

Analytical representation of an iterative formula for a quantum wave impedance determination in a case of a piecewise constant potential.

O. I. Hryhorchak

Department for Theoretical Physics, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv,

12, Drahomanov Str., Lviv, UA-79005, Ukraine

Orest.Hryhorchak@lnu.edu.ua

April 10, 2024

Abstract

An analytical solution for a quantum wave impedance in a case of piecewise constant potential was derived. It is in fact an analytical depiction of a well-known iterative method of a quantum wave impedance determination. The expression for a transmission probability as a function of a particle energy for an arbitrary cascade of constant potentials was obtained. The application of obtained results was illustrated on a system of double-well/barrier structures.

1 Introduction

When we talk about the approximate investigation of a quantum mechanical systems with a complicated geometry of a potential the classical approach is as follows. One depicts the real potential as a cascade of constant potentials and then applies one of the various methods for getting a solution, for example a transfer matrix formalism [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], a finite difference method [8, 9], a quantum wave impedance approach [10, 11, 12, 13, 14] and others [15, 16, 17, 18, 12].

Generally saying we can approximate the real potential not only by a piecewise constant potential but also by a linear, parabolic, exponential forms of a potential [19]. It is worth

to say that these methods gives us the possibility to formalize the process of quantum systems studying. But each of them has drawbacks. For example, transfer matrix formalizm demands constructing transfer matrices for each individual area of a considered potential and then performing the operation of their multiplication. And although only two matrices for each individual area of potential are required (one describes the propagation of a wave function over a region of a constant potential and the other one relates wave functions at the interfaces of different regions of a potential) it is sometimes practically too much complicated task, especially in a case when a considered potential is approximated by a large number of intervals of constant potentials.

The other very effective method of a theoretical investigation of a quantum mechanical systems is a quantum wave impedance approach [20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. In [22] we obtained the well-known iterative formula for a quantum wave impedance determination and in [25] we discussed a numerical investigation of systems with complicated geometry of a potential using this iterative approach.

But the iterative approach also has its drawbacks. One of them is that it does not allow an explicit analysing the dependence of a quantum wave impedance on the value of a potential energy of each interval. Thus the quaestion arises, whether it is possible to depict an iterative formula for a quantum wave impedance in an analytical form. This problem, namely obtaining an analytical representation of iterative formula is the main aim of this paper.

2 Analytical representation of an approximate iterative calculation of a quantum wave impedance

Consecutive iterative cycles of a quantum wave impedance calculation can be depicted as the multiplication of appropriate matrices. At the end we have to multiply the result matrix on the $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ vector column. The final result for a value of a quantum wave impedance we get as a fraction of a top row on a bottom one of the result vector column. We will notify such operations with the $\rightarrow$ sign. For example the following relation

$$Z_2 = z_2 \frac{z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2)}{-z_1 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) + z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2)} \quad (1)$$

we can depict as

$$Z_2 \rightarrow z_2 \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) \\ -z_1 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) + z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

or

$$Z_2 \rightarrow z_2 \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) & -z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) \\ -z_1 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) & z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

and vice versa

$$z_2 \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) & -z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) \\ -z_1 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) & z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow z_2 \frac{z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2)}{-z_1 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) + z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2)}. \quad (4)$$

For two consecutive regions with different characteristic impedances z_1, z_2 and different widths l_1, l_2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2 \rightarrow & z_2 \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) & -z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) \\ -z_1 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) & z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_0 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) & -z_1 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \\ -z_0 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) & z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \\ = & \begin{pmatrix} z_0 z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_1^2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - \\ -z_1 z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) + z_0 z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) \\ z_1 z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_0 z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - \\ -z_0 z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) + z_1^2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow & z_2 \frac{z_0 z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_1^2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_1 z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) + z_0 z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2)}{z_1 z_2 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_0 z_2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_2 l_2) - z_0 z_1 \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2) + z_1^2 \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_1 l_1) \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_2 l_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

For N consecutive regions with characteristic impedances $z_i, i = 1 \dots N$ and widths $l_i, i = 1 \dots N$ we have

$$Z_N \rightarrow z_N \prod_{i=N}^1 \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} z_{i-1} \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_i l_i) & -z_i \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_i l_i) \\ -z_{i-1} \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_i l_i) & z_i \operatorname{ch}(\gamma_i l_i) \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Taking into account that

$$\operatorname{ch}(\gamma_i l_i) = \frac{e^{\gamma_i l_i} + e^{-\gamma_i l_i}}{2}, \quad \operatorname{sh}(\gamma_i l_i) = \frac{e^{\gamma_i l_i} - e^{-\gamma_i l_i}}{2} \quad (7)$$

we get

$$Z_N \rightarrow \frac{z_N}{2^N} \prod_{i=N}^1 \left\{ e^{\gamma_i l_i} \begin{pmatrix} z_{i-1} & -z_i \\ -z_{i-1} & z_i \end{pmatrix} + e^{-\gamma_i l_i} \begin{pmatrix} z_{i-1} & z_i \\ z_{i-1} & z_i \end{pmatrix} \right\} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

