arXiv:2010.06210v1 [math.DS] 13 Oct 2020

A note on the Turing universality of homogeneous potential wells and geodesible flows

Khang Manh Huynh

Abstract

We explore some properties of flows with strongly adapted 1-forms, originally discovered in [Tao17], which can be used to embed Turing machines into dynamical systems. In particular, we discuss some relations to geodesible flows, and show that even a slight modification of the dynamical system, such as homogeneity, can lead to an intermediate class of flows between adapted flows and geodesible flows, while still retaining Turing universality.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to Terence Tao for valuable discussions during the preparation of this work.

1 Introduction

In [Tao16], Terence Tao demonstrated the finite-time blowup of an averaged version of the Navier-Stokes equation, by constructing a system of logic gates within ideal fluid. This led to the idea of embedding an actual universal Turing machine into dynamical systems. In [Tao17], it was demonstrated that a Turing machine, represented as the flow of a smooth vector field, can be embedded into potential well and nonlinear wave systems. Such systems are then considered to be universal, with arbitrarily complex behaviors.

Since then, there have been various attempts to explore and classify the types of flows and dynamical systems that could give rise to such embeddings, as well as their relations to other subjects in differential geometry. In particular, Tao showed that a flow generated by a non-vanishing smooth vector field X on a smooth, closed compact manifold M, can be embedded into a potential well system if and and only if there is a 1-form θ such that

$$\theta \cdot X > 0, \text{ and } \iota_X d\theta \text{ is exact}$$

We call θ a **strongly adapted 1-form**. One very important subclass of flows with strongly adapted 1-forms is that of **geodesible flows** (i.e. there exists a Riemannian metric g that turns the orbits of X into geodesics). By [Ton97, Proposition 6.8, page 71] or [Glu80], a flow generated by X is geodesible if and only if there is a 1-form θ such that

$$\theta \cdot X > 0, \text{ and } \iota_X d\theta = 0$$

Note that we are using the definition of geodesibility from [Ton97], which is somewhat weaker than that in [Tao17], where $g(X, X)$ is required to be 1 and $\nabla_X X = 0$. We will call the latter **strongly geodesible flows**. By [Ton97, Proposition 6.7, page 71], a flow generated by X is strongly geodesible if and only if there is a 1-form θ such that

$$\theta \cdot X = 1, \text{ and } \iota_X d\theta = \mathcal{L}_X \theta = 0$$

A geodesible flow X can be turned into a strongly geodesible flow by multiplying X with $\frac{1}{\theta \cdot X}$. We also note the similarity to Reeb vector fields in contact geometry [EG97].

All the examples from [Tao17] turn out to be geodesible, including the universal Turing machine which is strongly geodesible.

We observe that the class of flows with strongly adapted 1-forms is distinct from the class of strongly geodesible flows (by Example 15). This suggests that the potential well system has more degrees of freedom than necessary for Turing universality, and it should be possible to put more constraints into the specifications of the system, such as homogeneity, or embedding into a sphere, while still retaining Turing universality. Indeed, that is what this note aims to demonstrate via the Nash embedding theorem.

Fact 1. *The class of potential well systems with homogeneous potentials of degree k (where $k \neq 0$) is universal.*

As an aside, we also discover another class of flows characterizing homogeneous potential wells of degree two (in Theorem 13 and Theorem 18), that is distinct from the class of flows with strongly adapted 1-forms (see Example 15).

Question 2. *How many distinct classes of flows characterizing dynamical systems exist between strongly geodesible flows and flows with strongly adapted 1-forms? Can they be usefully classified?*

Since the preparation of this note, there have been various new developments, including the investigation of the Euler equation in [Tao19; Tao18; PRdL20]. In particular, [Car+19] demonstrated the Turing universality of stationary Euler flows, using tools from contact topology and geometric h-principles.

2 Preliminary definitions

Definition 3. Let M be a smooth manifold and $X \in \mathfrak{X}M$ be a smooth vector field of M. Then (M, X) is called a *(smooth) flow*. The flow is called *compact* if M is compact, and *nonsingular* if X is nonsingular ($X_p \neq 0 \; \forall p \in M$).

