DISTINCTION INSIDE L-PACKETS OF SL(n)

U. K. ANANDAVARDHANAN AND NADIR MATRINGE

ABSTRACT. If E/F is a quadratic extension *p*-adic fields, we first prove that the SL_n(*F*)distinguished representations inside a distinguished unitary L-packet of $SL_n(E)$ are precisely those admitting a degenerate Whittaker model with respect to a degenerate character of N(E)/N(F). Then we establish a global analogue of this result. For this, let E/F be a quadratic extension of number fields and let π be an $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ distinguished square integrable automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. Let (σ, d) be the unique pair associated to π , where σ is a cuspidal representation of $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$ with n = dr. Using an unfolding argument, we prove that an element of the L-packet of π is distinguished with respect to $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ if and only if it has a degenerate Whittaker model for a degenerate character ψ of type $r^d := (r, \ldots, r)$ of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which is trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$, where N_n is the group of unipotent upper triangular matrices of SL_n. As a first application, under the assumptions that E/F splits at infinity and r is odd, we establish a local-global principle for $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinction inside the L-packet of π . As a second application we construct examples of distinguished cuspidal automorphic representations π of SL_{*n*}(\mathbb{A}_{F}) such that the period integral vanishes on some canonical copy of π , and of everywhere locally distinguished representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that their L-packets do not contain any distinguished representation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present work fits in the study of local distinction and periods of automorphic forms, with respect to Galois pairs of reductive groups. It is motivated by earlier works, namely [AP03, AP18] in the local context, and [AP06, AP13] in the global context, which investigated distinction in the presence of L-packets.

In probing distinction inside an L-packet for SL(2), the key finding of [AP03, AP06] was that distinction inside an L-packet that contains at least one distinguished representation can be characterized in terms of Whittaker models; i.e., distinguished representations in such "distinguished" L-packets are precisely the ones which admit a Whittaker model with respect to a non-trivial character of E/F (resp. $A_E/(E + A_F)$) in the local (resp. global) case. A crucial role in the global papers on SL(2) [AP06, AP13] is played by "multiplicity one for SL(2)", i.e., a cuspidal representation of SL₂(A_L) appears exactly once in the space of cusp forms on SL₂(A_L) [Ram00].

More recently, the results of [AP18] generalized [AP03] from n = 2 to any n. Thus, in [AP18], it is proved, amongst many other results, that if π is a generic $SL_n(F)$ distinguished representation of $SL_n(E)$, then the distinguished members of the Lpacket of π are the representations which are ψ -generic with respect to some nondegenerate character ψ satisfying $\psi^{\theta} = \psi^{-1}$, where θ denotes the Galois involution. Such a relationship between distinction and genericity is expected more generally [Pra15]; indeed, if ψ is a non-degenerate character such that $\psi^{\theta} = \psi^{-1}$, according to

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11F70.

[Pra15, Conjecture 13.3, (3)], for any quasi-split Galois pair, ψ -generic members of a distinguished L-packet are distinguished.

Somewhat surprisingly even the finite field analogue of this characterization of distinction in a generic L-packet turned out to be non-trivial and is settled only fairly recently [AM20, Theorem 5.1].

In this paper, we first prove a generalization of the above mentioned local result of [AP03, AP18] for unitary L-packets of $SL_n(E)$ and degenerate Whittaker models (cf. Theorem 3.6).

Theorem 1.1. If $\tilde{\pi}$ is an irreducible unitary representation of $GL_n(E)$ of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) , where (n_1, \ldots, n_d) is the partition of n defined in Section 3.1, and if the L-packet associated to $\tilde{\pi}$ contains a representation distinguished by $SL_n(F)$, then its distinguished members are those which admit a ψ -degenerate Whittaker model for ψ of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) satisfying $\psi^{\theta} = \psi^{-1}$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 builds on the work of the second named author [Mat14], which classified unitary representations of $GL_n(E)$ which are distinguished with respect to $GL_n(F)$, making use of which we can adapt the techniques of [AP03] and [AP18] to the unitary context. Such a result hints at the possibility of a generalization of Prasad's prediction [Pra15], relating distinction for Galois pairs inside distinguished generic L-packets to distinguished Whittaker models, to non-generic L-packets.

Now we come to the global results of this paper. The study of global representations of SL(n), already quite involved for n = 2 as can be seen from [AP06, AP13], is considerably more difficult for several reasons one of which is that "multiplicity one" is not true for SL(n) for $n \ge 3$ as was first shown in the famous work of D. Blasius [Bla94, Lap99].

In this paper, we prove the most basic result about characterizing distinction inside a distinguished L-packet in terms of Whittaker models, thus generalizing [AP06, Theorem 4.2] from n = 2 to any n, and we cover not just cuspidal representations but the full residual spectrum (cf. Theorem 6.6).

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $\tilde{\pi} = \text{Sp}(d, \sigma)$ is an irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_{dr}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, where σ is a cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$. Assume that the L-packet determined by $\tilde{\pi}$ contains an $\text{SL}_{dr}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished representation. Then an irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation π of this L-packet is $\text{SL}_{dr}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished if and only if there exists a degenerate character ψ of type $r^d := (r, \ldots, r)$ (see Section 5.2) of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$ such that π has a degenerate ψ -Whittaker model.

There are two main ideas in proving Theorem 1.2. First we settle the cuspidal case by creating an inductive set up based on an unfolding method, and make use of the base case for n = 2 which is known by [AP06, Theorem 4.2]. We mention here that the method that we follow to create this inductive set up is very parallel to that employed in [DP19, Section 5] (cf. Remark 9). Having established the cuspidal case for all r, we do one more induction, this time in d, where n = dr, the case d = 1 being the cuspidal case. In order to work this out, the key ingredient is the work of Yamana [Yam15], which is the global counterpart of [Mat14], and we need to do one more unfolding argument as well. As an application of Theorem 1.2, we establish a local global principle for squareintegrable representations for $(SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E), SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F))$ (cf. Theorem 8.3).

Theorem 1.3. Let E/F be a quadratic extension of number fields split at the archimedean places. Suppose $\tilde{\pi} = \text{Sp}(d, \sigma)$ is a square-integrable automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_{dr}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, where σ is a cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$, where we assume that r is odd. Suppose that the L-packet determined by $\tilde{\pi}$ contains an $\text{SL}_{dr}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished representation. Let π be an irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation of $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which belongs to this L-packet. Write $\pi = \bigotimes_v' \pi_v$, for v varying through the places of F. Then, π is distinguished with respect to $\text{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ if and only if each π_v is $\text{SL}_n(F_v)$ -distinguished.

Remark 1. Such a local global principle was proved in [AP06] for cuspidal representations of $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ by quite involved arguments. In contrast, our proof is reasonably elementary, making use of the assumption that *r* is odd.

Another important objective of the present paper is to analyse distinction vis-a-vis the phenomenon of higher multiplicity for SL(n). As mentioned earlier, unlike in the case of SL(2), a cuspidal representation may appear in the space of cusp forms with multiplicity more than 1 for SL(n) for $n \ge 3$ [Bla94, Lap99].

In our first set of examples, we give a precise answer regarding the non-vanishing of the period integral on the canonical realizations of a cuspidal representation inside the L-packets obtained from restricting the cusp forms on $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. We exhibit two types of examples of cuspidal representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of multiplicity $m(\pi)$ more than 1 in the space of cusp forms which are $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished (cf. §10.2, §10.3). In one set of examples, *F* is any number field and *E*/*F* is chosen so that the period integral vanishes on some of the $m(\pi)$ many canonical realizations but not on all the canonical realizations. In the second set of examples, *F* is any number field and *E*/*F* is any number field and *E*/*F* is chosen so that the period integral does not vanish in any of the $m(\pi)$ many canonical realizations inside the L-packets.

Then we tweak the method employed to construct the above examples to also show that the local-global principle fails at the level of non-distinguished L-packets for SL(n) (cf. §10.4). Namely we give examples of cuspidal representations π of $SL_n(A_E)$ which are distinguished at every place, but such that the L-packet of π contains no distinguished representation. Such a phenomenon was observed for SL(2) as well by an explicit construction in [AP06, Theorem 8.2]. The construction in [AP06] is somewhat involved whereas our analogous examples in §10.4 are conceptually simpler, however the methods here are tailor-made for *n* odd.

All our examples of cuspidal representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of high multiplicity which are $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished in Section 10, that highlight a variety of different phenomena, owe a lot to the examples of Blasius of high cuspidal multiplicity [Bla94]. Blasius makes use of the representation theory of the Heisenberg group H, and in particular the fact that different Heisenberg representations are such that their value at any element of the group are conjugate in $PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ but they are projectively inequivalent [Bla94, Section 1.1]. To give a rough idea, Blasius produces in [Bla94] high multiplicity examples on $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ by transferring this representation theoretic information about Heisenberg groups to Galois groups of L/E for suitable number fields, via Shafarevich's theorem, and then to the automorphic side via the strong Artin conjecture which is a theorem in the situation at hand, as $Gal(L/E) \simeq H$ is nilpotent, due to Arthur-Clozel [AC89, Theorem 7.1]. For our examples, we start with an involution on H and consider the corresponding semi-direct product $H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$, which cuts out extensions $L \supset E \supset F$, and play with these involutions to construct a variety of examples answering several natural questions about distinction for the pair $(SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E), SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F))$.

Finally we mention that we give proofs of some elementary, and probably standard, facts on archimedean and global L-packets of SL_n for which we could not find accessible sources in the literature. They follow from [AGS15] in the archimedean setting, and from [JL13] in the global setting.

2. Notations

We denote by δ_G the character of a locally compact group G such that $\delta_G \lambda$ is a right invariant Haar measure on G if λ is a left invariant Haar measure on G. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{a,b}$ the algebraic group of $a \times b$ matrices. We denote by G_n the algebraic group GL_n , by T_n its diagonal torus and by N_n the group of upper triangular matrices in G_n . We set

$$U_n = \{u_n(x) = \begin{pmatrix} I_{n-1} & x \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ x \in (\mathbb{A}^1)^{n-1}\} < N_n$$

where \mathbb{A}^1 denotes the affine line. For $k \leq n$, we embed G_k inside G_n via $g \mapsto \text{diag}(g, I_{n-k})$ and set $P_n = G_{n-1}U_n$ the mirabolic subgroup of G_n . We denote by $N_{n,r}$ the group of matrices

$$k(a, x, u) = \begin{pmatrix} a & x \\ & u \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a \in G_{n-r}$, $x \in \mathcal{M}_{n-r,r}$ and $u \in N_r$. We denote by $U_{n,r}$ the unipotent radical of $N_{n,r}$, which consists of the matrices $k(I_{n-k}, x, u)$. Note that $N_{n,n} = N_n$ and

$$U_{n,r} = U_n \dots U_{r+1}$$

For a subgroup *H* of G_n , we denote by H° the intersection of *H* with SL_n .

3. Non-archimedean theory

Let E/F be a quadratic extension of *p*-adic fields with Galois involution θ . We denote by $||_E$ and $||_F$ the respective normalized absolute values. In this section, by abuse of notation, we set G = G(E) for any algebraic group defined over *E*. We denote by ν_E (or ν), the character $||_E \circ$ det of G_n . We fix a non-trivial character ψ_0 of *E* which is trivial on *F*.

3.1. The type of an irreducible GL-representation via derivatives. If ψ is a nondegenerate (smooth complex) character of N_n , we denote by ψ^k its restriction to U_k for $k \leq n$. We denote by Rep(•) the category of smooth complex representations of •. In [BZ76] and [BZ77], Bernstein and Zelevinsky have introduced the functors

$$\Phi_{\psi^n}^-: \operatorname{Rep}(P_n) \to \operatorname{Rep}(P_{n-1})$$

and

$$\Psi^-$$
: Rep $(P_n) \to$ Rep (G_{n-1})

For $(\tau, V) \in \operatorname{Rep}(P_n)$, one has

$$\Phi_{\psi^n}^{-}(V) = V/V(U_n, \psi_n)$$

where $V(U_n, \psi_n)$ is the space spanned by the differences $\tau(u)v - \psi_n(u)v$ for $u \in U_n$ and $v \in V$, but the action of P_{n-1} on $\Phi_{\psi^n}^{-}(V)$ is normalized by twisting by $\delta_{P_n}^{-1/2}$. Similarly

$$\Psi^{-}(V) = V/V(U_n, 1)$$

where the action of G_{n-1} on $\Psi^{-}(V)$ is normalized by twisting by $\delta_{P_n}^{-1/2}$ again.

The functor $\Phi_{\psi^n}^-$ does not in fact depend on ψ in the sense that for $\tau \in \operatorname{Rep}(P_n)$ one has $\Phi_{\psi^n}^-(\tau) \simeq \Phi_{\psi'^n}^-(\tau)$ whenever ψ and ψ' are non-degenerate characters of N_n . Hence we simply write $\Phi^-(\tau)$ for it. For $\tau \in \operatorname{Rep}(P_n)$, we set

$$\tau_{(k)} = (\Phi^{-})^{k-1}(\tau) \in \operatorname{Rep}(P_{n+1-k}),$$

and

$$\tau^{(k)} = \Psi^{-}(\Phi^{-})^{k-1}(\tau) \in \operatorname{Rep}(G_{n-k}),$$

which is called the *k*-th derivative of τ . The *k*-th shifted derivative of τ is given by

$$\tau^{[k]} = \nu^{1/2} \tau^{(k)}$$

Note that these definitions apply when τ is a representation of G_n which we consider as a representation of P_n by restriction.

Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an irreducible smooth representation of G_n . We denote by $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}$ its highest (non-zero) shifted derivative, by $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1,n_2]} := (\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]})^{[n_2]}$ the highest shifted derivative of $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}$, and so on. All the representations $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1,n_2,\dots,n_i]}$ are irreducible thanks to [Zel80, Theorem 8.1]. This defines a finite sequence of positive integers (n_1,\dots,n_d) such than $n_1 + \dots + n_d = n$. In fact, [Zel80, Theorem 8.1] implies that this sequence is a partition of n, i.e., $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \dots \geq n_d$. We call (n_1,\dots,n_d) the partition associated to $\tilde{\pi}$. We will also say that $\tilde{\pi}$ is of type (n_1,\dots,n_d) . Note that by [Ber84, Section 7.4], if $\tilde{\pi}$ is unitary, then all the representations $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1,n_2,\dots,n_i]}$ are unitary as well.

Example 1. Using the product notation for normalized parabolic induction, if δ is an essentially square-integrable representation of G_r we set

$$\operatorname{Sp}(d,\delta) = \operatorname{LQ}(||_E^{(d-1)/2}\delta \times \cdots \times ||_E^{(1-d)/2}\delta)$$

to be the Langlands quotient of the parabolically induced representation

$$| |_{E}^{(d-1)/2} \delta \times \cdots \times | |_{E}^{(1-d)/2} \delta$$

More generally, if $\tau = \delta_1 \times \cdots \times \delta_l$ is a generic unitary representation of G_r written as a commutative product of essentially square-integrable representations ([Zel80, Theorem 9.7]), we set

$$\operatorname{Sp}(d, \tau) = \operatorname{Sp}(d, \delta_1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Sp}(d, \delta_l)$$

which is a commutative product by the results of Tadic ([Tad86, Theorem D]). In this situation, [BZ77, 4.5, Lemma] together with the computation of the highest derivative of Speh representations ([OS08, §3.5 (3.3)] and [Tad87, §6.1]) imply that the partition of n = rd associated to Sp (d, τ) is $r^d := (r, ..., r)$. Conversely one can check using

the same results that an irreducible unitary representation of G_n of type r^d is of the form $\text{Sp}(d, \tau)$ for a unitary generic representation τ of G_r . We refer to Section 4.2 for the details in the archimedean setting which are the same as in the non-archimedean setting.

