$C^{1,1}$ REGULARITY FOR SOLUTIONS TO THE DEGENERATE L_p DUAL MINKOWSKI PROBLEM

LI CHEN, QIANG TU, DI WU, AND NI XIANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study $C^{1,1}$ regularity for solutions to the degenerate L_p Dual Minkowski problem. Our proof is motivated by the idea of Guan and Li's work on $C^{1,1}$ estimates for solutions to the Aleksandrov problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classic Minkowski problem is one of the cornerstones in the Brunn-Minkowski theory of convex bodies. Its solution has many applications in various fields of geometry and analysis, see [39] for an overview. An important counterpart of the classic Minkowski problem is the famous Aleksandrov problem charactering the integral Gauss curvature, which is introduced and completely solved by Aleksandrov [1].

Oliker [37] has shown that there is a PDE associated with the Aleksandrov problem:

(1)
$$\frac{h}{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \det(\nabla^2 h + hI) = f \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where f is a given positive function defined on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , h is an unknown function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Here ∇ is the covariant derivative with respect to an orthonormal frame on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , I is the unit matrix of order n-1. When f is a smooth positive function, the solution to the Aleksandrov problem (1) is smooth, see [38] and [37]. When f is a smooth, but only nonnegative, Guan and Li [12] have shown solutions to the Aleksandrov problem (1) are at least $C^{1,1}$ in dimension n = 3, 4. For higher dimensions, they have obtain the same conclusion under some further hypothesis on f.

Recently, the L_p dual Minkowski problem was introduced in [36]. For a given positive function f defined on the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the L_p dual Minkowski problem is concerned with the solvability of the Monge-Ampère

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J96, 52A20, 53C44.

Key words and phrases. $C^{1,1}$ regularity, Monge-Ampère equation, The L_p Dual Minkowski problem.

This research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No.11971157.

type equation

(2)
$$\frac{h^{1-p}}{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{\frac{n-q}{2}}} \det(\nabla^2 h + hI) = f \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

for some support function h of a hypersurface M in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n enclosing the origin. The L_p dual Minkowski problem unifies the Aleksandrov problem (p = 0, q = 0), the dual Minkowski problem (p = 0) and the L_p -Minkowski problem (q = n). The dual Minkowski problem was first proposed by Huang, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang in their recent groundbreaking work [18] and then followed by [4, 15, 17, 29, 46, 47]. The L_p -Minkowski problem was introduced by Lutwak [34] in 1993 and has been extensively studied since then; see e.g. [5, 10, 48] for the logarithmic Minkowski problem (p = 0), [22, 23, 31, 32, 33, 49] for the centroaffine Minkowski problem (p = -n), and [9, 21, 35, 40] for other cases of the L_p -Minkowski problem. For the general L_p dual Minkowski problem, much progress has already been made in [3, 7, 8, 19, 20, 28].

When f is a smooth positive function, the solution to the L_p dual Minkowski problem (2) is smooth provided either p > q or $pq \ge 0$ and f is even (see [20] and [7]). It is natural to ask that when f is smooth, but only nonnegative, are the solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem (2) smooth? In this case, we encounter with certain degenerate Monge-Ampère type equation. Regularity of solutions to degenerate Monge-Ampère type equations has been investigated in [2, 24, 25, 26, 6, 11, 13, 16, 41, 42, 43, 27, 30] and the references therein. The global $C^{1,1}$ regularity of degenerate Monge-Ampère type equations has been obtained in [13] and one cannot expect regularity higher than $C^{1,1}$ in general [45].

In this paper, we study $C^{1,1}$ regularity for solutions to the L_p Dual Minkowski problem (2) when f is smooth enough, but only nonnegative. For low dimensions n = 3, 4, we can obtain the following result.

Theorem 1. Suppose p > q > 0 and n = 3 or n = 4. Let f be a smooth, nonnegative, nonzero and even function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then, there exists a generalized solution $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ satisfying the equation (2).

For higher dimensions, we need some additional hypothesis. To statement our result we recall the following Condition 1 which was introduced by Guan-Li in [12].