Example 4. We consider two basic systems:

- When $M = T^*\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and $X(q,p) = (p, -\nabla V(q))$ where $V : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth potential function, we denote the flow as $\text{Well}(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$. The associated Hamiltonian is $\mathfrak{H}(q,p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2} + V(q)$, while the Lagrangian is $\mathfrak{L}(q,p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2} - V(q)$. The symplectic potential is defined in coordinates as $\Theta = p_i dq^i$, while the canonical symplectic form is $\Omega = -d\Theta = dq^i \wedge dp_i$. Then $\Theta \cdot X = \left|p^2\right|$ and

$$\iota_X d\Theta = -d\mathfrak{H}, \mathcal{L}_X \Theta = d\mathcal{L}$$

- Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_d^m = C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^d \to R^m)$. When $M = T^*(H_d^m) = H_d^m \times H_d^m$ (a Frechet manifold/vector space) and $X(q,p) = (p, \Delta_{\mathbb{T}^d} q - \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(q))$ where $V : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth potential function, we denote the flow as $\text{NLW}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$.

We can treat $\text{Well}(\mathbb{R}^m, V)$ as a subset of $\text{NLW}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$ where q, p are constant functions.

Definition 5. An *embedding* of the flow (N, Y) into the flow (M, X) is an embedding $\phi : N \to M$ such that $d\phi \cdot Y = X$. When M is $T^*(H_d^m)$, an embedding means a smooth (in a Gateaux sense) injective immersion.

A 1-form θ of the flow (M, X) is called **weakly adapted** when $\theta \cdot X \geq 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_X(\theta)$ is exact. θ is called **strongly adapted** if $\theta \cdot X > 0$.

Fact 6. *By [Tao17], there is an embedding from (M, X) into Well$(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$ for some n and V if and only if there is a strongly adapted 1-form for (M, X). Under this embedding, $\theta \cdot X$ corresponds to the kinetic energy $|p|^2$ and $\mathcal{L}_X(\theta)$ corresponds to $d\mathfrak{L}$ where $\mathfrak{L}$ is the Lagrangian.*

Definition 7. If the flow $\text{Well}(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$ further satisfies that V is homogeneous of order k (away from the origin), i.e.

$$V(tx) = t^k V(x) \; \forall t \geq 1, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, \epsilon)$$

for some $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, then we denote the flow as $\text{HomWell}_k(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$.

An embedding $\phi : N \to \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, a \mapsto (Q(a), P(a))$ from (N, Y) into $\text{HomWell}_k(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$ is understood to satisfy $|Q| > 0$ and ImQ lies in the region

where V is homogeneous. The embedding is also called *spherical* if $Q(N) \subseteq cS^{n-1}$ for some $c > 0$.

Similarly for $\mathrm{NLW}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$, if V is homogeneous of order k, we denote it as $\mathrm{HomNLW_k}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$.

Fact 8 (Euler's homogeneous function theorem)**.** *A smooth potential V is homogeneous of order k away from the origin if and only if*

$$\langle \nabla V(x), x \rangle = kV(x) \; \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, \epsilon) \tag{1}$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$.

3 Embedding into HomNLW

First, we need a technical lemma to characterize the Euler condition in our setting:

Lemma 9. *Let (N, Y) be a compact nonsingular flow and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Let Y be extended to $(Y, 0) \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$.*

Let $Q : N \times \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be smooth, and $\theta \in \Omega^1\left(N \times \mathbb{T}^d\right)$ be such that $\theta \cdot W = \langle YQ, WQ \rangle \; \forall W \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$.

Then $\forall W \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$:

$$W \langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, Q \rangle = k \langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, WQ \rangle \tag{2}$$

$$\iff k\mathcal{L}_Y \theta \cdot W + W\left(\left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)(\theta \cdot Y) - YY\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)|\nabla_x Q|^2 + \Delta_x\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$= k \sum_i \partial_{x^i} \langle \partial_{x^i} Q, WQ \rangle - k \sum_i \langle \partial_{x^i} Q, [\partial_{x_i}, W]\, Q \rangle \tag{3}$$

Proof. Observe that:

$$(2) \iff W\left(|YQ|^2 - Y\langle YQ, Q\rangle - |\nabla_x Q|^2 + \sum_i \partial_{x^i}\langle Q, \partial_{x^i} Q\rangle\right)$$

$$= k\left(-Y\langle YQ, WQ\rangle + \langle YQ, [Y, W]Q\rangle + W\left(\frac{|YQ|^2}{2}\right) + \sum_i \partial_{x^i}\langle \partial_{x^i} Q, WQ\rangle\right.$$

$$\left. - \sum_i \langle \partial_{x^i} Q, [\partial_{x_i}, W]\, Q\rangle - W\left(\frac{|\nabla_x Q|^2}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$\iff W\left(\left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)|YQ|^2 - YY\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)|\nabla_x Q|^2 + \Delta_x\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right)\right)$$

$$= -kY(\theta \cdot W) + k\theta \cdot [Y, W] + k\left(\sum_i \partial_{x^i}\langle \partial_{x^i} Q, WQ\rangle - \langle \partial_{x^i} Q, [\partial_{x_i}, W]\, Q\rangle\right)$$

