

Potential higher radial excitations in the light pseudoscalar meson family

Li-Ming Wang^{1,2,*}, Qin-Song Zhou^{1,2,†}, Cheng-Qun Pang^{3,4,‡} and Xiang Liu^{1,2,3,§¶}

¹*School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China*

²*Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Lanzhou University and Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China*

³*Joint Research Center for Physics, Lanzhou University and Qinghai Normal University, Xining 810000, China*

⁴*College of Physics and Electronic Information Engineering, Qinghai Normal University, Xining 810000, China*

(Dated: March 17, 2024)

Inspired by the event accumulation around 2.6 GeV in the $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$, which was reported by the BESIII Collaboration, we carry out the study of the mass spectrum and decay behavior of four radial excitations in the pseudoscalar meson family, which include $\eta^{(')}(6S)$ and $\eta^{(')}(7S)$. Combining with these analysis, we present the calculation of the reactions induced by a pion or kaon on the proton target which are relevant to these four discussed states. According to this information, we give concrete experimental suggestion of searching for them, which will become a new task for future experiments.

I. INTRODUCTION

Studying hadron spectroscopy can provide valuable hints to deep our understanding of nonperturbative quantum chromodynamics (QCD). Among the hadron family, light mesons construct a special group since there exists extra abundant measured information of light mesons. In 1935, Yukawa predicted the existence of the pion meson for quantitatively depicting a nuclear force [1], which was discovered by Lattes *et al.* [2]. By joint effort from experimentalist and theorist, the number of light mesons has been increasing in the past decades. In recent years, the running experiment like BESIII is still playing crucial role to explore and discover the light meson. As emphasized in a paper recently released by the BESIII Collaboration [3], investigating the light hadron spectrum will be an important issue in the next ten years at BESIII. Here, besides establishing a conventional light meson, what is more important is the search for an exotic hadronic state like the glueball [4].

Since 2011, the Lanzhou group has performed systematic studies on mass spectrum and strong decay behavior of light mesons, which include pseudoscalar states [5, 6], the vector state [7], ρ and ρ_3 states [8], tensor states [9], pseudotensor states [10], axial vector states [11], $J^{PC} = 2^-$ unflavored states [12], kaons [13], and high-spin states [14]. Besides the above work, other theoretical groups focused on this issue [15]. By these investigations, an overview of the properties of the light meson family was presented, since the above studies almost contain these light mesons with allowed different spin-parity quantum numbers.

After finishing these theoretical works, we should consider how to continue the following studies of the light meson. There should exist three possible directions: 1) Combining with concrete experimental observation of light meson, we can decode the properties of these observed states by giv-

ing mass spectrum analysis, decay behavior, and production. A typical example is Ref. [16], where $X(2100)$ observed in $J/\psi \rightarrow \phi\eta\eta'$ can be categorized into the h_1 meson group, which can be supported by mass and decay calculation. In addition, its production induced by pion and kaon was discussed. 2) In exploring high spin states, if checking the data from Particle Data Group (PDG) [17], we may find that the experimental information of higher-spin states is not abundant. When establishing these high-spin states in experiment, theoretical guidance is important. In Ref. [14], the authors presented a systematic study on these high-spin states below 3 GeV. Additionally, Pang *et al.* predicted the mass and decay behavior of 5^{++} mesons [18]. 3) Exploring the potential higher radial excitations of light meson accessible at experiment is also very interesting, and will be the main task in this work.

As the frontier of precision in particle physics, hadron physics has entered a new era with the accumulation of high-precision experimental data since 2003. We may find two typical examples to reflect the importance of experimental precision. One is hidden-charm P_c observation. In 2015, LHCb observed two P_c states [$P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$] in $\Lambda_b \rightarrow J/\psi p K$ [19]. After four years, LHCb reanalyzed this channel based on data from Run I and Run II and found that $P_c(4450)$ contains two substructures [$P_c(4440)$ and $P_c(4457)$] and there also exists another $P_c(4312)$ [20], which give strong evidence of the existence of hidden-charm molecular pentaquark [21].

Another example is about a series of observations of pseudoscalar X states after $X(1835)$ as shown in Fig. 1. In 2005, the BESII Collaboration reported $X(1835)$ in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ [22]. And then, BESIII not only confirmed $X(1835)$ but also found two structures $X(2120)$ and $X(2370)$ [23] by analyzing the same decay process. With accumulation of experimental data, BESIII again studied the $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ channel [24]. And then, $X(2370)$ and $X(2500)$ were reported by BESIII in analyzing the $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ [24] and $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\phi\phi$ [25] decays, respectively. Stimulated by these BESIII observations, the Lanzhou group found two Regge trajectories [5, 6], which are composed of these η mesons listed by the PDG [17], and these observed $X(1835)$, $X(2120)$, $X(2370)$ and $X(2500)$. This study [5, 6] provides valuable information when constructing the isoscalar pseudoscalar η/η' meson family.

We noticed that BESIII already collected a sample of 10 bil-

[§]Corresponding author

^{*}Electronic address: lmwang15@lzu.edu.cn

[†]Electronic address: zhouqs13@lzu.edu.cn

[‡]Electronic address: pcq@qhnu.edu.cn

[¶]Electronic address: xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn




FIG. 1: The comparison of three experimental data of the $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ [22–24].

lion J/ψ events in 2019. With more precision data, we have reason to believe that more η/η' -like states with higher mass can be found in future experiments. Thus, it is a suitable time to carry out the theoretical study of the properties of these potential higher radial excitations in the light isoscalar pseudoscalar meson family.

Another topic of this work is to discuss the possible search for them by combing with the present BESIII experimental data [22–24]. However, we have to face the fact that the masses of $\eta(7S)$ and $\eta'(7S)$ are close to that of $\eta_c(1S)$, which results in the difficulty of detecting the signals of $\eta(7S)$ and $\eta'(7S)$. Thus, we propose that the production processes induced by a pion or kaon can be as a good platform to explore higher states in the η/η' meson family. In this work, we take the production processes relevant to $\eta^{(\prime)}(6S)$ and $\eta^{(\prime)}(7S)$ as example to give a quantitative illustration.

The paper is organized as follows. After the Introduction, we present the mass spectrum analysis in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we calculate the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) allowed decays

of these discussed η/η' states. And then, we explore the production processes of four discussed η/η' states which are induced by the pion and kaon (see Sec. IV). This paper ends with a summary.

