

SPACETIME INTEGRAL BOUNDS FOR THE ENERGY-CRITICAL NONLINEAR WAVE EQUATION

BENJAMIN DODSON

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a global spacetime bound for the quintic, nonlinear wave equation in three dimensions. This bound depends on the $L_t^\infty L_x^2$ and $L_t^\infty \dot{H}^2$ norms of the solution to the quintic problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been known for a long time that the defocusing, quintic nonlinear wave equation,

$$(1.1) \quad u_{tt} - \Delta u + u^5 = 0, \quad u(0, x) = u_0, \quad u_t(0, x) = u_1, \quad u : I \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

has a global solution that scatters for initial data lying in the critical Sobolev space $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \cap L^6 \times L^2$. Equation (1.1) is called energy-critical because the solution conserves the energy

$$(1.2) \quad E(u(t)) = \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int |u_t(t, x)|^2 dx + \frac{1}{6} \int |u(t, x)|^6 dx,$$

which is invariant under the scaling symmetry

$$(1.3) \quad u(t, x) \mapsto \lambda^{1/2} u(\lambda t, \lambda x).$$

Conservation of energy implies that for all $t \in I$, where I is the maximal interval of existence for a solution to (1.1),

$$(1.4) \quad \|(u(t), u_t(t))\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap L^2 \times L^2} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1 \cap L^6}, \|u_1\|_{L^2} \quad 1.$$

The Sobolev embedding theorem guarantees that in \mathbb{R}^3 , $\|u_0\|_{L^6} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\dot{H}^1}$.

Equation (1.1) belongs to the large class of equations for which the local and small data theory is entirely determined by its scaling symmetry, (1.3). Indeed, the local well-posedness result of [Seg63] implies that I is an open interval for any $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$. Scattering was proved in [Pec84] and [Rau81] for small energy data. Observe that $u(t, x)$ is a solution to (1.1) if and only if $v(t, x) = \lambda^{1/2} u(\lambda t, \lambda x)$ is a solution to (1.1) for different initial data.

For radial initial data, [Str88] proved global well-posedness of (1.1) for any initial data lying in the energy space. Later, [GSV92] combined the Morawetz estimate

$$(1.5) \quad \int_I \int \frac{1}{|x|} |u(t, x)|^6 dx dt \lesssim E(u_0, u_1),$$

with the radial Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(1.6) \quad \| |x| u^2 \|_{L_{t,x}^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim E(u_0, u_1),$$

Date: January 23, 2022.

to obtain the bound

$$(1.7) \quad \int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} u(t, x)^8 dx dt \lesssim E(u_0, u_1)^2.$$

This bound is enough to prove that (1.1) is globally well-posed and scattering for any radial initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$. Scattering is defined as there exist $(u_0^+, u_1^+) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ and $(u_0^-, u_1^-) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$ such that

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \|(u(t), u_t(t)) - S(t)(u_0^+, u_1^+)\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2} = 0,$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \|(u(t), u_t(t)) - S(t)(u_0^-, u_1^-)\|_{\dot{H}^1 \times L^2} = 0,$$

where $S(t)$ is the solution operator to the free wave equation $u_{tt} - \Delta u = 0$.

For non-radial initial data, [Gri90] proved global well-posedness and persistence of regularity for (1.1) with smooth initial data. This result was extended to higher dimensions by [Gri92] and [Kap94]. Later, [SS94] proved global well-posedness for initial data in the energy space. Using the profile decomposition of [BG99], [Nak99b] proved global well-posedness and scattering for the quintic nonlinear wave equation (1.1) with initial data in the energy space. See also [Nak99a] for the Klein-Gordon equation. See [BS98] for a decay estimate for $\|u(t)\|_{L^6}$.

The proof of scattering is equivalent to the proof that for any $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}^1 \times L^2$, (1.1) has a global solution u that satisfies the bound

$$(1.10) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim_{E(u_0, u_1)} 1.$$

(The argument proving this fact may be found in [Dod18], for example.) However, for non-radial data, the best spacetime bounds for the scattering size are much weaker than the bounds for the radial data, (1.7).