We are done as $Y(\theta \cdot W) = \mathcal{L}_Y \theta \cdot W + \theta \cdot [Y, W]$. $\square$

Remark 10. To see how this lemma is used, let us assume there is an embedding $y \mapsto (Q(y, \cdot), P(y, \cdot))$ from (N, Y) into $\mathrm{HomNLW_k}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$ for some V and some m (with $P = YQ$, $YP = \Delta_x Q - \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(Q)$). Then define $\widetilde{\theta} \in \Omega^1\left(N \times \mathbb{T}^d\right)$ and $\theta \in \Omega^1(N)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\theta} \cdot W &= \langle YQ, WQ \rangle \ \forall W \in \mathfrak{X}\left(N \times \mathbb{T}^d\right), \\
\theta \cdot Z &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \langle YQ, ZQ \rangle \ \mathrm{d}x \ \forall Z \in \mathfrak{X}(N)
\end{aligned}$$

We note that we have implicity extended Z to $(Z, 0) \in \mathfrak{X}\left(N \times \mathbb{T}^d\right)$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta \cdot Z &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \widetilde{\theta} \cdot Z \, \mathrm{d}x \ \forall Z \in \mathfrak{X}(N), \\
\mathcal{L}_Y \theta \cdot Z &= Y(\theta \cdot Z) - \theta \cdot [Y, Z] \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} Y\left(\widetilde{\theta} \cdot Z\right) - \widetilde{\theta} \cdot [Y, Z] \ \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathcal{L}_Y \widetilde{\theta} \cdot Z \, \mathrm{d}x
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_Y \theta \cdot Z &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} Y \langle YQ, ZQ \rangle - \langle YQ, [Y, Z] Q \rangle \ \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \langle YYQ, ZQ \rangle + Z\left(\frac{1}{2} |YQ|^2\right) \ \mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \langle \Delta_x Q - (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V) \circ Q, ZQ \rangle + Z\left(\frac{1}{2} |YQ|^2\right) \ \mathrm{d}x \\
&= Z \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left(-\frac{1}{2} |\nabla_x Q|^2 - V \circ Q + \frac{1}{2} |YQ|^2\right) \ \mathrm{d}x
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $[\partial_{x^i}, Z] = 0$ as $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$. Then there is L smooth on N such that $\mathcal{L}_Y \theta = dL$.

From (1), for any $W \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& W \langle (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V) \circ Q, Q \rangle = W(kV \circ Q) \\
\iff & W \langle (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V) \circ Q, Q \rangle = k \langle (\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V) \circ Q, WQ \rangle \\
\iff & W \langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, Q \rangle = k \langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, WQ \rangle \\
\iff & k \mathcal{L}_Y \widetilde{\theta} \cdot W + W\left(\left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)\left(\widetilde{\theta} \cdot Y\right) - YY\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right) |\nabla_x Q|^2 + \Delta_x \left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= k \sum_i \partial_{x^i} \langle \partial_{x^i} Q, WQ \rangle - k \sum_i \langle \partial_{x^i} Q, [\partial_{x_i}, W]\, Q \rangle \tag{5}$$

where we have used Lemma 9 to pass to the last equality.

Let N be locally parametrized by functions (y^j). Letting $W = \partial_{y^j}$ locally (therefore a smooth vector field on an open set of N), by (4), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathcal{L}_Y \widetilde{\theta} \cdot W \, \mathrm{d}x = \mathcal{L}_Y \theta \cdot W = WL$$

Then, with $W = \partial_{y^j}$, by integrating in x, (5) implies

$$kL + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)(\theta \cdot Y) - YY \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{|Q|^2}{2} \, \mathrm{d}x \right) - \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right) \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} |\nabla_x Q|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \right) = \text{const on } N \tag{6}$$

This is the main obstruction to our embedding.

It turns out that when $k \notin \{0, 2\}$, it can be trivially satisfied, and any compact nonsingular flow that can be embedded into NLW can also be embedded into HomNLW_k.

Theorem 11 (Homogeneous NLW embedding)**.** *Let $k \notin \{0, 2\}$, $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Let (N, Y) be a compact nonsingular flow with a strongly adapted 1-form θ. Then there is an embedding from (N, Y) into $\mathrm{HomNLW_k}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$ for some V and some m.*

Proof. As in [Tao17], since $\theta \cdot Y > 0$, we can construct a Riemannian metric g on N such that $g \cdot Y = \theta$. Let L be smooth on N such that $dL = \mathcal{L}_Y(\theta)$.

