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#### Abstract

We establish the analog for real spherical varieties of the Scattering Theorem of Sakellaridis and Venkatesh for $p$-adic wavefront spherical varieties. We use properties of the Harish-Chandra homomorphism of Knop for invariant differential operators of the variety, special coverings of the variety and spectral projections. We have to make an analog of the Discrete Series Conjecture of Sakellaridis and Venkatesh.


## 1 Introduction

Let $G$ be the group of real points of an algebraic reductive group defined over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. We assume that $G$ is split. Let $X$ be the variety of real points of a unimodular $G$-spherical variety defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $P$ be a Borel subgroup of $G$. We assume in this introduction that $P$ has a unique open $P$-orbit, $P x_{0}$ in $X$. Let $H$ be the stabilizer of $x_{0}$ in $G$. Then with our hypothesis $X$ identifies to $G / H$. Let us assume that $G / H$ admits a $G$-invariant measure. The abstract Plancherel formula, following essentially [4], ends up with an isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}(X) \simeq \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\pi, \mathcal{H}_{\pi}\right)$ is a continuous unitary irreducible representation of $G, \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ is a multiplicity space endowed with a scalar product and contained in the space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi, \text { temp }}^{-\infty, H}$ of tempered, in a suitable sense (cf. [24]), of $H$-fixed distribution vectors of $\pi$, and $\mu$ is a Borel measure on the unitary dual $\hat{G}$ of $G$. Moreover $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ is finite dimensional (cf. [28], [31]).
The goal is to explicit this formula up to the twisted discrete spectrum (see below) for other spherical spaces, namely the boundary degenerations of $X$, which form a finite family of real spherical spaces including $X$.
The strategy has been given in the $p$-adic case by Sakellaridis and Venkatesh in [38]. It is done by using the Bernstein maps (see [38], section 11, which is conditional to
their Discrete Series Conjecture (cf. l.c., 9.4.6) and by the introduction of what they call scattering operators. In [12] the Bernstein maps were introduced in the real case without conditions, based on [11], and studied there. In this paper we introduce the scattering operators in the real case and essentially prove their unitarity: this is also conditional to an analog of the Discrete Series Conjecture of Sakellaridis and Venkatesh (sse below). Then the main results follow easily in a rather similar way to [38] proof of Theorem 7.3.1 in section 14.3.
Let us recall some results of the joint work with B. Krötz and S. Souaifi, [11], and the joint work with F. Knop, B. Krötz and H. Schlichtkrull, [12]. With $X$ and $P$ comes a certain choice (not necessarily unique) of a maximal split torus $A$ in $P$. Let $A_{\emptyset}:=A / A \cap H$. Then attached to these data is a finite set $S$ of rational characters of $A_{\emptyset}$ called the simple spherical roots. Then to each $I \subset S$ is associated a boundary degeneration of $X$, which is, with our hypothesis of a unique open $P$ orbit, an homogeneous space for $G, X_{I}=G / H_{I}$ (in particular $X_{S}=X$ ). Moreover if $A_{I}$ is the intersection of the kernels of the elements of $I$, the neutral componant $A_{I}^{0}$ of the Lie group $A_{I}$ acts on $X_{I}$ by a right action, denoted by a dot, commuting to the action of $G$.
Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of the complex enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The theory of the constant term (cf. [11] which generalizes the work of Harish-Chandra, [15], for the group case and Carmona, [8], for symmetric spaces; see also [39], chapter 12, for the group case) defines a map $f \mapsto f_{I}$ from $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-finite tempered functions on $X$ to $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-finite tempered functions on $X_{I}$. It allows us to define a map:

$$
j_{I, \pi}^{*}: \mathcal{H}_{\pi, \text { temp }}^{-\infty, H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\pi, t e m p}^{-\infty, H_{I}}, \eta \mapsto \eta_{I} .
$$

Note that $A_{I}^{0}$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi, \text { temp }}^{-\infty, H_{I}}$. In [12], Theorem 9.5 (cf. [38], Theorem 11.3.1 for the $p$-adic case, which is used for motivation) it is proved that the Plancherel formula for $X_{I}$ gives rise to a natural isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right) \simeq \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for almost all $\pi, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ is the $A_{I}$-span of $j_{I, \pi}^{*}\left(\mathcal{M}_{\pi}\right)$. Moreover the scalar product on $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ is obtained by some process of limit from the scalar product on $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$.
We have the Maass-Selberg relations from [12], Theorem 9.6:
The adjoint $j_{I, \pi}: \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ of $j_{I, \pi}^{*}$ is isometric on each $A_{I}$-eigenspace of $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$, or equivalently if $\chi \in \hat{A}_{I}$ and $p_{\pi, \chi}$ is the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ to the eigenspace $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}^{\chi}, p_{\pi, \chi} \circ j_{I, \pi}^{*}: \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ is isometric modulo its kernel.

Let us introduce the twisted discrete series (abbreviated by $t d$ ) of $X_{I}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ be the Lie algebra of $A_{I}$. If $\lambda \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, let $\chi_{\lambda}$ be the unitary character of the connected component $A_{I}^{0}$ of the Lie group $A_{I}$, whose differential is $\lambda$. Let $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \lambda\right)$ be the unitarily induced representation from $H_{I} A_{I}^{0}$ to $G$ of the character of $H_{I} A_{I}^{0}$ trivial
on $H_{I}$ and equal to $\chi_{\lambda}$ on $A_{I}^{0}$. Let $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \lambda\right)_{t d}$ be the Hilbert sum of the irreducible subrepresentations of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \lambda\right)$, which are called twisted discrete series representations or $t d$ corresponding to $\lambda$. The character $\lambda$ is called the twisting character. Then one can define:

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}:=\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \lambda\right)_{t d} d \lambda
$$

It is known that the real part of the Harish-Chandra parameters of the infinitesimal characters of the various $t d$ of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ are contained in a lattice of $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ (cf. the work [30] of B. Krötz, J. Kuit, E. Opdam and H. Schlichtkrull). This result plays the role in the proof of the uniform constant term approximation in the real case (cf. [11], Theorem 8.10) of the Discrete Series Conjecture in [38] for the $p$-adic case (see l.c. section 9.4.6 for a statement of this conjecture) in the proof of uniform boundedness of exponents (cf. l.c., Proposition 9.4.8). This uniformity allowed to introduce in [12] the Bernstein morphisms for real spherical spaces without using any conjecture. In this article, we will use a conjecture on twisted discrete series which seems coherent with the Discrete series Conjecture, namely we conjecture:
For almost all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{i} \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, that there is a Harish-Chandra parameter for the infinitesimal character of any td in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \lambda\right)$ whose imaginary part is given by the differential of the twisting character $\lambda$.
We do not need to conjecture that twisted discrete series are given by "toric families". Our conjecture is true when $\mathfrak{a}_{S}$ is trivial from [30]: in that case, the infinitesimal character is real. It is true also for the real analogs of Examples 9.5.2, 9.5.3, 9.5.4 of [38].
Let $\mathbb{D}(X)$ be the algebra of $G$-invariant differential operators on $X$. From [21], this algebra is known to be isomorphic, by a so called Harish-Chandra homomorphism to the algebra of invariant polynomials on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ under a finite group $W_{X}$ which is generated by the reflexions associated to elements of $S$. In section 9, the Harish-Chandra homomorphism is related to a morphism $\mathbb{D}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\left(X_{\emptyset}\right)$ that was introduced by Raphael Beuzart-Plessis in [11], appendix C. Apart from possible mistakes due to me, this section 9 , is essentially due to him.
The same is true for $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ by replacing $W_{X}$ by the subgroup $W_{I}$ generated by the reflexions associated to elements of $I$.
We show that $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ acts on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ as an algebra generated by essentially self adjoint operators with common core the space of $C^{\infty}$-vectors, which allows a joint spectral decomposition.
The conjecture together with the description of $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ above, allows us to show that $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ is the image of the spectral projection for $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ attached to a part of its unitary spectrum. Here I use a result on $C^{*}$-algebras (cf. Proposition 10.1), whose proof was communicated to me by Alain Connes. It says essentially that, at least in special situations, the spectral projection, associated to a Borel subset of the unitary dual, of an Hilbert integral of representations is equal to the Hilbert integral of the corresponding spectral projections.
Let us define the Bernstein morphisms, introduced in [38] in the $p$-adic case and in
[12] in our situation. These are $G$-maps $i_{I}: L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}(X)$ given in the Plancherel isomorphisms (1.1) and (1.2) by the following Hilbert integrals of operators:

$$
\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} i_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi): \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi),
$$

where $i_{I, \pi}=I d_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi}} \otimes j_{I, \pi}$.
The Bernstein morphisms are abstract versions of wave packets of Eisenstein integrals of Harish-Chandra (cf. [16]): abstract because the maps $j_{I, \pi}: \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ are not explicit.
In [12], Theorem 11.1, it has been shown that:

$$
\sum_{I \subset S} i_{I}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}\right)=L^{2}(X) .
$$

Let us describe the scattering operators. First we introduce the restriction $i_{I, t d}$ of $i_{I}$ to $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$. Using spectral projections for $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, and decomposition of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ (resp. $\left.L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}\right)$ in $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{J}\right)$ )-eigenspaces, using [30] and the conjecture, one shows that:

For $I, J \subset S$, the equivariant $G$-map $i_{J, t d}^{*} \circ i_{I, t d}$ from $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ to $L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}$ is non zero if and only if $I$ and $J$ are conjugated by $W_{X}$, which will be denoted by $I \approx J$.

