^{kg} - \overline{U^{kg}}) = -\operatorname{Im}(U^{kg}), \\ u &= \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{wa}}(U^{wa} - \overline{U^{wa}}) = -\frac{1}{|\nabla|}\operatorname{Im}(U^{wa}), & v &= \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{kg}}(U^{kg} - \overline{U^{kg}}) = -\frac{1}{\langle \nabla \rangle}\operatorname{Im}(U^{kg}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Conjugating with the linear propagator operator $e^{it\Lambda} := \mathcal{F}^{-1}e^{it\Lambda(\xi)}\mathcal{F}$, we define the associated *profiles* V^{wa}, V^{kg} by

$$V^{wa}(t) := e^{it\Lambda_{wa}}U^{wa}(t), \quad V^{kg}(t) := e^{it\Lambda_{kg}}U^{kg}(t), \quad (2.7)$$

and denote also

$$\begin{aligned} V^{wa,+} &:= V^{wa} = e^{it|\nabla|}U^{wa}(t), & V^{kg,+} &:= V^{kg} = e^{it\langle \nabla \rangle}U^{kg}(t), \\ V^{wa,-} &:= \overline{V^{wa}} = e^{-it|\nabla|}\overline{U^{wa}}(t), & V^{kg,-} &:= \overline{V^{kg}} = e^{-it\langle \nabla \rangle}\overline{U^{kg}}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

which under the Fourier transform become

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{V^{wa,+}}(t, \xi) &= e^{it|\xi|}\widehat{U^{wa}}(t, \xi), & \widehat{V^{kg,+}}(t, \xi) &= e^{it\langle \xi \rangle}\widehat{U^{kg}}(t, \xi), \\ \widehat{V^{wa,-}}(t, \xi) &= e^{-it|\xi|}\widehat{\overline{U^{wa}}}(t, \xi), & \widehat{V^{kg,-}}(t, \xi) &= e^{-it\langle \xi \rangle}\widehat{\overline{U^{kg}}}(t, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Remark 2.1. From the above definition we can see that

$$\widehat{V^{wa,-}}(t, \xi) = \widehat{\overline{V^{wa,+}}}(t, \xi) = \overline{\widehat{V^{wa,+}}(t, -\xi)}, \quad \widehat{V^{kg,-}}(t, \xi) = \widehat{\overline{V^{kg,+}}}(t, \xi) = \overline{\widehat{V^{kg,+}}(t, -\xi)}. \quad (2.10)$$

Now we reformulate the system (1.2) to derive the Duhamel's formulas for $\widehat{V^{wa}}$ and $\widehat{V^{kg}}$. Observing that both equations in (1.2) are of hyperbolic and dispersive type with quadratic nonlinearities, we first write them in terms of the normalized variables U^{wa}, U^{kg} as quadratic dispersive equations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + i\Lambda_{wa})U^{wa} &= \mathcal{N}^{wa} = \mathcal{Q}^{wa}(v, v) := |\nabla_{t,x}v|^2 + v^2, \\ (\partial_t + i\Lambda_{kg})U^{kg} &= \mathcal{N}^{kg} = \mathcal{Q}^{kg}(u, v) := u\Delta v, \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where the (quadratic) nonlinearities can be expressed as pseudo-product operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^{wa} &= \mathcal{T}_{a(\xi, \eta)}^{wa}(v, v) = \sum_{\pm, \pm} \mathcal{T}_{a\pm\pm}^{wa}(U^{kg, \pm}, U^{kg, \pm}), \\ \mathcal{N}^{kg} &= \mathcal{T}_{b(\xi, \eta)}^{kg}(u, v) = \sum_{\pm, \pm} \mathcal{T}_{b\pm\pm}^{kg}(U^{kg, \pm}, U^{wa, \pm}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

of the general form

$$\mathcal{T}_{m(\xi, \eta)}(f, g) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\widehat{\mathcal{Q}(f, g)} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\int m(\xi, \eta)\widehat{f}(\xi - \eta)\widehat{g}(\eta) d\eta$$

with the symbol-type multiplier $m(\xi, \eta)$ carrying information of the quadratic interaction (e.g. dispersion/wave-type, derivatives).

Since the normalized solutions U^{wa}, U^{kg} display oscillations itself, and we want to isolate all the oscillations in a unique factor $e^{it\Phi(\xi, \eta)}$, we then need to introduce the profiles V^{wa}, V^{kg} as in (2.7) so that (2.11) can be rewritten in terms of the new unknowns as

$$\partial_t V^{wa} = e^{it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{N}^{wa} := e^{it\Lambda_{wa}} \sum_{\pm, \pm} \mathcal{T}_{a\pm\pm}^{wa} (e^{\mp it\Lambda_{kg}} V^{kg, \pm}, e^{\mp it\Lambda_{kg}} V^{kg, \pm}), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\partial_t V^{kg} = e^{it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{N}^{kg} := e^{it\Lambda_{kg}} \sum_{\pm, \pm} \mathcal{T}_{b\pm\pm}^{kg} (e^{\mp it\Lambda_{kg}} V^{kg, \pm}, e^{\mp it\Lambda_{wa}} V^{wa, \pm}), \quad (2.14)$$

from which we see that V^{wa}, V^{kg} evolve purely nonlinearly (which is more stable).

Taking the Fourier transform (combined with its differentiation and product-convolution properties) and using the formulas (2.6) and identities (2.9), we obtain

$$\partial_t \widehat{V^{wa}}(t, \xi) = e^{it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}^{wa}} := \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [V^{kg, \iota_1}, V^{kg, \iota_2}](t, \xi), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\partial_t \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) = e^{it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}^{kg}} := \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [V^{kg, \iota_1}, V^{wa, \iota_2}](t, \xi), \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [F, G](t, \xi) &:= \frac{1}{4} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)} a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{F}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{G}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ \Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) &:= \Lambda_{wa}(\xi) - \Lambda_{kg, \iota_1}(\xi - \eta) - \Lambda_{kg, \iota_2}(\eta) = |\xi| - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 \langle \eta \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

$$a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) := 1 + \frac{\iota_1 \iota_2}{\Lambda_{kg}(\xi - \eta) \Lambda_{kg}(\eta)} [(\xi - \eta) \cdot \eta - 1] = 1 + \iota_1 \iota_2 \frac{(\xi - \eta) \cdot \eta - 1}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle \langle \eta \rangle},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [F, G](t, \xi) &:= \frac{1}{4} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)} b_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{F}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{G}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ \Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) &:= \Lambda_{kg}(\xi) - \Lambda_{kg, \iota_1}(\xi - \eta) - \Lambda_{wa, \iota_2}(\eta) = \langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|, \\ b_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) &:= \iota_1 \iota_2 \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\Lambda_{kg}(\xi - \eta) \Lambda_{wa}(\eta)} = \iota_1 \iota_2 \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

In later proofs we will also use the notation $\mathbf{I}[\hat{F}, \hat{G}]$ for the same expression as $\mathbf{I}[F, G]$ when we would like to highlight the quantities in the phase space.

Our analysis is largely based on the nonlinear phases $\Phi^{\iota_1 \iota_2}$, which measure the quadratic interactions between different wave-types.

2.2. Vector Fields. The major component of our analysis relies on the energy estimates for the system (1.2). These energy estimates involve vector fields, which correspond to the symmetries of the linearized equations.

We denote by $\partial_0 := \partial_t$ and $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Define the Lorentz vector fields Γ_j and the rotation vector fields Ω_{jk} ,

$$\Gamma_j := x_j \partial_t + t \partial_j, \quad \Omega_{jk} := x_j \partial_k - x_k \partial_j, \quad (2.19)$$

for $j, k = 1, 2, 3$. These vector fields commute with both the wave operator $-\square$ and the Klein-Gordon operator $-\square + 1$. For any multi-index $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3) \in \mathbb{N}^3$, with $|a| = \sum_{j=1}^3 a_j$, $|b| = \sum_{j=1}^3 b_j$, we define

$$\Gamma^a := \Gamma_1^{a_1} \Gamma_2^{a_2} \Gamma_3^{a_3}, \quad \Omega^b := \Omega_{23}^{b_1} \Omega_{31}^{b_2} \Omega_{12}^{b_3}. \quad (2.20)$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\mathcal{V}_n$ as the set of differential operators of the form

$$\mathcal{V}_n := \{\mathcal{L} = \Gamma^a \Omega^b : |a| + |b| \leq n\}. \quad (2.21)$$

Define $U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}$ and $U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}$ in a similar manner as U^{wa} and U^{kg} , with $\mathcal{L}[u]$ instead of u and $\mathcal{L}[v]$ instead of v . The same notation applies to all the other variables, like $V_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}$ and $V_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}$:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t) &:= (\partial_t - i\Lambda_{wa})(\mathcal{L}[u])(t), & U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t) &:= (\partial_t - i\Lambda_{kg})(\mathcal{L}[v])(t), \\ V_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t) &:= e^{it\Lambda_{wa}} U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t), & V_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t) &:= e^{it\Lambda_{kg}} U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Similarly, the functions $\mathcal{L}[u], \mathcal{L}[v]$ can be recovered from the normalized variables $U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}, U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}$ by the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\mathcal{L}[u]) &= \frac{1}{2}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} + \overline{U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}) = \operatorname{Re}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}), & \partial_t(\mathcal{L}[v]) &= \frac{1}{2}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} + \overline{U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}) = \operatorname{Re}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}), \\ \Lambda_{wa}(\mathcal{L}[u]) &= \frac{i}{2}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} - \overline{U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}) = -\operatorname{Im}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}), & \Lambda_{kg}(\mathcal{L}[v]) &= \frac{i}{2}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} - \overline{U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}) = -\operatorname{Im}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}), \\ \mathcal{L}[u] &= \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{wa}}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} - \overline{U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}) = -\frac{1}{|\nabla|} \operatorname{Im}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}), & \mathcal{L}[v] &= \frac{i}{2\Lambda_{kg}}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} - \overline{U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}) = -\frac{1}{|\nabla|} \operatorname{Im}(U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

With the definitions above, the system (2.11) yields, for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t + i\Lambda_{wa})U_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} &= \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} := \mathcal{L}[|\nabla_{t,x}v|^2 + v^2], \\ (\partial_t + i\Lambda_{kg})U_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} &= \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} := \mathcal{L}[u\Delta v]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

For any smooth function $f(x)$, we define $f_{\mathcal{L}}(x)$ when the vector field $\mathcal{L}$ is applied to f . Note that in our formulation, all the quantities can be categorized into three levels: (u, v) level; (U^{wa}, U^{kg}) level; (V^{wa}, V^{kg}) level. f is typically a function at (V^{wa}, V^{kg}) level, but $\mathcal{L}$ can only be directly applied at (u, v) level, so $f_{\mathcal{L}} \neq \mathcal{L}[f]$. Instead, we have to go back and forth between the three levels. For example,

$$V_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} = e^{it\Lambda_{wa}}(\partial_t - i\Lambda_{wa})\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{i}{2\Lambda_{wa}}(e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}}V^{wa} - e^{it\Lambda_{wa}}\overline{V^{wa}})\right], \quad (2.25)$$

$$V_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} = e^{it\Lambda_{kg}}(\partial_t - i\Lambda_{kg})\mathcal{L}\left[\frac{i}{2\Lambda_{kg}}(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}}V^{kg} - e^{it\Lambda_{kg}}\overline{V^{kg}})\right]. \quad (2.26)$$

2.3. Resonant System. We now discuss the main intuition behind the derivation of the “resonant system” which drives the asymptotic behavior of our original system. As explained in Section 2.1, we will focus on the evolution of $\widehat{V}^{wa}$ and $\widehat{V}^{kg}$ by looking at the Duhamel’s formulas (2.15) and (2.16).

2.3.1. Quadratic Phases. In (2.15) and (2.16), the behavior of the oscillatory integral is largely characterized by the phase functions $\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1\iota_2}$ and $\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1\iota_2}$, which have the general form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\sigma\mu\nu} &: \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ \Phi_{\sigma\mu\nu}(\xi, \eta) &:= \Lambda_{\sigma}(\xi) - \Lambda_{\mu}(\xi - \eta) - \Lambda_{\nu}(\eta) \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

for

$$\sigma, \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P} := \{(wa, +), (wa, -), (kg, +), (kg, -)\}. \quad (2.28)$$

In fact, our resonant/stationary-phase analysis is based on essentially only one type of quadratic phase functions

$$\Phi(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \Lambda_{kg}(\xi_1) \pm \Lambda_{kg}(\xi_2) \pm \Lambda_{wa}(\xi_1 + \xi_2) = \langle \xi_1 \rangle \pm \langle \xi_2 \rangle \pm |\xi_1 + \xi_2|,$$

which has the lower bound

$$|\Phi(\xi_1, \xi_2)| \gtrsim \frac{|\xi_1 + \xi_2|}{(1 + |\xi_1| + |\xi_2|)^2}. \quad (2.29)$$

Based on (2.17) and (2.18), if $|\xi|, |\xi - \eta|, |\eta| \in [0, b]$ for $b \geq 1$, then

$$|\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1\iota_2}(\xi, \eta)| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{4b^2}, \quad |\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1\iota_2}(\xi, \eta)| \geq \frac{|\eta|}{4b^2}. \quad (2.30)$$

Therefore, we expect that the interactions where the wave component has very small frequencies, in particular when $t|\xi| \lesssim 1$ or $t|\eta| \lesssim 1$, will play an important role in the analysis.

2.3.2. Analysis of the Resonances. Recall that (2.15) and (2.16) yield Duhamel’s formulas of the form

$$\widehat{V}(t, \xi) = \widehat{V}_{\infty}(\xi) - \int_t^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta)} m(\xi, \eta) \widehat{V}(s, \xi - \eta) \widehat{V}(s, \eta) d\eta ds. \quad (2.31)$$

In order to prove long-range scattering, it is desirable to control the above oscillatory integral uniformly in time. From the viewpoint of “stationary phase”, we may want to take advantage of the oscillating factor $e^{is\Phi(\xi, \eta)}$. This can create rapid decay as time becomes large (and thus yields smallness), except on the sets where the phase is stationary in either of the integration variables:

- Time resonant set $\mathcal{T} := \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \Phi(\xi, \eta) = 0\}$ – stationary over time s .
- Space resonant set $\mathcal{S} := \{(\xi, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 : \nabla_{\eta}\Phi(\xi, \eta) = 0\}$ – stationary in η .
- Space-time resonant set $\mathcal{R} := \mathcal{T} \cap \mathcal{S}$ – stationary in both s and η .

Therefore the main contribution of (2.31) comes from those points where both Φ and $\nabla_\eta \Phi$ vanish.

By (2.30), (2.17) and (2.18), we can verify that for $\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \emptyset \subset \mathcal{S} = \{\xi = 2\eta\} & \text{for } \iota_1 \iota_2 = ++, --, \\ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S} = \{\xi = 0\} & \text{for } \iota_1 \iota_2 = +-, -+, \end{cases} \quad (2.32)$$

and for $\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}$:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \emptyset \subset \mathcal{S} = \{\eta = 0\} & \text{for } \iota_1 \iota_2 = -+, --, \\ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S} = \{\eta = 0\} & \text{for } \iota_1 \iota_2 = ++, +- . \end{cases} \quad (2.33)$$

We call it the “non-resonant” case if $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{T} = \emptyset$, for which we can handle using the normal form method (integration by parts in time). The rest ($\iota_1 \iota_2 = +-, -+$ for Wave and $\iota_1 \iota_2 = ++, +-$ for KG) are called the “resonant” case, which is the part that contributes to the “resonant system”.

2.3.3. Extraction of the “Resonant System”. Our general approach of obtaining the principal part of $\widehat{V}(t, \xi)$ will be to first restrict the integral in the Duhamel’s formula near the resonant set and then analyzing the resulting contribution: the “resonant system” emerges from the reduction of (2.15) and (2.16) to resonant interactions. Below we give a schematic description of our main steps.

Step 1: Low-Frequency Outputs for Wave Component.

As discussed in the previous section, for the wave equation, we will focus on the resonant case $\iota_1 \iota_2 = +-, -+$ (when the two inputs have the opposite signs) and look at the contribution of low-frequency outputs:

$$|\xi| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1+}. \quad (2.34)$$

To be precise, we may take a cutoff function (see the rigorous definition of $\varphi_{\leq 0}$ in Section 2.5.1)

$$\varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}). \quad (2.35)$$

The cutoff is made time-dependent (shrinking as $t \rightarrow \infty$) in order to trade size of support for time decay. Here the exponent $\frac{7}{8}$ is a convenient number slightly smaller than 1 (which is chosen from later proofs).

We start from (2.15) with $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, -)$ or $(-, +)$ when $|\xi|$ is small. By Taylor expansion with respect to ξ up to the first order (here $\iota_2 = -\iota_1$),

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) &= |\xi| - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 \langle \eta \rangle = |\xi| - \iota_1 \{ \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \langle \eta \rangle \} \\ &\rightsquigarrow |\xi| + \iota_1 \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle} =: \Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2, 0}(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

On the other hand, taking $\xi = 0$, we get

$$a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) \rightsquigarrow 2, \quad \widehat{F}(t, \xi - \eta) \rightsquigarrow \widehat{F}(t, -\eta). \quad (2.37)$$

Substitute all the elements above into (2.17) and let

$$\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{+-, 0}(t, \xi) := \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{+-, 0}(\xi, \eta)} \widehat{V^{kg, +}}(t, -\eta) \widehat{V^{kg, -}}(t, \eta) d\eta, \quad (2.38)$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{-+, 0}(t, \xi) := \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{-+, 0}(\xi, \eta)} \widehat{V^{kg, -}}(t, -\eta) \widehat{V^{kg, +}}(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (2.39)$$

By change of variable $\eta \mapsto -\eta$ in $\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{+-, 0}$ and noticing that $\widehat{V^{kg, -}}(t, -\eta) = \overline{\widehat{V^{kg, +}}(t, \eta)}$, we see that

$$\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{+-, 0}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} \widehat{V^{kg, +}}(t, \eta) \widehat{V^{kg, -}}(t, -\eta) d\eta \quad (2.40)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \eta)|^2 d\eta,$$

$$\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{-+, 0}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \eta)|^2 d\eta. \quad (2.41)$$

Finally, tagging the low-frequency cutoff, we arrive at

$$h(t, \xi) := \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{+-, 0}(t, \xi) + \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{-+, 0}(t, \xi) \right\} = (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \eta)|^2 d\eta. \quad (2.42)$$

We further define

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, \xi) &:= \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \left\{ \widehat{V^{wa}}(0, \xi) + \int_0^t h(s, \xi) ds \right\} \\ &= \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \left\{ \widehat{V^{wa}}(0, \xi) + (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V^{kg}}(s, \eta)|^2 d\eta ds \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.43)$$

Remark 2.2. We expect that, roughly speaking, $\widehat{V^{wa}}(t, \xi) \approx H(t, \xi)$ and

$$\partial_t \widehat{V^{wa}}(t, \xi) = h(t, \xi) + R^{wa}(t, \xi). \quad (2.44)$$

Here R^{wa} should be a remainder which has sufficiently fast time decay in some suitable norm (see (2.94) and (2.95)) to guarantee convergence to the resonant system. In other words, the low-frequency outputs of wave component contribute a bulk term h that decays at a critical rate $\langle t \rangle^{-1}$ (see Lemma 3.16).