Condition 1. $f \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is nonnegative and there exist a constant A such that

(3)
$$|\nabla(f^{\frac{1}{n-2}})| \le A \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

and

(4)
$$\Delta(f^{\frac{1}{n-2}}) \ge -A \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

It is clearly for nonnegative function $f \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$, the condition (3) is equivalent to

$$|\nabla f(x)| \le (n-2)Af^{1-\frac{1}{n-2}}(x),$$

and the condition (4) is equivalent to

$$f(x)\Delta f(x) - \frac{n-2}{n-3} |\nabla f(x)|^2 \ge -(n-2)Af^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}}(x).$$

We also introduce the following Condition 2.

Condition 2. $f \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ is nonnegative, q < 2 and there exist some constants A such that

(5)
$$f\Delta f - \frac{3-q}{2-q} |\nabla f|^2 \ge -Af^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}}$$
 on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

When q = 0, Condition 2 was introduced by Guan-Li in [12]. Clearly, if f satisfies Condition 2, then f satisfies the condition (4).

Using the idea in [12], we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Suppose p > q > 0, f is a nonnegative, nonzero and even function on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Then, there exists a generalized solution $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ satisfying the equation (2), provided either $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) satisfies Condition 1 or Condition 2.

Remark 1. In [12], Guan-Li showed that for n = 3, all nonnegative function $f \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ satisfied Condition 1 and all nonnegative function $f \in C^{3,1}(\mathbb{S}^3)$ satisfied Condition 1 for n = 4. Thus, Theorem 1 is just a direct corollary of Theorem 2.

Remark 2. The condition that f is nonzero in Theorem 2 is necessary, otherwise we can see that $h \equiv +\infty$ by Lemma 1. When f is a smooth positive function, the existence of smooth solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem (2) is attained in [20] provided p > q, thus it is a natural question to ask whether we can drop the assumptions that q > 0 and f is an even function in Theorem 2.

The organization of the paper is as follows. C^0 , C^1 and C^2 estimates are given in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3 we prove Theorem 2.

2. A priori estimates

In this section, we will establish C^0 , C^1 and C^2 estimates for solutions to (2). The key is that those estimates must be independent of $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$.

2.1. Basic properties of convex hypersurfaces. We first recall some basic properties of convex hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n ; see [44] for details. Let M be a smooth, closed, uniformly convex hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n enclosing the origin. The support function h of M is defined as

(6)
$$h(x) := \max_{y \in M} \langle y, x \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product in \mathbb{R}^n .

The convex hypersurface M can be recovered by its support function h. In fact, writing the Gauss map of M as ν_M , we parametrize M by $X: \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to M$ which is given as

$$X(x) = \nu_M^{-1}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Note that x is the unit outer normal vector of M at X(x). On the other hand, one can easily check that the maximum in the definition (6) is attained at $y = \nu_M^{-1}(x)$, namely

(7)
$$h(x) = \langle x, X(x) \rangle, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$$

Let ∇ be the corresponding connection on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Differentiating the both sides of (7), we have

$$\nabla_i h = \langle \nabla_i x, X(x) \rangle + \langle x, \nabla_i X(x) \rangle.$$

Since $\nabla_i X(x)$ is tangent to M at X(x), there is

$$\nabla_i h = \langle \nabla_i x, X(x) \rangle,$$

which together with (7) implies that

$$X(x) = \nabla h(x) + h(x)x, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

The radial function ρ of the convex hypersurface M is defined as

$$\rho(u) := \max\left\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda u \in M\right\}, \quad \forall u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Note that $\rho(u)u \in M$. If we connect u and x through the following equality:

(8)
$$\rho(u)u = X(x) = \nabla h(x) + h(x)x.$$

By virtue of (8), there is

$$\rho^2 = |\nabla h|^2 + h^2,$$

which implies that

(9)
$$|\nabla h| \le \rho.$$

By (7) and (8), we have

(10)
$$\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h = \max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho.$$

2.2. C^0 estimate and the gradient estimate. Now, we begin to prove C^0 estimate.

Lemma 1. Assume p > q and f is a nonnegative and continuous function. Let $h \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be a solution to (2), then there exists a positive constant C depending on p, q and $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$ such that

$$\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \ge C$$

Proof. Assume h attains its minimum at x_0 , using the equation (2), it is straightforward to see that

$$h^{q-p}(x_0) \le \max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f.$$

Note that p > q, thus

$$h(x_0) \ge \frac{1}{[\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f]^{p-q}}.$$

So, we complete the proof.