Any smooth function f on N can be extended to a smooth function $f(y, x) = f(y)$ on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$. Any vector field $Z \in \mathfrak{X}N$ can be extended to $(Z, 0) \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$. Similarly for 1-forms. So under these extensions, we can say $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$, $\theta \in \Omega^1(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ and $dL = \mathcal{L}_Y(\theta)$. Let N be locally parametrized by functions (y^j), and $\mathbb{T}^d$ globally parametrized by (x^i). Since Y is nonvanishing, by straightening, WLOG assume $[Y, \partial_{y^j}] = 0 \; \forall j$.

We wish to extend g to a Riemannian metric on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$ and satisfy (3) later.

As the first step, we let R be any smooth function on N such that $R > 0$ (then extend to $R(y, x) = R(y)$). Because $k \neq 2$, we can define G on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$ such that

$$kL + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)(\theta \cdot Y) - YY\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right) G + \Delta_x \left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) = \text{const} \tag{7}$$

where the constant is chosen so that $G > 0$. Then G is constant in x and we

define $g(\partial_{y^j}, \partial_{x^i}) = 0$, $g(\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^j}) = \delta_{ij}\frac{G}{d}$. So

$$\forall W \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d) : g(\partial_{x^i}, W) = dx^i(W)\frac{G}{d}.$$

We observe that $G = \sum_{i=1}^d g(\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^i})$ and $g(\partial_{x^i}, \partial_{x^i}) > 0 \; \forall i = \overline{1,d}$. Then g is now a Riemannian metric on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$ and we still have $g \cdot Y = \theta$. We can also check that, if W is ∂_{y^j} or ∂_{x^j}:

$$\begin{aligned} & W\left(kL + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)(\theta \cdot Y) - YY\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)G + \Delta_x\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right)\right) \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^d k\partial_{x^i} g\left(\partial_{x^i}, W\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Our goal is to have $Q(y,x) = R(y,x)S(y,x)$ where R^2 is sufficiently large and $S : N \times \mathbb{T}^d \to S^{m-1}$ is a map given by some Nash embedding.

To this end, we introduce another Riemannian metric on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$: let $h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{g_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R)}{R^2}$. Then h is positive definite iff $\left(g_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R)\right)_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$. We now observe that $g_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R) = g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{(\partial_\alpha R^2)(\partial_\beta R^2)}{4R^2}$. As we can add a positive constant to R^2 and $g > 0$, WLOG h is a Riemannian metric on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$.

Then by Nash embedding, and the fact that any compact regular submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^l$ (with the usual Euclidean metric) can be embedded into cS^{2l} for some $c > 0$, we can rescale g, h and θ to get an isometric embedding $S : (N \times \mathbb{T}^d, h) \to S^{m-1}$ for some m.

Then $\langle \partial_\alpha S, \partial_\beta S\rangle = h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{g_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R)}{R^2}$.

Let $Q = RS$. Then $\langle \partial_\alpha Q, \partial_\beta Q\rangle = (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R) + R^2\langle \partial_\alpha S, \partial_\beta S\rangle = g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $Q : (N \times \mathbb{T}^d, g) \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is also an isometric embedding. This means for any $W \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ we have $\theta(W) = g(Y, W) = \langle YQ, WQ\rangle$.

Let W be ∂_{y^j} or ∂_{x^j} for some j, then W, Y, ∂_{x^i} commute. By (8) and Lemma 9 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & W\left(kL + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)(\theta \cdot Y) - YY\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)|\nabla_x Q|^2 + \Delta_x\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right)\right) \\ & = k\sum_i \partial_{x^i}\langle \partial_{x^i} Q, WQ\rangle \\ \iff & W\langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, Q\rangle = k\langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, WQ\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

By $C^\infty(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$-linearity, we conclude that (9) holds true for any $W \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$.

Now we construct the potential. We define v on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$ such that

$$kv = \langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, Q\rangle \tag{10}$$

Note that this is where we need $k \neq 0$.

