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#### Abstract

In 2017, Catanese-Perroni gave a natural correspondence between the Picard group of a double cover and a set of pairs of a 2 -bundle and a certain morphism of 2-bundles on the base space. In this paper, we describe the group structure of the latter set induced from the Picard group in terms of transition functions of 2-bundles. This study is derived from the study of embedded topology of plane curves. It also proposes approaches to the study of Picard groups of double covers, and to the construction of 2-bundles.


## 1 Introduction

In the study of the embedded topology of curves on the complex projective plane $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, it is effective to consider the irreducibility of $\phi^{*} C$ for an irreducible curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ and a Galois cover $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ (cf. [3, [16, [17). For example, let $B, C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be two plane curves such that $\operatorname{deg} B$ is even and $C$ is irreducible with $\operatorname{deg} B \neq \operatorname{deg} C$, and let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the double cover branched at $B$; then the embedded topology of $B+C$ changes depending on whether $\phi^{*} C$ is irreducible or not. In the case where $\phi$ is a cyclic cover and $C$ is smooth, a criterion for irreducibility of $\phi^{*} C$ is known in 8. This criterion is intensively used to distinguish embedded topology of plane curves (cf. [1, [2, 4], 17). In the case where $\phi$ is the double cover branched at a smooth conic and $C$ is a nodal curve, a criterion for irreducibility of $\phi^{*} C$ is known in [5]. However, for general $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$, it is still a problem to determine the irreducibility of $\phi^{*} C$ even if $\phi$ is a double cover. We consider a new approach to the problem, which is constructing various curves on $X$ (which correspond to irreducible components of $\phi^{*} C$ ) and studying property of their images (which correspond to $C$ ). The main aim of this paper is a preparation for this new approach by studying a

[^0]correspondence between line bundles on $X$ and vector bundles of rank 2 (say 2 -bundles for short) on $Y$ in the case where $\phi$ is a double cover. As bi-products, we obtain approaches to studying the Picard group of double covers and to constructing 2-bundles.

In this paper, we consider a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ which is a finite surjective morphism of degree 2 between non-singular varieties $X$ and $Y$ of any dimension over $\mathbb{C}$. Catanese-Perroni [7] gave a natural correspondence between $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ and a set of pairs of a 2-bundle on $Y$ and a certain morphism of 2-bundles on $Y$ (we call such pairs admissible pairs for $\phi$ ). Hence the latter set has a group structure induced by that of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$. Our aim is to give explicit formulas for the group structure in terms of transition functions of 2-bundles. In particular, we give a criterion if $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ splits (i.e., a direct summand of two line bundles on $Y$ ).

A non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is determined by the branch locus $B_{\phi} \subset Y$ and a divisor $L$ on $Y$ such that $2 L \sim B_{\phi}$. However the relation between the pair $\left(B_{\phi}, L\right)$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is unknown. We will define a subgroup $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ generated by line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ splits. We can guess from the condition for $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ to split that the structure of $\mathrm{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ strongly relate with the embedding $B_{\phi} \subset Y$ (this relation is still a problem). The author conjectures that the equality $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)=\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ holds. In fact, the equality holds in several simple cases. Recently, it is proved in 18 that the equality holds if $Y$ is isomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$.

From the view point of 2 -bundles, the group structure of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ gives us a method for constructing 2 -bundles on $Y$, i.e., we can compute the transition functions of the 2-bundle $\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{ \pm 1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{m}^{ \pm 1}\right)$ if line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{k}$ are known. Schwarzenberger [14] proved that, for any 2 -bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a smooth surface $Y$, there exist a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ such that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{E}([14$, Theorem 3]). In Section [5] we will show a generalization of [14, Theorem 3] (Theorem 1.4). From this generalization, we can also expect that any 2-bundle on a smooth variety can be constructed by our method.

The main theorems of this paper are Theorems 1.1 , 1.3 and 1.4 below. In Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-singular double cover with $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L)$ for a divisor $L$ on $Y$, and let $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ be the covering transformation of $\phi$. Theorem 1.1 describes the group structure of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ in terms of 2-bundles on $Y$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{L}_{k}(k=1, \ldots, m)$ be $m$ line bundles on $X$. Then there exist an affine open covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $Y$ and $K_{i j}^{(k)+}, K_{i j}^{(k)-} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}\right)$ for any $i, j \in I$ and $k=1, \ldots, m$ such that the matrices

$$
\left(K_{i j}^{(1)}\left(n_{1}\right)\right)^{\left|n_{1}\right|} \ldots\left(K_{i j}^{(m)}\left(n_{m}\right)\right)^{\left|n_{m}\right|}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_{i j}
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}\right) \quad(i, j \in I)
$$

form transition functions of $\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{m}^{n_{m}}\right)$ for any $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $K_{i j}^{(k)}\left(n_{k}\right):=K_{i j}^{(k)+}$ if $n_{k} \geq 0, K_{i j}^{(k)}\left(n_{k}\right):=K_{i j}^{(k)-}$ if $n_{k}<0$, and $\xi_{i j} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i} \cap\right.$ $\left.U_{j}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}^{\times}\right)$correspond to transition functions of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L)$. Moreover, the explicit formulas for $K_{i j}^{(k)+}$ and $K_{i j}^{(k)-}$ are given in Theorem 3.1.

Remark 1.2. The push forward $\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{m}^{n_{m}}\right)$ may be indecomposable even if $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}_{i}$ splits for each $i=1, \ldots, m$ (see Example 4.5 and 4.6).

Theorem 1.3 is a criterion for splitting of the push-forward for a line bundle on $X$ (see Remark 4.2 and Lemma 4.3).

Theorem 1.3. Let $D^{+}$be an effective divisor on $X$, and let $D$ be the effective divisor on $Y$ defined by $f=0$ for $f \in H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D)\right)$ such that $\phi^{*} D=D^{+}+$ $\iota^{*} D^{+}$. Assume that $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\mathbb{C}$.

If $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ for a divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $Y$ satisfying either $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ or $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$, then $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ satisfy the following two conditions:
(i) $D^{\prime}$ is linearly equivalent to $D-L$, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D-L)$; and
(ii) there are global sections $a_{0}$ and $a_{1}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(2 L-D)$, respectively, such that $F=a_{0}^{2}+f a_{1}$, where $F$ is a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(B_{\phi}\right)$ defining the branch locus $B_{\phi}$ of the double cvore $\phi$.

Moreover, in the case where $D^{+}$is irreducible, if $D$ satisfies (ii), then $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right) \cong$ $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(D-L) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$.

Theorem 1.3 shows a correspondence between line bundles on a smooth double cover and equations of the form $F=a_{0}^{2}+a_{1} a_{2}$. In the case of $Y=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ (hence $X$ is a hyperelliptic curve), Jacobi 10 have studied this correspondence via the Jacobian variety (cf. [19]).

A finite surjective morphism of degree 2 from a normal variety to a smooth variety is called a normal double cover in this paper. The following theorem is a generalization of [14, Theorem 3].

Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a 2-bundle on a smooth projective variety $Y$ of dimension $n$ over $\mathbb{C}$. There exist a normal double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and a divisorial sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ such that $\mathcal{E} \cong \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we rewrite the correspondence in [7] to make clear the relation between sections of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ for a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ (Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.6). In Section 3] we prove Theorem 1.1 (see Theorem 3.1). In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.3 We also define a subgroup $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X) \subset \operatorname{Pic}(X)$ generated by line bundles on $X$ whose push-forwards by $\phi$ split. Observing several examples, a conjecture arises (Conjecture 4.7). In Section (5) we prove Theorem 1.4 and give an idea to generate 2-bundles. In Section6, we give algorithms for computing the direct summands of a 2 -bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ from its transition functions. In Section 7, we prove 14, Proposition 8] about jumping lines of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ by the method in Section 6 in the case where $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a non-singular double cover branched at conic on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Moreover, we compute certain global sections of a line bundle on $X$ (Example 7.6).

## 2 Line bundles over a double cover

Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a double cover, where $Y$ is a smooth variety. In [7], Catanese and Perroni investigated a correspondence between line bundles on $X$ and 2bundles on $Y$. In this section, we describe it in terms of transition functions of 2-bundles in the case where $X$ and $Y$ are smooth. We call a double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ a non-singular double cover if $X$ and $Y$ are smooth over $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-singular double cover. Let $B_{\phi} \subset Y$ and $R_{\phi} \subset X$ denote the branch locus and the ramification locus of $\phi$, respectively, and let $F \in H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(B_{\phi}\right)\right)$ be a section defining $B_{\phi}$. There exists a divisor $L$ on $Y$ such that $2 L \sim B_{\phi}$ and $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L)$ as $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules. We also have

$$
\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \cong\left(\bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{n} \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-n L)\right) /\left(t^{2}-F\right)
$$

as $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-algebras. Here $t$ corresponds to a section of $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(R_{\phi}\right)$ defining the ramification locus $R_{\phi}$. For a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X, \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is a $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}$-module. Hence the multiplication by $t$ gives a morphism $M_{\mathcal{L}}: \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}(-L) \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ such that $M_{\mathcal{L}}^{2}=F \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}}$, i.e., the composition of $M_{\mathcal{L}}(-L): \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}(-2 L) \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}(-L)$ and $M_{\mathcal{L}}: \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}(-L) \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is the multiplication by $F$.
Definition 2.1. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ be a pair of a 2 -bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $Y$ and a morphism $M: \mathcal{M}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.
(i) We call $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ an admissible pair for $\phi$ if $M^{2}=F \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}: \mathcal{M}(-2 L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.
(ii) Two admissible pairs $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N)$ are equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $\Psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that $\Psi \circ M=N \circ \Psi(-L)$, and write $(\mathcal{M}, M) \sim(\mathcal{N}, N)$.
Let $\mathrm{AD}_{\phi}(Y)$ be the set of all equivalence classes of admissible pairs for a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ :

$$
\mathrm{AD}_{\phi}(Y):=\{(\mathcal{M}, M): \text { an admissible pair for } \phi\} / \sim
$$

To describe a correspondence between admissible pairs for a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and line bundles on $X$, we introduce some notation. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ be an admissible pair for $\phi$, and let $\mathfrak{U}:=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine open covering of $Y$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U_{i}} & \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} t_{i}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { as } \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \text {-algebras }, ~=\mathcal{M}_{i}:=\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{U_{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus 2} \quad \text { as } \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \text {-modules }
$$

for any $i \in I$, where $t_{i}:=\left.t\right|_{U_{i}}$. Note that $t_{j}=t_{i} \xi_{i j}$ for $i, j \in I$, where $\xi_{i j} \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}^{\times}$correspond to transition functions of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L)$ :


Then we have transition functions $G_{i j} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}\right)$ of $\mathcal{M}$ for $i, j \in I$ :

$$
G_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{i j, 11} & g_{i j, 12}  \tag{1}\\
g_{i j, 21} & g_{i j, 22}
\end{array}\right):=\varphi_{i} \circ \varphi_{j}^{-1}:\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{U_{j}}^{\oplus 2}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus 2}\right|_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}
$$

satisfying $G_{i k}=G_{i j} G_{j k}$ and $G_{i i}=E$ for each $i, j, k \in I$, where $E$ is the identity matrix. The restriction of $M: \mathcal{M}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ to $U_{i}$ corresponds to a matrix $M_{i}$ :

$$
M_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i 0} & a_{i 2}  \tag{2}\\
a_{i 1} & -a_{i 0}
\end{array}\right):=\varphi_{i} \circ\left(\varphi_{i}(-L)\right)^{-1}: \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus 2}
$$

satisfying $a_{i 0}^{2}+a_{i 1} a_{i 2}=F_{i}:=\left.F\right|_{U_{i}}$ and $M_{j}=\xi_{i j} G_{i j}^{-1} M_{i} G_{i j}$ as elements of $\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}(X))$ for each $i, j \in I$ :


Definition 2.2. With the above notation, we call $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ a representation of the admissible pair $(\mathcal{M}, M)$. A representation $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ is said to be good if $a_{i 1}$ is a unit on $U_{i}$ for each $i \in I$.