3.2. **Degenerate Whittaker models and** L**-packets.** Let ψ_{n_i} be a non-degenerate character of the group N_{n_i} . By [Zel80, Section 8], if the representation $\tilde{\pi}$ is of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) , then it has a unique degenerate Whittaker model with respect to

$$(\psi_{n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\psi_{n_d})$$
 $\begin{pmatrix}u_d&\ldots&\cdot\\&\ddots&\vdots\\&&u_1\end{pmatrix}=\psi_{n_1}(u_1)\ldots\psi_{n_d}(u_d)$

for $u_i \in N_{n_i}$. We often use the notation

$$\psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_d}:=\psi_{n_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\psi_{n_d}$$

it has the advantage of being short but could mislead the reader so we insist on the fact that $\psi_{n_1,...,n_d}$ depends on the characters ψ_{n_i} and not only on the positive integers n_i . We will say that $\psi_{n_1,...,n_d}$ is of type $(n_1,...,n_d)$. If all the n_i are equal then we set

$$\psi_{1,\ldots,d}:=\psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_d}.$$

The L-packet associated to $\tilde{\pi}$ is the finite set of irreducible representations of $G_n^{\circ} = SL_n(E)$ appearing in the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}$, and is denoted by $L(\tilde{\pi})$. We refer to [HS12, Section 2] for its basic properties that we now state (see also [GK82] or [Tad92]). Any irreducible representation π of G_n° arises in the restriction of an irreducible representation of G_n and two irreducible representations of G_n containing π are twists of each other by a character. Hence it makes sense to set $L(\pi) = L(\tilde{\pi})$, and call this finite set the L-packet of π (or the L-packet determined by $\tilde{\pi}$). We define the type of π (or the type of $L(\pi)$) to be that of $\tilde{\pi}$. Of course two irreducible representations of G_n determining the same L-packet have the same type.

Clearly the group diag(E^{\times} , I_{n-1}) acts transitively on L($\tilde{\pi}$) and the existence of a degenerate Whittaker model for irreducible representations of G_n then has the following immediate consequence.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an irreducible representation of G_n of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) , then the group diag (E^{\times}, I_{n-1}) acts transitively on $L(\tilde{\pi})$ and every member of $L(\tilde{\pi})$ has a (necessarily unique) degenerate ψ -Whittaker model for some ψ of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) .

Uniqueness of degenerate Whittaker models for $\tilde{\pi}$ together with Lemma 3.1 then has the following well-known consequence.

Proposition 3.2. If $\tilde{\pi}$ is an irreducible representation of G_n then the representations in $L(\tilde{\pi})$ appear with multiplicity one in the restriction of $\tilde{\pi}$ to G_n° .

In fact we can be more precise. The following lemma follows from the fact that if $\tilde{\pi}$ is of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) then $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}]}$ is of type (n_k, \ldots, n_d) (cf. §3.1).

Lemma 3.3. If $\tilde{\pi}$ is an irreducible representation of G_n of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) , then $L(\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1, \ldots, n_{k-1}]})$ contains a unique irreducible representation of $G_{n_k+\cdots+n_d}^{\circ}$ with a degenerate Whittaker model with respect to ψ_{n_k, \ldots, n_d} .

Again $L(\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}]})$ only depends on $L(\tilde{\pi}) = L(\pi)$ (because derivatives commute with character twists), and we set

$$L(\pi)^{[n_1,...,n_{k-1}]} := L(\widetilde{\pi}^{[n_1,...,n_{k-1}]})$$

for any irreducible representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of G_n such that $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi})$.

Definition 1. Let π be an irreducible representation of G_n° . Let $\pi^{[n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}]}(\psi_{n_k,\dots,n_d})$ denote the irreducible representation of $G_{n_k+\dots+n_d}^{\circ}$ isolated in Lemma 3.3, i.e., the unique representation in $L(\pi)^{[n_1,\dots,n_{k-1}]}$ with a degenerate Whittaker model with respect to ψ_{n_k,\dots,n_d} . In particular, $\pi(\psi_{n_1,\dots,n_d})$ denotes the unique irreducible representation of G_n° in $L(\pi)$ with a degenerate Whittaker model with respect to ψ_{n_1,\dots,n_d} .

Remark 2. We do not claim that if $\pi(\psi) = \pi(\psi')$, then ψ and ψ' are in the same T_n° -conjugacy class.

3.3. Distinguished representations inside a distinguished L-packet. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an irreducible representation of G_n . We start by making explicit the relation between the degenerate Whittaker models $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ and $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \psi_{n_2,...,n_d})$.

Lemma 3.4. The map

$$W \mapsto W_{|G_{n-n_1}|}$$

is surjective from $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ to $\mathcal{W}(\nu_E^{(n_1-1)/2}\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \psi_{n_2,...,n_d})$.

Proof. By the same proof as in [CPS17, Proposition 1.2], the map

$$W \mapsto W_{|P_{n-n_1+1}|}$$

is a surjection from $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ to $\mathcal{W}(\nu_E^{(n-n_1+1)/2}\tilde{\pi}_{(n_1-1)}, \psi_{n_2,...,n_d})$. But then, because W(gu) = W(g) for $W \in \mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$, $g \in G_{n-n_1}$ and $u \in U_{n-n_1+1}$, we deduce that $W_{|G_{n-n_1}} \in \mathcal{W}(\nu_E^{n_1/2}\tilde{\pi}^{(n_1)}, \psi_{n_2,...,n_d})$ and that

$$W \mapsto W_{|G_{n-n}|}$$

is surjective from $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ to $\mathcal{W}(\nu_E^{n_1/2}\tilde{\pi}^{(n_1)}, \psi_{n_2,...,n_d})$. The result follows. \Box

We denote by $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \psi_{n_1})$, the generalized Kirillov model of $\tilde{\pi}$ (see [Zel80, Section 5]) with respect to $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}$ and ψ_{n_1} . It is, by definition, the image of the unique embedding of $\tilde{\pi}_{|P_n|}$ into the space of functions $K : P_n \to \pi^{[n_1]}$ which satisfy

$$K(k(a, x, u_1)p) = \nu(a)^{(n_1 - 1)/2} \psi_{n_1}(u_1) \pi^{[n_1]}(a) K(p)$$

for $k(a, x, u_1) \in N_{n, n_1}$.

Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an irreducible representation of G_n with degenerate Whittaker model $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$. Then, by Lemma 3.4, for any $W \in \mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ and $g \in G_n$, the map

$$g_1 \mapsto \nu_E^{(n_1 - 1)/2} W(g_1 g)$$

belongs to $\mathcal{W}(\widetilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \psi_{n_2,\dots,n_d})$. We set

$$I(W): G_n \to \mathcal{W}(\nu_E^{(n_1-1)/2} \widetilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \psi_{n_2,\dots,n_d})$$

to be the map defined by

$$I(W)(g): g_1 \in G_{n-n_1} \mapsto W(g_1g)$$

Hence *I* realizes $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1, n_2, ..., n_d})$ inside the induced representation

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{N_{n,n_1}}^{G_n}(\mathcal{W}(\nu_E^{(n_1-1)/2}\widetilde{\pi}^{[n_1]},\psi_{n_2,\dots,n_d})\otimes\psi_{n_1}).$$

Then the map $W \mapsto I(W)|_{P_n}$ is a bijection from

$$\mathcal{W}(\widetilde{\pi},\psi_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_d})\to\mathcal{K}(\widetilde{\pi},\mathcal{W}(\widetilde{\pi}^{[n_1]},\psi_{n_2,\ldots,n_d}),\psi_{n_1})).$$

The following is now a consequence of the results of [Mat14].

Proposition 3.5. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an irreducible unitary representation of G_n which is distinguished with respect to G_n^{θ} , with degenerate Whittaker model $W(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$, and suppose that $\psi_{n_1,...,n_d}$ is trivial on $N_n(F)$. Then the invariant linear form on $\tilde{\pi}$ is expressed as a local period on $W(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ by

$$\lambda(W) = \int_{N_{n,n_1}^{\theta} \setminus P_n^{\theta}} \int_{N_{n-n_1,n_2}^{\theta} \setminus P_{n-n_1}^{\theta}} \dots \int_{N_{n-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} n_i,n_d}^{\theta} \setminus P_{n-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} n_i}^{\theta}} W(p_d \dots p_2 p_1) dp_d \dots dp_2 dp_1.$$

Proof. The proof is by induction on *d*. For d = 1, the representation is unitary generic, and the fact that

$$W\mapsto \int_{N_n^\theta\setminus P_n^\theta} W(p)dp$$

is well-defined is due to Flicker [Fli88, Section 4], and that it is G_n^{θ} -invariant is a result due to Youngbin Ok (see [Mat14, Proposition 2.5] for a more general statement in the unitary context). Then for a general *d*, by [Mat14, Proposition 2.4], if $\tilde{\pi}$ is distinguished, so is $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}$, and we take $L \in \text{Hom}_{G_{n-n_1}^{\theta}}(\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \mathbb{C}) - \{0\}$. By [Mat14, Propositions 2.2 and 2.5], the linear form

(1)
$$\lambda_K: K \mapsto \int_{N_{n,n_1}^{\theta} \setminus P_n^{\theta}} L(K(p_1)) dp_1$$

is, up to scaling, the unique G_n^{θ} -invariant linear form on $\mathcal{K}(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \psi_{n_1})$. We realize $\tilde{\pi}$ as $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ and $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}$ as $\mathcal{W}(\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1]}, \psi_{n_2,...,n_d})$. Then by induction

$$L(W') = \int_{N_{n-n_1,n_2}^{\theta} \setminus P_{n-n_1}^{\theta}} \dots \int_{N_{n-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} n_i,n_d}^{\theta} \setminus P_{n-\sum_{i=1}^{d-1} n_i}^{\theta}} W'(p_r \dots p_2) dp_r \dots dp_2$$

Applying it to $W' = K(p_1) = I(W_K)(p_1)$ for the unique $W_K \in W(\tilde{\pi}, \psi_{n_1,...,n_d})$ such that the previous equality holds, the result follows in view of the discussion preceding the proposition.

Theorem 3.6. Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of $SL_n(E)$ of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) which is $SL_n(F)$ -distinguished. Then the $SL_n(F)$ -distinguished representations in $L(\pi)$ are precisely the representations $\pi(\psi)$ for a character ψ of N_n of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) such that $\psi_{|N_n^{\theta}} \equiv \mathbf{1}$.

Proof. The proof follows exactly along the same lines of the generic case as in [AP03, Section 3] and [AP18, Section 4], making use of Proposition 3.5 in lieu of Flicker's invariant linear form mentioned above. \Box

Theorem 3.6 has the following consequences.

Proposition 3.7. Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of G_n° of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) , and fix ψ_{n_1,\ldots,n_d} a character of N_n of this type trivial on N_n^{θ} . If $\pi(\psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_d})$ is $SL_n(F)$ distinguished, then the representation $\pi^{[n_1,\ldots,n_{k-1}]}(\psi_{n_k,\ldots,n_d})$ is $SL_{\sum_{i=k}^d n_i}(F)$ -distinguished for all $k = 1, \ldots, d$.

Proof. According to [AP18, Lemma 3.2], up to twisting $\tilde{\pi}$ by an appropriate character we can suppose that it is $GL_n(F)$ -distinguished. Then $\tilde{\pi}^{[n_1,...,n_{k-1}]}$ is distinguished as we already saw (cf. proof of Proposition 3.5). Now $\pi^{[n_1,...,n_{k-1}]}(\psi_{n_k,...,n_d})$ belongs to $L(\pi^{[n_1,...,n_{k-1}]})$ and it has a degenerate Whittaker model with respect to the distinguished character $\psi_{n_k,...,n_d}$, hence the result follows from Theorem 3.6.

Proposition 3.7 can be strengthened for Speh representations.

Theorem 3.8. Let τ be a generic representation of G_r and let ψ_i be a non-degenerate character of N_r trivial on N_r^{θ} for i = 1, ..., d. Fix $1 \le k \le d$, then $\pi(\psi_{1,...,d}) \in L(\operatorname{Sp}(d, \tau))$ is $\operatorname{SL}_n(F)$ -distinguished if and only if $\pi^{[r^{d-k}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,...,d}) \in L(\operatorname{Sp}(k, \tau))$ is $\operatorname{SL}_{kr}(F)$ -distinguished.

Proof. One direction follows from Proposition 3.7. Conversely suppose that

$$\pi^{[r^{d-k}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,\ldots,d}) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{Sp}(k,\tau))$$

is $SL_{kr}(F)$ -distinguished. Then up to a twist $Sp(k, \tau)$, hence τ , and hence $Sp(d, \tau)$ is distinguished, thanks to [Mat14, Theorem 2.13]. But then because $\pi(\psi_{1,...,d}) \in L(Sp(d, \tau))$ has a $\psi_{1,...,d}$ -degenerate Whittaker model and that $\psi_{1,...,d}$ is trivial on N_n^{θ} , we deduce that $\pi(\psi_{1,...,d})$ is $SL_n(F)$ -distinguished, thanks to Theorem 3.6.

We will give the global analogue of this result in Theorem 6.12.

4. Archimedean prerequisites for the global theory

Here $E = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} and by abuse of notation we write G = G(E) for any algebraic group defined over E. We set $|a + ib|_{\mathbb{C}} = a^2 + b^2$ and denote by $||_{\mathbb{R}}$ the usual absolute value on \mathbb{R} . We then denote by v_E the character of G_n obtained by composing $||_E$ with det. For G a reductive subgroup of G_n we write SAF(G) for the category of smooth admissible Fréchet representations of G of moderate growth as in [AGS15], in which we work. We use the same product notation for parabolic induction in $SAF(G_n)$ as in [AGS15].

We only consider unitary characters of N_n . The non-degenerate characters of N_n are of the form

$$\psi_{\lambda}:\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_{1} & \dots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & 1 & z_{2} & \dots & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & 1 & z_{n-1} \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \exp(i\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Re(\lambda_{i}z_{i}))$$

with $\lambda_i \in E^*$. Then for a partition (n_1, \ldots, n_r) of n and non-degenerate characters ψ_{n_i} of N_{n_i} we define the degenerate character ψ_{n_1,\ldots,n_d} of N_n as in Section 3.2 and we also write $\psi_{1,\ldots,d} := \psi_{n_1,\ldots,n_d}$ when all the n_i 's are equal. We again say ψ_{n_1,\ldots,n_d} is

of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) so that the set of characters of a given type forms a single T_n conjugacy class. We call a member of this conjugacy class a degenerate character of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) . For a degenerate character ψ of N_n and an irreducible representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of G_n , by a ψ -Whittaker functional, we mean a non-zero continuous linear form Lfrom $\tilde{\pi}$ to \mathbb{C} satisfying

$$L(\widetilde{\pi}(n)v) = \psi(n)L(v)$$

for $n \in N_n$ and $v \in \tilde{\pi}$. We will say that $\tilde{\pi}$ has a unique ψ -Whittaker model if the space of ψ -Whittaker functionals on the space of $\tilde{\pi}$ is one-dimensional.