Let $V_0 = v \circ Q^{-1}$ be the restricted potential on $\mathrm{Im}Q$. Then (9) gives

$$k \langle -YYQ + \Delta_x Q, WQ \rangle = Wkv = W(kV_0 \circ Q) = k \langle \nabla_{\mathrm{Im}Q} V_0(Q), WQ \rangle \quad (11)$$

Let us define $A = -YYQ + \Delta_x Q$. As W is arbitrary in (11), we conclude $\mathrm{proj}_{T(\mathrm{Im}Q)} A = \nabla_{\mathrm{Im}Q} V_0(Q)$, while (10) implies $\langle A, Q \rangle = kV_0(Q)$. To finally recover the Euler condition, we hope to extend V_0 to V such that $\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(Q) = A$. We first work on the unit sphere.

Homogeneity suggests we define V_1 on $\mathrm{Im}S$ as $V_1(S) = \frac{1}{R^k} V_0(RS)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(V_1 \circ S) &= W\left(\frac{1}{R^k} V_0 \circ Q\right) = \frac{-k}{R^{k+1}}(WR)(V_0 \circ Q) + \frac{1}{R^k} W(V_0 \circ Q) \\ &= \frac{1}{R^{k-1}} \left(\frac{-k}{R^2}(WR)(V_0 \circ Q) + \frac{1}{R} \langle A, WQ \rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{R^{k-1}} \left(\frac{-(WR)}{R^2} \langle A, RS \rangle + \frac{1}{R} \langle A, (WR)S \rangle + \frac{1}{R} \langle A, R(WS) \rangle \right) \\ &= \left\langle \frac{A}{R^{k-1}}, WS \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

This means $\langle \nabla_{\mathrm{Im}S} V_1(S), WS \rangle = \left\langle \frac{A}{R^{k-1}}, WS \right\rangle$. Write $B = \frac{A}{R^{k-1}}$. Then $\mathrm{proj}_{T(\mathrm{Im}S)} B = \nabla_{\mathrm{Im}S} V_1(S)$ and $\langle B, S \rangle = \left\langle \frac{A}{R^{k-1}}, \frac{Q}{R} \right\rangle = \frac{k}{R^k} V_0(Q) = kV_1(S)$.

We locally parametrize $\mathrm{Im}S$, S^{m-1} and $\mathbb{R}^m$ in a neighborhood U by $(a^i), (a^i, b^j)$ and (a^i, b^j, c) respectively since $\mathrm{Im}S \hookrightarrow S^{m-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $a = (a^i), b = (b^j)$. WLOG assume $\{b = 0, c = 1\} = U \cap \mathrm{Im}S$, $\{c = 1\} = U \cap S^{m-1}$. Then in local coordinates:

$$B(a) = \partial_a V_1(a) \partial_a + F(a) \partial_b + kV_1(a) \partial_c$$

where $F \in C^\infty(\mathrm{Im}S \cap U)$. Then define V_1 on $S^{m-1} \cap U$:

$$V_1(a, b) = V_1(a) + \langle F(a), b \rangle$$

Then $\partial_a V_1(a, 0) = \partial_a V_1(a)$ and $\partial_b V_1(a, 0) = F(a)$ so $\mathrm{proj}_{T(S^{m-1})} B = \nabla_{S^{m-1}} V_1(S)$ on $S^{-1}(U)$. From this local result, by partition of unity, we can extend V_1 to all of S^{m-1} to have V_1 smooth on S^{m-1} and $\mathrm{proj}_{T(S^{m-1})} B = \nabla_{S^{m-1}} V_1(S)$.

Then by homogeneity we get V on $\mathbb{R}^m$ (except possibly a small neighborhood near the origin). Because $\langle B, S \rangle = kV(S) = \langle \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V, S \rangle$ and $\mathrm{proj}_{T(S^{m-1})} B = \nabla_{S^{m-1}} V_1(S) = \mathrm{proj}_{T(S^{m-1})} \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(S)$, we conclude

$$B = \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(S)$$

Homogeneity implies that

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(Q) = \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(RS) = R^{k-1} \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(S) = R^{k-1} B = A$$

and we are done. □

Remark. This roughly means that, from the viewpoint of complexity, bounded orbits of NLW and HomNLW_k ($k \notin \{0, 2\}$) look alike.

From the proof, we in fact have a stronger conclusion: given any function R smooth on $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$ such that $\partial_x R = 0$ and $R > 0$, there is V on $\mathbb{R}^m$ homogeneous of order k and an embedding $\hat{\phi} : N \times \mathbb{T}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m,\ (y, x) \mapsto (Q(y, x), P(y, x))$ such that

$$|Q|^2 - R^2 = \text{constant}, YQ = P,\ YP = \Delta_x Q - \nabla_{\mathbb{R}^m} V(Q)$$

Remark 12. When $k = 2$, (6) implies $2L - YY\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right)$ is constant on N. Since it is understood that $|Q| > 0$, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 13. *Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and (N, Y) be a compact nonsingular flow. There is an embedding from (N, Y) into $\mathrm{HomNLW}_2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$ for some m and V iff there is a function $R > 0$ smooth on N and a strongly adapted 1-form θ such that*

$$d\left(YY\left(R^2\right)\right) - 4\mathcal{L}_Y \theta = 0 \tag{12}$$

Proof. Necessity is obvious from (6).

