

HOLOMORPHIC POLYVECTOR FIELDS ON TORIC VARIETIES

WEI HONG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we give an explicit description of holomorphic polyvector fields on smooth compact toric varieties, which generalizes Demazure's result of holomorphic vector fields on toric varieties.

1. INTRODUCTION

Polyvector fields appeared in the recent work of many mathematicians. Barannikov and Kontsevich [1] shows that polyvector fields plays important roles in deformation theory and mirror symmetry. In [5], Dolgushev, Rogers and Willwacher gives a further study of deformation theory related to polyvector fields. In differential geomtry, Hitchin [8] study some problems related to holomorphic polyvector fields. Polyvector fields also appeared naturally in generalized complex geometry according to Gualtieri's work [7].

This paper is devoted to the study of holomorphic polyvector fields on toric varieties. In [4], Demazure described all the holomorphic vector fields on smooth compact toric varieties. In this paper, we give an explicit description of all holomorphic polyvector fields on smooth compact toric varieties in Theorem A, which generalizes Demazure's results of holomorphic vector fields on toric varieties.

Recall that a toric variety [2] is an irreducible variety X such that

- (1) $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is a Zariski open set of X ,
- (2) the action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on itself extends to an action of $T = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on X .

One may consult [11] and [6] for more details of toric varieties.

Let $N = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{C}^*, T) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $M = \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$. Then $M \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$ and $N \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Z})$. Each element m in M gives rise to a character $\chi^m \in \text{Hom}(T, \mathbb{C}^*)$, which can also be considered as a rational function on X . Let $N_{\mathbb{R}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $M_{\mathbb{R}} = M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ be the dual space of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Let $X = X_{\Delta}$ be a smooth compact toric variety associated with a fan Δ in $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. The T -action on X induces a T -action on $H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X)$, the vector space of holomorphic k -vector fields on X . Denote by V_I^k the weight space corresponding to the character $I \in M$. We have

$$(1.1) \quad H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X) = \bigoplus_{I \in M} V_I^k.$$

Since $H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X)$ is a finite dimensional vector space, there are only finite elements $I \in M$ such that $V_I^k \neq 0$.

Key words and phrases. toric varieties, holomorphic polyvector fields, lattice points, polyhedron.

Next we give an explicit description of the vector spaces V_I^k for smooth compact toric varieties.

- Let $N_{\mathbb{C}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$. Since $T \cong N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}^*$, we have $\text{Lie}(T) \cong N_{\mathbb{C}}$, where $\text{Lie}(T)$ denotes the Lie algebra of T . We define a map $\rho : N_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(X)$ by

$$(1.2) \quad \rho : N_{\mathbb{C}} = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} \cong \text{Lie}(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(X),$$

where $\text{Lie}(T) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(X)$ is defined by the infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(T)$ on X . And the map $\rho : N_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(X)$ is determined by

$$\rho(x)(\chi^m) = \langle x, m \rangle \chi^m$$

for any $x \in N_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $m \in M$. Since the action map $T \times X \rightarrow X$ is holomorphic, the images of ρ are holomorphic vector fields on X . By abuse of notation, the induced maps

$$(1.3) \quad \wedge^k N_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^k(X)$$

are also denoted by ρ for $2 \leq k \leq n$. Let $W = \rho(N_{\mathbb{C}})$, $W^k = \wedge^k W$ and $W^0 = \mathbb{C}$. Then W^k is a subspace of $H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X)$. And the map $\wedge^k N_{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\rho} W^k$ is an isomorphism.

- Let $\Delta(k)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) the set of all k -dimensional cones in Δ . Suppose that α_t ($1 \leq t \leq r$) are all one dimensional cones in $\Delta(1)$. Let $e(\alpha_t) \in N$ ($1 \leq t \leq r$) be the corresponding primitive elements, i.e., the unique generator of $\alpha_t \cap N$. Let P_{Δ} be a polytope in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ defined by

$$P_{\Delta} = \bigcap_{\alpha_t \in \Delta(1)} \{I \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle I, e(\alpha_t) \rangle \geq -1\}.$$

Since X_{Δ} is compact, P_{Δ} is a compact polytope in $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let

$$S_{\Delta} = \{I \mid I \in M \cap P_{\Delta}\}.$$

Then S_{Δ} is a non-empty finite set and $0 \in S_{\Delta}$. We denote by $P_{\Delta}(i)$ the set of all i -dimensional faces of the polytope P_{Δ} . Let

$$S(\Delta, i) = \bigcup_{F_j \in P_{\Delta}(n-i)} \{I \in \text{int}(F_j) \cap M\}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$, where $\text{int}(F_j)$ denotes the relative interior of the face F_j . Let

$$S_k(\Delta) = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq k} S(\Delta, i)$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.

- For any $I \in S_{\Delta}$, there exists a unique face $F_I(\Delta)$ of P_{Δ} , such that $I \in \text{int}(F_I(\Delta)) \cap M$. Let

$$E_I(\Delta) = \{e(\alpha_t) \mid \alpha_t \in \Delta(1) \text{ and } \langle I, e(\alpha_t) \rangle = -1\}.$$

Suppose that $E_I(\Delta) = \{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_l})\}$, where $1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_l \leq r$. Let $F_I^{\perp}(\Delta) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the normal space of $F_I(\Delta)$, which is defined by

$$F_I^{\perp}(\Delta) = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq l} \mathbb{R} \cdot e(\alpha_{s_t}).$$

And we set

$$F_I^{\perp}(\Delta) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad E_I(\Delta) = \emptyset.$$

Suppose that $I \in S(\Delta, i)$. Then we have $\dim F_I(\Delta) = n - i$ and $\dim F_I^{\perp}(\Delta) = i$.