4.1. The Tadić classification of the unitary dual of G_n . We recall that irreducible square-integrable representations of G_n for $n \ge 1$ exist only when n = 1 if $E = \mathbb{C}$ and when n = 1 or 2 if $E = \mathbb{R}$. When n = 1 these are just the unitary characters of E^{\times} . For $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and an irreducible square-integrable representation δ of G_n (n = 1 or $n \in \{1, 2\}$ depending on E is \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R}) we denote by

$$\operatorname{Sp}(d,\delta) = \operatorname{LQ}(\nu_E^{(d-1)/2}\delta \times \cdots \times \nu_E^{(1-d)/2}\delta)$$

the Langlands quotient of $\nu_E^{(d-1)/2} \delta \times \cdots \times \nu_E^{(1-d)/2} \delta$. In particular, Sp $(d, \chi) = \chi \circ$ det when χ is a unitary character of G_1 . By [Tad09], the representations

$$\pi(\operatorname{Sp}(d,\delta),\alpha) := \nu^{\alpha} \operatorname{Sp}(d,\delta) \times \nu^{-\alpha} \operatorname{Sp}(d,\delta)$$

are irreducible unitary when $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, and any irreducible representation π of G_n can be written in a unique manner as a commutative product

$$\widetilde{\pi} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Sp}(d_i, \delta_i) \prod_{j=r+1}^{s} \pi(\operatorname{Sp}(d_j, \delta_j), \alpha_j).$$

When all the d_i and d_j are equal to one, the representation

$$\tau = \prod_{i=1}^r \delta_i \prod_{j=r+1}^s \pi(\delta_j, \alpha_j)$$

is generic unitary (it has a unique ψ -Whittaker model for any non-degenerate character ψ of N_n), according to [Jac09, p.4], and we set

$$\operatorname{Sp}(d,\tau) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Sp}(d,\delta_i) \prod_{j=r+1}^{s} \pi(\operatorname{Sp}(d,\delta_j),\alpha_j)$$

which is thus an irreducible unitary representation.

We note that according to the proof of [GS13, 4.1.1], which refers to [Vog86] and [SS90], a Speh representation $Sp(d, \delta)$ for δ an irreducible square-integrable representation of G_2 is the same thing as the Speh representations of Vogan's classification as presented in [AGS15, 4.1.2 (c)]. Hence the Vogan classification as stated in [AGS15, 4.1.2] is immediately related to that of Tadić:

- the unitary characters of [AGS15, 4.1.2 (a)] are the representations of the form Sp(*d*, *χ*) for *χ* a unitary character of *G*₁,
- the Stein complementary series of [AGS15, 4.1.2 (b)] are the representations of the form π(Sp(d, χ), α) for χ a unitary character of G₁,

- the Speh representations of [AGS15, 4.1.2 (c)] are the representations of the form $\text{Sp}(d, \delta)$ for δ an irreducible square-integrable representation of G_2 ,
- the Speh complementary series of [AGS15, 4.1.2 (d)] are the representations of the form π(Sp(d, δ), α) for δ an irreducible square-integrable representation of G₂.

The third and fourth cases occur only when $E = \mathbb{R}$.

4.2. Degenerate Whittaker models of irreducible unitary representations. In this section we recall the results of Aizenbud, Gourevitch, and Sahi on degenerate Whittaker models for $GL_n(E)$ for $E = \mathbb{C}$ or \mathbb{R} . We believe that with the material developed by these authors, together with the real analogue of Ok's result due to Kemarsky [Kem15], the results obtained in [Mat14] and Section 3 are in reach. However, being inexperienced in such matters, we leave this for experts, and simply recall immediate implications of the results in [AGS15] that we will need for our global applications.

In [Sah89], to any irreducible representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of G_n , Sahi attached an irreducible representation $A(\tilde{\pi})$ of G_{n-n_1} for some $0 < n_1 \le n$, the adduced representation of $\tilde{\pi}$ and proved that it satisfied

$$A(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Sp}(d_i, \delta_i) \prod_{j=r+1}^{s} \pi(\operatorname{Sp}(d_j, \delta_j), \alpha_j)) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} A(\operatorname{Sp}(d_i, \delta_i)) \prod_{j=r+1}^{s} A(\pi(\operatorname{Sp}(d_j, \delta_j), \alpha_j))$$

with respect to the Tadić classification. The adduced representation is the archimedean highest shifted derivative, and from [Sah90, GS13, AGS15] (see [AGS15, Section 4]) one has

(2)
$$A(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Sp}(d_{i}, \delta_{i}) \prod_{j=r+1}^{s} \pi(\operatorname{Sp}(d_{j}, \delta_{j}), \alpha_{j}))$$
$$= \prod_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Sp}(d_{i} - 1, \delta_{i}) \prod_{j=r+1}^{s} \pi(\operatorname{Sp}(d_{j} - 1, \delta_{j}), \alpha_{j}).$$

One can then take the adduced of the adduced representation of the irreducible unitary representation $\tilde{\pi}$ and so on, and obtain the "depth sequence" $\overline{n} := (n_1, \ldots, n_d)$ attached to $\tilde{\pi}$, which forms a partition of *n*. We call this depth sequence the *type of* $\tilde{\pi}$. The combination of [GS13, Theorem A] and [AGS15, Theorem 4.2.3] says:

Theorem 4.1. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be an irreducible unitary representation of G_n of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) , and ψ be any character of N_n of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) , then $\tilde{\pi}$ has a unique degenerate ψ -Whittaker model.

For an irreducible representation π of $SL_n(E)$, the notion of a degenerate Whittaker model is defined similarly, though this time the notion does depend on the T_n° -conjugacy class of the degenerate character ψ and not just its type. The L-packet of π is defined as in the *p*-adic case, and we refer to [HS12, End of Section 2]. Note that [HS12] deals with Harish-Chandra modules but their results remain valid in the context of $SAF(G_n)$, thanks to the Casselman-Wallach equivalence of categories (see [Wal88, Chapter 11]). If $\tilde{\pi}$ is an irreducible unitary representation of G_n , it follows from [GS13, Theorem A] that the type of $\tilde{\pi}$ depends only on $L(\tilde{\pi})$, and *we define the* type of an irreducible unitary representation π of G_n° to be that of any irreducible representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of G_n such that $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi})$.

Remark 3. If $\tilde{\pi}$ is an irreducible representation of G_n° , then $\tilde{\pi}_{|G_n^\circ}$ contains an irreducible unitary representation if and only if it is unitary up to a character twist.

As in the *p*-adic case, Theorem 4.1 has the following consequence.

Corollary 4.2. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a smooth irreducible unitary representation of G_n of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) . Then the group diag (E^{\times}, I_{n-1}) acts transitively on $L(\tilde{\pi})$ and every $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi})$ has a (necessarily unique) degenerate ψ -Whittaker model for some character ψ of N_n of type (n_1, \ldots, n_d) . Moreover, $\tilde{\pi}_{|G_n^\circ}$ is multiplicity free.

We note that the computation of the adduced representation given in Equation (2) implies:

Theorem 4.3 (Aizenbud, Gourevitch, and Sahi). Let τ be an irreducible generic representation of G_r . The Speh representation $\text{Sp}(d, \tau)$ has type r^d , and conversely an irreducible unitary representation of G_n of type r^d is of the form $\text{Sp}(d, \tau)$ for some unitary generic representation τ of G_r .

We end by giving the archimedean analogue of Definition 1 for Speh representations.

Definition 2. Let π be an irreducible unitary representation of G_n° of type r^d , and let τ be an irreducible unitary generic representation of G_r such that $\pi \in L(Sp(d, \tau))$. For $\psi_{1,...,d}$ a character of N_n of type r^d , we denote by $\pi^{[r^{d-k}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,...,d})$ the unique representation in $L(Sp(k, \tau))$ with a $\psi_{d-k+1,...,d}$ -degenerate Whittaker model.

Remark 4. The representation $\pi^{[r^{d-k}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,\dots,d})$ above depends only on L(π).

5. The Global setting

In this section, E/F is a quadratic extension of number fields with associated Galois involution θ . We denote by \mathbb{A}_E and \mathbb{A}_F the rings of adeles of E and F respectively. We denote by $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)^1$ the elements of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which have determinant of adelic norm equal to 1, and for any subgroup H of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, by H^1 we denote the intersection of H with $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)^1$. We recall that $\mathbb{A}_F^{\times} = \mathbb{A}_F^1 \times (\mathbb{A}_F)_{>0}$, where $(\mathbb{A}_F)_{>0}$ is $\mathbb{R}_{>0} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} 1 \subset$ $\mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} F$ sitting inside \mathbb{A}_F . In particular passing to the groups of unitary characters we have $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}} = \widehat{\mathbb{A}_F^1} \times (\widehat{\mathbb{A}_F})_{>0}$, and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by α_λ the unitary character of \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} corresponding to $(\alpha, (| |_{\mathbb{A}_F})_{|(\widehat{\mathbb{A}_F})_{>0}}) \in \widehat{\mathbb{A}_F^1} \times (\widehat{\mathbb{A}_F})_{>0}$. Namely extending α_0 is the extension of α which is trivial on $(\widehat{\mathbb{A}_F})_{>0}$ and $\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_0 |_{\mathbb{A}_F}^{i\lambda}$. In particular α_λ is automorphic if and only if $\alpha \in \widehat{F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_F^1}$.

5.1. Square integrable automorphic representations and their L-packets. For $\omega \in \widehat{E^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}}$, we denote by

$$L^{2}(\mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_{n}(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A}_{E}),\omega)$$

the space of smooth L^2 -automorphic forms on which the center \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ acts by ω , and by

$$L^2_d(\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_n(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E),\omega)$$

its discrete part. We then denote by $L_d^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_n(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E),\omega)$ the dense $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ submodule of $L_d^2(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_n(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E),\omega)$ consisting of smooth automorphic forms (cf. [Cog04, Lecture 2]). We say that $\tilde{\pi}$ is a *square-integrable automorphic representation of* $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ if it is a closed (for the Fréchet topology) irreducible $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ submodule of $L_d^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_n(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E),\omega)$ for some Hecke character ω . The space $L_d^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_n(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E),\omega)$ contains the space of smooth cusp forms

$$\mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_n(E)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E),\omega)$$

as a $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ -invariant subspace. A *cuspidal automorphic representation of* $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ is a closed irreducible $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ -submodule of $\mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}GL_n(E)\setminus GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E), \omega)$, for some Hecke character ω .

Let σ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$, and

$$\widetilde{\pi} = \operatorname{Sp}(d, \sigma) = \otimes'_v \operatorname{Sp}(d, \sigma_v)$$

be the restricted tensor product of the representations $\text{Sp}(d, \sigma_v)$ for v varying through the places of E. By [Jac84], this is a square-integrable automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, where n = dr. By [MgW89], any irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ is of this form for a unique pair (σ, d) , and moreover $\text{Sp}(d, \sigma)$ appears with multiplicity one in $L^{2,\infty}_d(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}\text{GL}_n(E) \setminus \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E), \omega)$ (this of course was already known for d = 1 by the pioneering independent results of Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika).

We define the spaces $L^{2,\infty}(SL_n(E) \setminus SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ and $\mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(SL_n(E) \setminus SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ in the same way we defined their GL-analogues. Also, similarly the notions of square-integrable and cuspidal automorphic representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ are defined.

We recall from [HS12, Chapter 4] (see in particular [HS12, Remark 4.23] for square integrable representations) the following facts. If π is a square-integrable automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, then there is a square-integrable automorphic representation $\tilde{\pi}$ of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that π is a submodule of $Res(\tilde{\pi})$ where Res is the restriction of automorphic forms from $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ to $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, and such a $\tilde{\pi}$ is unique up to twisting by an automorphic character of \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} . We denote by $L(\pi)$ or $L(\tilde{\pi})$ the set of irreducible submodules of $Res(\tilde{\pi})$.

5.2. **Degenerate Whittaker models and square-integrable** L-packets. Let n = dr. Let σ be a smooth unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and let $\tilde{\pi} = Sp(d, \sigma)$ be the associated square-integrable automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. We set U_{r^d} to be the unipotent radical of the parabolic subgroup of type r^d of GL(n), denoted by P_{r^d} . Let

$$\psi_{1,\ldots,d}(\operatorname{diag}(n_1,\ldots,n_d)u) = \prod_{i=1}^d \psi_i(n_i)$$

where ψ_i is a non-degenerate character of $N_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_r(E)$ and $u \in U_{r^d}(\mathbb{A}_E)$. For $\varphi \in \pi$, we set

$$p_{\psi_{1,\dots,d}}(\varphi) = \int_{N_n(E)\setminus N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)} \varphi(n)\psi_{1,\dots,d}^{-1}(n)dn.$$

By [JL13, Corollary 3.4], there exists $\varphi \in \text{Sp}(d, \sigma)$ such that $p_{\psi_{1,\dots,d}}(\varphi) \neq 0$: we will say that φ has a non-zero Fourier coefficient of type r^d or a degenerate Whittaker model of type r^d . Of course when d = 1 this result is due to the pioneering works of Piatetski-Shapiro and Shalika.

Remark 5. The result [JL13, Corollary 3.4] could also be deduced by the techniques used in Section 6, using the $E = F \times F$ -analogue of Yamana's formula [Yam15, Theorem 1.1] (see Theorem 6.3). Also following Section 6 in the case where E is split, one would conclude that any square-integrable representation of $SL_n(A_E)$ in the L-packet determined by $Sp(d, \sigma)$ has a degenerate Whittaker model of type r^d . However for the sake of variety we offer a different proof of this fact here, using the results of [JL13] rather than those of [Yam15] (or rather its split analogue).

We say that a square-integrable representation π of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ is of type r^d if it belongs to $L(Sp(d, \sigma))$ for an irreducible (unitary) cuspidal automorphic representation σ of $G_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$.

We say that $\tilde{\pi}$ (resp. π) has a degenerate Whittaker model of type r^d if there is $\varphi \in \tilde{\pi}$ (resp. $\varphi \in \pi$) with a non-zero Fourier coefficient of type r^d . In particular $Sp(d, \sigma)$ has a degenerate Whittaker model of type r^d .

We denote by ψ a non-degenerate character of $N_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_r(E)$. We set

$$(\mathbf{1}\otimes\psi)\begin{pmatrix}I_{n-r}&x\\&u_1\end{pmatrix}=\psi(u_1)$$

for

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{n-r} & x \\ & u_1 \end{pmatrix} \in U_{n,r}(\mathbb{A}_E).$$

For $\varphi \in \widetilde{\pi}$, we set

$$\varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi}(g) = \int_{U_{n,r}(E)\setminus U_{n,r}(\mathbb{A}_E)} \varphi(ug)(\mathbf{1}\otimes\psi^{-1})(u)du$$

for $g \in GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$.

Remark 6. Note that the function $\varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi}$ is nothing but the integral of the constant term of φ along the (n - r, r) parabolic against ψ^{-1} on $N_r(E) \setminus N_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$. By [Yam15, Lemma 6.1] there is a positive character δ of $\operatorname{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that the function $\delta \otimes \varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Sp}(d-1, \sigma)$, in particular $(\varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi})_{|H}$ belongs to $\operatorname{Res}_H(\operatorname{Sp}(d-1, \sigma))$ (restriction of cusp forms) for any subgroup H of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)^1$, for example $H = \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$.

We now can prove the following result.

Proposition 5.1. A square-integrable automorphic representation π of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d has a degenerate Whittaker model of type r^d .

Proof. We will prove the stronger claim: for any $\varphi \in \tilde{\pi}$ such that $\varphi_{|SL_n(A_E)} \neq 0$, there is $h_0 \in SL_r(A_E)$ (embedded in $SL_n(A_E)$ in the upper left block) such that $\rho(h_0)\varphi$ has a non-zero Fourier coefficient of type r^d . If d = 1, we are in the cuspidal (and hence generic) case and the result follows from the same inductive procedure of Lemma 6.1 and Proposition 6.2, but applied to *E* diagonally embedded inside $E \times E$ (instead of $F \subset E$ considered there). If $d \geq 2$, by [JL13, Proposition 3.1 (1)] applied to φ there is a non-degenerate character ψ of $N_r(A_E)$ trivial on $N_r(E)$ such that $\varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi}$ is non-zero on $SL_{n-r}(A_E)$ (because $N_{n,r}(E) \setminus P_n(E) = N_{n,r}^{\circ}(E) \setminus P_n^{\circ}(E)$ as $d \geq 2$). We conclude by induction, thanks to Remark 6.

We have the following corollary of Proposition 5.1

Corollary 5.2. If $\tilde{\pi}$ is an irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d , then $\operatorname{Res}(\tilde{\pi})$ is multiplicity free. Moreover, for any automorphic character ψ of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d , the L-packet $L(\tilde{\pi})$ contains a unique member $\pi(\psi)$ with a ψ -Whittaker model, and the group diag (E^{\times}, I_{n-1}) acts transitively on $L(\tilde{\pi})$.