Lemma 2. Assume p > q > 0 and f is a continuous, even and nonzero function. Let $h \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be a solution to (2), then there exists a positive constant C depending on p, q, n and $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$ such that

$$\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \le C$$

Thus,

$$\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla h| \le C,$$

where C is a positive constant depending on p, q, n and $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$.

Proof. Write

$$h_{max} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(x) = h(x_0)$$

for some $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Note that f is even, so is h. Thus, we have by the definition of support function that

$$h(x) \ge h_{max} |\langle x, x_0 \rangle|, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Thus,

(11)
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^p(x) f(x) dx \ge h^p_{max} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\langle x, x_0 \rangle|^p f(x) dx.$$

Since f is nonzero, $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f > 0$. Assume f attains its maximum at y, there exist a ball $B(y, \delta) \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

(12)
$$f(x) \ge \frac{1}{2} \max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f, \quad \forall x \in B(y, \delta).$$

Substituting (12) into (11), we have

(13)
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^p(x) f(x) dx \geq \frac{1}{2} h_{max}^p \max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f \int_{B(y,\delta)} |\langle x, x_0 \rangle|^p dx$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{2} h_{max}^p \max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f \min_{z \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_{B(y,\delta)} |\langle x, z \rangle|^p dx$$
$$\geq C h_{max}^p.$$

Using the equation (2), it is straightforward to see that

(14)
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^p(x) f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho^q(u) du$$
$$\leq |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| (\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \rho)^q$$
$$= |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| h^q_{max},$$

where we use (10) to get the last equality and $|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|$ is the volume of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Thus, combining (13), (14) and the fact that p > q, we obtain

$$h_{max} \leq C.$$

Thus, we get the upper bound of h. The gradient estimate follows from the upper bound of h, (9) and (10) consequently.

2.3. C^2 estimate. First, we give some notations. For a (0,2) tensor field $b = \{b_{ij}\}$ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} , the coordinate expression of its covariant derivative ∇b and the second covariant derivative $\nabla^2 b$ are denoted by

$$abla b = (b_{ij;k}), \quad \nabla^2 b = (b_{ij;kl}).$$

However, the coordinate expression of the covariant differentiation will be denoted by indices without semicolons, e.g.

$$h_i$$
, h_{ij} or h_{ijk}

for a function $h : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$. To prove the C^2 estimate, we first recall a simple algebraic inequality.

Lemma 3. For any n-1 real number $a_1, a_2, ..., a_{n-1}$ satisfying

$$\min\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \le 0 \quad and \quad \max\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}\} \ge 0$$

then we have the following inequality

(15)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2 \ge \frac{1}{n-2} (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i)^2.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$a_1 \le a_2 \le \dots \le a_s \le 0 \le a_{s+1} \le \dots \le a_{n-1}, \quad 2 \le s \le n-2,$$

and

$$|a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_s| \ge a_{s+1} + \dots + a_{n-1}.$$

Thus, using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i^2 \ge \sum_{i=1}^s a_i^2 \ge \frac{1}{s} (\sum_{i=1}^s a_i)^2 \ge \frac{1}{n-2} (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i)^2.$$

So, we complete the proof.

Lemma 4. Let $h \in C^4(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be a solution to (2). Then there exists a positive constant C depending on n, $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h$, $\min_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h$, $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla h|$, A and $\max_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f$ such that

$$|h|_{C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})} \le C$$

provided $f \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ satisfies either Condition 1 or Condition 2.

Proof. Set $b_{ij} = h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij}$ and $b = \{b_{ij}\}$, let

(16)
$$H(x) = \operatorname{tr} b = (n-1)h(x) + \Delta h_{z}$$

which is clearly nonnegative since the matrix $b = \nabla^2 h + hI$ is nonnegative definite. Then, there exists a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ such that

$$H(x_0) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} H(x).$$

If $H(x_0) \leq 1$, then our result holds. So, we assume $H(x_0) \geq 1$. By choosing a suitable orthonormal frame, we may assume $\{h_{ij}(x_0)\}$ is diagonal. Then,

(17)
$$0 = \nabla_i H(x_0) = \sum_k b_{kk;i}$$

and

(18)
$$\nabla_i \nabla_i H(x_0) = \sum_k b_{kk;ii} \le 0.$$

Since $\{b_{ij}\}$ is nonnegative definite, its inverse matrix $\{b^{ij}\}$ is also nonnegative definite. Thus, we have at x_0