Step 2: High-Low Interactions and “Phase Shift” for KG Component.

The next step is to measure the feedback contribution of the low-frequency bulk $h(t, \xi)$ to the nonlinear interactions of the Klein-Gordon equation.

For the Klein-Gordon equation, we focus on the resonant case $\iota_1 \iota_2 = ++, +- (when one of the inputs is $V^{kg,+})$ and look at the high-low interactions:$

$$|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1+} (\ll |\xi|). \quad (2.45)$$

We start from (2.16) with $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, +)$ or $(+, -)$ when $|\eta|$ is small. By Taylor expansion with respect to η up to the first order (here $\iota_1 = +$),

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) &= \langle \xi \rangle - \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta| = \{ \langle \xi \rangle - \langle \xi - \eta \rangle \} - \iota_2 |\eta| \\ &\sim \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \iota_2 |\eta| =: \Phi_{kg}^{+\iota_2, 0}(\xi, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (2.46)$$

On the other hand, taking $\eta = 0$, we get

$$b_{+\iota_2}(\xi, \eta) \sim \iota_2 \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|}, \quad \widehat{V^{kg,+}}(t, \xi - \eta) \sim \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi). \quad (2.47)$$

Moreover, we formally replace the low-frequency part of $\widehat{V^{wa,\pm}}(t, \eta)$ by the contribution coming from $H^\pm(t, \eta)$, where $H^+(t, \eta) = H(t, \eta) = \overline{H^-(t, -\eta)}$ is given by (2.43). Note that $H(t, \eta)$ contains a cutoff $\varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}})$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{kg,0}^{+\iota_2,1}(t, \xi) &:= \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{+\iota_2,0}(\xi, \eta)} \iota_2 \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) H^{\iota_2}(t, \eta) d\eta, \\ &= \iota_2 \frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} H^{\iota_2}(t, \eta) d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.48)$$

By change of variable $\eta \mapsto -\eta$ in $\mathbf{I}_{kg,0}^{+-,1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{kg,0}^{+-,1}(t, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} + |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} H^-(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \overline{H(t, \eta)} d\eta. \end{aligned} \quad (2.49)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{kg,0}^{++,1}(t, \xi) + \mathbf{I}_{kg,0}^{+-,1}(t, \xi) &= \frac{i}{2}(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} H(t, \eta) d\eta \right\} \\ &=: i\mathcal{C}(t, \xi) \cdot \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi), \end{aligned} \quad (2.50)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}(t, \xi) := \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} H(t, \eta) d\eta \right\}. \quad (2.51)$$

Remark 2.3. We will see that (2.16) can be written as

$$\partial_t \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) = i\mathcal{C}(t, \xi) \cdot \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) + R^{kg}(t, \xi), \quad (2.52)$$

where R^{kg} is a remainder which decays sufficiently fast in time in some suitable norm (see (2.96) and (2.97)). In particular, $\mathcal{C}(t, \xi)$ is not integrable in time (see Lemma 3.20). This shows that the KG component is essentially transported by the low frequencies of wave bulk. Eventually, we will renormalize V^{kg} to incorporate this modification via a phase correction/shift using the fact that $\mathcal{C}(t, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}$. This is a similar phenomenon to the one occurring in the scattering-critical equations, such as the critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations and 2D water waves (see [17, 27, 16, 24, 25]).

Step 3: The Resonant System and Long-Time Asymptotics.

Collecting all the contributions from previous steps (see (2.44) and (2.52)), we extract the “resonant system” as

$$\partial_t \widehat{V^{wa}}(t, \xi) \approx h(t, \xi), \quad (2.53)$$

$$\partial_t \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) \approx i\mathcal{C}(t, \xi) \cdot \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi), \quad (2.54)$$

and further deduce that

$$\widehat{V^{wa}}(t, \xi) \approx \widehat{V^{wa}}(0, \xi) + \int_0^t h(s, \xi) ds, \quad (2.55)$$

$$\widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) \approx e^{i\mathcal{D}(t, \xi)} \widehat{V^{kg}}(0, \xi), \quad (2.56)$$

where the phase correction

$$\mathcal{D}(t, \xi) := \int_0^t \mathcal{C}(s, \xi) ds \quad (2.57)$$

is a real-valued nonlinear function of $\widehat{V^{kg}}$ which takes into account the low-frequency part of wave component. In particular, it grows mildly to infinity in time (see Lemma 3.21), and therefore will lead to genuine nonlinear scattering for the KG component.

The asymptotic dynamics and modified scattering for the W-KG system can be explained heuristically as follows:

- (1) The wave and Klein-Gordon components are generated linearly by initial data;
- (2) The KG component interacts with itself in the wave equation to produce a large low-frequency wave component $|u(t, x)| \approx t^{-1}\varepsilon_0^2$ (if $t \gg 1$ and $|x| \leq ct$) supported at low frequency $|\xi| \lesssim 1/t$;
- (3) In the Klein-Gordon equation, this large low-frequency wave component interacts again with the high frequencies of the Klein-Gordon component to produce a “phase shift”.

2.3.4. The “Asymptotic Profile”. Now that we have identified the asymptotic system (the so-called “resonant system”) that governs long-time behavior of the W-KG system, our next step is to construct an “asymptotic profile” (which still depends on t) as the limit of a nonlinear profile V as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Motivated by (2.55)(2.56), we define the “asymptotic profile” ($\mathcal{V}^{wa}, \mathcal{V}^{kg}$) (which can be understood as an asymptotic approximation of (V^{wa}, V^{kg})) as follows:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}^{wa}}(t, \xi) := \widehat{V_\infty^{wa}}(\xi) + \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi), \quad (2.58)$$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}^{kg}}(t, \xi) := e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi), \quad (2.59)$$

where $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg})$ is the initial data

$$\widehat{\mathcal{V}^{wa}}(0, \xi) = \widehat{V_\infty^{wa}}(\xi), \quad \widehat{\mathcal{V}^{kg}}(0, \xi) = \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi). \quad (2.60)$$

with quantities

$$h_\infty(t, \xi) := (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\eta)|^2 d\eta, \quad (2.61)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi) := \int_0^t h_\infty(s, \xi) ds, \quad (2.62)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi) := \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \left\{ \widehat{V_\infty^{wa}}(\xi) + \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi) \right\}, \quad (2.63)$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi) := \frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \cdot \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} H_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \right\}, \quad (2.64)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi) := \int_0^t \mathcal{C}_\infty(s, \xi) ds. \quad (2.65)$$

Remark 2.4. For all functions above, we also define its positive and negative counterpart in the same way as $\widehat{V}^\pm$: for a complex-valued function $\widehat{S}(t, \xi)$, let $\widehat{S}^+(t, \xi) := \widehat{S}(t, \xi)$ and $\widehat{S}^-(t, \xi) := \overline{\widehat{S}(t, -\xi)}$.

2.4. Equations of Perturbation. In this subsection, we will take the difference between the profile (V^{wa}, V^{kg}) of solutions and the asymptotic profile $(\mathcal{V}^{wa}, \mathcal{V}^{kg})$ as our perturbation unknown, and derive the equations for this new unknown. We represent the perturbation $G^{wa}(t, x)$, $G^{kg}(t, x)$ by

$$\widehat{G^{wa}}(t, \xi) := \widehat{V^{wa}}(t, \xi) - \left(\widehat{V_\infty^{wa}}(\xi) + \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi) \right), \quad (2.66)$$

$$\widehat{G^{kg}}(t, \xi) := \widehat{V^{kg}}(t, \xi) - \left(e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) + \mathfrak{B}_\infty(t, \xi) \right). \quad (2.67)$$

Here $\mathfrak{B}_\infty(t, \xi)$ comes from contributions of the non-resonant part and is given by

$$\mathfrak{B}_\infty(t, \xi) := - \int_t^\infty e^{i(\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi) - \mathcal{D}_\infty(s, \xi))} \mathfrak{b}_\infty(s, \xi) ds, \quad (2.68)$$

$$\mathfrak{b}_\infty(t, \xi) := \sum_{\iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{-\iota_2} \left[e^{-i\mathcal{D}_\infty^-(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}}(t, \xi), H_\infty^{\iota_2}(t, \xi) \right]. \quad (2.69)$$

Remark 2.5. From (2.66) and (2.67) we see that $\widehat{G^{wa}} = \widehat{V^{wa}} - \widehat{\mathcal{V}^{wa}}$ and $\widehat{G^{kg}} \approx \widehat{V^{kg}} - \widehat{\mathcal{V}^{kg}}$; the latter is slightly different compared with the expression (2.59) of $\mathcal{V}^{kg}$. In particular, it contains a non-resonant contribution $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$. This is due to technical difficulties in nonlinear estimates (see Section 5). Roughly speaking, though being non-resonant, $\mathfrak{b}_\infty$ decays like $\langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and will be captured by the asymptotic analysis. However, it will not affect the final result since the time integral $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ decays like $\langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}$ (see Lemma 3.26). In other words, the estimate of non-resonant part will improve upon taking time integration.

Our goal is to show that given any resonant system starting from $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg})$, there exists a unique solution (V^{wa}, V^{kg}) to the W-KG system such that their difference goes to zero under some proper topology (which we will specify in Section 2.5.2) as $t \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{G^{wa}}(t, \xi) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{G^{kg}}(t, \xi) = 0. \quad (2.70)$$

Inserting (2.66)(2.67) into (2.15)(2.16), we obtain the system for (G^{wa}, G^{kg})

$$\partial_t \widehat{G^{wa}} = -h_\infty + \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G^{kg, \iota_1}} + e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}} + \mathfrak{B}_\infty^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G^{kg, \iota_2}} + e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}} + \mathfrak{B}_\infty^{\iota_2} \right], \quad (2.71)$$

and

$$\partial_t \widehat{G^{kg}} = -i \mathcal{C}_\infty(e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}) - i \mathcal{C}_\infty \mathfrak{B}_\infty - \mathfrak{b}_\infty + \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G^{kg, \iota_1}} + e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}} + \mathfrak{B}_\infty^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G^{wa, \iota_2}} + \widehat{V_\infty^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2} \right], \quad (2.72)$$

with final data

$$\widehat{G^{wa}}(\infty, \xi) = 0, \quad \widehat{G^{kg}}(\infty, \xi) = 0. \quad (2.73)$$

It suffices to consider the well-posedness of (G^{wa}, G^{kg}) as solutions to (2.71)(2.72)(2.73).

2.5. Definition and Notation. Throughout the paper, C will generally denote a universal constant that may vary from line to line. The notation $A \lesssim B$ means that $A \leq CB$ for some universal constant $C > 0$; we will use $\gtrsim$ and $\simeq$ in a similar standard way.

2.5.1. *Littlewood-Paley Projections.* In order to make the following analysis more precise, we need a good way of localization in Fourier space and physical space, which then allows us to quantify the variables in terms of time.

We first use a standard (inhomogeneous) dyadic decomposition of the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_{[0,+\infty)}^t$ to localize in t . Fix a smooth cutoff function $\tau : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ supported in $[0, 2]$ and equal to 1 in $[0, 1]$, and define for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_m(t) &:= \tau(t/2^m) - \tau(t/2^{m-1}), \quad m \geq 1; \\ \tau_0(t) &:= 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \tau_m(t) = \tau(t),\end{aligned}\tag{2.74}$$

so that the sequence of functions $\{\tau_m(t)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the properties

$$\text{supp } \tau_0 \subseteq [0, 2], \quad \text{supp } \tau_m \subseteq [2^{m-1}, 2^{m+1}] \quad (m \geq 1), \quad \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} \tau_m(t) \equiv 1.\tag{2.75}$$

In other words, in the support of τ_m we have $t \approx 2^m$. Also, we choose the function τ in such a way that $|\tau'_m(t)| \lesssim 2^{-m}$.

Also, we introduce the (homogeneous) dyadic decomposition² in three dimensions. Fix a smooth radial cutoff function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ that equals 1 for $|z| \leq 1$ and vanishes for $|z| \geq 2$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote by $k^+ := \max(k, 0)$ and $k^- := \min(k, 0)$. Let

$$\varphi_k(z) := \varphi(z/2^k) - \varphi(z/2^{k-1}), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}\tag{2.76}$$

be a sequence of functions with the properties

$$\text{supp } \varphi_k \subseteq \{z \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |z| \in [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}]\}, \quad \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_k(z) \equiv 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}),\tag{2.77}$$

so that in the support of φ_k we have $|z| \approx 2^k$. We define also

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_I(z) &:= \sum_{k \in I \cap \mathbb{Z}} \varphi_k(z) \quad \text{for any } I \subset \mathbb{R}, \\ \varphi_{\leq A} &:= \varphi(-\infty, A], \quad \varphi_{\geq A} := \varphi[A, +\infty), \quad \varphi_{< A} := \varphi(-\infty, A), \quad \varphi_{> A} := \varphi(A, +\infty) \quad \text{for any } A \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{J} := \{(k, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ : k + j \geq 0 \text{ i.e. } j \geq -k^-\}.$$

Given any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we further define

$$\varphi_j^{(k)} := \begin{cases} \varphi_j, & j > -k^-; \\ \varphi_{\leq j}, & j = -k^-, \end{cases}\tag{2.78}$$

and notice that, for any fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \varphi_j^{(k)}(z) \equiv 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}).$$

Definition 2.1 (Littlewood-Paley Projections). (1) Let P_k ($k \in \mathbb{Z}$) denote the standard Littlewood-Paley projection on $\mathbb{R}^3$ defined by the Fourier multiplier $\xi \mapsto \varphi_k(\xi)$:

$$P_k := \mathcal{F}^{-1} \varphi_k(\xi) \mathcal{F}, \quad \widehat{P_k f}(\xi) := \varphi_k(\xi) \widehat{f}(\xi).\tag{2.79}$$

Similarly, for any $A \in \mathbb{R}$, define the operator $P_{\leq A}$ (resp. $P_{> A}$) on $\mathbb{R}^3$ by the Fourier multiplier $\xi \mapsto \varphi_{\leq A}(\xi)$ (resp. $\xi \mapsto \varphi_{> A}(\xi)$).

(2) For $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, let the operator Q_{jk} be defined as

$$Q_{jk} f(x) := \varphi_j^{(k)} \cdot P_k f(x).\tag{2.80}$$

(3) For $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, let the operator $\mathcal{Q}_{jk}$ be defined as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{jk} f(x) := P_{[k-2, k+2]} \{\varphi_j^{(k)} \cdot P_k f\}(x).\tag{2.81}$$

²The homogeneous dyadic decomposition differs from the inhomogeneous one in that it decomposes also near the origin.

(4) Furthermore, let

$$Q_{\leq jk}f := \sum_{-k^- \leq j' \leq j} Q_{j'k}f, \quad \mathcal{Q}_{\leq jk}f := \sum_{-k^- \leq j' \leq j} \mathcal{Q}_{j'k}f. \quad (2.82)$$

Remark 2.6. (i) In our case we have $\Lambda(\xi) = \omega(|\xi|)$, so we will focus on quantifying the “energy” $|\xi|$. The direction is also important, but can usually be recovered by commuting with the rotation vector fields.

(ii) In view of the Heisenberg’s uncertainty principle³, the operators Q_{jk} are relevant only when $2^j 2^k \gtrsim 1$ i.e. $j + k \gtrsim 0$, which explains the definitions above.

(iii) The Littlewood–Paley operators give a decomposition of the identity (at least formally):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k &= \text{id}, & \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k f &= f; \\ \sum_{j \geq -k^-} Q_{jk} &= P_k, & \sum_{(k,j) \in \mathcal{J}} Q_{jk} f &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k f = f; \\ \sum_{j \geq -k^-} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} &= P_k, & \sum_{(k,j) \in \mathcal{J}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} f &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} P_k f = f. \end{aligned} \quad (2.83)$$

2.5.2. Norms and Function Spaces. Set the parameters

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 &:= 40, \quad N_1 := 3, \quad d := 10, \quad \delta = 10^{-10} \\ N(0) &:= N_0, \quad N(n) := N_0 - dn, \\ H(0) &:= 800, \quad H(n) := 800 - 200n, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H''_{wa}(n) &= H''_{kg}(n) = H(n+1), \\ N''_{wa}(n) &= N''_{kg}(n) = N(n) - 5. \end{aligned}$$

Time-Independent Norms. We define the norms characterizing time-independent data (designed for $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg})$). Assume $f(x)$ is a function of certain regularity defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Define $f_{\mathcal{L}}$ as in (2.25) and (2.26).