Theorem 1. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be initial data with the energy bound*

$$(1.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |u_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_0|^2 + \frac{1}{6} |u_0|^6 dx \leq E.$$

Then there exists a unique global solution $u \in C_t^0 H_x^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap C_t^1 L_x^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_t^4 L_x^{12}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ with the spacetime bound

$$(1.12) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^4 L_x^{12}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(1 + E)^{CE^{105/2}},$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$. Interpolating (1.12) with $\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^6(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq E^{1/6}$ gives

$$(1.13) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq C(1 + E)^{CE^{105/2}},$$

This theorem was proved in [Tao06]. The proof used the induction on energy argument. This argument was previously used in [Bou99] to prove scattering for the radially symmetric, nonlinear Schrödinger equation in dimensions three and four. This argument was also used in [Tao05], proving scattering in dimensions five and higher for the radially symmetric nonlinear Schrödinger equation. Explicit scattering size bounds were also obtained in [Tao05].

In this note we obtain the following bounds for the size of the spacetime integral of a solution to (1.1).

Theorem 2. *Let $(u_0, u_1) \in \dot{H}_x^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \times L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be initial data with the energy bound*

$$(1.14) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2}|u_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u_0|^2 + \frac{1}{6}|u_0|^6 dx \leq E.$$

Also suppose that the solution to (1.1) has the a priori bounds

$$(1.15) \quad \|(u, u_t)\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2 \times \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A, \quad \|(u, u_t)\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^2 \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A,$$

for some $A < \infty$. Then there exists a unique global solution $u \in C_t^0 H_x^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap C_t^1 L_x^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ with the spacetime bound

$$(1.16) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq CE^{4/7} A \exp(CE^{85/6} E^{13/14} A^{11}),$$

for some absolute constant $C > 0$.

Remark 1. *One may use the scaling symmetry (1.3) to obtain the equality that arises in (1.15).*

The proof of Theorem 2 follows the line of argument previously used for the nonlinear Schrödinger equation in [DM17], [ADM20], and especially in [DM18]. We utilize an interaction Morawetz estimate to show that the energy of a solution must eventually spread out in \mathbb{R}^3 . More precisely, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $T < \infty$, there exists $\mathcal{T}(T, \epsilon) < \infty$ such that for some $t_0 \in [0, \mathcal{T}(T, \epsilon)]$,

$$(1.17) \quad \int_{t_0}^{t_0+T} \int |u(t, x)|^8 dx dt \leq \epsilon.$$

Combining this fact with some dispersive estimates for the linear wave equation implies Theorem 2.

2. LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS AND SMALL DATA ARGUMENTS

As was mentioned in the introduction, [Gri90] proved that (1.1) is globally well-posed for initial data in the energy space. Additionally, the a priori bound

$$(2.1) \quad \|(u, u_t)\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^2 \times \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq A,$$

implies that

Theorem 3. *For any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $T > 0$,*

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^8 \langle T \rangle.$$

Proof. This follows from the Sobolev embedding theorem. Indeed, since $S(t)$ is a unitary operator on $\dot{H}^s \times \dot{H}^{s-1}$, for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the bounds (2.1) and (1.15) imply

$$(2.3) \quad \|S(t - t_0)(u(t_0), u_t(t_0))\|_{L^s} \lesssim \|(u(t_0), u_t(t_0))\|_{\dot{H}^{9/8} \times \dot{H}^{1/8}} \lesssim A.$$

Taking $|I|$ sufficiently small depending on E and A , small data arguments imply

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim 1.$$

Since the bound (2.1) is uniform on \mathbb{R} , we can partition $[t_0, t_0 + T]$ into $\lesssim A^8 \langle T \rangle$ such intervals for which (2.4), which proves (2.2). \square

Remark 2. *In fact, for any \dot{H}^1 -admissible pair (p, q) in \mathbb{R}^3 , we have proved*

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q([t_0, t_0 + T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A \langle T \rangle^{1/p}.$$

We can prove a small data well-posedness result.