Again, using spectral projections but for $A_{I}^{0}$ and $A_{J}^{0}$, it is relatively easy to see that if $I$ and $J$ are conjugate by $W_{X}$, then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{J, t d}^{*} \circ i_{I, t d}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} S_{\mathfrak{w}}, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{I, J}$ is the set of elements of $W_{X}$ which conjugate $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ to $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{w}} \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}, L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}\right)$ are the so-called scattering operators which satisfy:

$$
S_{\mathfrak{w}} r\left(a_{I}\right) f=r\left(a_{I}^{\mathfrak{w}}\right) S_{\mathfrak{w}} f, f \in L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}, a_{I} \in A_{I}^{0}
$$

where the $r$ denote the right normalized, hence unitary, actions of $A_{I}^{0}$ and $A_{J}^{0}$. The main result, that we will describe now, follows essentially, as in [38], from the fact that the $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$ are unitary operators. We will try to explain below the main ingredients of the proof of this unitarity. Notice that this unitarity allows us to complete the Maass-Selberg relations (cf. Corollary 8.9)).
1.1 Theorem. (i) If $I, J, K \subset S, I \approx J \approx K$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
i_{J, t d} \circ S_{\mathfrak{w}}=i_{I, t d}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}, \\
S_{\mathfrak{w}} \circ S_{\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}}=S_{\mathfrak{w w}^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{J, K}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \in W_{I, J},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
i_{J, t d}^{*} \circ i_{I, t d}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} .
$$

(ii) Let $c(I)$ be equal to $\sum_{J \approx I} \operatorname{Card} W_{I, J}$. Then the map

$$
\sum_{I \subset S} \frac{i_{I, t d}^{*}}{\sqrt{c(I)}}: L^{2}(X) \rightarrow \oplus_{I \subset S} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}
$$

is an isometric isomorphism onto the subspace of

$$
\left(f_{I}\right) \in \oplus_{I \subset S} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}
$$

satysfying :

$$
S_{\mathfrak{w}} f_{I}=f_{J}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}
$$

This is a Plancherel formula which is not more but not less explicit than Theorem 7.3.1 of [38]. It depends on our conjecture on the imaginary part of the infinitesimal character of the twisted discrete series as Theorem 7.3.1 of l.c. rests on the Discrete Series Conjecture. Our proof does not use the generic injectivity of [38], section 14.2. Moreover we do not require $X$ to be wavefront.

If one wants to be more explicit, one needs a better description of the $t d$ and a suitable definition of Eisenstein integrals, both things being avalaible for real reductive symmetric spaces (see [12], section 15 for a quick proof of the explicit Plancherel formula for these spaces).
Let us now try to give an idea of the proof of the unitarity of the scattering operators. On one hand, this is certainly too much for an introduction. On the other hand, it gives a detailed account of the whole proof, which might serve as a guideline. It explains also the main ingredients which are used.
To prove that the scattering operators, $S_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}, I \approx J \subset S$, are unitary, it is enough, like in [38], proof of Theorem 14.3.1, to prove that one can find a decomposition of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ as a Hilbert sum of $A_{I}^{0}$-invariant Hilbert subspaces $\mathcal{H}_{m}, m \in \mathcal{M}$, such that for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}} v\right\| \geq\|v\|, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}, v \in \mathcal{H}_{m} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Maass-Selberg relations allow to choose the $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ on which $i_{I}$ is isometric. We take the $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ small enough: each of them is equal to an isotypic component under $K$ of a subspace of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ defined by spectral projections under $G$ and $A_{I}^{0}$. If (1.6) was false, one would find $I_{0}, J_{0} \subset S, \mathfrak{w}_{0} \in W_{I_{0}, J_{0}}$, some $\mathcal{H}_{m}$, some non zero element $v_{0}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ and $\delta>0$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{0}\right\|^{2} \leq(1-\delta)\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}, \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{0}=i_{I_{0}} v_{0} \in L^{2}(X)$, which is of the same norm than $v_{0}$ by the properties of $\mathcal{H}_{m}$. The idea is to show that $\left\|f_{0}\right\|^{2}\left(=\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}\right)$ can be bounded by a finite sum of small terms compared to $\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}$ and of a preponderant term $\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{0}\right\|^{2}$. Using (1.7), this
leads to a contradiction: $\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}<\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}$. For this, one chooses some $a \in A_{I_{0}}^{0}$ in "good position" with respect to $\mathfrak{w}_{0}$ and then works with $v_{n}=r\left(a^{n}\right) v_{0}$ instead of $v_{0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. Notice that $r\left(a^{n}\right) v_{0}$ is of same norm than $v_{0}$. One can show (cf. Proposition 8.2) that for $f \in \mathcal{H}_{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\int_{i \mathfrak{I}_{I_{0}}^{*}} f_{\nu} d \nu \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $f_{\nu}$ are finite sums of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-eigenfunctions on $X$ coming from the expression of $i_{I}$ as an Hilbert integral of operators.
Sakellaridis and Venkatesh were looking to the restriction of $f$ to neighborhoods of infinity in a smooth compactification of $X$. These neighborhoods were obtained by gluing open sets given by the Local Structure Theorem (cf. e.g. [38], Theorem 2.3.4, [23] Theorem 7.1) : the gluing process does not work in the real case.
Instead we will use coverings of $X$ by open sets built also from the Local Structure Theorem and introduce approximate partitions.
Recall that we assume that there is only one open $P$-orbit in $X$. In the following of the introduction we assume moreover that $X$ has a wonderful $G$-equivariant compactification $\bar{X}$. The $G$-orbits in $\bar{X}$ are in canonical bijection with $I \subset S$. We denote by $Y_{I}$ the $G$-orbit in $\bar{X}$ corresponding to $I$ and $X_{I}$ appears as the open $G$-orbit in the normal bundle of $Y_{I}$ in $\bar{X}$. Moreover $Y_{\emptyset}$ is the closed orbit and $Y_{S}=X$.
To get what we call a standard covering of $X$, one trims first $Y_{\emptyset}$, which is compact, in $X$ by a finite number of translates of an open, relatively compact set in $X$, given by the Local Structure Theorem, for which there is an $\varepsilon$-parameter which measures the proximity to the boundary (see below).
One does the same with every $G$-orbit in $\bar{X}$ of immediately greater dimension, taking into account that the former open sets trim already a part of this orbit. This part has a complementary compact set in this orbit.
We end up with a finite family $U_{I, \mathrm{i}, \varepsilon_{I}}, I \subset S, \varepsilon_{I}>0, \mathfrak{i} \in \mathfrak{I} \subset G$, of open subsets of $X$, relatively compact in $\bar{X}$. The $U_{I, i, \varepsilon_{I}}$ 's, when $I$ describes the subsets of $S$ and $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathfrak{I}$, cover $X$.
Let us describe more precisely $U_{I, \mathfrak{i}, \varepsilon_{I}}$ : it is the left translate by $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathfrak{I} \subset G$ of a set $U_{I, \varepsilon_{I}}:=\Omega_{I} A_{I}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{I}\right)$, where $\Omega_{I}$ is some relatively compact set in $P$ and $A_{I}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{I}\right):=\left\{a \in A_{I}^{0} \mid a^{\alpha}<\varepsilon_{I}, \alpha \in S \backslash I\right\}$. In particular, $U_{\mathrm{i}}:=U_{I, \mathrm{i}, \varepsilon_{I}}$ is a subset of the $\mathfrak{i}$-translate of the open $P$-orbit in $X$ which identifies with the $\mathfrak{i}$-translate of the open $P$-orbit in each boundary degeneration of $X$.
With these identifications in mind, let $I \subset S$ and $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathfrak{I}$, let $f_{\mathfrak{i}}$ be the restriction of our $f$ to $U_{\mathfrak{i}}$. Let $f_{\nu}, \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, be as in (1.8) and, for $I \subset J \subset S$, let

$$
f_{\nu, \mathfrak{i}}^{I, J}:=\sum_{K, I \subset K \subset J}(-1)^{\text {CardJ-CardK}} f_{\nu, K, \mathfrak{i}},
$$

where the lower index $K$ denotes the constant term and the index $\mathfrak{i}$ indicates the restriction to $U_{\mathrm{i}}$. Here all the functions are viewed as functions on $U_{\mathrm{i}}$, by the above
identification.
Then one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathfrak{i}}=\sum_{J, I \subset J \subset S} f_{\mathrm{i}}^{I, J} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\mathrm{i}}^{I, J}$ is given by the integral over $i \mathfrak{a}_{I_{0}}^{*}$ of $f_{\nu, \mathfrak{i}}^{I, J}$.
An important ingredient of the proof is what we call the Main Inequality (cf. Theorem 5.6 and section 8.4) which gives a bound for $f_{\nu, i}^{I, J}\left(i \omega a_{I}\right)$ for $\omega \in \Omega_{I}$ and $a_{I} \in A_{I}^{-}(\varepsilon)$.
One introduces a lower index $n$ if $v_{0}$ is replaced by $v_{n}$.
As the $U_{\mathfrak{i}}$ 's, $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathfrak{I}, I \subset S$, cover $X$, in order to bound $\left\|f_{n}\right\|^{2}=\int_{X}\left|f_{n}(x)\right|^{2} d x$, one is reduced, in view of (1.9), to study the integrals

$$
I_{\mathrm{i}, n}^{I, J}=\int_{U_{\mathrm{i}}}\left|f_{\mathrm{i}, n}^{I, J}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