After introducing following notations

$$Z_j^- = \begin{pmatrix} z_{j-1} & -z_j \\ -z_{j-1} & z_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z_j^+ = \begin{pmatrix} z_{j-1} & z_j \\ z_{j-1} & z_j \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

we finally get the expression for the product of N matrices

$$\prod_{j=N}^1 Z_j^{i_j} = \left(\frac{\prod_{j=1}^N (z_{j-1} + i_j i_{j-1} z_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^N i_N (z_{j-1} + i_j i_{j-1} z_j)} \right), \quad (10)$$

where $i_j = \pm 1$. After simple but long transformations we get the final result

$$Z(x_N) = Z_N = z_N \frac{\sum_{\{i_j\}} K(i_j) \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]}{\sum_{\{i_j\}} i_N K(i_j) \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$K(i_j) = \frac{1}{2^N} \prod_{j=1}^N (z_{j-1} + i_j i_{j-1} z_j), \quad (12)$$

z_j and k_j are the functions of a particle energy. This expression can be written in the another form, namely

$$Z(x_N) = Z_N = z_N \frac{\sum_{\{i_j\}} K(i_j) \operatorname{ch} \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]}{\sum_{\{i_j\}} i_N K(i_j) \operatorname{sh} \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]}. \quad (13)$$

A condition for finding energies of bound states is as follows

$$\frac{\sum_{\{i_j\}} K(i_j) \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]}{\sum_{\{i_j\}} i_N K(i_j) \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]} = - \frac{z_{out}}{z_N}, \quad (14)$$

where z_{out} is a characteristic impedance of the region which is on the left of a studied system.

An expression which describes the dependence of a transmission coefficient on a particle energy is as follows

$$T(E) = 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{\{i_j\}} (z_N - i_N z_0) K(i_j) \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]}{\sum_{\{i_j\}} (z_N + i_N z_0) K(i_j) \exp \left[- \sum_{j=1}^N i_j \gamma_j l_j \right]} \right|^2. \quad (15)$$

3 Double barrier/well systems. Analytical method

In a paper [22] we studied a system of two symmetric barriers/ wells using both an iterative method of a quantum wave impedance calculation and direct relations for a quantum wave impedance function. In this section we will do the same but with the help of results of the previous section.

The analytical approach which was developed in the previous section for a double barrier/well system (including nonsymmetric case) gives:

$$Z = z_3 \frac{\sum_{\pm_1 \pm_2 \pm_3} (z_0 \pm_1 z_1)(z_1 \pm_{1,2} z_2)(z_2 \pm_{2,3} z_3) e^{\mp_1 \gamma_1 l_1 \mp_2 \gamma_2 l_2 \mp_3 \gamma_3 l_3}}{\sum_{\pm_1 \pm_2 \pm_3} \pm_3 (z_0 \pm_1 z_1)(z_1 \pm_{1,2} z_2)(z_2 \pm_{2,3} z_3) e^{\mp_1 \gamma_1 x_1 \mp_2 \gamma_2 x_2 \mp_3 \gamma_3 x_3}}, \quad (16)$$

where $\pm_{1,2} = \pm_1 \pm_2$, $\pm_{2,3} = \pm_2 \pm_3$. For a symmetric case we have $z_0 = z_2 = z$, $\gamma_0 = \gamma_2 = \gamma$, $z_1 = z_3 = \tilde{z}$, $\gamma_1 = \gamma_3 = \tilde{\gamma}$ and thus

$$Z = \tilde{z} \frac{\sum_{\pm_1 \pm_2 \pm_3} (z \pm_1 \tilde{z})(\tilde{z} \pm_{1,2} z)(z \pm_{2,3} \tilde{z}) e^{\mp_1 \tilde{\gamma} l_1 \mp_2 k l_2 \mp_3 \tilde{\gamma} l_1}}{\sum_{\pm_1 \pm_2 \pm_3} \pm_3 (z \pm_1 \tilde{z})(\tilde{z} \pm_{1,2} z)(z \pm_{2,3} \tilde{z}) e^{\mp_1 \tilde{\gamma} l_1 \mp_2 k l_2 \mp_3 \tilde{\gamma} l_1}} \quad (17)$$