Define the “data spaces”

$$Y_i := \{f : \|f\|_{Y_i} < \infty\} \quad (2.84)$$

for $i = 1, 2$, with the norms

$$\|f\|_{Y_1} := \sup_{n \leq N_1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{\mathcal{L}} \right\|_{H^{N(n-3)}} + \sup_{n \leq N_1-1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n, \ell \in \{1,2,3\}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{N(n-2)k^+} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \right), \quad (2.85)$$

$$\|f\|_{Y_2} := \sup_{n \leq N_1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \|f_{\mathcal{L}}\|_{H^{N(n-3)}} + \sup_{n \leq N_1-1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n, \ell \in \{1,2,3\}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{N(n-2)k^+} 2^{k^+} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \right). \quad (2.86)$$

Time-Dependent Norms. Next we define the time-dependent norms. Assume $f(t, x)$ is a function of certain regularity defined for $t \in [1, \infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Define the “working spaces” (to run the fixed-point argument on G^{wa} and G^{kg})

$$X_i := \{f : \|f\|_{X_i} < \infty\} \quad (2.87)$$

for $i = 1, 2$, with the norms

$$\|f\|_{X_1} := \|f\|_{S_1} + \|f\|_{T_1} \quad (\text{for } G^{wa}), \quad (2.88)$$

$$\|f\|_{X_2} := \|f\|_{S_2} + \|f\|_{T_2} \quad (\text{for } G^{kg}), \quad (2.89)$$

where

$$\|f\|_{S_1} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \left(\langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta} \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_{\mathcal{L}} \right\|_{H^{N(n)}} \right), \quad (2.90)$$

$$\|f\|_{T_1} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1-1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n, \ell \in \{1,2,3\}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\langle t \rangle^{H(n+1)\delta} 2^{N(n+1)k^+} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \right), \quad (2.91)$$

³It states that the more precisely the position (in physical space) of some particle is determined, the less precisely its momentum (frequency) can be known, and vice versa.

and

$$\|f\|_{S_2} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \left(\langle t \rangle^{H(n)\delta} \|f_{\mathcal{L}}\|_{H^{N(n)}} \right), \quad (2.92)$$

$$\|f\|_{T_2} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1-1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n, \ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\langle t \rangle^{H(n+1)\delta} 2^{N(n+1)k^+} 2^{k^+} \|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{L_\xi^2} \right). \quad (2.93)$$

We also need to introduce the auxiliary norms measuring corresponding variables with time derivatives (for $\partial_t G^{wa}$ and $\partial_t G^{kg}$):

$$\|f\|_{S'_1} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \left(\langle t \rangle^{1+H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-} \|\varphi_k \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{L_\xi^2} \right), \quad (2.94)$$

$$\|f\|_{T'_1} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1-1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n, \ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\langle t \rangle^{H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} (e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}}) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \right), \quad (2.95)$$

and

$$\|f\|_{S'_2} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \left(\langle t \rangle^{1+H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{N''_{kg}(n)k^+} \|\varphi_k \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}}\|_{L_\xi^2} \right), \quad (2.96)$$

$$\|f\|_{T'_2} := \sup_{t \in [1, \infty)} \sup_{n \leq N_1-1} \sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n, \ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\langle t \rangle^{H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{N''_{kg}(n)k^+} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} (e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{f_{\mathcal{L}}}) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \right). \quad (2.97)$$

Remark 2.7. Roughly speaking, the designed X_i norms indicate that the perturbation (G^{wa}, G^{kg}) decays mildly in time in certain Sobolev-type and weighted L^2 norms. Notice also that there is an energy hierarchy expressed in terms of the parameters $H(n)$ (for decay rate) and $N(n)$ (for regularity/weight), in the sense that the variables with more vector fields are allowed to decay slightly slower than those with fewer vector fields, in weaker Sobolev spaces.

2.6. Main Theorem and Proposition. As a counterpart of the forward problem, in this work we establish the existence of wave operators, namely that any (modified) asymptotic profile leads to a unique global nonlinear solution that converges to it.

Provided that the (initial) data $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg}) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$ is given. Our goal is to justify that (2.71)(2.72)(2.73) can uniquely determine a solution (G^{wa}, G^{kg}) . Below is our main theorem.

Theorem 2.1 (Main Theorem - Modified Wave Operator, precise version). *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that if the scattering data $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg}) \in Y_1 \times Y_2$ satisfies*

$$\|V_\infty^{wa}\|_{Y_1} + \|V_\infty^{kg}\|_{Y_2} \lesssim \varepsilon_0, \quad (2.98)$$

then there exists a unique solution (u, v) of the system (1.2) on $t \in [1, \infty)$ with the associated profile $(V^{wa}, V^{kg}) \in X_1 \times X_2$ satisfying

$$\|V^{wa} - \mathcal{V}^{wa}\|_{X_1} + \|V^{kg} - \mathcal{V}^{kg}\|_{X_2} \lesssim \varepsilon_0, \quad (2.99)$$

where the asymptotic profile $(\mathcal{V}^{wa}, \mathcal{V}^{kg})$ is explicitly given by $(V_\infty^{wa}, V_\infty^{kg})$ through (2.58) – (2.65).

Remark 2.8. Since X_i norms contain time growth, the above theorem actually implies that V and $\mathcal{V}$ demonstrate the same asymptotic behavior in certain norm as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 2.1 follows from a standard fixed-point argument. It suffices to prove the following bootstrap proposition, which naturally yields the boundedness and contraction in order to apply the fixed-point theorem.

Proposition 2.2. *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ (sufficiently small) such that for any $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, if the data satisfies*

$$\|V_\infty^{wa}\|_{Y_1} + \|V_\infty^{kg}\|_{Y_2} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad (2.100)$$

and the solution satisfies

$$\|G^{wa}\|_{X_1} + \|G^{kg}\|_{X_2} \lesssim \varepsilon, \quad (2.101)$$

then we have the improved bound

$$\|G^{wa}\|_{X_1} + \|G^{kg}\|_{X_2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (2.102)$$

The rest of this paper is concerned with the proof of Proposition 2.2, which consists of Proposition 6.4, Proposition 6.5, Proposition 7.4, Proposition 7.5.

Remark 2.9. Based on Lemma 3.26, we know $\|\mathfrak{B}_\infty\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}+}$. Hence, the presence of $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ in (2.67) will not affect the main result.

2.7. Strategy of the Proof. In order to close the fixed-point argument, we plan to attack it in two steps:

- Step 1: Nonlinearity Estimates.

We first estimate the right-hand side nonlinear terms in (2.71) and (2.72). The quadratic terms $\mathbf{I}[G, G]$ and linear terms $\mathbf{I}[G, \mathcal{V}]$ may be handled with standard dispersive estimates. However, the forcing terms $\mathbf{I}[\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}]$ is much more delicate. This relies on a frequency decomposition, where we have to utilize the resonant terms h_∞ and $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ to cancel out the slow-decaying low-frequency contributions, integration by parts in η for the high-frequency terms, and stationary-phase argument to handle the non-resonant terms. A direct consequence of this step is the estimate of time derivatives of the unknowns, i.e. S'_i and T'_i norms bound.

- Step 2: Solution Estimates.

Then we turn back to the bound of the original solution itself in S_i and T_i norm. Here actually we treat them in completely different fashion.

- Energy estimates: S_i norm is the classical energy norm, so we resort to the energy structure of the perturbation equation, which heavily relies on the trilinear estimates and S'_i norm bounds.
- Vector fields: T_i norm is much trickier. We utilize the Lorentz vector fields to generate a relation in the mild formulation (note that we cannot directly take ∂_{ξ_ℓ} derivative in the mild formulation since it will hit the phase function and generate $\langle t \rangle$ growth), which is closely related to T'_i bounds.

In this procedure, we need to utilize both the normal forms and vector fields. Normal form method helps to improve estimates of time integrals; vector fields help improve dispersive estimates. We will delicately analyze all kinds of terms showing up in the resonant system and the perturbation equations, and these estimates actually constitute most part of this paper.

Remark 2.10. Essentially, our proof mainly relies on the energy estimates in Sobolev space. Since we use the normal form transformation (integration by parts in time), in turn we must estimate the time derivatives. Since we need $\mathcal{Q}_{jk}$ estimate and integration by parts in η , we also require ξ_ℓ derivative estimates (Lemma A.16) and vector fields (Lemma A.11).

2.8. Organization of the Paper. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 3, we present some preliminary lemmas and a priori estimates on the solution and data; in Section 4 and Section 5, we discuss the nonlinear estimate for S'_i and T'_i norms using the Duhamel's formula without time integration; finally, in Section 6 and Section 7, we study the energy estimates for S_i norms and the regularity estimates for T_i norms.

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

Let

$$X(t; n) := \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$Y(k, t; n) := \langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)\delta} 2^{-N(n+1)k^+}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$Z(k; n) := 2^{-N(n-2)k^+}. \quad (3.3)$$

3.1. L^2 Estimates of G^{wa} and G^{kg} .

Lemma 3.1. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$,

$$\sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n} \left(\left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t) \right\|_{H^{N(n)}} + \|G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t)\|_{H^{N(n)}} \right) \lesssim \varepsilon X(t; n), \quad (3.4)$$

and for any $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$,

$$\sup_{\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n, \ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t) \right\|_{L^2_{\xi}} + 2^{k^+} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t) \right\|_{L^2_{\xi}} \right) \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. This can be directly obtained from (2.100) based on the definition of X_1 and X_2 norms. $\square$

Remark 3.1. Using the definition of Sobolev spaces with Fourier transform, this lemma actually yields the L^2 bound for $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}$ and $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $0 \leq n \leq N_1$,

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon X(t; n) 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} 2^{-N(n)k^+}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon X(t; n) 2^{-N(n)k^+}, \quad (3.7)$$

and for any $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$,

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t)\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t)\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.2. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$2^j \|Q_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$2^j \|Q_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-k^+}, \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} 2^{k^-}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-k^+} 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.13)$$

Proof. (3.10)(3.11) are direct consequences of Remark 3.1 and (A.3.16). Summing over $j \geq -k^-$, we get (3.12)(3.13). $\square$

Lemma 3.3. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)\delta} 2^{-(N(n)-\frac{1}{2})k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+} 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. These follow from a combination of Remark 3.1 (if $k \geq 0$) and Lemma 3.2 (if $k \leq 0$). $\square$

Remark 3.2. Lemma 3.3 is an improved version for Remark 3.1. In particular, “ 2^{k^-} ” in (3.15) is favorable when $k \leq 0$. We will use these bounds repeatedly in the energy estimates.

3.2. L^∞ Estimates of $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}$ and $G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}$.

Lemma 3.4. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \min \{2^{-j}, \langle t \rangle^{-1}\} 2^k, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{3}{2}k}, 2^{3k^+} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right\} 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. Combining Lemma A.12 and Lemma 3.2 yields these bounds. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \min \{2^{k^-}, \ln \langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle^{-1}\} 2^k, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{5}{2}k^-}, 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\}. \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. Summing up (3.16) in Lemma 3.4, we have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \sum_{j \geq -k^-} \min \{2^{-j}, \langle t \rangle^{-1}\} 2^k. \quad (3.20)$$

For the first term in the minimum of (3.18), we naturally have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \sum_{j \geq -k^-} 2^{-j} 2^k \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{k^-} 2^k. \quad (3.21)$$

For the second term in the minimum, we divide it into two cases:

- If $2^{-j} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, then since $-k^- \geq 0$, there are at most $\ln \langle t \rangle$ such j . Hence, by (3.20) we have the summation

$$\sum_{2^{-j} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \ln \langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^k. \quad (3.22)$$

- If $2^{-j} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, then we naturally have

$$\sum_{2^{-j} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \sum_{2^{-j} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} 2^{-j} 2^k \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^k. \quad (3.23)$$

Summarizing the above two cases, we arrive at the desired result (3.18).

On the other hand, for (3.19), we have from (3.17) that

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \sum_{j \geq -k^-} \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+}, 2^{2k^+} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right\}. \quad (3.24)$$

For the first term in the minimum of (3.24), we have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) \sum_{j \geq -k^-} 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{k^-} 2^{-k^+} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{\frac{k^+}{2}} 2^{\frac{5k^-}{2}}. \quad (3.25)$$

For the second term in the minimum of (3.24), j power is positive, so we cannot directly sum up over j . The key is to combine both bounds in (3.24). We further divide it into two cases:

- If $2^j \leq \langle t \rangle 2^k 2^{-2k^+}$, then $2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+} \geq 2^{2k^+} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Hence, we choose the second term in the minimum of (3.24) to sum up over j and get

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} 2^{2k^+} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \leq 2^{2k^+} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} 2^{-k^+} \right) \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (3.26)$$

- If $2^j \geq \langle t \rangle 2^k 2^{-2k^+}$, then $2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+} \leq 2^{2k^+} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}$. Hence, we choose the first term in the minimum of (3.24) to sum up over j and get

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+} \leq 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^{-k^+} \left(\langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-k} 2^{2k^+} \right) \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (3.27)$$

Summarizing the above two cases, we have that

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (3.28)$$

□

Lemma 3.6. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 2$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $1 \leq 2^{2k^-} \langle t \rangle$, we have for some sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$,

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{4k^+} 2^{-k^- (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4})}. \quad (3.29)$$

Proof. Based on Lemma A.14, we know

$$\left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{5k^+} 2^{-k^-} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} (2^{2k^-} \langle t \rangle)^{\frac{\sigma}{8}} \|Q_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}}. \quad (3.30)$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\|Q_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n+1) 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.31)$$

Combining the above two, we have

$$\left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{4k^+} 2^{-k^-} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} (2^{2k^-} \langle t \rangle)^{\frac{\sigma}{8}}. \quad (3.32)$$

Summing up over $j \geq -k^-$, we have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{4k^+} 2^{-\frac{k^-}{2}} (2^{2k^-} \langle t \rangle)^{\frac{\sigma}{8}}. \quad (3.33)$$

Hence, our result naturally follows. $\square$

Remark 3.3. Roughly speaking, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 provide the dispersive estimates

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \sim \langle t \rangle^{-1}, \quad (3.34)$$

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t)\|_{L^\infty} \sim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (3.35)$$

This is consistent with the standard dispersive estimates for the wave equation and Klein-Gordon equations. However, there is a delicate trade-off between time decay and the weights of k, k^+, k^- .

Lemma 3.7. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$, we have that for some sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$,*

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}k}, \quad (3.36)$$

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-k^-} 2^{-k^+}, \quad (3.37)$$

and

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-k} 2^{-k^+}, \quad (3.38)$$

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.39)$$

Proof. Based on (A.3.4) in Lemma A.11, we know

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-k} 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} \|Q_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}}. \quad (3.40)$$

From Lemma 3.2, we know

$$\|Q_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-j} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}. \quad (3.41)$$

Combining the above two bounds, we have

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}k}. \quad (3.42)$$

Summing up over $j \geq -k^-$, we have

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{8})k^-} 2^{-(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{8})k}. \quad (3.43)$$

For $k \geq 0$, $k = k^+$ and for $k \leq 0$, $k = k^-$. Hence, we arrive at (3.37).

Similarly, based on (A.3.4) in Lemma A.11, we know

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-k} 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} \|Q_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}}. \quad (3.44)$$

From Lemma 3.2, we know

$$\|Q_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.45)$$

Combining the above two bounds, we have

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-k} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.46)$$

Summing up over $j \geq -k^-$, we have

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Y(k, t; n) 2^{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{8})k^-} 2^{-(1 - \frac{\sigma}{8})k} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.47)$$

For $k \geq 0$, $k = k^+$ and for $k \leq 0$, $k = k^-$. Hence, we arrive at (3.39). $\square$

3.3. Estimates of V_∞^{wa} and V_∞^{kg} .

Lemma 3.8. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$,

$$\|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n-1) 2^{\frac{1}{2}k}, \quad (3.48)$$

$$\|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n-1), \quad (3.49)$$

and for any $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$,

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}}\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k}, \quad (3.50)$$

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}}\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.51)$$

Proof. Based on the definition of Y_1 and Y_2 norms, this is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.1 and Remark 3.1. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$2^j \|Q_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k}, \quad (3.52)$$

$$2^j \|Q_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-k^+}, \quad (3.53)$$

and

$$\|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} 2^{k^-}, \quad (3.54)$$

$$\|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-k^+} 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.55)$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.2. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (3.56)$$

$$\|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.57)$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.3. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \min \left\{ 2^{-j}, \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\} 2^k, \quad (3.58)$$

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{3}{2}k}, 2^{3k^+} 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right\} 2^{-j} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.59)$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.4. $\square$

Lemma 3.12. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \min \left\{ 2^{k^-}, \ln \langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\} 2^k, \quad (3.60)$$

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{5}{2}k^-}, 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\}. \quad (3.61)$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.5. $\square$

Remark 3.4. Note that we have $\ln \langle t \rangle$ in the estimate. Unlike the G^{wa} estimate in Lemma 3.5 which has $\langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)}$ decay to kill the logarithmic term, this is a major troublemaker for later proofs since it does not give exact one-order decay. We need an improved version (see Lemma 3.15).

Lemma 3.13. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 2$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $1 \leq 2^{2k^-} \langle t \rangle$, we have for some sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$,

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{4k^+} 2^{-k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4}}. \quad (3.62)$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.6. $\square$

Lemma 3.14. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have that for some sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$,*

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-\frac{3}{2}k}, \quad (3.63)$$

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-k^-} 2^{-k^+}, \quad (3.64)$$

and

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-k} 2^{-k^+}, \quad (3.65)$$

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-} 2^{-k^+}. \quad (3.66)$$

Proof. See the proof of Lemma 3.7. $\square$

Lemma 3.15. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 2$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^k. \quad (3.67)$$

Proof. Let $2^{J_0} = \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}$. We divide it into the following cases:

- If $2^{k^-} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, then by Lemma 3.12, we have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{k^-} 2^k \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^k. \quad (3.68)$$

- If $2^{k^-} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $j \leq J_0$, by (A.3.8) in Lemma A.13, we have

$$\left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{\leq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{2k} \left\| \widehat{Q_{\leq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty}. \quad (3.69)$$

Based on Lemma A.10 and Lemma 3.10, we have

$$\left\| \widehat{Q_{\leq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} - \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{\leq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{j \geq -k^-} 2^{-\frac{5}{2}j} 2^{-4k} \|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{3}{2}k^-} \|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-k^-}. \quad (3.70)$$

Also, based on the proof of Lemma 3.14, we know

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{\leq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-k^-}. \quad (3.71)$$

In total, we have

$$\left\| \widehat{Q_{\leq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) 2^{-k^-}. \quad (3.72)$$

Hence, we have

$$\left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{\leq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{2k} 2^{-k^-}. \quad (3.73)$$

For $k \geq 0$, $k^- = 0$, so the desired result naturally holds. For $k \leq 0$, $k = k^-$, so we know $2^{2k} 2^{-k^-} = 2^{k^-}$.