Proof. Thanks to multiplicity one inside local L-packets (cf. Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.2), it follows that the representations in $L(\tilde{\pi})$ appear with multiplicity one in $\text{Res}(\tilde{\pi})$. Moreover, we deduce that $T_n(E)$ acts transitively on $L(\tilde{\pi})$: by Proposition 5.1 any representation in $L(\tilde{\pi})$ has a degenerate Whittaker model of type r^d . Note that two automorphic characters of type r^d of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ are conjugate to each other by $T_n(E)$ and this implies that for each automorphic character ψ of type r^d of $\mathbb{N}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ there is a representation $\pi(\psi)$ in $L(\tilde{\pi})$ with a ψ -Whittaker model. Moreover $L(\tilde{\pi})$ has at most one representation with a ψ -Whittaker model by local multiplicity one of degenerate Whittaker models and this implies the uniqueness of $\pi(\psi)$ in the statement. Finally for $t \in T_n(E)$ and $t' = \text{diag}(\det(t), I_{n-1})$, the representations π^t and $\pi^{t'}$ in $L(\tilde{\pi})$ are isomorphic, hence equal by multiplicity one inside $L(\tilde{\pi})$.

5.3. Distinguished representations and distinguished L-packets. For $\chi \in \widehat{F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}}$ and $\omega \in \widehat{E^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}}$ extending χ , we denote by $\widetilde{p}_{n,\chi}$ the linear form called χ -period integral on $L_{d}^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times} \operatorname{GL}_{n}(E) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A}_{E}), \omega)$ given by

$$\widetilde{p}_{n,\chi}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_n(F) \setminus \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \phi(h) \chi^{-1}(\det(h)) dh.$$

It is well-defined on $\mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times} \operatorname{GL}_n(E) \setminus \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E), \omega)$ by [AGR93, Proposition 1] and in general by [Yam15, Lemma 3.1]. Indeed up to a positive constant $\tilde{p}_{n,\chi}(\phi)$ is equal to

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \phi(h) \chi^{-1}(\det(h)) dh.$$

We say that a square-integrable automorphic representation

$$\widetilde{\pi} \subset L^{2,\infty}_d(\mathbb{A}_E^{\times}\mathrm{GL}_n(E)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E),\omega)$$

is χ -distinguished (or simply distinguished when $\chi \equiv 1$) if $\tilde{p}_{n,\chi}$ is non-vanishing on $\tilde{\pi}$. We denote by p_n the period integral on $L^{2,\infty}_d(\mathrm{SL}_n(E) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ given by

$$p_n(\phi) = \int_{\mathrm{SL}_n(F) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \phi(h) dh$$

It is again well-defined on $\mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(\mathrm{SL}_n(E) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ thanks to [AGR93, Proposition 1] and on the space $L_d^{2,\infty}(\mathrm{SL}_n(E) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ by the arguments in [Yam15, Lemma 3.1], and we say that a square-integrable representation $\pi \subset L_d^{2,\infty}(\mathrm{SL}_n(E) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ is distinguished if p_n does not vanish on π . We give another useful formula for the SL_n-period integral following [AP06, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 5.3. Let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a square integrable automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. The period integral

$$\varphi\mapsto \int_{\mathrm{SL}_n(F)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}\varphi(h)dh$$

is given by an absolutely convergent integral on $\operatorname{Res}(\widetilde{\pi})$. Moreover, for any $\varphi \in \widetilde{\pi}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathrm{SL}_n(F)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(h) dh = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)\backslash \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \varphi(h) \alpha(\det(h)) dh$$

where the sum is over all characters α of the compact abelian group $F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{F}^{1}$.

Proof. For the absolute convergence of the integrals, the arguments of [Yam15, Lemma 3.1] adapt in a straightforward manner and we do not repeat them. The proof of the second point is now essentially that of [AP06, Proposition 3.2]. Indeed

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}_n(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)^1} \varphi(h) dh = \int_{F^\times\backslash\mathbb{A}_F^1} \left(\int_{\mathrm{SL}_n(F)\backslash\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(h\mathrm{diag}(x, I_{n-1})) dh \right) dx$$

 \square

and one applies Fourier inversion on the compact abelian group $F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{F}^{1}$.

Remark 7. We may remark here that the sum of the $(GL(n, A_F)^1, \alpha)$ -periods over all characters α of the group $F^{\times} \setminus A_F^1$ is in fact a finite sum. To this end, we may assume $\tilde{\pi}$ is distinguished with respect to $GL_n(A_F)$ (indeed if $\tilde{\pi}$ is $(GL_n(A_F)^1, \alpha)$ -distinguished, then it is $(GL_n(A_F), \alpha')$ -distinguished for a character α' of A_F^{\times} extending α and equal to the central character $\omega_{\tilde{\pi}}$ on $(A_F)_{>0}$). Observe that this implies that $\tilde{\pi}$ is Galois conjugate self-dual by strong multiplicity one for the residual spectrum [MgW89] and the fact that $Sp(d, \sigma_v)$ is distinguished and hence Galois conjugate self-dual for any finite place v ([Fli91]). Now, if the $(GL(n, A_F)^1, \alpha)$ -period is also non-zero then we have $\tilde{\pi} \cong \tilde{\pi} \otimes \alpha' \circ N_{E/F}$ for some α' extending α to A_F^{\times} . As $\tilde{\pi} = Sp(d, \sigma)$, we see that $\sigma \cong \sigma \otimes \alpha' \circ N_{E/F}$. As σ is a cuspidal representation, the finiteness of the set of such characters α' (hence of that of the characters α) follows from [Ram00, Lemma 3.6.2] (which is [HS12, Lemma 4.11]).

Definition 3. We say that the L-packet determined by a square-integrable representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ is distinguished if it contains a distinguished representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$.

6. DISTINCTION INSIDE GLOBAL L-PACKETS

The aim of this section is to establish our main result, namely Theorem 6.6 which asserts that distinguished representations inside distinguished L-packets are those with a degenerate ψ -Whittaker model for some distinguished ψ , and to give a first application of of it (Theorem 6.12). The proof is an induction based on the unfolding method, and has two steps, the first one being the cuspidal step (corresponding to d = 1).

6.1. The cuspidal case. Here we characterize members of distinguished L-packets of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ with non-vanishing $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -period in terms of Whittaker periods. The following lemma is a generalization of [AP06, Lemma 4.3], but the proof in [AP06, Lemma 4.3] does not generalize to this case. We denote by Q_n the proper parabolic subgroup of SL_n containing $P_n^\circ = SL_{n-1}.U_n$. For $n \ge 3$, we set

$$R_n = \{\operatorname{diag}(x, I_{n-2}, x^{-1}), x \in \mathbb{G}_m\},\$$

so Q_n is the semi-direct product $P_n^1.R_n$.

Lemma 6.1. Take $n \ge 3$. Let φ be a cusp form on $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathrm{SL}_n(F)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(h) dh \neq 0,$$

then there is $h_0 \in SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ (and in fact in $R_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$) such that

$$\int_{P_n^1(F)\setminus P_n^1(\mathbb{A}_F)}\varphi(hh_0)dh\neq 0,$$

where this integral is absolutely convergent.

Proof. According to [SV17, Section 18.2], there is $s \in \mathbb{C}$ such that for $\Re(s)$ large enough, the integral $\int_{Q_n(F)\setminus Q_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(p) \delta_{Q_n}^s(p) dp$ is absolutely convergent. Moreover it has meromorphic continuation, and there is a meromorphic function r(s) with r(0) = 0 such that $r(s) \int_{Q_n(F)\setminus Q_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(h) \delta_{Q_n}^s(h) dh$ tends to $\int_{\mathrm{SL}_n(F)\setminus \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(h) dh \neq 0$ when $s \to 0$. In particular there is an $s \in \mathbb{R}$ large enough in the realm of absolute convergence such that

$$0 \neq \int_{Q_n(F) \setminus Q_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(p) \delta^s_{Q_n}(p) dp = \int_{P_n^1(F) \setminus P_n^1(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int_{R_n(F) \setminus R_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(pa) \delta^s_{Q_n}(a) dp da$$

hence there is an $a \in R_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $\delta_{Q_n}^s(a) \int_{P_n^1(F) \setminus P_n^1(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(pa) dp \neq 0$ and the result follows.

Remark 8. A result similar to Lemma 6.1 is [DP19, Proposition 8] where it is proved via unfolding an Eisenstein series E(h, s) on $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and using that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{s=1}\left(\int_{\operatorname{SL}_n(F)\backslash\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}\varphi(h)E(h,s)dh\right)=\mathcal{P}_{\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}(\varphi),$$

a trick that [DP19] attributes to [AGR93]. A straightforward adaptation of the proof of [DP19, Proposition 8] can also be used to prove Lemma 6.1. Though our proof here looks much shorter where we appeal to [SV17, Section 18.2], however the core of [SV17, Proposition 18.2.1] is the equality (18.6) and what follows in *loc. cit.*, and it relies on the exact same considerations on Eisenstein series as in [DP19, Proposition 8]. Hence the proof above is in fact essentially the same as that of [DP19, Proposition 8] but the main part of the argument is contained in the statement of [SV17, Section 18.2]. Note that [SV17, Section 18.2] is done in general for any semisimple group.

We recall that $U_{n,k} = U_n \dots U_{k+1} < N_n = U_{1,n}$. For $\psi_{n,k}$ a character of $U_{k,n}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and φ a cusp form on $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, we set

$$\varphi_{\psi_{n,k}}(x) = \int_{N_{n,k}(E) \setminus N_{n,k}(\mathbb{A}_E)} \varphi(nx) \psi_{n,k}^{-1}(n) dn$$

for $x \in SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. When k = 1 and $\psi_{n,1}$ is non-degenerate, we write $\varphi_{\psi_{n,1}} = W_{\varphi,\psi_{n,1}}$. The reader familiar with it will recognize what is often called the unfolding method in the following proof (see [JS90, Section 6] for a famous and difficult instance of this technique).

Proposition 6.2. Let φ be a cusp form on $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that

$$\int_{P^1_n(F)\setminus P^1_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} arphi(h) dh
eq 0,$$

then there is a non-degenerate character ψ of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)/N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $W_{\varphi,\psi}$ does not vanish on $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$. In particular thanks to Lemma 6.1 if π is an $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished cuspidal automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, then it is ψ -generic for a non-degenerate character ψ of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)/N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$.

Proof. We do an induction on *n*, the case n = 2 being part of the proof of [AP06, Theorem 4.2]. Hence we suppose $n \ge 3$. By hypothesis we have

$$\int_{\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(F)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)}\int_{U_n(F)\backslash U_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}\varphi(uh)dudh\neq 0.$$

Set

$$\varphi^{U_n,F}(x) = \int_{U_n(F)\setminus U_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(ux) du$$

for $x \in SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$. By Poisson formula for $(F \setminus \mathbb{A}_F)^{n-1} \subset (E \setminus \mathbb{A}_E)^{n-1}$, we have

$$arphi^{U_{n,F}}(x) = \sum_{\substack{\psi_{n,n-1} \in \widehat{rac{U_n(\mathbb{A}_E)}{U_n(E+\mathbb{A}_F)}}} arphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}}(x),$$

which is in turn equal to

$$\sum_{\substack{\widehat{u_n(\mathbb{A}_E)}\U_n(E+\mathbb{A}_F)}-\{1\}} arphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}}(x)$$

by cuspidality of φ . The convergence of the series is absolute (and can be shown to be uniform for *x* in compact subsets of $SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ but we will not use it). For fixed non-degenerate $\psi_{n,n-1}^0$ of $U_n(\mathbb{A}_E)/U_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$, one has

$$\varphi^{U_{n,F}}(x) = \sum_{\substack{\psi_{n,n-1} \in \widehat{\frac{U_n(\mathbb{A}_E)}{U_n(E+\mathbb{A}_F)}}} \varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}}(x) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{n-1}^\circ(F) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(F)} \varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}^0}(\gamma x)$$

because as $n \ge 3$, the group $SL_{n-1}(F)$ acts transitively on the set of non-trivial characters of $U_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $U_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$, and the stabilizer of $\psi_{n,n-1}^0$ is $P_{n-1}^\circ(F)$. Hence

$$0 \neq \int_{\mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(F) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int_{U_n(F) \setminus U_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(uh) du dh = \int_{P_{n-1}^\circ(F) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}^0}(h) dh$$

where the right hand side is absolutely convergent (by Fubini). Now

$$\int_{P_{n-1}^{\circ}(F)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}^{0}}(h) dh = \int_{P_{n-1}^{\circ}(\mathbb{A}_F)\backslash \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int_{P_{n-1}^{\circ}(F)\backslash P_{n-1}^{\circ}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}^{0}}(hx) dh dx,$$

and this implies that

$$\int_{P_{n-1}(F)\setminus P_{n-1}^{\circ}(\mathbb{A}_F)}\varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}^{0}}(hh_{0})dh\neq 0$$

for some $h_0 \in SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$. The function $\varphi_0 = (\rho(h_0)\varphi)_{\psi_{n,n-1}^0} = \rho(h_0)\varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}^0}$ is a cusp form on $SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, and we can apply our induction hypothesis to it, to conclude that $W_{\varphi_0,\psi'}$ is non-zero on $SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ for some non-degenerate character ψ' of $N_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F + E)$. Setting $\psi := \psi' \otimes \psi_{n,n-1}^0 : n'.u \mapsto \psi'(n')\psi_{n,n-1}^0(u)$, one checks that by definition:

$$W_{\varphi_0,\psi'}(x) = W_{\rho(h_0)\varphi,\psi}(x) = W_{\varphi,\psi}(xh_0)$$

for $x \in SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_E)$. The result follows.

Remark 9. As mentioned in §1 our strategy in proving Proposition 6.2 is to have an inductive set up to reduce the proof to the case of n = 2. In the finite field cuspidal case as well as in the *p*-adic field tempered case such an inductive machinery can be set up via Clifford theory [DP19, Proposition 1] and this is carried out in [AP18, Proposition 4.2 & Remark 4]. A similar approach in the number field case can be carried out as well by making use of the global analogue of [DP19, Proposition 1] which is [DP19, Proposition 6]. This was brought to our attention by Dipendra Prasad. In fact [DP19, Proposition 6] is stated more generally and our inductive set up would follow by taking $H = SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and $A = \frac{U_n(\mathbb{A}_E)}{U_n(E+\mathbb{A}_F)}$, in the notations of [DP19, Proposition 6].

Remark 10. Though not relevant to this paper, we remark here that the inductive strategy in the finite cuspidal and *p*-adic tempered cases mentioned in Remark 9 do not seem to generalise to cover all the generic representations. However, the final result, that distinction is characterised by genericity for a non-degenerate character of N(E)/N(F), is established via other methods. In the *p*-adic case, this is done in [AP18], and this we have further generalised in Theorem 1.1 of the present paper. In the finite field case, the general result is established in [AM20].

Remark 11. We cease the occasion to fill a small gap in the literature, which uses the ideas of this paper: namely the unfolding of the Asai *L*-function. The proofs given in [Fli88, p. 303] and [Zha14, p. 558] are a bit quick. Here we add the details to the proof of [Flicker, 2 Proposition, p. 303]. The transition between the second and third line of the equality there relies on the following step: take φ a cusp form on $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, then

$$\int_{N_n(F)\setminus N_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} arphi(n) dn = \sum_{\gamma\in N_n(F)\setminus P_n(F)} W_{arphi,\psi}(\gamma),$$

where both the "integrals" are absolutely convergent and ψ is a non-degenerate character of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_n(\mathbb{A}_F + E)$. We use the same notations as in Proposition 6.2, and denote by $\psi_{n,n-1}^0$ the restriction of ψ to $U_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$.

