The Ising universality class of kinetic exchange models of opinion dynamics
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Abstract

We show using scaling arguments and Monte Carlo simulations that a class of binary interacting models of opinion evolution belong to the Ising universality class in presence of an annealed noise term of finite amplitude. While the zero noise limit is known to show an active-absorbing transition, addition of annealed noise induces a continuous order-disorder transition with Ising universality class in the infinite-range (mean field) limit of the models.

1. Introduction

Study of social dynamics using tools of statistical physics is an active research area, where systems and models are being studied qualitatively and quantitatively [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. The study of dynamics of opinions, individual and collective choices is one of the most popular topics. One is usually concerned with the question, how interacting individuals choose between different options (vote, opinions, language, culture, etc.), leading to a state of ‘consensus’ or a state of coexistence of multiple options. With the basic assumption that such options can be quantified and that the individuals take their decisions in choosing those options through interactions with their ‘neighbors’, physicists have attempted to model this ‘complex’, multi-component system to bring forward their generic and universal features [3, 4, 5].

Given a finite interaction term among the individuals, that competes with the noise, usually the models of social dynamics exhibit collective dynamical phenomena. Several models introduced so far study the dynamics that leads to different opinion states and the universal nature of transitions between such states. The rich emergent phenomena, resulting out of interaction of a large number of entities or agents [6] follow various ‘universality classes’ in terms of their characterizations that are, depending on specific contexts, similar to the models of statistical physics [3, 5].

The present study concerns the dynamics of opinions, and how consensus may or may not emerge out of interaction of individual opinions, or, out of interaction of individuals with opinions evolving out of influence of others. A series of studies on this topic [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] has enriched our understanding of the topic. Opinions are usually modeled as discrete or continuous variables, which can undergo spontaneous changes or change due to interaction with others, or external factors. The
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study of dynamics of opinions, as well as their steady state properties are interesting. The possibility of a phase with a spectrum of opinions and another phase where the majority have similar values may demonstrate the existence of different phases – a typical scenario to study phase transitions. In continuous opinion models, opinions cluster around a single value (consensus), or two (polarization) or can as well have several values (fragmentation).

We focus our attention to a specific class of models [12], having apparent similarity with kinetic models of wealth exchange [13, 14]. The opinions of individuals that can be continuous or discrete variables, depending on the context, are bound between two extremes (−1, 1). The agents update their opinions, at least in the leading order, due multiple binary interactions between them. In this and in a set of variants of the model, there is no external noise term (arising out of, say, imperfect information received by the agents) [15, 16, 17]. Invariably, in those models, active-absorbing transitions between an indifferent state and that with a dominant variant of opinion in the society were seen. In other variants, disorder/noise were introduced in the binary interactions, which led to order to disorder type with Ising exponents [18, 19].

Here we show that the steady state statistics of two kinetic exchange models of opinion formation have Ising universality class.

2. Kinetic exchange opinion model: Transition driven by external noise

Following the multi-agent statistical model of closed economy [13], Lallouache et al. [12] proposed a minimal multi-agent model for the collective dynamics of opinion formation. Let \( o_i(t) \in (-1, +1) \) be the opinion of an individual \( i \) at time \( t \). In a system of \( N \) individuals, opinions change out of binary interaction according to:

\[
\begin{align*}
o_i(t+1) &= \lambda [o_i(t) + \epsilon o_j(t)] \\
o_j(t+1) &= \lambda [o_j(t) + \epsilon' o_i(t)],
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \epsilon, \epsilon' \) are randomly and independently drawn from an uniform distribution in \((0, 1)\) and \( \lambda \) is a parameter, interpreted as ‘conviction’, the value of which lies between 0 to 1. In the above model [12] (LCCC model hereafter), everyone has the same value of conviction \( \lambda \). In the above dynamics (Eq. 1), agent \( i \) meets \( j \), each keeping a fraction \( \lambda \) of their own opinion and are also influenced by a random fraction of the other agent’s opinion. There are no conservation laws here for the opinions, but the opinions are bounded, i.e., \(-1 \leq o_i(t) \leq 1\). This bound is ensured by keeping the magnitude of the opinion values to 1 even if Eq. 1 gives a higher magnitude. The