II. ESTIMATING THE MASS OF HIGHER RADIAL EXCITATION OF η FAMILY

In Refs. [5, 7], the Lanzhou group found two Regge trajectories for the η and η' states, which are [$\eta(548)$, $\eta(1295)$, $\eta(1760)$, $X(2100)/X(2120)$, $X(2370)$] and [$\eta'(958)$, $\eta(1475)$, $X(1835)$, $\eta(2225)$, $X(2500)$]¹. In this section, we continue to estimate the masses of the fifth and the sixth radial excited states of the pseudoscalar meson family, which is an extension of the above two Regge trajectories.

The Regge trajectory theory was first proposed by Regge in 1959, and was later widely used to study the light hadron spectrum [5, 7–9, 26–29]. In general, for a light meson, the relationship between the square of the energy and the total angular momentum (J) is linear, and is the Regge-Chew-Frautschi relationship $M_J^2 = M_{J'}^2 + \alpha^2(J - J')$. Here, J and J' denote the total angular momentum of the discussed states. M_J and $M_{J'}$ are the masses of these states with quantum numbers J and J' , respectively. We need to emphasize that in this work we do not consider the possible admixture of the pseudoscalar glueball. When the possible admixture of the pseudoscalar glueball is substantial, the related mass formula was derived in Refs. [30, 31].




FIG. 2: Two Regge trajectories for the discussed η/η' -like states. Here, we take $\mu^2 = 1.32 \text{ GeV}^2$ suggested in Refs. [5, 7]. Here, the experimental data are from the PDG [17].

For discussing the fifth and the sixth radial excited states

¹ We should indicate that the spin-parity quantum numbers of $X(2120)$, $X(2370)$, and $X(2500)$ have not been confirmed in experiment. In this work, we treat them as pseudoscalar states.

of pseudoscalar mesons, we adopt another version of Regge trajectory analysis, which was adopted in the study of different light meson systems [5, 7–9, 11, 16, 27, 28]. The relation of the mass and the radial quantum number n satisfies $M^2 = M_0^2 + (n-1)\mu^2$, where M_0 is the mass of ground state and M is the mass of excited state with radial quantum number n . μ^2 represents the slope of the trajectory, and its value range is $\mu^2 = 1.25 \pm 0.15 \text{ GeV}^2$.

In Fig. 2, we plot two Regge trajectories with $\mu^2 = 1.32 \text{ GeV}^2$ [5, 7], by which we predict that $\eta(6S)$, $\eta'(6S)$, $\eta(7S)$ and $\eta'(7S)$ have masses of 2627, 2742, 2867, and 2973 MeV, respectively.

In Ref. [24], BESIII indicated that the evidence of a η/η' -like state around 2.6 GeV may exist in the $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum. This possible enhancement structure may correspond to the $\eta(2627)$ as $\eta(6S)$ predicted in this work. In the following section, we will further discuss the decay behavior of $\eta(2627)$, which can provide valuable information to future experimental investigation. Additionally, we will illustrate the decay properties of the remaining three predicted η/η' mesonic states $\eta'(6S) = \eta(2742)$, $\eta(7S) = \eta(2867)$, and $\eta'(7S) = \eta(2973)$.

III. DECAY PROPERTIES OF THE FOUR DISCUSSED η/η' EXCITATIONS

For calculating the OZI-allowed decay behavior of these four predicted η/η' higher radiation excitations, we utilize the quark pair creation (QPC) model [32–34]. The QPC model was first proposed by Micu [35] and then further developed by the Orsay group [36–40]. It was widely used to study the OZI-allowed strong decay of hadrons [5, 7–9, 11, 12, 41–45]. In the QPC model, when a meson decay occurs, a quark-antiquark pair is created from vacuum with the quantum number $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$, which can be combined with the corresponding antiquark and quark in the initial meson to form two final mesons.

A transition operator T is introduced to describe a $q\bar{q}$ pair creation from the vacuum

$$T = -3\gamma \sum_m \langle 1m; 1-m | 00 \rangle \int d\mathbf{k}_3 d\mathbf{k}_4 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}_3 + \mathbf{k}_4) \times \mathcal{Y}_{1m} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_3 - \mathbf{k}_4}{2} \right) \chi_{1,-m}^{34} \phi_0^{34} \omega_0^{34} d_{3i}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_3) b_{4j}^\dagger(\mathbf{k}_4). \quad (1)$$

Here, parameter γ represents the probability that a quark-antiquark pair is creation from the vacuum. \mathbf{k}_3 and \mathbf{k}_4 denote the 3-momenta of quark and antiquark created from the vacuum, respectively. $\phi_0^{34} = (u\bar{u} + d\bar{d} + s\bar{s})/\sqrt{3}$ describes the flavor singlet, and $\omega_0^{34} = \delta_{\alpha_3\alpha_4}/\sqrt{3} (\alpha = 1, 2, 3)$ denotes the color singlet. $\chi_{1,-m}^{34}$ is a spin triplet state. i and j are the $SU(3)$ color indices of the created quark pairs from the vacuum. $\mathcal{Y}_{\ell m}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv |\mathbf{k}|^\ell Y_{\ell m}(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \phi_{\mathbf{k}})$ represents the ℓ th solid harmonic polynomial.

By the Jacob-Wick formula [32, 46, 47], the decay ampli-

tude can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{M}_{LS}(K) = \frac{4\pi\sqrt{2L+1}}{2J_A+1} \sum_{M_{J_B}, M_{J_C}} \langle LOS M_{J_A} | J_A M_{J_A} \rangle \times \langle J_B M_{J_B} J_C M_{J_C} | S M_{J_A} \rangle \mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A} M_{J_B} M_{J_C}}(K\hat{z}), \quad (2)$$

and the general decay width reads

$$\Gamma_i = \pi^2 \frac{|\mathbf{K}|}{M_A^2} \sum_{LS} |\mathcal{M}_{LS}|^2. \quad (3)$$

We approximately take the sum of the partial decay widths as the total width of the discussed states in this work, $\Gamma_{\text{Total}} = \sum_i \Gamma_i$.