Hence, our desired result is true.

- If $2^{k^-} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $j \geq J_0$ and $2^k \lesssim \langle t \rangle$ and $2^j \lesssim \langle t \rangle$, by (A.3.7) in Lemma A.13, we have

$$\left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{\geq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{j \geq J_0} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} (1 + 2^k \langle t \rangle)^{\frac{\sigma}{8}} \|Q_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}}. \quad (3.74)$$

Lemma 3.9 implies

$$\|Q_{jk} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n+1) 2^{-j} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}. \quad (3.75)$$

Combining them together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{\geq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq J_0} \varepsilon Z(k; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-j} (1 + 2^k \langle t \rangle)^{\frac{\sigma}{8}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \geq J_0} \varepsilon Z(k; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-1-\frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{\frac{\sigma}{8}k} 2^{-j} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n+1) \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{8})k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

Then for $\sigma > 0$ small, our result naturally holds.

- If $2^{k^-} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $j \geq J_0$ and $2^k \lesssim \langle t \rangle$ and $2^j \geq \langle t \rangle$, then $2^{-j} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$. Using Lemma 3.11, we have

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{\geq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \sum_{2^{-j} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-1}} 2^{-j} 2^k \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^k. \quad (3.77)$$

- If $2^{k^-} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $j \geq J_0$ and $2^k \gtrsim \langle t \rangle$, we know $k \geq 0$ and $k = k^+$. Hence, by Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{\geq J_0 k} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|P_k V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{H^2} \lesssim \varepsilon 2^{2k^+} \|\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} V_{\mathcal{L},\infty}^{wa}\|_{H^{N(0)}} \lesssim \varepsilon Z(k; n) \langle t \rangle^{-N_0}. \quad (3.78)$$

This suffices to close the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.5. Compared with Lemma 3.12, the key improvement here is that we get rid of $\ln \langle t \rangle$. This is crucial for later estimates.

3.4. Estimates of h_∞ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty$. The low-frequency part $h_\infty(t, \xi)$ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi)$ of the wave component are defined in (2.61) and (2.62). Note that the low-frequency cutoff function in h_∞ and $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ implies that $|\xi| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} \leq 1$. Hence, all estimates in this subsection do not have k^+ part.

Lemma 3.16. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then we have*

$$\|\varphi_k h_\infty\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (3.79)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k h_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-k}. \quad (3.80)$$

Also, we have

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} h_\infty\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (3.81)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} h_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-k}. \quad (3.82)$$

Proof. Based on (2.61), we know

$$h_\infty(t, \xi) \simeq \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\eta)|^2 d\eta. \quad (3.83)$$

Let $\psi(\xi, \eta) := |\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle}$. Then $\nabla_\eta \psi = \frac{\eta(\xi \cdot \eta) - \xi(\eta)^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^3}$ and $\nabla_\eta^2 \psi = \frac{6(\xi \cdot \eta)(\eta)^2 - 3(\xi \cdot \eta)|\eta|^2}{\langle \eta \rangle^5}$, which yields $|\nabla_\eta \psi| \simeq |\xi| \langle \eta \rangle^{-1}$ and $|\nabla_\eta^2 \psi| \simeq |\xi| \langle \eta \rangle^{-2}$. Using integration by parts in η (see (A.1.3)) and estimates in Lemma 3.8, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_k h_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-k} \|\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}\|_{L_\eta^2} \|\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}\|_{H_\eta^1} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-k} \langle t \rangle^{-1}. \quad (3.84)$$

Also, by Hölder's inequality and taking into account the measure of the support of φ_k , we have

$$\|\varphi_k h_\infty\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \|\varphi_k h_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \langle t \rangle^{-1}. \quad (3.85)$$

Noting that

$$\partial_{\xi_\ell} h_\infty(t, \xi) \simeq \langle t \rangle \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\eta)|^2 d\eta, \quad (3.86)$$

the $\partial_{\xi_\ell} h_\infty$ estimates follow. $\square$

Lemma 3.17. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then we have*

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (3.87)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-k}. \quad (3.88)$$

Also, we have

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (3.89)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-2k}. \quad (3.90)$$

Proof. We first use integration in time (see (A.1.2)) to obtain

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi) \simeq \int_0^t \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle s \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{is(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\eta)|^2 d\eta ds \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{i(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} \left(e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} - 1 \right) |\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\eta)|^2 d\eta. \quad (3.91)$$

Here the cutoff $\varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle s \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}})$ only sets an upper bound $|\xi|^{-\frac{8}{7}}$ for the time integration limit. It will not intervene the integral estimate. Notice that

$$\left| \frac{1}{i(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} \left(e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} - 1 \right) \right| \lesssim |\xi|^{-1} \langle \eta \rangle. \quad (3.92)$$

Hence, using Lemma 3.8, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \eta \rangle |\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\eta)|^2 d\eta \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-k}, \quad (3.93)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \|\varphi_k \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (3.94)$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{H}_\infty \simeq \int_0^t \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle s \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle s \rangle e^{is(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} |\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\eta)|^2 d\eta ds. \quad (3.95)$$

The key is to handle the extra $\langle s \rangle$. Integration by parts in η as in the proof of Lemma 3.16 creates $\langle s \rangle^{-1} |\xi|^{-1}$ (thus the net effect is to lose $|\xi|^{-1}$). We then apply the above argument to obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{-2k} \|\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}\|_{H_\eta^1}^2 \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-2k}, \quad (3.96)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{H}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (3.97)$$

□

Lemma 3.18. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_\infty) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \min \left\{ 2^{-j}, \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\} 2^k, \quad (3.98)$$

and

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_\infty) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \min \left\{ 2^{k^-}, \ln \langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\} 2^k \quad (3.99)$$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.11 and Lemma 3.12 using Lemma 3.17. □

Lemma 3.19. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then we have*

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk}(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \mathcal{H}_\infty) \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^k. \quad (3.100)$$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.15 using Lemma 3.17. □

3.5. Estimates of $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ and $\mathcal{D}_\infty$. The definitions of $\mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi)$ and $\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)$ are given in (2.64) and (2.65).

Lemma 3.20. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then we have*

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{C}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon 2^{3k} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle t \rangle, \quad (3.101)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{C}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon 2^{5k} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle t \rangle. \quad (3.102)$$

Proof. Recall that

$$\mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi) \simeq \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \operatorname{Im} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} H_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \right\}, \quad (3.103)$$

where

$$H_\infty(t, \xi) = \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \left\{ \widehat{V_\infty^{wa}}(\xi) + \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi) \right\}. \quad (3.104)$$

We will focus on $\mathcal{H}_\infty$ part, and the similar techniques can apply to $\widehat{V_\infty^{wa}}$ part. We look at

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \simeq \int_{|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (3.105)$$

From Lemma 3.17, we know

$$|\mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi)| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 |\xi|^{-1}, \quad (3.106)$$

$$|\partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \xi)| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 |\xi|^{-2}. \quad (3.107)$$

For $|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, we directly integrate to obtain

$$\left| \int_{|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \int_{|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}} |\eta|^{-2} d\eta \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1}. \quad (3.108)$$

For $\langle t \rangle^{-1} \lesssim |\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}$, we use integration by parts in η following (A.1.3) with $\psi(\xi, \eta) := \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|$. Since $|\nabla_\eta \psi| \simeq |\xi|^{-1}$ and $|\nabla_\eta^2 \psi| \simeq |\eta|^{-1}$, we get

$$\left| \int_{\langle t \rangle^{-1} \lesssim |\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 |\xi|^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \int_{\langle t \rangle^{-1} \lesssim |\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}} |\eta|^{-3} d\eta \lesssim \varepsilon^2 |\xi|^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle t \rangle. \quad (3.109)$$

Therefore, from the definition of $\mathcal{C}_\infty$, we have

$$|\mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi)| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 |\xi|^3 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle t \rangle. \quad (3.110)$$

Next we turn to the bound of $\nabla_\xi \mathcal{C}_\infty$. If ∇_ξ hits $\frac{\xi}{\langle \xi \rangle^2}$, then following the proof of Lemma 3.20, we get the desired result. Hence, we focus on the case where ∇_ξ hits the integral:

$$\nabla_\xi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle t \rangle \eta e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle t \rangle e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (3.111)$$

Note that now we do not have the singularity of $|\eta|^{-1}$ anymore. Using again integration by parts in η , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle t \rangle e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \simeq |\xi|^2 \int_{|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta + |\xi|^2 \int_{|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \nabla_\eta \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (3.112)$$

Then for both terms, we may follow the above discussion for $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ estimate to get

$$\left| \int_{|\eta| \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}}} \langle t \rangle e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \mathcal{H}_\infty(t, \eta) d\eta \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 |\xi|^5 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle t \rangle, \quad (3.113)$$

which concludes the proof of (3.102). $\square$

Lemma 3.21. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then we have

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{D}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon 2^{3k} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2, \quad (3.114)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathcal{D}_\infty\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon 2^{5k} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2. \quad (3.115)$$

Proof. It follows from taking time integral of Lemma 3.20. $\square$

Lemma 3.22. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for $1 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \left[e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 Z(k; n-1) 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.116)$$

Also, for $1 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \left[\partial_{\xi_\ell} \left(e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right) \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 Z(k; n-1) 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.117)$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{W^{kg}}(t, \xi) := e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi)$. Based on (2.26), we have

$$\widehat{W_\mathcal{L}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \sim e^{it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \left[e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{W^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right]. \quad (3.118)$$

Here we use $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ to denote the corresponding vector field under Fourier transform.

We first consider $n = 1$ case. For rotation vector fields, we know

$$\widehat{\Omega}_{jk} \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{W^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right) = e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left[\left(\widehat{\Omega}_{jk} e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \right) \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) + e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \left(\widehat{\Omega}_{jk} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right) \right]. \quad (3.119)$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \varphi_k \widehat{W_\mathcal{L}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \left\| \widehat{\Omega}_{jk} \mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \left\| \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} + \left\| \widehat{\Omega}_{jk} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \ln \langle t \rangle Z(k; n-1) 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.120)$$

For Lorentz vector fields, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Gamma}_j \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{W^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right) &= e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left\{ i \langle \xi \rangle \left[\partial_{\xi_j} e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) + e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \partial_{\xi_j} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right] \right. \\ &\quad + i \frac{\xi_j}{\langle \xi \rangle} e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) + it \frac{\xi_j}{\langle \xi \rangle} \mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi) e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \\ &\quad \left. + \left[\partial_{\xi_j} \mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi) e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) + \mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi) \partial_{\xi_j} e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) + \mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi) e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \partial_{\xi_j} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.121)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varphi_k \widehat{W_\mathcal{L}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \left(1 + \|\nabla_\xi \mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} + \|\nabla_\xi \mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} + \|\langle t \rangle \mathcal{C}_\infty(t, \xi)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \right) \left(\|\widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^2} + \|\nabla_\xi \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi)\|_{L_\xi^2} \right) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 Z(k; n-1) 2^{k^-}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.122)$$

In summary, we have verified that for $n = 1$,

$$\left\| \varphi_k \widehat{W_\mathcal{L}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 Z(k; n-1) 2^{k^-}. \quad (3.123)$$

For $n > 1$, we may continue the above process. However, the newly created terms are always combination of the above. With the techniques in Lemma 3.20 and Lemma 3.21 in hand, the result will follow. The similar argument also applies to the ∂_{ξ_ℓ} estimate. $\square$

Lemma 3.23. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for $1 \leq n \leq N_1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} \left\{ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right] \right\} \right\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 Z(k; n-1) \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{5}{2}k^-}, 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\}. \quad (3.124)$$

Proof. It is similar to Lemma 3.12 using Lemma 3.22. $\square$

Lemma 3.24. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for $0 < n \leq N_1$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $1 \leq 2^{2k^-} \langle t \rangle$, we have for some σ sufficiently small,

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} \left\{ \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}}(\xi) \right] \right\} \right\|_{\mathcal{L}_{L^\infty}} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 Z(k; n) 2^{4k^+} 2^{-k^-(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4})}. \quad (3.125)$$

Proof. It is similar to Lemma 3.13 using Lemma 3.22. $\square$

Remark 3.6. For $n = 0$ case, the result also holds, but it does not have $\ln \langle t \rangle$ since there is no vector fields applied.

3.6. Estimates of $\mathbf{b}_\infty$ and $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$. Recall that $\mathbf{b}_\infty$ and $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ are non-resonant contributions defined in (2.69) and (2.68).

Lemma 3.25. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then we have

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{b}_\infty\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-N(n)k}, \quad (3.126)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathbf{b}_\infty\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{-N(n)k}. \quad (3.127)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\mathbf{b}_\infty \simeq \sum_{\iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \sum_{k_1, k_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \left(\varphi_{k_1} e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right)(t, \xi - \eta) \varphi_{k_2} H_\infty^{t, \iota_2}(\eta) d\eta. \quad (3.128)$$

Since H_∞ has a cutoff function $\varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}})$, using Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.19, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_k \mathbf{b}_\infty\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \left\| \varphi_{k_1} e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| e^{it\Lambda_{wa}} P_{k_2} (\mathcal{F}^{-1} H_\infty^{\iota_2}) \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \left(\varepsilon 2^{-N(N_0)k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-N(N_0)k_2^+} 2^{k_2} \right) \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{k_1} 2^{-N_0 k_1^+ - N_0 k_2^+}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result naturally follows from Lemma A.3. The ξ derivative estimates follow with an extra $\langle t \rangle$ created. $\square$

Lemma 3.26. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then we have

$$\|\varphi_k \mathfrak{B}_\infty\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-N(n)k}, \quad (3.129)$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \mathfrak{B}_\infty\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-N(n)k}. \quad (3.130)$$

Proof. Note that

$$\mathfrak{B}_\infty \simeq e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} \int_t^\infty e^{-i\mathcal{D}_\infty(s, \xi)} \mathbf{b}_\infty(s, \xi) ds. \quad (3.131)$$

For the non-resonant case, $\psi(\xi, \eta) := \langle \xi \rangle + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|$ satisfies $|\psi| \gtrsim 1$. Noticing that $\mathbf{b}_\infty(\infty, \xi) = 0$ due to the cutoff function, we then integrate by parts in time using (A.1.2) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_t^\infty e^{-i\mathcal{D}_\infty(s, \xi)} \mathbf{b}_\infty(s, \xi) ds \\ &= \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle s \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{-i\mathcal{D}_\infty(s, \xi)} e^{is\psi} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \left(e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right)(s, \xi - \eta) (V_\infty^{wa, \iota_2} + \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2})(s, \eta) d\eta ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \frac{1}{i\psi} e^{-i\mathcal{D}_\infty(t, \xi)} e^{it\psi} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \left(e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right)(t, \xi - \eta) (V_\infty^{wa, \iota_2} + \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2})(t, \eta) d\eta \\ &\quad - \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{i\psi} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} e^{is\psi} \partial_s \left[\varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle s \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{-i\mathcal{D}_\infty(s, \xi)} \left(e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right)(s, \xi - \eta) (V_\infty^{wa, \iota_2} + \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2})(s, \eta) \right] d\eta ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.132)$$

In the following estimates, we will focus on the $\mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2}$ part and the bounds for V_∞^{wa, ι_2} is similar and even simpler. on the right-hand side of (3.132), we call the first integral M_1 , and split the second integral into M_2, M_3, M_4 when

∂_s hits different parts. The first term M_1 in (3.132) does not have time integral, so we may directly bound its L^2 norm by Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.17 as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_k M_1\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \left\| \varphi_{k_1} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_{k_2} \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{1}{2} k_2} 2^{k_1} \left(\varepsilon Z(k_1; n) 2^{-k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2} k_2^-} \right) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} Z(k; n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.133)$$

For the second term in (3.132), we further discuss the effects of ∂_s . Note that $\partial_s \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle s \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \simeq |\eta| \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{8}} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle s \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}})$. Then the resulting quantity M_2 is bounded by Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.17 as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_k M_2\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \int_t^\infty \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{8}} 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} 2^{k_1} \left\| \varphi_{k_1} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_{k_2} \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \int_t^\infty \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{1}{8}} 2^{\frac{3}{2} k_2} 2^{k_1} \left(\varepsilon Z(k_1; n) 2^{-k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2} k_2^-} \right) \lesssim \int_t^\infty \varepsilon^3 \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{15}{8}} Z(k; n) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} Z(k; n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.134)$$

Next, since $\partial_s e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(s, \xi)} = i\mathcal{C}_\infty(s, \xi) e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty(s, \xi)}$, the resulting quantity M_3 is bounded using Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.20 and Lemma 3.17 as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_k M_3\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \int_t^\infty 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \left(\varepsilon 2^{3k_1} \langle s \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle s \rangle \right) \left\| \varphi_{k_1} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_{k_2} \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \int_t^\infty \varepsilon \langle s \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle s \rangle 2^{\frac{1}{2} k_2} 2^{4k_1} \left(\varepsilon Z(k_1; n) 2^{-k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2} k_2^-} \right) \\ &\lesssim \int_t^\infty \varepsilon^4 \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{15}{8}} \ln \langle s \rangle Z(k; n) \lesssim \varepsilon^4 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} \ln \langle t \rangle Z(k; n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.135)$$

Finally, since $\partial_s \mathcal{H}_\infty^{\iota_2} = h_\infty^{\iota_2}$, the resulting quantity M_4 is bounded using Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.16 as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_k M_4\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \int_t^\infty 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \left\| \varphi_{k_1} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, -}} \right\|_{L^2} \|\varphi_{k_2} h_\infty^{\iota_2}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \int_t^\infty 2^{\frac{1}{2} k_2} 2^{k_1} \left(\varepsilon Z(k_1; n) 2^{-k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon^2 \langle s \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{1}{2} k_2^-} \right) \lesssim \int_t^\infty \varepsilon^3 \langle s \rangle^{-\frac{15}{8}} Z(k; n) \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} Z(k; n). \end{aligned} \quad (3.136)$$

Summarizing all above, we have that

$$\|\varphi_k \mathfrak{B}_\infty\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} \ln \langle t \rangle Z(k; n). \quad (3.137)$$

The ξ derivative estimates follow with an extra $\langle t \rangle$ created. $\square$

Remark 3.7. Comparing Lemma 3.25 and Lemma 3.26, we can clearly see that the estimate of $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ is much better than direct integrating over time in the estimate of $\mathfrak{b}_\infty$. The main reason is that $\mathfrak{B}_\infty$ has time integration, which allows us to make use of integration by parts in time (normal forms) for the non-resonant case. However, without time integration, $\mathfrak{b}_\infty$ estimate is similar to that of the resonant terms, which decays rather slowly.