- We choose a nonzero element $\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta) \in \wedge^i F_I^\perp(\Delta) \cong \mathbb{R}$. Since $F_I^\perp(\Delta) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq N_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta)$ can be considered as an element in $\wedge^i N_{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\mathcal{V}_I(\Delta) = \rho(\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta)) \in W^i$ be an i -vector field on $X = X_\Delta$. Let

$$W_I^k(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathcal{V}_I(\Delta) \wedge W^{k-i} & \text{for } 0 < i \leq k, \\ W^k & \text{for } i = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } i > k. \end{cases}$$

Then $W_I^k(\Delta)$ is a subspace of W^k . We define

$$V_I^k(\Delta) = \chi^I \cdot W_I^k(\Delta) = \{\chi^I \cdot w \mid w \in W_I^k(\Delta)\}.$$

The elements in $V_I^k(\Delta)$ are considered as meromorphic k -vector fields on $X = X_\Delta$. In section 3, we prove that for any $I \in S_k(\Delta)$, the k -vector fields in $V_I^k(\Delta)$ have moveable singularities. And we use them to represent the corresponding holomorphic k -vector fields in this paper.

The next theorem gives a description of the holomorphic polyvector fields on toric varieties.

Theorem (A). *Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a smooth compact toric variety associated with a fan Δ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

- (1) *We have the following decomposition*

$$(1.4) \quad H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X) = \bigoplus_{I \in S_k(\Delta)} V_I^k(\Delta)$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $V_I^k(\Delta) = V_{-I}^k$ for all $I \in S_k(\Delta)$.

- (2) *The dimension of holomorphic polyvector fields on $X = X_\Delta$ can be computed by*

$$(1.5) \quad \dim H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq k} \sum_{F_j \in P_\Delta(n-i)} \binom{n-i}{k-i} \cdot \#(\text{int}(F_j) \cap M)$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.

We give some comments for Theorem A:

- In the case of $k = 1$, the above theorem was proved by Demazure [4]. And the set

$$R(N, \Delta) = S_1(\Delta) \setminus \{0\}$$

is called the root system for (N, Δ) .

- In the case of $k = n$, we have the well-known results

$$H^0(X, \wedge^n T_X) \cong \bigoplus_{I \in P_\Delta \cap M} \mathbb{C} \chi^I \cdot W^n,$$

$$\dim H^0(X, \wedge^n T_X) = \#(P_\Delta \cap M).$$

- The special case of $X = \mathbb{CP}^n$ was proved in [9]. We would like to point out a sign mistake in the Theorem 3.3 of [9], where V_I^k should be the weight space corresponding to the character $-I$.
- If X is a toric Fano manifold, Equation (1.5) can be obtained by Theorem 3.6 in [10] since $\wedge^k T_X \cong \Omega_X^{n-k} \otimes \wedge^n T_X$.

Notice that the Gerstenhaber algebra structure of the polyvector fields on the algebraic torus is studied in [12]. By Theorem A, the Gerstenhaber algebra structures of the holomorphic polyvector fields on smooth compact toric varieties can be studied in the similar way. But it is more complicated since it is related to the lattices in polytopes. However, those will be left for future works.

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, we construct some sets and vector spaces related to toric varieties and study their properties. In Section 3, we study holomorphic polyvector fields on toric varieties and prove the Theorem A.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Yu Qiao, Mathieu Stiénon, Xiang Tang and Ping Xu for helpful discussions and comments. Hong's research is partially supported by NSFC grant 12071241 and NSFC grant 12071358.

2. TORIC VARIETIES, LATTICES AND POLYTOPES

2.1. The polytope P_Δ and the set S_Δ . Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a smooth compact toric variety. Suppose that α_t ($1 \leq t \leq r$) are all one dimensional cones in $\Delta(1)$. Let $e(\alpha_t) \in N$ ($1 \leq t \leq r$) be the corresponding primitive elements, i.e., the unique generator of $\alpha_t \cap N$. Let

$$E(\Delta) = \{e(\alpha_1), \dots, e(\alpha_r)\}.$$

The sets S_Δ , $S(\Delta, i)$ and $S_k(\Delta)$ have been defined in the previous section. And we have

- (1) $S(\Delta, i) \cap S(\Delta, j) = \emptyset$ for all $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n$.
- (2) $S_0(\Delta) \subseteq S_1(\Delta) \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_n(\Delta) = S_\Delta$
- (3) $S_{k+1}(\Delta) = S_k(\Delta) \cup S(\Delta, k+1)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a smooth compact toric variety of dimension n . Then we have*

$$S_0(\Delta) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. For any $I \in S_0(\Delta)$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \langle I, e(\alpha_t) \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $\alpha_t \in \Delta(1)$. Since X is compact, we have

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} \sigma = N_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Therefore for any $x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, there exists $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$, such that $x \in \sigma$. Since X is smooth, $x \in \sigma$ can be written as

$$(2.2) \quad x = \sum_{\alpha_t \in \Delta(1) \cap \sigma} \lambda_t e(\alpha_t), \quad \lambda_t \geq 0.$$

By Equation (2.1) and Equation (2.2), we have

$$\langle I, x \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $x \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, which implies $I = 0$. Hence $S_0(\Delta) = \{0\}$. □

The following results are obviously. However, we need them later and put them as a lemma here.

Lemma 2.2. (1) *For any $I \in S_\Delta$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $I \in S(\Delta, i)$,

- (b) $F_I(\Delta) \in P_\Delta(n-i)$,
- (c) $\dim F_I^\perp(\Delta) = i$.
- (2) For any $I \in S_\Delta$, the following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $I \in S_k(\Delta)$,
 - (b) $\dim F_I^\perp(\Delta) \leq k$.