(19)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
0 &\geq b^{ii}H_{ii} \\
&= b^{ii}\sum_{k}b_{kk;ii} \\
&= b^{ii}\left(\sum_{k}b_{ii;kk} - (n-1)b_{ii} + \sum_{k}b_{kk}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k}b^{ii}b_{ii;kk} - (n-1)^{2} + \sum_{i}b^{ii}H,
\end{array}$$

where we use the Ricci identity

$$b_{ii;kk} = b_{kk;ii} - b_{kk} + b_{ii}.$$

We rewrite the equation (2) as

(20)
$$\det^{\frac{1}{n-2}}(\nabla^2 h + hI) = \varphi^{\frac{1}{n-2}},$$

where

$$\varphi = \frac{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{\frac{n-q}{2}}}{\frac{h^{1-p}}{7}}f.$$

Differentiating (20) twice, we can obtain at x_0

$$\sum_{k} b^{ij} b_{ij;kk} = b^{ik} b^{lj} \sum_{p} b_{ij;p} b_{kl;p} - \frac{1}{n-2} b^{ij} b^{kl} \sum_{p} b_{ij;p} b_{kl;p} + \varphi^{-2} \Big[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Big] = b^{ii} b^{jj} \sum_{p} (b_{ij;p})^2 - \frac{1}{n-2} b^{ij} b^{kl} \sum_{p} b_{ij;p} b_{kl;p} + \varphi^{-2} \Big[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Big] \geq \sum_{i} \sum_{p} (b^{ii} b_{ii;p})^2 - \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{p} (\sum_{i} b^{ii} b_{ii;p})^2 + \varphi^{-2} \Big[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Big] \geq \varphi^{-2} \Big[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Big],$$
(21)

where we use the inequality (15) to get the last inequality by noticing that $\sum_{i} b_{ii;k}(x_0) = 0$. Substituting (21) into (19), we arrive at x_0

(22)
$$0 \geq \varphi^{-2} \left[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right] - (n-1)^2 + H \sum_i b^{ii}.$$

Set $\psi = \frac{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{\frac{n-q}{2}}}{h^{1-p}}$, thus $\varphi = \psi f$. It follows consequently $\varphi^{-2} \Big[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Big]$ $= f^{-2} \Big[f \Delta f - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla f|^2 \Big] + \frac{2}{n-2} f^{-1} \psi^{-1} \nabla f \nabla \psi$ (23) $+ \psi^{-2} \Big[\psi \Delta \psi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \psi|^2 \Big].$

Differentiating ψ twice, we have

$$\nabla_{i}\psi = (n-q)\frac{(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2})^{\frac{n-q-2}{2}}}{h^{1-p}}\sum_{k}h_{ki}h_{k}$$

$$+(n-q)\frac{(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2})^{\frac{n-q-2}{2}}}{h^{1-p}}h_{i}+(p-1)\frac{(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2})^{\frac{n-q}{2}}}{h^{2-p}}h_{i}$$
(24)
$$= (n-q)\frac{(|\nabla h|^{2}+h^{2})^{\frac{n-q-2}{2}}}{h^{1-p}}h_{ii}h_{i}+C(h,h^{-1},\nabla h),$$

(25)
$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla\psi|^2 &= (n-q)^2 \frac{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{n-q-2}}{h^{2(1-p)}} \sum_i h_{ii}^2 h_i^2 \\ &+ C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) * b, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} \psi \Delta \psi &= (n-q) \frac{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{n-q-2}}{h^{2(1-p)}} \Big[(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2) h_{ii}^2 + (n-q-2) \sum_i h_{ii}^2 h_i^2 \Big] \\ &+ C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) * b \\ (26) &\geq (n-q)(n-q-1) \frac{(|\nabla h|^2 + h^2)^{n-q-2}}{h^{2(1-p)}} \sum_i h_{ii}^2 h_i^2 - C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) H, \end{split}$$

where $C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)$ denotes some quantity depending on $h, h^{-1}, \nabla h$ and may change from line to line. $C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) * b$ denotes some quantity linear in b with coefficients depending on $h, h^{-1}, \nabla h$.

