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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study $C^{1,1}$ regularity for solutions to the degenerate $L_{p}$ Dual Minkowski problem. Our proof is motivated by the idea of Guan and Li's work on $C^{1,1}$ estimates for solutions to the Aleksandrov problem.


## 1. Introduction

The classic Minkowski problem is one of the cornerstones in the BrunnMinkowski theory of convex bodies. Its solution has many applications in various fields of geometry and analysis, see [39] for an overview. An important counterpart of the classic Minkowski problem is the famous Aleksandrov problem characterzing the integral Gauss curvature, which is introduced and completely solved by Aleksandrov [1].

Oliker 37 has shown that there is a PDE associated with the Aleksandrov problem:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h}{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \operatorname{det}\left(\nabla^{2} h+h I\right)=f \text { on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is a given positive function defined on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, h$ is an unknown function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Here $\nabla$ is the covariant derivative with respect to an orthonormal frame on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, I$ is the unit matrix of order $n-1$. When $f$ is a smooth positive function, the solution to the Aleksandrov problem (11) is smooth, see [38 and 37. When $f$ is a smooth, but only nonnegative, Guan and Li [12] have shown solutions to the Aleksandrov problem (1) are at least $C^{1,1}$ in dimension $n=3,4$. For higher dimensions, they have obtain the same conclusion under some further hypothesis on $f$.

Recently, the $L_{p}$ dual Minkowski problem was introduced in [36]. For a given positive function $f$ defined on the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the $L_{p}$ dual Minkowski problem is concerned with the solvability of the Monge-Ampère

[^0]type equation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h^{1-p}}{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-q}{2}}} \operatorname{det}\left(\nabla^{2} h+h I\right)=f \text { on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

for some support function $h$ of a hypersurface $M$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ enclosing the origin. The $L_{p}$ dual Minkowski problem unifies the Aleksandrov problem ( $p=0, q=0$ ), the dual Minkowski problem $(p=0)$ and the $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem $(q=n)$. The dual Minkowski problem was first proposed by Huang, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang in their recent groundbreaking work [18] and then followed by [4, 15, 17, 29, 46, 47. The $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem was introduced by Lutwak [34] in 1993 and has been extensively studied since then; see e.g. [5, 10, 48] for the logarithmic Minkowski problem $(p=0)$, [22, 23, 31, 32, 33, 49] for the centroaffine Minkowski problem ( $p=-n$ ), and [9, 21, 35, 40] for other cases of the $L_{p}$-Minkowski problem. For the general $L_{p}$ dual Minkowski problem, much progress has already been made in 3, 7, 8, 19, 20, 28.

When $f$ is a smooth positive function, the solution to the $L_{p}$ dual Minkowski problem (2) is smooth provided either $p>q$ or $p q \geq 0$ and $f$ is even (see [20] and [7). It is natural to ask that when $f$ is smooth, but only nonnegative, are the solutions to the $L_{p}$ dual Minkowski problem (2) smooth? In this case, we encounter with certain degenerate Monge-Ampère type equation. Regularity of solutions to degenerate Monge-Ampère type equations has been investigated in [2, 24, 25, 26, 6, 11, 13, 16, 41, 42, 43, 27, 30] and the references therein. The global $C^{1,1}$ regularity of degenerate Monge-Ampère type equations has been obtained in [13] and one cannot expect regularity higher than $C^{1,1}$ in general [45].

In this paper, we study $C^{1,1}$ regularity for solutions to the $L_{p}$ Dual Minkowski problem (2) when $f$ is smooth enough, but only nonnegative. For low dimensions $n=3,4$, we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 1. Suppose $p>q>0$ and $n=3$ or $n=4$. Let $f$ be a smooth, nonnegative, nonzero and even function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then, there exists a generalized solution $h \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ satisfying the equation (2) .

For higher dimensions, we need some additional hypothesis. To statement our result we recall the following Condition 1 which was introduced by GuanLi in [12].