Theorem 4. *There exists $\epsilon(E) > 0$ such that if $I = [t_0, t_0 + T]$ is an interval for which*

$$(2.6) \quad \|S(t - t_0)(u(t_0), u_t(t_0))\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^\infty \dot{H}^1(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq E^{1/2},$$

then

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Proof. By Duhamel's principle, for any $t \in I$,

$$(2.9) \quad u(t) = S(t - t_0)(u(t_0), u_t(t_0)) - \int_{t_0}^t S(t - \tau)(0, u^5) d\tau.$$

By interpolation,

$$(2.10) \quad \| |\nabla|^{1/2} u^5 \|_{L_t^{16/9} L_x^{16/13}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^{1/2} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{9/2}.$$

Then interpolating the estimates,

$$(2.11) \quad \|S(t)(0, F)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim \frac{1}{t} \|\nabla F\|_{L^1}, \quad \|S(t)(0, F)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \| |\nabla|^{-1} F \|_{L^2},$$

the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality implies

$$(2.12) \quad \| |\nabla|^{1/4}, |\partial_t|^{1/4} \int_{t_0}^t S(t - \tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \|_{L_{t,x}^{16/3}(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^{1/2} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{9/2}.$$

Then by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(2.13) \quad \left\| \int_{t_0}^t S(t - \tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2}^{1/2} \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I \times \mathbb{R}^3)}^{9/2}.$$

Choosing $\epsilon(E)$ sufficiently small, $\epsilon(E) \sim E^{-1/14}$ will do, the proof is complete. \square

3. A REDUCTION OF THE SOLUTION

Strichartz estimates imply that

$$(3.1) \quad \|S(t)(u_0, u_1)\|_{L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim E^{1/2}.$$

Next, partition \mathbb{R} into $\sim \frac{E^4}{\epsilon^8}$ subintervals I_j such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|S(t)(u_0, u_1)\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4},$$

where $\epsilon \sim E^{-1/14}$. Then by the triangle inequality,

$$(3.3) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim E^{4/7} \sup_j \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Therefore, to obtain a bound on the scattering size of u , it is enough to obtain a bound on $\|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)}$ that is uniform in j .

Fix $I_j = [a_j, b_j]$. If there exists some $t_j \in [a_j, b_j]$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \|S(t - t_j)(u(t_j), u_t(t_j))\|_{L_{t,x}^8([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \epsilon,$$

then Theorems 3 and 4 imply

$$(3.5) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(I_j \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A \langle t_j - a_j \rangle^{1/8} + \epsilon.$$

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Duhamel's principle implies that the solution to (1.1) has the form

$$(3.6) \quad S(t)(u_0, u_1) - \int_0^t S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau.$$

Therefore, for any $t > t_j$,

$$(3.7) \quad S(t-t_j)(u(t_j), u_t(t_j)) = S(t)(u_0, u_1) - \int_0^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau.$$

By definition of I_j , (3.2) implies

$$(3.8) \quad \|S(t)(u_0, u_1)\|_{L_{t,x}^8([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Therefore, to obtain (3.4) it only remains to prove

$$(3.9) \quad \left\| \int_0^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^8([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{3\epsilon}{4}.$$

Suppose without loss of generality that $a_j \geq 0$ and decompose

$$(3.10) \quad \int_0^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau = \int_0^{t_j-T} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau + \int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau.$$

Lemma 1. *There exists $T \sim \frac{A^2 E^{13/6}}{\epsilon^4}$ such that*

$$(3.11) \quad \left\| \int_0^{t_j-T} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^8([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4},$$

for any $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For any $(t, x) \in [t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$(3.12) \quad \left(\int_0^{t_j-T} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right)(t, x) = \int_0^{t_j-T} \frac{1}{4\pi(t-\tau)} \int_{|x-x'|=|t-\tau|} u^5(\tau, x') dS(x'),$$

where dS denotes the surface measure of a sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . See for example [Sog95]. Also, by computing the energy flux, for any $R > 0$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{|x-x_0| \leq R-t} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |u_t(t, x)|^2 + \frac{1}{6} |u(t, x)|^6 \right] dx \leq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{|x-x_0|=R-t} |u(t, x)|^6 d\sigma(x).$$