Lemma 2.3. Any admissible pair $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ for $\phi$ has a good representation.
Proof. Let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be a representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$, where $G_{i j}$ and $M_{i}$ are as (11) and (21), respectively. Note that we have

$$
a_{i 1} \neq 0, \quad a_{i 2} \neq 0, \quad\left\{a_{i 0}=a_{i 1}=a_{i 2}=0\right\}=\emptyset
$$

on $U_{i}$ since $X$ is smooth. Hence, if we put $a_{i k}:=a_{i 1}-2 p_{i k} a_{i 0}-p_{i k}^{2} a_{i 2}$ for general sections $p_{i k}\left(3 \leq k \leq n_{i}\right)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}$ for some $n_{i} \geq 3$, we obtain

$$
\left\{a_{i 1}=a_{i 2}=a_{i 3}=\cdots=a_{i n_{i}}=0\right\}=\emptyset
$$

on $U_{i}$. Let $I^{\star}:=\left\{(i, k) \mid i \in I, k=1, \ldots, n_{i}\right\}$. We put $A_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha=(i, k) \in I^{\star}$ as

$$
A_{(i, 1)}:=E, \quad A_{(i, 2)}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{3}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{(i, k)}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
p_{i k} & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(3 \leq k \leq n_{i}\right)
$$

and define $g_{\alpha \beta, 11}^{\star}, \ldots, g_{\alpha \beta, 22}^{\star}$ and $a_{\alpha 0}^{\star}, a_{\alpha 1}^{\star}, a_{\alpha 2}^{\star}$ for $\alpha=(i, k), \beta=\left(j, k^{\prime}\right) \in I^{\star}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{\alpha \beta}^{\star}:=A_{\alpha}^{-1} G_{i j} A_{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{\alpha \beta, 11}^{\star} & g_{\alpha \beta, 12}^{\star} \\
g_{\alpha \beta, 21}^{\star} & g_{\alpha \beta, 22}^{\star}
\end{array}\right), \\
& M_{\alpha}^{\star}:=A_{\alpha}^{-1} M_{i} A_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{\alpha 0}^{\star} & a_{\alpha 2}^{\star} \\
a_{\alpha 1}^{\star} & -a_{\alpha 0}^{\star}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that, since $a_{\alpha 1}^{\star}=a_{(i, k) 1}^{\star}=a_{i k}$ for $\alpha=(i, k) \in I^{\star}$, we have

$$
\left\{a_{(i, 1) 1}^{\star}=a_{(i, 2) 1}^{\star}=a_{(i, 3) 1}^{\star}=\cdots=a_{\left(i, n_{i}\right) 1}^{\star}=0\right\}=\emptyset .
$$

Put $U_{\alpha}^{\star}:=U_{i} \cap\left\{a_{\alpha 1}^{\star} \neq 0\right\}$ for $\alpha=(i, k) \in I^{\star}$. Then $\mathfrak{U}^{\star}:=\left\{U_{\alpha}^{\star}\right\}_{\alpha \in I^{\star}}$ is an affine open covering of $Y$, and $\left(\left\{G_{\alpha \beta}^{\star}\right\},\left\{M_{\alpha}^{\star}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}^{\star}}$ is a good representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$.

Proposition 2.4 (7, Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 3.3]). Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-singular double cover and let $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ be the covering transformation of $\phi$. The map $\Upsilon: \operatorname{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{AD}_{\phi}(Y)$ defined by

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\Upsilon: & \operatorname{Pic}(X) & \rightarrow & \operatorname{AD}_{\phi}(Y) \\
\Psi & & \Psi \\
& {[\mathcal{L}]} & \mapsto & {\left[\left(\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}, M_{\mathcal{L}}\right)\right]}
\end{array}
$$

is well-defined and bijective.
Proof. Let $\mathbb{C}(X)$ be the constant sheaf of rational functions on $X$, which can be regarded as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-algebra. Any line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ can be canonically embedded in $\mathbb{C}(X)$, and $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$ regarded as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module. If $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ for line bundles $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$ on $X$, then there is a rational function $q \in \mathbb{C}(X)^{\times}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}=q \mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{C}(X)$. The multiplication by $q$ gives an isomorphism $\Psi_{q}$ : $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ with $\Psi_{q} \circ M_{\mathcal{L}}=M_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}} \circ \Psi_{q}(-L)$. Hence $\left(\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}, M_{\mathcal{L}}\right) \sim\left(\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}, M_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}}\right)$, and $\Upsilon$ is well-defined.

If $\left(\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}, M_{\mathcal{L}}\right) \sim\left(\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}, M_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}}\right)$ for two line bundles $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ on $X$, then there is an isomorphism $\Psi: \phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ with $\Psi \circ M_{\mathcal{L}}=M_{\mathcal{L}^{\prime}} \circ \Psi(-L)$. This implies that $\Psi$ preserves the $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module structures of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$. Hence $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$, and $\Upsilon$ is injective.

We show that $\Upsilon$ is surjective. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ be an admissible pair for $\phi$, and let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be a good representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$, where $G_{i j}$ and $M_{i}$ are as (11) and (21). Fix an element $i_{0} \in I$. Let $\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2} \in \mathbb{C}(X)$ be linearly independent rational functions over $\mathbb{C}(Y)$ satisfying

$$
t_{i_{0}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0}} 1 & \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}
\end{array}\right) M_{i_{0}}
$$

Note that there exist such $\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}$, for example $\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1}=t_{i_{0}}+a_{i_{0} 0}$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}=a_{i_{0} 2}$. Let $\eta_{i}: \mathcal{M}_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$
\eta_{i} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}
\end{array}\right) G_{i_{0} i}\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}} .
$$

We have $\eta_{i}=\eta_{j}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ since $\varphi_{j}^{-1}=\varphi_{i}^{-1} \circ G_{i j}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_{j} \circ \varphi_{j}^{-1}\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}} & =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}
\end{array}\right) G_{i_{0} j}\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}
\end{array}\right) G_{i_{0} i} G_{i j}\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}} \\
& =\eta_{i} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1} \circ G_{i j}\binom{s_{1}}{s_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can define an inclusion $\eta: \mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules by gluing $\eta_{i}$ $(i \in I)$. The map $\eta$ is injective since $\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}(Y)$. Put

$$
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}:=\eta_{i} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{1}{0} \quad \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}:=\eta_{i} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{0}{1}
$$

for each $i \in I$. Then we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i_{0} 2}
\end{array}\right) G_{i_{0} i} G_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2} \tag{4}
\end{array}\right) G_{i j} .
$$

Moreover, $M: \mathcal{M}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is compatible with the multiplication by $t$ under $\eta$, i.e.,

$$
t_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right) M_{i} \quad \text { for each } i \in I
$$

since $t_{i}=t_{i_{0}} \xi_{i_{0} i}$ and $M_{i}=\xi_{i_{0} i} G_{i_{0} i}^{-1} M_{i_{0}} G_{i_{0} i}$. Since $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is a good representation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}=\frac{t_{i}-a_{i 0}}{a_{i 1}} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \quad \text { over } U_{i} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\operatorname{Im}(\eta) \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$ is a line bundle over $X$. By the construction of $\eta$, it is clear that $\Upsilon([\operatorname{Im}(\eta)])=[(\mathcal{M}, M)]$. Hence $\Upsilon$ is surjective.

Definition 2.5. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ be an admissible pair for $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$, and let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be a representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$. For a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is associated to $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ (or $\left.\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}\right)$ if $\Upsilon([\mathcal{L}])=[(\mathcal{M}, M)]$. A line bundle on $X$ associated to $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$.

Corollary 2.6. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ be an admissible pair for $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$, and let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be a good representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$, where $G_{i j}$ and $M_{i}$ are defined as (11) and (21), respectively. Put $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$. Then the following statements hold:
(i) For $V_{i}:=\phi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$, there are isomorphisms $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}:\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{V_{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}}(i \in I)$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j}^{-1}: \mathcal{O}_{V_{j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}}$ on $V_{i} \cap V_{j}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j}^{-1}(1)=g_{i j, 11}+g_{i j, 21} \frac{t_{i}-a_{i 0}}{a_{i 1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) A natural isomorphism $v: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-modules is locally given on $U_{i}$ by

$$
\left.\tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ v\right|_{U_{i}} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{1}{0}=1,\left.\quad \tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ v\right|_{U_{i}} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{0}{1}=\frac{t_{i}-a_{i 0}}{a_{i 1}} .
$$

Proof. Let $\eta: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ and $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}$ be as in the proof of Proposition 2.4, By the proof of Proposition 2.4, $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to the image of $\eta$, and $\eta$ gives a natural isomorphism $v: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$.

Since $\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{V_{i}}$ is generated by $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}$ in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ by (5), an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}:\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{V_{i}} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{O}_{V_{i}}$ is defined by $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}\right)=1$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{j}^{-1}(1)=\tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1}\right)=\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1} / \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}$ on $V_{i} \cap V_{j}$. By (4) and (5), we obtain

$$
\frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1}}{\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}}=g_{i j, 11}+g_{i j, 21} \frac{t_{i}-a_{i 0}}{a_{i 1}}
$$

Thus (i) holds. For (ii) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ v\right|_{U_{i}} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{1}{0}=\tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}\right)=1, \\
& \left.\tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ v\right|_{U_{i}} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{0}{1}=\tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}\right)=\frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}}{\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}}=\frac{t_{i}-a_{i 0}}{a_{i 1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.
Example 2.7. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-singular double cover. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine open covering of $Y$ such that $\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\right|_{U_{i}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} t_{i}$ for each $i \in I$. The ramification divisor $R_{\phi}$ is defined by $t=0$. Hence we can take

$$
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}:=\frac{1}{t_{i}}, \quad \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}:=t_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}=1
$$

as local basis of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(R_{\phi}\right)$ in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-module. Then we have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\xi_{i j}^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad t_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F_{i} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(R_{\phi}\right)$ is associated to the admissible pair $\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(L) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}, M\right)$ for $\phi$, where $M$ is given by

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{O}_{Y} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

We define a normal representation of an admissible pair. In Corollary 3.4 below, we will prove that any admissible pair has a normal representation.

Definition 2.8. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ is an admissible pair for a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$, and let $F \in H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(B_{\phi}\right)\right)$ be a global section defining $B_{\phi}$. A representation $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is said to be normal if

$$
M_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F_{i} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

for each $i \in I$, where $F_{i}:=\left.F\right|_{U_{i}}$.
It is clear that a normal representation is good. The following lemma gives a criterion for equivalence of two normal representations.

Lemma 2.9. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N)$ be two admissible pairs for a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$, and let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ and $\left(\left\{H_{i j}\right\},\left\{N_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be normal representations of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N)$, respectively, where $\mathfrak{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ is an affine open covering of $Y$. Then $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N)$ are equivalent if and only if there exist $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ for any $i \in I$ such that $\alpha_{i}^{2}-\beta_{i}^{2} F_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}^{\times}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{i} & \beta_{i} F_{i} \\
\beta_{i} & \alpha_{i}
\end{array}\right) G_{i j}=H_{i j}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{j} & \beta_{j} F_{j} \\
\beta_{j} & \alpha_{j}
\end{array}\right) \quad(i, j \in I)
$$

Proof. Suppose that $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N)$ are equivalent. Then there exists an isomorphism $\Psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ such that $\Psi \circ M=N \circ \Psi(-L)$. For each $i \in I,\left.\Psi\right|_{U_{i}}$ is represented by $W_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}\right)$

$$
W_{i}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{i} & \gamma_{i} \\
\beta_{i} & \delta_{i}
\end{array}\right):\left.\left.\mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \cong \mathcal{M}\right|_{U_{i}} \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{N}\right|_{U_{i}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}
$$

satisfying $W_{i} M_{i}=N_{i} W_{i}$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(W_{i}\right) \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}^{\times}\right)$. By the definition of normal representations, we obtain

$$
W_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{i} & \beta_{i} F_{i}  \tag{7}\\
\beta_{i} & \alpha_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\alpha_{i}^{2}-\beta_{i}^{2} F_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}^{\times}\right)$. Moreover $W_{i}(i \in I)$ satisfy $W_{i} G_{i j}=H_{i j} W_{j}$.
Conversely, suppose that there exist $W_{i} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}^{\times}\right)(i \in I)$ defined by (7) such that $W_{i} G_{i j}=H_{i j} W_{j}$ for each $i, j \in I$. It is clear that $W_{i} M_{i}=N_{i} W_{i}$. Therefore, $W_{i}(i \in I)$ defines an isomorphism $\Psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ with $\Psi \circ M=$ $N \circ \Psi(-L)$, and $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N)$ are equivalent.