Combining (25) with (26), we can arrive

(27)
$$\psi^{-2} \Big[\psi \Delta \psi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \psi|^2 \Big] \geq \psi^{-2} \frac{2-q}{(n-q)(n-2)} |\nabla \psi|^2 - C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) H.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we obtain

(28)
$$\frac{2}{n-2}f^{-1}\psi^{-1}\nabla f\nabla\psi \leq \frac{n-q}{(n-2)(2-q)}f^{-2}|\nabla f|^{2} + \frac{2-q}{(n-q)(n-2)}\psi^{-2}|\nabla\psi|^{2}.$$

Substituting (27) and (28) into (23), it yields

(29)
$$\varphi^{-2} \left[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \right] \geq f^{-2} \left[f \Delta f - \frac{3-q}{2-q} |\nabla f|^2 \right] - C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) H.$$

Putting (29) into (22), we arrive at x_0 due to Condition 2

(30)
$$0 \geq -Af^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} - (n-1)^2 + H\sum_i b^{ii} - C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)H.$$

Now we need to estimate $\sum_i b^{ii}$. Without loss of generality, we assume

$$b_{11} \leq b_{22} \leq \cdots \leq b_{n-1 \ n-1}.$$

It follows that $b_{n-1} \ _{n-1} \ge \frac{H}{n-1}$. Thus,

(31)

$$\sum_{i} b^{ii} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} b^{ii} \geq (n-2) \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n-2} b^{ii}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$$

$$= (n-2) \Big(\frac{b_{n-1}}{\det b}\Big)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$$

$$\geq C(n) H^{\frac{1}{n-2}} (\det b)^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}.$$

and

Plugging the above inequality into (30), we have

$$(n-1)^{2} f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} + A \geq C(n) H^{1+\frac{1}{n-2}} \left[\frac{f}{\det b} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-2}} - f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) H$$
$$\geq C(n, h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) H^{1+\frac{1}{n-2}} - f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) H^{1+\frac{1}{n-2}}$$

Thus, we conclude from above

$$H \le C(n, h, h^{-1}, \nabla h).$$

This gives an upper bound of $\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} H$.

Next, we will prove an upper bound of $\max_{\mathbb{S}^n} H$ when f satisfies **Condition 1**, it follows by (24) and (3)

(32)
$$\frac{2}{n-2}f^{-1}\psi^{-1}|\nabla f\nabla \psi| \leq 2(n-q)Af^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}H + 2Af^{-\frac{1}{n-2}}C(h,h^{-1},\nabla h).$$

Substituting (32) and (4) into (23), we have

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{-2} \Big[\varphi \Delta \varphi - \frac{n-3}{n-2} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \Big] \\ \geq & -\frac{A}{n-2} f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} - 2(n-q) A f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} C(h,h^{-1},\nabla h) H \\ & -2A f^{-\frac{1}{n-2}} C(h,h^{-1},\nabla h) - C(h,h^{-1},\nabla h) H. \end{split}$$

Substituting the above inequality into (22) and using $H(x_0) \ge 1$, we obtain

$$0 \geq -C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)AH - f^{\frac{1}{n-2}}C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)H - C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h) + f^{\frac{1}{n-2}}\sum_{i} b^{ii}H,$$

which implies

$$f^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \sum_{i} b^{ii} \leq C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)A + C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)(\max f)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}.$$

Then, it follows from (31)

$$H^{\frac{1}{n-2}} \le C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)A + C(h, h^{-1}, \nabla h)(\max f)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}.$$

We have thus complete the proof.

3. The proof of Theorem

We first recall Lemma 2.2 in [12].

Lemma 5. Let $f_1, f_2 \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ be two nonnegative functions satisfying, for some positive constants a, b, A, that

$$af_i\Delta f_i - b|\nabla f_i|^2 \ge -Af_i^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Then $f = f_1 + f_2$ satisfies

$$af\Delta f - b|\nabla f|^2 \ge -2Af^{2-\frac{1}{n-2}}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Now we begin to prove Theorem 2.