2.2. The polyhedron P_σ and the set S_σ . Let σ be a smooth cone of dimension n . Then σ can be written as

$$\sigma = \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot e_t(\sigma),$$

where $\{e_1(\sigma), \dots, e_n(\sigma)\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N . Let

$$E(\sigma) = \{e_1(\sigma), \dots, e_n(\sigma)\}.$$

Let P_σ be a polyhedron defined by

$$(2.3) \quad P_\sigma = \{I \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle I, e_t(\sigma) \rangle \geq -1 \text{ for all } 1 \leq t \leq n\}.$$

Let

$$S_\sigma = P_\sigma \cap M.$$

We denote by $P_\sigma(i)$ the set of all i -dimensional faces of the polyhedron P_Δ . Let

$$S(\sigma, i) = \bigcup_{F_j \in P_\sigma(n-i)} \{I \in \text{int}(F_j) \cap M\}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n$, where $\text{int}(F_j)$ denotes the relative interior of F_j . Then we have

$$S(\sigma, i) \cap S(\sigma, j) = \emptyset$$

for all $0 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Let

$$S_k(\sigma) = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq k} S(\sigma, i)$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then we have

$$S_0(\sigma) \subseteq S_1(\sigma) \subseteq \dots \subseteq S_n(\sigma) = S_\sigma.$$

For any $I \in S_\sigma$, there exists a unique face $F_I(\sigma)$ of P_σ , such that $I \in \text{int}(F_I(\sigma)) \cap M$. Let

$$E_I(\sigma) = \{e_t(\sigma) \in E(\sigma) \mid \langle I, e_t(\sigma) \rangle = -1\}.$$

Suppose that $E_I(\sigma) = \{e_{s_1}(\sigma), \dots, e_{s_j}(\sigma)\}$, where $1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_j \leq n$. Let $F_I^\perp(\sigma) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the normal space of $F_I(\sigma)$, which is defined by

$$F_I^\perp(\sigma) = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq j} \mathbb{R} \cdot e_{s_t}(\sigma).$$

And we define

$$F_I^\perp(\sigma) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad E_I(\sigma) = \emptyset.$$

Similar to Lemma 2.2, we have

Lemma 2.3. (1) For any $I \in S_\sigma$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) $I \in S(\sigma, j)$,
- (b) $F_I(\sigma) \in P_\sigma(n-j)$,

- (c) $\dim F_I^\perp(\sigma) = j$,
- (d) $\#E_I(\sigma) = j$.
- (2) For any $I \in S_\sigma$, the following statements are equivalent:
 - (a) $I \in S_k(\sigma)$,
 - (b) $\dim F_I^\perp(\sigma) \leq k$,
 - (c) $\#E_I(\sigma) \leq k$.

Now we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. *Let X_Δ be a smooth compact toric variety.*

- (1) We have

$$P_\Delta = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} P_\sigma$$

and

$$S_\Delta = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_\sigma.$$

- (2) For any $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$ and $I \in P_\Delta \subset P_\sigma$, $F_I^\perp(\sigma)$ is a subspace of $F^\perp(\Delta)$. Moreover, we have

$$F_I^\perp(\Delta) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} F_I^\perp(\sigma).$$

- (3) We have

$$S_k(\Delta) \subseteq \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

Proof. (1) Since X_Δ is a smooth compact toric variety, we have $|\Delta| = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} |\sigma|$. As a consequence, we have

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} \{e_1(\sigma), \dots, e_n(\sigma)\} = \{e(\alpha_1), \dots, e(\alpha_r)\},$$

which implies

$$P_\Delta = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} P_\sigma.$$

Since $S_\Delta = P_\Delta \cap M$ and $S_\sigma = P_\sigma \cap M$, we get that

$$S_\Delta = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_\sigma.$$

- (2) Since $E_I(\sigma) \subseteq E_I(\Delta)$, we get that $F_I^\perp(\sigma)$ is a subspace of $F_I^\perp(\Delta)$. And by

$$\bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} E_I(\sigma) = E_I(\Delta),$$

we get that $F_I^\perp(\Delta) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} F_I^\perp(\sigma)$.

(3) For any $I \in S_k(\Delta)$, by Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\dim F_I^\perp(\Delta) \leq k.$$

For any $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$, since $F_I^\perp(\sigma)$ is a subspace of $F_I^\perp(\Delta)$, we have

$$\dim F_I^\perp(\sigma) \leq \dim F_I^\perp(\Delta) \leq k.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have $I \in S_k(\sigma)$.

Therefore, we have

$$S_k(\Delta) \subseteq S_k(\sigma)$$

for all $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$. And consequently,

$$S_k(\Delta) \subseteq \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

□

2.3. The vector space $N_I^k(\Delta)$ and $N_I^k(\sigma)$.

2.3.1. *The vector space $N_I^k(\Delta)$.* Let X_Δ be a smooth compact toric variety of dimension n . For any $I \in S_\Delta$, since $S_\Delta = \bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq n} S(\Delta, i)$, there exists a unique integer $0 \leq i \leq n$, such that $I \in S(\Delta, i)$. We denote by $|I_\Delta|$ the integer i . Or in other words,

$$(2.4) \quad |I_\Delta| = i \text{ if and only if } I \in S(\Delta, i).$$

Suppose that $I \in \text{int}(F_I(\Delta)) \cap M$. Then by Lemma 2.2, we have $F_I(\Delta) \in P_\Delta(n-i)$. Suppose that

$$E_I(\Delta) = \{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_l})\},$$

where $1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_l \leq r$. By Lemma 2.2, $F_I^\perp(\Delta) = \sum_{1 \leq t \leq l} \mathbb{R} \cdot e(\alpha_{s_t})$ is a i -dimensional subspace of $N_\mathbb{R}$. No loss of generality, suppose that $\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i})\} \subseteq \{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_l})\}$ is a basis of $F_I^\perp(\Delta)$, where $i \leq l$.