First, this integral is zero unless $C a r d J>\operatorname{Card} I_{0}$ or $J \approx I_{0}$ : this is seen by using (1.4) and the characterization of $t d$ by vanishing properties of the constant terms (cf. [11], Theorem 6.12). Recall that $U_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathfrak{i} \Omega_{I} A_{I}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{I}\right)$ and the measure on $U_{\mathrm{i}}$ decomposes as a product of measures.
The case where $\operatorname{CardJ}>\operatorname{Card}_{I_{0}}$ or the case where $J \approx I_{0}$ and $I \not \approx I_{0}$ are treated using the main inequality and $I_{\mathrm{i}, n}^{I, J}$ can be made small by reducing $\varepsilon_{I}$ and taking $n$ large. In both cases, an integral of a positive function on a subset of $A_{J}^{0}$ is bounded by its integral on $A_{J}^{0}$ and the Plancherel formula for $A_{J}^{0}$ is used.
Notice that reducing one $\varepsilon_{I}$ might require to change the family $U_{\mathfrak{j}}$ and even $\mathfrak{J}$, for $C a r d J>C a r d I$. An induction on $C a r d I$ is necessary.
In the remaining case $I=J \approx I_{0}$. It is quite different.
First, one has to replace the $U_{\mathfrak{i}}, \mathfrak{i} \in \mathfrak{J}_{0}$ by sequences of open sets $U_{p, \mathfrak{i}}$ in $X$, relatively compact in $\bar{X}$, which "becomes disjoint when $p$ tends to $\infty$ " and such that the union of these $U_{p, \mathfrak{i}}$ contains the union of the $U_{\mathrm{i}}$. This is given by a lemma which is an elaboration of the following elementary operation: if $U, U^{\prime}$ are open, relatively compact sets in a metric space, let $U_{p}=U \backslash c l U^{\prime}$ and let $U_{p}^{\prime}$ be the set of elements at a distance strictly less than $1 / p$ of $U^{\prime}$ in the metric space.
Then, as $I \approx I_{0}$, the function $f_{\mathfrak{i}, n}^{I, I}$ is expressed as $\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I_{0}, I}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} f_{n}$ on $U_{\mathfrak{i}}$ viewed as a subset of $X_{I}$. Then one can bound the sum over $\mathfrak{i} \in \mathfrak{I}$ of the integrals on the $U_{p, \mathfrak{i}}$ of $S_{n}(x):=\left|\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I_{0}, I}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} f_{n}(x)\right|^{2}$ by the integral over the union of the $U_{p, \mathfrak{i}}$ plus a sum over two by two intersections.
Recall our choice of $\mathfrak{w}_{0}$ and $J_{0}$ in (1.7). Let $a \in A_{I_{0}}^{0}$ be chosen such that $\mathfrak{w}_{0}(a) \in$ $A_{J_{0}}^{-}:=A_{J_{0}}^{-}(0)$. Then the integrals of $S_{n}(x)$ on these intersections tend to zero when $n, p$ go to infinity, as the two by two intersections go to the emptyset and remain in a given set of finite measure: this follows from Lebesgue dominated convergence Theorem.
One has:
If $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I_{0}, J_{0}}$ is distinct from $\mathfrak{w}_{0}$, then the sequence of characteristic functions of $\mathfrak{w}\left(a^{n} A_{I_{0}}^{-}\right)$tends simply to zero,
as the characteristic function of a convex cone translated by $n$-times a vector $\underline{x}$ converges simply to zero if the vector $-\underline{x}$ is not in the closure of the cone.
The integral of $S_{n}(x)$ on the union of the $U_{p, i}$ is then shown, after developing $\left|\sum_{\mathfrak{v} \in W_{I_{0}, I}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} f_{n}\right|^{2}$ in a sum of products, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to take care of products, (1.10) and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, to be bounded at the limit in $p$ and $n$ by the integral on $X_{J_{0}}$ of $\left|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{0}\right|^{2}$.
Summarizing we have shown that $\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}=\left\|f_{0}\right\|^{2}=\left\|f_{n}\right\|^{2}$ is bounded by the sum of arbitrary small quantities plus $\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{0}\right\|^{2}$ which is, from (1.7), less than or equal to $(1-\delta)\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}=(1-\delta)\left\|f_{0}\right\|^{2}$. This leads to the desired contradiction.
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## 2 Notation

If $A$ is a subset of a topological space, $c l A$ or $\bar{A}$ will denote its closure.
If $f, g$ are nonnegative functions on a set $E$, we will write $f \approx g$ or $f(x) \approx g(x), x \in$ $E$, if there are constants $C, C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C g(x) \leq f(x) \leq C^{\prime} g(x), x \in E \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $E, F$ are complex topological vector spaces, $\operatorname{Hom}(E, F)$ will denote the vector space of continuous linear maps.
If $G$ is a real Lie group, $G^{0}$ will denote its connected component of the identity, $\mathfrak{g}$ will denote its Lie algebra, $U(\mathfrak{g})$ the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ the center of $U(\mathfrak{g})$.
We will denote algebraic varieties defined over $\mathbb{R}$ by underlined letters. If $\underline{X}$ is an algebraic variety defined over $\mathbb{R}, \underline{X}$ might also denote its set of complex points and $X$ will denote its set of real points.
If $\underline{A}$ is an $\mathbb{R}$-split torus, $X_{*}(\underline{A})$ will denote its group of cocharacters and $X^{*}(\underline{A})$ its group of characters. The group $X_{*}(\underline{A})$ will be viewed as a subset of the Lie algebra $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}$ of $\underline{A}$, by using the differential at 1 of the cocharacters.

## 3 Review on compactifications of real spherical varieties

### 3.1 Real spherical varieties, compactifications, Local Structure Theorem

Let $\underline{G}$ be a connected reductive algebraic group defined and split over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\underline{X}$ be an homogeneous variety under $\underline{G}$. We assume that $X$ is a real spherical variety with a $G$-invariant measure on each $G$-orbit: we will say that $X$ is unimodular. Let $\underline{P}$ be a minimal parabolic subgroup of $\underline{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{R}$, hence a Borel subgroup. Then $X$ real spherical means that $P$ has an open orbit in $X, X_{P}=P x_{0}$, with $x_{0} \in X$.
If $\underline{H}$ is the stabilizer in $\underline{G}$ of $x_{0}$, one has $\underline{X}=\underline{G} / \underline{H}$ and $X=(\underline{G} / \underline{H})(\mathbb{R})$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the set of $G$-orbits in $X$. Among them, there is $G / H$. Then the Local Structure Theorem (cf. [26], Theorems 2.3) determines a unique parabolic subgroup $\underline{P}(X)$ of $\underline{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{R}$, said adapted to $\underline{P}$, with Levi decomposition $\underline{P}(X)=\underline{L}(X) \underline{U}(X)$ and containing $\underline{P}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{P}(X) \cap \underline{H}=\underline{L}(X) \cap \underline{H}, \underline{P}(X) x_{0}=\underline{P} x_{0} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As there is no non abelian compact ideal in the derived group $\underline{L}(X)^{\text {der }}$ of $\underline{L}(X)$ and as $\underline{L}(X)^{d e r}$ is connected, one has from l.c.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{L}(X)^{d e r} \subset \underline{H} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\underline{A}$ be a maximal $\mathbb{R}$-split torus of $\underline{L}(X)$ contained in $\underline{P}$. We define :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{A}_{\emptyset}:=\underline{A} / \underline{A} \cap \underline{H} \subset \underline{G} / \underline{H}=\underline{X} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an $\mathbb{R}$-split torus and one has:

$$
\underline{P} x_{0}=\underline{P}(X) x_{0}=\underline{U}(X) \underline{A}_{\emptyset} .
$$

Let $\underline{A}_{\underline{L}(X)}$ be the maximal torus of the center of $\underline{L}(X)$. Using (3.1), (3.2) and $\underline{L}(X)=\underline{L}(X)^{\text {der }} \underline{A}=\underline{L}(X)^{\text {der }} \underline{A}_{\underline{L}(X)}$, one sees easily:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{P}(X) \cap \underline{H}=\underline{L}(X)^{d e r}(\underline{A} \cap \underline{H}) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{A}_{\emptyset}=\underline{L}(X) / \underline{L}(X) \cap \underline{H}=\underline{A}_{\underline{L}(X)} /\left(\underline{A}_{\underline{L}(X)} \cap \underline{H}\right) . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will denote by $\rho$ the half sum of the roots of $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{u}(X)$ which goes through the quotient to $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ (cf. [24], Lemma 4.2). With these data comes the set $S$ of simple spherical roots (cf. [12], section 2.2). It is a subset of the group of rational characters of $A_{\emptyset}$, but it is not necessarily a basis of this lattice. We introduce a complete fan
$\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-}:=\left\{\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset} \mid \alpha(\underline{x}) \leq 0, \alpha \in S\right\}$ that we fix once and for all. This is a set of simplicial cones covering $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-}$which satisfies in particular the following properties:

For every $C \in \mathcal{F}$ there is a unique finite family $\check{C}$ of cocharacters of $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$ which is free and such that

$$
C \cap X_{*}\left(\underline{A}_{\emptyset}\right)=\sum_{\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}} \mathbb{N} \check{\alpha}, C=\sum_{\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}} \mathbb{R}^{+} \check{\alpha}
$$

Here $\check{\alpha}$ is identified to an element of $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ by denoting in the same way the derivative in 0 of $t \mapsto \check{\alpha}\left(e^{t}\right)$. Then one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\check{\alpha}\left(e^{t}\right)=\exp (t \check{\alpha}) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the simplicial cones $\left(C_{i}\right)$ of maximal dimension are of dimension $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$, their union is equal to $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-}$and, for each $i, \check{C}_{i}$ is a basis of $X_{*}\left(\underline{A}_{\emptyset}\right)$. Finally one requires that their two by two intersections are common faces.