Let us write

$$\int_{N_n(F)\setminus N_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(n) dn = \int_{N_{n-1}(F)\setminus N_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi^{U_n,F}(n) dn.$$

By induction applied to the cusp form $\varphi^{U_n,F}$ on $GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_E)$, we have

$$\int_{N_{n-1}(F)\setminus N_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi^{U_{n,F}}(n) dn = \sum_{\gamma' \in N_{n-1}(F)\setminus P_{n-1}(F)} \varphi^{U_{n,F}}(\gamma').$$

Now replace $\varphi^{U_n,F}(\gamma')$ by $\sum_{\gamma \in P_{n-1}(F)U_n(F) \setminus P_n(F)} \varphi_{\psi^0_{n,n-1}}(\gamma\gamma')$ this time (still by Poisson formula and because $P_n(F)$ also acts transitively on the set of non-trivial characters of $U_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $U_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$, the stabilizer of $\psi^0_{n,n-1}$ being $P_{n-1}(F)U_n(F)$). We get

$$\begin{split} \int_{N_n(F)\setminus N_n(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi(n) dn &= \sum_{\gamma' \in N_{n-1}(F)\setminus P_{n-1}(F)} \sum_{\gamma \in P_{n-1}(F)\cup U_n(F)\setminus P_n(F)} W_{\varphi_{\psi_{n,n-1}}^0,\psi_{|N_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_E)}}(\gamma\gamma') dn \\ &= \sum_{\gamma' \in N_{n-1}(F)\setminus P_{n-1}(F)} \sum_{\gamma \in P_{n-1}(F)\cup U_n(F)\setminus P_n(F)} W_{\varphi,\psi}(\gamma\gamma') \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in N_{n-1}(F)\cup U_n(F)\setminus P_n(F)} W_{\varphi,\psi}(\gamma), \end{split}$$

which is what we wanted.

6.2. The square-integrable case. Our aim in this section is to show that if π is distinguished then π has a non-vanishing Fourier coefficient with respect to a character of type r^d of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which is trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$ (see Proposition 6.7). The key ingredient in achieving this is Proposition 6.4 below.

The following result is [Yam15, Theorem 1.1] slightly reformulated for our purposes.

Theorem 6.3. Let n = rd with $r \ge 2$ and $d \ge 2$, and let ψ be a non-degenerate unitary character of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$. Fix a character α of $F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_F^1$. Then for $\varphi \in \widetilde{\pi} = \operatorname{Sp}(d, \sigma)$, we have

$$\int_{\operatorname{GL}_{n}(F)\backslash\operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A}_{F})^{1}} \varphi(h)\alpha(\det h)dh = \int_{N_{n-1,r-1}^{\circ}(\mathbb{A}_{F})\backslash\operatorname{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_{F})} \int_{\operatorname{GL}_{n-r}(F)\backslash\operatorname{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_{F})^{1}} (\alpha\varphi)_{U_{n,r},\psi}(\operatorname{diag}(m, I_{r})\operatorname{diag}(h, 1))dmdh$$

Proof. We denote by ω_{σ} the central character of σ . We extend α as α_0 to \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} . We then extend α_0 to an automorphic character of β of \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} . Then we claim that the following equality holds

$$\int_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{A}_{F})^{1}}\varphi(h)\alpha_{0}(\det h)dh = \int_{N_{n-1,r-1}(\mathbb{A}_{F})\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_{F})}\int_{\mathrm{GL}_{n-r}(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_{F})^{1}}(\alpha_{0}\varphi)_{U_{n,r},\psi}(\mathrm{diag}(m,I_{r})\mathrm{diag}(h,1))dmdh.$$

Indeed, if $\alpha_0^r \cdot \omega_{\sigma|\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}}$ is trivial, then this follows from the second part of Theorem [Yam15, Theorem 1.1] applied to $\beta \otimes \pi$. If $\alpha_0^r \cdot \omega_{\sigma|\mathbb{A}_F^{\times}} \not\equiv 1$, then it follows from the first part of [Yam15, Theorem 1.1] applied to $\beta \otimes \pi$, with the extra observation that the right hand side of the equality also vanishes, thanks to Remark 6 and the first part of Theorem [Yam15, Theorem 1.1] again if $d \geq 3$, and for central character reasons when d = 2. We can now replace the quotient $N_{n-1,r-1}(\mathbb{A}_F) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ and the statement follows.

20

From Theorem 6.3, we deduce its SL(n) version by making use of Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 6.4. With notations and assumptions $(r, d \ge 2)$ as in Theorem 6.3, for $\varphi \in \text{Res}(\tilde{\pi})$ we have

$$p_n(\varphi) = \int_{N_{n-1,r-1}^{\circ}(\mathbb{A}_F) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \int_{\mathrm{SL}_{n-r}(F) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_F)} \varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi}(\mathrm{diag}(m,I_r)\mathrm{diag}(h,1)) dm dh$$

Proof. We relate the SL(n, \mathbb{A}_F)-period p_n to the (GL(n, \mathbb{A}_F)¹, α)-periods via Proposition 5.3. Applying Theorem 6.3 to each summand of the sum over characters α of $F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$ just selected, we once again apply Proposition 5.3 to the right hand side sum to conclude the proof.

Setting

$$(\rho(g)\varphi)_{n-r,\psi} := m \in \operatorname{GL}_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_E) \mapsto \varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi}(\operatorname{diag}(m, I_r)g),$$

Proposition 6.4 implies the following observation which we state as a lemma.

Lemma 6.5. With notations and assumptions $(r, d \ge 2)$ as in Theorem 6.3, suppose that $\varphi \in \text{Res}(\tilde{\pi})$ is such that $p_n(\varphi) \neq 0$, then there is $h \in \text{SL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that

 $p_{n-r}((\rho(\operatorname{diag}(h,1))\varphi)_{n-r,\psi}) \neq 0.$

We now state the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 6.6. Let $L(\tilde{\pi})$ be a distinguished square integrable L-packet of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d . Then the period integral p_n does not vanish on $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi})$ if and only if there exists a degenerate character ψ of type r^d of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $p_{\psi_{1,...,d}}$ does not vanish on π .

The key direction of Theorem 6.6 is Proposition 6.7 which follows from Lemma 6.5 by an inductive argument (see also the proof of Proposition 6.2).

Proposition 6.7. Let π be an irreducible automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d which is distinguished with respect to $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$; thus there exists $\varphi \in \pi$ such that $p_n(\varphi) \neq 0$. Then there exist d non-degenerate characters ψ_i of $N_r(A_E)$ trivial on $N_r(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$ and $\varphi' \in \pi$ such that

$$p_{\psi_{1,\dots,d}}(\varphi') = \int_{N_n(E)\setminus N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)} \varphi'(n) \psi_{1,\dots,d}^{-1}(n) dn
eq 0.$$

Moreover, φ' can be chosen to be a right $SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -translate of φ .

Proof. The theorem is immediate from Lemma 6.5 by an inductive argument, however we have to treat the case r = 1 separately. If r = 1 then π is the trivial character of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and the claim is obvious. So we suppose that $r \ge 2$. If d = 1 the result is proved in Proposition 6.2, so we assume $d \ge 2$. Since $\varphi \in \pi$ is such that $p_n(\varphi) \ne 0$, by Lemma 6.5, we get $h \in SL_{n-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ such that $p_{n-r}((\rho(h)\varphi)_{n-r,\psi}) \ne 0$. Therefore, by induction thanks to Remark 6, we get d - 1 non-degenerate characters ψ_i , i = 2, ..., dof $N_r(A_E)$ trivial on $N_r(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$ such that

$$p_{\psi_{2,\dots,d}}[\rho(x)(\rho(h)\varphi)_{n-r,\psi}] = \int_{N_{n-r}(E)\setminus N_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_E)} (\rho(h)\varphi)_{n-r,\psi}(nx)\psi_{2,\dots,d}^{-1}(n)dn \neq 0,$$

for some x = diag(y, 1) for $y \in \text{SL}_{n-r-1}(\mathbb{A}_F)$. But setting $\psi_1 := \psi$,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{N_{n-r}(E)\setminus N_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_{E})} (\rho(h)\varphi)_{n-r,\psi_{1}}(nx)\psi_{2,\dots,d}^{-1}(n)dn \\ &= \int_{N_{n-r}(E)\setminus N_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_{E})} \varphi_{U_{n,r},\psi}(\operatorname{diag}(nx,I_{r})h)\psi_{1,\dots,d-1}^{-1}(n)dn \\ &= \int_{N_{n-r}(E)\setminus N_{n-r}(\mathbb{A}_{E})} \int_{U_{n,r}(E)\setminus U_{n,r}(\mathbb{A}_{E})} \varphi(u\operatorname{diag}(nx,I_{r})h)\psi_{1,\dots,d-1}^{-1}(n)(\mathbf{1}\otimes\psi^{-1})(u)dndu \\ &= \int_{N_{n}(E)\setminus N_{n}(\mathbb{A}_{E})} \varphi(n\operatorname{diag}(x,I_{r})h))\psi_{1,\dots,d}^{-1}(n)dn, \end{split}$$

and the result follows.

To end the proof of Theorem 6.6, it now suffices to prove the following implication, which is part of the proof of [AP06, Theorem 4.2], and which we repeat.

Lemma 6.8. Let $L(\tilde{\pi})$ be a distinguished L-packet of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d . If $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi})$ is ψ generic with respect to a degenerate character ψ of type r^d of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$,
then p_n does not vanish on π .

Proof. By definition there is $\pi' \in L(\tilde{\pi})$ such that p_n does not vanish on it. By Proposition 6.7, the representation π' is ψ' -generic for a degenerate character ψ' of type r^d of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$. Now there is $t \in T_n(F)$ such that $\psi = {\psi'}^t$ where ${\psi'}^t(n) = {\psi'}(t^{-1}nt)$. And then the representation ${\pi'}^t$ given by ${\pi'}^t(g) = {\pi'}(t^{-1}gt)$ appears in $L(\tilde{\pi})$ and is ψ -generic. We deduce that $\pi = {\pi'}^t$, by the local uniqueness of degenerate Whittaker models, and the result follows since $t \in GL_n(F)$.

Let us now state a simple but very useful consequence of Theorem 6.6 whose proof idea we have already employed in the proof of Lemma 6.8. We formulate this with an application in Section 9 in mind.

Corollary 6.9. Let π be a square integrable automorphic $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and let $L(\tilde{\pi}')$ be a distinguished L-packet of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ containing an isomorphic copy of π . Then the period p_n does not vanish on the unique representation in $L(\tilde{\pi}')$ isomorphic to π .

Proof. Call π' the isomorphic copy of π in $L(\tilde{\pi}')$. Thanks to Theorem 6.6, π is ψ generic for ψ a distinguished degenerate character of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$ of the correct type and therefore π' has a locally ψ_v -degenerate Whittaker model for
every place v of F. By Theorem 6.6 again, the ψ -generic representation π'' in $L(\tilde{\pi}')$ is also $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished. But thanks to multiplicity one of local degenerate
Whittaker models, two locally ψ -generic automorphic representations in the same
L-packet are equal, hence $\pi' = \pi''$, and we deduce that p_n does not vanish on π' .

As a corollary to Theorem 6.6, we state and prove one more variation of the above theme. This is applied in Section 8.

Proposition 6.10. Let π be an irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. The group diag (F^{\times}, I_{n-1}) acts transitively on the set of distinguished members of $L(\pi)$.

Proof. From Theorem 6.6 and the local uniqueness of degenerate Whittaker models, we easily deduce that $T_n(F)$ acts transitively on the set of distinguished members of $L(\pi)$, and that the representations in $L(\pi)$ appear with multiplicity one. However for $t \in T_n(F)$ and $t' = \text{diag}(\text{det}(t), I_{n-1})$, the representations π^t and $\pi^{t'}$ in $L(\pi)$ are isomorphic, hence equal by multiplicity one inside $L(\pi)$.

6.3. Automorphy and distinction of the highest derivative for $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. As a first application of Theorem 6.6, we end this section with an analogue of [Yam15, Theorem 1.2] in the context of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$.

Lemma 6.11. Let π be an irreducible square-integrable representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d , and write

$$\pi = \otimes'_v \pi_v.$$

Then for any $k \in [1, d]$ *, the representation*

$$\pi^{[r^{d-k}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,...,d}) := \otimes'_{v} \pi^{[r^{d-k}]}_{v}(\psi_{d-k+1,...,d,v})$$

(see Definitions 1 and 2) is automorphic. If σ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $L(\pi) = L(Sp(d, \sigma))$, then $\pi^{[r^{d-k}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,...,d})$ is in fact the unique element of $L(Sp(k, \sigma))$ with a $\psi_{d-k+1,...,d}$ -Whittaker model.

Proof. let μ be the member of $L(Sp(k, \sigma))$ with a $\psi_{d-k+1,\dots,d}$ -Whittaker model. Then for all places v the representation μ_v is the member of $L(Sp(k, \sigma_v))$ with a ψ_v -Whittaker model, hence it must be $\pi_v^{[r^{d-d}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,\dots,d,v})$ and the result follows.

Here is our SL-analogue of [Yam15, Theorem 1.2].

Theorem 6.12. Suppose that $\psi_{1,...,d}$ is a character of $N_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d trivial on $N_n(E + \mathbb{A}_F)$. Let π be an irreducible square-integrable representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of type r^d and fix $k \in [1, d]$, then $\pi(\psi_{1,...,d})$ is $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished if and only if $\pi^{[r^{d-k}]}(\psi_{d-k+1,...,d})$ is $SL_{kr}(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished.

Proof. The proof is the same as that of Theorem 3.8, using [Yam15, Theorem 1.2] in lieu of [Mat14, Theorem 2.13]. \Box

7. CHARACTERIZATION OF DISTINGUISHED SQUARE-INTEGRABLE GLOBAL L-PACKETS

Here we generalize the characterization of distinguished L-packets given in [AP06], which turns out to be convenient in the proof of our main applications, namely the local-global principle inside distinguished L-packets of Section 8 and the study of the behaviour of distinction with respect to higher multiplicity in Section 10. The proof is based on the following well-known theorem which is a consequence of the works Jacquet and Shalika [JS81] on the one hand, and Flicker and Flicker-Zinoviev on the other hand [Fli88, FZ95].

Theorem 7.1. Denote by $\omega_{E/F}$ the quadratic character attached to E/F by global class field theory, and let $\tilde{\pi}$ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. Then $\tilde{\pi}$ is conjugate self-dual, i.e., $\tilde{\pi}^{\vee} \simeq \tilde{\pi}^{\sigma}$ if and only if π is either distinguished or $\omega_{E/F}$ -distinguished (and in fact not both together).

Proof. Let π_1 , π_2 and π_3 be cuspidal automorphic representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. By the aforementioned references, the partial Rankin-Selberg $L^S(s, \pi_1, \pi_2)$ has a pole at s = 1, which is necessarily simple, if and only if $\pi_2 \simeq \pi_1^{\vee}$, whereas the partial Asai *L*-function $L_{As}^S(s, \pi_3)$ has a pole (necessarily simple) at s = 1 if and only if π_3 is $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished. The result now follows from the equality

$$L^{S}(s,\pi_{1},\pi_{1}^{\sigma})=L^{S}_{\mathrm{As}}(s,\pi_{1})L^{S}_{\mathrm{As}}(s,\omega\otimes\pi_{1})$$

where ω is any Hecke character of \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} extending $\omega_{E/F}$.