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta) = e(\alpha_{s_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge e(\alpha_{s_i}) \in \wedge^{|I_\Delta|} N.$$

Since $\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i})\}$ is a basis of $F_I^\perp(\Delta)$, we have

$$\wedge^i F_I^\perp(\Delta) = \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathcal{E}_I(\Delta).$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta)$ is well defined up to a scalar if we choose different basis $\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i})\} \subseteq E_I(\Delta)$ for $F_I^\perp(\Delta)$.

Let $N_I^k(\Delta)$ be a subspace of $\wedge^k N_\mathbb{C}$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$) defined by

$$N_I^k(\Delta) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathcal{E}_I(\Delta) \wedge (\wedge^{k-|I_\Delta|} N_\mathbb{C}) & \text{for } 0 < |I_\Delta| \leq k, \\ \wedge^k N_\mathbb{C} & \text{for } |I_\Delta| = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } |I_\Delta| > k. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma gives a description of the vector space $N_I^k(\Delta)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let X_Δ be a smooth compact toric variety. For any $I \in S_\Delta$ and $x \in \wedge^k N_\mathbb{C}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

$$(1) \quad x \in N_I^k(\Delta),$$

- (2) $x \wedge e(\alpha_t) = 0$ for all $e(\alpha_t) \in E_I(\Delta)$,
- (3) $x \wedge y = 0$ for all $y \in F_I^\perp(\Delta)$.

Proof. (a) For $I \in S_\Delta$, in the case of $|I_\Delta| \leq k$, we suppose that $0 \leq |I_\Delta| = i \leq k$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta) = e(\alpha_{s_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge e(\alpha_{s_i}) \in \wedge^i N,$$

where $\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i})\}$ is a basis of $F_I^\perp(\Delta) \subseteq N_\mathbb{C}$.

We extend $\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i})\}$ to be a basis to $N_\mathbb{C}$, i.e., suppose that

$$\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i}), f_1, \dots, f_{n-i}\}$$

is a basis of $N_\mathbb{C}$. By some computations under the basis, we can prove Lemma 2.5. Here we omit the detail.

- (b) For $I \in S_\Delta$, In the case of $|I_\Delta| > k$, we have $N_I^k(\Delta) = 0$. Lemma 2.5 can be proved similarly.

□

2.3.2. The vector space $N_I^k(\sigma)$. Let $\sigma = \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} e_t(\sigma)$ be a smooth cone of dimension n , where $\{e_1(\sigma), \dots, e_n(\sigma)\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N . Let $U_\sigma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ be the affine toric variety associated the cone σ . Then we have $U_\sigma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$.

For any $I \in S_\sigma$, since $S_\sigma = \bigcup_{0 \leq j \leq n} S(\sigma, j)$, there exists a unique integer $0 \leq j \leq n$, such that $I \in S(\sigma, j)$. We denote by $|I_\sigma|$ the integer j , i.e., $|I_\sigma| = j$.

Suppose that

$$E_I(\sigma) = \{e_{s_1}(\sigma), \dots, e_{s_j}(\sigma)\},$$

where $1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_j \leq n$.

Let

$$\mathcal{E}_I(\sigma) = e_{s_1}(\sigma) \wedge \dots \wedge e_{s_j}(\sigma) \in \wedge^{|I_\sigma|} N.$$

Let $N_I^k(\sigma)$ be a subspace of $\wedge^k N_\mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$N_I^k(\sigma) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathcal{E}_I(\sigma) \wedge (\wedge^{k-|I_\sigma|} N_\mathbb{C}) & \text{for } 0 < |I_\sigma| \leq k, \\ \wedge^k N_\mathbb{C} & \text{for } |I_\sigma| = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } |I_\sigma| > k, \end{cases}$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$.

Similar to Lemma 2.5, we have

Lemma 2.6. *Let σ be a smooth cone of dimension n in $N_\mathbb{R}$. For any $I \in S_\sigma$ and $x \in \wedge^k N_\mathbb{C}$, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $x \in N_I^k(\sigma)$,
- (2) $x \wedge e_t(\sigma) = 0$ for all $e_t(\sigma) \in E_I(\sigma)$,
- (3) $x \wedge y = 0$ for all $y \in F_I^\perp(\sigma)$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let X_Δ be a smooth compact toric variety associated with a fan Δ in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. For all $I \in S_\Delta = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_\sigma$, we have*

$$(2.5) \quad \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) = N_I^k(\Delta).$$

Especially, we have

$$\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) = 0 \quad \text{for all } I \in S_\Delta \setminus S_k(\Delta).$$

Proof. (1) Since $|\Delta| = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} \sigma$, we have

$$E_I(\Delta) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} E_I(\sigma).$$

By Lemma 2.6, $x \in N_I^k(\sigma)$ if and only if $x \wedge e(\alpha_t) = 0$ for all $e(\alpha_t) \in E_I(\sigma)$. As a consequence,

$$x \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma)$$

if and only if

$$x \wedge e(\alpha_t) = 0$$

for all $e(\alpha_t) \in \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} E_I(\sigma) = E_I(\Delta)$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$x \wedge e(\alpha_t) = 0$$

for all $e(\alpha_t) \in E_I(\Delta)$ if and only if

$$x \in N_I^k(\Delta).$$

Therefore, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) = N_I^k(\Delta).$$

(2) For any $I \in S_\Delta \setminus S_k(\Delta)$, we have $|I_\Delta| > k$, which implies $N_I^k(\Delta) = 0$. By Equation (2.6), we get

$$\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) = 0$$

for all $I \in S_\Delta \setminus S_k(\Delta)$.