Figure 1: Plot of order parameter with conviction \( \lambda \) for different values of noise: (a) for \( \zeta = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 \), and (b) for \( \zeta = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3 \) in a smaller range of \( \lambda \), compared with the LCCC model (\( \zeta = 0 \)). The plots are given for \( N = 512 \). (c) The phase diagram of the noisy LCCC model, in the \( \lambda - \zeta \) plane. The region above the line is the ‘ordered’ phase while that below is the ‘disordered’ phase.
ordering in the system is measured by a quantity (order parameter) $O = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i o_i$. Numerical simulations show that the multi-agent system (dynamics defined by Eqn. (1)) goes into either of the two possible phases: for any $\lambda \leq \lambda_c$, $o_i = 0 \forall i$, while for $\lambda > \lambda_c$, $O > 0$ and $O \to 1$ as $\lambda \to 1$, with $\lambda_c \approx 2/3$. Here $\lambda_c$ is the critical point of the phase transition. The relaxation time, defined as the time to reach a stationary value of $O$ in time, diverges as $\tau \sim |\lambda - \lambda_c|^{-\nu}$ ($\nu \approx 1.0 \pm 0.1$) when $\lambda \to \lambda_c$ on either side [12]. The order parameter near the critical point behaves as: $O \sim (\lambda - \lambda_c)^\beta$ with the order parameter exponent $\beta = 0.10 \pm 0.01$ [16]. A mean field calculation can be proposed for the fixed point $o^*$: $o^*[1 - \lambda(1 + \langle \epsilon \rangle)] = 0$, from which it easily follows that the critical point is $\lambda_c = 1/(1 + \langle \epsilon \rangle)$ (where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ refers to average). For uniform random distribution of $\epsilon$, $\langle \epsilon \rangle = 1/2$ and hence, $\lambda_c = 2/3$. It was also noted that the underlying topology (1d, 2d or infinite range) has little effect on the critical point. A map version of the model [12] has also been proposed: $o(t + 1) = \lambda(1 + \epsilon(t))o(t)$, with $\epsilon(t)$ drawn randomly from a uniform distribution in $[0,1]$, and $o(t)$ is still bounded in $[-1,+1]$ as before. The critical value $\lambda_c$ can be analytically shown to be $\exp[-(2\ln 2 - 1)] \approx 0.6796$ [12].

We introduce a noise term in the original equations (Eq. II), such that the opinions now change out of binary interaction according to:

$$o_i(t+1) = \lambda o_i(t) + \epsilon o_j(t) + \zeta_i$$

$$o_j(t+1) = \lambda o_j(t) + \epsilon' o_i(t) + \zeta_j,$$

where $\zeta_i, \zeta_j$ are drawn randomly and independently from $(-\zeta, \zeta)$ with $|\zeta| \leq 1$. Of course $\zeta = 0$ corresponds to the LCCC model. We observe that introducing the noise $\zeta$ destroys the active-absorbing nature of the phase transition. Specifically, in the LCCC model, the entire phase $\lambda < \lambda_c$ was characterized by null value of opinion for all agents, $o_i = 0 \forall i$ and thus $O = 0$ trivially. Any finite $\zeta$ remarkably changes this character, and $O \neq 0$ close to the critical point (see Fig. III). Another interesting observation is the change in the nature of the order parameter curve as the noise $\zeta$ increases. For small values of noise, the rate of change in $O$ is much faster near the critical point i.e., the transition is sharp, while this rate seems to decrease as the noise level increases (see Fig. III). This is because, the critical region is smaller, the closer the model is to the absorbing transition point. With an increased noise parameter, the model is further away from the absorbing transition point and therefore has a wider critical range and hence show a relatively less sharp transition. But as we shall see in the following discussions, the universality class of the transition is independent of the noise level.