or in the explicit form

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \tilde{z} & \left(4\tilde{z}(\tilde{z}^2 - z^2) \text{sh}[\gamma l_2] + (\tilde{z} + z)^3 e^{-\gamma l_2 - 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} + (\tilde{z} - z)^3 e^{-\gamma l_2 + 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} - \right. \\ & - (\tilde{z} - z)^2 (\tilde{z} + z) e^{\gamma l_2 - 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} - (\tilde{z} + z)^2 (\tilde{z} - z) e^{\gamma l_2 + 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} \Big) \times \\ & \times \left(-4z(\tilde{z}^2 - z^2) \text{sh}[\gamma l_2] + (\tilde{z} + z)^3 e^{-\gamma l_2 - 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} - (\tilde{z} - z)^3 e^{-\gamma l_2 + 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} - \right. \\ & - (\tilde{z} - z)^2 (\tilde{z} + z) e^{\gamma l_2 - 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} - (\tilde{z} + z)^2 (\tilde{z} - z) e^{\gamma l_2 + 2\tilde{\gamma} l_1} \Big)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

It is easy to transform this expression so it coincides with the expression obtained in a [22].

4 Conclusions

In this paper it was proved that the iterative process of calculation of a quantum wave impedance can be depicted analytically. So, we got the analytical solution of an equation for a quantum wave impedance with a piecewise constant potential while in [22] the solution was obtained in an iterative form. Analytical form of a solution is especially useful for the analysis of the relation between the geometry of a potential and an input value of a quantum wave impedance, which

was demonstrated on the system of double barrier/well. The dependence of a transmission probability on a particle energy was obtained in an analytical form as well for a piecewise constant potential.

Notice, that using the analytical depiction of an iterative formula demands more computing resource for a quantum wave impedance determination than in a case of direct using iterative formula, but at the same time it gives much clear understanding of influence of each interval of a potential on the final result for a value of a quantum wave impedance. Obtained results are directly related to a design of nanodevices with the desired characteristics since they allow relating the characteristics of a device with the structure of its potential. An additional argument in favor of use a quantum wave impedance method is that it demands fewer calculations compared to the transfer matrix approach and in many papers [10, 11, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30] it was demonstrated its efficacy for an analysis of quantum-mechanical structures with a potential which has a complicated spatial structure.

References

- [1] *Ando Yu.* Calculation of transmission tunneling current across arbitrary potential barriers / Yu. Ando, T. Itoh // J. Appl. Phys. "— 1987. "— Vol. 61. "— P. 1497–1502.
- [2] *Griffiths David J.* Waves in locally periodic media / David J. Griffiths, Carl A. Steinke // Am. J. Phys. "— 2001. "— Vol. 69. "— P. 137–154.
- [3] *Pereyra Pedro.* Theory of finite periodic systems: General expressions and various simple and illustrative examples / Pedro Pereyra, Edith Castillo // Phys. Rev. B. "— 2002. "— Vol. 65. "— P. 205120(1)–205120(26).
- [4] The transfer matrix: A geometrical perspective / Luis L. Sánchez-Soto, Juan J. Monzón, Alberto G. Barriuso, José F. Cariñena // Phys. Rep. "— 2012. "— Vol. 513. "— P. 191–227.
- [5] *Harwit A. Harris J. S.* Calculated quasieigenstates and quasieigenenergies of quantum well superlattices in an applied electric field / J. S. Harwit, A. Harris, A. Kapitulnik // J. Appl. Phys. "— 1986. "— Vol. 60. "— P. 3211–3213.
- [6] *Capasso F.* Sequential resonant tunneling through a multiquantum well superlattice / F. Capasso, K. Mohammed, A. Y. Cho // Appl. Phys. Lett. "— 1986. "— Vol. 48. "— P. 478–480.
- [7] Electric field dependence of optical absorption near the band gap of quantum-well structures / D. A. B. Miller, D. S. Chemla, T. C. Damen [et al.] // Phys. Rev. B. "— 1985. "— Vol. 32, No. 2. "— P. 1043–1060.
- [8] *Zhou P.* Finite Difference Method / P. Zhou // Numerical Analysis of Electromagnetic Fields. Electric Energy Systems and Engineering Series. "— Heidelberg : Springer, 1993. "— P. 427.
- [9] *Grossmann C.* Finite Difference Method / C. Grossmann, H.-G. Roos, M. Stynes // Numerical Treatment Equations. "— Heidelberg : Springer, 2007. "— P. 601.
- [10] *Kabir S. M. F.* Application of quantum mechanical wave impedance in the solution of Schrodinger's equation in quantum wells / S. M. F. Kabir, M. R. Khan, Alam M. A. // Appl. Phys. Lett. "— 1991. "— Vol. 34, No. 12. "— P. 1466–1468.

