Insights on magnon topology in Heisenberg-Kitaev models for bilayer quantum magnets with Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction and broken inversion symmetry

Doried Ghader

College of Engineering and Technology, American University of the Middle East, Eqaila, Kuwait

Abstract. We present a comprehensive study of the magnon topology in honeycomb bilayer quantum magnets with ferromagnetic (FM) and layered antiferromagnetic (LAFM) ground states. Several models are investigated to fully understand the separate and combined effects of Heisenberg exchange, Kitaev interaction, Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction, and inversion symmetry breaking. Both ground states constitute rich platforms to realize several topological phases which can be tuned via experimentally controllable parameters. Nevertheless, LAFM bilayers are found to be more exotic: (i) the band gaps can close away from the Brillouin zone corners forming unconventional Dirac cones (UDCs) (ii) the UDCs play a fundamental role in shaping the topological phase diagram in LAFM bilayers and induces richer topology compared to their FM counterparts (iii) valley-polarized magnons can be excited in LAFM bilayer by Zeeman effect. We believe the present study provides important insights on the consequence of the various fundamental magnetic interactions on the topological magnon spectra of bilayer quantum magnets.

I. Introduction

The recent experimental realization of 2D magnetic materials [1-3] attracted exceptional interest [4-15] and opened challenging questions related to their fundamental magnetic interactions. In 2D quantum magnets, magnetic anisotropy is crucial to overcome thermal fluctuations and stabilize the magnetic order [2, 16-18]. Theoretical and experimental studies promote the Kitaev anisotropic exchange coupling [19] induced by spin–orbit coupling as a candidate to explain the magnetic anisotropy in CrI_3 , $CrGeTe_3$, and $CrBr_3$ 2D monolayers [20-24]. In parallel, another type of anisotropic spin–orbit coupling, namely the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI), has received substantial attention in 2D magnets [25-36].

The interest in 2D magnets naturally extends to their magnetic excitations in the form of spin waves or magnons [31, 37-40]. The presence of anisotropic magnetic coupling in the form of Kitaev or DM interactions allows for topological magnons [24, 27-29, 31, 32, 34-37, 41-52] with exotic features and great potentials for practical applications. Topological 2D magnetic Chern insulators allow for magnon thermal Hall conductivity and topologically protected edge modes

that are robust against structural or magnetic disorders. Advances in manipulating edge magnons and Hall conductivity in 2D quantum magnets can lead to novel applications in topological magnonics and magnon spintronics [53-58]. An essential step in this direction is to understand the separate and combined implications of all possible interactions on 2D magnon transport and topology.

The Heisenberg-Kitaev model was recently presented for ferromagnetic monolayer CrI_3 and the two bands magnon spectrum were found to be topological with Chern numbers $C = \pm 1$. Stacking layers of 2D ferromagnets is a systematic way to construct new topological materials with richer topology and higher Chern numbers. Stacking two ferromagnetic sheets, however, might result in collinear ferromagnetic (FM) state (e.g. $CrGeTe_3$) or layered antiferromagnetic (LAFM) state (e.g. CrI_3) [1, 2, 59]. In FM bilayers (respectively LAFM bilayers), the ferromagnetically ordered spins in the bottom and top layers point in the same direction (respectively opposite directions). Magnon topology in AB-stacked FM and LAFM bilayers with DMI was analyzed in reference [28]. The magnon spectrum in both configurations was found to be topological (Zeeman effect is necessary in the LAFM case) with a single topological phase. In a recent study [36], we have extended the analysis on AB-stacked FM bilayers including layer dependent electrostatic doping (ED) [60]. Five distinct topological phases are predicted as a result of the interplay between the model parameters (interlayer exchange, ED and DMI).

In the present study, we develop several models to fully understand the separate and combined effects of Heisenberg exchange, Kitaev interaction, DMI, and inversion symmetry breaking on the magnon topology in AB-stacked honeycomb bilayers with FM and LAFM magnetic orders. We break the inversion symmetry by introducing ED in the FM case and Zeeman effect (ZE) due to an external magnetic field in the LAFM case. Both magnetic configurations are proved to host rich magnon topology which can be tuned by the model parameters. The magnon spectrum and topology in the LAFM configuration, however, is found to be more appealing and unconventional, due to the presence of Dirac cones away from the $\pm K$ corners of the Brillouin zone (BZ). These unconventional Dirac cones (UDC) are proved to play an important role in shaping the topological phase diagram in LAFM bilayers.

Before proceeding, we will set conventions and definitions that are adapted throughout the paper. The strength of the Kitaev interaction, DMI, and inversion symmetry breaking terms will be denoted *K*, *D*, and *U* respectively. By default, all models include intra and interlayer Heisenberg exchange interactions. The model with *K* only will be denoted Heisenberg-Kitaev model. The model with DMI added to the Heisenberg-Kitaev model will be referred to as the K + U model, while the model with *K*, *D*, and *U* is termed K + U + D model. The four magnonic bands will be denoted $\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_4$ in ascending energy order. In all topological phase diagrams, the green, red, and purple manifolds respectively close the gaps between ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 , between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 , and between

 ϵ_3 and ϵ_4 at +K. The blue and black manifolds respectively close the gap between ϵ_3 and ϵ_4 and between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 at -K. Finally, the cyan manifolds close the band gap between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 at the UDC.

II. Magnonic Hamiltonians

II.1 The K + U + D model of FM bilayers

In this section, we develop the semiclassical linear spin wave approach [61-68] using the Landau-Lifshitz equations and derive the magnonic Hamiltonian in AB-stacked FM bilayer characterized by Kitaev, DMI, and ED. The Holstein-Primakov approach yields identical results. The bilayer stacking is such that the *B*-site in the bottom layer (layer 1) is directly below the *A*-site of the top layer (layer 2). The four sublattices are labeled $\{A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2\}$. The real-space Hamiltonian describing the various interaction in the system can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{FM} = -J \sum_{l,i,j} \vec{S}_{li} \cdot \vec{S}_{lj} - K \sum_{l,i,j} \left(\vec{S}_{li} \cdot \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \right) \left(\vec{S}_{lj} \cdot \hat{\gamma}_{ij} \right) - J_{\perp} \sum_{i} \vec{S}_{1i} \cdot \vec{S}_{2i} + \sum_{l,m,n} D_{mn} \vec{S}_{lm} \cdot \vec{S}_{ln}^{D} - \sum_{l,i} U_{l} \hat{z} \cdot \vec{S}_{li} - J_{z} \sum_{l,i,j} \left(\vec{S}_{li} \cdot \hat{z} \right) \left(\vec{S}_{lj} \cdot \hat{z} \right)$$
(1)

The vector $\vec{S}_{l\alpha}$ denotes the spin on a site in layer *l* with position \vec{R}_{α} (*l* = 1,2). The first, second, and third term respectively correspond to the nearest neighbor intralayer exchange, intralayer Kitaev, and interlayer exchange interactions. The positive coefficients *J*, *K*, and *J*_⊥ determine the strength of these interactions. The indices *i* and *j* in the first two terms are summed over the nearest neighbors.