Proof. Set $f_{\epsilon} = f + \epsilon$ for positive small ϵ . It follows from [20] that we can find an even function $h_{\epsilon} \in C^{4,\alpha}$ satisfying (2). Note that f is even and nonzero, so is f_{ϵ} . We know from Lemma 5 that f_{ϵ} satisfies either Condition 1 or Condition 2. Using Lemma 1, Lemma 2 and Lemma 4, we have $\{|h_{\epsilon}|_{C^{2}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})}\}$ is uniformly bounded by some independent of ϵ . Let $h = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} h_{\epsilon}$. Thus, h is a generalized solution to (2) and $h \in C^{1,1}(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$. Therefore Theorem 2 is proved.

References

- A.D. ALEXANDROV, Existence and uniqueness of a convex surface with a given integral curvature, Doklady Acad. Nauk Kasah SSSR 36 (1942), pp. 131-134.
- [2] E. ANDRIYANOVA, On the Dirichlet problem for degenerate Monge-Ampère type equations, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 58 (2019): 181.
- [3] K. BÖRÖCZKY AND F. FODOR, The L_p dual Minkowski problem for p > 1 and q > 0, J. Differential Equations, 266 (2019), pp. 7980–8033.
- [4] K. BÖRÖCZKY, M. HENK, AND H. POLLEHN, Subspace concentration of dual curvature measures of symmetric convex bodies, J. Differential Geom., 109 (2018), pp. 411-429.
- [5] K. BÖRÖCZKY, E. LUTWAK, D. YANG, AND G. ZHANG, The logarithmic Minkowski problem, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 26 (2013), pp. 831-852.
- [6] L. CAFFARELLI, L. NIRENBERG AND J. SPRUCK, The Dirichlet problem for the degenerate Monge-Ampère equation, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., 2 (1986), pp. 19-27.
- [7] C. CHEN, Y. HUANG, AND Y. ZHAO, Smooth solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem, Math. Ann., 373 (2019), pp. 953-976.
- [8] H. CHEN, S. CHEN, AND Q. LI, Variations of a class of Monge-Ampere type functionals and their applications, Accepted by Anal. PDE.
- [9] —, The L_p -Minkowski problem and the Minkowski problem in centroaffine geometry, Adv. Math., 205 (2006), pp. 33-83.
- [10] S. CHEN, Q. LI, AND G. ZHU, The logarithmic Minkowski problem for non-symmetric measures, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 371 (2019), pp. 2623-2641.
- P. GUAN, C² a priori estimates for degenerate Monge-Ampère equations, Duke Math. J., 86 (1997), pp. 323-346.
- [12] P. GUAN, Y. LI, C^{1,1} estimates for solutions of a problem of Alexandrov, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 50 (1997), pp. 789-811.
- [13] P. GUAN, N. TRUDINGER AND X. WANG, On the Dirichlet problem for degenerate Monge-Ampère, Acta Math., 182 (1999), pp. 87-104.
- [14] C. HABERL, E. LUTWAK, D. YANG, AND G. ZHANG, The even Orlicz Minkowski problem, Adv. Math., 224 (2010), pp. 2485-2510.
- [15] M. HENK AND H. POLLEHN, Necessary subspace concentration conditions for the even dual Minkowski problem, Adv. Math., 323 (2018), pp. 114-141.
- [16] J. HONG, The estimates near the boundary for solutions of Monge-Ampère, J. Partial Differ. Equ., 7 (1994), pp. 97-110.
- [17] Y. HUANG AND Y. JIANG, Variational characterization for the planar dual Minkowski problem, J. Funct. Anal., 277 (2019), pp. 2209-2236.
- [18] Y. HUANG, E. LUTWAK, D. YANG, AND G. ZHANG, Geometric measures in the dual Brunn-Minkowski theory and their associated Minkowski problems, Acta Math., 216 (2016), pp. 325-388.
- [19] _____, The L_p -Aleksandrov problem for L_p -integral curvature, J. Differential Geom., 110 (2018), pp. 1-29.