Let $\underline{A}_{C} \subset \underline{A}_{\emptyset}$ be the image of the morphism $j_{C}: \mathbb{C}^{* \check{C}} \rightarrow \underline{A}_{\emptyset},\left(z_{\check{\alpha}}\right) \mapsto \prod \check{\alpha}\left(z_{\check{\alpha}}\right)$. Then $j_{C}$ is an isomorphism onto its image $\underline{A}_{C}$ : this is obvious if $C$ is of maximal dimension as in this case $\check{C}$ is a basis of $X_{*}\left(\underline{A}_{C}\right)$. Then one has:

The differential at 1 of $j_{C}$ allows to identify $\mathfrak{a}_{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{C}$.
Otherwise $\check{C}$ is a subset of $\check{C}_{0}$ for some $C_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$ of maximal dimension. And our claim follows easily. With our convention, $A_{C}$ is the group of real points of $\underline{A}_{C}$ and $A_{C}^{0}$ is the the identity component of this real Lie group.
Let $\underline{\bar{X}}(\mathcal{F})$ (or $\underline{\bar{X}}$ if there is no ambiguity) be the smooth compactification associated to our complete fan (cf. [23], Theorems 7.1 and 7.12). We review below some properties of this compactification.
Let $\underline{\bar{X}}_{P}$ be the union of the open $\underline{P}$-orbits in the $\underline{G}$-orbits of $\underline{\bar{X}}$. Let $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ be the closure of $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$ in $\underline{\bar{X}}_{P}$, on which $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$ acts naturally. Then the Local Structure Theorem (cf.[38], Theorem 2.3.4 and section 2.3.5, [23] Theorem 7.1, LST for short) for the compactification asserts:

The map $\underline{U}(X) \times \underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset} \rightarrow \underline{\bar{X}}_{P},(u, a) \mapsto u a$ is an isomorphism.
There are special points in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}, y_{C}, C \subset \mathcal{F}$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{C}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}(\exp t \underline{x}) x_{0} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\underline{x}$ is in the relative interior $C^{0}$ of $C$ in its linear span $\mathfrak{a}_{C}$. The stabilizer in $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$ of $y_{C}$ is equal to $\underline{A}_{C}$.
The $y_{C}$ are the representatives of the $\underline{G}$-orbits (resp. open $\underline{P}$-orbits in the $\underline{G}$-orbits) in $\underline{\bar{X}}$ and of the $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$-orbits in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ (cf. [23], theorem 7.5). Hence every $\underline{G}$-orbit has an
open $\underline{P}$-orbit. We will denote the $\underline{G}$-orbit (resp. $\underline{P}$-orbit) of $y_{C}$ in $\underline{\bar{X}}$ (resp $\underline{\bar{X}}_{P}$ ) by $\underline{Y}_{C}$ (resp. $\underline{Y}_{C, P}$ ) and by $\underline{V}_{C}$ the orbit of $y_{C}$ in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ under $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$. We will denote by $\underline{Z}_{C}$ the closure of $\underline{Y}_{C}$ in $\underline{\bar{X}}$.

### 3.2 Normal bundles, boundary degenerations

Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $N_{\underline{Y}_{C}}(\underline{\bar{X}})$ be the normal bundle to the subvariety $\underline{Y}_{C}$ of $\underline{\bar{X}}$. From the Local Structure Theorem, one has:

The map $\underline{U}(X) \times N_{\underline{V}_{C}}\left(\overline{\underline{A}}_{\emptyset}\right) \rightarrow N_{\underline{Y}_{C}}(\underline{\bar{X}})$ is an open embedding with image denoted by $N_{\underline{Y}_{C}}(\underline{X})_{P}$.

Let $\underline{X}_{C}$ be the open $\underline{G}$-orbit in $N_{\underline{Y}_{C}}(\underline{\bar{X}})$. There are some properties of $\underline{X}_{C}$ that we will describe in two lemmas.
3.1 Lemma. (i) The map $j_{C}^{\prime}: \mathbb{C}^{* \check{C}} \rightarrow \underline{A}_{\emptyset}, z \mapsto j_{C}\left(z^{-1}\right)$, extends by continuity to a differentiable map, denoted in the same way, from $\mathbb{C}^{C}$ to $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ with value $y_{C}$ at 0. Moreover the image of the differential at 0 of this extended map is a canonical supplementary to the tangent space at $y_{C}$ of $\underline{V}_{C}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\underline{Y}_{C}\right)$ in the tangent space at $y_{C}$ of $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ (resp. $\underline{\bar{X}}$ ). It is denoted $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$ and it identifies to $\mathbb{C}^{C}$ by this differential. This will be viewed as the fiber over $y_{C}$ of the normal bundle in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{0}$ (resp. $\underline{\bar{X}}$ ) of $\underline{V}_{C}$ (resp. of $\underline{Y}_{C}$ ).
(ii) As $\underline{A}_{C}$ fixes $y_{C}$, it acts on the fiber over $y_{C}$ of the normal bundle in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ of $\underline{V}_{C}$, that we have identified with $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_{C, C}$. We denote this action by a dot and when $\underline{A}_{C}$ is identified to $\mathbb{C}^{* C \check{C}}$ by $j_{C}^{\prime}$, the action is given by multiplication, component by component.
(iii) The identification of $\mathfrak{a}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{\check{C}}$ (cf. (3.7)) and the one of $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$ to $\mathbb{C}^{\check{C}}$ in (i) allows us to identify $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$. Let $e_{C}=\sum_{\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}} \check{\alpha} \in C$ that will be identified to its image in $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_{C, C}$. Then $x_{C}=\left(y_{C}, e_{C}\right) \in N_{\underline{V}_{C}}\left(\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\right)$ is an element of $\underline{X}_{C}$.
(iv) One has:

$$
\underline{P}\left(X_{C}\right)=\underline{P}(X), \underline{L}\left(X_{C}\right)=\underline{L}(X) .
$$

(v) We take the base point $x_{C}$ for $\underline{X}_{C}$ and let $\underline{H}_{C}$ be the stabilizer of $x_{C}$ in $\underline{G}$. Then one has

$$
\underline{H}_{C} \cap \underline{P}(X)=\underline{H}_{C} \cap \underline{L}(X)=\underline{H} \cap \underline{L}(X), \underline{A} \cap \underline{H}_{C}=\underline{A} \cap \underline{H} .
$$

Proof. (i) From LST (cf.(3.8)), the statements reduce to statements about $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}=$ $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}(\mathcal{F})$. First if $\mathcal{F}$ consists of the faces of only one simplicial cone $C_{0}$ of maximal dimension, things are quite simple: $j_{C}^{\prime}$ identifies $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\right)$ with $\mathbb{C}^{* C_{0}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{C}^{\check{C}_{0}}\right)$. Then $\underline{A}_{C}$ identifies with $\mathbb{C}^{* \check{C}} \times\{(1, \ldots, 1)\}, y_{C}=((0, \ldots 0),(1, \ldots, 1)) \in \mathbb{C}^{\check{C}} \times \mathbb{C}^{* C_{0}} \backslash \check{C}$ and $x_{0}=(1, \ldots, 1)$. Our claims are then obvious. It is necessary to introduce $z^{-1}$ for the following reason. If $z=\left(z_{\check{\alpha}}\right)$ and $z_{\check{\alpha}}=e^{t_{\alpha}}$ with $t_{\alpha}$ goes to $-\infty, z$ goest to zero and (cf. (3.6)), $j_{C}\left(z^{-1}\right)=\exp \left(\sum_{\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}}-t_{\check{\alpha}} \check{\alpha}\right)$ goes to $y_{C}$ from (3.9).