In the concrete calculation, the harmonic oscillator wave function $\Psi_{nlm}(R, \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{R}_{nl}(R, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{Y}_{lm}(\mathbf{p})$ is introduced, where the parameter R can be determined by reproducing the realistic root-mean-square radius of the corresponding meson state.




FIG. 3: R dependence of the total and partial decay widths of $\eta(2627)$ as $\eta(6S)$. Some tiny channels are not drawn.

In Refs. [5, 6], the Lanzhou group performed a systematic study on pseudoscalar mesonic states by combing with these observed pseudoscalar X states shown in the $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum [22–24]. These investigations enforce the possibility of categorizing these reported pseudoscalar X states into a pseudoscalar meson family. Along this line, we further present the decay properties of four η/η' excitations [$\eta(2627)$, $\eta(2742)$, $\eta(2867)$ and $\eta(2973)$] listed in Fig. 2. The reader may consult Figures 3–6 for more information of their two-body strong decay², which is dependent on the R range³.

² For these discussed higher η/η' states, they can also decay into lower pseudoscalar ones in the same trajectory. In our calculation, we find that the partial width of this kind of decay is not sizable. For example, the decay width of $\eta(2627) \rightarrow \eta(1295)f_2(1270)$ is around 0.01 MeV. Thus, in Figures 3–6, we do not list these channels.

³ In Ref. [5], when $X(2370)$ is treated as $\eta(5S)$ and $\eta'(5S)$, the R values cor-




FIG. 4: The R dependence of total decay width and partial two-body decay widths of $\eta(2742)$ as $\eta'(6S)$. Some tiny channels are not listed here.




FIG. 5: The R dependence of two-body strong decay widths of $\eta(2867)$ as a $\eta'(7S)$ state. Some tiny channels are not listed here.

Additionally, we need to emphasize that a mixing scheme

$$\begin{pmatrix} \eta(nS) \\ \eta'(nS) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_n & -\sin \theta_n \\ \sin \theta_n & \cos \theta_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \eta_q(nS) \\ \eta_s(nS) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

should be introduced when discussing four $\eta^{(\prime)}$ mesons. Here, $|\eta_q(nS)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|u\bar{u}\rangle + |d\bar{d}\rangle)$ and $|\eta_s(nS)\rangle = |s\bar{s}\rangle$ are the flavor

responding to the intersection of theoretical result and experimental center value are 5.0 GeV^{-1} and 4.95 GeV^{-1} , respectively. Usually, the R value of the state in the η/η' meson family becomes larger with increasing the radial quantum number. Thus, for the discussed $\eta(6S)/\eta'(6S)$, we study their strong decay behaviors by taking the R range $(5.0-5.2) \text{ GeV}^{-1}/(4.95-5.15) \text{ GeV}^{-1}$. And then, for the discussed $\eta(7S)$ and $\eta'(7S)$, R value is set to be $(5.1-5.3) \text{ GeV}^{-1}$ and $(5.05-5.25) \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, respectively.

wave functions. For the fifth and the sixth radial excitations, the information of the mixing angle is still absent. Thus, we roughly take 4.18° [6] in our concrete calculation under the assumption that these mixing angles for the fifth and the sixth radial excitation are same as that of the fourth radial excitation.




FIG. 6: The R dependence of total decay widths and the partial two-body decay width of $\eta(2973)$ as $\eta'(7S)$. Some tiny channels are not listed here.

According to our calculation result, we summarize the main points of the total and partial decay widths of the four discussed states:

- As the $\eta(6S)$ state, $\eta(2627)$ has the main decay channels $\pi a_0(1450)$, $\pi a_2(1320)$, and $\pi a_0(980)$ (see Fig.3). Since $a_0(1450)$ may decay into $\eta\pi$ and $\pi\eta'(958)$ with the branching ratios 9.3% and 3.3%, respectively, three-body decays $\eta(2627) \rightarrow \eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta(2627) \rightarrow \eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ should be sizable. Thus, searching for $\eta(2627)$ via the $\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ final states is suggested. We notice BESIII's measurement of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$, where the event accumulation around 2624 MeV exists in the $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum [24]. This enhancement event is consistent with the predicted $\eta(2627)$. Besides giving the partial decay widths of $\eta(2627)$, we also obtain the total width of $\eta(2627)$, which is in the range of $157.8 - 274.0 \text{ MeV}$. With 10 billion J/ψ events collected in 2019 from BESIII, we have reason to believe that the predicted $\eta(2627)$ as the fifth radial excitation of the η/η' meson family can be established in experiment, which is an important step when constructing the η/η' meson family.
- In the following, we discuss the partner of $\eta(2627)$, which corresponds to $\eta(2742)$ as $\eta'(6S)$. Our result shows that $\eta(2742)$ is a broad state with width

396.3 – 590.1 MeV, which may result in the difficulty to identifying it in the experiment. Its dominant decay mode includes KK^* , while $K_1(1270)K^*$, $KK_0(1430)$, $KK_2^*(1430)$ and K^*K^* have the main contribution to the total decay width of $\eta(2742)$. In Fig. 4, more information of its partial decay widths can be found.

- From the analysis of Regge trajectory (see Fig. 2), $\eta(7S)$ has mass 2867, which is referred to as $\eta(2867)$ in this work. In Fig. 5, we present its total and partial decay widths. Similar to $\eta(2625)$ mentioned above, $\eta(2867)$ mainly decays into $\pi a_2(1320)$ and $\pi a_0(1450)$. But the width of $\eta(2867)$ is lightly broader than that of $X(2625)$. Here, the suggested ideal channel of searching for $\eta(2867)$ is still $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$, which can be from $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$. It is obvious that BESIII should try to hunt it with more experimental data.
- The $\eta(2973)$ as a $\eta'(7S)$ state should have the decay behavior shown in Fig. 6, where its dominant decay channel is KK^* . The total decay width of $\eta(2973)$ is very broad. Thus, it is difficult to discover such broad structure in the experiment. In addition, we have to face the fact that $\eta(2973)$ almost overlaps with $\eta_c(1S)$.