Condition 1. $f \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ is nonnegative and there exist a constant $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla\left(f^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\right)\right| \leq A \quad \text { on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(f^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\right) \geq-A \quad \text { on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clearly for nonnegative function $f \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$, the condition (3) is equivalent to

$$
|\nabla f(x)| \leq(n-2) A f^{1-\frac{1}{n-2}}(x),
$$

and the condition (4) is equivalent to

$$
f(x) \Delta f(x)-\frac{n-2}{n-3}|\nabla f(x)|^{2} \geq-(n-2) A f^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}}(x) .
$$

We also introduce the following Condition 2,
Condition 2. $f \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ is nonnegative, $q<2$ and there exist some constants $A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \Delta f-\frac{3-q}{2-q}|\nabla f|^{2} \geq-A f^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}} \quad \text { on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $q=0$, Condition 2 was introduced by Guan-Li in [12]. Clearly, if $f$ satisfies Condition 2, then $f$ satisfies the condition (4).

Using the idea in [12], we can prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2. Suppose $p>q>0, f$ is a nonnegative, nonzero and even function on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Then, there exists a generalized solution $h \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ satisfying the equation (21), provided either $f \in C^{2, \alpha}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)(0<\alpha<1)$ satisfies Condition 1 or Condition 圆

Remark 1. In [12, Guan-Li showed that for $n=3$, all nonnegative function $f \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)$ satisfied Condition 1 and all nonnegative function $f \in C^{3,1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{3}\right)$ satisfied Condition $\rrbracket$ for $n=4$. Thus, Theorem is just a direct corollary of Theorem 2.

Remark 2. The condition that $f$ is nonzero in Theorem 2 is necessary, otherwise we can see that $h \equiv+\infty$ by Lemma [1. When $f$ is a smooth positive function, the existence of smooth solutions to the $L_{p}$ dual Minkowski problem (2) is attained in [20] provided $p>q$, thus it is a natural question to ask whether we can drop the assumptions that $q>0$ and $f$ is an even function in Theorem 2,

The organization of the paper is as follows. $C^{0}, C^{1}$ and $C^{2}$ estimates are given in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we prove Theorem 2 ,

## 2. A PRIORI estimates

In this section, we will establish $C^{0}, C^{1}$ and $C^{2}$ estimates for solutions to (2). The key is that those estimates must be independent of $\min _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$.
2.1. Basic properties of convex hypersurfaces. We first recall some basic properties of convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; see 44 for details. Let $M$ be a smooth, closed, uniformly convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ enclosing the origin. The support function $h$ of $M$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x):=\max _{y \in M}\langle y, x\rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the standard inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
The convex hypersurface $M$ can be recovered by its support function $h$. In fact, writing the Gauss map of $M$ as $\nu_{M}$, we parametrize $M$ by $X: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow M$ which is given as

$$
X(x)=\nu_{M}^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}
$$

Note that $x$ is the unit outer normal vector of $M$ at $X(x)$. On the other hand, one can easily check that the maximum in the definition (6) is attained at $y=\nu_{M}^{-1}(x)$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\langle x, X(x)\rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nabla$ be the corresponding connection on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Differentiating the both sides of (77), we have

$$
\nabla_{i} h=\left\langle\nabla_{i} x, X(x)\right\rangle+\left\langle x, \nabla_{i} X(x)\right\rangle .
$$

Since $\nabla_{i} X(x)$ is tangent to $M$ at $X(x)$, there is

$$
\nabla_{i} h=\left\langle\nabla_{i} x, X(x)\right\rangle,
$$

which together with (7) implies that

$$
X(x)=\nabla h(x)+h(x) x, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}
$$

The radial function $\rho$ of the convex hypersurface $M$ is defined as

$$
\rho(u):=\max \{\lambda>0: \lambda u \in M\}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}
$$

Note that $\rho(u) u \in M$. If we connect $u$ and $x$ through the following equality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(u) u=X(x)=\nabla h(x)+h(x) x \text {. } \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (8), there is

$$
\rho^{2}=|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2},
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\nabla h| \leq \rho \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (77) and (8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h=\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. $C^{0}$ estimate and the gradient estimate. Now, we begin to prove $C^{0}$ estimate.