Therefore, by conservation of energy, (3.13), and Hölder's inequality,

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \int_0^{t_j-T} \frac{1}{4\pi(t-\tau)} \int_{|x-x'|=|t-\tau|} u^5(\tau, x') dS(x') \right| \lesssim E^{5/6} \left(\int_0^{t_j-T} \frac{1}{|t-\tau|^4} \right)^{1/6} \lesssim \frac{E^{5/6}}{T^{1/2}}.$$

Strichartz estimates, the energy identity, and Duhamel's principle also imply that

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^{t_j-T} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^4([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} &\lesssim \|(u(t_j-T), u_t(t_j-T))\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2} \times \dot{H}^{-1/2}} \\ &\quad + \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{\dot{H}^{1/2} \times \dot{H}^{-1/2}} \lesssim E^{1/4} A^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Interpolating (3.14) and (3.15),

$$(3.16) \quad \left\| \int_0^{t_j-T} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^s([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A^{1/2} \frac{E^{13/24}}{T^{1/4}}.$$

Taking $T \sim \frac{A^2 E^{13/6}}{\epsilon^4}$ gives the estimate

$$(3.17) \quad \left\| \int_0^{t_j-T} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^s([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

□

Therefore, to obtain uniform spacetime integral bounds on a solution to (1.1), it only remains to prove that there exists $\mathcal{T}(T)$ such that for any j , if $b_j - a_j \geq \mathcal{T}(T)$, there exists $t_j \in I_j$, $0 < t_j - a_j \leq \mathcal{T}(T)$ that satisfies

$$(3.18) \quad \left\| \int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^s([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

This will be the topic of the next section. The proof will utilize an interaction Morawetz estimate.

4. INTERACTION MORAWETZ ESTIMATE

Theorem 5. *For $T \sim \frac{A^2 E^{13/6}}{\epsilon^4}$ there exists $\mathcal{T}(T)$ such that for any j , if $b_j - a_j \geq \mathcal{T}(T)$, there exists $t_j \in I_j$, $0 < t_j - a_j \leq \mathcal{T}(T)$ that satisfies*

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^s([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Proof. The proof uses an interaction Morawetz estimate. Choose $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ that is supported on $|x| \leq 2$, $\phi(x) = 1$ on $|x| \leq 1$, $\phi(x) \geq 0$. Let $M_R(t)$ denote the Morawetz potential,

$$(4.2) \quad M_R(t) = \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) (x-y) \cdot \langle u_t, \nabla u \rangle dx dy + \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \langle u_t, u \rangle dx dy,$$

where $R > 0$ is a fixed constant and $e(t, y)$ is the energy density

$$(4.3) \quad e(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} u_t(t, y)^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u(t, y)|^2 + \frac{1}{6} |u(t, y)|^6.$$

By direct calculation,

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{d}{dt} M_R(t) = \int \nabla \cdot \langle u_t, \nabla u \rangle \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) (x-y) \cdot \langle u_t, \nabla u \rangle$$

$$(4.5) \quad + \int \nabla \cdot \langle u_t, \nabla u \rangle \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \langle u_t, u \rangle dx dy$$

$$(4.6) \quad + \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) (x-y) \cdot [\langle \nabla u, \Delta u \rangle - \langle u^5, \nabla u \rangle] dx dy$$

$$(4.7) \quad + \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) (x-y) \cdot \langle u_t, \nabla u_t \rangle dx dy$$

$$(4.8) \quad + \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) [\langle u, \Delta u \rangle - \langle u, u^5 \rangle] dx dy$$

$$(4.9) \quad + \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \langle u_t, u_t \rangle dx dy.$$

Integrating by parts,

$$(4.10) \quad (4.7) = -\frac{3}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) u_t^2 dx dy - \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi'\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{|x-y|}{R} u_t^2 dx dy,$$

so

$$(4.11) \quad (4.7) + (4.9) = -\frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) u_t^2 dx dy - \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi'\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{|x-y|}{R} u_t^2 dx dy.$$

Also integrating by parts,

$$(4.12) \quad (4.8) = - \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx dy - \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) u^6 dx dy \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi''\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{1}{R^2} u^2 dx dy.$$