IV. PRODUCTION RELEVANT TO THE DISCUSSED $\eta^{(\prime)}(nS)$ INDUCED BY A PION OR KAON

Until now, the $\eta^{(\prime)}$ mesons mostly were observed through the J/ψ radiative decay process. Searching for them in different reaction platforms is an interesting issue, which may provide more abundant information to decode these states. It is well known that the pion-proton and kaon-proton scattering processes are effective experimental tools in exploring light hadrons. A typical example is $\eta(1295)$, which was first observed in the pion-proton scattering process $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta\pi^+\pi^-$ [48]. Therefore, pion-proton and kaon-proton scattering could be a peculiar way to investigate the $\eta^{(\prime)}$ mesons. Based on this motivation, in this work, we will explore the productions of $\eta^{(\prime)}(6S)$ and $\eta^{(\prime)}(7S)$ via the pion-proton and kaon-proton scattering processes, where the effective Lagrangian approach is adopted. These calculated results are valuable for the further relevant experimental exploration, where several concrete experiments include J-PARC [49, 50], COMPASS[51], and SPS@CERN [52].

The Feynman diagram of these discussed higher radial excitations of the pseudoscalar meson family produced via pion and kaon induced reactions on a proton target is illustrated in Fig. 7, where we only consider the t channel diagram. Besides, the contributions from s channel and u channel are not considered in this work, since the s channel is usually negligibly small and the u channel always concentrates at backward angles.

For the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)*} n$ reaction, we take the relevant effective Lagrangians [53, 54]

$$\mathcal{L}_{a_0\pi\eta^{(\prime)*}} = g_{a_0\pi\eta(nS)} \vec{a}_0 \cdot \vec{\pi} \eta^{(\prime)*}, \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{a_0NN} = g_{a_0NN} \vec{N} \vec{a}_0 \cdot \vec{\tau} N, \quad (6)$$




FIG. 7: Feynman diagrams for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)*} n$ reaction (left) and the $K^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)*} \Lambda$ reaction (right), where $\eta^{(\prime)*}$ donate $\eta(nS)$ or $\eta'(nS)$ with $n = 6, 7$.

where a_0 , $\eta^{(\prime)*}$, π , and N donate the $a_0(980)$, $\eta(nS)$, or $\eta'(nS)$ with $n = 6, 7$, pion, and nucleon fields, respectively. The coupling constants $g_{a_0\pi\eta^{(\prime)*}}$ ($g_{a_0\pi\eta(6S)} = 1.28$ GeV, $g_{a_0\pi\eta(7S)} = 0.93$ GeV, $g_{a_0\pi\eta'(6S)} = 0.09$ GeV, and $g_{a_0\pi\eta'(7S)} = 0.06$ GeV) can be determined by the decay width of $\eta^{(\prime)*}$ decaying into $\pi a_0(980)$, which is calculated by the QPC model in Sec. III. Besides, for the coupling constant g_{a_0NN} , we adopt $g_{a_0NN}^2/4\pi = 1.075$, which is implied by the Bonn one-boson exchange model for the nucleon-nucleon interaction [55].

For the $K^- p \rightarrow \eta^{(\prime)*} \Lambda$ reaction, the involved effective Lagrangians include [56–58]

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^*K\eta^{(\prime)*}} = ig_{K^*K\eta^{(\prime)*}} (K \partial^\mu \eta^{(\prime)*} - \eta^{(\prime)*} \partial^\mu K) K_\mu^*, \quad (7)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^*N\Lambda} = -g_{K^*N\Lambda} \bar{\Lambda} \left(\gamma_\mu - \frac{\kappa_{K^*N\Lambda}}{2m_N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \right) K^{*\mu} N + \text{H.c.}, \quad (8)$$

where the coupling constants $g_{K^*N\Lambda} = -4.26$ and $\kappa_{K^*N\Lambda} = 2.66$ are adopted in Ref. [59], which are from the Nijmegen potential. Additionally, the coupling constants $g_{K^*K\eta^{(\prime)*}}$ ($g_{K^*K\eta(6S)} = 0.02$, $g_{K^*K\eta(7S)} = 0.02$, $g_{K^*K\eta'(6S)} = 0.11$, and $g_{K^*K\eta'(7S)} = 0.11$) are estimated by the decay width of $\eta^{(\prime)*} \rightarrow KK^*$, which is also obtained by the QPC model (see Sec. III).

With the above preparation, the amplitudes of these discussed reactions shown in Fig. 7 can be written as

$$i\mathcal{M}_{\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta^{(\prime)*}} = \sqrt{2} g_{a_0\pi\eta^{(\prime)*}} g_{a_0NN} \bar{u}(p_4) u(p_2) \frac{i}{t - m_{a_0}^2} F_{a_0}^2(t), \quad (9)$$

$$i\mathcal{M}_{K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda\eta^{(\prime)*}} = -ig_{K^*K\eta^{(\prime)*}} g_{K^*N\Lambda} \bar{u}(p_4) \left[\gamma_\mu - \frac{\kappa_{K^*N\Lambda}}{2m_N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (ip_1^\nu) \right] \times u(p_2) \frac{i\tilde{g}^{\mu\alpha}}{p_t^2 - m_{K^*}^2} \left[(ip_3^\alpha) - (-ip_1^\alpha) \right] F_{K^*}^2(t), \quad (10)$$

where $t = p_t^2 = (p_3 - p_1)^2$, $\tilde{g}^{\mu\alpha} = -g^{\mu\alpha} + p_t^\mu p_t^\alpha / m_{K^*}^2$, and $F_x(t)$ is the form factor of t -channel exchange for each interaction vertex, which is taken as the monopole form [55, 60]

$$F_x(t) = (\Lambda_x^2 - m_x^2) / (\Lambda_x^2 - t) \quad (11)$$

in this work. The cutoff Λ_x in the form factor can be parametrized as $\Lambda_x = m_x + \alpha \Lambda_{QCD}$ with $\Lambda_{QCD} = 220$ MeV. In general, the value of parameter α is taken around 1 [16, 61]. Thus, we also take $\alpha = 1$ in our calculation.