First it implies the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. Let α be a character of $F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{F}^{1}$ and σ be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $\operatorname{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A}_{E})$ with central character ω . The restriction of ω to $(\mathbb{A}_{F})_{>0}$ coincides with the restriction of $\mid \mid_{\mathbb{A}_{F}}^{ir\lambda}$ for a some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and we extend α to \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times} as the automorphic character $\alpha_{-\lambda}$. Suppose that the period integral

$$\widetilde{p}^{1}_{r,\alpha^{-1}}:\phi\mapsto\int_{\mathrm{GL}_{r}(F)\backslash\mathrm{GL}_{r}(\mathbb{A}_{F})^{1}}\phi(h)\alpha(\det(h))dh$$

is nonzero on σ , then α^r and ω^{-1} coincide \mathbb{A}_F^1 i.e. $(\alpha_{-\lambda} \circ \det)^{-1}$ restricts as ω to \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} , and σ is $\alpha_{-\lambda}^{-1}$ -distinguished, hence $\sigma^{\vee} \simeq \alpha_{-\lambda} \circ N_{E/F} \otimes \sigma^{\theta}$.

Proof. The fact that α^r and ω^{-1} must coincide \mathbb{A}_F^1 if $\tilde{p}_{r,\alpha^{-1}}^1$ does not vanish on $\tilde{\pi}$ follows from central character considerations and the fact that $\tilde{p}_{r,\alpha^{-1}}^1$ is α^{-1} -equivariant under $\operatorname{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_F)^1$. But then for $\phi \in \tilde{\pi}$ the function $\alpha_{-\lambda} \otimes \phi : g \mapsto \alpha_{-\lambda}(\det(g))\phi(g)$ is \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} -invariant and we conclude that $\tilde{p}_{r,\alpha^{-1}}^1$ and $\tilde{p}_{r,\alpha^{-1}}^1$ agree up to a positive constant, in particular σ is $\alpha_{-\lambda}^{-1}$ -distinguished. In particular for β an automorphic character extending $\alpha_{-\lambda}$ to \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} , the representation $\beta \otimes \sigma$ is distinguished and we conclude that $\sigma^{\vee} \simeq \alpha_{-\lambda} \circ N_{E/F} \otimes \sigma^{\theta}$ thanks to Theorem 7.1.

Now the characterization of square-integrable distinguished L-packets follows.

Proposition 7.3. Let $\tilde{\pi} = \text{Sp}(d, \sigma)$ an irreducible square-integrable representation of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, with σ a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_r(\mathbb{A}_E)$. Then $L(\tilde{\pi})$ is distinguished if and only if there is an automorphic character $\alpha \in F^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$ such that $\tilde{\pi}^{\vee} \simeq \alpha \circ N_{E/F} \otimes \tilde{\pi}^{\theta}$, or equivalently $\sigma^{\vee} \simeq \alpha \circ N_{E/F} \otimes \sigma^{\theta}$.

Proof. If $\tilde{\pi}^{\vee} \simeq \alpha \circ N_{E/F} \otimes \tilde{\pi}^{\theta} \Leftrightarrow \sigma^{\vee} \simeq \alpha \circ N_{E/F} \otimes \sigma^{\theta}$, then $\alpha \otimes \sigma$ is conjugate selfdual hence an automorphic twist of σ distinguished by $GL_r(\mathbb{A}_F)$ thanks to Theorem 7.1. Hence by [Yam15, Theorem 1.2] an automorphic twist of $\tilde{\pi}$ is distinguished by $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$, and $L(\tilde{\pi})$ is distinguished thanks to Proposition 5.3 by a straightforward generalization of the second part of the proof of [AP06, Proposition 3.2]. Conversely if $L(\tilde{\pi})$ is distinguished, then by Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 7.2, an automorphic twist of $\tilde{\pi}$ is distinguished and the result follows from Theorem 7.1.

8. Local global principle for distinguished L-packets when r is odd

This section establishes a local global principle for distinction inside a squareintegrable *L*-packet of type r^d of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$, when *r* is odd.

Our proof makes use of the set up of [AP13, Section 7] where such a result is proved for a cuspidal *L*-packet of $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$. The proof over there is somewhat intricate and relied crucially on an analysis of the fibers of the Asai lift (see [AP13, Remark in Section 7]). Here our arguments are more elementary due to the fact that *r* is odd. This is consistent with the earlier works [Ana05, AP18].

For the moment however r is general. Let π be an irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ and denote by $\tilde{\pi}$ a square-integrable automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that π is realized in $Res(\tilde{\pi})$.

We borrow the notations of [AP13, Section 7]. We consider \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} as a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ via $x \mapsto \operatorname{diag}(x, I_{n-1})$. This group acts by conjugation on isomorphism classes of an irreducible representation π of $\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. The orbit of π under this action is the representation theoretic *L*-packet of π , say $L'(\pi)$. Let $G(\pi) < \mathbb{A}_E^{\times}$ be the stabilizer of π . Then, see [HS12, p. 23],

$$G_{\pi} = \bigcap_{\chi \in X(\widetilde{\pi})} \operatorname{Ker} \chi$$

where

$$X(\widetilde{\pi}) = \{ \chi \in \widetilde{E^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{A}_{E}^{\times}} \mid \widetilde{\pi} \otimes \chi \cong \widetilde{\pi} \}$$

which is a finite abelian group (cf. Remark 7).

Remark 12. Note that $L(\pi)$ consists of the automorphic members of $L'(\pi)$. Indeed clearly $L(\pi)$ is included in this set. On the other hand, if π' is an automorphic member of $L'(\pi)$, then it has a degenerate ψ -Whittaker model of type r^d thanks to Proposition 5.1. However $L(\pi)$ also contains a member π'' with a degenerate ψ -Whittaker model according to Corollary 5.2. We conclude that $\pi' \simeq \pi''$ by local uniqueness of degenerate Whittaker models.

We start with an elementary observation.

Proposition 8.1. Suppose $\tilde{\pi}$ is a square-integrable automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which is Galois conjugate self-dual; i.e., $\tilde{\pi}^{\vee} \cong \tilde{\pi}^{\theta}$, and that $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi})$. Then G_{π} is stable under the action of θ .

Proof. As $\tilde{\pi}$ is Galois conjugate self-dual, it follows that the finite abelian group $X(\tilde{\pi})$ is stable under the Galois action, and thus G_{π} is Galois stable. Alternatively, note that if π_1 and π_2 are in the same *L*-packet then $G_{\pi_1} = G_{\pi_2}$. Indeed, $\pi_2 = \pi_1^y$, for some $y \in \mathbb{A}_E^\times$, and by definition, $G_{\pi_2} = y^{-1}G_{\pi_1}y = G_{\pi_1}$ as the groups are abelian. In particular, $G_{\pi^{\theta}} = G_{\pi^{\vee}}$ as $\tilde{\pi}^{\vee} \cong \tilde{\pi}^{\theta}$. Observe also that $G_{\pi^{\vee}} = G_{\pi}$. Thus, if $x \in G_{\pi}$ then $x^{\theta} \in G_{\pi^{\theta}} = G_{\pi^{\vee}} = G_{\pi}$.

From now on, we assume that E is split at the archimedean places, so that the archimedean analogue of Theorem 3.6 obviously holds.

As in [AP13, Section 7], we define the following groups,

$$H_0 = \mathbb{A}_E^{\times},$$

$$H_1 = \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} G_{\pi},$$

$$H_2 = E^{\times} G_{\pi},$$

$$H_3 = F^{\times} G_{\pi},$$

and we observe that

- (1) The set $H_0 \cdot \pi$ is the *L*-packet of representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ determined by π (see, for instance, [HS12, Corollary 2.8]).
- (2) The set $H_1 \cdot \pi$ is the set of locally distinguished representations in the *L*-packet of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ determined by π (by Theorem 3.6 and its archimedean analogue).
- (3) The set $H_2 \cdot \pi$ is the set of automorphic representations in the *L*-packet of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ determined by π (by Proposition 5.2).
- (4) The set $H_3 \cdot \pi$ is the set of globally distinguished representations in the *L*-packet of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ determined by π (by Proposition 6.10).

We also record the following observation as a lemma.

Lemma 8.2. Let π as above be of type r^d , then for an $x \in \mathbb{A}_F^{\times}$, we have $x^r \in G_{\pi}$.

Proof. We observe that if π has a $\psi_{1,...,d}$ -Whittaker model with respect to the automorphic character $\psi_{1,...,d}$, then

$$\pi^{\operatorname{diag}(xI_r,I_{n-r})} \in \mathcal{L}'(\pi).$$

In particular, for finite places v, the local representation $\pi_v^{\text{diag}(x_v I_r, I_{n-r})}$ has a $\psi_{1,...,d,v}$ -Whittaker model because $\text{diag}(x_v I_r, I_{n-r})$ fixes $\psi_{1,...,d,v}$ by conjugation, hence both π_v and $\pi_v^{\text{diag}(xI_r, I_{n-r})}$ have a $\psi_{1,...,d,v}$ -Whittaker model inside $L(\pi_v)$, so they are equal, and the lemma follows.

Next we state the local global principle for $(SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E), SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F))$ for square-integrable automorphic representations (for *r* odd).

Theorem 8.3. Let π be an irreducible square-integrable automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ such that $L(\pi)$ is distinguished. Assume that r is odd and write $\pi = \bigotimes_v' \pi_v$ but this time for v varying through the places of F (hence here π_v is π_w for w the place in E lying over v if vdoes not split in E, and $\pi_v = \pi_{w_1} \otimes \pi_{w_2}$ if v splits into (w_1, w_2)). Then, π is distinguished with respect to $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ if and only if each π_v is $SL_n(F_v)$ -distinguished.

Proof. One direction is obvious, so we suppose that π is locally distinguished. We can always suppose that $\tilde{\pi}$ conjugate self-dual by Proposition 7.3.

The group G_{π} is Galois stable by Proposition 8.1. As in [AP13, Theorem 7.1], we need to prove that the group

$$(H_1 \cap H_2)/H_3$$

is trivial. In order to show that $H_1 \cap H_2 \subseteq H_3$, we claim that $H_2 \cap \mathbb{A}_F^{\times} \subseteq H_3$.

So let $x \in E^{\times}G_{\pi} \cap \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}$. Note that $x^{2} = xx^{\theta}$, as $x \in \mathbb{A}_{F}^{\times}$. Since G_{π} is Galois stable, we see that $x^{2} \in F^{\times}G_{\pi} = H_{3}$. Indeed, writing x = hk, $h \in E^{\times}$, $k \in G_{\pi}$, we get

$$x^2 = xx^{\theta} = hkh^{\theta}k^{\theta} = hh^{\theta}kk^{\theta} \in F^{\times}G_{\pi}.$$

Also $x^r \in G_{\pi}$ by Lemma 8.2. We have thus shown that both x^2 and x^r are in H_3 . It follows that $x \in H_3$, as *r* is odd.

Remark 13. The simplifying role played by the fact that r is odd in the proof of Theorem 8.3 is quite analogous to its role in the proof of local multiplicity one, when n is odd, for the pair (SL_n(*E*), SL_n(*F*)) (see [Ana05, p. 183] or [AP18, p. 1703]).

9. Higher multiplicity for SL_n

We now suppose $n \ge 3$ and recall consequences of the works of Blasius, Lapid and Hiraga-Saito [Bla94, Lap98, Lap99, HS05, HS12]. This section contains no original result.

9.1. Different notions of multiplicity. Let π be a cuspidal automorphic representation π of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. We set

$$m(\pi) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)}(\pi, \mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(\operatorname{SL}_n(E) \setminus \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E)))$$

and call it the multiplicity of π in the cuspidal spectrum of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. There are several other notions of multiplicity for π , both on the automorphic side and on the Galois parameter side of the putative global Langlands correspondence. We shall need to pass from one to another and we explain the process in this paragraph. We follow [Lap98, p. 293] and [Lap99, p. 162]. First we consider the automorphic side. Thus, let $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\pi}'$ be two cuspidal representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. We write:

- (i) $\tilde{\pi} \sim_s \tilde{\pi}'$ if $\tilde{\pi} \simeq \tilde{\pi}' \otimes \eta$ for a Hecke character η of \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} ,
- (ii) $\tilde{\pi} \sim_{ew} \tilde{\pi}'$ if $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \tilde{\pi}'_v \otimes \eta_v$ for a character η_v of E_v^{\times} at each place v of E
- (iii) $\tilde{\pi} \sim_w \tilde{\pi}'$ if $\tilde{\pi}_v \simeq \tilde{\pi}'_v \otimes \eta_v$ for a character η_v of E_v^{\times} for almost places v of E.

One denotes by $M(L(\tilde{\pi}))$ the number of \sim_s equivalence classes in the \sim_{ew} equivalence class of $\tilde{\pi}$, and by $\mathcal{M}(L(\tilde{\pi}))$ the number of \sim_s equivalence classes in the \sim_w equivalence class of $\tilde{\pi}$. It was expected by Labesse and Langlands ([LL79]) that if π is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ contained in $L(\tilde{\pi})$, then its multiplicity $m(\pi)$ inside the cuspidal automorphic spectrum is equal to $M(L(\tilde{\pi}))$ so that in particular $M(L(\tilde{\pi}))$ is finite. This was proved for $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ in [LL79] and in general for $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ by Hiraga and Saito [HS12, Theorem 1.6].

On the other hand the multiplicity $\mathcal{M}(L(\tilde{\pi}))$, which is conjectured to be finite and bounded by a function of *n* in [Lap99, Conjecture 1], is certainly at least equal to $\mathcal{M}(L(\tilde{\pi}))$ by definition, and related to a similar multiplicity on the "Galois parameter side". To this end we introduce equivalence relations \sim_s and \sim_w on the set of representations of a group *G*. Let ϕ and ϕ' be two morphisms from *G* to $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, we write:

- (i) $\phi \sim_s \phi'$ if there is $x \in PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\overline{\phi'(g)} = x^{-1}\overline{\phi(g)}x \in PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ for all $g \in G$, in which case we say that ϕ and ϕ' are strongly equivalent.
- (ii) $\phi \sim_w \phi'$ if for all $g \in G$, there is $x_g \in \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\overline{\phi'(g)} = x_g^{-1}\overline{\phi(g)}x_g \in \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$, in which case we say that ϕ and ϕ' are weakly equivalent.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}(\phi)$ the number of \sim_s equivalence classes in the \sim_w equivalence class of ϕ . One of the main achievements of [Lap98, Lap99] is the following result (cf. [Lap98, Theorem 6] and [Lap99, Theorem 2]).

Theorem 9.1. Let L be a Galois extension of E with respective Weil groups W_L and W_E such that Gal(L/E) is nilpotent, and let χ be a Hecke character of \mathbb{A}_E^{\times} such that $\phi = Ind_{W_L}^{W_E}(\chi)$ is irreducible. Denote by $\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi}(\phi)$ the cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ associated to $Ind_{W_E}^{W_E}(\chi)$ by [AC89]. Then $\mathcal{M}(\phi) = \mathcal{M}(L(\tilde{\pi}))$.

Remark 14. In the proof of this result Lapid invokes the Chebotarev density theorem to argue that for such representations, the relations \sim_s and \sim_w are compatible on

the Galois parameter side and the automorphic side, and shows that if $\tilde{\pi'} \sim_w \tilde{\pi}$ (i.e., almost everywhere a twist of $\tilde{\pi}$) for $\tilde{\pi}$ as in the statement of Theorem 9.1, then $\tilde{\pi'}$ is of Galois type, i.e., there exists a Galois representation ϕ' , necessarily unique, of W_E with Satake parameters equal to those of $\tilde{\pi'}$ at almost every place of *E*. We shall use these facts as well in what follows.

Remark 15. In particular suppose that $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\mathcal{M}(\phi)$ are as in the statement of Theorem 9.1, and suppose moreover that the weak equivalence class of $\tilde{\pi}$ (its \sim_w class) is the same as its \sim_{ew} class, then for any $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi})$, we have:

$$m(\pi) = M(L(\tilde{\pi})) = \mathcal{M}(L(\tilde{\pi})) = \mathcal{M}(\phi)$$

Note that the middle equality can in general be a strict inequality, see for example [Bla94, Proposition 2.5].