In the general case, let $C_{0}$ be an element of $\mathcal{F}$ of maximal dimension containing $C$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be the subfan of $\mathcal{F}$ consisting of the faces of $C_{0}$. Then $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right) \subset \underline{\bar{A}}_{0}$. It is equal to the union of $\underline{V}_{C^{\prime}}, C^{\prime} \subset C_{0}$. Let $C_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$. The closure of the $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$-orbit $\underline{V}_{C_{1}}$ in $\overline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ is equal to $\cup_{C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}, C_{1} \subset C^{\prime}} \underline{V}_{C^{\prime}}$. Let $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)^{c}$ be the complementary set of $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}(\mathcal{F})$. It is the union of $\underline{V}_{C_{1}}, C_{1} \in \mathcal{F}, C_{1} \not \subset C_{0}$. But if $C_{1} \not \subset C_{0}$, the closure of the $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$-orbit $\underline{V}_{C_{1}}$ in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ is clearly contained in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)^{c}$. This implies easily that the complementary set of $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ is closed. Hence $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ is open and our claims on $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ follow from the properties for $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ that we have just proved.
(ii) is proved as (i) by reducing to the case where $\mathcal{F}$ consists of the faces of one simplicial cone of maximal dimension.
(iii) The intersection of $\underline{X}_{C}$ with the fiber over $y_{C}$ of the normal bundle of $\underline{V}_{C}$ in $\underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}$ is open and nonempty. It is also $\underline{A}_{C}$-invariant. From (i) and (ii), there is only one open nonempty $\underline{A}_{C}$-orbit in the fiber. Hence the intersection of $\underline{X}_{C}$ with the fiber contains this open $\underline{A}_{C}$-orbit and from the description of the $\underline{A}_{C}$-action in (ii), $e_{C}$ is an element of this open $\underline{A}_{C}$-orbit. It proves (iii).
(iv) follows for example from [38], Proposition 2.4.3 (2).
(v) Let us show that $\underline{H}_{C} \cap \underline{P}(X)=\underline{L}(X)^{\text {der }}(\underline{A} \cap \underline{H})$. First (3.1), (3.2) and (iv) imply that $\underline{H}_{C} \cap \underline{P}(X)=\underline{H}_{C} \cap \underline{L}(X)$ and $\underline{L}(X)^{\text {der }} \subset \underline{H}_{C}$. .
We consider the path $\gamma_{C}(t)=\left(\exp \left((-\log t) e_{C}\right)\right) x_{0}$ which tends to $y_{C}$ when $t$ tends to zero (cf. (3.9)). Then using the properties of $j_{C}^{\prime}$ above, one sees that $\gamma_{C}(t)=j_{C}^{\prime}(t(1, \ldots, 1))$. Hence with the identifications of $\mathfrak{a}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$ with $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$ given in the statement, one sees that the right derivative in 0 of $\gamma(t)$ is equal to $e_{C}$. In fact when $\underline{\mathfrak{a}}_{C, \mathbb{C}}$ is identified to $\mathbb{C}^{\check{C}}$ as in (i), this derivative is $(1, \ldots, 1)$ and its image in $\mathfrak{a}_{C}$ by using (3.7) is $e_{C}$.
Using (3.5), one takes a representative of $\exp \left((-\log t) e_{C}\right) x_{0}$ in $\underline{A}_{\underline{L}(X)}$, one sees that $\underline{L}(X) \cap \underline{H}$ and $A \cap H$ act trivially on $\gamma(t)$, hence on $x_{C}$, by going to the limit. Thus one has $\underline{L}(X)^{d e r}(\underline{A} \cap \underline{H}) \subset \underline{H}_{C} \cap \underline{L}(X)$. As $\underline{L}(X)=\underline{L}(X)^{d e r} \underline{A}$, it remains to prove that $\underline{A} \cap \underline{H}_{C}=\underline{A} \cap H$. First, one has seen $\underline{A} \cap \underline{H} \subset \underline{H}_{C}$. Let $a \in \underline{A} \cap \underline{H}_{C}$. As it fixes $y_{C}$, its projection $a_{C}$ on $\underline{A} /(\underline{A} \cap \underline{H})$ has to be in $\underline{A}_{C}$. But it also has to fix $e_{C}$. From the description of the action of $\underline{A}_{C}$ on the fiber of the normal bundle, it implies that $a_{C}=1$. Hence $a \in \underline{A} \cap \underline{H}$ as wanted. This achieves to prove (v).
3.2 Lemma. (i) Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$. The group $\underline{H}_{C}$ depends only on the set $I(C)$ of elements of $S$ orthogonal to $C$. We denote it $\underline{H}_{I}$ where $I=I(C)$. The set of spherical roots of $X_{C}, S_{C}$, is equal to $I(C)$.
(ii) If $C, C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfy $I(C)=I\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, there is a unique $\underline{G}$-equivariant isomorphism between $\underline{X}_{C}$ and $\underline{X}_{C^{\prime}}$ sending $x_{C}$ to $x_{C^{\prime}}$.
(iii) Let $\underline{\hat{H}}_{C}$ be the stabilizer of $y_{C}$ in $\underline{G}$. There is an exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \underline{H}_{C} \rightarrow$ $\underline{\hat{H}}_{C} \rightarrow \underline{A}_{C} \rightarrow 1$.
(iv) Let $\underline{A}_{I(C)}$ be the connected component of the intersection of the kernels of the roots in $I(C)$. Its Lie algebra is equal to $\mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}=\left\{\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset} \mid \alpha(\underline{x})=0, \alpha \in I(C)\right\}$. The group $\underline{A}_{I(C)}$ acts on the right as a group of automorphisms of $\underline{X}_{C}$ identified with
$\underline{G}_{C} / \underline{H}_{C}$ commuting with the action of $\underline{G}$. This action will be denoted by a dot.
(v) The neutral component $A_{I(C)}^{0}$, of the Lie group $A_{I(C)}$ acts naturally on $X_{C}$ and preserves the $G$-orbits.

Proof. (i) As the dimension of the normal bundle to $Y_{C}$ in $\underline{\bar{X}}$ is equal to the dimension of $\underline{\bar{X}}$, hence of $\underline{X}$, one has $\operatorname{dim} X_{C}=\operatorname{dim} X$ and $\operatorname{dim} \underline{H}_{C}=\operatorname{dim} \underline{H}$. The limit in the Grassmaniann $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{(-\log t) a d e_{C}} \underline{\mathfrak{h}}$ depends only on $I=I(C)$, as $e_{C} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$, (cf. [12], (2.21)) and is denoted $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{I}$.
One will first show that $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{I}$ is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of $\underline{H}_{C}$. The $\underline{G}$-stabilizer of $\gamma(t)=\exp \left((-\log t) e_{C}\right) x_{0}$ is equal to $\underline{H}_{t}=\exp \left((-\log t) e_{C}\right) \underline{H} \exp \left((-\log t) e_{C}\right)^{-1}$. As $\left(\exp \left((-\log t) e_{C}\right) x_{0}\right)$ tends to $y_{C}$ when $t$ tends to zero, this implies that $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{I}$ is contained in the Lie algebra of the stabilizer $\hat{H}_{C}$ of $y_{C}$. Next we consider the path $\gamma(t)$ extended by $\gamma(0)=y_{C}$. One has seen that it has $e_{C}$ as right derivative in 0 . One shows as in [12], proof of Lemma 4.2, that $d / d t(\exp Y \gamma(t))_{\mid t=0}=e_{C}$ for all $Y \in \underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{I}$. This implies as in l.c. that $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{I}$ is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of $\underline{H}_{C}$ For reason of dimension, $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{I}$ is equal to the Lie algebra of $\underline{H}_{C}$. Hence the connected component of $\underline{H}_{C}$ depends only on $I$.
On the other hand, $\underline{P H}_{C}^{0}$ is open in $\underline{G}$ (see for example [27], proof of Proposition 3.2 (1), where it is shown that $\underline{\mathfrak{h}}_{I}+\underline{\mathfrak{p}}=\underline{\mathfrak{g}}$ ) and, by unicity of the open $\left(\underline{P}, \underline{H}_{C}^{0}\right)$ orbit in $\underline{G}$, one has $\underline{P H}_{C}=\underline{P H}_{C}^{0}$ : if $\bar{h} \in \underline{H}_{C}, \underline{P} h \underline{H}_{C}^{0}=\underline{P H}_{C}^{0} h$ is open and equal to $\underline{P H_{C}^{0}}$. From this, one sees that $H_{C}=\left(P \cap H_{C}\right) H_{C}^{0}$. But from Lemma 3.1 (iv), $\underline{P} \cap \underline{H}_{C}=\underline{P} \cap \underline{H}$. This implies the first claim of (i).
From our definition of simple spherical roots, the second statement of (i) can be seen at the level of Lie algebras and follows from [27], Proposition 3.2 (4).
(ii) follows immediately from (i).
(iii) The intersection of $\underline{X}_{C}$ with the fiber at $y_{C}$ of $N_{\underline{V}_{C}}\left(\overline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\right)$ has been seen to contain the orbit of $\left(y_{C}, e_{C}\right)$ under $\underline{A}_{C}$ (cf. Lemma 3.1 (iii)). By LST (cf. (3.8)), this intersection is equal to the $\underline{A}_{C}$-orbit of ( $y_{C}, e_{C}$ ) on which $\underline{A}_{C}$ acts simply transitively (cf. Lemma 3.1 (ii)). This allows us to define a surjective morphism $p: \underline{\hat{H}}_{C} \rightarrow \underline{A}_{C}$ such that for $h \in \underline{\hat{H}}_{C}, h\left(y_{C}, e_{C}\right)=\left(y_{C}, p(h) e_{C}\right)$. One has to show that $\underline{H}_{C}$ is equal to the kernel $\underline{H}_{C}^{\prime}$ of $p$. As in (i) the Lie algebra of $\underline{H}_{C}^{\prime}$ is easily seen to be equal to the Lie algebra of $\underline{H}_{C}$ by using Lemma 4.2 of [12]. Arguing as in (i) again, it remains to show that $\underline{P} \cap \underline{H}_{C}^{\prime}=\underline{P} \cap \underline{H}_{C}$. It is enough to show that $\underline{P} \cap \underline{H}_{C} \subset \underline{P} \cap \underline{H}_{C}^{\prime}$, as the other inclusion is obvious. From Lemma 3.1 (v) and (3.4), one has $\underline{P} \cap \underline{H}_{C} \subset \underline{L}(X)^{d e r}(\underline{A} \cap \underline{H})$. Then one shows that $\underline{P} \cap \underline{H}_{C} \subset \underline{H}_{C}^{\prime}$ by using paths as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 of [12], which are invariant by translation by any element of $\underline{L}(X)^{\operatorname{der}}(\underline{A} \cap \underline{H})$ (cf the proof of Lemma $3.1(\mathrm{v})$ ). This implies that $\underline{H}_{C}^{\prime}=\underline{H}_{C}$ and achieves to prove (iii). (iv) From (i) and (ii), it is enough to prove this for a particular $C$ with $I=I(C)$ given. Let us first show that:

Let $I \subset S$. There exists $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I}$.
One has $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}=\cup_{j} \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--} \cap C_{j}$ where $\left(C_{j}\right)$ is the family of elements of $\mathcal{F}$ of maximal dimension. Hence one of these sets, say $C_{j_{0}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$, has a non empty interior in $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$.

As for all $\check{c} \in \check{C}_{j_{0}}$ and $\alpha \in S, \alpha(\check{c}) \leq 0$, one sees that $C_{j_{0}} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{-}$is the face $C$ of $C_{j_{0}}$ generated by the $\check{c} \in \check{C}_{j_{0}}$ such that $\alpha(\check{c})=0, \alpha \in I$. Hence $C \subset \mathfrak{a}_{I}$ and has a non empty interior in $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$. Hence $\mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ and $\underline{A}_{C}=\underline{A}_{I}$. This proves our claim.
Let us take such a $C$, i.e. $\mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}$.
From (iii), one knows that $\underline{\hat{H}}_{C}$ normalizes $\underline{H}_{C}$, and, with our choice of $C, \underline{A}_{I}$ is the quotient of $\underline{\hat{H}}_{C}$ by $\underline{H}_{C}$. As $\underline{X}_{C}$ is identified with $\underline{\hat{G}} / \underline{H}_{C}$, (iv) follows.
(v) Going to real points in (iv), one sees that $\hat{H}_{I} / H_{I}$ acts on $X_{I}$ identified with $\left(\underline{G} / \underline{H}_{I}\right)(\mathbb{R})$. Although the natural injective map $\hat{H}_{I} / H_{I} \rightarrow A_{I}$ is not necessarily surjective, the image contains $A_{I}^{0}$. Hence $A_{I}^{0}$ acts on $X_{C}$.

### 3.3 Open $P$-orbits, exponential mappings

Let us describe the open $P$-orbits in $X$. One has an action of $A$ on $A_{\emptyset}=(\underline{A} / \underline{A} \cap$ $\underline{H})(\mathbb{R}) \subset X$. Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a set of representatives of the $A$-orbits in $A_{\emptyset}$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a set of representatives of the open $P$-orbits in $X$. We may and will choose for $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ a subset of the subgroup $A_{\emptyset, 2}$ of elements of order 2 of the real torus $A_{\emptyset}$. We choose $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that it contains 1 . In this identification of $A_{\emptyset}$ with a subset of $X$, 1 corresponds to $x_{0}$. If $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$, one has

The map $U(X) \times A / A \cap H \rightarrow P w,(u, a) \mapsto u a w$ is a bijection.
Let $\mathcal{W}:=\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap G / H$, where $G / H$ is viewed as a subset of $X$. From the fourth equality in Lemma 3.1 (v), one has:

The set $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} x_{C}$ is a set of representatives of the open $P$-orbits in $X_{C}$ for any $C \in \mathcal{F}$. If $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $A_{\emptyset, 2}$, we will denote $w x_{C}$ by $w_{C}$.

One has:
The map $U(X) \times A / A \cap H \rightarrow P w_{C},(u, a) \mapsto u a w_{C}$ is bijective.

Let $\mathcal{O}$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ ) be the set of $G$-orbits in $X$ (resp. $X_{C}$ ). For $O \in \mathcal{O}$ or $O \in \mathcal{O}_{C}$, let $\mathcal{W}_{O}=\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \cap O$. We have partitions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}=\bigsqcup_{O \in \mathcal{O}} \mathcal{W}_{O}=\bigsqcup_{O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{C}} \mathcal{W}_{O^{\prime}} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second partition is a refinement of the first one, which follows from [12] Proposition 5.2 . This leads to the definition, for $O \in \mathcal{O}$, of a subset $\mathcal{O}_{C, O}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{W}_{O}=\bigsqcup_{O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{C, O}} \mathcal{W}_{O^{\prime}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G} \subset \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a set of representatives of the $G$-orbits in $X$. We choose $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G}$ in such a way that it contains 1 . We define similarly a set of representatives of the $G$-orbits in $X_{C}, \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, C}$.
If $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$, let $H_{C, w}$ be the stabilizer in $G$ of $w_{C}$.
The stabilizer in $P$ of $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ (resp. $w_{C}$ is equal to $P \cap w H w^{-1}$ (resp. $P \cap w H_{C} w^{-1}$ ). Hence these stabilizers are equal from Lemma 3.1 (v) applied to $w \underline{H} w^{-1}$. Moreover $H_{C, w}=w H_{C} w^{-1}$

We denote by $X_{C, P}$ the union of the open $P$-orbits in $X_{C}$. Let $C, C^{\prime}$ be two elements of $\mathcal{F}$. Then using (3.14), we can define a $P$-equivariant bijective map $\exp _{C}^{C^{\prime}}: X_{C, P} \rightarrow$ $X_{C^{\prime}, P}$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp _{C}^{C^{\prime}}\left(p w_{C}\right)=p w_{C^{\prime}}, p \in P, w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will choose the invariant measure on $X_{C}$ such that it is given on $U(X)(A / A \cap$ $H) w_{C}$ by $a^{-2 \rho} d u d a$ (cf. [24] (4.1)). Hence

The exponential mappings $\exp _{C}^{C^{\prime}}$ are measure preserving
3.3 Remark. These maps allow to identify the set $X_{C, P}$ to $X_{C^{\prime}, P}$ and thus to identify functions on a subset of $X_{C, P}$ to functions on the corresponding subset of $X_{C^{\prime}, P}$.

### 3.4 Special coverings of $X$

For $\varepsilon>0$ and $C \in \mathcal{F}$, let us define the subsets of $A_{C}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon):=\left\{j_{C}^{\prime}\left(\left(z_{\check{\alpha}}\right)\right)\left|z_{\check{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}^{*},\left|z_{\check{\alpha}}\right|<\varepsilon, \check{\alpha} \in \check{C}\right\},\right. \\
A_{C}^{-}:=A_{C}^{-}(1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

One has that $A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon) \subset A_{C, 2} \exp \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-}$, where $A_{C, 2}$ is the subgroup of $A_{C}$ of elements of order 2.
Let $C_{0}$ be an element in $\mathcal{F}$ of maximal dimension containing $C$. Let $C^{c} \in \mathcal{F}$ be the element of $\mathcal{F}$ with $\check{C}^{c}=\check{C}_{0} \backslash \check{C}$. Then the torus $\underline{A}_{C^{c}}$, which might depend on $C_{0}$, satisfies

$$
\underline{A}_{\emptyset}=\underline{A}_{C^{c}} \underline{A}_{C}
$$

and $A_{C^{c}} A_{C}$ is of finite index in $A_{\emptyset}$.
We choose $B^{\prime C} \subset B^{C} \subset B^{\prime \prime C}$ three relatively compact open neighborhoods of 1 in $A_{C^{c}}^{0}$ such that $\mathrm{cl} B^{\prime C} \subset B^{C}, \operatorname{cl} B^{C} \subset B^{\prime \prime C}$. In particular $B^{C} A_{C}^{-}$is open in $A_{\emptyset}$.

Let $V^{\prime} \subset V \subset V^{\prime \prime}$ be three open relatively compact neighborhoods of 1 in $U(X)$ such that $c l V^{\prime} \subset V, c l V \subset V^{\prime \prime}$. For future reference, we will assume:

The sets $V, V^{\prime \prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.B^{C}, B^{\prime \prime} C\right)$ have boundaries in $U(X)\left(\right.$ resp $\left.A_{C^{c}}\right)$ of measure zero.