Here, we also introduce the Reggeized treatment to the t channel in order to better describe the behavior of the hadron production at high momentum [16, 62–65]. To the Reggeized treatment for the t -channel meson exchange, we only need to replace the form factor in the Feynman amplitudes in Eqs. (9) and (10) as

$$F_{a_0}^2(t) \rightarrow \left(\frac{s}{s_{\text{scale}}}\right)^{\alpha_{a_0}(t)} \frac{\pi\alpha'_{a_0}(t)}{\Gamma[1 + \alpha_{a_0}(t)]\sin[\pi\alpha_{a_0}(t)]} \times (1 + \xi e^{-i\pi\alpha_{a_0}(t)})(t - m_{a_0}^2), \quad (12)$$

$$F_{K^*}^2(t) \rightarrow \left(\frac{s}{s_{\text{scale}}}\right)^{\alpha_{K^*}(t)-1} \frac{\pi\alpha'_{K^*}(t)}{\Gamma[\alpha_{K^*}(t)]\sin[\pi\alpha_{K^*}(t)]} \times (1 + \xi e^{-i\pi\alpha_{K^*}(t)})(t - m_{K^*}^2). \quad (13)$$

The scale factor s_{scale} is fixed at 1 GeV, and we set the signature $\xi = 1$ for the a_0 exchange and $\xi = -1$ for the K^* exchange. The Regge trajectories of $\alpha_{a_0}(t)$ and $\alpha_{K^*}(t)$ read as

$$\alpha_{a_0}(t) = -0.5 + 0.6t, \quad (14)$$

$$\alpha_{K^*}(t) = 1 + 0.85(t - m_{K^*}^2), \quad (15)$$

respectively. Besides, the Gamma function can suppress poles of the $\sin[\pi\alpha(t)]$ when the $\alpha(t) \leq 0$, and the poles of $\alpha(t) > 0$ can be avoided automatically since $t < 0$ for the t channel leads to $\alpha(t) < 1$.

Now, all parameters have been determined. Then, we can calculate the cross sections of the productions of these four pion and kaon induced reactions on a proton target. For the $2 \rightarrow 2$ reaction process, the differential scattering cross section can be expressed as

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dt} = \frac{1}{64\pi s} \frac{1}{p_{1cm}} |\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2, \quad (16)$$

where $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ is the square of center of mass energy, p_{1cm} denotes the momentum of incident pion or kaon in the center of mass frame, and the overline on $|\overline{\mathcal{M}}|^2$ indicates the square of amplitudes average over the polarizations in the initial states and the sum over the polarization in the final states.

In Fig. 8, we show the numerical result of the cross sections of $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)n$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)\Lambda$ as a function of the pion and kaon momenta in the laboratory system (P_{Lab}), respectively. For the $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)n$ reaction, both of the line shapes of the total cross sections in the Feynman model (red dotted line) and the Regge model (red solid line) sharply increase near the threshold, and then they begin to slowly decrease with increasing P_{Lab} . In the Feynman model, the total cross section reaches up to a maximum of 6.3 nb at a momentum $P_{\text{Lab}} = 9.5$ GeV/c. But in the Regge model, the maximum of the total cross section is 11.0 nb at $P_{\text{Lab}} = 11.1$ GeV/c. Different from the Feynman case, the line shape of the total cross section in the Regge model decreases more rapidly with increasing P_{Lab} when the total cross section has reached a maximum.

For the $K^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)\Lambda$ reaction, the obtained line shapes of the total cross sections in the Feynman model (blue dotted line) and the Regge model (blue solid line) also sharply




FIG. 8: Total cross section for the $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)n$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)\Lambda$ in the Feynman model and the Regge model.




FIG. 9: Total cross section for the $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta(7S)n$ and $K^-p \rightarrow \eta(7S)\Lambda$ in the Feynman model and the Regge model.

increase near the threshold, but then slowly trend to a stable value. The line shape in the Feynman model (blue dotted line) is increasing slowly with increasing P_{Lab} , while the line shape in the Regge model (blue solid line) is decreasing slowly with increasing P_{Lab} when the total cross section reaches up to a maximum 0.05 nb at $P_{\text{Lab}} = 25.5$ GeV/c. Compared to the Feynman model, the Regge model gives a smaller cross section for the $K^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)\Lambda$ reaction. Hence, the line shape difference between these two models can be applied to distinguish the role of the Regge model in further experimentation. Beside, we find that the total cross section of $\eta(6S)$ given by the $\pi^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)n$ reaction is significantly larger than the result obtained by the $K^-p \rightarrow \eta(6S)\Lambda$ reaction, since $\eta(6S)$ coupling with πa_0 is stronger than $\eta(6S)$ interacting with KK^* . According to our results, the pion-proton scattering may be more better platform than the kaon-proton scattering to explore the $\eta(6S)$ state. We also suggest that the P_{Lab} range with 9.0 ~ 12 GeV/c is a good momentum window for future experiments to hunt $\eta(6S)$ via the pion-proton scattering platform. If experimentalist want to find $\eta(6S)$ in the kaon-proton

scattering process, the P_{Lab} around 25 GeV/c is a suitable momentum window.

Additionally, in Fig. 9, we also show the numerical results of the cross sections of $\eta(7S)$ produced through the pion-proton scattering and the kaon-proton scattering, where the behavior of the lines shape of the total cross sections as a function of P_{Lab} is similar to the case of $\eta(6S)$. The total cross section of $\eta(7S)$ produced through the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(7S)n$ reaction has a maximum 2.3 nb at $P_{Lab} = 11.3$ GeV/c in the Feynman model and 3.3 nb at $P_{Lab} = 13.2$ GeV/c in the Regge model. And, in the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(7S)n$ reaction, the total cross section tends to a stable value 1.5 nb for the Feynman model and 0.04 nb for the Regge model at $P_{Lab} = 25$ GeV/c. Hence, the P_{Lab} range of 11.3 ~ 13.2 GeV/c and $P_{Lab} = 25$ GeV/c may be a good momentum window to search for $\eta(7S)$ on the pion-proton and kaon-proton scattering, respectively.




FIG. 10: Total cross section for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta'(6S)n$ and $K^- p \rightarrow \eta'(6S)\Lambda$ in the Feynman model and Regge model.




FIG. 11: Total cross section for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta'(7S)n$ and $K^- p \rightarrow \eta'(7S)\Lambda$ in the Feynman model and Regge model.

In Figs. 10 and 11, we present the line shape of total production cross sections of $\eta'(6S)$ and $\eta'(7S)$ with the pion-proton scattering and the kaon-proton scattering, respectively.