Rewriting,

$$(4.13) \quad \langle \Delta u, \nabla u \rangle = \partial_k \langle \partial_j u, \partial_k u \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \partial_j \langle \partial_k u, \partial_k u \rangle,$$

and integrating by parts,

$$(4.14) \quad (4.6) = \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) |\nabla u|^2 dx dy + \frac{1}{6} \int e(t, y) \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) u^6 dx dy \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi'\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{(x-y)_j (x-y)_k}{|x-y|R} \langle \partial_j u, \partial_k u \rangle dx dy \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi'\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{|x-y|}{R} |\nabla u|^2 dx dy \\ + \frac{1}{6} \int e(t, y) \phi'\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{|x-y|}{R} u^6 dx dy.$$

Also, integrating by parts,

$$(4.15) \quad (4.4) = \int \langle \partial_k u, u_t \rangle \phi\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \delta_{jk} \langle \partial_j u, u_t \rangle dx dy \\ + \int \langle \partial_k u, u_t \rangle \phi'\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{(x-y)_j (x-y)_k}{|x-y|R} \langle \partial_j u, u_t \rangle dx dy.$$

Finally, integrating by parts,

$$(4.16) \quad (4.5) = \int \langle \partial_k u, u_t \rangle \phi'\left(\frac{x-y}{R}\right) \frac{(x-y)_k}{|x-y|R} \langle u, u_t \rangle dx dy.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (4.4) + (4.5) + (4.6) + (4.7) + (4.8) + (4.9) \\
&= - \int [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^6] \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{3}u^6] dx dy \\
&\quad + \int \langle \partial_k u, u_t \rangle \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) \delta_{jk} \langle \partial_j u, u_t \rangle dx dy \\
&\quad + \int \langle \partial_k u, u_t \rangle \phi'(\frac{x-y}{R}) \frac{(x-y)_j (x-y)_k}{|x-y|R} \langle \partial_j u, u_t \rangle dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi'(\frac{x-y}{R}) \frac{|x-y|}{R} u_t^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi''(\frac{x-y}{R}) \frac{1}{R^2} u^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi'(\frac{x-y}{R}) \frac{(x-y)_j (x-y)_k}{|x-y|R} \langle \partial_j u, \partial_k u \rangle dx dy \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int e(t, y) \phi'(\frac{x-y}{R}) \frac{|x-y|}{R} |\nabla u|^2 dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{6} \int e(t, y) \phi'(\frac{x-y}{R}) \frac{|x-y|}{R} u^6 dx dy \\
&\quad + \int \langle \partial_k u, u_t \rangle \phi'(\frac{x-y}{R}) \frac{(x-y)_k}{|x-y|R} \langle u, u_t \rangle dx dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{J} \int_{R_0}^{e^J R_0} \frac{1}{R} \frac{d}{dt} M_R(t) dR \\
&= - \frac{1}{J} \int_{R_0}^{e^J R_0} \frac{1}{R} \int [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^6] \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{3}u^6] dx dy dR \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{J} \int_{R_0}^{e^J R_0} \frac{1}{R} \int \langle \partial_j u, u_t \rangle \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) \langle \partial_j u, u_t \rangle dx dy dR \\
&\quad + O(\frac{1}{J} \int_{|x-y| \leq 2e^J R_0} e(t, y) e(t, x) dx dy) \\
&\quad + O(\frac{1}{J} \int_{|x-y| \leq 2e^J R_0} e(t, y) \frac{1}{|x-y|^2} u(t, x)^2 dx dy).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Therefore, by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a_j}^{a_j + \mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{J} \int_{R_0}^{e^J R_0} \frac{1}{R} \int [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^6] \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{3}u^6] dx dy dR dt \\
&\quad - \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{J} \int_{R_0}^{e^J R_0} \frac{1}{R} \int |\nabla u| |u_t| \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) |\nabla u| |u_t| dx dy dR dt \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{T}}{J} E^2 + \frac{e^J R_0}{J} E^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Now by positive definiteness argument, if we choose $\mathcal{T} = e^J R_0$,

$$(4.20) \quad \int_{a_j}^{a_j+\mathcal{T}} \frac{1}{J} \int_{R_0}^{e^J R_0} \frac{1}{R} \int [\frac{1}{2}(|u_t| - |\nabla u|)^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^6] \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{3}u^6] dx dy dR dt \lesssim \frac{\mathcal{T}}{J} E^2.$$