The Kitaev contribution in equation (1) deserves further illustrated as follows. The three nearest neighbors for an A_l site are on relative positions $\vec{\delta}_1^A = a\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 0\right), \vec{\delta}_2^A = a\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, 0\right)$, and $\vec{\delta}_3^A = a\left(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}, 0\right)$, where *a* denotes the $A_l - A_l$ distance. With these defined, the Kitaev interaction for an A_l – sublattice spin vector at position \vec{R}_i can be rewritten as $-K\sum_{j=1}^3 [\vec{S}_l(\vec{R}_i).\hat{\gamma}_j][\vec{S}_l(\vec{R}_i + \vec{\delta}_j^A).\hat{\gamma}_j]$ with $\hat{\gamma}_1 = \left(-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}, 0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \hat{\gamma}_2 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right), \text{ and } \hat{\gamma}_3 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$. The contribution to B_l – sublattice is deduces by replacing $\vec{\delta}_j^A$ and $\hat{\gamma}_j$ by $-\vec{\delta}_j^A$ and $-\hat{\gamma}_j$ respectively.

The fourth term in \mathcal{H}_{FM} corresponds to the DMI interaction and the indices m and n are summed over the six next nearest neighbors. These are situated at relative position vectors , $\vec{\rho}_1 = a(1/2, -\sqrt{3}/2)$, $\vec{\rho}_2 = a(-1/2, -\sqrt{3}/2)$, $\vec{\rho}_3 = a(1,0)$, $\vec{\rho}_4 = -\vec{\rho}_1$, $\vec{\rho}_5 = -\vec{\rho}_2$, and $\vec{\rho}_6 = -\vec{\rho}_3$. We have introduced the vector $\vec{S}_{ln}^D = S_{lny} \hat{x} - S_{lnx} \hat{y}$ which transforms the DMI contribution to a scalar-product rather than a cross-product [33, 34, 36, 58]. The coefficient $D_{mn} = \pm D$ determines the strength of the DMI with the sign determined in the conventional way from the local geometry of the honeycomb lattice [27]. The fifth term in \mathcal{H}_{FM} corresponds to the layer dependent ED with potentials $U_l = \pm U$ for layers l = 1, 2 respectively. The seventh and last term accounts for an easy-axis anisotropy with strength J_z .

We rewrite the Hamiltonian in the form $\mathcal{H}_{FM} = \sum_{l,i} \vec{S}_{li} \cdot \vec{H}_{li}^{FM}$, with the effective magnetic fields \vec{H}_{li}^{FM} acting on spins \vec{S}_{li} . Employing Fourier transformation, the fields corresponding to the four sublattices reduce to

$$\vec{H}_{A_{1}}^{FM}(\vec{p},t) = \left[-\mathcal{J}_{xx}u_{x}^{B_{1}} - i\mathcal{J}_{xy}u_{y}^{B_{1}} + if_{D}u_{y}^{A_{1}}\right]\hat{x} + \left[-\mathcal{J}_{yy}u_{y}^{B_{1}} - i\mathcal{J}_{xy}u_{x}^{B_{1}} - if_{D}u_{x}^{A_{1}}\right]\hat{y} + \left[-(3J + J_{z} + K + U)S - \mathcal{J}_{zx}u_{x}^{B_{1}} - i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{J}_{xy}u_{y}^{B_{1}}\right]\hat{z}$$
(2a)

$$\vec{H}_{B_{1}}^{FM}(\vec{p},t) = \left[-\mathcal{J}_{xx}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{1}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{1}} - J_{\perp}Su_{x}^{A_{2}} - if_{D}u_{y}^{B_{1}}\right]\hat{x} + \left[-\mathcal{J}_{yy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{1}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{1}} - J_{\perp}Su_{y}^{A_{2}} + if_{D}u_{x}^{B_{1}}\right]\hat{y} + \left[-(3J + J_{z} + J_{\perp} + K + U)S - \mathcal{J}_{zx}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{1}} + i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{1}}\right]\hat{z}$$
(2b)

$$\vec{H}_{A_{2}}^{FM}(\vec{p},t) = \left[-\mathcal{J}_{xx}u_{x}^{B_{2}} - i\mathcal{J}_{xy}u_{y}^{B_{2}} - J_{\perp}Su_{x}^{B_{1}} + if_{D}u_{y}^{A_{2}}\right]\hat{x} + \left[-\mathcal{J}_{yy}u_{y}^{B_{2}} - i\mathcal{J}_{xy}u_{x}^{B_{2}} - J_{\perp}Su_{y}^{B_{1}} - if_{D}u_{x}^{A_{2}}\right]\hat{y} + \left[-(3J + J_{z} + J_{\perp} + K - U)S - \mathcal{J}_{zx}u_{x}^{B_{2}} - i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{J}_{xy}u_{y}^{B_{2}}\right]\hat{z}$$
(2c)

$$\vec{H}_{B_{2}}^{FM}(\vec{p},t) = \left[-\mathcal{J}_{xx}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{2}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{2}} - if_{D}u_{y}^{B_{2}}\right]\hat{x} + \left[-\mathcal{J}_{yy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{2}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{2}} + if_{D}u_{x}^{B_{2}}\right]\hat{y} + \left[-(3J + J_{z} + K - U)S - \mathcal{J}_{zx}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{2}} + i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{2}}\right]\hat{z}$$
(2d)