To prove sufficiency, we trivially extend R to $N \times \mathbb{T}^d$ by $R(y, x) := R(y)$, and set $G = 1$. Then we recover equation (7). □

Remark 14. (12) would be satisfied if we could find a Y-invariant strongly adapted 1-form $\tilde{\theta}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{L}_Y \tilde{\theta} = 0$). Certainly, if Y is strongly geodesible or isometric (Killing vector field), we can let $\tilde{\theta}$ be $Y^\flat$. The natural question to ask is whether (12) is superfluous, once given the existence of strongly adapted 1-forms. The answer is no, due to the following example.

Example 15. Let $N = \mathbb{T}^2$ and $Y = f(y)\partial_x$ where $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T})$. For instance: $f(y) = \sin(2\pi y) + 2$. Then f never vanishes and $\mathrm{sgn} f$ does not change. Because we can replace Y by $-Y$ and θ by $-\theta$, WLOG we assume $f > 0$.

Then we note that $\mathcal{L}_Y(dx) = d(\iota_Y(dx)) = df = \partial_y f\ dy$ and $\mathcal{L}_Y(dy) = d(\iota_Y(dy)) = 0$.

Let $\theta = \theta_1 dx + \theta_2 dy$. Then $\mathcal{L}_Y \theta = f\partial_x \theta_1 dx + (\theta_1 \partial_y f + f\partial_x \theta_2)\, dy$. We note that a 1-form is exact on $\mathbb{T}^2$ iff it is closed and vanishes under integration in the x-direction and y-direction (the generators of the fundamental group).

So θ is strongly adapted if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \partial_y \left(f \partial_x \theta_1\right) - \partial_x \left(\theta_1 \partial_y f + f \partial_x \theta_2\right) = 0 \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(y) \partial_x \theta_1(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0 & \text{(always true)} \\ \int_{\mathbb{T}} \theta_1(x,y) \partial_y f(y) + f(y) \partial_x \theta_2(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}y = 0 \\ f\theta_1 > 0 \end{cases}$$

The last condition just means $\theta_1 > 0$.

An easy pick is $\theta_1 = 1$, $\theta_2 = \text{const}$. Or $\theta_1(x,y) = f(y)$, $\theta_2 = \text{const}$. Or $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{f}$, $\theta_2 = \text{const}$. So strongly adapted 1-forms exist here and there are many kinds of them.

We ask whether there is a strongly adapted θ such that $\mathcal{L}_Y \theta = d(YYr)$ for some $r \in C^\infty(N)$. Since

$$d(YYr) = d\left(f^2 \partial_{xx} r\right) = f^2 \partial_{xxx} r dx + \left(2f \partial_y f \partial_{xx} r + f^2 \partial_{xxy} r\right) dy$$

this would mean

$$\begin{cases} f \partial_{xxx} r - \partial_x \theta_1 = 0 \\ 2f \partial_y f \partial_{xx} r + f^2 \partial_{xxy} r - \theta_1 \partial_y f - f \partial_x \theta_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

But by integrating the second equation in x, we get $\partial_y f(y) \int_{\mathbb{T}} \theta_1(x,y) dx = 0 \ \forall y$. If there is y_0 such that $f'(y_0) \neq 0$, such as when $f(y) = \sin(2\pi y) + 2$, then $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \theta_1(x, y_0) dx = 0$. But $\theta_1 > 0$ so this is a contradiction. Therefore the condition in Theorem 13, as well as the existence of a Y-invariant strongly adapted 1-form, is not superfluous once given the existence of strongly adapted 1-forms.

Remark 16. Effectively, it means there are flows that can embed into $\mathrm{NLW}(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$ but not $\mathrm{HomNLW}_2(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{R}^m, V)$, which suggests the case $k = 2$ is much more different than the other cases.

Example 15 also provides a simple proof of the following fact:

Fact 17. *The class of nonsingular flows with strongly adapted 1-forms is strictly bigger than the class of strongly geodesible flows, which itself includes the universal Turing machine.*

If we restrict our attention to just volume-preserving vector fields, [CV17] has also shown a similar result via a counterexample with stationary Euler flows.