In fact, we notice that the behavior of these line shape is similar to that of the reactions $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(6S)n$ and $K^- p \rightarrow \eta(7S)\Lambda$, which is due to the similarity of the corresponding reaction amplitudes. However, there also exists a difference, i.e., the $\eta'(6S)$ and $\eta'(7S)$ production cross sections obtained by the pion-proton scattering are smaller than the results obtained by the kaon-proton scattering. The main reason is that the coupling of $\eta'(6S)/\eta'(7S)$ to KK^* is stronger than that of them to πa_0 . For the $\eta'(6S)$ ($\eta'(7S)$) production through the pion-proton scattering, the total cross section has maximum 0.03 nb (0.01 nb) at $P_{Lab} = 10.5$ GeV/c ($P_{Lab} = 12.2$ GeV/c) in the Feynman model, (the Regge model), where the maximum is 0.04 nb (0.01 nb) at $P_{Lab} = 13.6$ GeV/c ($P_{Lab} = 13.6$ GeV/c). The total cross sections of $\eta'(6S)$ and $\eta'(7S)$ in the kaon-proton scattering are much larger than the results of pion-proton scattering. The line shape of the total cross section of the $K^- p \rightarrow \eta'(6S)$ [$K^- p \rightarrow \eta'(7S)$] reaction in the Feynman model sharply increases near the threshold, then slowly trends to a stable value 40 nb (47 nb) at $P_{Lab} = 25$ GeV/c ($P_{Lab} = 24$ GeV/c). But in the Regge model, the total cross section of $\eta'(6S)$ [$\eta'(7S)$] in the kaon-proton scattering has a maximum of 1.4 nb (1.3 nb) at $P_{Lab} = 9.8$ GeV/c ($P_{Lab} = 11.2$ GeV/c). Hence, the P_{Lab} range of 9.8 ~ 25 GeV/c (11.2 ~ 25 GeV/c) may be a good momentum window for future experiments to research $\eta'(6S)$ [$\eta'(7S)$] on the kaon-proton scattering platform. And, in the pion-proton scattering process, a suitable window is around $P_{Lab} = 13.6$ GeV/c.




FIG. 12: Differential cross section $d\sigma/dt$ as a function of $|t - t_{min}|$ for the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(1295)n$ scattering at $p_{Lab} = 8.95$ GeV/c.

Another notable behavior for these calculated lines shape is that there exists a small cusp near the production threshold for all of the Regge model results. They are relevant to the factor $1 + \xi e^{-i\pi\alpha(t)}$, which may result in dips at $\alpha_{a_0}(t) = -1, -3, -5, \dots$ for the pion-proton scattering reaction. And, for the kaon-proton scattering reaction, dips appear at $\alpha_{K^*}(t) = 0, -2, -4, \dots$. These cusps also exist the $X(2100)$ and $h_1(1965)$ productions by the pion-proton scattering and the kaon-proton scattering [16, 66, 67]. Whether such cusps are physical or only unphysical should be clarified by the fu-

ture precise experimental data.

In fact, the above study gives the prediction of searching for higher radial excitations of pseudoscalar meson via the pion-proton scattering and the kaon-pion scattering, which can be a new task for future experiments. Although the present experimental information is still absent, we try to test the validity of our framework adopted in this work. We notice that there exists measurement of the differential cross section of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(1295)n$ at $p_{Lab} = 8.95$ GeV/c [68]. Thus, we calculate the differential cross section of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(1295)n$ at $p_{Lab} = 8.95$ GeV/c and make a comparison of our theoretical result with the experimental data (see Fig. 12 for the details). Here, the blue dotted line and red solid line are calculated in the Feynman model and the Regge model, respectively, and the experimental data from the left-hand side to right-hand side are average values of differential cross section in the range of $|t - t_{min}|$ with $0 \sim 0.05$ (GeV/c)², $0.05 \sim 0.2$ (GeV/c)² and $0.2 \sim 0.6$ (GeV/c)². Generally, our result is comparable with the experimental data. In the small $|t - t_{min}|$ range, the Regge model result is closer to the experimental result than the Feynman model result. By this study combined with a concrete experiment, we have reason to believe that the adopted theoretical framework in the present work can be used to study the production of higher radial excitation in the pseudoscalar meson family, and give reasonable theoretical prediction accessible at experiment.

As shown in Fig. 3, $\eta(2627)$ mainly decays into $\pi a_0(1450)$ and $\pi a_2(1320)$, which means that there should exist the $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(2627)n$ process via exchanging $a_0(1450)$ and $a_2(1320)$. In our realistic calculation, we only take $a_0(980)$ exchange. A main reason why we do not include the $a_0(1450)$ and $a_2(1320)$ exchange contribution process to $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(2627)n$ is the absent information of the $NNa_0(1450)$ and $NNa_2(1320)$ coupling, where N denotes nucleon. Thus, this treatment means that the cross sections estimated in this work may not be precise. For the discussed $\eta(2867)$ production, we also face the same situation.

Additionally, for $\eta(2742)$ as $\eta'(6S)$, the decay width of its $a_0(980)\pi$ channel is not sizable, which shows that the cross section of $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(2742)n$ process should be suppressed compared with that $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta(2627)n$. Indeed, the results presented in Figs. 8 and 10 support this scenario.

V. SUMMARY

The study of hadron spectroscopy may provide valuable hints to understand the nonperturbative behavior of QCD. As an important group in whole hadron family, light hadron has

attracted extensive attention of theorists and experimentalists. In this work, we still pay attention to the pseudoscalar meson family. Since 2003, more and more pseudoscalar states including $X(1835)$, $X(2120)$, $X(2370)$ and $X(2500)$ have been reported in experiments [22–25], and provide a good chance to construct the pseudoscalar meson family [5, 6]. It is obvious that it is not the end of the whole aspect. Especially, the BESIII measurement of the $\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum of $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma\eta'\pi^+\pi^-$ [24] shows that there exists a possible event accumulation around 2.6 GeV. This experimental information also stimulates our interest in exploring higher radial excitations of the pseudoscalar meson family.

In the present work, we focus on the fifth and the sixth radial excitations of the pseudoscalar meson family. By performing the mass spectrum analysis and the two-body OZI-allowed strong decay calculation, we may obtain the information of their resonance parameters and partial decay widths, which is crucial for hunting for and identifying these higher radial excitations of the pseudoscalar meson family in future experiments.