with
$$\mathcal{J}_{xx} = S\left(\frac{2}{3}K + J\right)f_1(\vec{p}) + S\left(\frac{1}{3}K + 2J\right)f_2(\vec{p}), \mathcal{J}_{xy} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}KSf_3(\vec{p}), \mathcal{J}_{yy} = JSf_1(\vec{p}) + S(K + 2J)f_2(\vec{p}), \mathcal{J}_{zx} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}KS[-f_1(\vec{p}) + f_2(\vec{p})], f_1(\vec{p}) = e^{i\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}p_y}, f_2(\vec{p}) = e^{-i\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}p_y}\cos\left(\frac{p_x}{2}\right), f_3(\vec{p}) = e^{-i\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}p_y}\sin\left(\frac{p_x}{2}\right), \text{ and } f_D(\vec{p}) = 4DS\sin\left(\frac{p_x}{2}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}p_y}{2}\right) - 2DS\sin\left(p_x\right). \vec{p} \text{ denotes momentum}$$

and t stands for time. The symbols S and $u^{\alpha}_{\beta}(\vec{p})$ represent the constant z-component of the spin and the Fourier transform coefficient respectively.

The momentum-space magnon Hamiltonian can now be derived from the Landau-Lifshitz equations, $\partial_t \vec{S} = \vec{S} \times \vec{H}^{FM}$. The four sublattices generate eight coupled scalar equations for the coefficients $u^{\alpha_l} = u_x^{\alpha_l} + iu_y^{\alpha_l}$ and $u^{\alpha_l^*} = u_x^{\alpha_l} - iu_y^{\alpha_l}$ ($\alpha = A, B$). In matrix form, the system of equations can be expressed as $[\mathcal{H}_{FM}(\vec{p}) - \epsilon(\vec{p})I]|u(\vec{p})\rangle = 0$, where the 8 × 8 momentum-space Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{FM}(\vec{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + f_D + U & -c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) & 0 & c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3 - f_1 + f_2) \\ -c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & c_1 + J_\perp S - f_D + U & c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3^* - f_1^* + f_2^*) & 0 \\ 0 & c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3 + f_1 - f_2) & -c_1 + f_D - U & c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) \\ c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3^* + f_1^* - f_2^*) & 0 & c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & -c_1 - J_\perp S - f_D - U \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + J_{\perp}S + f_D - U & -c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) & 0 & c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3 - f_1 + f_2) \\ -c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & c_1 - f_D - U & c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3^* - f_1^* + f_2^*) & 0 \\ 0 & c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3 + f_1 - f_2) & -c_1 - J_{\perp}S + f_D + U & c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) \\ c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3^* + f_1^* - f_2^*) & 0 & c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & -c_1 - f_D + U \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -J_{\perp}S & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & J_{\perp}S \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -J_{\perp}S & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{\perp}S & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 The constants $c_1 = (3J + J_z + K)S$,
$$c_2 = \left(\frac{1}{3}K + J\right)S, \text{ and } c_3 = \frac{1}{3}KS.$$

The momentum-space Hamiltonian yields eight bands of which only four are positive and physical. The easy-axis exchange anisotropy J_z is important to stabilize the magnetic order against thermal fluctuation. For magnons however, the specific value of J_z is not important as its only effect is to shift the entire physical magnon spectrum upwards. It is still important to assume a nonzero value of J_z to lift the degeneracy between positive and negative solutions at zero energies and consequently calculate the Berry curvatures and Chern numbers of gapped physical bands. In our numerical calculation for both FM and LAFM, we will fix the easy axis anisotropy at $J_z = 0.5J$, chosen arbitrarily as per the above argument.

II.2 The K + U + D model of AFM bilayers

In the LAFM configuration, spins in layers 1 and 2 are aligned along $\pm \hat{z}$ respectively, with $J_{\perp} < 0$. A weak external magnetic field along \hat{z} can substitute ED in the present case. The LAFM configuration can be obtained from the FM case by a π rotation of the top layer along the y-axis. Consequently, the Hamiltonian in Eq.1 stays valid for the LAFM bilayers with the spins in layer 2 expressed as $\vec{S} = -S_x \hat{x} + S_y \hat{y} - S\hat{z}$. We can directly deduce the LAFM effective fields as

$$\vec{H}_{A_1}^{AFM}(\vec{p},t) = \vec{H}_{A_1}^{FM}(\vec{p},t)$$

(3a)

$$\vec{H}_{B_{1}}^{AFM}(\vec{p},t) = \left[-\mathcal{J}_{xx}^{*} u_{x}^{A_{1}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*} u_{y}^{A_{1}} + J_{\perp} S u_{x}^{A_{2}} - if_{D} u_{y}^{B_{1}} \right] \hat{x} + \left[-\mathcal{J}_{yy}^{*} u_{y}^{A_{1}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*} u_{x}^{A_{1}} - J_{\perp} S u_{y}^{A_{2}} + if_{D} u_{x}^{B_{1}} \right] \hat{y} + \left[-(3J + J_{z} - J_{\perp} + K + U)S - \mathcal{J}_{zx}^{*} u_{x}^{A_{1}} + i\sqrt{2} \mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*} u_{y}^{A_{1}} \right] \hat{z}$$
(3b)

$$\vec{H}_{A_{2}}^{AFM}(\vec{p},t) = \left[\mathcal{J}_{xx} u_{x}^{B_{2}} - i\mathcal{J}_{xy} u_{y}^{B_{2}} - J_{\perp} S u_{x}^{B_{1}} + if_{D} u_{y}^{A_{2}} \right] \hat{x} + \left[-\mathcal{J}_{yy} u_{y}^{B_{2}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy} u_{x}^{B_{2}} - J_{\perp} S u_{y}^{B_{1}} + if_{D} u_{x}^{A_{2}} \right] \hat{y} + \left[(3J + J_{z} - J_{\perp} + K - U)S + \mathcal{J}_{zx} u_{x}^{B_{2}} - i\sqrt{2} \mathcal{J}_{xy} u_{y}^{B_{2}} \right] \hat{z}$$
(3c)

$$\vec{H}_{B_{2}}^{AFM}(\vec{p},t) = \left[\mathcal{J}_{xx}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{2}} + i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{2}} - if_{D}u_{y}^{B_{2}}\right]\hat{x} + \left[-\mathcal{J}_{yy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{2}} - i\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{2}} - if_{D}u_{x}^{B_{2}}\right]\hat{y} + \left[(3J + J_{z} + K - U)S + \mathcal{J}_{zx}^{*}u_{x}^{A_{2}} + i\sqrt{2}\mathcal{J}_{xy}^{*}u_{y}^{A_{2}}\right]\hat{z}$$
(3d)