□

Remark 2.8. By Proposition 2.7, $N_I^k(\Delta)$ is a subspace of $N_I^k(\sigma)$ for any $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$.

3. HOLOMORPHIC POLYVECTOR FIELDS ON TORIC VARIETIES

3.1. The weight space V_I^k for smooth toric varieties.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a smooth toric variety. Let V_I^k be the weight space corresponding to the character $I \in M$ for the T -action on $H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X)$.*

- (1) *We have $V_0^k = W^k$, where V_0^k is the vector space consisting of all T -invariant holomorphic k -vector fields on X .*
- (2) *For any holomorphic k -vector field $v \in V_{-I}^k$, there exists a unique k -vector field $w \in W^k$, such that*

$$(3.1) \quad v|_T = \chi^I \cdot w|_T,$$

where $v|_T$ and $\chi^I \cdot w|_T$ are the restrictions of v and $\chi^I \cdot w$ on $T \subseteq X$.

Proof. (1) For any holomorphic T -invariant k -vector field $v \in V_0^k$, the restriction of v on $T \subseteq X$ is also T -invariant. Since the T -invariant k -vector fields on T can be identified as the elements in $\wedge^k \text{Lie}(T) \cong \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^k N_{\mathbb{C}}$, there exists an element $x \in \wedge^k N_{\mathbb{C}}$, such that

$$(3.2) \quad v|_T = \rho(x)|_T.$$

Since the k -vector fields v and $\rho(x)$ are holomorphic on X , and T is dense in X , we have

$$v = \rho(x) \in W^k$$

on X . Hence we obtain $V_0^k \subseteq W^k$.

On the other hand, any $w \in W^k$ is a T -invariant holomorphic k -vector field on X . Hence we have $W^k \subseteq V_0^k$.

By the arguments above, we get $V_0^k = W^k$.

- (2) For any holomorphic k -vector field $v \in V_{-I}^k$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad t_*(v) = \chi^{-I}(t) \cdot v$$

for all $t \in T$, where $t_*(v)$ is the induced action of $t \in T$ on the k -vector field v .

Next we prove that $\chi^{-I} \cdot v|_T$ is a T -invariant holomorphic k -vector field on T . For any $t \in T$ and any point $p \in T \subseteq X$, we have

$$(3.4) \quad (t_*(\chi^{-I} \cdot v))(p) = \chi^{-I}(t^{-1} \cdot p) \cdot (t_*(v))(p).$$

By Equation (3.3), we have

$$(t_*(v))(p) = \chi^{-I}(t) \cdot v(p).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\chi^{-I}(t^{-1} \cdot p) = \chi^{-I}(t^{-1})\chi^{-I}(p) = \chi^I(t)\chi^{-I}(p).$$

Thus Equation (3.4) shows us

$$t_*(\chi^{-I} \cdot v)(p) = \chi^{-I}(p) \cdot v(p) = (\chi^{-I} \cdot v)(p)$$

for all $t \in T$ and $p \in T \subseteq X$. Hence $\chi^{-I} \cdot v|_T$ is a T -invariant holomorphic k -vector field on T .

As a consequence, there exists $w \in W^k$ such that

$$w|_T = \chi^{-I} \cdot v|_T,$$

or equivalently,

$$v|_T = \chi^I \cdot w|_T.$$

□

By Lemma 3.1, any holomorphic k -vector fields $v \in V_{-I}^k$ can be written as $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ on $T \subseteq X$, where $I \in M$ and $w \in W^k$. In general, $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ is a meromorphic k -vector field on X for $I \in M$ and $w \in W^k$. If $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ has moveable singularity on X , by abuse of notations, we also use $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ to represent the corresponding holomorphic k -vector field on X , and we say that $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ is holomorphic on X in this paper.

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a smooth toric variety. Let $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ be a meromorphic k -vector field on X , where $I \in M$ and $w \in W^k$. Then $v = \chi^I \cdot w \in V_{-I}^k$ if and only if $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ is holomorphic on X .*

Proof. (1) “ \Leftarrow ” For any $w \in W^k$ and $v = \chi^I \cdot w$, suppose that v is holomorphic on X . We will show that $v \in V_{-I}^k$.

By the similar proof as in Lemma 3.1, we get

$$(3.5) \quad t_*(v)|_T = t_*(\chi^I \cdot w)|_T = \chi^{-I}(t)(\chi^I \cdot w)|_T = \chi^{-I}(t) \cdot v|_T$$

for all $t \in T$. If $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ is holomorphic on X , then $t_*(v)$ and $\chi^{-I}(t) \cdot v$ are both holomorphic on X . Since T is dense in X , the Equation (3.5) implies that

$$(3.6) \quad t_*(v) = \chi^{-I}(t) \cdot v$$

for all $t \in T$. Therefore we have $v \in V_{-I}^k$.

(2) “ \Rightarrow ” If $v = \chi^I \cdot w \in V_{-I}^k \subseteq H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X)$, then v is necessarily holomorphic on X .

□

3.2. Holomorphic polyvector fields on U_σ . Let σ be a smooth cone of dimension n in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $U_\sigma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ be the affine variety associated with σ .

The differential forms on affine toric varieties has been studied by Danilov in [3]. Here we discuss the holomorphic polyvector fields on U_σ . The methods we use are essentially similar with [3].