- [20] Y. HUANG AND Y. ZHAO, On the L_p dual Minkowski problem, Adv. Math., 332 (2018), pp. 57-84.
- [21] D. HUG, E. LUTWAK, D. YANG, AND G. ZHANG, On the L_p Minkowski problem for polytopes, Discrete Comput. Geom., 33 (2005), pp. 699-715.
- [22] H. JIAN, J. LU, AND X. WANG, A priori estimates and existence of solutions to the prescribed centroaffine curvature problem, J. Funct. Anal., 274 (2018), pp. 826-862.
- [23] H. JIAN, J. LU, AND G. ZHU, Mirror symmetric solutions to the centro-affine Minkowski problem, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 55 (2016), pp. Art. 41, 22 pp.
- [24] N. KRYLOV, On unconditional solvability of the Bellman equation with constant coefficients in convex domains, Math. USSR Sb., 63 (1989), 89.
- [25] N. KRYLOV, Smoothness of the payoff function for a controllable process in a domain, Math. USSR Izv., 34 (1990), pp. 65-95.
- [26] N. KRYLOV, On the general notion of fully nonlinear second-order elliptic equations, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 347 (1995), pp. 857-895.
- [27] N. LE AND O. SAVIN, Schauder estimates for degenerate Monge-Ampère equations and smoothness of the eigenfunctions, Invent. math., 207 (2015), pp. 1-35.
- [28] Q. LI, J. LIU, AND J. LU, Non-uniqueness of solutions to the L_p dual Minkowski problem. Preprint.
- [29] Q. LI, W. SHENG, AND X. WANG, Flow by Gauss curvature to the Aleksandrov and dual Minkowski problems, J. Eur. Math. Soc., 22 (2020), pp. 893-923.
- [30] Q. LI AND X. WANG, Regularity of the homogeneous Monge-Ampère equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 35 (2015), pp. 6069-6084.
- [31] J. LU, Nonexistence of maximizers for the functional of the centroaffine Minkowski problem, Sci. China Math., 61 (2018), pp. 511-516.
- [32] —, A remark on rotationally symmetric solutions to the centroaffine Minkowski problem, J. Differential Equations, 266 (2019), pp. 4394-4431.
- [33] J. LU AND X. WANG, Rotationally symmetric solutions to the L_p-Minkowski problem, J. Differential Equations, 254 (2013), pp. 983-1005.
- [34] E. LUTWAK, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom., 38 (1993), pp. 131-150.
- [35] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG, AND G. ZHANG, On the L_p-Minkowski problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 356 (2004), pp. 4359-4370.
- [36] , L_p dual curvature measures, Adv. Math., 329 (2018), pp. 85-132.
- [37] V. OLIKER, Existence and uniqueness of convex hypersurfaces with prescribed Gaussian curvature in spaces of constant curvature, Sem. Inst. Mate. Appl. "Giovanni Sansone", Univ. Studi Firenze, 1983.
- [38] A. POGORELOV, Extrinsic geometry of convex surfaces, "Nauka", Moscow, 1969; English transl., Transl. Math. Mono., Vol. 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1973.
- [39] R. SCHNEIDER, Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory, Second edition, No. 151. Cambr. Univ. Press, 2013.
- [40] A. STANCU, The discrete planar L₀-Minkowski problem, Adv. Math., 167 (2002), pp. 160-174.
- [41] N. TRUDINGER, On degenerate fully nonlinear elliptic equations in balls, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 35 (1987), pp. 299-307.
- [42] N. TRUDINGER, J. URBAS, The Dirichlet problem for the equation of prescribed Gauss curvature, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 28 (1983), pp. 217-231.
- [43] N. TRUDINGER, J. URBAS, On second derivative estimates for equations of Monge-Ampère type, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., 30 (1984), pp. 321-334.
- [44] J. URBAS, An expansion of convex hypersurfaces, J. Differential Geom., 33 (1991), pp. 91-125.
- [45] X. WANG, Some counterexamples to the regularity of Monge-Ampère equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 123 (1995), pp. 841-845.

- [46] Y. ZHAO, The dual Minkowski problem for negative indices, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, 56 (2017): 18.
- [47] —, Existence of solutions to the even dual Minkowski problem, J. Differential Geom., 110 (2018), pp. 543-572.
- [48] G. ZHU, The logarithmic Minkowski problem for polytopes, Adv. Math., 262 (2014), pp. 909-931.
- [49] ____, The centro-affine Minkowski problem for polytopes, J. Differential Geom., 101 (2015), pp. 159-174.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA *Email address*: chenli@hubu.edu.cn

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA *Email address*: qiangtu@hubu.edu.cn

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA *Email address:* wudi19950106@126.com

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, HUBEI UNIVERSITY, WUHAN 430062, P.R. CHINA *Email address:* nixiang@hubu.edu.cn