4 Embedding into HomWell

The case of embedding (N, Y) into $\mathrm{HomWell}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, V)$ can be thought of as a special case where $Q : N \to H_d^m$ maps points on N to constant functions, or when $d = 0$. As $|\nabla_x Q|$ becomes zero, applying the exterior derivative to (6) gives us the following theorem.

Theorem 18. *Given $k \neq 0$, a compact nonsingular flow (N, Y) can be embedded into $\mathrm{HomWell}_k(\mathbb{R}^m, V)$ for some m and V iff there is a strongly adapted 1-form θ and a function $R > 0$ smooth on N such that*

$$k\mathcal{L}_Y\theta + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right) d(\theta \cdot Y) - d\left(YY\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right)\right) = 0$$

Proof. Necessity is obvious from (6).

To prove sufficiency, we redo the proof of Theorem 11 without mentioning x or G. There is a Riemannian metric g on N such that $g \cdot Y = \theta$. Let L be smooth on N such that $dL = \mathcal{L}_Y(\theta)$. Then we have an analogue of (7):

$$kL + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)(\theta \cdot Y) - YY\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) = \text{const} \tag{13}$$

Define another Riemannian metric h on N by $h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{g_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R)}{R^2}$. (WLOG, by adding a constant to R^2,$(g_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R))_{\alpha,\beta} > 0$)

Then by Nash embedding, and rescaling g, h and θ, we obtain an isometric embedding $S : (N, h) \to S^{m-1}$ for some m. Then $\langle \partial_\alpha S, \partial_\beta S\rangle = h_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{g_{\alpha\beta} - (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R)}{R^2}$.

Let $Q = RS$. Then $\langle \partial_\alpha Q, \partial_\beta Q\rangle = (\partial_\alpha R)(\partial_\beta R) + R^2 \langle \partial_\alpha S, \partial_\beta S\rangle = g_{\alpha\beta}$ and $Q : (N, g) \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is also an isometric embedding. This means for any $W \in \mathfrak{X}(N \times \mathbb{T}^d)$ we have $\theta(W) = g(Y, W) = \langle YQ, WQ\rangle$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & W\left(kL + \left(1 - \frac{k}{2}\right)(\theta \cdot Y) - YY\left(\frac{|Q|^2}{2}\right)\right) = 0 \\ \iff & W\langle -YYQ, Q\rangle = k\langle -YYQ, WQ\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The rest is the same as in Theorem 11. □

Remark 19. When $k = 2$, we recover (12), and by Example 15, this condition is not superfluous.

When $k \notin \{0, 2\}$, it is possible to set $r = 1$, $\theta_1 = f^{\frac{k+2}{k-2}}, \theta_2 = \text{const}$, which then gives $2k\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) = (k-2)d(\theta \cdot Y)$. So the example doesn't help, and we do not know whether this condition is superfluous. It is not known whether this is a distinct class of flows from the one described in Theorem 13 (see Question 2).

Remark 20. The case $k = 0$ is a bit special. (6) forces $0 = -YY\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right) + \theta \cdot Y$. So $Y\left(\frac{R^2}{2}\right)$ is strictly increasing along the flow of Y, and the rate of increase has a minimum positive value (as $\theta \cdot Y > 0$), so it will blow up, which cannot happen on a compact space. Therefore we have the following theorem:

Theorem 21. *A compact nonsingular flow (N, Y) can never be embedded into* $\mathrm{HomWell}_0(\mathbb{R}^m, V)$ *for any m or V.*

Corollary 22 (Spherical embedding)**.** *Let $k \neq 0$ and (N, Y) be a compact nonsingular flow. There is a spherical embedding from (N, Y) into* $\mathrm{HomWell}_k(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$ *if and only if (N, Y) has a strongly adapted 1-form θ where*

$$2k\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) = (k-2)d(\theta \cdot Y)$$

Remark 23. We also see that the condition $\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) = \frac{k-2}{2k} d(\theta \cdot Y)$ implies

$$Y(\theta \cdot Y) = \mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) \cdot Y = \frac{k-2}{2k} Y(\theta \cdot Y)$$

If $k \neq -2$, this means that the kinetic energy $\theta \cdot Y$ and the Lagrangian L are constant along the flow of Y.

Corollary 24. *If (N, Y) has a strongly adapted 1-form θ and $\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) = 0$, we can spherically embed (N, Y) into* $\mathrm{HomWell}_2(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$ *for some n and V.*

Again, by Example 15, there are flows which can be embedded into $\mathrm{Well}(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$, but not $\mathrm{HomWell}_2(\mathbb{R}^n, V)$.

