arXiv:2010.09751v1 [gr-qc] 19 Oct 2020

Deep learning for gravitational wave forecasting of neutron star mergers

Wei Wei[a,b,c], E. A. Huerta[a,b,c,d,e]

[a]National Center for Supercomputing Applications, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
[b]NCSA Center for Artificial Intelligence Innovation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
[c]Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA
[d]Illinois Center for Advanced Studies of the Universe, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois, 61801, USA
[e]Department of Astronomy, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA

Abstract

We introduce deep learning time-series forecasting for gravitational wave detection of binary neutron star mergers. This method enables the identification of these signals in real advanced LIGO data up to 30 seconds before merger. When applied to GW170817, our deep learning forecasting method identifies the presence of this gravitational wave signal 10 seconds before merger. This novel approach requires a single GPU for inference, and may be used as part of an early warning system for time-sensitive multi-messenger searches.

Keywords: Gravitational Waves, Deep Learning, Prediction, Neutron Stars, LIGO
68T10 MSC: 85-08

1. Introduction

Multi-messenger observations of gravitational wave sources provide a wealth of information about their astrophysical properties and environments. For instance, gravitational wave and electromagnetic observations of the binary neutron star GW170817 [1] provided new insights into the equation of state of supranuclear matter, the cosmic factories where the heaviest r-procese elements are produced, and the progenitors of short-gamma ray bursts and kilonovae [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. This and future multi-messenger observations will continue to advance our understanding of fundamental physics, gravitation, cosmology and astrophysics [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13].

The rationale to design and deploy a coordinated multi-messenger and multi-wavelength follow-up framework has been persuasively discussed in the literature [14, 15, 16, 17]. The plethora of studies conducted for GW170817, which

involved dozens of observatories in every continent that covered all messengers and the entire range of the electromagnetic spectrum, have shown that future multi-messenger discoveries depend critically on the development of prompt response or early warning systems to obtain a full understanding of astrophysical events. [18]

To further emphasize this point, early warning systems go beyond the development of algorithms for real-time detection of gravitational wave sources, which could then be used to trigger electromagnetic and astro-particle follow-ups. A step beyond real-time gravitational wave detection consists of the development of algorithms that identify gravitational wave signals in real gravitational wave data before the merger takes place. Such an idea and implementation in the context of template-matching algorithms, using stationary Gaussian data recolored to advanced LIGO and advanced Virgo sensitivities, was introduced in [19].

In this article we introduce the use of deep learning time-series forecasting to identify the presence of gravitational wave signals in advanced LIGO data. This approach provides pre-merger alerts for binary neutron star mergers to facilitate prompt multi-messenger observation campaigns. We tested this novel approach by injecting modeled binary neutron star waveforms in advanced LIGO data, finding that deep learning forecasting is able to provide early warnings up to 30 seconds before merger. In the case of GW170817, deep learning forecasting provides an early warning 10 seconds before merger. It is worth pointing out that our deep learning model issues this early warning even when the data are contaminated by significant noise anomalies, as in the case of GW170817.

This article is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the deep learning model used for time-series forecasting, the modeled waveforms and advanced LIGO noise used for training and testing. We summarize the results of this study in Section 3. We outline future directions of work in Section 4.

2. Methods

In this section we describe the use of time-series forecasting in the context of gravitational wave detection. We provide a succinct description of the waveform approximant and the advanced LIGO noise used to train and test these algorithms.

2.1. Spectrograms

Spectrograms provide a visual representation of the frequencies that make up a signal as it evolves in time. Figure 1 shows the spectrogram of a ($1.4M_\odot$, $1.4M_\odot$) binary neutron star signal, as described by the `IMRPhenomD_NRTidal` approximant [20] at a sample rate of 16384Hz. This modeled waveform has been injected in advanced LIGO's second observing run data.

Binary neutron stars usually exhibit long-duration chirp signals, as shown in Figure 1. This property makes them a useful tool to forecast the merger event, and produce an early warning alert of an imminent event that may be accompanied by electromagnetic counterparts. We explore this idea in the following sections to produce early warnings of multi-messenger sources.