Let

$$W(\sigma) = \{w|_{U_\sigma} \mid w \in W\},$$

where $w|_{U_\sigma}$ is the restriction of the holomorphic vector field w on U_σ . Let

$$W^k(\sigma) = \{w|_{U_\sigma} \mid w \in W^k\}.$$

Then $W^k(\sigma)$ is a subspace of $H^0(U_\sigma, \wedge^k T_{U_\sigma})$. And we have $W^k(\sigma) = \wedge^k W(\sigma)$ ($0 \leq k \leq n$).

For any $I \in S_\sigma$, let

$$\mathcal{V}_I(\sigma) = \rho(\mathcal{E}_I(\sigma))|_{U_\sigma} \in W^{|I_\sigma|}(\sigma).$$

And let

$$(3.7) \quad W_I^k(\sigma) = \rho(N_I^k(\sigma))|_{U_\sigma} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathcal{V}_I(\sigma) \wedge W^{k-|I_\sigma|}(\sigma) & \text{for } 0 < |I_\sigma| \leq k, \\ \wedge^k N_{\mathbb{C}} & \text{for } |I_\sigma| = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } |I_\sigma| > k, \end{cases}$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then $W_I^k(\sigma)$ is a subspace of $W^k(\sigma)$.

Suppose that

$$\sigma = \sum_{t=1}^n \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot e_t(\sigma),$$

where $\{e_1(\sigma), \dots, e_n(\sigma)\}$ is a \mathbb{Z} -basis of N . Let $\{e_1^*(\sigma), \dots, e_n^*(\sigma)\} \subset M$ be the dual basis of $\{e_1(\sigma), \dots, e_n(\sigma)\}$, and let $z_t = \chi^{e_t^*(\sigma)}$ ($1 \leq t \leq n$) be the affine coordinates on $U_\sigma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$. Then we have

$$\rho(e_t(\sigma)) = z_t \frac{\partial}{\partial z_t}$$

for $1 \leq t \leq n$. Let $v_t = \rho(e_t(\sigma))$, $1 \leq t \leq n$. Then we have

$$W(\sigma) = \left\{ \sum_{t=1}^n a_t v_t \mid a_t \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Suppose $I = \sum_{t=1}^n m_t e_t^* \in M$. Then

$$\chi^I = z_1^{m_1} \dots z_n^{m_n}.$$

Let

$$E_I(\sigma) = \{e_{s_l}(\sigma) \mid m_{s_l} = -1, 1 \leq l \leq r, 1 \leq s_1 < \dots < s_j \leq n\}.$$

Then we have $|I_\sigma| = j$ and

$$\mathcal{V}_I(\sigma) = v_{s_1} \wedge \dots \wedge v_{s_j}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$S_\sigma = \{I = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i e_i^* \in M \mid m_i \geq -1\}$$

and

$$S_k(\sigma) = \{I = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i e_i^* \in M \mid m_i \geq -1, |I_\sigma| \leq k\}.$$

By Lemma 5.1 in [9], we have

Lemma 3.3. *Let σ be a smooth cone of dimension n in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ be a k -vector field on U_σ , where $I \in M$ and $w \in W^k(\sigma)$ ($w \neq 0$). Then $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ is holomorphic on U_σ if and only if*

$$I \in S_k(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad w \in W_I^k(\sigma).$$

Let

$$V_I^k(\sigma) = \chi^I \cdot W_I^k(\sigma) = \{\chi^I \cdot w \mid w \in W^k(\sigma)\}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, for any $I \in S_k(\sigma)$, elements in $V_I^k(\sigma)$ are holomorphic k -vector fields on X . Hence $V_I^k(\sigma)$ can be considered a subspace of $H^0(U_\sigma, \wedge^k T_{U_\sigma})$ for any $I \in S_k(\sigma)$.

For a smooth cone σ of dimension n in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, the T -action on U_σ induces a T -action on $H^0(U_\sigma, \wedge^k T_{U_\sigma})$. We denote by $V_I^k(U_\sigma)$ the weight space corresponding to the character $I \in M$.

The following lemma also comes from Lemma 5.1 in [9].

Lemma 3.4. *Let σ be a smooth cone of dimension n in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $U_\sigma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ be the affine toric variety associated with the cone σ . Then we have*

$$V_{-I}^k(U_\sigma) = \begin{cases} V_I^k(\sigma) & \text{for all } I \in S_k(\sigma), \\ 0 & \text{for all } I \in M \setminus S_k(\sigma). \end{cases}$$

3.3. Holomorphic polyvector fields on X_Δ . Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a smooth compact toric variety associated with a fan Δ in $N_\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. Recall that X_Δ is smooth if and only if each cone $\sigma \subset \Delta$ is smooth, i.e., σ is generated by a subset of a basis of N . And X_Δ is compact if and only if

$$|\Delta| = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta} \sigma = N_\mathbb{R}.$$

Let $U_\sigma \subseteq X_\Delta$ be the affine variety associated with a cone $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$. Then we have $X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} U_\sigma$. As X is smooth, we have $U_\sigma \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ for all $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$. Moreover, we have $T \subset U_\sigma \subset X$ for all $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a compact smooth toric variety. Let $v = \chi^I \cdot \rho(x)$ be a k -vector field on X , where $I \in M$ and $x \in \wedge^k N_\mathbb{C}$ ($x \neq 0$). Then v is holomorphic on X if and only if*

$$x \in N_I^k(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad I \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