Inequality (4.20) implies that there exists some $t_j \in [a_j + T, a_j + \mathcal{T} + T]$ and some $R_0 \leq R \leq e^J R_0$ such that

$$(4.21) \quad \int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} \int \int [\frac{1}{2}(|\nabla u| - |u_t|)^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^6] \phi(\frac{x-y}{R}) [\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{3}u^6] dx dy dt \lesssim \frac{T}{J} E^2.$$

Rewriting (4.21) as a sum,

$$(4.22) \quad \int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \left(\int \chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R}) (\frac{1}{2}(|\nabla u| - |u_t|)^2 + \frac{1}{6}u^6 dx) \right) \left(\int \chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R}) (\frac{1}{2}u_t^2 + \frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^2 + \frac{2}{3}u^6 dx) \right) dt \lesssim \frac{T}{J} E^2,$$

where χ is a smooth, compactly supported function, $\chi(x) \geq 0$ on the ball of radius 1.

Also, by the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^6}^{1/2} \|\nabla(\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u)\|_{L^6}^{1/2} \\ & \lesssim \frac{1}{R^{1/2}} \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^6}^{1/2} \|\chi'(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^6}^{1/2} + \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^6}^{1/2} \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})\nabla u\|_{L^6}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the support properties of χ and χ' , (4.23) implies

$$(4.24) \quad \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6 \right)^{1/6} \lesssim \frac{1}{R^{1/2}} \|u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{1/2} \lesssim \frac{1}{R^{1/2}} E^{1/6} + E^{1/12} A^{1/2}.$$

Taking $R \gtrsim \frac{E^{1/3}}{A}$,

$$(4.25) \quad \left(\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)}^6 \right)^{1/6} \lesssim E^{1/12} A^{1/2}.$$

Meanwhile, by (4.21),

$$(4.26) \quad \left(\int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^3} \|\chi(\frac{Rk-x}{R})u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)}^{12} dt \right)^{1/12} \lesssim \frac{T^{1/12}}{J^{1/12}} E^{1/6}.$$

Interpolating (4.25) and (4.26),

$$(4.27) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^{24} L_x^9([t_j-T, t_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{T^{1/24}}{J^{1/24}} E^{1/8} A^{1/4}.$$

Also by (1.15),

$$(4.28) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2([t_j-T, t_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim A.$$

Interpolating (4.27) and (4.28)

$$(4.29) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^{27} L_x^{\frac{162}{25}}([t_j-T, t_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \frac{T^{1/27}}{J^{1/27}} E^{1/9} A^{1/3}.$$

Choosing $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that $\delta^3 E \lesssim \epsilon$, $\|u\|_{L_t^{27} L_x^{\frac{162}{25}}([t_j-T, t_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \delta$ implies

$$(4.30) \quad \left\| \int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) d\tau \right\|_{L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \epsilon.$$

Indeed, by Strichartz estimates and conservation of energy, if (p, q) is the \dot{H}^1 -admissible pair $(p, q) = (\frac{9}{4}, 54)$,

$$(4.31) \quad \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q} \lesssim E^{1/2} + \delta^3 \|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q}^2,$$

which implies $\|u\|_{L_t^p L_x^q([t_j-T, t_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim E^{1/2}$. Plugging this fact into (4.30) gives the appropriate estimate.

Doing some algebra with (4.29), since $\epsilon \sim E^{-1/14}$ and $T \sim \frac{A^2 E^{13/6}}{\epsilon^4}$,

$$(4.32) \quad \frac{T^{1/27} E^{1/9} A^{1/3}}{J^{1/27}} \sim \frac{A^{11/27} E^{31/162}}{J^{1/27} \epsilon^{4/27}} \lesssim \frac{\epsilon^{1/3}}{E^{1/3}},$$

and therefore,

$$(4.33) \quad \frac{A^{11/27} E^{85/162}}{\epsilon^{13/27}} \sim A^{11/27} E^{85/162} E^{13/378} \lesssim J^{1/27},$$

implies that

$$(4.34) \quad \left\| \int_{t_j-T}^{t_j} S(t-\tau)(0, u^5) \right\|_{L_{t,x}^8([t_j, b_j] \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

This proves the theorem. □

Setting $\mathcal{T} = \exp(C E^{85/6} E^{13/14} A^{11})$ for some constant C , (3.3) and (3.5) imply

$$(4.35) \quad \|u\|_{L_{t,x}^8(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim E^{4/7} A \exp(C E^{85/6} E^{13/14} A^{11}),$$

which proves Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am grateful to Anudeep Kumar Arora and Jason Murphy for many helpful discussions related to this work.