Figure 1: Left panel: modeled waveform of a ($1.4M_{\odot}$, $1.4M_{\odot}$) binary neutron star. This signal is produced by the `IMRPhenomD_NRTidal` approximant at a sample rate of 16384Hz. This modeled waveform in injected in advanced LIGO's second observing run data. Right panel: spectrogram of the waveform signal shown in the left panel.

2.2. Chirp-pattern recognition with deep learning

We use chirps in spectrograms to forecast the presence of gravitational wave signals in advanced LIGO data. We do this by training a deep neural network, `ResNet-50` [21], to search for chirp-like signatures in spectrograms. To begin with, we apply deep transfer learning to a pre-trained `ResNet-50` model, which is provided by `PyTorch` [22]. The inputs to `ResNet50` are the spectrograms of 8s-long advanced LIGO strain data from both the Livingston and Hanford observatories. These spectrograms are stacked together to form an image of two channels. Since the pre-trained `ResNet50` provided by `PyTorch` takes input images with 3 (RGB) channels, we padded the third channel of our spectrogram images with zeros. The output of the pre-trained `ResNet50` is a number in the range $[0, 1]$, which indicates the probability of the presence of a chirp signal in the input spectrogram.

2.3. Data Curation

Modeled waveforms We use `PyCBC` [23] to produce 60s-long modeled waveforms with the `IMRPhenomD_NRTidal` approximant at a sample rate of 16384Hz. We cover the parameter space $m_{\{1,2\}} \in [1M_{\odot}, 5M_{\odot}]$. The waveforms are randomly split into a training set (16250 waveforms) and a test set (4051 waveforms). The waveforms are then rescaled and injected into real advanced LIGO Livingston and Hanford noise to simulated binary neutron star signals over a broad range of signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs).

Advanced LIGO noise For training we use 4 segments of open source advanced LIGO noise [24]. These 4096s-long segments, sampled at 16384Hz, from the Livingston and Hanford observatories start with GPS times 1186725888, 1187151872, 1187569664, and 1186897920. The 4096s-long LIGO strain data segments with starting GPS time 1186725888, 1187151872, 1187569664 are used for training, while the one with GPS starting time 1186897920 is used for testing.

For each of the 4096s-long LIGO strain data segments, we first calculate the corresponding power spectral density (PSD), and use it to whiten both the

strain data and the waveform templates we plan to inject. We also rescale the amplitudes of the whitened templates and add 8s-long whitened LIGO strain data and templates together to simulate different SNRs. Finally, the standard deviation of the LIGO strained data with signal injections is normalized to one. The spectrograms are calculated from 8s-long simulated signals from the Livingston and Hanford observatories.

The spectrograms are calculated with the `spectrogram` function provided by `SciPy`. We use a `blackman` window size of 16384, and a step size of 1024. The resulting spectrogram is of size 8193×113, where 8193 is the size in the frequency domain and 113 is the size in the time domain. We also apply an element-wise log transformation on the spectrograms to accentuate the chirp patterns.

Since the goal for the trained `ResNet-50` is to predict binary neutron star mergers based on information we process during the inspiral phase, we only used data from 20Hz to 150Hz on the spectrograms. This approach speeds up both the training and the inference. It follows that the input to `ResNet-50` is an image of size 130×113 with three channels, where the first two channels are spectrograms calculated from Livingston and Hanford data, and the third channel is padded with zeros.

2.4. Training strategy

The `ResNet-50` model provided by `PyTorch` is pre-trained with `ImageNet` [25] data that spans 1000 classes. We changed the last fully connected layer of the default `ResNet-50` so that the output is a number in the range $[0, 1]$, instead of an array of size 1000.

As mentioned above, we consider 60s-long signals with component masses $m_{\{1,2\}} \in [1\mathrm{M}_\odot, 5\mathrm{M}_\odot]$, injected in advanced LIGO data, and which describe a broad range of SNRs. Furthermore, since we focus on early detection, we consider the evolution of these signals as they sweep through a gravitational wave frequency range between [20Hz, 150Hz]. We use these datasets to produce a spectrogram dataset to train `ResNet-50` using a batch size of 256, and a learning rate of 10^{-4}.