## 3 Group structure of $\mathrm{AD}_{\phi}(Y)$

Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-singular double cover. The set $\mathrm{AD}_{\phi}(Y)$ has a group structure induced by one of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ through the bijection $\Upsilon$ in Proposition 2.4. We next investigate the group structure of $\mathrm{AD}_{\phi}(Y)$. Let $\left(\mathcal{M}^{(k)}, M^{(k)}\right)$ be an admissible pair for a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ for each $k=1, \ldots, m$. Let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{(k)}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{(k)}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be a good representation of $\left(\mathcal{M}^{(k)}, M^{(k)}\right)$ for each $k=$ $1, \ldots, m$, where

$$
G_{i j}^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
g_{i j, 11}^{(k)} & g_{i j, 12}^{(k)} \\
g_{i j, 21}^{(k)} & g_{i j, 22}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{i}^{(k)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i 0}^{(k)} & a_{i 2}^{(k)} \\
a_{i 1}^{(k)} & -a_{i 0}^{(k)}
\end{array}\right) \quad(k=1, \ldots, m)
$$

Let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{(0)}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{(0)}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be the good representation of $\Upsilon\left(\left[\mathcal{O}_{X}\right]\right)$, where

$$
G_{i j}^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_{i j}
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad M_{i}^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F_{i} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $2 \times 2$ matrices $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$, put $\prod_{k=1}^{m} A_{k}:=A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{m}$. Then the following theorem holds, and proves Theorem [1.1.

Theorem 3.1. With the above notation, put

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{i j}^{(k)+} & :=\frac{1}{a_{i 1}^{(k)}}\left(\left(a_{i 1}^{(k)} g_{i j, 11}^{(k)}-a_{i 0}^{(k)} g_{i j, 21}^{(k)}\right) E+g_{i j, 21}^{(k)} M_{i}^{(0)}\right),  \tag{8}\\
K_{i j}^{(k)-} & :=\frac{\xi_{i j}}{a_{i 1}^{(k)} \operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)}\left(\left(a_{i 1}^{(k)} g_{i j, 11}^{(k)}-a_{i 0}^{(k)} g_{i j, 21}^{(k)}\right) E-g_{i j, 21}^{(k)} M_{i}^{(0)}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

for each $k=1, \ldots, m$. Let $n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}$ be $m$ integers, and let $[n]$ be the list $\left[n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right]$. Then $\mathcal{L}^{[n]}:=\mathcal{L}_{\left(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}, M^{(1)}\right)}^{\otimes n^{(1)}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{\left(\mathcal{M}^{(m)}, M^{(m)}\right)}^{\otimes n_{m}}$ is associated to the normal representation $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{[n]}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{[n]}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$, where

$$
G_{i j}^{[n]}:=\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(K_{i j}^{(k)}\left(n_{k}\right)\right)^{\left|n_{k}\right|} G_{i j}^{(0)}, \quad M_{i}^{[n]}:=M_{i}^{(0)},
$$

where $K_{i j}^{(k)}\left(n_{k}\right):=K_{i j}^{(k)+}$ if $n_{k} \geq 0$, and $K_{i j}^{(k)}\left(n_{k}\right):=K_{i j}^{(k)-}$ otherwise.
To prove Theorem [3.1] we first compute the admissible pair $\Upsilon\left(\left[\mathcal{L}^{[1,1]}\right]\right)$ in the case where $m=2$ and $[n]=[1,1]$. For simplicity, put $(\mathcal{M}, M):=\left(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}, M^{(1)}\right)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N):=\left(\mathcal{M}^{(2)}, M^{(2)}\right)$. Let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ and $\left(\left\{H_{i j}\right\},\left\{N_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be good representations of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $(\mathcal{N}, N)$, respectively, for an affine open covering $\mathfrak{U}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ of $Y$. Write

$$
G_{i j}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{i j, 11} & g_{i j, 12} \\
g_{i j, 21} & g_{i j, 22}
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{i}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i 0} & a_{i 2} \\
a_{i 1} & -a_{i 0}
\end{array}\right), \quad N_{i}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{i 0} & b_{i 2} \\
b_{i 1} & -b_{i 0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proposition 3.2. With the above notation, $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{N}, N)}$ is associated to the good representation $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{\sim}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{\sim}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$, where

$$
G_{i j}^{\sim}:=\frac{1}{a_{i 1}}\left(\left(a_{i 1} g_{i j, 11}-a_{i 0} g_{i j, 21}\right) E+g_{i j, 21} N_{i}\right) H_{i j}, \quad M_{i}^{\sim}:=N_{i}
$$

Proof. Let $\eta_{M}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ and $\eta_{N}: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ be embeddings such that $t_{i} \eta_{M}=\eta_{M} \circ M_{i}$ and $t_{i} \eta_{N}=\eta_{N} \circ N_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ as the proof of Proposition 2.4, and put

$$
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}:=\eta_{M}\binom{1}{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}:=\eta_{M}\binom{0}{1}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}:=\eta_{N}\binom{1}{0}, \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2}:=\eta_{N}\binom{0}{1}
$$

on $U_{i}$ for $i \in I$, where $\mathcal{M}_{i}:=\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{U_{i}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{i}:=\left.\mathcal{N}\right|_{U_{i}}$ are identified with $\mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus 2}$. Put $V_{i}:=\phi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ for $i \in I$. Then $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{N}, N)}$ are generated by $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}$ on $V_{i}$, respectively, as $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-modules in $\mathbb{C}(X)$. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{N}, N)}$ is locally generated by $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}$ on $V_{i}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{X}$-module in $\mathbb{C}(X)$. Thus $\mathcal{E}:=$ $\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{N}, N)}\right)$ is locally generated by $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}$ and $t_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}$ on $U_{i}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{Y}$-submodule of $\mathbb{C}(X)$. By $t_{i} \eta_{M}=\eta_{M} \circ M_{i}$ and $t_{i} \eta_{N}=\eta_{N} \circ N_{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}=a_{i 0} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}+a_{i 1} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1} \\
&=b_{i 0} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}+b_{i 1} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2},  \tag{10}\\
& t_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2}=a_{i 0} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2}+a_{i 1} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2}=b_{i 2} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}-b_{i 0} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2}=\frac{t_{i}-b_{i 0}}{b_{i 1}} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}
$$

Thus $\mathcal{E}$ is locally generated by $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2}$ on $U_{i}$ for any $i \in I$. Let $\boldsymbol{d}_{i k}^{\sim}:=$ $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \boldsymbol{e}_{i k}$ for $i \in I$ and $k=1,2$. By (10), the equation

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}^{\sim}
\end{array}\right) R_{\left(M_{i}, N_{i}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 2} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 1} & \left.\boldsymbol{d}_{i 2} \boldsymbol{e}_{i 2}\right)
\end{array} \quad\left(R_{\left(M_{i}, N_{i}\right)}:=\frac{1}{a_{i 1}}\left(-a_{i 0} E+N_{i}\right)\right)\right.
$$

holds for $i \in I$. We construct the set of matrices $\left\{G_{i j}^{\sim}\right\}_{i, j \in I}$ with $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}^{\sim}\end{array}\right)=$ $\left(\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}^{\sim} \quad \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}^{\sim}\right) G_{i j}^{\sim}$ for $i, j \in I$. For $i, j \in I$,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}^{\sim}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
E & R_{\left(M_{j}, N_{j}\right)}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\boldsymbol{e}_{j 1} & \boldsymbol{e}_{j 2} & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 0 & \boldsymbol{e}_{j 1}
\end{array} \boldsymbol{e}_{j 2}\right.
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}^{\sim}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}^{\sim}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & R_{\left(M_{i}, N_{i}\right)}
\end{array}\right)\binom{g_{i j, 11} H_{i j}}{g_{i j, 21} H_{i j}} .
$$

Note that $G_{i j}^{\sim}=\left(g_{i j, 11} E+g_{i j, 21} R_{\left(M_{i}, N_{i}\right)}\right) H_{i j}$. Hence we obtain $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\sim \\ \sim & \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}\end{array}\right)=$ $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}^{\sim}\end{array}\right) G_{i j}^{\sim}$. By the definition of $R_{\left(M_{i}, N_{i}\right)}, G_{i j}^{\sim}$ is defined on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. Note that $G_{i i}^{\sim}=E$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}^{\sim}\end{array}\right) G_{i j}^{\sim} G_{j k}^{\sim}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\boldsymbol{d}_{k 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{k 2}^{\sim}\end{array}\right)$ for any $i, j, k \in I$. Since $\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{j 2}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}(Y)$, we have $G_{i j}^{\sim} G_{j k}^{\sim}=G_{i k}^{\sim}$, and $G_{i j}^{\sim} \in$ $\operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}\right)$. Hence $\left\{G_{i j}^{\sim}\right\}_{i, j \in I}$ defines $\mathcal{E}$. By (10), it is clear that

$$
t_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}^{\sim}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}^{\sim} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}^{\sim}
\end{array}\right) N_{i}
$$

Hence, putting $M_{i}^{\sim}:=N_{i}$, the pair $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{\sim}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{\sim}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is an admissible pair corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{N}, N)}$.

We can prove Theorem 3.1 in the case where $n_{k} \geq 0$ for $k=1, \ldots, m$ by Proposition 3.2.
Lemma 3.3. If $n_{k} \geq 0$ for any $k=1, \ldots, m$, then the line bundle $\mathcal{L}^{[n]}$ is associated to the normal representation $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{[n]}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{[n]}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$.
Proof. Since $n_{k} \geq 0$, we have $K_{i j}^{(k)}\left(n_{k}\right)=K_{i j}^{(k)+}$ for each $k=1, \ldots, m$. Thus, in this case,

$$
G_{i j}^{[n]}:=\prod_{k=1}^{m}\left(K_{i j}^{(k)+}\right)^{n_{k}} G_{i j}^{(0)}, \quad \quad M_{i}^{[n]}:=M_{i}^{(0)}
$$

We prove this lemma by induction on $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{m}$. In the case of $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{m}=$ 0 , the assertion holds since $n_{1}=\cdots=n_{m}=0$. Suppose that $n_{1}+\cdots+n_{m}>0$. Put $k_{0}:=\min \left\{k \mid n_{k}>0\right\}$. By the assumption of the induction, $\mathcal{L}^{\left[n^{\prime}\right]}$ is associated to $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{\left[n^{\prime}\right]}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{\left[n^{\prime}\right]}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$, where $\left[n^{\prime}\right]=\left[0, \ldots, 0, n_{k_{0}}-1, n_{k_{0}+1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right]$. Since $\mathcal{L}^{[n]}=\mathcal{L}_{\left(\mathcal{M}^{\left(k_{0}\right)}, M^{\left(k_{0}\right)}\right)} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\left[n^{\prime}\right]}$ and $M_{i}^{\left[n^{\prime}\right]}=M_{i}^{(0)}, \mathcal{L}^{[n]}$ is associated to $\left(\left\{\mathcal{M}^{[n]}\right\},\left\{M^{[n]}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ by Proposition 3.2,
Corollary 3.4. For any admissible pair $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ for a non-singular double cover $\phi$, there exists a normal representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$.