Proof. Since $X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} U_\sigma$, $v = \chi^I \cdot \rho(x)$ is holomorphic on X if and only if $v = \chi^I \cdot \rho(x)$ is holomorphic on U_σ for all $\sigma \in \Delta(n)$. By Lemma 3.3, $v = \chi^I \cdot \rho(x)$ is holomorphic on U_σ if and only if $I \in S_k(\sigma)$ and $\rho(x) \in W_I^k(\sigma)$. However, by Equation (3.7), we have

$$\rho(x) \in W_I^k(\sigma) \iff x \in N_I^k(\sigma).$$

Thus v is holomorphic on X if and if

$$x \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad I \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

□

Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a smooth compact toric variety of dimension n . Let

$$\mathcal{V}_I(\Delta) = \rho(\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta)) \in W^{|I_\Delta|}$$

for $I \in S_\Delta$. Recall that

$$W_I^k(\Delta) = \rho(N_I^k(\Delta)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \cdot \mathcal{V}_I(\Delta) \wedge W^{k-|I_\Delta|} & \text{for } 0 < |I_\Delta| \leq k, \\ W^k & \text{for } |I_\Delta| = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } |I_\Delta| > k \end{cases}$$

and

$$V_I^k(\Delta) = \chi^I \cdot W_I^k(\Delta) = \{\chi^I \cdot w \mid w \in W_I^k(\Delta)\}.$$

The elements in $V_I^k(\Delta)$ are considered as meromorphic k -vector fields on $X = X_\Delta$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a smooth compact toric variety of dimension n . For all $I \in S_k(\Delta)$, any k -vector field in $V_I^k(\Delta)$ is holomorphic on X .*

Proof. For any k -vector field $v = \chi^I \cdot w \in V_I^k(\Delta)$, there exists $x \in N_I^k(\Delta)$, such that $w = \rho(x)$. By Proposition 2.7, $x \in N_I^k(\Delta)$ implies $x \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma)$. By Proposition 2.4, $I \in S_k(\Delta)$ implies $I \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma)$. By Lemma 3.5, v is holomorphic on X . □

By Lemma 3.6, $V_I^k(\Delta)$ can be considered as a subspace of $H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X)$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $X = X_\Delta$ be a smooth compact toric variety of dimension n . Let V_I^k be the weight space corresponding to the character $I \in M$ for the T -action on $H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X)$.*

(1) *We have*

$$V_{-I}^k = \begin{cases} V_I^k(\Delta) & \text{for all } I \in S_k(\Delta), \\ 0 & \text{for all } I \in M \setminus S_k(\Delta). \end{cases}$$

(2) *For any $I \in S_k(\Delta)$, we have*

$$\dim V_I^k(\Delta) = \binom{n - |I_\Delta|}{k - |I_\Delta|}.$$

Proof. (1) (a) We will prove here $V_{-I}^k = V_I^k(\Delta)$ for all $I \in S_k(\Delta)$.

By Lemma 3.1, any element $v \in V_{-I}^k$ can be written as

$$v = \chi^I \cdot w,$$

where $w \in W^k$. And by Lemma 3.2, $v = \chi^I \cdot w \in V_{-I}^k$ if and only if $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ is holomorphic on X .

Suppose that $w = \rho(x)$, where $x \in \wedge^k N_{\mathbb{C}}$. By Lemma 3.5, v is holomorphic on X if and only if

$$(3.8) \quad x \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) \quad \text{and} \quad I \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

However, Proposition 2.7 tells us

$$\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) = N_I^k(\Delta)$$

for all $I \in S_k(\Delta)$. And by Proposition 2.4, $I \in S_k(\Delta)$ implies

$$I \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

As a consequence, for $I \in S_k(\Delta)$, $v = \chi^I \cdot \rho(x)$ is holomorphic on X if and only if

$$x \in N_I^k(\Delta),$$

which is equivalent to

$$v \in V_I^k(\Delta).$$

Hence we have $V_{-I}^k = V_I^k(\Delta)$ for all $I \in S_k(\Delta)$.

(b) We will prove here $V_{-I}^k = 0$ for all $I \notin S_k(\Delta)$.

By Proposition 2.4, we have

$$(3.9) \quad S_k(\Delta) \subseteq \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

As a consequence, for $I \notin S_k(\Delta)$, we have

$$I \notin \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma) \quad \text{or} \quad I \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma) \setminus S_k(\Delta).$$

By Lemma 3.1, any $v \in V_{-I}^k$ can be written as $v = \chi^I \cdot w$, where $w \in W^k$. By Lemma 3.2, $v = \chi^I \cdot w \in V_{-I}^k$ if and only if $v = \chi^I \cdot w$ is holomorphic on X . Suppose that

$w = \rho(x)$, where $x \in \wedge^k N_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $v = \chi^I \cdot \rho(x)$. According to Lemma 3.5, the k -vector field $v = \chi^I \cdot \rho(x)$ ($v \neq 0$) is holomorphic on X if and only if

$$(3.10) \quad x \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) \ (x \neq 0) \quad \text{and} \quad I \in \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma).$$

(i) For any $I \notin \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma)$, by Equation (3.10), we get

$$(3.11) \quad V_{-I}^k = 0.$$

(ii) For any $I \in (\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma)) \setminus S_k(\Delta)$, by Proposition 2.4, we have

$$(3.12) \quad I \in (\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma)) \setminus S_k(\Delta) \subseteq (\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_{\sigma}) \setminus S_k(\Delta) = S_{\Delta} \setminus S_k(\Delta).$$

Moreover, by Proposition 2.7 and Equation (3.12), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} N_I^k(\sigma) = 0$$

for all $I \in (\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma)) \setminus S_k(\Delta)$. By Equations (3.10) and (3.13), we get

$$(3.14) \quad V_{-I}^k = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad I \in (\bigcap_{\sigma \in \Delta(n)} S_k(\sigma)) \setminus S_k(\Delta).$$

By Equations (3.9), (3.11) and (3.14), we obtain $V_{-I}^k = 0$ for $I \notin S_k(\Delta)$.