Lemma 3.27. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} \mathfrak{B}_\infty\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8}} \ln \langle t \rangle Z(k; n-1) \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{1}{2} k^+} 2^{\frac{5}{2} k^-}, 2^{\frac{3}{2} k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2} k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\}. \quad (3.138)$$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.12 using Lemma 3.26. $\square$

Lemma 3.28. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. Then for $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$ with $1 \leq 2^{2k^-} \langle t \rangle$, we have for some $\sigma > 0$,*

$$\sum_{j \geq -k^-} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk} \mathfrak{B}_\infty\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{8} - \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} \ln \langle t \rangle Z(k; n) 2^{4k^+} 2^{-k^- (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4})}. \quad (3.139)$$

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.13 using Lemma 3.26. $\square$

4. BOUNDS ON THE NONLINEARITIES OF WAVE EQUATION

Based on (2.71), in order to control $\partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}$, it suffices to bound for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - h_{\mathcal{L},\infty} &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \left\{ \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}] \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^2} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^2} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right] \left. \right\} \\ &\quad + \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^2} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^2} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] - h_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

$\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2}$ is a summation over all combinations of vector fields $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_2}$ with $n_1 + n_2 = n$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_2$ (due to Leibniz rule). Also, all variables here with $\mathcal{L}_1$ or $\mathcal{L}_2$ should be understood in the sense of (2.25) and (2.26).

4.1. S'_1 Estimates.

Lemma 4.1. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}] \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H''_{wa}(n)\delta)} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $n_1 \leq n_2$. For simplicity, we temporarily ignore ι_1 and ι_2 superscripts. Our proof mainly relies on two types of bounds (A.4.1) and (A.4.2).

In principle, based on Remark 3.1 (the worst scenario is that all $\mathcal{L}$ hit the same G^{kg} , then we cannot use Lemma 3.3), we always have

$$\|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then we focus on the bounds of $P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}$. Note that we always have $n_1 \leq N_1 - 2$ since $n_1 \leq n_2$ and $n_1 + n_2 \leq n \leq N_1$, and that $k^+ \lesssim \max\{k_1^+, k_2^+\}$ due to Lemma A.3.

We first discuss the case when $n = N_1 = 3$. We divide it into several cases:

- Case I: For $2^{k^-} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, (A.4.1) and Lemma 3.3 justify that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} \|P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+} 2^{k_1^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} \right) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1)+H(n_2))\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{k_1^-} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n_1)\delta+H(n_2)\delta)} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\}k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Note that

$$H(n_1) + H(n_2) \geq H''_{wa}(n), \quad \min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\} \geq N''_{wa}(n). \quad (4.5)$$

- Case II: For $2^{k^-} \gtrsim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $2^{k_1^-} \lesssim 2^{k^-}$, (A.4.2) together with Lemma 3.5 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| e^{it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg} \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1+1)\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} \right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1+1)+H(n_2))\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n_1+1)\delta+H(n_2)\delta)} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2}, N(n_2)\}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Note that summation over k_1 will result in $2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}$. Also, we have

$$H(n_1+1) + H(n_2) \geq H''_{wa}(n), \quad \min \left\{ N(n_1+1) - \frac{5}{2}, N(n_2) \right\} \geq N''_{wa}(n). \tag{4.7}$$

- Case III: For $2^{k^-} \gtrsim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $2^{k_1^-} \lesssim 2^{-k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, (A.4.1) and Lemma 3.3 implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2}\min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} \left\| P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg} \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^-} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+} 2^{k_1^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} \right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{3}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1)+H(n_2))\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{k_1^-} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n_1)\delta+H(n_2)\delta)} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Note that summation over k_1 will result in $2^{-k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, and that

$$H(n_1) + H(n_2) \geq H''_{wa}(n), \quad \min \{N(n_1), N(n_2)\} \geq N''_{wa}(n). \tag{4.9}$$

- Case IV: For $2^{k^-} \gtrsim \langle t \rangle^{-1}$ and $2^{k_1^-} \gtrsim 2^{k^-}$ and $2^{k_1^-} \gtrsim 2^{-k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1}$, (A.4.2) and Lemma 3.6 implies (in this case, we naturally have $2^{2k_1^-} \langle t \rangle \gtrsim 2^{k_1^-} 2^{k^-} \langle t \rangle \gtrsim 1$)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg} \right\|_{L^\infty} \left\| P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg} \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1+2)\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+2)-4)k_1^+} 2^{-k_1^- (\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\sigma}{4})} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\sigma}{8}} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} \right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1+2)+H(n_2))\delta+\frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-(N(n_1+2)-4)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{\sigma}{4}k_1^-} \left(2^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Note that $2^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k^-} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}] \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1+2)+H(n_2))\delta+\frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-(N(n_1+2)-4)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{\sigma}{4}k_1^-} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n_1)\delta+H(n_2)\delta)-\frac{\delta}{8}} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1+2)-4, N(n_2)\}k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Here we have for $\sigma \simeq \delta$,

$$H(n_1+2) + H(n_2) - \frac{1}{8} \geq H''_{wa}(n), \quad \min \{N(n_1+2) - 4, N(n_2)\} \geq N''_{wa}(n). \tag{4.12}$$

The above discussion works perfectly well for $n = N_1 = 3$ (i.e. $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 3)$ or $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 2)$). For the other n , there are subtle issues (a naive application of the above recipe does not give sufficient control to N''_{wa}), so we need a detailed discussion:

- $n = 2$ (i.e. $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 2)$ or $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 1)$): If $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 2)$, then the above recipe works well. However, if $(n_1, n_2) = (1, 1)$, we need to discuss based on k, k_1, k_2 values. Based on Lemma A.3, if $k \simeq k_2 \geq k_1$ or $k_1 \simeq k_2 \geq k$, we can still use the above recipe; if $k \simeq k_1 \geq k_2$, then in Case I through Case IV, we interchange the status of $G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}$ and $G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}$, which can improve N''_{wa} .

- $n = 1$ (i.e. $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 1)$) or $n = 0$ (i.e. $(n_1, n_2) = (0, 0)$): Based on Lemma A.3, if $k \simeq k_2 \geq k_1$ or $k_1 \simeq k_2 \geq k$, we can still use the above recipe; if $k \simeq k_1 \geq k_2$, then in Case I through Case IV, we interchange the status of $G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}$ and $G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}$.

□

Lemma 4.2. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}} (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n)\delta)} 2^{-N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\left\| \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n)\delta)} 2^{-N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.14)$$

Proof. Basically, the proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1. The only difference is that we do not have $H(n_2)$ time decay, so we should always assign L^2 to $G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}$ or $G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}$. Then $(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}$ or $(e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2}$ may take L^2 or L^∞ with Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.12, Lemma 3.26 and Lemma 3.28 following the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.1.

- If only partial $\mathcal{L}$ hits G^{kg} , then we have sufficient time decay and k^+ decay since less $\mathcal{L}$ yields faster decay rate.
- If the full $\mathcal{L}$ hits G^{kg} , then this term already achieve the critical time decay and k^+ decay in the desired result.

In both cases, we can get the desired result. □

Lemma 4.3. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] - h_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n)\delta)} 2^{-N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.15)$$

Proof. Based on the proof of [6, Lemma 4.2], we have (up to fast-decaying terms)

$$h_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \sim \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} h_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \infty} := \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} (e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1}(-\eta) (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\eta) d\eta. \quad (4.16)$$

In the following estimates, let $\widehat{g}_1 = (e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ and $\widehat{g}_2 = (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2}$.

Step 1. Resonant Case: $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, -)$ or $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (-, +)$

We further decompose $\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}$ into low-frequency and high-frequency parts:

$$\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] = \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] + \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2]. \quad (4.17)$$

Step 1-1. Resonant Case - Low Frequency

We may decompose

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \\ & \simeq \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)} a_{-+}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} \widehat{g}_1(t, -\eta) \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta \right\} \\ & = \mathcal{S}_1 + \mathcal{S}_2 + \mathcal{S}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_1 := \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)} [a_{-+}(\xi, \eta) - 2] \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta, \quad (4.19)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_2 := 2\varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)} - e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} \right] \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta, \quad (4.20)$$

$$\mathcal{S}_3 := 2\varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} [\widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) - \widehat{g}_1(t, -\eta)] \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (4.21)$$

For $\mathcal{S}_1$, noticing that

$$|a_{-+}(\xi, \eta) - 2| = \left| 1 - \frac{(\xi - \eta) \cdot \eta - 1}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle \langle \eta \rangle} - 2 \right| \lesssim |\xi|, \quad (4.22)$$

we may use (A.4.1) and Lemma 3.22 to obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{S}_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} 2^k \varphi_{\leq 0}(2^k \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \|\widehat{g}_1\|_{L^2} \|\widehat{g}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.23)$$

For $\mathcal{S}_2$, noticing that

$$\left| e^{it\Phi_{wa}^+(\xi, \eta)} - e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} \right| = \left| e^{it(|\xi| + \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \langle \eta \rangle)} - e^{it(|\xi| - \frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \eta \rangle})} \right| \lesssim |\xi|^2 \langle t \rangle, \quad (4.24)$$

we may use (A.4.1) and Lemma 3.22 to obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{S}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} 2^{2k} \langle t \rangle \varphi_{\leq 0}(2^k \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \|\widehat{g}_1\|_{L^2} \|\widehat{g}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{13}{8}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.25)$$

$\mathcal{S}_3$ is a bit more delicate. Lemma 3.8 together with Minkowski's integral inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{g}_1(t, -\eta) - \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta)\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left\| \int_0^{|\xi|} \partial_{\hat{\xi}} \widehat{g}_1(t, -\eta + c \hat{\xi}) \, dc \right\|_{L^2_{\eta}} \lesssim \int_0^{|\xi|} \|\partial_{\hat{\xi}} \widehat{g}_1(t, -\eta + c \hat{\xi})\|_{L^2_{\eta}} \, dc \\ &\lesssim \int_0^{|\xi|} \|\partial_{\eta} \widehat{g}_1(t, -\eta)\|_{L^2_{\eta}} \, dc \lesssim |\xi| \|\partial_{\eta} \widehat{g}_1(t, \eta)\|_{L^2_{\eta}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

Then we may use (A.4.1) and Lemma 3.22 to obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{S}_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \varphi_{\leq 0}(2^k \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \|\widehat{g}_1\|_{H^1_{\eta}} \|\widehat{g}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{13}{8}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.27)$$

In total, we have for $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (-, +)$ case

$$\left\| \varphi_{\leq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^+[\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] - \frac{1}{2} h_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{4}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.28)$$

By a similar argument, we may justify $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, -)$ case.

Step 1-2. Resonant Case - High Frequency

For the high-frequency part, we bound

$$\left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}[\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] \right\} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}[\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\} \right\|_{L^2}. \quad (4.29)$$

If $2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \leq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we integrate by parts in η using (A.1.3), and apply Lemma 3.22 and (A.4.1) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}[\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}[\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-k} \|\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1\|_{H^1_{\eta}} \|\varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2\|_{H^1_{\eta}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{k_1^- + k_2^-} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\}k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

If $2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\max(k_1, k_2) \geq 0$ (without loss of generality, we assume $k_1 \gtrsim k_2$), we apply (A.4.2) and Lemma 3.24, Lemma 3.13 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}[\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \|\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_2} g_2\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{-k_1^- (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4})} 2^{k_2^-} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{k_2^-} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{17}{16} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\}k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

If $2^{\min(k_1, k_2)} \geq \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\max(k_1, k_2) \leq 0$, we integrate by parts in η using (A.1.3), and apply (A.4.2) and Lemma 3.23, Lemma 3.12 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\} \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-k} \|\varphi_{k_1} \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{g}_1\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_2} g_2\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} 2^{k_2^-} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{25}{16}} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\}k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

In total, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \varphi_{\geq 0}(\xi \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \cdot \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{17}{16} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-N(n)k} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.33)$$

Step 2. Non-Resonant Case: $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, +)$ or $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (-, -)$

Using stationary phase argument in Lemma A.1 (with $\eta_c = \xi/2$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2] \right\|_{L^\infty} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{\frac{5}{2}k^+} \|\varphi_{k_1} \widehat{g}_1\|_{L^\infty} \|\varphi_{k_2} \widehat{g}_2\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{\frac{5}{2}k^+ - N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{k_1^- + k_2^-} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{19}{8}} 2^{-(N(n)-5)k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

Remark 4.1. The application of stationary phase argument highly depends on the non-resonant phase, which is not applicable to the resonant phase.

Step 3. $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_j, \infty}$ Terms

Note that all terms related to $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_j, \infty}$ can be bounded in a similar manner as Lemma 4.1 since Lemma 3.26 and Lemma 3.27 provide sufficient time decay. $\square$

Combining Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 4.4. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_t \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H''_{wa}(n)\delta)} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.35)$$

4.2. T'_1 Estimates.

Lemma 4.5. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}] \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.36)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1 and of [21, Lemma 4.2].

$$\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}](t, \xi) \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}} a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}}(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (4.37)$$

Note that ξ_ℓ derivative may hit the phase $e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}}$, the multiplier $a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}$, or $G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}(\xi - \eta)$.

- If ξ_ℓ derivative hits the phase $e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}}$, then we have an extra $\langle t \rangle$ term popping out. Following exactly the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we get the desired result.
- If ξ_ℓ derivative hits the multiplier $a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}$, then nothing happens and we follow the same proof of Lemma 4.1.
- If ξ_ℓ derivative hits $\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}(\xi - \eta)$, then we simply use (A.4.1) and Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\varphi_{k_1} (\partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}), \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right] \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} \left\| \varphi_{k_1} (\partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}) \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1+1)+H(n_2))\delta} 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)+1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

Here, through change of variables in the convolution, we can always assume $k_1 \leq k_2$, so we know both $H''_{wa}(n)$ and $N''_{wa}(n)$ requirements can be met. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, (\widehat{e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}, \quad (4.39)$$

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(\widehat{e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right] \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.40)$$

Proof. It is similar to the proofs of Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.5. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(\widehat{e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, (\widehat{e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] - h_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right) \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.41)$$

Proof. It is similar to the proofs of Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.5. $\square$

Combining Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 4.8. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} h_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (4.42)$$

5. BOUNDS ON THE NONLINEARITIES OF KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION

Based on (2.72), in order to control $\partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}$, it suffices to bound for any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - \left\{ i \mathcal{C}_\infty (e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}} + \mathfrak{B}_\infty) \right\}_{\mathcal{L}} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \\ &= \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \left\{ \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2} \right] + \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(\widehat{e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right] \left. \right\} \\ & \quad + \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(\widehat{e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (\widehat{e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} V_\infty^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

$\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2}$ is a summation over all combinations of vector fields $\mathcal{L}_1 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_2 \in \mathcal{V}_{n_2}$ with $n_1 + n_2 = n$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_1 \circ \mathcal{L}_2$. Again, all variables here with $\mathcal{L}_1$ or $\mathcal{L}_2$ should be understood in the sense of (2.25) and (2.26).

5.1. S'_2 Estimates.

Lemma 5.1. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H''_{kg}(n)\delta)} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. For simplicity, we temporarily ignore ι_1 and ι_2 superscripts. Considering Lemma A.3, it suffices to discuss the cases $k_1 \leq k_2$ and $k_2 \leq k_1$.