It is important to note that U in Eqs.3 denotes the strength of the external magnetic field rather than ED. Substituting these fields in the Landau-Lifshitz equations yield the LAFM momentum-space Hamiltonian as

$$\mathcal{H}_{AFM}(\vec{p}) = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 + f_D + U & -c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) & 0 & c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3 - f_1 + f_2) \\ -c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & c_1 - J_\perp S - f_D + U & c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3^* - f_1^* + f_2^*) & 0 \\ 0 & c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3 + f_1 - f_2) & -c_1 + f_D - U & c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) \\ c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3^* + f_1^* - f_2^*) & 0 & c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & -c_1 + J_\perp S - f_D - U \end{pmatrix},$$

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 - J_{\perp}S - f_D - U & -c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) & 0 & c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3 - f_1 + f_2) \\ -c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & c_1 + f_D - U & c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3^* - f_1^* + f_2^*) & 0 \\ 0 & c_3(-\sqrt{3}f_3 + f_1 - f_2) & -c_1 + J_{\perp}S - f_D + U & c_2(f_1 + 2f_2) \\ c_3(\sqrt{3}f_3^* + f_1^* - f_2^*) & 0 & c_2(f_1^* + 2f_2^*) & -c_1 + f_D + U \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{\perp}S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -J_{\perp}S & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & J_{\perp}S \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -J_{\perp}S & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similar to the FM case, $\mathcal{H}_{AFM}(\vec{p})$ yields only four physical solutions.

IV. Numerical method for bands topology

We will use the notation $[\mathcal{C}_4, \mathcal{C}_3, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_1]$ to group the Chern numbers of bands $[\epsilon_4, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_1]$. The Berry curvature and Chern numbers will be calculated following the approach developed in reference [69] and described briefly here. We discretize the BZ with steps δp in the x and y directions. Next, given a band *i* with eigenenergy ϵ_i and eigenstate $|\epsilon_i(\vec{p})\rangle$, we numerically calculate the quantities

$$U_i^x(\vec{p}) = \langle \epsilon_i(\vec{p} + \delta p \ \hat{p}_x) | \epsilon_i(\vec{p}) \rangle$$
$$U_i^y(\vec{p}) = \langle \epsilon_i(\vec{p} + \delta p \ \hat{p}_y) | \epsilon_i(\vec{p}) \rangle$$

for every momentum \vec{p} in the discretized moiré BZ. \hat{p}_x and \hat{p}_y respectively denote the momentumspace unit vectors along x and y. The Wilson loop $W_i(\vec{p})$ is then deduced as

$$W_{i}(\vec{p}) = U_{i}^{x}(\vec{p})U_{i}^{y}(\vec{p} + \delta p \, \hat{p}_{x})U_{i}^{x*}(\vec{p} + \delta p \, \hat{p}_{y})U_{i}^{y*}(\vec{p})$$

which yields the Berry curvatures Ω_i from the argument (*arg*-function) of $W_i(\vec{p})$

$$\Omega_i(\vec{p}) = \frac{\arg W_i(\vec{p})}{\delta p^2}$$

Finally, the valley Chern numbers are determined through numerical integration of $\Omega_i(\vec{p})$ over the BZ,

$$C_i = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{BZ} \Omega_i(\vec{p}) dp_x dp_y$$

IV. Topological phase diagrams for FM bilayers models

The rich magnon topology in FM bilayers with DMI and ED was discussed in our recent work [36]. The model hosts five different topological phases with Chern numbers [0, -2, 0, 2], [0, -2, 1, 1], [-1, -1, 1, 1], [-1, 1, -1, 1], and [0, 0, -1, 1]. Topological phase transitions are accompanied by band gaps closure at the $\pm K$ BZ corners. We have also studied FM bilayers with ED only [58], which are found to host valley-polarized magnons. These two models are hence excluded here.

IV.1. Kitaev-Heisenberg and *K* + *D* models for FM bilayers

We start with FM bilayers with only Kitaev interaction (no ED and negligible DMI). The magnon spectrum in the present case is gapped and topological for any non-zero value of *K*. The minimal gap between all four bands over the BZ is plot in Fig.1-left over a sufficiently large range of the normalized Kitaev (*K*/*J*) and interlayer (J_{\perp}/J) interactions. The band gaps cannot be closed in this model which consequently presents a unique topological phase with Chern numbers [0, -2, 0, 2]. An illustrative example of the gapped 4-band magnon spectrum is presented in Fig.1-right for $J_{\perp} = 0.3J$ and K = 2J. The large value of *K* is inspired from recent work on monolayer Kitaev magnets [24]. The bands are plotted along the high symmetry axes $K\Gamma$, ΓM , and MK. In the absence of ED, the spectrum is reciprocal, with $\epsilon_i(\vec{p}) = \epsilon_i(-\vec{p})$. The magnon spectrum along $K'\Gamma$, $\Gamma M'$, and M'K' (K' = -K and M' = -M) hence coincides with Fig.1-right.



Figure 1: Left: minimal band gap between all four bands over the full BZ as a function of the normalized interlayer (J_{\perp}/J) and Kitaev (K/J) interactions. Right: the gapped 4-band magnon spectrum plotted along high symmetry axes in the BZ for parametric values K = 2J, $J_{\perp} = 0.3J$, and $J_z = 0.5J$.

Including the DMI in the Heisenberg-Kitaev model (K + D model) augments the band gaps without affecting the above conclusions regarding the magnon topology.