(2) For any $I \in S_k(\Delta)$, we have $0 \leq |I_{\Delta}| \leq k$. Suppose $0 \leq |I_{\Delta}| = i \leq k$. And suppose that

$$\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta) = e(\alpha_{s_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge e(\alpha_{s_i}) \in \wedge^i N,$$

where $\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i})\}$ is a basis of $F_I^{\perp}(\Delta) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{C}}$.

We extend $\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i})\}$ to be a basis to $N_{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose the set

$$\{e(\alpha_{s_1}), \dots, e(\alpha_{s_i}), f_1, \dots, f_{n-i}\}$$

is a basis of $N_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then the set

$$\{\rho(e(\alpha_{s_1})), \dots, \rho(e(\alpha_{s_i})), \rho(f_1), \dots, \rho(f_{n-i})\}$$

is a basis of W . As a consequence, the set

$$\{\chi^I \cdot \rho(\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta)) \wedge \rho(f_{t_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \rho(f_{t_{k-i}}) \mid 1 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_{k-i} \leq n-i\}$$

is a basis of the vector space $V_I^k(\Delta) = \chi^I \cdot \rho(\mathcal{E}_I(\Delta)) \wedge W^{k-i}$. Hence we have

$$\dim V_I^k(\Delta) = \binom{n-i}{k-i} = \binom{n-|I_{\Delta}|}{k-|I_{\Delta}|}.$$

□

3.4. The proof of Theorem A.

Proof. (1) By Equation (1.1), we have

$$(3.15) \quad H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X) = \bigoplus_{I \in M} V_I^k = \bigoplus_{I \in M} V_{-I}^k$$

By Proposition 3.7, we have

$$(3.16) \quad V_{-I}^k = \begin{cases} V_I^k(\Delta) & \text{for } I \in S_k(\Delta), \\ 0 & \text{for } I \notin S_k(\Delta). \end{cases}$$

By Equations (3.15) and (3.16), we get

$$(3.17) \quad H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X) = \bigoplus_{I \in S_k(\Delta)} V_I^k(\Delta).$$

(2) For any $I \in S(\Delta, i)$, $|I_\Delta| = i$, by Proposition 3.7, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \dim V_I^k(\Delta) = \binom{n-i}{k-i}.$$

According to the definition of $S(\Delta, i)$, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \#S(\Delta, i) = \sum_{F_j \in P_\Delta(n-i)} \#(\text{int}(F_j) \cap M).$$

By Equations (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$(3.20) \quad \sum_{I \in S(\Delta, i)} \dim V_I^k(\Delta) = \sum_{F_j \in P_\Delta(n-i)} \binom{n-i}{k-i} \cdot \#(\text{int}(F_j) \cap M).$$

Since $S_k(\Delta) = \bigcup_{i=0}^k S(\Delta, i)$, by Equation (3.17) and Equation (3.20), we get

$$\dim H^0(X, \wedge^k T_X) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{I \in S(\Delta, i)} \dim V_I^k(\Delta) = \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{F_j \in P_\Delta(n-i)} \binom{n-i}{k-i} \cdot \#(\text{int}(F_j) \cap M).$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Sergey Barannikov and Maxim Kontsevich, *Frobenius manifolds and formality of Lie algebras of polyvector fields*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **4** (1998), 201–215, DOI 10.1155/S1073792898000166. MR1609624
- [2] David Cox, *What is a toric variety?*, Topics in algebraic geometry and geometric modeling, Contemp. Math., vol. 334, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003, pp. 203–223, DOI 10.1090/conm/334/05983. MR2039974
- [3] V. I. Danilov, *The geometry of toric varieties*, English translation, Russian Maths. Surveys **33** (1978), 97–154.
- [4] Michel Demazure, *Sous-groupes algébriques de rang maximum du groupe de Cremona*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **3** (1970), 507–588 (French). MR0284446
- [5] V. A. Dolgushev, C. L. Rogers, and T. H. Willwacher, *Kontsevich’s graph complex, GRT, and the deformation complex of the sheaf of polyvector fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **182** (2015), no. 3, 855–943, DOI 10.4007/annals.2015.182.3.2. MR3418532
- [6] William Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 131, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. The William H. Roever Lectures in Geometry. MR1234037
- [7] Marco Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, Ann. of Math. (2) **174** (2011), no. 1, 75–123, DOI 10.4007/annals.2011.174.1.3. MR2811595

- [8] Nigel Hitchin, *Stable bundles and polyvector fields*, Complex and differential geometry, Springer Proc. Math., vol. 8, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, pp. 135–156. MR2964473
- [9] Wei Hong, *Poisson cohomology of holomorphic toric Poisson manifolds. I*, J. Algebra **527** (2019), 147–181, DOI 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.03.001. MR3922831
- [10] Evgeny N. Materov, *The Bott formula for toric varieties*, Mosc. Math. J. **2** (2002), no. 1, 161–182, 200 (English, with English and Russian summaries). MR1900589
- [11] Tadao Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. An introduction to the theory of toric varieties; Translated from the Japanese. MR922894
- [12] Travis Mandel and Helge Ruddat, *Tropical quantum field theory, mirror polyvector fields, and multiplicities of tropical curves*, arXiv 1902.07183 (2019).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, CHINA

HUBEI KEY LABORATORY OF COMPUTATIONAL SCIENCE, WUHAN UNIVERSITY, WUHAN, 430072, CHINA

Email address: hong_w@whu.edu.cn