- Case I: $k_1 \leq k_2$ and $n_1 \leq N_1 - 1$. We use (A.4.8) combined with Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \|e^{it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1+1)\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2^-} \right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1+1)+H(n_2))\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+ - N(n_2+1)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^- + \frac{1}{2}k_2^-} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n_1+1)\delta+H(n_2)\delta)} 2^{-\min\{N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2}, N(n_2+1)\}k^+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Note that

$$H(n_1 + 1) + H(n_2) \geq H''_{kg}(n), \quad \min \left\{ N(n_1 + 1) - \frac{5}{2}, N(n_2 + 1) \right\} \geq N''_{kg}(n). \tag{5.4}$$

- Case II: $k_1 \leq k_2$ and $n_1 = N_1$. We use (A.4.8) combined with Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.5 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \|P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \|e^{it\Lambda_{wa}} P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(N_1)\delta} 2^{-N(N_1)k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-H(1)\delta} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-N(1)k_2^+} 2^{k_2^-} \right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(N_1)+H(1))\delta} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-N(N_1)k_1^+ - N(1)k_2^+} 2^{k_2^-} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(N_1)\delta+H(1)\delta)} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-\min\{N(N_1), N(1)\}k^+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Note that

$$H(N_1) + H(1) \geq H''_{kg}(N_1), \quad \min \{N(N_1), N(1)\} \geq N''_{kg}(N_1). \tag{5.6}$$

- Case III: $k_2 \leq k_1$ and $n_2 \leq N_1 - 1$. We use (A.4.8) combined with Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.1 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \|P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \|e^{it\Lambda_{wa}} P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2+1)\delta} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-N(n_2+1)k_2^+} 2^{k_2^-} \right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1)+H(n_2+1))\delta} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-(N(n_1)-1)k_1^+ - N(n_2+1)k_2^+} 2^{k_1^-} \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n_1)\delta+H(n_2+1)\delta)} \ln \langle t \rangle 2^{-\min\{N(n_1)-1, N(n_2+1)\}k^+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Note that

$$H(n_1) + H(n_2 + 1) \geq H''_{kg}(n), \quad \min \{N(n_1) - 1, N(n_2 + 1)\} \geq N''_{kg}(n). \tag{5.8}$$

- Case IV: $k_2 \leq k_1$ and $n_2 = N_1$. This is the most complicated case. The key is to control the singular term $2^{-k_2^-}$. We need to bound

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}] \right\|_{L^2}. \tag{5.9}$$

The only possible control of $P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}$ is through Lemma 3.1 as

$$\|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(N_1)\delta} 2^{-N(N_1)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2^-}. \tag{5.10}$$

This is not enough and only provides $2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2^-}$. Then it remains to bound $P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}$ part and provide another $2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2^-}$. Notice that this has been achieved in the wave nonlinear estimate (Lemma 4.1). We just need to discuss the four cases (replacing k^- by k_2^- there) to get the desired estimate

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg} [P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H''_{kg}(n)\delta)} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}. \tag{5.11}$$

This suffices to close the proof. $\square$

Lemma 5.2. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n)\delta)} 2^{-N(n)k^+}, \quad (5.12)$$

$$\left\| \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\left(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n)\delta)} 2^{-N(n)k^+}. \quad (5.13)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.1. The only difference is that we do not have $H(n_2)$ time decay, so we should always assign L^2 to $G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}$ or $G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}$. The other part $V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2}$ or $(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}$ may take L^2 or L^∞ following the argument in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Then based on the similar analysis as in Lemma 4.2 and using the results from Lemma 3.19, Lemma 3.15 and Lemma 3.27, we get the desired result. $\square$

Lemma 5.3. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \varphi_k \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\left(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \left(e^{i \mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_1} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n)\delta)} 2^{-N(n)k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Proof. Based on the proof of [6, Lemma 4.2], we have (up to fast-decaying terms)

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty}(t, \xi) \sim \frac{1}{2} (2\pi)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \cdot \text{Im} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - |\eta|)} \frac{1}{|\eta|} H_{\mathcal{L}, \infty}(t, \eta) d\eta \right\}. \quad (5.15)$$

We may decompose

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\left(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \left(\widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right) \right] \\ &= \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\left(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \left(\widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right) \right] + \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\left(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}} \right)_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \left(\widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Step 1. Low Frequency - Resonant Case $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, +)$ or $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, -)$

Let $\widehat{g}_1 = (e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ and $\widehat{g}_2 = \varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) (\widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2}) = H_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2}$. Then we have

$$\sum_{\iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{+\iota_2} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] - i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \widehat{g}_1 \simeq \sum_{\iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{+\iota_2} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] - \widehat{g}_1 \sum_{\iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \iota_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \iota_2 \eta)} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (5.17)$$

We only focus on the $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, +)$ case. The $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (+, -)$ case can be handled in a similar way. It suffices to consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{++} [\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] - \widehat{g}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \eta)} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta \\ & \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{++}(\xi, \eta)} b_{++}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta - \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \eta)} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta \\ & = \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{++}(\xi, \eta)} \left[b_{++}(\xi, \eta) - \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \right] \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta, \quad (5.19)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left[e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{++}(\xi, \eta)} - e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \eta)} \right] \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta, \quad (5.20)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_3 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi \cdot \eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \eta)} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \left[\widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) - \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi) \right] \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta. \quad (5.21)$$

Note that due to the cutoff in $\widehat{g}_2$, all integral over η is restricted to $\varphi_{\leq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}})$. For $\mathcal{R}_1$, note that

$$\left| \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle} \right| \lesssim |\eta|. \quad (5.22)$$

Using (A.4.7), Lemma 3.22 and Lemma 3.17, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{R}_1\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2} \|\widehat{g}_1\|_{L^2} \|\widehat{g}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{21}{16}}. \quad (5.23)$$

For $\mathcal{R}_2$, note that

$$\left| e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{++}(\xi, \eta)} - e^{it(\frac{\xi-\eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \eta)} \right| \lesssim |\eta|^2. \quad (5.24)$$

Using (A.4.7), Lemma 3.22 and Lemma 3.17, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{R}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2} 2^{k_2} \|\widehat{g}_1\|_{L^2} \|\widehat{g}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{35}{16}}. \quad (5.25)$$

$\mathcal{R}_3$ is a bit more delicate. Minkowski's integral inequality implies

$$\|\widehat{g}_1(\xi - \eta) - \widehat{g}_1(\xi)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \left\| \int_0^{|\eta|} \partial_{\widehat{\eta}} \widehat{g}_1(\xi - c\widehat{\eta}) dc \right\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \int_0^{|\eta|} \|\partial_{\widehat{\eta}} \widehat{g}_1(\xi - c\widehat{\eta})\|_{L_{\xi}^2} dc \lesssim \int_0^{|\eta|} \|\partial_{\xi} \widehat{g}_1\|_{L_{\xi}^2} dc \lesssim |\eta| \|\partial_{\xi} \widehat{g}_1\|_{L_{\xi}^2}. \quad (5.26)$$

Then we may use (A.4.7), Lemma 3.21 and Lemma 3.22 to obtain

$$\|\varphi_k \mathcal{R}_3\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \varphi_{\leq 0}(2^k \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) \|\widehat{g}_1\|_{H_{\eta}^1} \|\widehat{g}_2\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{21}{16}} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2. \quad (5.27)$$

In total, we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{++}[\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] - \widehat{g}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it(\frac{\xi-\eta}{\langle \xi \rangle} - \eta)} \frac{|\xi|^2}{\langle \xi \rangle} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \widehat{g}_2(t, \eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{21}{16}} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2. \quad (5.28)$$

Step 2. Low Frequency - Non-Resonant Case $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (-, +)$ or $(\iota_1, \iota_2) = (-, -)$

By the definition of $\mathbf{b}_{\infty}$, we directly have

$$\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{-\iota_2}[\widehat{g}_1, \widehat{g}_2] - \mathbf{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} = 0. \quad (5.29)$$

Step 3. High Frequency

Since the high-frequency cutoff will eliminate $\mathcal{H}_{\infty}$, we let $\widehat{g}_1 = e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_{\infty}^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{kg}$ and $\widehat{g}_2 = \widehat{V}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}$. Then it suffices to bound

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \varphi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \widehat{g}_1(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{g}_2(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

- Case I: If $2^{2k_1^-} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}} \lesssim 1$, then using (A.4.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1^+ + 2k_1^-} 2^{-k_2} \|\widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}\|_{L^2} \|e^{-\iota_2 i t \Lambda_{wa}} P_{k_2} g_2\|_{L^{\infty}} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1^+ + 2k_1^-} 2^{-k_2} \left(\varepsilon (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 2^{-N(n_1-4)k_1^+} 2^{k_1^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-N(n_2-2)k_2^+} 2^{k_2} \right) \\ & \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 2^{-N(n_1-4)k_1^+ + k_1^+ + 3k_1^- - N(n_2-2)k_2^+} \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{9}{8}} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 \cdot \sum_{\max(k_1, k_2) \geq k} 2^{-N(n_1-4)k_1^+ + k_1 - N(n_2-2)k_2^+} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H(n)\delta)} 2^{-N(n)k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

Here in the second inequality we use Lemma 3.8 and Lemma 3.15. In the last inequality we may take the summation over k_1, k_2 for $\max(k_1, k_2) \geq k$ in view of Lemma A.3.

- Case II: If $2^{2k_1^-} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}} \gtrsim 1$ and $k_1 \gtrsim k_2$ and $k_2 \leq 0$, then we use Lemma 3.24 and (3.60) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1^+} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} g_1\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{1}{|\eta|} \widehat{P_{k_2} g_2} \right\|_{L_\eta^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1^+} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} g_1\|_{L^\infty} \left\| P_{k_2} \frac{1}{|\eta|} \right\|_{L_\eta^2} \|\widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}\|_{L_\eta^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-(N(n_1)-5)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{-k_1^- (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4}) - \frac{1}{2}k_2^-} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-(N(n_1)-5)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{16}(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4})} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{7}{16}} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{33}{32}} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 2^{-(N(n_1)-5)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

- Case III: If $2^{2k_1^-} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}} \gtrsim 1$ and $k_1 \gtrsim k_2$ and $k_2 \geq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} 2^{k_1^+} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} g_1\|_{L^\infty} \|\widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{8}} 2^{-(N(n_1)-5)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{-k_1^- (\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sigma}{4})} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{47}{32}} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^2 2^{-(N(n_1)-5)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

- Case IV: If $2^{2k_1^-} \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}} \gtrsim 1$ and $k_1 \lesssim k_2$, then we have

$$\frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \lesssim 1. \tag{5.34}$$

We first integrate by parts in η using (A.1.3), and then use Lemma 3.8, Lemma 3.22 and (3.60) to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k_1, k_2} \left\| \varphi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|} \widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \left\| \varphi_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_{\geq 0}(\eta \langle t \rangle^{\frac{7}{8}}) e^{it(\langle \xi \rangle - \iota_1 \langle \xi - \eta \rangle - \iota_2 |\eta|)} \frac{|\xi - \eta|^2}{\langle \xi - \eta \rangle |\eta|^3} \widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g_2}(\eta) d\eta \right\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \langle t \rangle^{-1} \|\widehat{P_{k_1} g_1}\|_{L^2} 2^{-k_2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} P_{k_2} g_2\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \sum_{k_1, k_2} \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-2} (\ln \langle t \rangle)^3 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

For the term with derivative after integration by parts, we always assign L^2 , and the other term with L^∞ . Note that now 2^{-k_2} , even present, is not a big deal since it grows at most $\langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{8}}$.

Step 4. $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty}$ Terms

In bounding

$$\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \varphi_k \left\{ \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] - i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} \right\}, \tag{5.36}$$

all terms related to $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}$ can be controlled as in Lemma 5.1 since $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}$ has sufficient time decay as in Lemma 3.26 and Lemma 3.27. $\square$

Combining Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 5.4. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have*

$$\|\varphi_k \partial_t \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(1+H_{kg}''(n)\delta)} 2^{-N_{kg}''(n)k^+}. \tag{5.37}$$

5.2. T'_2 Estimates.

Lemma 5.5. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have*

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}] \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}. \quad (5.38)$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 5.1 and of [21, Lemma 4.3]. Since

$$\mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}](t, \xi) \sim \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}} b_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}(t, \xi - \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}}(t, \eta) d\eta, \quad (5.39)$$

ξ_ℓ derivative may hit the phase $e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}}$, the multiplier $b_{\iota_1 \iota_2}$, or $G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}(\xi - \eta)$.

- If ξ_ℓ derivative hits the phase $e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} e^{it\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}}$, then we have an extra $\langle t \rangle$ term popping out. Following exactly the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, we get the desired result.
- If ξ_ℓ derivative hits the multiplier $b_{\iota_1 \iota_2}$, then nothing happens and we follow the same proof of Lemma 5.1.
- If ξ_ℓ derivative hits $\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}(\xi - \eta)$, then we simply use (A.4.7) and Lemma 3.1 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\varphi_{k_1} \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right] \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} 2^{-k_2} \left\| \varphi_{k_1} \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \varphi_{k_2} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1+1)+H(n_2))\delta} 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k_2} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)+1)k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

This works for $k_1 \leq k_2$. If $k_1 \geq k_2$, then through change of variables in the convolution, we can always transfer the derivative to $G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}$, so we know both $H''_{kg}(n)$ and $N''_{kg}(n)$ requirements can be met. $\square$

Lemma 5.6. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have*

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}, \quad (5.41)$$

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right] \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}. \quad (5.42)$$

Proof. It is similar to that of Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.5. $\square$

Lemma 5.7. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 = \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right. \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i \mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right) \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} &\lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

Proof. It is similar to that of Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.5. $\square$

Combining Lemma 5.5, Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.7, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 5.8. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have*

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left\{ \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left(\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i \mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right) \right\} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}. \quad (5.44)$$

6. ENERGY ESTIMATES FOR WAVE EQUATION

6.1. **S_1 Estimates.** We start from the equation (2.71) with vector fields:

$$\partial_t \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} = e^{it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - h_{\mathcal{L},\infty}. \quad (6.1)$$

Multiplying $|\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}}$ on both sides and integrating over $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{R}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}}, \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left\| |\nabla|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t) \right\|_{H^{N(n)}}^2, \quad (6.3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \left(e^{it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - h_{\mathcal{L},\infty} \right) \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}} d\xi. \quad (6.4)$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}}(t) = - \int_t^\infty \mathcal{R}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}}(s) ds. \quad (6.5)$$

Similar to the nonlinear analysis, in order to estimate $\mathcal{E}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}}(t)$, it suffices to bound the time integral of every term in (4.1) for $e^{it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - h_{\mathcal{L},\infty}$.

Remark 6.1. It seems that we can directly apply nonlinear estimates in Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 to obtain the desired result. However, this is not always workable since nonlinear estimates do not have sufficient time decay and k^+ weight, so we have to redo the estimates term by term. In particular, the time integral will play a key role.

Lemma 6.1. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}. \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $n_1 \leq n_2$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n$. Also, we ignore ι_1 and ι_2 when there is no confusion. We intend to bound

$$\mathcal{I}_{wa} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}] := \int_t^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi_{wa}} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} a(\xi, \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(s, \xi - \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}}(s, \eta) \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(s, \xi)} d\eta d\xi ds. \quad (6.7)$$

Here $a(\xi, \eta)$ will not play a role in the estimate since $|a(\xi, \eta)| \lesssim 1$. It is convenient to write

$$\mathcal{I}_{wa}^{m, k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}] := \int_t^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tau_m(s) e^{is\Phi_{wa}} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(s, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}}(s, \eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(s, \xi)} d\eta d\xi ds. \quad (6.8)$$

Here $\tau_m(s)$ is a time cutoff function defined in (2.74).

If $1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n - 1$, then we integrate by parts in time using (A.1.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{wa}^{m, k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}] &\simeq \int_t^\infty \tau'_m \mathcal{K}_{wa}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}](s) ds + \int_t^\infty \tau_m \mathcal{K}_{wa}^{k, k_1, k_2} [\partial_s G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}](s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^\infty \tau_m \mathcal{K}_{wa}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, \partial_s G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}](s) ds + \int_t^\infty \tau_m \mathcal{K}_{wa}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, \partial_s G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}](s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{wa}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}] := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{is\Phi_{wa}}}{\Phi_{wa}} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi. \quad (6.10)$$

Note that for $|\xi| \approx 2^k$ and $s \approx 2^m$,

$$\left| \frac{e^{is\Phi_{wa}}}{\Phi_{wa}} \right| \lesssim |\xi|^{-1} \approx 2^{-k}, \quad (6.11)$$

and that

$$|\tau_m| \lesssim 1, \quad |\tau'_m| \lesssim 2^{-m} \approx s^{-1}. \quad (6.12)$$

From (A.4.9) in Lemma A.19, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K}_{wa}^{k,k_1,k_2}[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}]\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \left| \frac{e^{is\Phi_{wa}}}{\Phi_{wa}} \right| \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{P_{k_1} \mathfrak{f}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} \mathfrak{g}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k \mathfrak{h}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi \right| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-2k} 2^{2N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} \|P_{k_1} \mathfrak{f}\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \mathfrak{g}\|_{L^2} \|P_k \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \|P_{k_1} \mathfrak{f}\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \mathfrak{g}\|_{L^2} \|P_k \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Also, based on Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+}, \quad (6.14)$$

$$\|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k}, \quad (6.16)$$

and based on Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\|P_{k_1} \partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n_1)\delta} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n_1)k_1^+}, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\|P_{k_2} \partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n_2)\delta} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n_2)k_2^+}, \quad (6.18)$$

$$\|P_k \partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{wa}(n)\delta} \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}. \quad (6.19)$$

Note the following facts, which suffice to close the estimate:

- We have enough time decay

$$H(n_1) + H(n_2) + H(n) \geq 2H(n), \quad (6.20)$$

$$H''_{kg}(n_1) + H(n_2) + H(n) \geq 2H(n), \quad (6.21)$$

$$H(n_1) + H''_{kg}(n_2) + H(n) \geq 2H(n), \quad (6.22)$$

$$H(n_1) + H(n_2) + H''_{wa}(n) \geq 2H(n). \quad (6.23)$$

This relies on the fact that $0 < n_1, n_2 < n$, and that terms with less derivatives have faster time decay.

- We have enough k^+ weight

$$\min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\} + N(n) - 5 \geq 2N(n), \quad (6.24)$$

$$\min\{N''_{kg}(n_1), N(n_2)\} + N(n) - 5 \geq 2N(n), \quad (6.25)$$

$$\min\{N(n_1), N''_{kg}(n_2)\} + N(n) - 5 \geq 2N(n), \quad (6.26)$$

$$\min\{N(n_1), N(n_2)\} + N''_{wa}(n) - 5 \geq 2N(n). \quad (6.27)$$

This also relies on the fact that $0 < n_1, n_2 < n$, and that terms with less derivatives have better k^+ weight.

- We have enough k^- weight. $\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|P_k \partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{L^2}$ always provide an extra $2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-}$.

Now the only remaining case is when $n_1 = 0$ and $n_2 = n$. (If we continue using integration by parts in time, time decay is enough, but k^+ weight is not.) Instead, we use (A.4.10).

- Case $k_1 \simeq k \geq k_2$: For $n \leq 2$ (time decay is delicate), we use Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi \right| \\ &\lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \|P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}\|_{L^\infty} \|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2+1)\delta} 2^{-(N(n_2+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \right) \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1)+H(n_2+1)+H(n))\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - (N(n_2+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_2^+ + \frac{1}{2}k_2^- + N(n)k^+ + \frac{1}{2}k^- - k}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

For $n \geq 2$ (k^+ weight is delicate), we use Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi \right| \\
& \lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \\
& \lesssim 2^{-k} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1+1)\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k^-} \right) \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-1} \langle t \rangle^{-(H(n_1+1)+H(n_2)+H(n))\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+ + \frac{1}{2}k_1^- - N(n_2)k_2^+ + N(n)k^+ + \frac{1}{2}k^-}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

- Case $k_2 \simeq k \geq k_1$: We choose $(\infty, 2, 2)$ in (A.4.10) and use Lemma 3.5 as above to close the estimate.
- Case $k_1 \simeq k_2 \geq k$: We may use integration by parts in time as in the case $0 < n_1, n_2 < n$ (note that now k^+ weight is sufficient).