Proof. In the case where $m=1$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}, M^{(1)}\right):=(\mathcal{M}, M)$, Lemma 3.3shows that $\mathcal{L}^{[1]}=\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$ is associated to $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{[1]}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{[1]}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ which is normal.
Proposition 3.5. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ be an admissible pair for a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$, and let $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ be a good representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$. Let $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ be the covering transformation of $\phi$. Then the followings hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\iota^{*} \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} & \cong \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M},-M)}  \tag{11}\\
\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} \otimes \iota^{*} \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} & \cong \phi^{*}\left((\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)\right),  \tag{12}\\
\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}^{-1} & \cong \phi^{*}\left((\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M})^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L)\right) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M},-M)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}^{-1}$ is associated to

$$
\left(\left\{\frac{\xi_{i j}}{\operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)} G_{i j}\right\},\left\{-M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}
$$

Proof. Assume $G_{i j}$ and $M_{i}$ are defined as (11) and (2), respectively. For $a+b t_{i} \in$ $\mathbb{C}(X)$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(Y)$, put $\overline{a+b t_{i}}:=a-b t_{i}$. We regard $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_{X^{-}}$ submodule in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. The line bundle $\iota^{*} \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$ is generated by $\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 1}$ on $V_{i}:=\phi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \subset X$ by (5). Since $G_{i j} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{j 1} \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{j 2}\right)=\overline{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right) G_{i j}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 1} & \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right) G_{i j}
$$

Thus $\phi_{*}\left(\iota^{*} \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}\right) \cong \mathcal{M}$. Similarly, we have

$$
t_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 1} & \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right)=\overline{-t_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} & \left.\boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}\right)
\end{array}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 1} & \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 2}
\end{array}\right)\left(-M_{i}\right) .\right.}
$$

Hence (11) holds.
For (121), we compute $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M},-M)}$ by using Lemma 3.3 putting $\left(\mathcal{M}^{(1)}, M^{(1)}\right):=(\mathcal{M}, M)$ and $\left(\mathcal{M}^{(2)}, M^{(2)}\right):=(\mathcal{M},-M)$. In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{i j}^{(1)+} & =\frac{1}{a_{i 1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i 1} g_{i j, 11}-a_{i 0} g_{i j, 21} & g_{i j, 21} F_{i} \\
g_{i j, 21} & a_{i 1} g_{i j, 11}-a_{i 0} g_{i j, 21}
\end{array}\right), \\
K_{i j}^{(2)+} & =\frac{1}{a_{i 1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i 1} g_{i j, 11}-a_{i 0} g_{i j, 21} & -g_{i j, 21} F_{i} \\
-g_{i j, 21} & a_{i 1} g_{i j, 11}-a_{i 0} g_{i j, 21}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $F_{i}=a_{i 0}^{2}+a_{i 1} a_{i 2}$, by direct computation, we obtain

$$
G_{i j}^{[1,1]}=\frac{a_{i 1} g_{i j, 11}^{2}-2 a_{i 0} g_{i j, 11} g_{i j, 21}-a_{i 2} g_{i j, 21}^{2}}{a_{i 1}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_{i j}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From the $(2,1)$ entry of the equation $M_{j}=\xi_{i j} G_{i j}^{-1} M_{i} G_{i j}$, we have

$$
a_{j 1} \operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)=\left(a_{i 1} g_{i j, 11}^{2}-2 a_{i 0} g_{i j, 11} g_{i j, 21}-a_{i 2} g_{i j, 21}^{2}\right) \xi_{i j}
$$

Hence we obtain

$$
G_{i j}^{[1,1]}=\frac{a_{j 1}}{a_{i 1}} \frac{\operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)}{\xi_{i j}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{14}\\
0 & \xi_{i j}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Moreover, since

$$
\frac{1}{a_{i 1}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)}{\xi_{i j}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_{i j}
\end{array}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{a_{j 1}} G_{i j}^{[1,1]}
$$

(12) holds by Lemma 2.9. Isomorphism (13) follows from (11) and (12). Since $\phi^{*}\left((\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M})^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L)\right)$ is associated to

$$
\left(\left\{\frac{\xi_{i j}}{\operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_{i j}
\end{array}\right)\right\},\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F_{i} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}
$$

the last assertion follows from (13) and Proposition 3.2
Proof of Theorem 3.1. The assertion follows from Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.5.

In the rest of this section, we see the correspondence between global sections of $\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$ and ones of $(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)$. Let $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ be an admissible pair for $\phi$ represented by a good representation $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}\left(\mathfrak{U}:=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}\right)$, where $G_{i j}$ and $M_{i}$ are written as (11) and (2), respectively. Put $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$. Let $\varphi_{i}:\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{U_{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus 2}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}:\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{V_{i}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}}$ be isomorphisms satisfying (2) and (6), respectively.

Proposition 3.6. With the above notation, let $\tilde{f}$ be a global section of $\mathcal{L}$, and put $\tilde{f}_{i}:=\left.\tilde{f}\right|_{V_{i}}$ for $i \in I$. If $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(\tilde{f}_{i}\right)=x_{i}+y_{i} t_{i}$ with $x_{i}, y_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$, then $h_{i}:=a_{i 1}\left(x_{i}^{2}-y_{i}^{2} F_{i}\right) \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)(i \in I)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)}{\xi_{i j}} h_{j}=h_{i} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\left\{h_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ defines a global section $h$ of $(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}, \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}$ be local basis of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ in $\mathbb{C}(X)$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. By Corollary 2.6 (ii), we have

$$
\left.\tilde{\varphi}_{i} \circ v\right|_{U_{i}} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}\binom{x_{i}+a_{i 0} y_{i}}{a_{i 1} y_{i}}=\tilde{f}_{i} .
$$

Hence the sections $\left(x_{i}+a_{i 0} y_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}+a_{i 1} y_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}(i \in I)$ are glued each other, and defines the global section $v^{-1}(\tilde{f}) \in H^{0}(Y, \mathcal{M})$. By (5) and direct computation, we obtain

$$
\left(\left(x_{i}+a_{i 0} y_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{d}_{i 1}+a_{i 1} y_{i} \boldsymbol{d}_{i 2}\right)\left(\left(x_{i}+a_{i 0} y_{i}\right) \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 1}+a_{i 1} y_{i} \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 2}\right)=h_{i} \frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 1}}{a_{i 1}}
$$

and they define a global section $\tilde{f}\left(\iota^{*} \tilde{f}\right)$ of $\phi^{*}\left(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)\right)$ by Proposition 3.5. By the proof of Proposition 3.2 and (14), we obtain

$$
\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(G_{i j}\right)}{\xi_{i j}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 1}}{a_{i 1}} & \frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{i 1} \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{i 2}}{a_{i 1}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \xi_{i j}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1} \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{j 1}}{a_{j 1}} & \frac{\boldsymbol{d}_{j 1} \overline{\boldsymbol{d}}_{j 2}}{a_{j 1}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

This implies that $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ satisfies (15), and defines $h \in H^{0}\left(Y,(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)\right)$.

The following corollary is an interpretation of Proposition 3.6 in terms of divisors.

Corollary 3.7. With the same notation of Proposition 3.6, let $D$ be an effective divisor on $Y$ with $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(D) \cong(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)$. If there exists an effective divisor $D^{+}$on $X$ with $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}$ such that $\phi^{*}(D)=D^{+}+\iota^{*}\left(D^{+}\right)$, then $D$ is locally defined by $a_{i 1}\left(x_{i}^{2}-y_{i}^{2} F_{i}\right)=0$ for some $x_{i}, y_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ on each $U_{i}$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{f} \in H^{0}(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a section defining $D^{+}$, and put $\tilde{f}_{i}:=\left.\tilde{f}\right|_{V_{i}}$. Let $x_{i}, y_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ be sections such that $\tilde{\varphi}_{i}\left(\tilde{f}_{i}\right)=x_{i}+y_{i} t_{i}$. By Proposition 3.6, $h_{i}:=a_{i 1}\left(x_{i}^{2}-y_{i}^{2} F_{i}\right)(i \in I)$ define a section $h \in H^{0}\left(Y,(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)\right)$. Moreover $h=0$ defines the image $\phi\left(D^{+}\right)=D$. Therefore $D$ is locally defined by $a_{i 1}\left(x_{i}^{2}-y_{i}^{2} F_{i}\right)=0$.

Remark 3.8. Corollary 3.7 gives a condition for splitting of $\phi^{*} C$. However, it is difficult to represent a local equation of a divisor as $x_{i}^{2}-y_{i}^{2} F_{i}=0$. For example, there exists a plane curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ of degree 6 defined locally by $s_{4}^{2}-s_{3}^{2} F_{U}=0$ on an affine open $U \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ for $s_{i}, F_{U} \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ with $\operatorname{deg} s_{i}=i$ and $\operatorname{deg} F=2$, i.e., the higher terms of $s_{4}^{2}$ and $s_{3}^{2} F$ are canceled in $s_{4}^{2}-s_{3}^{2} F_{U}$ (see Example 7.6 below).

## 4 A subgroup of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$

We have seen the correspondence between admissible pairs for a non-singular double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ and line bundles on $X$. Hence it is effective for understanding $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ to study $\mathrm{AD}_{\phi}(Y)$. However, it seems difficult to find a
morphism $M: \mathcal{M}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ satisfying $M^{2}=F \cdot \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ for a general 2-bundle $\mathcal{M}$ on $Y$. In the case where $\mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{2}\right)$ for some divisors $D_{1}, D_{2}$ on $Y$, such a morphism $M$ can be represented as

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0} & a_{2}  \tag{16}\\
a_{1} & -a_{0}
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{1}-L\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{2}-L\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{2}\right)
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(L), \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(L-D_{1}+D_{2}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(L+$ $D_{1}-D_{2}$ ), respectively, satisfying $a_{0}^{2}+a_{1} a_{2}=F$.

Definition 4.1. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a non-singular double cover.
(i) We say that a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ splits with respect to $\phi$ if $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is the direct sum of two line bundles on $Y$.
(ii) Let $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ denote the subgroup of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ generated by line bundles which split with respect to $\phi$ ("s" of sPic means "sub" or "split");

$$
\left.\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X):=\langle[\mathcal{L}] \in \operatorname{Pic}(X)| \mathcal{L} \text { splits with respect to } \phi\right\rangle
$$

Remark 4.2. If $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \phi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(-D_{2}\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{1}-D_{2}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

by projection formula. Since $\phi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$, the subgroup $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ is generated by $\phi^{*}(\operatorname{Pic}(Y))$ and line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ satisfying $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ on $X$ for some divisor $D^{\prime}$ on $Y$.

Lemma 4.3. If $Y$ is an open subset of a smooth projective variety $\bar{Y}$ with $\operatorname{codim}_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{Y} \backslash Y) \geq 2$, then $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\mathbb{C}$, and $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ is generated by $\phi^{*}(\operatorname{Pic}(Y))$ and line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ with $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ such that either $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ or $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$.

Proof. For an irreducible divisor $C$ on $Y$, let $\bar{C}$ denote the closure of $C$ on $\bar{Y}$. Let $D$ be a divisor on $Y$, and put $\bar{D}:=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{C}_{i}$, where $D=\sum_{i=1}^{k} C_{i}$ is the irreducible decomposition of $D$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(D)=\left.\mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{D})\right|_{Y}$. By [9, Proposition 1.6], we have $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D)\right)=H^{0}\left(\bar{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}(\bar{D})\right)$. Thus

$$
H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=H^{0}\left(\bar{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\bar{Y}}\right)=\mathbb{C}
$$

For a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ with $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$, if $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(D) \not \approx \mathcal{O}_{Y}$ and $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D)\right) \neq 0$, then $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-D)\right)=0$ and

$$
\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \phi^{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(-D)\right)\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-D) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

Therefore the assertion holds true by Remark 4.2,

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $D^{\prime}$ be a divisor on $Y$ such that either $D^{\prime} \sim 0$ or $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$. Put $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right)$and $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$. Let $\tilde{f} \in$ $H^{0}(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a section defining $D^{+}$. Suppose that there is an isomorphism $v: \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\sim} \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$. By $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\mathbb{C}$ and the assumption for $D^{\prime}$, we may assume that

$$
v^{-1}(\tilde{f})=\boldsymbol{b}_{2}:=\binom{0}{1} \in H^{0}(Y, \mathcal{M})=H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right)\right) \oplus H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)
$$

after taking a certain basis $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}$ of $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}=\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \boldsymbol{b}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y} \boldsymbol{b}_{2}$. Let $M$ : $\mathcal{M}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a morphism satisfying $M^{2}=F \cdot \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{M}}$ such that $\mathcal{L}$ is associated to $(\mathcal{M}, M)$. The morphism $M$ can be regarded as a matrix with respect to the basis $\boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}$ as in (16) with $D_{1}=D^{\prime}$ and $D_{2}=0$. Let $\mathfrak{U}:=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ be an affine open covering of $Y$, and put $a_{i k}:=\left.a_{k}\right|_{U_{i}}(i \in I, k=0,1,2)$ and

$$
M_{i}:=\left.M\right|_{U_{i}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{i 0} & a_{i 2} \\
a_{i 1} & -a_{i 0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $\eta_{i j}$ be sections of $\Gamma\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)$ which form transition functions of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ :


Transition functions of $\mathcal{M}$ are represented by the matrices

$$
G_{i j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\eta_{i j} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{O}_{U_{j}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_{j}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}
$$

Since $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ is not good in general, we take a good representation $\left(\left\{G_{\alpha \beta}^{\star}\right\},\left\{M_{\alpha}^{\star}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}^{\star}}$ constructed from $\left(\left\{G_{i j}\right\},\left\{M_{i}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}$ as in the proof of Lemma2.3, Let $\varphi_{\alpha}:\left.\mathcal{M}\right|_{U_{\alpha}^{\star}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{\alpha}^{\star}}^{\oplus 2}\left(\alpha \in I^{\star}\right)$ be isomorphisms such that $\varphi_{\alpha} \circ \varphi_{\beta}^{-1}=G_{\alpha \beta}^{\star}$. Recall that $I^{\star}:=\left\{(i, k) \mid i \in I, k=1, \ldots, n_{i}\right\}$. Then

$$
\varphi_{(i, 2)} \circ v^{-1}(\tilde{f})=\binom{1}{0}, \quad \varphi_{(i, k)} \circ v^{-1}(\tilde{f})=\binom{0}{1} \quad(k \neq 2)
$$

for $i \in I$. By Corollary 2.6, there exist isomorphisms $\tilde{\varphi}_{\alpha}:\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{V_{\alpha}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{V_{i}}\left(\alpha \in I^{\star}\right)$ such that

$$
\tilde{\varphi}_{(i, 2)}(\tilde{f})=1, \quad \quad \tilde{\varphi}_{(i, k)}(\tilde{f})=\frac{t_{i}-a_{(i, k) 0}^{\star}}{a_{(i, k) 1}^{\star}} \quad(k \neq 2),
$$

By Corollary 3.7 $D$ is locally defined by $a_{(i, 2) 1}^{\star}=a_{i 2}$ on $U_{(i, 2)}^{\star}$ and $-a_{(i, k) 2}^{\star}=$ $-a_{i 2}$ on $U_{(i, k)}^{\star}$ for $k \neq 2$. Hence $a_{2}$ is a global section of $(\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y}(L)$ (note that the difference of sign of $a_{i 2}$ above is derived from $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{(i, 2)}\right)=-1$
and $\operatorname{det}\left(A_{(i, k)}\right)=1$ for $k \neq 2$ and $A_{(i, k)}$ in (3) $)$. Since $\operatorname{det} \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ and $a_{2} \in H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D)\right)$, we obtain (i) by Proposition 3.5 Since $a_{2}$ and $f$ defines the same divisor $D$, we have $f=c a_{2}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. By replacing $a_{1}$ with $a_{1} / c$, we obtain $F=a_{0}^{2}+f a_{1}$, hence (ii) holds.

For the last assertion, suppose that $D^{+}$is irreducible, and that (ii) holds. Put $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{O}_{Y}(D-L) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}$. Then we have the morphism $M_{f}: \mathcal{M}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ represented by the matrix

$$
M_{f}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0} & f \\
a_{1} & -a_{0}
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D-2 L) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}(-L) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y}(D-L) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{Y}
$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{f}$ be the line bundle on $X$ associated to $\left(\mathcal{M}, M_{f}\right)$, and let $v_{f}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}_{f}$ be the natural isomorphism in Corollary 2.6 (ii) By the above argument, we can see that $\tilde{f}:=v_{f}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{2}\right)$ defines a component $D$ of $\phi^{*} D$. Since $D^{+}$is irreducible, either $\widetilde{D}=D^{+}$or $\widetilde{D}=\iota^{*} D^{+}$. Hence either $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right) \cong \mathcal{L}_{f}$ or $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right) \cong \iota^{*} \mathcal{L}_{f}$. Since $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}_{f} \cong \mathcal{M}$, we obtain $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right) \cong \mathcal{M}$ by Proposition 3.5.

Theorem 1.3 implies that generators of $\mathrm{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ correspond to equations of the form $F=a_{0}^{2}+a_{1} a_{2}$. Hence we can expect that $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ reflects the arrangement of $B_{\phi}$ in $Y$ enough to describe the structure of $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$. In several examples below, the equation $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)=\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ holds (see also Example 2.7).

Example 4.4. Let $X$ be a hyperelliptic curve, and let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a nonsingular double cover. Since any rank 2 -bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ splits, we have $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=$ $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$.

Example 4.5. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a double cover branched along a smooth conic $B_{\phi}$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(L)=1$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Let $D^{+}$be a ruling of $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. The image $D=\phi\left(D^{+}\right)$is a tangent line of $B_{\phi}$. Let $f \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$ be a section defining $D$. Then $B_{\phi}$ is given by $a_{0}^{2}+f a_{1}=0$ for some $a_{0}, a_{1} \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$. By Proposition 3.5 and Theorem 1.3, we obtain $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right) \cong \phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\iota^{*} D^{+}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y}^{\oplus 2}$. Since $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is generated by $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(D^{+}\right)$and $\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(\iota^{*} D^{+}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$. By [14], it is known that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m D^{+}\right)$is indecomposable if $|m| \geq 2$.

Example 4.6. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a double cover branched along a smooth quartic $B_{\phi}$. Note that $\operatorname{deg}(L)=2$. Then $X$ is isomorphic to the blowing-up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ at 7 points in general position. Moreover $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ is generated by $8(-1)$ curves $E_{0}, \ldots, E_{7}$, where $E_{0}$ is the strict transform of a line passing through two bowing-up centers, and $E_{1}, \ldots, E_{7}$ are the exceptional divisors. The images $\phi\left(E_{0}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(E_{7}\right)$ are 8 of 28 bitangent lines of $B_{\phi}$. Let $f_{j} \in H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$ be a section defining $\phi\left(E_{j}\right)$ for each $j=0, \ldots, 7$. Then there exist global sections $a_{j, k}(k=0,1)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(k+2)$ such that $B_{\phi}$ is defined by $a_{j, 0}^{2}+f_{j} a_{j, 1}=0$. Hence $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(E_{j}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ for each $j=0, \ldots, 7$ by Theorem 1.3. Therefore we obtain $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$. In 14] and [20, it is shown that there are line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ such that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is indecomposable.

The following conjecture and problem arise.

Conjecture 4.7. If $H^{0}\left(Y, \mathcal{O}_{Y}\right)=\mathbb{C}$, then $\operatorname{Pic}(X)=\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$.
Problem 4.8. Describe the rank of $\operatorname{sPic}_{\phi}(X)$ in terms of the branch locus $B_{\phi}$ and the divisor $L$.

Remark 4.9. In [18], it is proved that Conjecture 4.7 holds true if $Y$ is isomorphic to the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ for any $n \geq 1$.

## 5 An idea to generate 2-bundles

In this section, we give an idea to generate 2 -bundles through double covers. We call $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ a normal double cover if $\phi$ is a finite surjective morphism of degree two from a normal variety $X$ to a smooth variety $Y$ over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ be the divisor class group of $X$. Note that there is a canonical one-to-one correspondence between $\mathrm{Cl}(X)$ and the set of divisorial sheaves on $X$ (cf. [15]). As the idea of [7, we can apply our method to divisorial sheaves on normal double covers as follows. (See 9 for general results on reflexive sheaves.)

Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a normal double cover. Let $X^{\circ}$ be the smooth locus $X \backslash \operatorname{Sing}(X)$ of $X$, and put $Y^{\circ}:=\phi\left(X^{\circ}\right)$. Then the restriction $\phi^{\circ}: X^{\circ} \rightarrow Y^{\circ}$ of $\phi$ is a non-singular double cover. For a divisorial sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$, the restriction $\mathcal{L}^{\circ}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ to $X^{\circ}$ is a line bundle on $X^{\circ}$, and $i_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\circ}=\mathcal{L}$ and $j_{*} \phi_{*}^{\circ} \mathcal{L}^{\circ}=\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ hold, where $i: X^{\circ} \rightarrow X$ and $j: Y^{\circ} \rightarrow Y$ are the inclusion maps. Hence computation of push-forwards of line bundles on $X^{\circ}$ can be applied to that of divisorial sheaves on $X$ via $j_{*}$. By modifying the proof of [14, Theorem 3], we can prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 Let $\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the $\mathbb{P}^{1}$-bundle $\operatorname{Proj}(S(\mathcal{E}))$, and let $p: \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow Y$ be the projection, where $S(\mathcal{E})$ is the symmetric algebra of $\mathcal{E}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a very ample line bundle on $Y$. The line bundle $\mathcal{H}$ gives the embedding $\Phi_{\mathcal{H}}: Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{s}$ with $s+1=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(Y, \mathcal{H})$. For $k$ large enough, we have the following exact sequence:

$$
\mathcal{H}^{\oplus r+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{k} \rightarrow 0
$$

for some $r>0$. This induces an embedding $i: \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{E}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ for $N=r s+r+s$ via the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^{r} \times \mathbb{P}^{s} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$. Put $\mathcal{L}:=i^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{N}}(1)$. Note that $i\left(p^{-1}(P)\right)$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ for each $P \in Y$, and $p_{*} \tilde{\mathcal{L}} \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{k}$. Hence $i: \mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{E}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N}$ induces an embedding $i^{\prime}: Y \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{1}(N)$ by $P \mapsto i\left(p^{-1}(P)\right)$, where $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}(N)$ is the Grassmannian consisting of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{N}$.

For a quadratic hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$, let $V(Q)$ be the subscheme of $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}(N)$ consisting of lines on $Q$. Note that $\operatorname{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C}):=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{N}\right)$ acts transitively on both of $\mathbb{P}^{N}$ and $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}(N)$ such that $V(g(Q))=g(V(Q))$ for any $g \in \operatorname{PGL}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Gr}_{1}(N)=2 N-2, \operatorname{dim} V(Q)=2 N-5$ (cf. [6]) and $\operatorname{dim} Y=n$, we obtain $\operatorname{dim} Y \cap V(Q)=n-3$ for a general hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ of degree 2 by [11, Theorem 2]. Put $X^{\prime}:=\mathbb{P}_{\mathcal{E}} \cap Q$ for a general quadratic hypersurface $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} i^{\prime}(Y) \cap V(Q)=n-3$ and $X^{\prime}$ is smooth.

Let $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ be the restriction of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ to $X^{\prime}$. For an affine open set $U$ of $Y$, the Künneth formula for sheaves implies that

$$
R^{q} p_{*} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(U)=H^{q}\left(U \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, \mathcal{O}_{U} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1)\right)=0
$$

for all $q \geq 0$. Since $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \otimes \mathcal{J}_{X^{\prime}} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}$ for the ideal sheaf $\mathcal{J}_{X^{\prime}} \cong \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-2}$ of $X^{\prime}$, we have $p_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{L}^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{k}$ by [14, Proposition 5].

Then the restriction $p^{\prime}:=\left.p\right|_{X^{\prime}}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is a generically finite morphism of degree 2. Let $U^{\prime}:=\left\{P \in Y \mid\left(p^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(P)\right.$ is finite $\}$. By Stein factorization of $p^{\prime}$, we obtain a birational morphism $f^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$ and a finite morphism $g^{\prime}: X^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow Y$ such that $g^{\prime} \circ f^{\prime}=p^{\prime}$. Take the normalization $\kappa: X \rightarrow X^{\prime \prime}$, and put $\phi:=g^{\prime} \circ \kappa: X \rightarrow Y$, which is a normal double cover.


Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the double dual $\left(\kappa^{*} f_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right)^{\vee \vee}$ of $\kappa^{*} f_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is a divisorial sheaf on $X$, and $\left.\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}\right|_{U^{\prime}} \cong p_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}\right|_{U^{\prime}}$ since $f^{\prime}$ and $\kappa$ are isomorphic over $U^{\prime}$. Since $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is reflexive by [9, Corollary 1.7] and $\operatorname{codim}_{Y}\left(Y \backslash U^{\prime}\right)=3, \phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong p_{*}^{\prime} \mathcal{L}^{\prime} \cong \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{H}^{k}$. Therefore, $\phi_{*}\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \phi^{*} \mathcal{H}^{-k}\right) \cong \mathcal{E}$.

Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a normal double cover over a smooth projective variety $Y$ branched at $B \subset Y$, and let $F$ be a global section of $\mathcal{O}_{Y}(B)$ defining $B$. If $F$ has several representations of the form $F=a_{0}^{2}+a_{1} a_{2}$, then we can expect that many 2-bundles on $Y$ are generated by the following method:
(i) Let $\phi^{\circ}: X^{\circ} \rightarrow Y^{\circ}$ be the non-singular double cover as above;
(ii) take several line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_{m}$ on $X^{\circ}$ such that $\phi_{*}^{\circ} \mathcal{L}_{i}$ is split, and compute 2-bundles $\phi_{*}^{\circ}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{m}^{n_{m}}\right)$ on $Y^{\circ}$ by Theorem 3.1
(iii) then $j_{*} \phi_{*}^{\circ}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{m}^{n_{m}}\right)$ are reflexive sheaves of rank two.

If $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is non-singular, then the reflexive sheaves in (iii) are 2-bundles. This methods has the following problem:

Problem 5.1. (1) Give a condition for a reflexive sheaf $j_{*} \phi_{*}^{\circ}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}^{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{L}_{m}^{n_{m}}\right)$ in (iii) to be a 2-bundle.
(2) Which normal double cover $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ generates many 2-bundles on $Y$ by the above method?

If Conjecture 4.7 is true, then there is a normal double cover $\phi_{\mathcal{E}}: X \rightarrow Y$ for any 2 -bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on a smooth projective variety $Y$ such that $\mathcal{E}$ is generated by the above method using $\phi_{\mathcal{E}}$.

## 6 Direct summands of 2-bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$

It is known due to Grothendieck that any 2-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ splits. Let $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}(n)$ be the Grassmannian consisting of lines in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$. We identify a point of $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}(n)$ with the line in $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ corresponding to the point. For a 2 -bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, we have a $\operatorname{map} a_{\mathcal{E}}: \operatorname{Gr}_{1}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ given by $a_{\mathcal{E}}(L)=\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ for $L \in \operatorname{Gr}_{1}(n)$ if

$$
\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(k_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(k_{2}\right) \quad\left(k_{1} \geq k_{2}\right)
$$

It is known that a maximal subset $U_{\mathcal{E}}$ of $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}(n)$ with the restriction $\left.a_{\mathcal{E}}\right|_{U_{\mathcal{E}}}$ : $U_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ constant is a non-empty Zariski-open subset of $\operatorname{Gr}_{1}(n)$ (cf. [12]). A line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{n}$ is called a jumping line of $\mathcal{E}$ if $L \notin U_{\mathcal{E}}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{E}$ is indecomposable if $U_{\mathcal{E}} \subsetneq \operatorname{Gr}_{1}(n)$.

In this section, we investigate a method of computing direct summands of a 2-bundle from transition functions $G_{i j}$. This method enables us to compute jumping lines of a 2 -bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$ if we know transition functions of the 2 bundle. For this aim, we give a proof of the well-known result of Grothendieck by using transition functions.

We first construct a matrix $\mathrm{Eu}_{x}(G)$ for $G \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}(x))$ such that $\mathrm{Eu}_{x}(G) G$ is an upper triangular matrix. For $p \in \mathbb{C}$, let $v_{p}: \mathbb{C}(x) \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the valuation at $p \in \mathbb{A}^{1}=\mathbb{C}$, and put $v_{p}(0):=\infty$. For $G:=\left(g_{i j}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, let

$$
\operatorname{lcd}_{x}(G):=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{C}}(x-p)^{\min \left\{v_{p}\left(g_{i j}\right) \mid i, j=1,2\right\}}
$$

Let $G, J \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}(x))$ be matrices as follows;

$$
G:=\operatorname{lcd}_{x}(G)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{17}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right), \quad J:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that we have $\operatorname{lcd}_{x}(G) \neq 0$ and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b, c, d)=1$. Put $A_{k}$ for $k \geq 0$ as $A_{0}:=E$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{k} & :=A_{k} G=\operatorname{lcd}_{x}(G)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{k} & b_{k} \\
c_{k} & d_{k}
\end{array}\right), \\
A_{k+1} & := \begin{cases}J A_{k} & \text { if } \operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(a_{k}\right)>\operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(c_{k}\right) \text { or } a_{k}=0, \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
s_{k+1} & 1
\end{array}\right) A_{k} & \text { if } a_{k}, c_{k} \neq 0 \text { and } \operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(a_{k}\right) \leq \operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(c_{k}\right), \\
A_{k} & \text { if } c_{k}=0,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s_{k} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ is the polynomial with $\operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(c_{k-1}+s_{k} a_{k-1}\right)<\operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(a_{k-1}\right)$. By Euclidian algorithm, $c_{m}=0$ for some $m \geq 0$. Note that $a_{m} d_{m}= \pm \operatorname{det}(G) \neq$ 0 . Let $a_{m, 0}, d_{m, 0} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be the coefficients of the leading terms of $a_{m}, d_{m}$, respectively. Put

$$
B_{m}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{m, 0}^{-1} & s_{m} \\
0 & d_{m, 0}^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \operatorname{Eu}_{x}(G):=B_{m} A_{m}
$$

where $s_{m}$ is the polynomial satisfying $\operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(a_{m, 0}^{-1} b_{m}+s_{m} d_{m}\right)<\operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(d_{m}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{Eu}_{x}(G) G$ is of the form

$$
\operatorname{Eu}_{x}(G) G=\operatorname{lcd}_{x}(G)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime}  \tag{18}\\
0 & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)
$$

such that $a^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ are monic, $\operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(b^{\prime}\right)<\operatorname{deg}_{x}\left(d^{\prime}\right)$ and $a^{\prime}=\operatorname{gcd}(a, c)$. For an affine open $U \subset \mathbb{A}^{1}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}(x) \backslash\{0\}$, let

$$
\mathrm{D}_{U}(a):=\prod_{p \in \mathbb{A}^{1} \backslash U}(x-p)^{v_{p}(a)}, \quad \mathrm{N}_{U}(a):=\frac{a}{\mathrm{D}_{U}(a)}
$$

Note that $\mathrm{D}_{U}(a) \in \Gamma\left(U, \mathcal{O}_{U}^{\times}\right)$, and $\mathrm{D}_{U}(a) \mathrm{D}_{U}(b)=\mathrm{D}_{U}(a b)$ and $\mathrm{N}_{U}(a) \mathrm{N}_{U}(b)=$ $\mathrm{N}_{U}(a b)$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}(x) \backslash\{0\}$.

Let $\mathfrak{U}:=\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{n}$ be an affine open covering of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$, and let $G_{i j} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{j}}\right)$ $(i, j=0, \ldots, n)$ be transition functions of a 2 -bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. We construct matrices $A_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}\right)$ such that $A_{i}^{-1} G_{i j} A_{j}=E$. We regard $\mathbb{C}[x]$ as the coordinate ring of $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Put $A_{0}^{(0)}:=E$. We define $A_{i}^{(k)}$ for $0 \leq i \leq k \leq n$ in Algorithm 1 below. Let us write $G_{0 k}^{(k-1)}:=\mathrm{Eu}_{x}\left(G_{k 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)}\right) G_{k 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)}$ as

$$
G_{k 0}^{(k-1)}=g_{k}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{k} & b_{k} \\
0 & d_{k}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { where } \quad g_{k}:=\operatorname{lcd}_{x}\left(G_{k 0}^{(k-1)}\right)
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\mathrm{N}_{U_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right), \mathrm{D}_{U_{k}}\left(d_{k}\right)\right)=1$, there are polynomials $\alpha_{k}, \beta_{k} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $\mathrm{N}_{U_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right) \mathrm{D}_{U_{k}}\left(d_{k}\right) b_{k}+\alpha_{k} \mathrm{~N}_{U_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right)+\beta_{k} \mathrm{D}_{U_{k}}\left(d_{k}\right)=0$.

```
Algorithm 1 Computation of a global basis for a 2 -bundle on \(\mathbb{A}^{1}\)
Input: The transition functions \(G_{i j}(i, j=0, \ldots, n)\) of a 2 -bundle on \(\mathbb{A}^{1}\).
Output: The matrices \(A_{i}(i=0, \ldots, n)\) such that \(A_{i}^{-1} G_{i j} A_{j}=E\).
    \(A_{0}^{(0)}:=E ; k:=1 ;\)
    while \(k \leq n\) do
        \(i:=0\);
        while \(i \leq k-1\) do
            \(A_{i}^{(k)}:=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}_{U_{k}}\left(g_{k} a_{k} d_{k}\right)} A_{i}^{(k-1)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{N}_{U_{k}}\left(d_{k}\right) & \alpha_{k} \\ 0 & \mathrm{~N}_{U_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right)\end{array}\right) ;\)
            \(i:=i+1\);
        end while
        \(A_{k}^{(k)}:=\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{D}_{U_{k}}\left(g_{k} a_{k} d_{k}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}\mathrm{D}_{U_{k}}\left(d_{k}\right) & \beta_{k} \\ 0 & \mathrm{D}_{U_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right)\end{array}\right) \operatorname{Eu}_{x}\left(G_{k 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)}\right)\right)^{-1} ;\)
        \(k:=k+1 ;\)
    end while
    for \(i=0, \ldots, n\) do
        \(A_{i}:=A_{i}^{(n)} ;\)
    end for
    return \(A_{0}, \ldots, A_{n}\).
```

Proposition 6.1. For $A_{i}(i=0, \ldots, n)$ obtained by Algorithm 1, the followings hold.
(i) $A_{i} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}\right)$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$.
(ii) $A_{i}^{-1} G_{i j} A_{j}=E$ for $i, j=0, \ldots, n$.

In particular, any 2-bundle on $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}^{\oplus 2}$.
Proof. Note that $A_{i}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}(x))$. We first prove $G_{i 0} A_{0}^{(k)}=A_{i}^{(k)}$ for $0 \leq$ $i \leq k \leq n$. In the case of $k=0$, the equation is trivial. For $k \geq 1$, suppose $G_{i 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)}=A_{i}^{(k-1)}$ for $i=0, \ldots, k-1$. By the definition of $\bar{A}_{i}^{(k)}$, we have $\left(A_{i}^{(k-1)}\right)^{-1} A_{i}^{(k)}=\left(A_{0}^{(k-1)}\right)^{-1} A_{0}^{(k)}$ for any $i=0, \ldots, k-1$. Thus we obtain

$$
G_{i 0} A_{0}^{(k)}=G_{i 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)}\left(A_{i}^{(k-1)}\right)^{-1} A_{i}^{(k)}=A_{i}^{(k)}
$$

for $i=0, \ldots, k-1$. By direct computation, we can see $\left(A_{k}^{(k)}\right)^{-1} G_{k 0} A_{0}^{(k)}=E$. Hence $G_{i 0} A_{0}^{(k)}=A_{i}^{(k)}$ holds for each $i=0, \ldots, k$. In particular, $A_{i}^{-1} G_{i 0} A_{0}=E$ for $i=0, \ldots, n$. Thus

$$
A_{i}^{-1} G_{i j} A_{j}=A_{i}^{-1} G_{i 0} A_{0}\left(A_{j}^{-1} G_{j 0} A_{0}\right)^{-1}=E
$$

and (ii) holds.
We next prove (i) by induction on $k$. Assume that $A_{i}^{(k-1)} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}\right)$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. By definitions of $\mathrm{Eu}_{x}(G)$ and $\mathrm{D}_{U}(a)$, we have $A_{k}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{k}}\right)$. By the above argument, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0} \cap U_{k}}\right) \ni G_{k 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)}=G_{k i} G_{i 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)}=G_{k i} A_{i}^{(k-1)} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i} \cap U_{k}}\right)
$$

This implies that $G_{k 0} A_{0}^{(k-1)} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)} \cap U_{k}}\right)$, where $U^{(k)}:=U_{0} \cup \cdots \cup U_{k-1}$. Hnece $G_{k 0}^{(k-1)} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)} \cap U_{k}}\right)$, and $g_{k}, a_{k}, d_{k} \in \Gamma\left(U^{(k)}, \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)} \cap U_{k}}^{\times}\right)$. Therefore $\mathrm{N}_{U_{k}}\left(g_{k}\right), \mathrm{N}_{U_{k}}\left(a_{k}\right), \mathrm{N}_{U_{k}}\left(d_{k}\right) \in \Gamma\left(U^{(k)}, \mathcal{O}_{U^{(k)}}^{\times}\right)$, and $A_{i}^{(k)} \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}\right)$ for $i=$ $0, \ldots, k-1$.