Lemma 1. Assume $p>q$ and $f$ is a nonnegative and continuous function. Let $h \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ be a solution to (2), then there exists a positive constant $C$ depending on $p, q$ and $\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$ such that

$$
\min _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \geq C
$$

Proof. Assume $h$ attains its minimum at $x_{0}$, using the equation (2), it is straightforward to see that

$$
h^{q-p}\left(x_{0}\right) \leq \max _{\mathbb{S}^{n}-1} f .
$$

Note that $p>q$, thus

$$
h\left(x_{0}\right) \geq \frac{1}{\left[\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f\right]^{p-q}} .
$$

So, we complete the proof.
Lemma 2. Assume $p>q>0$ and $f$ is a continuous, even and nonzero function. Let $h \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ be a solution to (2), then there exists a positive constant $C$ depending on $p, q, n$ and $\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$ such that

$$
\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \leq C .
$$

Thus,

$$
\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}|\nabla h| \leq C,
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant depending on $p, q, n$ and $\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$.
Proof. Write

$$
h_{\max }=\max _{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x)=h\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

for some $x_{0} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Note that $f$ is even, so is $h$. Thus, we have by the definition of support function that

$$
h(x) \geq h_{\text {max }}\left|\left\langle x, x_{0}\right\rangle\right|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{p}(x) f(x) d x \geq h_{\max }^{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\left|\left\langle x, x_{0}\right\rangle\right|^{p} f(x) d x . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is nonzero, $\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f>0$. Assume $f$ attains its maximum at $y$, there exist a ball $B(y, \delta) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} \max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f, \quad \forall x \in B(y, \delta) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (12) into (11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{p}(x) f(x) d x & \geq \frac{1}{2} h_{\max }^{p} \max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f \int_{B(y, \delta)}\left|\left\langle x, x_{0}\right\rangle\right|^{p} d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} h_{\max }^{p} \max _{\mathbb{S}^{n}-1} f \min _{z \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{B(y, \delta)}|\langle x, z\rangle|^{p} d x \\
& \geq C h_{\max }^{p} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the equation (2), it is straightforward to see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{p}(x) f(x) d x & =\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho^{q}(u) d u \\
& \leq\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right|\left(\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho\right)^{q} \\
& =\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right| h_{\max }^{q} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use (10) to get the last equality and $\left|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right|$ is the volume of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Thus, combining (13), (14) and the fact that $p>q$, we obtain

$$
h_{\max } \leq C
$$

Thus, we get the upper bound of $h$. The gradient estimate follows from the upper bound of $h$, (9) and (10) consequently.
2.3. $C^{2}$ estimate. First, we give some notations. For a $(0,2)$ tensor field $b=\left\{b_{i j}\right\}$ on $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, the coordinate expression of its covariant derivative $\nabla b$ and the second covariant derivative $\nabla^{2} b$ are denoted by

$$
\nabla b=\left(b_{i j ; k}\right), \quad \nabla^{2} b=\left(b_{i j ; k l}\right)
$$

However, the coordinate expression of the covariant differentiation will be denoted by indices without semicolons, e.g.

$$
h_{i}, \quad h_{i j} \text { or } h_{i j k}
$$

for a function $h: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To prove the $C^{2}$ estimate, we first recall a simple algebraic inequality.

Lemma 3. For any $n-1$ real number $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ satisfying

$$
\min \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\} \leq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \max \left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right\} \geq 0,
$$

then we have the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}\right)^{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$
a_{1} \leq a_{2} \leq \cdots \leq a_{s} \leq 0 \leq a_{s+1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{n-1}, \quad 2 \leq s \leq n-2,
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{1}+a_{2}+\cdots+a_{s}\right| \geq a_{s+1}+\cdots+a_{n-1} .
$$

Thus, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}^{2} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}^{2} \geq \frac{1}{s}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}\right)^{2} \geq \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{i}\right)^{2} .
$$

So, we complete the proof.