To improve the robustness of the trained `ResNet-50`, 50% of the input spectrogram images contain no signals, while 25% have simulated signals only in one of the Livingston and Hanford observatories, and the remaining 25% have signals in both Livingston and Hanford strain data. We trained the `ResNet-50` using 4 `NVIDIA V100` GPUs with the ADAM [26] optimizer.

3. Results

To test the performance of our early warning model, we searched for patterns associated with the existence of gravitational waves in spectrograms. As mentioned above, this search cover the frequency range [20Hz, 150Hz]. We used a sliding window of 8s, with a step size of 1s, that is applied to the first 50s of the spectrograms. Notice that in the preparation of our training dataset,




Figure 2: Deep learning forecasting for binary neutron stars in advanced LIGO data. An astrophysically motivated sample of binary systems and signal-to-noise ratios show that deep learning identifies signals in real data up to 30s before merger.

the first 50s of the modeled waveforms describe the pre-merger evolution. The output of our deep learning model provides the probability of the existence of a gravitational wave in input spectrogram.

Figure 2 presents a summary of our results. We consider four cases to illustrate how early our deep learning model predicts the existence of signals in advanced LIGO noise. We notice that deep learning forecasts the existence of binary neutron star signals in advanced LIGO data up to 30s before merger. As expected, the neural network performs best for signals that have SNRs similar to GW170817.

3.1. GW170817

We have put at work our forecasting model in the context of GW170817 data. Using available, open source, advanced LIGO data for this event, we have found that our approach predicts the existence of this event 10s before merger. We have considered two datasets, one including the well known noise anomaly that contaminated this event (top panel in Figure 3), and one without this glitch (bottom panel in Figure 3). Our results clearly show that deep learning forecasting is not affected by this noise anomaly.

3.2. Neutron star-black hole binaries

We finish these analyses with an application of our early warning system in the context of neutron star-black hole systems. We consider two cases, that




Figure 3: Top panel: deep learning forecasts the existence of GW170817 ten seconds before merger. Notice that the prediction is not affected by the existence of a significant noise anomaly at merger, marked by $t = 0$s in the spectrogram. Bottom panel: our deep learning early warning system predicts the existence of GW170817 by processing real LIGO data that does not include the noise anomaly in the vicinity of $t = 0$s. The top-right and bottom-right panels show that deep learning forecasting is not affected by the noise anomaly present in GW170817 data.




Figure 4: Right panel: forecasting results for neutron star-black hole systems with component masses ($5M_\odot$, $1.4M_\odot$) spanning a broad range of signal-to-noise rations. Right panel: as left panel but now for ($5M_\odot$, $2.1M_\odot$) binaries.

describe systems with component masses ($5M_\odot$, $1.4M_\odot$) and ($5M_\odot$, $2.1M_\odot$). As before, we inject the signals describing these binaries in advanced LIGO data, and consider a number of SNRs. Figure 4 shows that our deep learning model can forecast the existence of these systems in advanced LIGO data up to 30s before merger for ($5M_\odot$, $1.4M_\odot$) with SNR ~ 30, and up to 20s before merger for ($5M_\odot$, $2.1M_\odot$) with SNR ~ 30. In other words, the method we introduce in this paper may be used to obtain early warnings up to 30s before the binary components coalesce. This information may in turn be used to enable time-sensitive electromagnetic follow-ups of binary neutron stars and neutron star-black hole systems. These results are very promising, and warrant the extension of this approach to other astrophysical scenarios of interest.

4. Conclusions

We have introduced the first application of deep learning forecasting for the detection of binary neutron stars. We have also presented an application of this framework in the context of neutron star-black hole systems. Our results indicate that deep learning may provide early warnings up to 30s before merger.

When we apply this novel methodology for GW170817, we found that deep learning forecasts the existence of this event 10s before merger. Our approach is robust to the presence of glitches, as we report for this event.

These results lay the foundation for the construction of a deep learning forecasting method that can provide early warnings to enable rapid electromagnetic follow-ups. We will present an extended version of this framework for other astrophysical scenarios of interest in the near future.

5. Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge National Science Foundation (NSF) awards OAC-1931561 and OAC-1934757. We thank NVIDIA for their continued support.

This work utilized resources supported by the NSF's Major Research Instrumentation program, the Hardware-Learning Accelerated (HAL) cluster, grant OAC-1725729, as well as the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

References

[1] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, et al., GW170817: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Neutron Star Inspiral, Physical Review Letters 119 (16) (2017) 161101. arXiv:1710.05832, doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101.

[2] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, et al., Multi-messenger Observations of a Binary Neutron Star Merger, *Astrophys. J.* Lett 848 (2017) L12. arXiv:1710.05833, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9.

[3] K. T. Smith, Growth observations of gw170817, Science 358 (6370) (2017) 1551–1553. arXiv:https://science.sciencemag.org/content/358/6370/1551.9.full.pdf, doi:10.1126/science.358.6370.1551-i.
URL https://science.sciencemag.org/content/358/6370/1551.9

[4] B. P. Abbott, R. Abbott, T. D. Abbott, F. Acernese, K. Ackley, C. Adams, T. Adams, P. Addesso, R. X. Adhikari, V. B. Adya, et al., Gravitational Waves and Gamma-Rays from a Binary Neutron Star Merger: GW170817 and GRB 170817A, *Astrophys. J.* Lett 848 (2017) L13. arXiv:1710.05834, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa920c.

[5] The LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, et al., Estimating the Contribution of Dynamical Ejecta in the Kilonova Associated with GW170817, *Astrophys. J.*850 (2017) L39. arXiv:1710.05836, doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa9478.

[6] K. Mooley, et al., A mildly relativistic wide-angle outflow in the neutron star merger GW170817, Nature 554 (2018) 207. arXiv:1711.11573, doi:10.1038/nature25452.

[7] E. Troja, L. Piro, H. van Eerten, R. T. Wollaeger, M. Im, O. D. Fox, N. R. Butler, S. B. Cenko, T. Sakamoto, C. L. Fryer, R. Ricci, A. Lien, R. E. Ryan, O. Korobkin, S. K. Lee, J. M. Burgess, W. H. Lee, A. M. Watson, C. Choi, S. Covino, P. D'Avanzo, C. J. Fontes, J. B. González, H. G. Khandrika, J. Kim, S. L. Kim, C. U. Lee, H. M. Lee, A. Kutyrev, G. Lim, R. Sánchez-Ramírez, S. Veilleux, M. H. Wieringa, Y. Yoon, The X-ray counterpart to the gravitational-wave event GW170817, *Nature* 551 (7678) (2017) 71–74. arXiv:1710.05433, doi:10.1038/nature24290.

[8] M. S.-S. et al., First measurement of the hubble constant from a dark standard siren using the dark energy survey galaxies and the LIGO/virgo

binary–black-hole merger GW170814, The Astrophysical Journal 876 (1) (2019) L7. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/ab14f1.
URL https://doi.org/10.3847%2F2041-8213%2Fab14f1

[9] e. a. Abbott, B. P., A gravitational-wave standard siren measurement of the hubble constant 551 (7678) (2017) 85–88. doi:10.3847/2041-8213/aa8fc7.
URL https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24471

[10] B. F. Schutz, Determining the hubble constant from gravitational wave observations, Nature 323 (6086) (1986) 310–311. doi:10.1038/323310a0.
URL https://doi.org/10.1038/323310a0

[11] M. Fishbach, R. Gray, I. Magaña Hernandez, H. Qi, A. Sur, members of the LIGO Scientific Collaboration, the Virgo Collaboration, A standard siren measurement of the hubble constant from GW170817 without the electromagnetic counterpart, The Astrophysical Journal Letters 871 (1) (2019) L13.

[12] E. Berti, K. Yagi, N. Yunes, Extreme gravity tests with gravitational waves from compact binary coalescences: (I) inspiral-merger, General Relativity and Gravitation 50 (2018) 46. arXiv:1801.03208, doi:10.1007/s10714-018-2362-8.