Of course, it should not limit us to the above issues since the investigation around these pseudoscalar mesons contains their production and so on. We also notice that the established $\eta(1295)$ was first observed in the pion-proton scattering process [48]. Thus, in this work, we exam the possibility of searching for the discussed four pseudoscalar mesons via the production induced by the pion or kaon. By the effective Lagrangian approach, we estimate the corresponding production cross sections, and find that these physical quantities are sizable. Combining with this information, we further give a theoretical suggestion of finding them.

As indicated in Ref. [3], BESIII still plays important role in exploring light hadron. Especially, BESIII has 10 billion J/ψ data, which makes the discovery of these higher radial excited pseudoscalar mesons become possible. Although BESIII has special status of studying on the light hadron, we still believe that it is not a unique way to detect these states. The pion-proton and kaon-proton scattering experiments can be as a supplement as illustrated in the present work.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the China National Funds for Distinguished Young Scientists under Grant No. 11825503, the National Program for Support of Top-notch Young Professionals, the projects funded by Science and Technology Department of Qinghai Province (Project No. 2020-ZJ-728), and China National Funds under Grant No. 111965016.

-
- [1] H. Yukawa, On the Interaction of Elementary Particles I, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jap. **17**, 48 (1935) [Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **1**, 1].
 - [2] C. M. G. Lattes, G. P. S. Occhialini and C. F. Powell, Observations on the Tracks of Slow Mesons in Photographic Emulsions. I, Nature **160**, 453 (1947).

- [3] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], White Paper on the Future Physics Programme of BESIII, arXiv:1912.05983 [hep-ex].
- [4] E. Klempt and A. Zaitsev, Glueballs, Hybrids, Multiquarks. Experimental facts versus QCD inspired concepts, Phys. Rept. **454**, 1 (2007).

- [5] J. S. Yu, Z. F. Sun, X. Liu and Q. Zhao, Categorizing resonances $X(1835)$, $X(2120)$ and $X(2370)$ in the pseudoscalar meson family, *Phys. Rev. D* **83**, 114007 (2011).
- [6] L. M. Wang, S. Q. Luo, Z. F. Sun and X. Liu, Constructing new pseudoscalar meson nonets with the observed $X(2100)$, $X(2500)$, and $\eta(2225)$, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 3, 034013 (2017).
- [7] X. Wang, Z. F. Sun, D. Y. Chen, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Non-strange partner of strangeonium-like state $Y(2175)$, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 074024 (2012).
- [8] L. P. He, X. Wang and X. Liu, Towards two-body strong decay behavior of higher ρ and ρ_3 mesons, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, no. 3, 034008 (2013).
- [9] Z. C. Ye, X. Wang, X. Liu and Q. Zhao, The mass spectrum and strong decays of isoscalar tensor mesons, *Phys. Rev. D* **86**, 054025 (2012).
- [10] B. Wang, C. Q. Pang, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Pseudotensor meson family, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 1, 014025 (2015).
- [11] K. Chen, C. Q. Pang, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Light axial vector mesons, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, no. 7, 074025 (2015).
- [12] D. Guo, C. Q. Pang, Z. W. Liu and X. Liu, Study of unflavored light mesons with $J^{PC} = 2^{-}$, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no. 5, 056001 (2019).
- [13] C. Q. Pang, J. Z. Wang, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, A systematic study of mass spectra and strong decay of strange mesons, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, no. 12, 861 (2017).
- [14] C. Q. Pang, B. Wang, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, High-spin mesons below 3 GeV, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no. 1, 014012 (2015).
- [15] M. Piotrowska, C. Reisinger and F. Giacosa, Strong and radiative decays of excited vector mesons and predictions for a new $\phi(1930)$ resonance, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no. 5, 054033 (2017).
- [16] L. M. Wang, J. Z. Wang, S. Q. Luo, J. He and X. Liu, Studying $X(2100)$ hadronic decays and predicting its pion and kaon induced productions, *Phys. Rev. D* **101**, no. 3, 034021 (2020).
- [17] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Review of Particle Physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, no. 3, 030001 (2018).
- [18] C. Q. Pang, Y. R. Wang and C. H. Wang, Prediction for 5^{++} mesons, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no. 1, 014022 (2019).
- [19] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Observation of $J/\psi p$ Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States in $\Lambda_b^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^- p$ Decays, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 072001 (2015).
- [20] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Observation of a narrow pentaquark state, $P_c(4312)^+$, and of two-peak structure of the $P_c(4450)^+$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, no. 22, 222001 (2019).
- [21] R. Chen, Z. F. Sun, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Strong LHCb evidence supporting the existence of the hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, no. 1, 011502 (2019).
- [22] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BES Collaboration], Observation of a resonance $X(1835)$ in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 262001 (2005).
- [23] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Confirmation of the $X(1835)$ and observation of the resonances $X(2120)$ and $X(2370)$ in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \eta'$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 072002 (2011).
- [24] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Observation of an anomalous line shape of the $\eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$ mass spectrum near the $p\bar{p}$ mass threshold in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \eta' \pi^+ \pi^-$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no. 4, 042002 (2016).
- [25] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Observation of pseudoscalar and tensor resonances in $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \phi \phi$, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, no. 11, 112011 (2016).
- [26] P. Gauron and B. Nicolescu, A Possible two component structure of the nonperturbative pomeron, *Phys. Lett. B* **486**, 71 (2000).
- [27] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Heavy-light meson spectroscopy and Regge trajectories in the relativistic quark model, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **66**, 197 (2010).
- [28] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Mass spectra and Regge trajectories of light mesons in the relativistic quark model, *Phys. Rev. D* **79**, 114029 (2009).
- [29] A. V. Anisovich, V. V. Anisovich and A. V. Sarantsev, Systematics of $q\bar{q}$ states in the (n, M^2) and (J, M^2) planes, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 051502 (2000).
- [30] A. L. Kataev, N. V. Krasnikov and A. A. Pivovarov, The Connection Between the Scales of the Gluon and Quark Worlds in Perturbative QCD, *Phys. Lett. B* **107**, 115-118 (1981).
- [31] A. L. Kataev, N. V. Krasnikov and A. A. Pivovarov, Two Loop Calculations for the Propagators of Gluonic Currents, *Nucl. Phys. B* **198**, 508-518 (1982) [erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **490**, 505-507 (1997)].
- [32] H. G. Blundell, Meson properties in the quark model: A look at some outstanding problems, hep-ph/9608473.
- [33] A. V. Anisovich, V. V. Anisovich, V. N. Markov, M. A. Matveev, V. A. Nikonov and A. V. Sarantsev, Radiative decays of quarkonium states, momentum operator expansion and nilpotent operators, *J. Phys. G* **31**, 1537 (2005).
- [34] W. Roberts and B. Silvestre-Brac, General method of calculation of any hadronic decay in the p wave triplet model, *Acta Phys. Austriaca* **11**, 171 (1992).
- [35] L. Micu, Decay rates of meson resonances in a quark model, *Nucl. Phys. B* **10**, 521 (1969).
- [36] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Naive quark pair creation model of strong interaction vertices, *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 2223 (1973).
- [37] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.-C. Raynal, Naive quark pair creation model and baryon decays, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 1415 (1974).
- [38] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Resonant Partial Wave Amplitudes in $\pi + n \rightarrow \pi + \pi + n$ According to the Naive Quark Pair Creation Model, *Phys. Rev. D* **11**, 1272 (1975).
- [39] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J. C. Raynal, Why is $\psi(4.414)$ so narrow?, *Phys. Lett.* **72B**, 57 (1977).
- [40] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.-C. Raynal, Strong Decays of $\psi(4.028)$ as a Radial Excitation of Charmonium, *Phys. Lett.* **71B**, 397 (1977).
- [41] J. F. Liu *et al.* [BES Collaboration], $X(1835)$ and the New Resonances $X(2120)$ and $X(2370)$ Observed by the BES Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. D* **82**, 074026 (2010).
- [42] D. M. Li and B. Ma, $\eta(2225)$ observed by BES Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 094021 (2008).
- [43] T. T. Pan, Q. F. Lu, E. Wang and D. M. Li, Strong decays of the $X(2500)$ newly observed by the BESIII Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no. 5, 054030 (2016).
- [44] D. M. Li and B. Ma, $X(1835)$ and $\eta(1760)$ observed by BES Collaboration, *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 074004 (2008).
- [45] D. M. Li and S. Zhou, Towards the assignment for the 4^1S_0 meson nonet, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 054013 (2008).
- [46] M. Jacob and G. C. Wick, On the General Theory of Collisions for Particles with Spin, *Annals Phys.* **7**, 404 (1959).
- [47] S. U. Chung, Spin Formalisms, CERN-71-08, 1971.
- [48] N. R. Stanton *et al.*, Evidence for Axial Vector and Pseudoscalar Resonances Near 1.275 GeV in $\eta \pi^+ \pi^-$, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **42**, 346 (1979).
- [49] T. Nagae, The J-PARC project, *Nucl. Phys. A* **805**, 486 (2008).
- [50] S. Kumano, Spin Physics at J-PARC, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **40**, 1660009 (2016).
- [51] F. Nerling [COMPASS Collaboration], Hadron Spectroscopy with COMPASS: Newest Results, *EPJ Web Conf.* **37**, 01016