□

Lemma 6.2. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}, \tag{6.30}$$

$$\left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}. \tag{6.31}$$

Proof. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}} d\xi ds \right| \\
& \lesssim \int_t^\infty \left\| |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \left\| |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} ds,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}} d\xi ds \right| \\
& \lesssim \int_t^\infty \left\| |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{kg, \iota_2}} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \left\| |\xi|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

Then Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 3.1 will close the proof. □

Lemma 6.3. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^{-1} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}} \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 \in \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, (e^{\iota_2 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_2}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_2}})_{\mathcal{L}_2} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] - h_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\} d\xi ds \right| \\
& \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.34}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 6.2, we may use Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 3.1 to get the bound. □

Remark 6.2. Note that the nonlinear estimates in Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 are better than that of Lemma 4.1. This makes the proofs of Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3 much shorter than that of Lemma 6.1.

Combining Lemma 6.1, Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.3, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 6.4. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$|\mathcal{E}_{wa}^{\mathcal{L}}[G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}]| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}. \tag{6.35}$$

This concludes the estimate of $\|G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}\|_{S_1}$ in Proposition 2.2.

6.2. T_1 **Estimates.** We now estimate ξ derivatives of $\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}$. Deriving from (6.1), we get the equation

$$[\partial_t + i\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)] \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} h_{\mathcal{L},\infty}. \quad (6.36)$$

Taking $\mu = wa$ in Lemma A.8, we know

$$\Gamma_\ell \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right) (t, \xi) = i\partial_{\xi_\ell} \left[\widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} h_{\mathcal{L},\infty} \right] (t, \xi) + e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left[\Lambda_{wa}(\xi) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t, \xi) \right]. \quad (6.37)$$

Note that $\Lambda_{wa}(\xi) = |\xi|$. Inserting

$$\partial_{\xi_\ell} \left[\Lambda_{wa}(\xi) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t, \xi) \right] = \Lambda_{wa}(\xi) \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t, \xi) + \frac{\xi_\ell}{|\xi|} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t, \xi) \quad (6.38)$$

into (6.37) yields

$$e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \Lambda_{wa}(\xi) \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t, \xi) = \Gamma_\ell \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right) (t, \xi) - i\partial_{\xi_\ell} \left[\widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} h_{\mathcal{L},\infty} \right] (t, \xi) - e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \frac{\xi_\ell}{|\xi|} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}}(t, \xi). \quad (6.39)$$

Multiplying the above equality by $2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \varphi_k$ and estimating in L_ξ^2 , we obtain

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \Gamma_\ell \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right) \right\|_{L^2} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left[\widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} h_{\mathcal{L},\infty} \right] \right\|_{L^2} + 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L^2}. \quad (6.40)$$

Based on the energy estimate in Proposition 6.4, we have

$$2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \Gamma_\ell \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)\delta} 2^{-N(n+1)k^+}, \quad (6.41)$$

$$2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+}. \quad (6.42)$$

Based on the nonlinear estimate in Proposition 4.8, we have

$$2^{-\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \left[\widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}(\xi)} h_{\mathcal{L},\infty} \right] \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{wa}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{wa}(n)k^+}. \quad (6.43)$$

Combining (6.40)–(6.43), we get the following proposition:

Proposition 6.5. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$, we have*

$$2^{\frac{1}{2}k} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{wa}} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)\delta} 2^{-N(n+1)k^+}. \quad (6.44)$$

This concludes the estimate of $\|G^{wa}\|_{T_1}$ in Proposition 2.2.

7. ENERGY ESTIMATES FOR KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION

7.1. S_2 **Estimates.** We start from the equation (2.72) with vector fields:

$$\partial_t \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} = e^{it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty}. \quad (7.1)$$

Multiplying $\langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}$ on both sides and integrating over $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\partial_t \mathcal{E}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{R}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}}, \quad (7.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}}(t) := \frac{1}{2} \left\| G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}(t) \right\|_{H^{N(n)}}, \quad (7.3)$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \left\{ e^{it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i\mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t, \xi) d\xi. \quad (7.4)$$

Hence, we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}}(t) = - \int_t^\infty \mathcal{R}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}}(s) ds. \quad (7.5)$$

Similar to the nonlinear analysis, in order to estimate $\mathcal{E}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}}(t)$, it suffices to bound the time integral of every term in (5.1) for $e^{it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - \left\{ i\mathcal{C}_{\infty}(e^{i\mathcal{D}_{\infty}} \widehat{V}_{\infty}^{kg} + \mathfrak{B}_{\infty}) \right\}_{\mathcal{L}} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L},\infty}$.

Lemma 7.1. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have*

$$\left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1, \iota_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}] \cdot \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(s, \xi) d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}. \quad (7.6)$$

Proof. We ignore ι_1 and ι_2 when there is no confusion. We focus on the bound of

$$\mathcal{I}_{kg} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}] := \int_t^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{is\Phi_{kg}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} b(\xi, \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(s, \xi - \eta) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}}(s, \eta) \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(s, \xi)} d\eta d\xi ds. \quad (7.7)$$

Denote by

$$\mathcal{I}_{kg}^{m, k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}] := \int_t^\infty \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \tau_m(s) e^{is\Phi_{kg}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} b(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(s, \xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}}(s, \eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(s, \xi)} d\eta d\xi ds. \quad (7.8)$$

For the case $1 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n - 1$, we integrate by parts in time using (A.1.2) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{kg}^{m, k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}] &\simeq \int_t^\infty \tau'_m \mathcal{K}_{kg}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}](s) ds + \int_t^\infty \tau_m \mathcal{K}_{kg}^{k, k_1, k_2} [\partial_s G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}](s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^\infty \tau_m \mathcal{K}_{kg}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, \partial_s G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}](s) ds + \int_t^\infty \tau_m \mathcal{K}_{kg}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, \partial_s G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}](s) ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{kg}^{k, k_1, k_2} [G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}] := \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{is\Phi_{kg}}}{\Phi_{kg}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} b(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi. \quad (7.10)$$

Note that for $|\xi| \approx 2^k$ and $s \approx 2^m$,

$$\left| \frac{e^{is\Phi_{kg}}}{\Phi_{kg}} \right| \lesssim |\eta|^{-1} \approx 2^{-k_2}, \quad (7.11)$$

$$|b(\xi, \eta)| \lesssim \frac{|\xi - \eta|}{|\eta|} \approx 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2}, \quad (7.12)$$

and that

$$|\tau_m| \lesssim 1, \quad |\tau'_m| \lesssim 2^{-m} \approx s^{-1}. \quad (7.13)$$

From (A.4.9) in Lemma A.19, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{K}_{kg}^{k, k_1, k_2} [\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}] \right\|_{L^\infty} &\lesssim 2^{2N(n)k^+} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \left\| \frac{e^{is\Phi_{kg}}}{\Phi_{kg}} \right\| \left\| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{P_{k_1} \mathfrak{f}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} \mathfrak{g}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k \mathfrak{h}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi \right\| \\ &\lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-2k_2} 2^{2N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} \|P_{k_1} \mathfrak{f}\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \mathfrak{g}\|_{L^2} \|P_k \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2} \\ &\lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}k_2} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \|P_{k_1} \mathfrak{f}\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} \mathfrak{g}\|_{L^2} \|P_k \mathfrak{h}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.14)$$

Also, based on Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+}, \quad (7.15)$$

$$\|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2}, \quad (7.16)$$

$$\|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+}, \quad (7.17)$$

and based on Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 5.4, we have

$$\|P_{k_1} \partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1-H''_{kg}(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n_1)k_1^+}, \quad (7.18)$$

$$\|P_{k_2} \partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1-H''_{wa}(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n_1)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2^-}, \quad (7.19)$$

$$\|P_k \partial_t G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-1-H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n_1)k^+}. \quad (7.20)$$

Then following a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 6.1, we may close the estimate.

When $n_1 = 0$ and $n_2 = n$, we use (A.4.12) and discuss the following cases:

- Case $k_1 \simeq k_2 \geq k$ or $k_2 \simeq k \geq k_1$: We use Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} b(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \|P_{k_1} e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^2} \|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1-H(n_1+1)\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2)\delta} 2^{-N(n_2)k_2^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+} \right) \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-1-(H(n_1+1)+H(n_2)+H(n))\delta} 2^{-(N(n_1+1)-\frac{5}{2})k_1^+ - N(n_2)k_2^+ + N(n)k^+} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k_1^- + \frac{1}{2}k_2^-} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

- Case $k_1 \simeq k \geq k_2$: If $n \leq 2$ (time decay is delicate), we use Lemma 3.5 to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}} \langle \xi \rangle^{2N(n)} b(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}}(\eta) \overline{\widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(\xi)} d\eta d\xi \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \|P_{k_1} G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa}\|_{L^\infty} \|P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}\|_{L^2} \\ & \lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} 2^{2N(n)k^+} \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_1)\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-1} \ln \langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle^{-H(n_2+1)\delta} 2^{-(N(n_2+1)-1)k_2^+} 2^{k_2^-} \right) \left(\varepsilon \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+} \right) \\ & \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \ln \langle t \rangle \langle t \rangle^{-1-(H(n_1)+H(n_2+1)+H(n))\delta} 2^{-N(n_1)k_1^+ - (N(n_2+1)-1)k_2^+ + N(n)k^+} 2^{k_2^-} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.22)$$

If $n \geq 2$ ($N(n)$ is relatively small, but we must find a term providing 2^{k_2} ; however, G^{wa} in L^2 only provides $2^{\frac{1}{2}k_2}$), then we use integration by parts in time to close the estimate.

When $n_1 = n$ and $n_2 = 0$, we use (A.4.12) and discuss the following cases:

- Case $k_1 \simeq k \geq k_2$ or $k_1 \simeq k_2 \geq k$: We choose $(2, \infty, 2)$ in (A.4.12) and use Lemma 3.5 as above to close the estimate.
- Case $k_2 \simeq k \geq k_1$: If $n \leq 2$, we choose $(\infty, 2, 2)$ in (A.4.12) and use Lemma 3.5. If $n \geq 2$, we may use integration by parts in time to close the proof.

□

Lemma 7.2. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}, \quad (7.23)$$

$$\left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}. \quad (7.24)$$

Proof. By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}} d\xi ds \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_t^\infty \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{kg, \iota_1}}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (7.25)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right] \cdot \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}} d\xi ds \right| \\ & \lesssim \int_t^\infty \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{wa, \iota_2}} \right] \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \left\| \langle \xi \rangle^{H(n)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2} ds. \end{aligned} \quad (7.26)$$

Then Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 3.1 will close the proof. □

Lemma 7.3. Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_t^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle \xi \rangle^{2H(n)} \overline{\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}} \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} \sum_{\iota_1, \iota_2 = \{+, -\}} \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} \left[(e^{\iota_1 i \mathcal{D}_\infty^{\iota_1}} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg, \iota_1}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty}^{\iota_1}, \widehat{V_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{wa, \iota_2}} + \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty}^{\iota_2} \right] \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i \mathcal{D}_\infty} \widehat{V_\infty^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} - \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} - \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\} d\xi ds \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.27)$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 7.2, we may use Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 3.1 to get the bound. □

Combining Lemma 7.1, Lemma 7.2 and Lemma 7.3, we get the following proposition:

Proposition 7.4. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1$, we have*

$$\left| \mathcal{E}_{kg}^{\mathcal{L}}[G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}, G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}] \right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \langle t \rangle^{-2H(n)\delta}. \quad (7.28)$$

This concludes the estimate of $\|G^{kg}\|_{S_2}$ in Proposition 2.2.

7.2. T_2 Estimates. We now estimate ξ derivatives of $\widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}$. Deriving from (7.1), we get the equation

$$[\partial_t + i\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)] \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right) = \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left\{ \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i\mathcal{D}_{\infty}} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right\}. \quad (7.29)$$

Taking $\mu = kg$ in Lemma A.8, we know

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\ell} \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right) (t, \xi) &= i\partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \left\{ \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left[\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i\mathcal{D}_{\infty}} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right] \right\} (t, \xi) \\ &\quad + e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \left[\Lambda_{kg}(\xi) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7.30)$$

Note that $\Lambda_{kg}(\xi) = \langle \xi \rangle$. Inserting

$$\partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \left[\Lambda_{kg}(\xi) \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \right] = \Lambda_{kg}(\xi) \partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t, \xi) + \frac{\xi_{\ell}}{\langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \quad (7.31)$$

into (7.30) yields

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \Lambda_{kg}(\xi) \partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t, \xi) &= \Gamma_{\ell} \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right) (t, \xi) - e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \frac{\xi_{\ell}}{\langle \xi \rangle} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}}(t, \xi) \\ &\quad - i\partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \left\{ \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left[\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i\mathcal{D}_{\infty}} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right] \right\} (t, \xi). \end{aligned} \quad (7.32)$$

Multiplying the above equality by φ_k and estimating in L^2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{k^+} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L^2} &\lesssim \left\| \varphi_k \Gamma_{\ell} \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right) \right\|_{L^2} + \left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \left\{ \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left[\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i\mathcal{D}_{\infty}} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right] \right\} \right\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

Based on the energy estimate in Proposition 7.4, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \Gamma_{\ell} \left(e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right) \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)\delta} 2^{-N(n+1)k^+}, \quad (7.34)$$

$$\left\| \widehat{P_k G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n)\delta} 2^{-N(n)k^+}. \quad (7.35)$$

Based on the nonlinear estimate in Proposition 5.8, we have

$$\left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \left\{ \widehat{\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} - e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}(\xi)} \left[\sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} (e^{i\mathcal{D}_{\infty}} \widehat{V_{\infty}^{kg}})_{\mathcal{L}_1} + \sum_{\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2} i\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{L}_2, \infty} \mathfrak{B}_{\mathcal{L}_1, \infty} + \mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{L}, \infty} \right] \right\} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \langle t \rangle^{-H''_{kg}(n)\delta} 2^{-N''_{kg}(n)k^+}. \quad (7.36)$$

Combining (7.33)–(7.36), we get the following proposition:

Proposition 7.5. *Assume (2.100) and (2.101) hold. For any $\mathcal{L} \in \mathcal{V}_n$ with $0 \leq n \leq N_1 - 1$, we have*

$$2^{k^+} \left\| \varphi_k \partial_{\xi_{\ell}} \widehat{G_{\mathcal{L}}^{kg}} \right\|_{L^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-H(n+1)\delta} 2^{-N(n+1)k^+}. \quad (7.37)$$

This concludes the estimate of $\|G^{kg}\|_{T_2}$ in Proposition 2.2.

APPENDIX A. TECHNICAL LEMMAS

A.1. Basic Analytic Tools.

Lemma A.1 (Stationary Phase). *Let $\phi(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$. x_0 is the only non-degenerate critical point of ϕ , that is $\nabla_x \phi(x_0) = 0$ and $\det(\nabla_x^2 \phi(x_0)) \neq 0$. Then for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we have*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{it\phi(x)} a(x) dx = \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{e^{it\phi(x_0)}}{|\det(\nabla_x^2 \phi(x_0))|^{\frac{1}{2}}} e^{\frac{i\pi}{4} \text{sgn}(\nabla_x^2 \phi(x_0))} \left(a(x_0) + O(t^{-1})\right) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.1.1})$$

Here $\text{sgn}(A)$ represents the number of positive eigenvalues minus the number of negative eigenvalues.

Proof. This is basically an integration by parts argument. See Evans [9, Section 4.5.3] and Stein-Shakarchi [34, Section 8.2]. $\square$

Lemma A.2 (Non-Stationary Phase). *(i) For $\psi(\eta) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\psi \neq 0$, we have*

$$e^{is\psi} = \frac{1}{i\psi} \partial_s (e^{is\psi}). \quad (\text{A.1.2})$$

(ii) For $\psi(\eta) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\nabla_\eta \psi \neq 0$, we have

$$e^{is\psi} = \frac{1}{is|\nabla_\eta \psi|^2} \nabla_\eta \psi \cdot \nabla_\eta (e^{is\psi}), \quad (\text{A.1.3})$$

Proof. These rely on direct computation, so we omit the proof. $\square$

Remark A.1. The identity (A.1.2) is mainly used to perform integration by parts in the temporal variable, and (A.1.3) is mainly used to perform integration by parts in the frequency variables.

Lemma A.3. *For $f, g \in L^2$ satisfying*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k_1=-\infty}^{\infty} P_{k_1} f(x), \quad g(x) = \sum_{k_2=-\infty}^{\infty} P_{k_2} g(x), \quad (\text{A.1.4})$$

we have that for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$P_k(fg) \simeq \sum_{k_1 \simeq k_2 \geq k} P_k[(P_{k_1} f)(P_{k_2} g)] + \sum_{k_1 \simeq k \geq k_2 + 2} P_k[(P_{k_1} f)(P_{k_2} g)] + \sum_{k_2 \simeq k \geq k_1 + 2} P_k[(P_{k_1} f)(P_{k_2} g)]. \quad (\text{A.1.5})$$

The summation is over all possible combination of $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Let $h(x) = f(x)g(x)$. Hence,

$$\widehat{h}(\xi) = (\widehat{f} * \widehat{g})(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{g}(\eta) d\eta. \quad (\text{A.1.6})$$

Hence, we actually want to find the region so that

$$|\xi| \in [2^{k-1}, 2^{k+1}], \quad |\xi - \eta| \in [2^{k_1-1}, 2^{k_1+1}], \quad |\eta| \in [2^{k_2-1}, 2^{k_2+1}]. \quad (\text{A.1.7})$$

Then if $k_1 \simeq k_2$, we know

$$|\xi - \eta| + |\eta| \sim [2^{\max(k_1, k_2)+1}, 0], \quad (\text{A.1.8})$$

which means $k \simeq k_1 \simeq k_2$. Otherwise, if $|k_1 - k_2| \geq 2$, we have

$$|\xi - \eta| + |\eta| \sim [2^{\max(k_1, k_2)+1}, 2^{\max(k_1, k_2)-1}], \quad (\text{A.1.9})$$

which means $k \simeq \max(k_1, k_2)$. $\square$

Remark A.2. This lemma provides the effective region of convolution in frequency space. For convenience, we denote this set for fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\chi_k := \left\{ (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : k_1 \simeq k_2 \geq k \text{ or } k_1 \simeq k \geq k_2 + 2 \text{ or } k_2 \simeq k \geq k_1 + 2 \right\},$$

and we will frequently take the summation over $(k_1, k_2) \in \chi_k$ (sometimes we simply write for short as $\sum_{k_1, k_2}$).