Lemma 4. Let $h \in C^{4}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ be a solution to (21). Then there exists a positive constant $C$ depending on $n, \max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h, \min _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h, \max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}|\nabla h|, A$ and $\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$ such that

$$
|h|_{C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)} \leq C
$$

provided $f \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ satisfies either Condition 1 or Condition 2. 2 .
Proof. Set $b_{i j}=h_{i j}+h \delta_{i j}$ and $b=\left\{b_{i j}\right\}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\operatorname{tr} b=(n-1) h(x)+\Delta h, \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is clearly nonnegative since the matrix $b=\nabla^{2} h+h I$ is nonnegative definite. Then, there exists a point $x_{0} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$
H\left(x_{0}\right)=\max _{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} H(x)
$$

If $H\left(x_{0}\right) \leq 1$, then our result holds. So, we assume $H\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 1$. By choosing a suitable orthonormal frame, we may assume $\left\{h_{i j}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is diagonal. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\nabla_{i} H\left(x_{0}\right)=\sum_{k} b_{k k ; i} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i} \nabla_{i} H\left(x_{0}\right)=\sum_{k} b_{k k ; i i} \leq 0 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{b_{i j}\right\}$ is nonnegative definite, its inverse matrix $\left\{b^{i j}\right\}$ is also nonnegative definite. Thus, we have at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \geq b^{i i} H_{i i} \\
& =b^{i i} \sum_{k} b_{k k ; i i} \\
& =b^{i i}\left(\sum_{k} b_{i i ; k k}-(n-1) b_{i i}+\sum_{k} b_{k k}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k} b^{i i} b_{i i ; k k}-(n-1)^{2}+\sum_{i} b^{i i} H, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the Ricci identity

$$
b_{i i ; k k}=b_{k k ; i i}-b_{k k}+b_{i i} .
$$

We rewrite the equation (2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\left(\nabla^{2} h+h I\right)=\varphi^{\frac{1}{n-2}}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varphi=\frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-q}{2}}}{h_{7}^{1-p}} f .
$$

Differentiating (20) twice, we can obtain at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k} b^{i j} b_{i j ; k k}= & b^{i k} b^{l j} \sum_{p} b_{i j ; p} b_{k l ; p}-\frac{1}{n-2} b^{i j} b^{k l} \sum_{p} b_{i j ; p} b_{k l ; p} \\
& +\varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right] \\
= & b^{i i} b^{j j} \sum_{p}\left(b_{i j ; p}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{n-2} b^{i j} b^{k l} \sum_{p} b_{i j ; p} b_{k l ; p} \\
& +\varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right] \\
\geq & \sum_{i} \sum_{p}\left(b^{i i} b_{i i ; p}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{p}\left(\sum_{i} b^{i i} b_{i i ; p}\right)^{2} \\
& +\varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right] \\
\geq & \varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right] \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use the inequality (15) to get the last inequality by noticing that $\sum_{i} b_{i i ; k}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$. Substituting (21) into (19), we arrive at $x_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq \varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right]-(n-1)^{2}+H \sum_{i} b^{i i} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $\psi=\frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-q}{2}}}{h^{1-p}}$, thus $\varphi=\psi f$. It follows consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right] \\
= & f^{-2}\left[f \Delta f-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla f|^{2}\right]+\frac{2}{n-2} f^{-1} \psi^{-1} \nabla f \nabla \psi \\
& +\psi^{-2}\left[\psi \Delta \psi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Differentiating $\psi$ twice, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{i} \psi= & (n-q) \frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-q-2}{2}}}{h^{1-p}} \sum_{k} h_{k i} h_{k} \\
& +(n-q) \frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-q-2}{2}}}{h^{1-p}} h h_{i}+(p-1) \frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-q}{2}}}{h^{2-p}} h_{i} \\
4)= & (n-q) \frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{\frac{n-q-2}{2}}}{h^{1-p}} h_{i i} h_{i}+C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right),  \tag{24}\\
& |\nabla \psi|^{2}=(n-q)^{2} \frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{n-q-2}}{h^{2(1-p)}} \sum_{i} h_{i i}^{2} h_{i}^{2} \\
5) & +C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) * b, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi \Delta \psi= & (n-q) \frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{n-q-2}}{h^{2(1-p)}}\left[\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right) h_{i i}^{2}+(n-q-2) \sum_{i} h_{i i}^{2} h_{i}^{2}\right] \\
& +C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) * b \\
(26) \geq & (n-q)(n-q-1) \frac{\left(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2}\right)^{n-q-2}}{h^{2(1-p)}} \sum_{i} h_{i i}^{2} h_{i}^{2}-C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right)$ denotes some quantity depending on $h, h^{-1}, \nabla h$ and may change from line to line. $C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) * b$ denotes some quantity linear in $b$ with coefficients depending on $h, h^{-1}, \nabla h$.