Let us define the following subsets of $X$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{C, \varepsilon}:=V B^{C} A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_{C, \varepsilon}^{\prime}:=V^{\prime} B^{\prime C} A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon / 2) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_{C, \varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}=V^{\prime \prime} B^{\prime \prime} C A_{C}^{-}(2 \varepsilon) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

These sets are open in $X_{P}$.
Let us study the open $P$-orbits in $Y_{C}$. From LST (cf. (3.8)), it is in bijection with the $A$-orbits in $V_{C}$. Let us assume that $C$ is contained in a maximal element $C_{0}$ of $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\underline{A}_{\emptyset}$ identifies with $\mathbb{C}^{* \check{C}_{0}}, A_{\emptyset}$ with $\mathbb{R}^{* \check{C}_{0}}, \underline{A}_{C}$ with $\mathbb{C}^{\check{C}} \times\{(1, \ldots, 1)\}$, $y_{C}=(0, \ldots, 0)(1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{C}^{C} \times \mathbb{C}^{*} \check{C}_{0} \backslash \check{C}$ and $\underline{V}_{C}=\{(0, \ldots, 0)\} \times \mathbb{C}^{* C_{C} \backslash \check{C}}$. Taking real points, one sees that $V_{C}$ is the $A_{\emptyset}$-orbit of $y_{C}$. By definition, one has $A_{\emptyset}=A \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence the open $P$-orbits of $Y_{C}$ are the $P$-orbits of the $y_{w, C}=w y_{C}, w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$, but two different $w$ might lead to the same orbit.
Let $\underline{x}$ be an element of the relative interior $C^{0}$ of $C$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{C}$. From (3.1), we have $y_{C}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \exp (\underline{t x}) x_{0}$. Recall our identification of $A_{\emptyset}$ with a subset of $X$ (cf. the beginning of the preceding subsection). With this identification, $w \exp (t \underline{x}) x_{0}=$ $\exp (t \underline{x}) w$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{w, C}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \exp (t \underline{x}) w, w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}, \underline{x} \in C^{0} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $\mathcal{W}_{Y_{C}}:=\left\{y_{w, C} \mid w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}\right\}$. From LST, one sees that $G \mathcal{W}_{Y_{C}}=Y_{C}$. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega_{C}:=V B^{C}, \Omega_{C}^{\prime}:=V^{\prime} B^{\prime C}, \Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime}:=V^{\prime \prime} B^{\prime \prime} C \\
U_{C}:=\Omega_{C} \mathcal{W}_{Y_{C}}, U_{C}^{\prime}:=\Omega_{C}^{\prime} \mathcal{W}_{Y_{C}}, U_{C}^{\prime \prime}:=\Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{W}_{Y_{C}} \tag{3.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

The sets $U_{C}, U_{C}^{\prime}, U_{C}^{\prime \prime}$ are open neighborhoods of $\mathcal{W}_{Y_{C}}$ in $Y_{C}$.
Let us show:
The closure of $U_{C, \varepsilon}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{X}$ contains $U_{C}^{\prime}$ and the closure of $U_{C, \varepsilon}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.U_{C, \varepsilon}\right)$ in $X$ is contained in $U_{C, \varepsilon}$ (resp. $U_{C, \varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}$ ).

The first claim follows from (3.23). Let $x$ be in the closure of $U_{C, \varepsilon}^{\prime}$ in $X$. By the relative compactness of $V^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime C}$ and extraction of subsequences, one can assume that $x$ is the limit of a sequence $\left(v_{n} b_{n} a_{n} w\right)$ where $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}},\left(v_{n}\right) \subset V^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.\left(b_{n}\right) \subset B^{C}\right)$ is convergent and has limit in $V\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.B^{C}\right)$ and $\left(a_{n}\right) \subset A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon / 2)$. Hence $\left(a_{n} w\right)$ is convergent in $\bar{A}_{\emptyset} \cap X=A_{\emptyset}$ and has its limit in $A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon) w$, as the closure of $A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon / 2)$ in $A_{\emptyset}$ is contained in $A_{C}^{-}(\varepsilon)$. Our claim on the closure of $U_{C, \varepsilon}^{\prime}$ follows. One proves the claim on the closure of $U_{C, \varepsilon}$ similarly.

If $g \in G$, one defines: $U_{C, g, \varepsilon}:=g U_{C, \varepsilon}$ and similarly $U_{C, g, \varepsilon}^{\prime}, U_{C, g, \varepsilon}^{\prime \prime}, U_{C, g}, U_{C, g}^{\prime}, U_{C, g}^{\prime}$.
The sets $U_{C, g, \varepsilon}^{\prime}$ (resp. $U_{C, g, \varepsilon}$ ) will be said $\varepsilon$-substandard (resp. $\varepsilon$-standard) of type $C$. Similarly the translates of $U_{C}^{\prime}$ (resp. $U_{C}$ ) will be said substandard (resp. standard) of type $C$. One has:

Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}, C}:=\left\{w_{C} \mid w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}\right\}$. The manifold $X_{C}$ has a $G$-invariant measure such that its restriction to $\Omega_{C} A_{C} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}, C}$ is of the form $a_{C}^{-2 \rho} d \omega d a_{C} d w_{C}$ where $d \omega$ is a bounded measure on $\Omega_{C}, d a_{C}$ is a Haar measure on $A_{C}$ and $d w_{C}$ is the counting measure on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}, C}$.
3.4 Lemma. For any choice of the $\varepsilon_{C}>0, C \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a covering of $X$ by a finite family $\varepsilon_{C}$-standard open subsets $U_{c, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}, C \in \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C} \subset G$. Such a covering of $X$ will be called a special covering.
 ward induction on CardC, such that:

The closure in $\bar{X}$ of $\cup_{C \subset C^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}} U_{C^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{C^{\prime}}}^{\prime}$ contains a neighborhood of $Z_{C}$ in $\bar{X}$.
If $C=C_{0}$ is an element of $\mathcal{F}$ of maximal dimension, then $Y_{C_{0}}=Z_{C_{0}}$ is compact and, by using translates, one can find a finite family of relatively compact open neighborhoods of $\mathcal{W}_{Y_{C_{0}}}$ in $Y_{C_{0}}, U_{C_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{0}}=\mathfrak{c}_{0} U_{C_{0}}, \mathfrak{c}_{0} \in \mathfrak{C}_{0} \subset G$, covering $Y_{C_{0}}$. One defines the $\varepsilon_{C_{0}}$-substandard sets $U_{C_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{0}, \varepsilon_{C_{0}}}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{c}_{0} U_{C_{0}, \varepsilon_{C_{0}}}^{\prime}$. By looking to the closure of (]$-\varepsilon_{C}, 0[\cup] 0, \varepsilon_{C}[)^{\check{C}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\check{C}_{0}}$ which contains $B_{C_{0}}\left(\varepsilon_{C_{0}}\right):=(]-\varepsilon_{C_{0}}, \varepsilon_{C_{0}}[)^{\check{C}_{0}}$, one sees that the closure of the $U_{C_{0}, c_{0}, \varepsilon_{C_{0}}}^{\prime}$ contains, with the notation of (3.4), the neighborhood $\mathfrak{c} V j_{C_{0}}^{\prime}\left(B_{C_{0}}\left(\varepsilon_{C_{0}}\right)\right) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $\bar{X}$ of $U_{C_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{0}}^{\prime}$. This achieves to prove that the $U_{C_{0}, \mathfrak{c}_{0}, \varepsilon_{C_{0}}}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}_{0} \in \mathbb{C}_{0}$ satisfy (3.28).
Suppose that the construction has been done for all $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\check{C}$ of cardinal strictly greater than $n$. Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\check{C}$ of cardinal $n$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be an open neighborhood in $\bar{X}$ of the union of the $Z_{C^{\prime}}, C \subset C^{\prime}, C \neq C^{\prime}$ which is contained in the closure in $\bar{X}$ of $\cup_{C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}, C^{\prime} \neq C \subset C, C \subset C^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}} U_{C^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{C^{\prime}}}$ : its existence is given by the induction hypothesis applied to each $C^{\prime}$ with $C \subset C^{\prime}, C^{\prime} \neq C$. Let $F=\left\{x \in Z_{C}, x \notin \mathcal{V}\right\}$, which is compact as $Z_{C}$ is compact and contained in $Y_{C}$. Let $U_{C, c}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}$ be a finite covering of $F$ by substandard subsets of $Y_{C}$.
Let us show that the $U_{C^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{C^{\prime}}}, C \subset C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{C}^{\prime \prime}$ satisfy (3.28). One looks to the closure of $U_{C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}^{\prime}$. Let us show that it contains an open neighborhood of $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{X}$ : it follows from the fact that the closure of (]$-\varepsilon_{C}, 0[\cup] 0, \varepsilon_{C}[)^{\check{C}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{\check{C}}$ contains $B_{C}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right):=(]-\varepsilon_{C}, \varepsilon_{C}[)^{\check{C}}$. Then the closure of $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{X}$ contains the neighborhood $V B^{C} j_{C}^{\prime}\left(B_{C}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)\right) \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in $\bar{X}$ of $U_{C, c}^{\prime}$. Together with the property of $\mathcal{V}$, this implies (3.28).
From (3.28) for $C=\{0\}$, one sees that the closure of $\cup_{C \in \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} U_{C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}^{\prime}$ is a covering of $\bar{X}$.
If $x \in X$, by what we have just proved, $x$ is in the closure of some $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}^{\prime}$. Then by the second part of (3.25), one has:

$$
\cup_{C \in \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}} U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}=X
$$

This achieves to prove the Lemma.