9.2. Examples of higher cuspidal multiplicity due to Blasius. In this section we recall the first fundamental construction, due to D. Blasius ([Bla94]), of representations appearing with a multiplicity greater than one in the cuspidal spectrum of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$. In view of the more recent results of Lapid and Hiraga-Saito recalled in Section 9.1, we give a slightly more modern treatment of the construction of Blasius, however following its exact same lines. For p a fixed prime number, we denote by H_p the Heisenberg subgroup of $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of upper triangular unipotent matrices with order p^3 . Blasius considers finite products of Heisenberg groups

$$H_{p_i} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \mid a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}/p_i \right\},\$$

where for our purpose we restrict a finite number of odd primes p_i possibly equal for $i \neq j$. For each index *i*, we denote by Z_i the center of H_{p_i} , and by \mathcal{L}_i the Lagrangian subgroup of H_{p_i} given by a = 0. We then set $H = \prod_i H_{p_i}$, $\mathcal{L} = \prod_i \mathcal{L}_i$ and $Z = \prod_i Z_i$.

Now let *E* be our number field. Since *H* is a product of *p*-groups it is solvable, and therefore by the well-known result of Shafarevich in inverse Galois theory, there is a Galois extension L/E such that Gal(L/E) = H. Now take for each *i* a non-trivial character χ_i of Z_i and extend χ_i to a character $\tilde{\chi}_i$ of \mathcal{L}_i by

$$\widetilde{\chi}_i \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight) = \chi_i \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight).$$

Now set $\chi = \bigotimes_i \chi_i$ the corresponding character of Z, and call it a *regular* character of Z (meaning all the χ_i are non-trivial), and $\tilde{\chi} = \bigotimes_i \tilde{\chi}_i$ to be the corresponding character of $\mathcal{L} = \text{Gal}(L/L_{\mathcal{L}})$ (for $L_{\mathcal{L}}$ an extension of E). This character can be seen as a Hecke character of the Weil group $W_{L_{\mathcal{L}}}$ (which is trivial on W_L). The induced representation $I_{\chi} = \text{Ind}_{W_{L_{\mathcal{L}}}}^{W_E}(\tilde{\chi})$ is an irreducible representation of H of dimension $n = \prod_i p_i$ and when χ varies, the representations I_{χ} are non-isomorphic and describe all the irreducible representations of H, their number being equal to

$$m(n) = \prod_i (p_i - 1).$$

We then set $\tilde{\pi}_{\chi}$ to be the cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ attached to I_{χ} in [AC89]. By Theorem 9.1 we obtain the following result from Section 1.1 of [Bla94].

Proposition 9.2. In the situation above, let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(\mathrm{SL}_n(E) \setminus \mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ be an irreducible summand of $\tilde{\pi}_{\chi}$. Then $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{L}(\tilde{\pi}_{\chi})) = m(n)$.

Proof. According to Theorem 9.1, it is sufficient to check that the conjugacy class of $I_{\chi}(w)$ in $PGL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is independent of χ for any $w \in W_E$ but that the I_{χ} 's are inequivalent projective representations. This is done in [Bla94, Section 1.1].

We are however looking for information on $m(\pi)$ rather than $\mathcal{M}(L(\tilde{\pi}_{\chi}))$. Therefore we follow Blasius again to put us in a situation where $\mathcal{M}(L(\tilde{\pi}_{\chi})) = M(L(\tilde{\pi}_{\chi}))$ in order to apply Remark 15. To this end we select *L* as in the proof of [Bla94, Proposition 2.1], such that at all the places in *L* lying above *p* for each *p* dividing |H|, *L* is unramified.

Then in such a situation, by [Bla94, Proposition 2.1, (2)], we deduce that two representations $\tilde{\pi}_{\chi}$ and $\tilde{\pi}_{\chi'}$, for regular characters χ and χ' of Z, are not only weakly equivalent (which we already know from [Bla94, Section 1.1] and Section 9.1), but they are in fact in the same \sim_{ew} -class, i.e., they are twists of each other at every place of E. Finally, by Remark 14, if $\tilde{\pi}$ is a cuspidal automorphic representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ weakly equivalent to $\tilde{\pi}_{\chi}$, it is of Galois type with Galois parameter say ϕ . Because for every $w \in W_E$, the conjugacy class of $I_{\chi}(w)$ in $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is equal to that of $\phi(w)$, we deduce that I_{χ} and ϕ have the same kernel, and are thus in fact both irreducible representations of H. This implies that ϕ is itself of the form $I_{\chi'}$ for a regular character χ' of Z, in particular the \sim_w class of π is equal to its \sim_{ew} class. In view of Remark 15, the outcome of this discussion is the following result, which also follows from the proof of [Bla94, Proposition 3.3].

Proposition 9.3. Let *E* be a number field and let *L* be an extension of *E* such that $\operatorname{Gal}(L/E) \simeq H$ and such that *L* is unramified at every place of *L* lying over a prime divisor of the cardinality n = |H|. Let χ be a regular character of *Z* and let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(\operatorname{SL}_n(E) \setminus \operatorname{SL}_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ be an irreducible summand of $\tilde{\pi}_{\chi}$. Then $m(\pi) = m(n)$ and the L-packets containing a copy of π are those of the form $\operatorname{L}(\tilde{\pi}_{\chi'})$ for a regular character χ' of *Z* and they are all different.

Remark 16. Such extensions *L* of *E* exist in abundance by Shafarevich's theorem in inverse Galois theory.

Remark 17. In [Bla94], Blasius had conjectured that two L-packets, say $L(\tilde{\pi})$ and $L(\tilde{\pi}')$, would be isomorphic if $\tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\pi}'$ are locally isomorphic at every place up to a character twist [Bla94, Conjecture on p. 239]. This conjecture was later proved by Hiraga-Saito in 2005 [HS05]. Lacking the truth of the conjecture at that point in time, [Bla94] resorted to a trick using complex conjugation. Note that reading out the precise multiplicity $m(\pi)$ is an immediate consequence of this result.

10. Two questions

In this section we attempt to two natural and important questions. We thank Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis and Dipendra Prasad for posing the first of these questions to us in the context of this paper. We then consider one more question which in the case of SL(2) was answered by an explicit construction in [AP06, Theorem 8.2]. The key ingredient in all our constructions is the explicit nature of the examples of cuspidal representations of high multiplicity in [Bla94, Lap98, Lap99]. In these examples, we also need to make a crucial use of the main result of this paper (cf. Theorem 6.6).

10.1. **Questions.** We formulate two natural questions for each of which we provide answers in the later subsections.

Question 1. Consider the natural decomposition of $\mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(SL_n(E) \setminus SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ into Lpackets. Let π_1 and π_2 be two irreducible submodules of $\mathcal{A}_0^{\infty}(SL_n(E) \setminus SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E))$ such that $\pi_1 \simeq \pi_2$ but which belong to two different L-packets $L(\tilde{\pi}_1) \neq L(\tilde{\pi}_2)$. If p_n does not vanish on π_1 , then is it true that it does not vanish on π_2 ?

Remark 18. We shall see in Section 10.3 that the answer is No in general. Thus, for $n \ge 3$, there are cuspidal automorphic representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which are locally distinguished, but with at least one canonical realization in the space of smooth cusp forms on which p_n vanishes.

The following question arises immediately after the above remark.

Question 2. For $n \ge 3$, are there cuspidal automorphic representations of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which are locally distinguished at every place of *F*, but not globally? In fact is it even possible to construct such a representation which belongs to no distinguished L-packet?

We shall see in Section 10.4 that such representations do exist. Note that though Question 1 is not meaningful for $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ according to Ramakrishnan's multiplicity 1 result [Ram00], the issues addressed by Remark 18, as well as Question 2 make sense for n = 2. In this case they are all answered in [AP06]. In fact it is sufficient to answer Question 2 for n = 2, and this is done by [AP06, Theorem 8.2], the proof of which is quite involved: there are indeed cuspidal automorphic representations of $SL_2(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which are locally distinguished at every place of F but not globally. We shall provide easier examples of this type in Section 10.3 for $n \ge 3$.

10.2. Distinguished cuspidal representations of higher multiplicity. Now we need to construct cuspidal representations π of $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_E)$ which are $SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)$ -distinguished with $m(\pi) \ge 2$ for odd n.

Let us explain our general recipe for this, using the examples of Blasius in §9.2. We take $n \ge 3$ odd and write it as $n = \prod_i p_i$. We set $H = \prod_i H_{p_i}$ as before and take an involution σ of the group H. Associated to this involution is the semi-direct product

$$G = H \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on H via σ . Now let F be any number field and let L be an extension of F such that $Gal(L/F) \simeq G$. In fact we choose L in such a way that L/F is unramified at each place of F lying above any p dividing n. Note that all these can be done by Shafarevich's theorem since G is solvable. Let E be the fixed field of H so that

$$\operatorname{Gal}(L/E) \simeq H \& \operatorname{Gal}(E/F) = \langle \sigma \rangle.$$

Take an irreducible representation ρ of H. It identifies with $I_{\chi\rho}$ for $\chi\rho$ a regular character of Z and we set $\tilde{\pi}(\rho) = \tilde{\pi}_{\chi\rho}$ (cf. §9.2). In particular, because L/E is unramified

at places of *E* lying above the prime divisors of *n*, if π belongs to $L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho))$, we obtain $m(\pi) = m(n)$ thanks to Proposition 9.3. In this situation, we have the following very useful result due to the rigidity of the representation theory of Heisenberg groups, which we will apply in order to produce examples answering Question 1:

Proposition 10.1. In the situation described above, take an irreducible representation ρ of H and denote by c_{ρ} its central character. The L-packet $L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho))$ is distinguished if and only if $c_{\rho}(z^{\sigma}) = c_{\rho}(z^{-1})$ for all $z \in Z$.

Proof. By Proposition 7.3, $L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho))$ is distinguished if and only if $(\tilde{\pi}(\rho)^{\vee})^{\sigma} \simeq \mu \otimes \tilde{\pi}(\rho)$ for a Hecke character μ factoring through $N_{E/F}$. This is equivalent to $\tilde{\pi}((\rho^{\vee})^{\sigma}) \simeq \mu \otimes \tilde{\pi}(\rho)$. However as the L-packets determined by different irreducible representations are different thanks to Proposition 9.3, we easily deduce that $L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho))$ is distinguished if and only if ρ is conjugate self-dual, i.e., $\rho^{\vee} \simeq \rho^{\sigma}$. The result now follows from the fact that ρ is determined by its central character.

In view of Corollary 6.9, a consequence of Proposition 10.1 is the following.

Corollary 10.2. In the situation of Proposition 10.1, let ρ be an irreducible representation of H such that $c_{\rho}^{\sigma} = c_{\rho}^{-1}$, and $\pi \in L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho))$ such that $\mathcal{P}_{SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}$ does not vanish on π . Then the canonical copies of π on which $\mathcal{P}_{SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}$ does not vanish are those contained in the L-packets of the form $L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho'))$ with ρ' an irreducible representation of H such that $c_{\rho'}^{\sigma} = c_{\rho'}^{-1}$.

10.3. **Examples for Question 1.** We first give two examples for which we answer Question 1. In the first one, all the canonical copies of the considered distinguished representation have a non-vanishing period, whereas in the second example only some of the canonical copies of the considered distinguished representation have a non-vanishing period and some others do not have a non-vanishing period.

For the first set of examples, the group *H* is as in Section 10.2 and the involution that we consider on it, for *a*, *b* and *c* in $\prod_i \mathbb{Z}/p_i$, is given by

$$\sigma: \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & a & -c \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

In this case because the associated involution acts as the inversion on *Z*, Proposition 10.1 tells us that all L-packets $L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho))$ are distinguished when ρ varies in the set of irreducible classes of representations of *H*, and that if one fixes a representation π in one L-packet on which $\mathcal{P}_{SL_n(\mathbb{A}_F)}$ does not vanish, then it does not vanish on any of the m(n) canonical copies of π .

For the second set of examples, we consider *H* as above (of odd cardinality *n*) and $H' = H \times H$ (which is in fact a special type of *H*) endowed with the switching involution

$$\sigma: (x,y) \mapsto (y,x).$$

In this case Proposition 10.1 tells us that the distinguished L-packets of $SL_{n^2}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ of the form $L(\tilde{\pi}(\rho'))$ are the m(n) ones such that $\chi_{\rho'}$ is of the form $\chi \otimes \chi^{-1}$ with χ regular, whereas the others are not. Then again by Corollary 10.2 we conclude that if π is a fixed distinguished representation of $SL_{n^2}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ appearing in one of the $m(n)^2$ many L-packets above, then the period $\mathcal{P}_{SL_{n^2}(\mathbb{A}_F)}$ does not vanish on the m(n)

canonical copies inside the distinguished m(n) many distinguished L-packets, and does vanish on the $m(n)^2 - m(n)$ remaining ones.

10.4. **Examples for Question 2.** Now we give a set of examples answering Question 3, using again Proposition 10.1.

For simplicity we take $H = H_p$ for p an odd prime (i.e., n = p), and we also take L/F, hence in particular E/F, split at Archimedean places (however we explain in Remark 19 how to get rid of this assumption). Let σ be an involution of H such that $z^{\sigma} = z$ for all $z \in Z$. Thus, we may take the trivial involution or the involution of H given by

$$\sigma: \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \mapsto \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -a & c \\ 0 & 1 & -b \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Since $z^{\sigma} = z$ for all $z \in Z$, Proposition 10.1 implies that no L-packet of the form $\tilde{\pi}(\rho)$ for ρ an irreducible representation of H is distinguished because, as |Z| is odd, the only character of Z of order ≤ 2 is trivial.

It remains to prove that if we fix ρ as above, and set $\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi}(\rho)$, then $L(\tilde{\pi})$ contains an automorphic representation π such that π_v is $SL_p(F_v)$ -distinguished for every place v of F. This is equivalent to showing that $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is $(GL_p(F_v), \gamma_v)$ -distinguished for some character γ_v of F_v^{\times} , which is what we do. Recall that by [Bla94, Proposition 2.1],

$$\widetilde{\pi}_v^{\sigma} \simeq \widetilde{\pi}_v^{\vee} \otimes \eta_v$$

at each place *v* for a character η_v of E_v^{\times} .

If a place v of F splits in E as (v_1, v_2) then the above condition implies $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is of the form $(\tau, \tau^{\vee} \otimes \nu)$ which is distinguished for the character ν of \mathbb{F}_v^{\times} .

Now let *v* be such that it does not split in *E*, in particular *v* is finite. We set $B_p(E_v)$ the upper triangular Borel subgroup of $GL_p(E_v)$.

We write as before $\tilde{\pi} = \tilde{\pi}(\rho)$ for ρ an irreducible representation of H. We denote by \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' the first and the second Lagrangian subgroups of H given by a = 0 and b = 0 respectively (cf. §9.2). By the proof of [Bla94, Proposition 2.1] the local Galois group of H_v is an abelian subgroup of H, hence either trivial, equal to Z, \mathcal{L} or \mathcal{L}' . We recall that $\rho = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{L}}^H(\tilde{\chi})$ where

$$\widetilde{\chi}\left(\begin{array}{rrr}1 & 0 & c\\ 0 & 1 & b\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right) = \chi(c)$$

for χ a non-trivial character of \mathbb{Z}/p . We fix μ a non-trivial character \mathbb{Z}/p and set

$$\widetilde{\mu} \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & c \\
0 & 1 & b \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \right) = \mu(b).$$

Similarly we set

$$\widetilde{\chi}' \left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & a & c \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array} \right) = \chi(c)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mu}' \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & a & c \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) = \mu(a).$$

Clearly if H_v is trivial or equal to Z, then $\rho_{|H_v}$ is a sum of copies of the same character, hence $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is of the form

$$\operatorname{Ps}(\alpha,\ldots,\alpha) = \operatorname{Ind}_{B_p(E_v)}^{\operatorname{GL}_p(E_v)}(\alpha\otimes\cdots\otimes\alpha),$$

where induction is normalized, hence $\alpha_{|F_v^{\times}}$ -distinguished by [Mat11, Theorem 5.2]. Now we consider the case $H_v = \mathcal{L}$. Then by Mackey theory,

$$\rho_{|\mathcal{L}} = \widetilde{\chi}.(\oplus_{k=0}^{p-1}\widetilde{\mu}^k)$$

Thus the corresponding principal series is of the form

(3)
$$\widetilde{\pi}_{v} = \operatorname{Ps}(\alpha, \alpha\beta, \alpha\beta^{-1}, \dots, \alpha\beta^{(p-1)/2}, \alpha\beta^{-(p-1)/2}).$$

If σ is the trivial involution we trivially have $\beta = \beta^{\sigma}$ so (3) takes the form

$$\widetilde{\pi}_v = \alpha \otimes \operatorname{Ps}(1, \beta, \beta^{-\sigma}, \dots, \beta^{(p-1)/2}, (\beta^{(p-1)/2})^{-\sigma}),$$

which is distinguished by [Mat11, Theorem 5.2].