IV.2. *K* + *U* model for FM bilayers

We now include the ED potential U in the Heisenberg-Kitaev model. In the present case, the magnon band spectrum is found to be gapped except at 3D manifolds in the (K, U, J_{\perp}) parametric space. These manifolds close the gaps at the $\pm K$ BZ corners exclusively, and lead to topological phase transitions. To illustrate, the $J_{\perp} = 0.1J$ slice of the topological phase diagram is presented in Fig.2-left as a function of normalized K and U. The color code for the gap closure manifolds is defined in the Introduction section. As the gaps are close on $\pm K$ separately, we deduce that the

magnon spectrum in the presence of ED is non-reciprocal $\epsilon_i(\vec{p}) \neq -\epsilon_i(-\vec{p})$. The gap closure manifolds divide the parametric space into five topological regions (or phases), denoted I, ..., V as illustrated in Fig.2-left. Their respective Chern numbers are [0, -2, 0, 2], [0, -2, 1, 1], [-1, -1, 1, 1], [-1, 1, -1, 1], and [0, 0, -1, 1]. Region VI, however, is gapped but topologically trivial with zero Chern numbers. Interestingly, these results match the ones reported in our previous study on FM bilayer with DMI and ED only (K = 0) [36].

Figures 2-middle and 2-right illustrate the evolution of the six phases with increasing values of the interlayer exchange. The area occupied by each phase is found to expand which can consequently push some of the phases out of the selected ranges for K and U (see Fig.2-right).



Figure 2: Topological phase diagrams in the K + U model for FM bilayers with $J_{\perp} = 0.1J$ (left), $J_{\perp} = 0.2J$ (middle), and $J_{\perp} = 0.3J$ (right). The colored curves are band gap closure manifolds tracing the boundaries between the topological phases.

IV.3. K + U + D model for FM bilayers

We now arrive at the most general FM bilayers model with K, D and U. The parametric space is 4D and generated by the Hamiltonian parameters (K, U, J_{\perp} , D). The DMI is found to reconstruct the gaps closure manifolds without inducing new topological phases compared to the K + U model. Nevertheless, the DMI wipes out the non topological phase rendering the model topological for any parametric combination if the gap closure manifolds are excluded. We illustrate these conclusions in Fig.3 presenting the topological phase diagram in the UK – space for representative values of J_{\perp} and D. The labeling and Chern numbers of the topological phases match those in Section IV.2. The chosen values of J_{\perp} and D are indeed enough to draw the complete topological picture of the magnon spectrum and additional values do not present fundamentally new results. It is important to highlight the sensitivity of the gaps closure manifolds and consequently the topological diagram on both J_{\perp} and D. In particular, a slightly elevated DMI (lower panel) is found to push the red curve (responsible for gap closure between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3) outside the selected ranges of K and U, leaving only three topological phases.



Figure 3: Upper panel: topological phase diagram in the K + U + D model for FM bilayers with fixed D = 0.05J and $J_{\perp} = 0.2J$ (left), $J_{\perp} = 0.3J$ (middle), and $J_{\perp} = 0.4J$ (right). Lower panel: same as upper panel but for D = 0.1J.

V. Topological phase diagrams for LAFM bilayers

We now turn to bilayers in the LAFM configuration. A perpendicular external magnetic field is indispensable in the present case to lift the degeneracy and induce band gaps. Models with U = 0 are hence irrelevant to the present study.

In the presence of *U*, all magnonic bands are found to be nonreciprocal. Similar to the FM case, the band gaps in LAFM bilayers can closed at $\pm K$ if DMI and/or Kitaev interactions are assumed, resulting in numerous topological phases. Nevertheless, the magnon spectra in LAFM bilayers has a particularly important aspect that is absent in FM bilayers. Namely, they display unconventional Dirac cones (UDC) that can close the band gap away from $\pm K$, specifically between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 bands. These play a crucial role in shaping the magnon topology as illustrated in the subsequent sections.

V.1. LAFM bilayers in the Heisenberg model with external magnetic field: valley-polarized magnons

We start with LAFM bilayers in an external magnetic field (strength *U*) and negligible Kitaev and DM interactions. The magnon spectrum is gapped at $\pm K$ for any non-zero value of *U*. Nevertheless, the interplay between J_{\perp} and *U* can close the gap between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 at UDCs away from $\pm K$ corners. The profile of the UDCs and the corresponding band closure are illustrated in Fig.4a. To explore the possibility of gapping these UDCs, the minimal gap between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 is plot in Fig.4b as a function of the normalized external field (*U/JS*) and interlayer interaction (J_{\perp}/J). The contour plot reveals a large ungapped phase where the UDCs touch and a smaller gapped phase achievable in weakly coupled LAFM bilayers.



Figure 4: (a) Magnon spectrum in LAFM bilayers with negligible DM and Kitaev interactions plotted along the high symmetry axes $K\Gamma$, ΓM , and MK for $J_{\perp} = -0.1J$ and U = 0.35JS. The spectrum displays unconventional Dirac cones (highlighted with black arrows) which close the gap between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 away from the *K* corners of the BZ. (b) Contour plot of the minimal gap between ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 as a function of the external magnetic field strength and interlayer interaction (presented in absolute values).

In the gapped region, the integral of the Berry curvatures over the entire BZ yields zero Chern numbers. Nevertheless, the Berry curvatures can still admit a topological interpretation due to the symmetry underlying their profiles. As an example, we plot in Fig.5 the Berry curvatures for the bands ϵ_3 and ϵ_4 in an LAFM bilayer with $J_{\perp} = -0.1J$ and U = 0.35JS. In analogy with studies on electrostatically doped FM bilayers [56, 58], the Berry curvatures admit opposite signs in the $\pm K$ valleys with the so called no-valley mixing symmetry. This observation is general throughout the gapped region. The topological valley index is hence well defined in the current model which allows the excitation of valley-polarized magnons [56-58]. Detailed study on magnon valley degree of freedom in LAFM bilayers will be presented elsewhere.



Figure 5: Berry curvatures plot over the BZ in the gapped phase of LAFM bilayers with negligible DM and Kitaev interactions. These correspond to bands ϵ_3 (a) and ϵ_4 (b) with parametric values $J_{\perp} = -0.1J$ and U = 0.35JS. The Berry curvatures admit opposite signs in the $\pm K$ valleys and are characterized by the no-valley mixing symmetry. This constitutes a direct evidence of well-defined topological valley indices [56, 58].