[13] B. Abbott, et al., Tests of General Relativity with the Binary Black Hole Signals from the LIGO-Virgo Catalog GWTC-1, Phys. Rev. D 100 (10) (2019) 104036. arXiv:1903.04467, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.104036.

[14] B. Abbott, et al., Low-latency Gravitational-wave Alerts for Multimessenger Astronomy during the Second Advanced LIGO and Virgo Observing Run, Astrophys. J. 875 (2) (2019) 161. arXiv:1901.03310, doi:10.3847/1538-4357/ab0e8f.

[15] I. Georgescu, A collection on multi-messenger astrophysics, Nature Reviews Physics.
URL https://go.nature.com/2YY1NLn

[16] P. Mészáros, D. B. Fox, C. Hanna, K. Murase, Multi-messenger astrophysics, Nature Reviews Physics 1 (10) (2019) 585–599. arXiv:1906.10212, doi:10.1038/s42254-019-0101-z.

[17] E. A. Huerta, G. Allen, I. Andreoni, J. M. Antelis, E. Bachelet, G. B. Berriman, F. B. Bianco, R. Biswas, M. Carrasco Kind, K. Chard, M. Cho, P. S. Cowperthwaite, Z. B. Etienne, M. Fishbach, F. Forster, D. George, T. Gibbs, M. Graham, W. Gropp, R. Gruendl, A. Gupta, R. Haas, S. Habib, E. Jennings, M. W. G. Johnson, E. Katsavounidis, D. S. Katz, A. Khan, V. Kindratenko, W. T. C. Kramer, X. Liu, A. Mahabal, Z. Marka, K. McHenry, J. M. Miller, C. Moreno, M. S. Neubauer, S. Oberlin, A. R. Olivas, D. Petravick, A. Rebei, S. Rosofsky, M. Ruiz, A. Saxton, B. F.

Schutz, A. Schwing, E. Seidel, S. L. Shapiro, H. Shen, Y. Shen, L. P. Singer, B. M. Sipocz, L. Sun, J. Towns, A. Tsokaros, W. Wei, J. Wells, T. J. Williams, J. Xiong, Z. Zhao, Enabling real-time multi-messenger astrophysics discoveries with deep learning, Nature Reviews Physics 1 (10) (2019) 600–608. arXiv:1911.11779, doi:10.1038/s42254-019-0097-4.

[18] E. Burns, et al., Opportunities for Multimessenger Astronomy in the 2020sarXiv:1903.04461.

[19] S. Sachdev, et al., An early warning system for electromagnetic follow-up of gravitational-wave eventsarXiv:2008.04288.

[20] T. Dietrich, et al., Matter imprints in waveform models for neutron star binaries: Tidal and self-spin effects, Phys. Rev. D 99 (2) (2019) 024029. arXiv:1804.02235, doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.024029.

[21] K. He, X. Zhang, S. Ren, J. Sun, Deep residual learning for image recognition, in: 2016 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2016, pp. 770–778.

[22] A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, L. Antiga, A. Desmaison, A. Kopf, E. Yang, Z. DeVito, M. Raison, A. Tejani, S. Chilamkurthy, B. Steiner, L. Fang, J. Bai, S. Chintala, Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library, in: H. Wallach, H. Larochelle, A. Beygelzimer, F. d'Alché-Buc, E. Fox, R. Garnett (Eds.), Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32, Curran Associates, Inc., 2019, pp. 8024–8035.
URL http://papers.neurips.cc/paper/9015-pytorch-an-imperative-style-high-performance-deep-learning-library.pdf

[23] A. Nitz, et al., gwastro/pycbc: PyCBC release v1.16.11 (Oct. 2020). doi:10.5281/zenodo.4075326.
URL https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4075326

[24] M. Vallisneri, J. Kanner, R. Williams, A. Weinstein, B. Stephens, The LIGO Open Science Center, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 610 (1) (2015) 012021. arXiv:1410.4839, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/610/1/012021.

[25] J. Deng, W. Dong, R. Socher, L.-J. Li, K. Li, L. Fei-Fei, ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database, CVRP.

[26] D. P. Kingma, J. Ba, Adam: A method for stochastic optimization, arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980.