If σ is the non-trivial involution such that $z^{\sigma} = z$ for $z \in Z$ then note that σ fixes $\tilde{\chi}$ whereas it sends $\tilde{\mu}$ to its inverse. We set $\mu_k = \alpha \beta^k$ for k = 1, ..., (p-1)/2, so that (3) takes the form

$$\widetilde{\pi}_v = \operatorname{Ps}(\alpha, \mu_1, \mu_1^{\sigma}, \dots, \mu_{(p-1)/2}, \mu_{(p-1)/2}^{\sigma}).$$

Now because for k = 1, ..., (p-1)/2, one has $\alpha^2 = \mu_k \mu_k^{\sigma}$ and hence $\alpha_{|F_v^{\times}|}^2 = \mu_k^2|_{F_v^{\times}}$, but as both characters in this equality have odd order p we deduce that $\alpha_{|F_v^{\times}|} = \mu_k|_{F_v^{\times}}$. So

$$\widetilde{\pi}_{v} = \alpha \otimes \operatorname{Ps}(1, \alpha^{-1}\mu_{1}, \alpha^{-1}\mu_{1}^{\sigma}, \dots, \alpha^{-1}\mu_{(p-1)/2}, \alpha^{-1}\mu_{(p-1)/2}^{\sigma})$$

and all the characters appearing in the principal series have trivial restriction to F_v^{\times} , we deduce again from [Mat11, Theorem 5.2] that $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is $\alpha_{|E_v^{\times}}$ -distinguished.

Finally when $H_v = \mathcal{L}'$ then

$$\rho_{|\mathcal{L}'} = \widetilde{\chi}' . (\bigoplus_{k=0}^{p-1} \widetilde{\mu}'^k)$$

and a completely similar argument proves that $\tilde{\pi}_v$ is distinguished by a character.

Hence $L(\tilde{\pi})$ does not contain any distinguished representation but it contains cuspidal representations which are everywhere locally distinguished.

Remark 19. In constructing examples in this section, we chose L/F such that the Archimedean places split in order to have E/F split at the Archimedean places. This assumption can be removed as the characterisation of a generic distinguished principal series as in [Mat11, Theorem 5.2] is true also for $(GL_n(\mathbb{C}), GL_n(\mathbb{R}))$. Namely a generic principal series $Ps(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)$ of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ is $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$ -distinguished if and only if there is an involution ϵ of in the symmetric group S_n such that $\chi_{\epsilon(i)} = \chi_i^{-\sigma}$ for any $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and moreover $(\chi_i)_{|\mathbb{R}^{\times}} = 1$ if $\epsilon(i) = i$. The direct implication is a special case of [Kem15, Theorem 1.2], whereas the other implication can be obtained as follows. First up to re-ordering (which is possible as the principal series

is generic by assumption) we can suppose that there is $1 \le s \le \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ such that $\chi_{2i} = \chi_{2i-1}^{-\sigma}$ for i = 1, ..., s, and that $(\chi_i)_{|\mathbb{R}^{\times}} = 1$ for i = 2s + 1, ..., n. Now a principal series $\operatorname{Ps}(\chi, \chi^{-\sigma})$ of $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -distinguished. Indeed by [CD94, Théorème 3], for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large enough, there is a $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant continuous linear form L_s on $\operatorname{Ps}(\chi| \cdot |_{\mathbb{R}}^s, \chi^{-\sigma}| \cdot |_{\mathbb{R}}^{-s})$, and a holomorphic function h on \mathbb{C} such that $h(s)L_s(f_s)$ extends to a holomorphic function on \mathbb{C} for any flat section f_s of $\operatorname{Ps}(\chi| \cdot |_{\mathbb{R}}^s, \chi^{-\sigma}| \cdot |_{\mathbb{R}}^{-s})$. Moreover by [ibid.] the function $h(s)L_s(f_s)$ is non-zero for some choice of f_s , which we can suppose to be $\operatorname{U}(2, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ -finite because L_s is continuous for $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ large enough. A standard leading term argument then allows to regularize L_s at s = 0 to define a non-zero $\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant linear form L on the space of $\operatorname{U}(2, \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R})$ -finite vectors in $\operatorname{Ps}(\chi, \chi^{-\sigma})$. Finally one extends L to a necessarily non-zero element of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})}(\operatorname{Ps}(\chi, \chi^{-\sigma}), \mathbb{C})$ by [BD92, Théorème 1]. Once we have this result, the transitivity of parabolic induction together with a closed orbit contribution argument allows to define a non-zero $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant linear form on $\operatorname{Ps}(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_n)$.

Remark 20. It is not hard to extend the examples obtained in this section in the cuspidal case, to the square-integrable case, using the results of this paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis and Yiannis Sakellaridis for useful comments and explanations. The content of §10.2 & 10.3 grew out of a discussion with Beuzart-Plessis. The authors would like to especially thank Dipendra Prasad for his questions and comments over several e-mail conversations; his guidance in general has played a significant role in the writing of some parts of this paper.

References

- [AC89] James Arthur and Laurent Clozel, Simple algebras, base change, and the advanced theory of the trace formula, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 120, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. MR 1007299
- [AGR93] Avner Ash, David Ginzburg, and Steven Rallis, Vanishing periods of cusp forms over modular symbols, Math. Ann. 296 (1993), no. 4, 709–723. MR 1233493
- [AGS15] Avraham Aizenbud, Dmitry Gourevitch, and Siddhartha Sahi, *Derivatives for smooth representations of GL*(n, \mathbb{R}) and GL(n, \mathbb{C}), Israel J. Math. **206** (2015), no. 1, 1–38. MR 3319629
- [AM20] U. K. Anandavardhanan and Nadir Matringe, Test vectors for finite periods and base change, Adv. Math. 360 (2020), 106915, 27. MR 4035951
- [Ana05] U. K. Anandavardhanan, *Distinguished non-Archimedean representations*, Algebra and number theory, Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi, 2005, pp. 183–192. MR 2193352
- [AP03] U. K. Anandavardhanan and Dipendra Prasad, *Distinguished representations for* SL(2), Math. Res. Lett. **10** (2003), no. 5-6, 867–878. MR 2025061
- [AP06] _____, On the SL(2) period integral, Amer. J. Math. 128 (2006), no. 6, 1429–1453. MR 2275907
- [AP13] _____, *A local-global question in automorphic forms*, Compos. Math. **149** (2013), no. 6, 959–995. MR 3077658
- [AP18] _____, *Distinguished representations for* SL(*n*), Math. Res. Lett. **25** (2018), no. 6, 1695–1717. MR 3934841
- [BD92] Jean-Luc Brylinski and Patrick Delorme, Vecteurs distributions H-invariants pour les séries principales généralisées d'espaces symétriques réductifs et prolongement méromorphe d'intégrales d'Eisenstein, Invent. Math. **109** (1992), no. 3, 619–664. MR 1176208

- [Ber84] Joseph N. Bernstein, *P-invariant distributions on* GL(N) and the classification of unitary representations of GL(N) (non-Archimedean case), Lie group representations, II (College Park, Md., 1982/1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1041, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 50–102. MR 748505
- [Bla94] Don Blasius, *On multiplicities for* SL(*n*), Israel J. Math. **88** (1994), no. 1-3, 237–251. MR 1303497
- [BZ76] I. N. Bernšteĭn and A. V. Zelevinskiĭ, *Representations of the group GL(n, F), where F is a local non-Archimedean field*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **31** (1976), no. 3(189), 5–70. MR 0425030
- [BZ77] I. N. Bernstein and A. V. Zelevinsky, Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. I, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 10 (1977), no. 4, 441–472. MR 579172
- [CD94] Jacques Carmona and Patrick Delorme, Base méromorphe de vecteurs distributions H-invariants pour les séries principales généralisées d'espaces symétriques réductifs: equation fonctionnelle, J. Funct. Anal. 122 (1994), no. 1, 152–221. MR 1274587
- [Cog04] James W. Cogdell, Lectures on L-functions, converse theorems, and functoriality for GL_n, Lectures on automorphic L-functions, Fields Inst. Monogr., vol. 20, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, pp. 1–96. MR 2071506
- [CPS17] J. W. Cogdell and I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *Derivatives and L-functions for GL_n*, Representation theory, number theory, and invariant theory, Progr. Math., vol. 323, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 115–173. MR 3753910
- [DP19] Sarah Dijols and Dipendra Prasad, *Symplectic models for unitary groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), no. 3, 1833–1866. MR 3976579
- [Fli88] Yuval Z. Flicker, *Twisted tensors and Euler products*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **116** (1988), no. 3, 295–313. MR 984899
- [Fli91] ____, On distinguished representations, J. Reine Angew. Math. 418 (1991), 139–172. MR 1111204
- [FZ95] Yuval Z. Flicker and Dmitrii Zinoviev, *On poles of twisted tensor L-functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **71** (1995), no. 6, 114–116. MR 1344660
- [GK82] S. S. Gelbart and A. W. Knapp, *L-indistinguishability and R groups for the special linear group*, Adv. in Math. **43** (1982), no. 2, 101–121. MR 644669
- [GS13] Dmitry Gourevitch and Siddhartha Sahi, *Annihilator varieties, adduced representations, Whittaker functionals, and rank for unitary representations of* GL(*n*), Selecta Math. (N.S.) **19** (2013), no. 1, 141–172. MR 3029948
- [HS05] Kaoru Hiraga and Hiroshi Saito, *On restriction of admissible representations*, Algebra and number theory, Hindustan Book Agency, Delhi, 2005, pp. 299–326. MR 2193361
- [HS12] _____, On L-packets for inner forms of SL_n, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **215** (2012), no. 1013, vi+97. MR 2918491
- [Jac84] Hervé Jacquet, On the residual spectrum of GL(n), Lie group representations, II (College Park, Md., 1982/1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1041, Springer, Berlin, 1984, pp. 185–208. MR 748508
- [JL13] Dihua Jiang and Baiying Liu, *On Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms of* GL(*n*), Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2013), no. 17, 4029–4071. MR 3096918
- [JS81] H. Jacquet and J. A. Shalika, *On Euler products and the classification of automorphic forms. II*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 4, 777–815. MR 623137
- [JS90] Hervé Jacquet and Joseph Shalika, *Exterior square L-functions*, Automorphic forms, Shimura varieties, and *L*-functions, Vol. II (Ann Arbor, MI, 1988), Perspect. Math., vol. 11, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990, pp. 143–226. MR 1044830
- [Jac09] Hervé Jacquet, *Archimedean Rankin-Selberg integrals*, Automorphic forms and *L*-functions II. Local aspects, Contemp. Math., vol. 489, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 57–172. MR 2533003
- [Kem15] Alexander Kemarsky, *Distinguished representations of* $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$, Israel J. Math. **207** (2015), no. 1, 435–448. MR 3358053
- [LL79] J.-P. Labesse and R. P. Langlands, *L-indistinguishability for SL*(2), Canad. J. Math. 31 (1979), no. 4, 726–785. MR 0540902
- [Lap98] Erez M. Lapid, A note on the global Langlands conjecture, Doc. Math. 3 (1998), 285–296. MR 1661109

[Lap99] _____, Some results on multiplicities for SL(n), Israel J. Math. **112** (1999), 157–186. MR 1714998

[Mat11] Nadir Matringe, *Distinguished generic representations of* GL(*n*) *over p-adic fields*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2011), no. 1, 74–95. MR 2755483

- [Mat14] _____, Unitary representations of GL(n, K) distinguished by a Galois involution for a p-adic field K, Pacific J. Math. **271** (2014), no. 2, 445–460. MR 3267536
- [MgW89] C. Mœ glin and J.-L. Waldspurger, *Le spectre résiduel de* GL(n), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.
 (4) 22 (1989), no. 4, 605–674. MR 1026752
- [OS08] Omer Offen and Eitan Sayag, *Global mixed periods and local Klyachko models for the general linear group*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2008), no. 1, Art. ID rnm 136, 25. MR 2417789
- [Pra15] Dipendra Prasad, A relative local Langlands correspondence and geometry of parameter spaces, arXiv:1512.04347 (2015).
- [Ram00] Dinakar Ramakrishnan, *Modularity of the Rankin-Selberg L-series, and multiplicity one for* SL(2), Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 1, 45–111. MR 1792292
- [Sah89] Siddhartha Sahi, On Kirillov's conjecture for Archimedean fields, Compositio Math. 72 (1989), no. 1, 67–86. MR 1026329
- [Sah90] _____, A simple construction of Stein's complementary series representations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108 (1990), no. 1, 257–266. MR 984813
- [SS90] Siddhartha Sahi and Elias M. Stein, Analysis in matrix space and Speh's representations, Invent. Math. 101 (1990), no. 2, 379–393. MR 1062967
- [SV17] Yiannis Sakellaridis and Akshay Venkatesh, *Periods and harmonic analysis on spherical varieties*, Astérisque (2017), no. 396, viii+360. MR 3764130
- [Tad86] Marko Tadić, *Spherical unitary dual of general linear group over non-Archimedean local field*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **36** (1986), no. 2, 47–55. MR 850742
- [Tad87] _____, Unitary representations of GL(n), derivatives in the non-Archimedean case, V. Mathematikertreffen Zagreb-Graz (Mariatrost/Graz, 1986), Ber. Math.-Statist. Sekt. Forschungsgesellsch. Joanneum, vol. 274, Forschungszentrum Graz, Graz, 1987, pp. Ber. No. 281, 19. MR 904061
- [Tad92] _____, *Notes on representations of non-Archimedean* SL(*n*), Pacific J. Math. **152** (1992), no. 2, 375–396. MR 1141803
- [Tad09] Marko Tadić, $GL(n, \mathbb{C})^{-and} GL(n, \mathbb{R})^{-}$, Automorphic forms and *L*-functions II. Local aspects, Contemp. Math., vol. 489, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 285–313. MR 2537046
- [Vog86] David A. Vogan, Jr., *The unitary dual of* GL(n) *over an Archimedean field*, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), no. 3, 449–505. MR 827363
- [Wal88] Nolan R. Wallach, *Real reductive groups. I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 132, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. MR 929683
- [Yam15] Shunsuke Yamana, Periods of residual automorphic forms, J. Funct. Anal. 268 (2015), no. 5, 1078–1104. MR 3304594
- [Zel80] A. V. Zelevinsky, Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups. II. On irreducible representations of GL(n), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 13 (1980), no. 2, 165–210. MR 584084
- [Zha14] Wei Zhang, Automorphic period and the central value of Rankin-Selberg L-function, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (2014), no. 2, 541–612. MR 3164988

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI - 400076, INDIA. Email address: anand@math.iitb.ac.in

LABORATOIRE MATHÉMATIQUES ET APPLICATIONS, UNIVERSITÉ DE POITIERS, FRANCE. *Email address*: matringe@math.univ-poitiers.fr