- [11] *Haque A.* An efficient technique to calculate the normalized wave functions in arbitrary one dimensional quantum well structures / A. Haque, A. N. Khondker // J. Appl. Phys. — 1998. — Vol. 84, No. 10. — P. 5802–5804.
- [12] *Nelin E. A.* Numeral Hilbert transform for crystal-like structures / E. A. Nelin, V. O. Imamov // Visn. NTUU KPI Ser. - Radiotekh. Radioaparotobud. — 2010. — Vol. 41. — P. 80–82.
- [13] *Babushkin A.M.* Computer simulation of quantum-size structures in the matlab environment / A.M. Babushkin, E.A. Nelin // Visn. NTUU KPI Ser. - Radiotekh. Radioaparotobud. — 2011. — Vol. 46. — P. 159–163.
- [14] *Ashby A.* The study of modeled atomtronic barrier potentials through the impedance method : Master of science / Faculty of the Graduate School of the University of Colorado. — Colorado, 2016. — 190 p.
- [15] *Calecki D. Palmier J. F.* Hopping conduction in multiquantum well structures / J. F. Calecki, D. Palmier, A. Chomette // Journ.of Phys. C: Sol. Stat. Phys. — 1984. — Vol. 17, No. 28. — P. 5017–5030.
- [16] *Tsu R.* Hopping conduction in a “superlattice” / R. Tsu, G. Döhler // Phys. Rev. B. — 1975. — Vol. 12, No. 2. — P. 680–686.
- [17] *Lui W. W.* Exact solution of the Schrodinger equation across an arbitrary one-dimensional piecewise-linear potential barrier / W. W. Lui, M. Fukuma // Journ. of Appl. Phys. — 1986. — Vol. 60. — P. 1555–1559.
- [18] *Vodolazka M. V.* Resonance filtration by two-phase resonators / M. V. Vodolazka, A. P. Tolstenkova, Nelin E. A. // Visn. NTUU KPI Ser. - Radiotekh. Radioaparotobud. — 2014. — Vol. 57. — P. 113–120.
- [19] *Hryhorchak O. I.* Effective technique of numerical investigation of systems with complicated geometry of a potential / O. I. Hryhorchak // arXiv:2010.09372. — 2020. — P. 1–11.
- [20] *Hryhorchak O. I.* Reformulation of a transmission and reflection problems in terms of a quantum wave impedance function / O. I. Hryhorchak // arXiv:2010.04682. — 2020. — P. 1–14.
- [21] *Hryhorchak O. I.* An application of a quantum wave impedance approach for solving a nonsymmetric single well problem / O. I. Hryhorchak // arXiv:2010.05583. — 2020. — P. 1–10.
- [22] *Hryhorchak O. I.* Quantum wave impedance calculation for an arbitrary pieewise constant potential / O. I. Hryhorchak // arXiv:2010.06263. — 2020. — P. 1–11.
- [23] *Hryhorchak O. I.* Application of a quantum wave impedance method for zero-range singular potentials / O. I. Hryhorchak // arXiv:2010.06930. — 2020. — P. 1–17.
- [24] *Hryhorchak O. I.* Application of a quantum wave impedance method for study of infinite and semi-infinite periodic media / O. I. Hryhorchak // arXiv:2010.07632. — 2020. — P. 1–18.
- [25] *Hryhorchak O. I.* Numerical study of quantum mechanical systems using a quantum wave impedance approach / O. I. Hryhorchak // arXiv:2010.08247. — 2020. — P. 1–18.
- [26] *Vodolazka M. V.* Quantum-mechanical structures with delta-potential / M. V. Vodolazka, E. A. Nelin // KPI Sci. News. — 2013. — Vol. 4. — P. 137–144.
- [27] *Nelin E. A.* Criteria of impedance inhomogeneties approaching by delta-inhomogeneties / E. A. Nelin, A. V. Liashok // Visn. NTUU KPI Ser. - Radiotekh. Radioaparotobud. — 2015. — Vol. 63. — P. 127–135.
- [28] *Nelin E. A.* The delta-models of reactive elements and low-pass filters / E. A. Nelin, A. V. Shulha, Ya. L. Zinher // Visn. NTUU KPI Ser. - Radiotekh. Radioaparotobud. — 2017. — Vol. 69. — P. 72–77.

- [29] *Nelin E. A.* Criteria of crystal-like structures approaching by impedance delta-inhomogeneities lattices / E. A. Nelin, A. V. Liashok // Visn. NTUU KPI Ser. - Radiotekh. Radioaparotobud. "— 2016. "— Vol. 67. "— P. 58–64.
- [30] *Nelin E. A.* Delta models of oscillatory structures and passband filters / E. A. Nelin, A. V. Shulha, Ya. L. Zinher // Visn. NTUU KPI Ser. - Radiotekh. Radioaparotobud. "— 2018. "— Vol. 73. "— P. 63–68.