Next we compute the direct summands of a 2-bundle $\mathcal{E}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ from its transition functions. Let $u_{0}, u_{1}$ be homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$, and let $U_{i} \subset \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the affine open subset defined by $u_{i} \neq 0$ for $i=0,1$. Put

$$
x:=\frac{u_{1}}{u_{0}}, \quad y:=\frac{u_{0}}{u_{1}} .
$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)=\mathbb{C}(x)=\mathbb{C}(y)$. Let $P_{x}, P_{y} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be the points defined by $u_{1}=0$ and $u_{0}=0$, respectively. By Proposition 6.1 we may assume that a 2-bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ is defined by a transition function $G \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0} \cap U_{1}}\right)$.

Let $G \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0} \cap U_{1}}\right)$ be as in (17). For $P \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$, let $v_{P}: \mathbb{C}(x) \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the valuation of $P \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$, and put $v_{P}(0):=\infty$, and let $v_{1}(G), v_{2}(G), v(G) \in \mathbb{Z}$
be the integers

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{1}(G) & :=\min \left\{v_{P_{x}}(a), v_{P_{x}}(c)\right\}, \\
v_{2}(G) & :=\min \left\{v_{P_{x}}(b), v_{P_{x}}(d)\right\}, \\
v(G) & :=\min \left\{v_{P_{x}}(a), v_{P_{x}}(b), v_{P_{x}}(c), v_{P_{x}}(d)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We define $e_{1}(G), e_{2}(G) \in \mathbb{Z}$ as

$$
e_{1}(G):=v_{P_{x}}\left(a^{\prime}\right), \quad e_{2}(G):=v_{P_{x}}\left(d^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $a^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ are in（18）．Note that $v_{1}(G)=e_{1}(G)$ and $v_{2}(G) \leq e_{2}(G)$ ．Since $G \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0} \cap U_{1}}\right)$ ，we have $\operatorname{det}(G)=x^{r}$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{lcd}_{x}(G)=x^{v(G)}$ ． Note that $2 v(G) \leq r=v_{P_{x}}(\operatorname{det}(G))$ ．Let $b(G) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ be the polynomial such that $x^{v(G)} b(G)$ is the $(1,2)$ entry of the triangular matrix $\mathrm{Eu}_{x}(G) G$ ．Note that，if $G \in \mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0} \cap U_{1}}\right)$ ，then the $(j, j)$ entry of $\mathrm{Eu}_{x}(G) G$ is $x^{e_{j}(G)}$ for each $j=1,2$ ，and $y^{e_{2}(G)} b(G) \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ by the definition of $\mathrm{Eu}_{x}(G)$ ．For $h, p \in \mathbb{C}[y]$ $(p \neq 0)$ ，let $q u o t_{y}(h, p)$ be the quotient of the division of $h$ by $p$ in $\mathbb{C}[y]$ ，i．e．， $\operatorname{deg}_{y}\left(h-p \operatorname{quot}_{y}(h, p)\right)<\operatorname{deg} p$ ．By Algorithm2below，we can compute matrices $A_{x} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}[x])$ and $A_{y} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}[y])$ such that $A_{x}^{-1} G A_{y}$ is a diagonal matrix．

```
Algorithm 2 Computation of direct summands of a 2 -bundle on \(\mathbb{P}^{1}\)
Input: A transition function \(G\) of a 2-bundle \(\mathcal{E}\) on \(\mathbb{P}^{1}\).
Output: Matrices \(A_{x}, A_{y}\) such that \(A_{x}^{-1} G A_{y}\) is diagonal, and \(e_{1}, e_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}\) such
    that \(\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(e_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(e_{2}\right)\).
    \(G^{\prime}:=G ; \quad A_{x}:=E ; \quad A_{y}:=E\);
    while \(v_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<v_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\) or \(e_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<e_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\) do
        if \(v_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)<v_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\) then
            \(A_{x}:=A_{x} ; \quad A_{y}:=A_{y} J ; \quad G^{\prime}:=A_{x}^{-1} G A_{y} ;\)
        else
            \(s_{y}:=\operatorname{quot}_{y}\left(y^{e_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)} b\left(G^{\prime}\right), y^{e_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-e_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}\right) ;\)
            \(A_{x}:=A_{x} \operatorname{Eu}_{x}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{-1} ; \quad A_{y}:=A_{y}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -s_{y} \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) ; \quad G^{\prime}:=A_{x}^{-1} G A_{y} ;\)
        end if
    end while
    \(A_{x}:=A_{x} \operatorname{Eu}_{x}\left(G^{\prime}\right)^{-1} ; \quad A_{y}:=A_{y}\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & -y^{e_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)} b\left(G^{\prime}\right) \\ 0 & 1\end{array}\right) ; \quad G^{\prime}:=A_{x}^{-1} G A_{y} ;\)
    \(e_{1}:=v\left(G^{\prime}\right)+e_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) ; e_{2}:=v\left(G^{\prime}\right)+e_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) ;\)
    return \(A_{x}, A_{y}, e_{1}, e_{2}\).
```

Proposition 6．2．Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a 2－bundle on $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ with a transition function $G \in$ $\mathrm{GL}\left(2, \mathcal{O}_{U_{0} \cap U_{1}}\right)$ ，and let $A_{x}, A_{y}, e_{1}, e_{2}$ be the result of Algorithm $⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 𧰨$
（i）Algorithm 园 halts．
（ii）$A_{x} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}[x])$ and $A_{y} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}[y])$ ；
(iii) $A_{x}^{-1} G A_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}x^{e_{1}} & 0 \\ 0 & x^{e_{2}}\end{array}\right)$.

In particular, $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(e_{1}\right) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(e_{2}\right)$.
Proof. Put $A_{x}^{(0)}=A_{y}^{(0)}:=E$ and $G^{(0)}:=G$. Let $A_{x}^{(i)}, A_{y}^{(i)}$ and $G^{(i)}$ be the matrices $A_{x}, A_{y}$ and $G^{\prime}$ in the $i$ th step of Algorithm 2. Put $e_{j}^{(i)}:=e_{j}\left(G^{(i)}\right)$ for $j=1,2$. It is clear that $A_{x}^{(i)} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}[x])$ and $A_{y}^{(i)} \in \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}[y])$ for each $i$. Hence (ii) holds true. If $v_{1}\left(G^{(i)}\right) \geq v_{2}\left(G^{(i)}\right)$ and $e_{1}^{(i)} \geq e_{2}^{(i)}$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{(i+1)} & =x^{v\left(G^{(i)}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}^{e_{1}^{(i)}} & b\left(G^{(i)}\right) \\
0 & x^{e_{2}^{(i)}}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -y^{e_{1}^{(i)}} b\left(G^{(i)}\right) \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& =x^{v\left(G^{(i)}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{1}^{e_{1}^{(i)}} & 0 \\
0 & x^{e_{2}^{(i)}}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, $e_{1}:=v\left(G^{(i)}\right)+e_{1}^{(i)}$ and $e_{2}:=v\left(G^{(i)}\right)+e_{2}^{(i)}$. Thus (iii) holds true if (i) is also true.

Suppose that $v_{1}\left(G^{(i)}\right) \geq v_{2}\left(G^{(i)}\right)$ and $e_{1}^{(i)}<e_{2}^{(i)}$. Then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{(i+1)} & =x^{v\left(G^{(i)}\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{e_{1}^{(i)}} & b\left(G^{(i)}\right)-x^{e_{1}^{(i)}} s_{y} \\
0 & x_{2}^{e_{2}^{i}}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =x^{v\left(G^{(i)}\right)+e_{1}^{(i)}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & x^{e_{2}^{(i)}-e_{1}^{(i)}}\left(y^{e_{2}^{(i)}} b\left(G^{(i)}\right)-y_{2}^{e_{2}^{(i)}-e_{1}^{(i)}} s_{y}\right) \\
0 & x_{2}^{e_{2}^{(i)}}-e_{1}^{(i)}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the definition of $s_{y}$, we have $x^{e_{2}^{(i)}}-e_{1}^{(i)}\left(y^{e_{2}^{(i)}}-e_{1}^{(i)} s_{y}+y^{e_{2}^{(i)}} b\left(G^{(i)}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. Hence $v\left(G^{(i+1)}\right)=v\left(G^{(i)}\right)+e_{1}^{(i)}$ and $0=v_{1}\left(G^{(i+1)}\right)<v_{2}\left(G^{(i+1)}\right)$. Then we obtain $v\left(G^{(i+2)}\right)=v\left(G^{(i)}\right)+e_{1}^{(i)}$ and $e_{1}^{(i+2)}=v_{1}\left(G^{(i+2)}\right)>v_{2}\left(G^{(i+2)}\right)=0$. If $e_{1}^{(i+2)}<e_{2}^{(i+2)}$, then $v\left(G^{(i+3)}\right)=v\left(G^{(i)}\right)+e_{1}^{(i)}+e_{1}^{(i+2)}>v\left(G^{(i)}\right)$ by the above argument. Thus if the while loop in Algorithm 2 does not halt, then we obtain a sequence of integers

$$
v\left(G^{(i)}\right)<v\left(G^{(i+3)}\right)<\cdots<v\left(G^{(i+3 n)}\right)<\cdots,
$$

which is a contradiction to $2 v\left(G^{(i)}\right) \leq v_{P_{x}}(\operatorname{det}(G))$ for any $i$. Therefore (i) holds.

## 7 Example

In this section, we prove the result [14, Proposition 8] about jumping lines of the push-forward of a line bundle on a non-singular double cover by using our method. Our method is somewhat complicated. However it may be extended for non-singular double covers with branch curves of higher degree. In the last of this section, we compute global sections of a line bundle on the non-singular double cover $X$. Lemmas $7.1,7.2$ and 7.3 below are useful for our aim.

Lemma 7.1. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat cover with $X$ and $Y$ smooth, and let $Y^{\prime} \subset Y$ be subvariety with the inclusion $i: Y^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$. Let $X^{\prime}$ be the fiber product $X \times_{Y} Y^{\prime}$, and let $\phi^{\prime}: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ and $j: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ be the projections. Then $i^{*}\left(\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}\right) \cong \phi_{*}^{\prime}\left(j^{*} \mathcal{L}\right)$ for a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$.

Proof. The question is local on $Y$ and $Y^{\prime}$. Since $\phi$ is finite, we may assume that $X=\operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{B}, Y=\operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{A}$ and $Y^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{I}$, where $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}$ are rings and $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ is an ideal. Then $\mathcal{L}$ is the sheafification $\mathfrak{B}^{\sim}$ of $\mathfrak{B}$. Since $\phi$ is flat, we have the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence we have $i^{*}\left(\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}\right)=\left(\mathfrak{B} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{I}\right)^{\sim} \cong(\mathfrak{B} / \mathfrak{I} \mathfrak{B})^{\sim}=\phi_{*}^{\prime}\left(j^{*} \mathcal{L}\right)$.
Lemma 7.2. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n}$ be a non-singular double cover branched at a smooth hypersurface of degree $2 l$ on $\mathbb{P}^{n}$, and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a line bundle on $X$. Then $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-l)$ if and only if $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$.

Proof. Put $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-l)$. Suppose that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{M}$. Let $M: \mathcal{M}(-l) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{M}$ be a morphism such that $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ is an admissible pair for $\phi$ and $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$. Then $M$ can be represented by a matrix

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{0} & a_{2} \\
a_{1} & -a_{0}
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-l) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-2 l) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-l)
$$

where $a_{0}, a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ are global sections of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(l), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(2 l)$, respectively. In particular, $F:=a_{0}^{2}+a_{1} a_{2}$ defines the branch locus, and $a_{1} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Let $A: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the isomorphism represented as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a_{0} \\
0 & a_{1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { then } \quad A^{-1} M A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & F \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}$ by Proposition 2.4. It is known that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(-l)$, and the assertion has proved.