Example 25. Let (N, Y) be a compact non-singular smooth flow.

- When $N = (S^1)^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $Y(a) = ia$, we can obviously embed (N, Y) into $\mathrm{HomWell}_2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, V)$ where $V(x) = \frac{|x|^2}{2}$ (actually smooth at the origin). With the induced Euclidean metric on N, $\nabla_Y Y = 0$. Let $\theta = Y^b$. Then $\forall Z \in \mathfrak{X}N$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) \cdot Z &= Y(\theta \cdot Z) - \theta \cdot [Y, Z] = Y\langle Y, Z\rangle - \langle Y, [Y, Z]\rangle \\ &= \langle Y, \nabla_Y Z\rangle - \langle Y, \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y\rangle \\ &= \langle Y, \nabla_Z Y\rangle = \frac{1}{2} Z(|Y|^2) = 0\end{aligned}$$

  so $\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) = 0$, $Q(a) = a, P = YQ = iQ, YP = iP = -Q = -\nabla_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} V(Q)$. We note that (N, Y) is both *isometric* and *strongly geodesible* (see below).

- When (N, Y) is isometric / Killing, i.e. there is a Riemannian metric g such that $\mathcal{L}_Y g = 0$: By letting $\theta = g \cdot Y$, we have $\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_Y(g) \cdot Y + g \cdot \mathcal{L}_Y(Y) = 0$ and Corollary 24 applies.

- When (N, Y) is strongly geodesible, i.e. there is a Riemannian metric g such that $\nabla_Y Y = 0$ and $|Y|_g = 1$: let $\theta = g \cdot Y$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) \cdot Z &= Y g(Y, Z) - g(Y, [Y, Z]) = g(Y, \nabla_Y Z) - g(Y, \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Z Y) \\ &= g(Y, \nabla_Z Y) = \frac{1}{2} Z(|Y|_g^2) = 0\end{aligned}$$

  So Corollary 24 applies.

- When $N = M \times [0,1] / ((y,1) \sim (\phi(y), 0)) = \{[(y,t)] : y \in M, t \in [0,1]\}$ where $\phi : M \to M$ is a diffeomorphism on a compact smooth manifold M, and $Y = (X, \partial_t)$ where $X \in \mathfrak{X}M$ such that $X = X \circ \phi$: Let $\theta = (0, dt)$, then $\mathcal{L}_Y(\theta) = 0$. This is the relevant case for universal Turing machines, as shown in [Tao17].

References

[Car+19] Robert Cardona et al. *Universality of Euler Flows and Flexibility of Reeb Embeddings*. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1911.01963. Nov. 27, 2019.

[CV17] K. Cieliebak and E. Volkov. "A Note on the Stationary Euler Equations of Hydrodynamics". *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.6484. Apr. 2017.

[EG97] J. Etnyre and R. Ghrist. *Contact Topology and Hydrodynamics*. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/dg-ga/9708011. Aug. 22, 1997.

[Glu80] Herman Gluck. "Dynamical Behavior of Geodesic Fields". 1980.

[PRdL20] Daniel Peralta-Salas, Ana Rechtman, and Francisco Torres de Lizaur. *A Characterization of 3D Steady Euler Flows Using Commuting Zero-Flux Homologies*. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.00960. Feb. 10, 2020.

[Tao16] Terence Tao. "Finite Time Blowup for an Averaged Three-Dimensional Navier-Stokes Equation". *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*. URL: https://www.ams.org/jams/2016-29-03/S0894-0347-2015-00838-4/. 2016.

[Tao17] Terence Tao. "On the Universality of Potential Well Dynamics". *Dynamics of Partial Differential Equations*. URL: https://www.intlpress.com/site/pub/pages/journals/items/dpde/content/vols/0014/0003/a001/abstract.php. Sept. 2017.

[Tao18] Terence Tao. "On the Universality of the Incompressible Euler Equation on Compact Manifolds". *Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems - A*. URL: https://www.aimsciences.org/article/doi/10.3934/dcds.2018064. 2018.

[Tao19] Terence Tao. *On the Universality of the Incompressible Euler Equation on Compact Manifolds, II. Non-Rigidity of Euler Flows*. URL: http://arxiv.org/abs/1902.06313. Mar. 31, 2019.

[Ton97] Philippe Tondeur. *Geometry of Foliations*. URL: https://www.springer.com/gp/book/9783764357412. 1997.