Combining (25) with (26), we can arrive

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi^{-2}\left[\psi \Delta \psi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2}\right] \geq & \psi^{-2} \frac{2-q}{(n-q)(n-2)}|\nabla \psi|^{2} \\
& -C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{n-2} f^{-1} \psi^{-1} \nabla f \nabla \psi \leq & \frac{n-q}{(n-2)(2-q)} f^{-2}|\nabla f|^{2} \\
& +\frac{2-q}{(n-q)(n-2)} \psi^{-2}|\nabla \psi|^{2} . \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (27) and (28) into (23), it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right] \\
\geq & f^{-2}\left[f \Delta f-\frac{3-q}{2-q}|\nabla f|^{2}\right]-C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting (29) into (22), we arrive at $x_{0}$ due to Condition 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \geq-A f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}-(n-1)^{2}+H \sum_{i} b^{i i}-C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we need to estimate $\sum_{i} b^{i i}$. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$
b_{11} \leq b_{22} \leq \cdots \leq b_{n-1}{ }_{n-1} .
$$

It follows that $b_{n-1}{ }_{n-1} \geq \frac{H}{n-1}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i} b^{i i} & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} b^{i i} \geq(n-2)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} b^{i i}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \\
& =(n-2)\left(\frac{b_{n-1} n-1}{\operatorname{det}} b\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \\
& \geq C(n) H^{\frac{1}{n-2}}(\operatorname{det} b)^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging the above inequality into (30), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(n-1)^{2} f^{\frac{1}{n-2}}+A & \geq C(n) H^{1+\frac{1}{n-2}}\left[\frac{f}{\operatorname{det} b}\right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}}-f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H \\
& \geq C\left(n, h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H^{1+\frac{1}{n-2}}-f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we conclude from above

$$
H \leq C\left(n, h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) .
$$

This gives an upper bound of $\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n}} H$.
Next, we will prove an upper bound of $\max _{\mathbb{S}^{n}} H$ when $f$ satisfies Condition 1, it follows by (24) and (3)

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{n-2} f^{-1} \psi^{-1}|\nabla f \nabla \psi| \leq & 2(n-q) A f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} H  \tag{32}\\
& +2 A f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (32) and (4) into (23), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{-2}\left[\varphi \Delta \varphi-\frac{n-3}{n-2}|\nabla \varphi|^{2}\right] \\
\geq & -\frac{A}{n-2} f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}-2(n-q) A f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H \\
& -2 A f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right)-C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H .
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting the above inequality into (22) and using $H\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & -C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) A H-f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) H-C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) \\
& +f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \sum_{i} b^{i i} H
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \sum_{i} b^{i i} \leq C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) A+C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right)(\max f)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} .
$$

Then, it follows from (31)

$$
H^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \leq C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right) A+C\left(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h\right)(\max f)^{\frac{1}{n-2}} .
$$

We have thus complete the proof.

## 3. The proof of Theorem

We first recall Lemma 2.2 in [12].
Lemma 5. Let $f_{1}, f_{2} \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$ be two nonnegative functions satisfying, for some positive constants $a, b, A$, that

$$
a f_{i} \Delta f_{i}-b\left|\nabla f_{i}\right|^{2} \geq-A f_{i}^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}
$$

Then $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ satisfies

$$
a f \Delta f-b|\nabla f|^{2} \geq-2 A f^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .
$$

Now we begin to prove Theorem 2.
Proof. Set $f_{\epsilon}=f+\epsilon$ for positive small $\epsilon$. It follows from [20] that we can find an even function $h_{\epsilon} \in C^{4, \alpha}$ satisfying (2). Note that $f$ is even and nonzero, so is $f_{\epsilon}$. We know from Lemma 5 that $f_{\epsilon}$ satisfies either Condition 11 or Condition 2. Using Lemma 11, Lemma 2 and Lemma 4, we have $\left\{\left|h_{\epsilon}\right|_{C^{2}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)}\right\}$ is uniformly bounded by some independent of $\epsilon$. Let $h=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} h_{\epsilon}$. Thus, $h$ is a generalized solution to (2) and $h \in C^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}\right)$. Therefore Theorem 2 is proved.
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