Lemma 7.3. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a non-singular double cover of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ branched at two points $P_{0}, P_{1} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Note that $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1)$. Then $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(2 k+1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(k)^{\oplus 2}$ and $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(2 k) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(k-1)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Proof. We may assume that $P_{i} \in \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is defined by $x_{i}=0$ for each $i=0,1$, where $x_{0}, x_{1}$ are homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$. Let $U_{i}$ be the affine open set $\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\left\{P_{i}\right\}$, and put $x_{i j}:=x_{j} / x_{i}$ for $i, j=0,1$. Put $\mathcal{M}:=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}^{\oplus}$, and let $G_{i j}$ be the identity matrix for $i, j=0,1$ as the gluing $\operatorname{map} \mathcal{O}_{U_{j}}^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{U_{i}}^{\oplus 2}$ of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $M: \mathcal{M}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the morphism represented by

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x_{1} \\
x_{0} & 0
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}
$$

Then $(\mathcal{M}, M)$ is an admissible pair for $\phi$. Put $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{L}_{(\mathcal{M}, M)}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{L})=$ 2 and $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$, we have $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(1)$. Let $M_{i}:=\left.M\right|_{U_{i}}$ for $i=0$, 1 , and put $M_{i}^{\star}:=A_{i}^{-1} M_{i} A_{i}$ and $G_{i j}^{\star}:=A_{i}^{-1} G_{i j} A_{j}$, where

$$
A_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{\star}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{\star}\right\}\right)$ is a good representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$. Let $K_{i j}^{+}$and $K_{i j}^{-}$be the matrices $K_{i j}^{(k)+}$ and $K_{i j}^{(k)-}$ in (8) and (19) for ( $\left.\left\{G_{i j}^{\star}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{\star}\right\}\right)$, respectively:

$$
K_{01}^{+}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x_{01} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad K_{01}^{-}=-\frac{1}{x_{01}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & x_{01} \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

By Theorem 3.1, the transition function $G_{01}^{[n]}$ of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{n}$ is given by

$$
G_{01}^{[n]}= \begin{cases}\left(K_{01}^{+}\right)^{n} G_{01}^{(0)}=x_{01}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) & (\text { if } n=2 k+1 \text { for } k \geq 0) \\
\left(K_{01}^{+}\right)^{n} G_{01}^{(0)}=x_{01}^{k-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{01} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) & (\text { if } n=2 k \text { for } k \geq 0) \\
\left(K_{01}^{-}\right)^{-n} G_{01}^{(0)}=(-1)^{n} x_{01}^{k}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) & (\text { if } n=2 k+1 \text { for } k<0) \\
\left(K_{01}^{-}\right)^{-n} G_{01}^{(0)}=(-1)^{n} x_{01}^{k-1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{01} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) & (\text { if } n=2 k \text { for } k<0)\end{cases}
$$

This implies that $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{2 k+1} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(k)^{\oplus 2}$ and $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{2 k} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(k-1)$.
From now, let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a double cover branched along smooth conic $B_{\phi}$, and let $\iota: X \rightarrow X$ be the covering transformation of $\phi$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\operatorname{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus 2}$. Hence a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ can be represented by bidegree as $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{O}_{X}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$. If $k_{1} \leq k_{2}$, then $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \cong \phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(0, k_{2}-k_{1}\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(k_{1}\right)$. Thus it is enough to compute the direct summands of $\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(0, k)\right|_{L}$ for $k \geq 0$ and lines $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$.

Proposition 7.4 ([14, Proposition 8]). Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be as above.
(i) If a line $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ intersects transversally with $B_{\phi}$, then $\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(0,2 k+1)\right|_{L} \cong$ $\mathcal{O}_{L}(k)^{\oplus 2}$ and $\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(0,2 k)\right|_{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{L}(k) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}(k-1)$ for $k \geq 0$.
(ii) If $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is a tangent line of $B_{\phi}$, then $\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}(0, n)\right|_{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{L}(n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}$ for $n \geq 0$.

In particular, tangent lines of $B_{\phi}$ are jumping lines of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ if the integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ satisfy $\left|k_{1}-k_{2}\right|>2$.

Proof. After a certain projective transformation, we may assume that $B_{\phi}$ is defined by $F:=x_{0}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}=0$, where $\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]$ is a system of homogeneous
coordinates. Then we have a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ given by the admissible pair $(\mathcal{M}, M)$, where $\mathcal{M}=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{\oplus 2}$ and $M$ is the morphism represented by the matrix

$$
M:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{0} & x_{2} \\
x_{1} & -x_{0}
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{M}(-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}
$$

Since $\operatorname{dim} H^{0}(X, \mathcal{L})=\operatorname{dim} H^{0}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{M}\right)=2$, we obtain either $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(0,1)$ or $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(1,0)$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(0,1)$. If $L \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ intersects transversally with $B_{\phi}$, then $L^{\prime}:=\phi^{-1}(L) \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{L^{\prime}} \cong$ $\mathcal{O}_{L^{\prime}}(1)$. By Lemmas 7.1 and 7.3 , we obtain (i).

To prove (ii), we take a good representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$. Let $U_{i}$ be the affine open subset $\left\{x_{i} \neq 0\right\}$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ for each $i=0,1,2$. Put $x_{i j}:=x_{j} / x_{i}$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{i j}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad M_{i}:=\left.M\right|_{U_{i}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{i 0} & x_{i 2} \\
x_{i 1} & -x_{i 0}
\end{array}\right) \quad(i, j=0,1,2), \\
A_{0}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left\{G_{i j}\right\}$ is the set of transition functions of $\mathcal{M}$. Let $U_{0}^{\star}$ be the affine open subset $U_{0} \cap\left\{-2 x_{0}+x_{1}-x_{2} \neq 0\right\}$, and put $U_{i}^{\star}:=U_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Put $G_{i j}^{\star}:=A_{i}^{-1} G_{i j} A_{j}$, and $M_{i}^{\star}:=A_{i}^{-1} M_{i} A_{i}$. Then $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{\star}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{\star}\right\}\right)_{\left\{U_{i}^{\star}\right\}}$ is a good representation of $(\mathcal{M}, M)$. Let $K_{i j}$ be the matrix $K_{i j}^{(1)+}$ in (8) for $\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{(1)}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{(1)}\right\}\right)_{\mathfrak{U}}=\left(\left\{G_{i j}^{\star}\right\},\left\{M_{i}^{\star}\right\}\right)_{\left\{U_{i}^{\star}\right\}}$. We have

$$
G_{12}^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & x_{12}^{-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad K_{12}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-x_{10} & x_{10}^{2}+x_{12} \\
1 & -x_{10}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $L$ be the tangent line at $[1: 2 b: 2 c]$, where $b, c$ satisfy $4 b c=-1$. In this case, $L$ is defined by $x_{0}+c x_{1}+b x_{2}=0$. Then $L \subset U_{1}^{\star} \cap U_{2}^{\star}, L \cap U_{1}^{\star}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{12}\right]$ and $L \cap U_{2}^{\star}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}\left[x_{21}\right]$. By direct computation, we obtain the matrix $\left.\left(K_{12}^{n} G_{12}^{(0)}\right)\right|_{L}$ for $n \geq 0$ as follows:

$$
\frac{2^{n-1}}{x_{12}\left(b x_{12}-c\right)}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{12}\left(b x_{12}-c\right)\left(b^{n} x_{12}^{n}+c^{n}\right) & \left(b x_{12}-c\right)^{2}\left(b^{n} x_{12}^{n}-c^{n}\right) \\
x_{12}\left(b^{n} x_{12}^{n}-c^{n}\right) & \left(b x_{12}-c\right)\left(b^{n} x_{12}^{n}+c^{n}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.Q_{1}^{-1}\left(K_{12}^{n} G_{12}^{(0)}\right)\right|_{L} Q_{2}=2^{n}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c^{n} & 0 \\
0 & b^{n} x_{12}^{n-1}
\end{array}\right), \text { where } \\
Q_{1}^{-1}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -\left(b x_{12}-c\right) \\
-\frac{b^{n} x_{12}^{n}-c^{n}}{2 c^{n}\left(b x_{12}-c\right)} & \frac{b^{n} x_{12}^{n}+c^{n}}{2 c^{n}}
\end{array}\right), \quad Q_{2}:=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & b-c x_{21} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $Q_{1} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathbb{C}\left[x_{12}\right]\right)$ and $Q_{2} \in \operatorname{GL}\left(2, \mathbb{C}\left[x_{21}\right]\right)$, this implies that $\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}\right|_{L} \cong$ $\mathcal{O}_{L}(n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L}$.

For remaining lines $L_{1}:=\left\{x_{1}=0\right\}$ and $L_{2}:=\left\{x_{2}=0\right\}$, take the projective transformation $p: \mathbb{P}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ given by $\left[y_{0}: y_{1}: y_{2}\right] \mapsto\left[x_{0}: x_{1}: x_{2}\right]=\left[\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) / 2: y_{0}-\right.$ $\left.\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) / 2: y_{0}+\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right) / 2\right]$. Then $p^{*}\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}\right)=y_{0}^{2}+y_{1} y_{2}, p^{*} L_{1}=\left\{2 y_{0}-\right.$ $\left.y_{1}+y_{2}=0\right\}$ and $p^{*} L_{2}=\left\{2 y_{0}+y_{1}-y_{2}=0\right\}$. By the above argument, we obtain $\left.\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}\right|_{L_{i}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{L_{i}}(n-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{L_{i}}$.

Remark 7.5. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be a non-singular double cover branched along smooth curve of degree $2 r$. In [14], jumping lines of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ was computed for several line bundles $\mathcal{L}$ on $X$ in the case of $r=2$. Ottaviani [13] and Vallès [20] studied jumping lines of the direct images of line bundles on $X$.

For a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on a non-singular double cover $X$ over $Y$, we obtain global sections of $\mathcal{L}$ by computing those of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ since $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}) \cong \Gamma\left(Y, \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}\right)$ under the isomorphism of Corollary 2.6 (ii),

Example 7.6. Let $\phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ be the non-singular double cover in Proposition 7.4. Put $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{X}(4,2)$. Since $\phi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1) \cong \mathcal{O}_{X}(1,1)$, we have $\mathcal{L} \cong$ $\mathcal{O}_{X}(2,0) \otimes \phi^{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(2)$. Since $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is reflexive, the restriction $\Gamma\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(U_{1} \cup U_{2}, \phi_{*} \mathcal{L}\right)$ is isomorphism (cf. [9]). Hence it is enough to compute the sections over $U_{1} \cup U_{2}$. By our proof of Proposition 7.4. a transition function $G_{12}^{\sim}$ of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$ between $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ is

$$
G_{12}^{\sim}=x_{12}^{2} K_{12}^{2} G_{12}^{(0)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-x_{10} & x_{10}^{2}+x_{12} \\
1 & -x_{10}
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x_{12}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & x_{12}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If a section ${ }^{t}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \Gamma\left(U_{2}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{\oplus 2}\right)$ satisfies $G_{12}^{\sim}{ }^{t}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \Gamma\left(U_{1}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}^{\oplus 2}\right)$, then the section ${ }^{t}\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ is of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{1}= & 2 c_{1} x_{20}^{4}+2 c_{2} x_{20}^{3} x_{21}+c_{3} x_{20}^{3}+2 c_{4} x_{20}^{2} x_{21}^{2}+\left(c_{1}+c_{5}\right) x_{20}^{2} x_{21} \\
& +\left(c_{6}+c_{7}\right) x_{20}^{2}+\left(c_{2}+c_{8}\right) x_{20} x_{21}^{2}+\left(c_{9}+c_{10}\right) x_{20} x_{21} \\
& +\left(c_{11}+c_{12}\right) x_{20}+c_{4} x_{21}^{3}+c_{13} x_{21}^{2}+c_{14} x_{21}+c_{15} \\
s_{2}= & 2 c_{1} x_{20}^{3}+2 c_{2} x_{20}^{2} x_{21}+c_{3} x_{20}^{2}+2 c_{4} x_{20} x_{21}^{2}+c_{5} x_{20} x_{21} \\
& +c_{6} x_{20}+c_{8} x_{21}^{2}+c_{9} x_{21}+c_{11}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{15} \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $s_{1}, s_{2}$ as above give a global section of $\phi_{*} \mathcal{L}$, and the global section $v$ of $\mathcal{L}$ corresponding to it. Note that $\operatorname{deg}\left(s_{1}\right)=4$ and $\operatorname{deg}\left(s_{2}\right)=3$. On the other hand, the degree of $s_{1}^{2}-s_{2}^{2} F$ is 6 , which defines the image of the curve on $X$ defined by $v=0$.
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