Apart from the order parameter $O$, we also calculate the following quantities: $V = N \left[ \langle O^2 \rangle - \langle O \rangle^2 \right]$ analogous to susceptibility per spin, and $U = 1 - \langle O^2 \rangle/(3\langle O^2 \rangle^2)$, the fourth order Binder cumulant. The
order parameter $O$ behaves as $O \sim |\lambda - \lambda_c|^\beta$ and the susceptibility $V$ as $V \sim |\lambda - \lambda_c|^{-\gamma}$ near the critical point, i.e., for small values of $|\lambda - \lambda_c|$. We apply finite-size scaling (FSS) theory to calculate the critical exponents. For large system sizes, we expect an asymptotic FSS behavior of the form

$$O = L^{-\beta/\nu} F_O(x) \left[1 + \ldots\right]$$

$$V = L^{\gamma/\nu} F_V(x) \left[1 + \ldots\right]$$

where $\beta, \gamma$ are scaling exponents for the order parameter and the susceptibility respectively, $F$ being the scaling functions with $x = (\lambda - \lambda_c)L^{1/\nu}$ as the scaling variable, $L$ being the linear dimension of the system. The dots in $[1 + \ldots]$ are the corrections to the scaling terms. The crossing of the Binder cumulant is used to estimate $\lambda_c$ since Binder cumulant $U$ is independent of system size at the critical point $\lambda_c$, i.e., $U_L(\lambda_c) = U^\ast$. Scaling collapse of $U$ with $(\lambda - \lambda_c)L^{1/\nu}$ provides an estimate of critical exponent $\nu$. We study the infinite dimension (mean field) version of the model, where any agent can interact with any other agent. In that case the linear dimension $L$ and system size $N$ are related as $L^d = N$, where $d$ will be the upper critical dimension. Hence, $L^{1/\nu'}$ will be replaced by $N^{1/\nu'}$, where $\nu' = \nu d$.

We estimate the critical point $\lambda_c$ for values of the noise parameter $\zeta$ in (0 : 1), and thus get a phase diagram in the $\lambda - \zeta$ plane (Fig. 1c). The solid line is the critical line of $\lambda_c$ (or $\zeta_c$ if we had fixed the noise parameter and observed the transition by driving $\lambda$). It seems that the critical point $\lambda_c$ increases very slowly by increasing $\zeta$ until $\zeta \simeq 0.4$, after which the rate of change is marked faster.

The value of the critical Binder cumulant ($U^\ast$) for all the noise amplitudes we studied ($\zeta = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9$) show a value close to 0.30 (see Fig. 5), which is near the value ($\approx 0.27$) predicted for the critical Binder cumulant of the Ising model (using field theoretic $\epsilon$ expansion [20]; see also the numerical estimate $\approx 0.30$ [21]). Numerical analysis here of the model gives $d\nu \simeq 2$, $\beta \simeq 1/2$ and $\gamma \simeq 1$ practically for all non-zero values of the annealed noise parameter $\zeta$. It then implies from the hyper scaling relation that the specific heat exponent $\alpha = 2$; $d\nu = 0$. Then the Rushbrooke scaling relation $\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma = 2$ gets satisfied. These observations suggest that the steady state statistics of the model belongs to the Ising universality class for any amount of annealed noise.

3. Kinetic exchange opinion model: Noise in the interaction

In the infinite range interacting kinetic exchange opinion model introduced by Biswas, Chatterjee and Sen [18], the dynamical equation for the evolution of the individual opinion $o_i(t)$ of the $i$-th
where \( f \) the line turns out to be difficult. But one can write down a general polynomial expansion by collecting opposed to ac active-absorbing transition [12]. Even without the last term, therefore, interactions. This gave rise to an order-disorder transition with Ising critical exponents [18], as is absent in this model. Here, the transition is driven by (even without external noise) negative interactions that the noisy variant shows Ising universality class, as we shall discuss.

(1). The similarity, therefore, is in the binary interactive nature of the models and also the fact that the noisy variant shows Ising universality class, as we shall discuss.

If \( f_1, f_{-1} \) and \( f_0 \) are the fraction of agents having opinion values 1, \(-1\) and 0 respectively, then in the steady state

\[
0 = f_0 f_1 (1 - 2p) + f_0 f_{-1} (2p - 1) + f_1 f_{-1} (1 - p)q + p (1 - q) (f_{-1}^2 - f_1^2),
\]

where \( f_1 - f_{-1} = O \), the order parameter. Further exact evaluation of the probabilities along this line turns out to be difficult. But one can write down a general polynomial expansion by collecting the \( q \) dependent (linear) terms and the \( q \) independent terms, from an equation of the form Eq. [6]. We can have

\[
a + b O + c O^2 + d O^3 \cdots = e \cdot q (1 + O(O) + \ldots),
\]

where \( a, b, c, d, e \) are constants.