REFERENCES

- [ADM20] Anudeep Kumar Arora, Benjamin Dodson, and Jason Murphy. Scattering below the ground state for the 2d radial nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(4):1653–1663, 2020.
- [BG99] Hajer Bahouri and Patrick Gérard. High frequency approximation of solutions to critical nonlinear wave equations. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 121(1):131–175, 1999.
- [Bou99] J. Bourgain. Global wellposedness of defocusing critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation in the radial case. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 12(1):145–171, 1999.
- [BS98] Hajer Bahouri and Jalal Shatah. Decay estimates for the critical semilinear wave equation. In *Annales de l'IHP Analyse non linéaire*, volume 15, pages 783–789, 1998.
- [DM17] Benjamin Dodson and Jason Murphy. A new proof of scattering below the ground state for the 3D radial focusing cubic NLS. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 145(11):4859–4867, 2017.
- [DM18] Benjamin Dodson and Jason Murphy. A new proof of scattering below the ground state for the non-radial focusing NLS. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 25(6):1805–1825, 2018.
- [Dod18] Benjamin Dodson. Global well-posedness and scattering for the radial, defocusing, cubic nonlinear wave equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.08284*, 2018.

- [Gri90] Manoussos G Grillakis. Regularity and asymptotic behavior of the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity. *Annals of mathematics*, 132(3):485–509, 1990.
- [Gri92] Manoussos G Grillakis. Regularity for the wave equation with a critical nonlinearity. *Communications on pure and applied mathematics*, 45(6):749–774, 1992.
- [GSV92] Jean Ginibre, Avy Soffer, and Giorgio Velo. The global cauchy problem for the critical non-linear wave equation. *Journal of functional analysis*, 110(1):96–130, 1992.
- [Kap94] Lev Kapitanski. Global and unique weak solutions of nonlinear wave equations. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 1(2):211–223, 1994.
- [Nak99a] Kenji Nakanishi. Scattering theory for the nonlinear Klein-Gordon equation with Sobolev critical power. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (1):31–60, 1999.
- [Nak99b] Kenji Nakanishi. Unique global existence and asymptotic behaviour of solutions for wave equations with non-coercive critical nonlinearity. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 24(1-2):185–221, 1999.
- [Pec84] Hartmut Pecher. Nonlinear small data scattering for the wave and Klein-Gordon equation. *Math. Z.*, 185(2):261–270, 1984.
- [Rau81] Jeffrey Rauch. I. The u^5 Klein-Gordon equation. II. Anomalous singularities for semilinear wave equations. In *Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Collège de France Seminar, Vol. I (Paris, 1978/1979)*, volume 53 of *Res. Notes in Math.*, pages 335–364. Pitman, Boston, Mass.-London, 1981.
- [Seg63] I. E. Segal. The global Cauchy problem for a relativistic scalar field with power interaction. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 91:129–135, 1963.
- [Sog95] Christopher Donald Sogge. *Lectures on non-linear wave equations*, volume 2. International Press Boston, MA, 1995.
- [SS94] Jalal Shatah and Michael Struwe. Well-posedness in the energy space for semilinear wave equations with critical growth. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (7):303ff., approx. 7 pp. 1994.
- [Str88] Michael Struwe. Globally regular solutions to the u^5 Klein-Gordon equation. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 15(3):495–513 (1989), 1988.
- [Tao05] Terence Tao. Global well-posedness and scattering for the higher-dimensional energy-critical nonlinear Schrödinger equation for radial data. *New York J. Math.*, 11:57–80, 2005.
- [Tao06] Terence Tao. Spacetime bounds for the energy-critical nonlinear wave equation in three spatial dimensions. *arXiv preprint math/0601164*, 2006.