V.2. *D* + *U* model for LAFM bilayers

The model with DMI, external magnetic field and negligible Kitaev interaction was studied in reference [58]. Nevertheless, we here present a deeper analysis and new results taking into account the consequences of the unconventional Dirac cones. In Fig.6a-c, we presented the topological phase diagram plotted in the DU – space for selected and representative values of J_{\perp} , namely -0.1J, -0.3J and -0.5J respectively. The numerical results reveal a topological phase I, an ungapped phase II with gap closure at the unconventional Dirac cones, and a gapped but trivial phase III with zero Chern numbers. The gap at $\pm K$ can still closed in region II as illustrated in Fig.6c. We note that the green manifold in Fig.6c coincides with the pink one (see Introduction section about the color code) and hence corresponds to a simultaneous gap closure between ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 and between ϵ_3 and ϵ_4 at +K. A representative illustration on the band gaps closures are presented in Figs.6d (respectively 6e) along the high symmetry axes $K\Gamma$, ΓM , and MK (respectively $K'\Gamma$, $\Gamma M'$, and M'K) at the exceptionally special point of intersection between the green and black manifolds in Fig.6c.



Figure 6: (a-c) The topological phase diagrams in the D + U model for LAFM bilayers with J_{\perp} parametric values -0.1J, -0.3J and -0.5J respectively. Three phases are highlighted in red: topological phase I, ungapped phase II, and gapped but trivial phase III. The unconventional Dirac cones are degenerate throughout phase II. Ungapped Dirac cones can also appear at $\pm K$ over specific manifolds as illustrated in (c). These manifolds also exist in cases (a) and (b) but are not presented for simplicity. (d) and (e) illustrate different types of band gap closures along high symmetry axes with the parameters corresponding to the intersection point between the black and green manifold of (c).

V.3. *K* + *U* model for LAFM bilayers

Replacing DMI by Kitaev interaction in LAFM bilayers drastically alters the magnon topology. To illustrate, the topological phase diagram is presented in Fig.7 for the *KU* slice of the parametric space with $J_{\perp} = -0.3J$. Changing the value of J_{\perp} does not reveal essentially new results. Unlike the D + U model, the gaps between the UDCs in the present case are closed over a minor region highlighted via the cyan manifolds. The ensemble of band closure manifolds divides the *KU* parametric space into seven different gapped phases labeled I,...,VII. Worth noting that the gap between unconventional Dirac cones is sizable in phases I and VII, but tiny elsewhere. The Berry curvature calculation proves that phases I,...,VI are topological with characteristic Chern numbers [-1,1,1-1], [-1,2,0,-1], [-1,-1,3,-1], [0,-2,3,-1], [0,-2,2,0], and [0,1,-1,0]. Phase VII, however, is trivial with zero Chern numbers. The K + U model in indeed the richest among all studied models. Interestingly, the magnon topology is highly tunable by the external magnetic field.



Figure 7: Topological phase diagram in the K + U model for LAFM bilayers with $J_{\perp} = -0.3J$.

V.4. K + U + D model for LAFM bilayers

In this last model, we explore the combined effect of *K*, *U*, and *D* on the magnon topology in LAFM bilayers. The phase diagram is presented in Fig.8 over the *KU* space for LAFM bilayers with $J_{\perp} = -0.3J$ and D = 0.05J. Again, the selected values for J_{\perp} and *D* are sufficient to capture the main results. The diagram reveals three topological phases, separated by two manifolds that close the band gap at +*K* (red) or the UDCs (cyan). The UDCs are slightly gapped in regions II and III. The Chern numbers for phases I, II, and III are [-1,1,1,-1], [-1,2,0,1], and [-1,-1,3,-1] respectively, in accordance with the phases of their counterparts in the *K* + *U* model. The trivial phase reported in the *K* + *U* (Section V.3) is hence wiped out by the DMI. This DMI effect was also observed in the FM case. Similar to the *K* + *U* model, the magnon topology can also be tuned via the external magnetic field.



Figure 8: Topological phase diagram in the K + U + D model for LAFM bilayers with $J_{\perp} = -0.3J$ and D = 0.05J

VI. Conclusion

Harnessing topological magnons in 2D quantum magnets can open new opportunities to realize novel magnonic nanodevices. Their robustness against any type of disorder in the sample allows for long range ballistic transport which is desired for information transport and processing in magnonic devices. Bilayer honeycomb magnets, in particular, shall offer valuable promises for technological applications similar to bilayer graphene (and other fermionic systems) which has been extensively explored for applications in nanoelectronics. Exploring the implications of the various possible interactions, magnetic order, and symmetry breaking on magnons in these bilayers is essential to further elucidate their technological potentials. We believe the present work serves this purpose by analyzing a wide spectrum of possible models in FM and LAFM bilayers. The study is kept general and the numerical results are presented over a wide range of the parameters in an attempt to span all possible Van Der Waals magnets. Several topological phases are predicted in FM and LAFM bilayers which can be tuned via experimentally adjustable parameters, notably the interlayer exchange [70], electrostatic doping, and external magnetic fields. An important part of the study is dedicated to highlight the different roles played by the Kitaev and DM interactions in shaping the magnonic topological phase diagrams. We also emphasize the significant deference between the topological phases and phase diagrams in FM and LAFM bilayers. In the latter, band gaps closure is not restricted to the $\pm K$ corners of the BZ and can form elsewhere resulting in UDCs. The UDCs contribute additional boundaries to the topological phase diagram and further enriches the topology. As a consequence, the maximum Chern number in LAFM is found to be 3,

which cannot be achieved in FM bilayers (maximum Chern number is 2 in the FM configuration). Finally, and despite the fundamental difference in the magnon spectra and topology in FM and LAFM cases, we predicted that LAFM bilayers stabilized only by easy-axis anisotropy (no Kitaev or DM interactions) support valley-polarized magnons, in analogy with recent theoretical studies on FM bilayers.