First, \( a = 0 \), since we must have \( O = 0 \) when \( q = 0 \) and \( p = p_c = 1/4 \). We must also have \( c = 0 \), because \( O(-h) = -O(h) \) (note that \( e \) can depend on \( h \), and thereby taking care of the sign on the right hand side). Eq. [7] could be compared with Eq. (3) of Ref. [18] in absence of \( q \). The linear term is present there, but not the cubic term. We argue that the cubic term is the only possibility beyond the linear term and not the quadratic term, due to the reasons mentioned above. The cubic term, and possibly other higher order terms, will appear in the equation when we take into account variations of the order parameter in two or more successive scatterings (we only take one step scattering in Ref. [18], but for the system to be in the steady state, we can take the other steps in general). Stopping at the cubic term puts the requirement that \( b/d \propto p - p_c \). Also, from Eq.
Figure 5: The variation of the critical Binder cumulant value ($U^*$) is shown for different noise parameters ($\zeta$). This value, known to indicate a universality class, is showing no systematic variation with $\zeta$. It is close to the theoretically estimated value $\approx 0.27$ expected for the Ising model universality class in the mean field limit. This also suggests that the kinetic exchange opinion model with finite noise amplitude belongs to the Ising universality class.

(3) of Ref. [18], it can be seen explicitly that the coefficient of the linear in $O$ term is proportional to $p - p_c$ (take $f_0 = 1/3$, then $p - f_0(1 - p)$ becomes proportional to $p - p_c$). Combining these two observations suggest that $b \sim (p - p_c)$, and in absence of the opinion influencing field ($e = 0$). Eq. (7) then gives $O \sim b/d \sim (p - p_c)^\beta$, where $\beta = 1/2$ (as already obtained in Ref. [18]).

Finally, for $p \approx p_c$ keeping upto the linear terms in $O$ and $q$, which we take to be very small, we have

$$bO \approx e \cdot q.$$  

Then the susceptibility would be

$$\chi = \left. \frac{\partial O}{\partial q} \right|_{q \to 0}.$$  

Implying,

$$\chi \sim \frac{1}{b} \sim (p - p_c)^{-\gamma},$$

where $\gamma = 1$, agreeing well with the numerical estimate in Ref. [18].

Given that the order parameter exponent $\beta$, susceptibility exponent $\gamma$ values obtained here and the numerically estimated value of $d\nu = 2.0$ (in Ref. [18]), in this long-range interacting version of the model is confirmed to be in the Ising universality class. However, the dynamical exponents (like persistence exponent), measured for lower dimensions, seem to differ from the Ising class [22].

4. Discussions

We have studied two variants of the infinite range interacting kinetic exchange opinion model, namely that by Lallouache et al. [12] (with an added annealed noise to get continuous order-disorder transition, avoiding the active-absorbing one) and by Biswas, Chatterjee and Sen [18], using Monte Carlo simulations (analyzing finite size scaling, Binder cumulant behavior, etc.) and scaling arguments (see Landau type expansion of the steady state opinion probabilities in section 3). We show that at least in the infinite range limit of interactions between the agents in these two
kinds of kinetic exchange models (of opinion formation dynamics), the steady state statistics clearly belong to the mean-field Ising universality class ($\beta = 1/2, \gamma = 1$ and $d\nu = 2$), satisfying Rushbrooke scaling $2\beta + \gamma = d\nu$, giving $\nu = 1/2$ for upper critical dimension $d = 4$). Additionally, the value of the Binder cumulant at the critical point ($U^*$) shows a value close to 0.30 consistently for these models, which is close to the field theoretically estimated value ($\approx 0.27$) for the Ising model in the mean field [21] and comparable with similar numerical estimates [21]. The numerical results for lower dimensional systems (see e.g., [19]) also indicate the same. There could be interesting future directions in extending the model for more realistic networks and/or models with diluted bonds (representing mutually non-interacting agents), to check if the universality class changes.

It may be mentioned that this observation of Ising Universality class in the steady state statistics of some kinetic models help comprehending the missing link connecting the observed Ising universality class of liquid gas transition in the extended kinetic model of ideal gas, bypassing the use of lattice-gas model.
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