- (2012) [EPJ Web Conf. **37**, 1016 (2012)].
- [52] B. Velghe [NA62-RK and NA48/2 Collaborations], $K^\pm \rightarrow \pi^\pm \gamma \gamma$ Studies at NA48/2 and NA62-RK Experiments at CERN, Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc. **273-275**, 2720 (2016).
- [53] A. Gokalp, A. Kuckarslan, S. Solmaz and O. Yilmaz, Scalar $a_0(980)$ meson in $\phi \rightarrow \pi^0 \eta \gamma$ decay, J. Phys. G **28**, 2783-2793 (2002).
- [54] M. Kirchbach and D. O. Riska, The Coupling of the $f_1(1285)$ meson to the isoscalar axial current of the nucleon, Nucl. Phys. A **594**, 419-424 (1995).
- [55] R. Machleidt, K. Holinde and C. Elster, The Bonn Meson Exchange Model for the Nucleon Nucleon Interaction, Phys. Rept. **149**, 1 (1987).
- [56] Y. Oh and H. Kim, K^* photoproduction off the nucleon: $\gamma N \rightarrow K^* \Lambda$, Phys. Rev. C **73**, 065202 (2006).
- [57] Y. Oh and H. Kim, Scalar κ meson in K^* photoproduction, Phys. Rev. C **74**, 015208 (2006).
- [58] X. Y. Wang and J. He, Production of $\phi(2170)$ and $\eta(2225)$ in a kaon induced reaction, Eur. Phys. J. A **55**, no.9, 152 (2019).
- [59] V. G. J. Stoks and T. A. Rijken, Soft core baryon baryon potentials for the complete baryon octet, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 3009 (1999).
- [60] R. Machleidt, The Meson theory of nuclear forces and nuclear structure, Adv. Nucl. Phys. **19**, 189-376 (1989).
- [61] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and A. Soni, Final state interactions in hadronic B decays, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014030 (2005).
- [62] P. D. B. Collins, An Introduction to Regge Theory and High Energy Physics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977).
- [63] M. Guidal, J. M. Laget and M. Vanderhaeghen, Pion and kaon photoproduction at high-energies: Forward and intermediate angles, Nucl. Phys. A **627**, 645-678 (1997).
- [64] G. Galata, Photoproduction of $Z(4430)$ through mesonic Regge trajectories exchange, Phys. Rev. C **83**, 065203 (2011).
- [65] X. Y. Wang and J. He, Investigation of pion-induced $f_1(1285)$ production off a nucleon target within an interpolating Reggeized approach, Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.3, 034017 (2017).
- [66] K. J. Kong and B. G. Yu, Features of forward πN scattering from a Reggeized model, Phys. Rev. C **98**, no. 4, 045207 (2018).
- [67] F. Huang, A. Sibirtsev, S. Krewald, C. Hanhart, J. Haidenbauer and U. G. Meissner, Pion-nucleon charge-exchange amplitudes above 2 GeV, Eur. Phys. J. A **40**, 77 (2009).
- [68] S. Fukui *et al.*, Study on the eta $\pi^+ \pi^-$ system in the $\pi^- p$ charge exchange reaction at 8.95 GeV/c, Phys. Lett. B **267**, 293 (1991).