We record the following basic inequalities used repeatedly in the nonlinear estimates.

Lemma A.4 (Young's Inequality). *Suppose that $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and*

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 + \frac{1}{r}, \quad (\text{A.1.10})$$

with $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$. Then we have

$$\|f * g\|_{L^r} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}. \quad (\text{A.1.11})$$

Proof. See Duoandikoetxea [8, Corollary 1.21]. $\square$

Lemma A.5 (Minkowski's Integral Inequality). *Given measure spaces (X, μ) and (Y, ν) with σ -finite measures. Then for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$,*

$$\left(\int_X \left| \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu(y) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \int_Y \left(\int_X |f(x, y)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\nu(y). \quad (\text{A.1.12})$$

Proof. See Duoandikoetxea [8, Page xviii]. $\square$

A.2. Preliminary Estimates.

Lemma A.6 (Bilinear Estimates). *(i) Assume that $\ell \geq 2$, $f_1, \dots, f_\ell, f_{\ell+1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $M : (\mathbb{R}^3)^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuously compactly supported function. Then*

$$\left| \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^\ell} M(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_\ell) \widehat{f}_1(\xi_1) \cdots \widehat{f}_\ell(\xi_\ell) \widehat{f}_{\ell+1}(-\xi_1 \cdots - \xi_\ell) d\xi_1 \cdots d\xi_\ell \right| \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}M\|_{L^1((\mathbb{R}^3)^\ell)} \|f_1\|_{L^{p_1}} \cdots \|f_\ell\|_{L^{p_\ell}} \|f_{\ell+1}\|_{L^{p_{\ell+1}}}, \quad (\text{A.2.1})$$

for any exponent $p_1, \dots, p_\ell, p_{\ell+1} \in [1, \infty]$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_\ell} + \frac{1}{p_{\ell+1}} = 1$.

(ii) If $q, p_1, p_2 \in [1, \infty]$ satisfying $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$, then

$$\left\| \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} M(\xi, \eta) \widehat{f}(\eta) \widehat{g}(-\xi - \eta) d\eta \right) \right\|_{L^q} \lesssim \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}M\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}}. \quad (\text{A.2.2})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, Lemma 3.2]. $\square$

Lemma A.7 (Lower Bound for Quadratic Phases). *Let $\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}$ and $\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}$ be the quadratic phases given in (2.17) and (2.18). Assume $|\xi|, |\eta|, |\xi - \eta| \in [0, b]$ for $b \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$|\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)| \geq \frac{|\xi|}{4b^2}, \quad |\Phi_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)| \geq \frac{|\eta|}{4b^2}. \quad (\text{A.2.3})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, Lemma 3.3]. $\square$

Lemma A.8 (Lorentz Vector Fields). *Assume $\mu \in \{wa, kg\}$ and*

$$(\partial_t + i\Lambda_\mu)U = \mathcal{N}, \quad (\text{A.2.4})$$

on $[1, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3$. If $V(t) = e^{it\Lambda_\mu}U$ and $\ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, then for any $t \in [1, \infty)$, we have

$$\widehat{\Gamma_\ell U}(t, \xi) = i(\partial_{\xi_\ell} \widehat{\mathcal{N}})(t, \xi) + e^{-it\Lambda_\mu(\xi)} \partial_{\xi_\ell} [\Lambda_\mu(\xi) \widehat{V}(t, \xi)]. \quad (\text{A.2.5})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, Lemma 6.1]. $\square$

A.3. Linear Estimates. This subsection is about the linear estimates either in the physical space or in the frequency space. In the following lemmas, $\sigma > 0$ denotes a sufficiently small constant. When it is present, the inequality constant might depend on σ . Also, for all rotation vector fields Ω ,

$$\|f\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|\Omega^\alpha f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{A.3.1})$$

Lemma A.9. *For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$ and $\alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_+)^3$, we have*

$$\|D_\xi^\alpha \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim 2^{|\alpha|j} \|\widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^2}, \quad \|D_\xi^\alpha \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{|\alpha|j} \|\widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^\infty}. \quad (\text{A.3.2})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, (3.17)]. $\square$

Lemma A.10. *For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\|\widehat{Q_{jk}f} - \widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}j} 2^{-4(j+k)} \|P_k f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{A.3.3})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, (3.21)]. $\square$

Lemma A.11. *For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\|\widehat{\mathcal{Q}_{jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \lesssim \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{3j}{2}} \|Q_{jk}f\|_{L^2}, 2^{\frac{j}{2}} 2^{-k} 2^{\frac{\sigma(j+k)}{8}} \|Q_{jk}f\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}} \right\}. \quad (\text{A.3.4})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, (3.18)]. $\square$

Lemma A.12 (Dispersive Estimates). *For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, we have*

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk}f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{3k}{2}}, 2^{\frac{3k}{2}} 2^j \langle t \rangle^{-1} \right\} \|Q_{jk}f\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{A.3.5})$$

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk}f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \min \left\{ 2^{\frac{3k}{2}}, 2^{3k+} 2^{\frac{3j}{2}} \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right\} \|Q_{jk}f\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{A.3.6})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, (3.24),(3.28)]. $\square$

Lemma A.13. *For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, if $|t| \geq 1$, we have*

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk}f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{\frac{k}{2}} (1 + 2^k \langle t \rangle)^{\frac{\sigma}{8}} \|Q_{jk}f\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}}, \quad \text{if } 2^j \leq \langle t \rangle, \quad (\text{A.3.7})$$

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} \mathcal{Q}_{\leq jk}f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-1} 2^{2k} \|\widehat{Q_{\leq jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^\infty}, \quad \text{if } 2^j \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.3.8})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, (3.26),(3.27)]. $\square$

Lemma A.14. *For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(k, j) \in \mathcal{J}$, if $1 \leq 2^{2k-20} \langle t \rangle$, we have*

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{jk}f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{5k+} 2^{-k-} 2^{\frac{j}{2}} (1 + 2^{2k-} \langle t \rangle)^{\frac{\sigma}{8}} \|Q_{jk}f\|_{H_\Omega^{0,1}}, \quad \text{if } 2^j \leq 2^{k-} \langle t \rangle, \quad (\text{A.3.9})$$

$$\|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} \mathcal{Q}_{\leq jk}f\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2^{5k+} \|\widehat{Q_{\leq jk}f}\|_{L_\xi^\infty}, \quad \text{if } 2^j \lesssim \langle t \rangle^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.3.10})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, (3.29),(3.30)]. $\square$

Lemma A.15. *For any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we have*

$$\|\widehat{Q_{jk}f}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\widehat{P_k f}\|_{L^p}, \quad \|\widehat{Q_{\leq jk}f}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\widehat{P_k f}\|_{L^p}. \quad (\text{A.3.11})$$

Proof. Since $Q_{jk}f = \varphi_j^{(k)} \cdot P_k f$, we know

$$\widehat{Q_{jk}f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \widehat{\varphi_j^{(k)}}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_k f}(\eta) d\eta. \quad (\text{A.3.12})$$

Hence,

$$\|\widehat{Q_{jk}f}\|_{L^p} \lesssim \|\widehat{P_k f}\|_{L^p} \|\widehat{\varphi_j^{(k)}}\|_{L^1}. \quad (\text{A.3.13})$$

In particular, $\|\widehat{\varphi_j^{(k)}}\|_{L^1} \lesssim 1$ uniformly in j by scaling invariance. $\square$

Remark A.3. This lemma indicates that we can use P_k to bound Q_{jk} . A natural corollary is that we can use Q_{jk} to bound $\mathcal{Q}_{jk}$.

Lemma A.16. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, let

$$A_k := \|P_k f\|_{L^2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \|\varphi_k(\partial_{\xi_\ell} \hat{f})\|_{L_{\xi}^2}, \quad B_k := \left(\sum_{j \geq -k^-} 2^{2j} \|Q_{jk} f\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.3.14})$$

Then for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$A_k \lesssim \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} B_{k'}, \quad (\text{A.3.15})$$

and

$$B_k \lesssim \begin{cases} \sum_{|k'-k| \leq 4} A_{k'} & \text{if } k \geq 0, \\ \sum_{k' \in \mathbb{Z}} A_{k'} 2^{-\frac{|k-k'|}{2}} & \text{if } k \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.3.16})$$

Proof. This is Ionescu-Pausader [21, Lemma 3.5]. $\square$

Remark A.4. Roughly speaking, this lemma means that $A_k \sim B_k$, i.e. they are comparable.

A.4. Nonlinear Estimates.

Lemma A.17. For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g]\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{A.4.1})$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g]\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \min \left\{ \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2}, \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_2} g\|_{L^\infty} \right\}. \quad (\text{A.4.2})$$

Proof. Note that

$$\mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g] \simeq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)} a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta) \left(\varphi_{k_1}(\xi - \eta) \hat{f}(t, \xi - \eta) \right) \left(\varphi_{k_2}(\eta) \hat{g}(t, \eta) \right) d\eta. \quad (\text{A.4.3})$$

Since $|a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)| \lesssim 1$, $a_{\iota_1 \iota_2}$ will not play a role in the estimate.

Using Young's inequality with $(p, q, r) = (2, 2, \infty)$, we have

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g]\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \varphi_k(\xi) d\xi \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k} \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{A.4.4})$$

and with $(p, q, r) = (2, 1, 2)$, we have

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g]\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^1} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_2} \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{A.4.5})$$

Similarly, we may show that

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{wa}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g]\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2}k_1} \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2}. \quad (\text{A.4.6})$$

Hence, (A.4.1) is justified. On the other hand, (A.4.2) follows from Lemma A.6 with $(p_1, p_2, q) = (\infty, 2, 2)$ or $(p_1, p_2, q) = (2, \infty, 2)$. $\square$

Lemma A.18. For $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g]\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min\{k_1, k_2, k\}} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{A.4.7})$$

and

$$\|\varphi_k \mathbf{I}_{kg}^{\iota_1 \iota_2} [P_{k_1} f, P_{k_2} g]\|_{L_{\xi}^2} \lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \min \left\{ \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2}, \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_2} g\|_{L^\infty} \right\}. \quad (\text{A.4.8})$$

Proof. Note that for $|\xi - \eta| \approx 2^{k_1}$ and $|\eta| \approx 2^{k_2}$, $|b_{\iota_1 \iota_2}(\xi, \eta)| \lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2}$. Then the rest is similar to the proofs of (A.4.1) and (A.4.2). $\square$

Lemma A.19. For $f, g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}} a(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g}(\eta) \widehat{P_k h}(\xi) d\eta d\xi \right| \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2} \|P_k h\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{A.4.9})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{wa}} a(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g}(\eta) \widehat{P_k h}(\xi) d\eta d\xi \right| \\ & \lesssim \min \left\{ \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2} \|P_k h\|_{L^2}, \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_2} g\|_{L^\infty} \|P_k h\|_{L^2}, \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{wa}} P_k h\|_{L^\infty} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4.10})$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma A.17. $\square$

Lemma A.20. For $f, g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have

$$\left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}} b(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g}(\eta) \widehat{P_k h}(\xi) d\eta d\xi \right| \lesssim 2^{\frac{3}{2} \min(k, k_1, k_2)} 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2} \|P_k h\|_{L^2}, \quad (\text{A.4.11})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} e^{it\Phi_{kg}} b(\xi, \eta) \widehat{P_{k_1} f}(\xi - \eta) \widehat{P_{k_2} g}(\eta) \widehat{P_k h}(\xi) d\eta d\xi \right| \\ & \lesssim 2^{k_1} 2^{-k_2} \min \left\{ \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_1} f\|_{L^\infty} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2} \|P_k h\|_{L^2}, \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_{k_2} g\|_{L^\infty} \|P_k h\|_{L^2}, \|P_{k_1} f\|_{L^2} \|P_{k_2} g\|_{L^2} \|e^{-it\Lambda_{kg}} P_k h\|_{L^\infty} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4.12})$$

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma A.18. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Professor Benoit Pausader for many helpful discussions and valuable comments on the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-M. DELORT AND D. FANG, *Almost global existence for solutions of semilinear Klein-Gordon equations with small weakly decaying Cauchy data*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 25 (2000), pp. 2119–2169.
- [2] J.-M. DELORT, D. FANG, AND R. XUE, *Global existence of small solutions for quadratic quasilinear Klein-Gordon systems in two space dimensions*, J. Funct. Anal., 211 (2004), pp. 288–323.
- [3] Y. DENG, *Multispeed Klein-Gordon systems in dimension three*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2018), pp. 6070–6144.
- [4] Y. DENG, A. D. IONESCU, AND B. PAUSADER, *The Euler-Maxwell system for electrons: global solutions in 2D*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 225 (2017), pp. 771–871.
- [5] Y. DENG, A. D. IONESCU, B. PAUSADER, AND F. PUSATERI, *Global solutions of the gravity-capillary water-wave system in three dimensions*, Acta Math., 219 (2017), pp. 213–402.
- [6] Y. DENG AND F. PUSATERI, *On the global behavior of weak null quasilinear wave equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 73 (2020), pp. 1035–1099.
- [7] S. DONG AND Z. WYATT, *Stability of a coupled wave-Klein-Gordon system with quadratic nonlinearities*, J. Differential Equations, 269 (2020), pp. 7470–7497.
- [8] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA, *Fourier analysis. Translated and revised from the 1995 Spanish original by David Cruz-Uribe.*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [9] L. C. EVANS, *Partial differential equations. Second edition.*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [10] V. GEORGIEV, *Global solution of the system of wave and Klein-Gordon equations*, Math. Z., 203 (1990), pp. 683–698.
- [11] P. GERMAIN, N. MASMOUDI, AND J. SHATAH, *Global solutions for 3D quadratic Schrödinger equations*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2009), pp. 414–432.
- [12] ———, *Global solutions for the gravity water waves equation in dimension 3*, Ann. of Math., 175 (2012), pp. 691–754.
- [13] Y. GUO, A. D. IONESCU, AND B. PAUSADER, *Global solutions of the Euler–Maxwell two-fluid system in 3D*, Ann. of Math., 183 (2016), pp. 377–498.
- [14] Y. GUO AND B. PAUSADER, *Global smooth ion dynamics in the Euler-Poisson system*, Comm. Math. Phys., 303 (2011), pp. 89–125.
- [15] S. GUSTAFSON, K. NAKANISHI, AND T.-P. TSAI, *Scattering theory for the Gross-Pitaevskii equation in three dimensions*, Commun. Contemp. Math., 11 (2009), pp. 657–707.
- [16] Z. HANI, B. PAUSADER, N. TZVETKOV, AND N. VISCIGLIA, *Modified scattering for the cubic Schrödinger equation on product spaces and applications*, Forum Math. Pi, 3 (2015), p. e4.
- [17] N. HAYASHI AND P. I. NAUMKIN, *Asymptotics for large time of solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger and Hartree equations*, Amer. J. Math., 120 (1998), pp. 369–389.

- [18] M. IFRIM AND A. STINGO, *Almost global well-posedness for quasilinear strongly coupled wave-Klein-Gordon systems in two space dimensions*, arXiv: 1910.12673, (2019).
- [19] A. D. IONESCU AND B. PAUSADER, *The Euler-Poisson system in 2D: global stability of the constant equilibrium solution*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2013), pp. 761–826.
- [20] ———, *Global solutions of quasilinear systems of Klein-Gordon equations in 3D*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 16 (2014), pp. 2355–2431.
- [21] ———, *On the global regularity for a wave-Klein-Gordon coupled system*, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 35 (2019), pp. 933–986.
- [22] ———, *The Einstein-Klein-Gordon coupled system: global stability of the Minkowski solution*, arXiv: 1911.10652, (2020).
- [23] ———, *Global regularity of solutions of the Einstein-Klein-Gordon system: a review*, Quart. Appl. Math., 78 (2020), pp. 277–303.
- [24] A. D. IONESCU AND F. PUSATERI, *Global solutions for the gravity water waves system in 2D*, Invent. Math., 199 (2015), pp. 653–804.
- [25] ———, *Global regularity for 2D water waves with surface tension*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 256 (2018).
- [26] S. KATAYAMA, *Global existence for coupled systems of nonlinear wave and Klein-Gordon equations in three space dimensions*, Math. Z., 270 (2012), pp. 487–513.
- [27] J. KATO AND F. PUSATERI, *A new proof of long-range scattering for critical nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Differential Integral Equations, 24 (2011), pp. 923–940.
- [28] P. G. LEFLOCH AND Y. MA, *The hyperboloidal foliation method. Series in Applied and Computational Mathematics, 2.*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2014.
- [29] ———, *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for self-gravitating massive fields*, Comm. Math. Phys., 346 (2016), pp. 603–665.
- [30] ———, *The global nonlinear stability of Minkowski space for self-gravitating massive fields. Series in Applied and Computational Mathematics, 3.*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2018.
- [31] Y. MA, *Global solutions of quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in two-space dimension: completion of the proof*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ., 14 (2017), pp. 627–670.
- [32] ———, *Global solutions of quasilinear wave-Klein-Gordon system in two-space dimension: technical tools*, J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ., 14 (2017), pp. 591–625.
- [33] ———, *Global solutions of nonlinear wave-klein-gordon system in one space dimension*, Nonlinear Anal., 191 (2020), p. 111641.
- [34] E. M. STEIN AND R. SHAKARCHI, *Functional analysis. Introduction to further topics in analysis. Princeton Lectures in Analysis, 4.*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2011.
- [35] A. STINGO, *Global existence of small amplitude solutions for a model quadratic quasi-linear coupled wave-Klein-Gordon system in two space dimension, with mildly decaying Cauchy data*, arXiv: 1810.10235, (2018).
- [36] Q. WANG, *An intrinsic hyperboloid approach for Einstein Klein-Gordon equations*, J. Differential Geom., 115 (2020), pp. 27–109.

(Z. Ouyang)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Email address: ouyangzm9386@uchicago.edu