References

[1] Gong, C. et al. Discovery of intrinsic ferromagnetism in two-dimensional Van der Waals crystals, Nature **546**, 265 (2017)

[2] Huang B. et al. Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a Van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit, Nature **546**, 270 (2017)

[3] Xing, W. et al. Electric field effect in multilayer $Cr_2Ge_2Te_6$: A ferromagnetic 2D material, 2D Mater. **4**, 024009 (2017)

[4] Mounet, N., Gibertini, M., Schwaller, P. et al. Two-dimensional materials from high-throughput computational exfoliation of experimentally known compounds, Nature Nanotech **13**, 246–252 (2018)

[5] Bonilla, M., Kolekar, S., Ma, Y. et al. Strong room-temperature ferromagnetism in VSe_2 monolayers on van der Waals substrates, Nature Nanotech **13**, 289–293 (2018)

[6] Deng, Y., Yu, Y., Song, Y. et al. Gate-tunable room-temperature ferromagnetism in twodimensional Fe_3GeTe_2 , Nature 563, 94–99 (2018)

[7] Jiang, S., Shan, J. & Mak, K.F. Electric-field switching of two-dimensional van der Waals magnets, Nature Mater. **17**, 406–410 (2018)

[8] Burch, K.S., Mandrus, D. & Park, J. Magnetism in two-dimensional van der Waals materials, Nature **563**, 47–52 (2018)

[9] Gibertini, M., Koperski, M., Morpurgo, A.F. et al. Magnetic 2D materials and heterostructures. Nat. Nanotechnol. 14, 408–419 (2019)

[10] Ghader, D. & Khater, A. Discretized dynamics of exchange spin wave bulk and edge modes in honeycomb nanoribbons with armchair edge boundaries, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **31**, 31, 315801 (2019)

[11] Kim, H. H. et al. Evolution of interlayer and intralayer magnetism in three atomically thin chromium trihalides, PNAS **116** (23), 11131-11136 (2019)

[12] Zhang, Z., Shang, J., Jiang, C., Rasmita, A., Gao, W. & Yu, T. Direct photoluminescence probing of ferromagnetism in monolayer two-dimensional $CrBr_3$, Nano Lett. **19**, 5, 3138–3142 (2019)

[13] Mak, K.F., Shan, J. & Ralph, D.C. Probing and controlling magnetic states in 2D layered magnetic materials, Nat. Rev. Phys. **1**, 646–661 (2019)

[14] Gorkan, T., Vatansever, E. Akıncı, Ü., Gökoglu, G., Aktürk, E. & Ciraci, S., Above Room Temperature Ferromagnetism in Gd_2B_2 Monolayer with High Magnetic Anisotropy J. Phys. Chem. C **124** (23), 12816-12823 (2020)

[15] Matsumoto, T. & Hayami, S. Nonreciprocal magnons due to symmetric anisotropic exchange interaction in honeycomb antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 224419 (2020)

[16] Griffiths, R. B. Peierls proof of spontaneous magnetization in a two-dimensional Ising ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. **136**, A437 (1964)

[17] Samarth, N. Condensed-matter physics: Magnetism in flatland, Nature 546, 216–218 (2017)

[18] Lado, J. L. & Fernández-Rossier, J. On the origin of magnetic anisotropy in two dimensional *CrI*₃, 2D Mater. **4**, 035002 (2017)

[19] Kitaev, A. Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond, Ann. Phys. 321, 2–111 (2006)

[20] Xu, C., Feng, J., Xiang, H. et al. Interplay between Kitaev interaction and single ion anisotropy in ferromagnetic CrI_3 and $CrGeTe_3$ monolayers, npj Comput. Mater. **4**, 57 (2018)

[21] Kim, M., Kumaravadivel, P., Birkbeck, J. et al. Micromagnetometry of two-dimensional ferromagnets, Nat Electron **2**, 457–463 (2019)

[22] Soriano, D., Katsnelson, M. I. & Fernández-Rossier, J. Magnetic two-dimensional chromium thihalides: A theoretical perspective, Nano Lett. **20**, 9, 6225–6234 (2020)

[23] Lee, I. et al. Fundamental spin interactions underlying the magnetic anisotropy in the Kitaev ferromagnet CrI_3 , Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 017201 (2020)

[24] Aguilera, E., Jaeschke-Ubiergo, R., Vidal-Silva, N., Foa, L. & Nunez, A. Topological magnonics in the two-dimensional van der Waals magnet CrI_3 , Phys. Rev. B **102** 024409 (2020)

[25] Cheng, R., Okamoto, S. & Xiao, D. Spin Nernst effect of magnons in collinear antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 217202 (2016)

[26] Zyuzin, V. A. & Kovalev, A. A. Magnon Spin Nernst Effect in Antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 217203 (2016)

[27] Owerre, S. A. A first theoretical realization of honeycomb topological magnon insulator, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **28**, 386001 (2016)

[28] Owerre, S. A. Magnon Hall effect in AB-stacked bilayer honeycomb quantum magnets, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 094405 (2016)

[29] Owerre, S. A. Dirac Magnon Nodal Loops in Quasi-2D Quantum Magnets, Sci. Rep. 7, 6931(2017)

[30] Shiomi, Y., Takashima, R. and Saitoh, E. Experimental evidence consistent with a magnon Nernst effect in the antiferromagnetic insulator $MnPS_3$, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 134425 (2017)

[31] Chen, L. et al. Topological spin excitations in honeycomb ferromagnet CrI_3 , Phys. Rev. X 8, 041028 (2018)

[32] Malz, D., Knolle, J. & Nunnenkamp, A. Topological magnon amplification, Nat. Comm. **10**, 3937 (2019)

[33] Ghader, D. & Khater, A. A new class of nonreciprocal spin waves on the edges of 2D antiferromagnetic honeycomb nanoribbons, Sci. Rep. **9**, 15220 (2019)

[34] Ghader, D. Magnon magic angles and tunable Hall conductivity in 2D twisted ferromagnetic bilayers, Sci. Rep. **10**, 15069 (2020)

[35] Li, Y.-H. & Cheng, R. Moiré magnons in twisted bilayer magnets with collinear order, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 094404 (2020)

[36] Ghader, D. Theoretical realization of rich magnon topology by symmetry-breaking in honeycomb bilayer ferromagnets, arXiv:2007.11692

[37] Pershoguba, S. S., Banerjee, S., Lashley, J. C., Park, J., Ågren, H., Aeppli, G. & Balatsky, A.V. Dirac magnons in honeycomb ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. X **8**, 011010 (2018)

[38] Jin, W. et al. Raman fingerprint of two terahertz spin wave branches in a two-dimensional honeycomb Ising ferromagnet, Nat. Comm. **9**, 5122 (2018)

[39] Xing, X. W. et al. Magnon Transport in Quasi-Two-Dimensional van der Waals Antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. X **9**, 011026 (2019)

[40] Ghader, D. & Khater, A. Asymmetric dynamics of edge exchange spin waves in honeycomb nanoribbons with zigzag and bearded edge boundaries, Sci. Rep. **9**, 6290 (2019)

[41] Katsura, H., Nagaosa, N. & Lee, P. A. Theory of the Thermal Hall Effect in Quantum Magnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 066403 (2010)

[42] Onose, Y., Ideue, T., Katsura, H., Shiomi, Y., Nagaosa, N. & Tokura, Y. Observation of the Magnon Hall Effect, Science **329**, 297 (2010)

[43] Zhang, L. Ren, J., Wang, J.-S.& Li, B. Topological Magnon Insulator in Insulating Ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. B 87, 144101 (2013)

[44] Shindou, R. Ohe, J.-I., Matsumoto, R. Murakami, S. & Saitoh, E. Chiral Spin-Wave Edge Modes in Dipolar Magnetic Thin Films, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 174402 (2013)

[45] Mook, A. Henk, J. & Mertig, I. Edge States in Topological Magnon Insulators, Phys. Rev. B90, 024412 (2014)

[46] Mook, A., Henk, J. & Mertig, I. Tunable Magnon Weyl Points in Ferromagnetic Pyrochlores, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 157204 (2016)

[47] Li, F.-Y., Li, Y.-D., Kim, Y. B., Balents, L. Yu, Y. & Chen, G. Weyl Magnons in Breathing Pyrochlore Antiferromagnets, Nat. Commun. **7**, 12691 (2016)

[48] Owerre, S. A. A first theoretical realization of honeycomb topological magnon insulator, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **28**, 386001 (2016)

[49] Pantaleón, P. A. & Xian, Y. Effects of edge on-site potential in a honeycomb topological magnon insulator, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **87**, 064005 (2018)

[50] Malz, D., Knolle, J. & Nunnenkamp, A. Topological magnon amplification, Nat. Comm. **10**, 3937 (2019)

[51] McClarty, P. A. & Rau, J. G. Non-Hermitian topology of spontaneous magnon decay, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 100405(R) (2019)

[52] Kondo, H., Akagi, Y., & Katsura, H. Non-Hermiticity and topological invariants of magnon Bogoliubov-de Gennes systems, arXiv:2006.10391 (2020)

[53] Kruglyak, V. V., Demokritov, S. O. & Grundler, D. Magnonics, J. Phys. D 43, 264001 (2010)
[54] Chumak, A. V., Vasyuchka, V. I., Serga, A. A. & Hillebrands, B. Magnon spintronics, Nat. Phys. 11, 453 (2015)

[55] Serga, A. A., Chumak, A. V. & Hillebrands, B. YIG magnonics, J. Phys. D 43, 264002 (2010)
[56] Zhai, X. & M. Blanter, Y. M. Topological valley transport of gapped Dirac magnons in bilayer ferromagnetic insulators, Phys. Rev. B 102, 075407 (2020)

[57] Hidalgo-Sacoto, R., Gonzalez, R. I., Vogel, E. E., Allende, S., Mella, J. D., Cardenas, C., Troncoso, R. E., & Munoz, F., Magnon valley Hall effect in CrI_3 -based van der Waals heterostructures, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 205425 (2020)

[58] Ghader, D. Valley-polarized domain wall magnons in 2D ferromagnetic bilayers, Sci. Rep. **10**, 16733 (2020)

[59] Yang, K., Hu, W., Wu, H., Whangbo, M.-H., Radaelli, P. G. & Stroppa, A. Magneto-Optical Kerr Switching Properties of (CrI3)2 and (CrBr3/CrI3) Bilayers, ACS Applied Electronic Materials **2** (5), 1373-1380 (2020)

[60] Jiang, S., Li, L., Wang, Z., Mak, K. F., & Shan, J. Controlling magnetism in 2D CrI_3 by electrostatic doping, Nat. Nanotechnol. **13**, 549 (2018)

[61] Stamps, R. L. & Camley, R. E. Dipole-exchange spin wave modes in very-thin-film antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 1919 (1987)

[62] Stamps, R. L. & Hillebrands, B. Dipole-exchange modes in thin ferromagnetic films with strong out-of-plane anisotropies, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 3532, (1991)

[63] Ghader, D., Ashokan, V., Ghantous, M. A. & Khater, A. Spin waves transport across a ferrimagnetically ordered nanojunction of cobalt-gadolinium alloy between cobalt leads, Eur. Phys. J. B **86**, 180 (2013)

[64] Ashokan, V., Ghantous, M. A., Ghader, D. & Khater, A., Ballistic transport of spin waves incident from cobalt leads across cobalt–gadolinium alloy nanojunctions, JMMM **363**, 66-76 (2014)

[65] Bourahla, B., Nafa, O. & Khater, A. Spin wave transmission by spin impurities in a quasi-1D Heisenberg ferromagnetic tubular structure, J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. **28**, 1843–1849 (2015)

[66] Khater, A., Saim, L., Tigrine, R. & Ghader, D. Fabry–Perot magnonic ballistic coherent transport across ultrathin ferromagnetic lamellar bcc Ni nanostructures between Fe leads, Surf. Sci. **672**, 47 (2018)

[67] Ghader, D. & Khater, A. Theory for the spin dynamics in ultrathin disordered binary magnetic alloy films: application to cobalt-gadolinium, JMMM **482**, 88-98 (2019)

[68] Saim, L., Moujaes, E. A., Khater, A., Tigrine, R. Spin dynamics and magnonic characteristics of a magnetically ordered fcc Fe-Ni alloy monolayer on an fcc Ni slab substrate, JMMM **511**, 166958 (2020)

[69] Fukui, T. Hatsugai, Y. & Suzuki, H. Chern numbers in discretized Brillouin zone: efficient method of computing (spin) Hall conductances, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **74**, 1674 (2005)

[70] Tingxin, L. et al. Pressure-controlled interlayer magnetism in atomically thin CrI_3 , Nature Materials **18**, 1303 (2019)