## 4 Plancherel formula and temperedness

### 4.1 Review of the abstract Plancherel formula

Here we borrow part of [12], section 6.3. We denote by $\hat{G}$ the unitary dual of $G$ and pick up for every equivalence class $[\pi]$ a representative $\left(\pi, \mathcal{H}_{\pi}\right)$, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ is a Hilbert space and $\pi: G \rightarrow U\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}\right)$ is an irreducible continuous unitary representation in the equivalence class of $[\pi]$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}$ be the space of $C^{\infty}$-vectors of $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$. Let the notation be as in the previous section. We define

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, C^{\infty}(X)\right), \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty O}=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, C^{\infty}(O)\right), O \in \mathcal{O}
$$

The space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$ is finite dimensional since $X$ is a real spherical: it follows from [28], [31].
Notice that $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty G / H}:=\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, C^{\infty}(G / H)\right)$ is a direct summand of $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$. More precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}=\oplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty O} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$, let us define $m_{v, \eta} \in C^{\infty}(X)$ by:

$$
m_{v, \eta}=\eta(v)
$$

Let $u \mapsto m_{u}$ be the linear map $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X} \rightarrow C^{\infty}(X)$, such that $m_{v \otimes \eta}=m_{v, \eta}$ for $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$. The abstract Plancherel Theorem for the unimodular real spherical space $X$ asserts the following (see [35], [13], or [32, Section 8]) : there exists a Borel measure $\mu$ on $\hat{G}$ and for every $[\pi] \in \hat{G}$ a Hilbert space $\mathcal{M}_{\pi} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$, depending measurably on $[\pi]$ such that the Fourier transform

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{S}: C_{c}^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi), \\
f \mapsto \alpha_{S}(f)=\left(\alpha_{\pi}(f)\right)_{\pi \in \hat{G}},
\end{gathered}
$$

extends to a unitary $G$-isomorphism, $\bar{\alpha}_{S}$ from $L^{2}(X)$ onto $\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi)$, where $\alpha_{\pi}$ is defined as follows: for $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X), \alpha_{\pi}(f) \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ is characterized by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{\pi}(f), v \otimes \eta\right)=\int_{X} f(x) \overline{m_{v, \eta}(x)} d x, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover the measure class of $\mu$ is uniquely determined by $X$ and we call $\mu$ a Plancherel measure for $X$. Unique are also the multiplicity spaces $\mathcal{M}_{\pi} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$, for almost all $\pi$, together with their inner products up to positive scalar factor.
Also one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{L^{2}(X)}^{2}=\int_{\hat{G}}\left\|\alpha_{\pi}(f)\right\|^{2} d \mu(\pi), f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the adjoint of $\alpha_{\pi}, \beta_{\pi}: \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(X)$ and the adjoint of $\overline{\alpha_{S}}$, $\beta_{S}: \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi) \rightarrow L^{2}(X)$. One will also denote $\beta_{S}$ simply by $\beta$. Later we will see that the image of $\beta_{\pi}$ is in a proper functional subspace of $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(X)$. Let us use notation of Lemma 3.2 to define $A_{S}^{0}$ and its right action on $X$ denoted by a dot. For almost all $\pi, A_{S}^{0}$ acts unitarily on $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ in such a way that it is compatible with the normalized action of $A_{S}^{0}$ on $C^{\infty}(X)$ denoted by a dot:

$$
a . f(x):=a^{-\rho} f(a \cdot x), x \in X_{C}, a \in A_{S}^{0} .
$$

Hence:
For $\mu$-almost all $\pi, \alpha_{\pi}$ and $\beta_{\pi}$, as well as $\alpha_{S}$ and $\beta_{S}$, are $A_{S^{-}}^{0}$ maps and the above Plancherel formula for the action of $G$ is also a Plancherel decomposition for the unitary representation of $G \times A_{S}^{0}$ on $L^{2}(X)$.
4.1 Remark. Looking to the Plancherel formula for the $G$-orbits in $X$, one sees that one has an orthogonal decomposition $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}=\oplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}} \mathcal{M}_{\pi}^{O}$, where $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^{O}=\mathcal{M}_{\pi} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty O}$. One deduces the Plancherel decomposition for $O \subset X$ from the one for $X$ by simply replacing $\mathcal{M}_{\pi} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$ by $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^{O}$.

### 4.2 Temperedness

If $(\pi, E)$ is a continuous Banach representation of $G$, with defining norm $p$, there are (see [12] section 6.1) the Sobolev norms $p_{k}$ on the space $E^{\infty}$ of smooth vectors of $E$. We define the Laplace operator $\Delta$ as $-\sum_{i} X_{i}^{2}$ for a suitable basis $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. More precisely we take the basis such that the Laplace element $\Delta$ satisfies

$$
\Delta=-\mathcal{C}_{G}+2 \mathcal{C}_{K}
$$

with $\mathcal{C}_{G}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{K}$ appropriate Casimir elements (unique if $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ are semisimple). For $R \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\Delta_{R}=\Delta+R^{2}$. We define $R_{E}$ as in l.c., Lemma 6.1 and fix $R>R_{E}$. We define for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
{ }^{\Delta} p_{2 k}(v)=p\left(\Delta_{R}^{k} v\right), v \in E^{\infty}
$$

If $E$ is unitary, we can take $R=1$. From l.c. (6.4), there exists a constant $C_{k}$, independent of $\pi$ and $E$, but only on $R_{E}$ and $R$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2 k}(v) \leq C_{k}{ }^{\Delta} p_{2 k+n^{*}}(v), v \in E^{\infty} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $n^{*}=\min \{k \in 2 \mathbb{N} \mid 1+\operatorname{dim} G \leq k\}$.
We have the notions of weight and equivalence of weights on a homogenous space from [4], which extends to space with finitely many orbits like $X$. In particular if $w$ is a weight on the space $X$ with an action of $G$, it is a strictly positive function on $X$ and if $\Omega$ is a compact subset of $G$, there exist $C, C^{\prime}>0$ with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C w(x) \leq w(g x) \leq C^{\prime} w(x), x \in X, g \in \Omega \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has the volume weight $\mathbf{v}$ attached to a ball $B$ (cf. e.g [32], section 4). Its equivalence class does not depend on the ball.
One has the polar decomposition as stated in l.c, section 9.2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\Omega A_{\emptyset}^{-} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a compact subset of $G$ and where $A_{\emptyset}^{-}$denotes here $\left\{\exp X \mid X \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}, \alpha(X) \leq\right.$ $0, \alpha \in S\}$. Let us define $\mathbf{w}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{w}(x):=\sup _{a \in A_{\emptyset}^{-} \text {s.t. } x \in \Omega a A_{\emptyset, 2}}\|\log (a)\|, x \in X, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\emptyset, 2}$ is the subgroup of $A_{\emptyset}$ of elements of square 1 . Then, by l.c., $\mathbf{w}$ is a weight function, at least if $\Omega$ is taken large enough, whose equivalence class does not depend on $\Omega$.
4.2 Remark. In l.c., $A_{\emptyset, 2}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$, but the choice of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is arbitrary. Our definition of $\mathbf{w}$ implies that it does not depend on the choice of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

From [32], Lemma 9.4, one has for $N>\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{X}(1+\mathbf{w}(x))^{-N} \mathbf{v}(x)^{-1} d x<+\infty \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following is borrowed from [12], section 6.4. The following norms on $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ are attached to a parameter $N \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
q_{N}(f) & :=\sup _{x \in X}|f(x)| \mathbf{v}(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(1+\mathbf{w}(x))^{N}, \\
p_{N}(f) & :=\left(\int_{X}|f(x)|^{2}(1+\mathbf{w}(x))^{N} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For $N>0$, let $C_{\text {temp,N }}^{\infty}(X)$ be the space of elements of $C^{\infty}(X)$ for which the seminorms $p_{-N, k}$, or equivalently $q_{-N, k}$ are finite for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as these two families define the same topology (cf. [32], Lemma 9.5). The elements of this space are said $N$ tempered. Specifically, for $k>\operatorname{dim} G / 2$, we recall the inequality (cf. [12], (6.10)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{N}(f) \leq C p_{N, k}(f), f \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a constant $C$ only depending on $k$ and $N$ (see [32] Lemma 9.5 and its proof). We denote by $L_{N, k}^{2}(X)$ the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ with respect to $p_{N, k}$. The group $G$ acts continuouly on $L_{-N}^{2}(X)$. Using the Sobolev norms of $p_{-N}$, one gets:

The group $G$ acts continuouly on $L_{-N}^{2}(X)$ and for $N>0, C_{\text {temp,N }}^{\infty}(X)=$ $L_{-N}^{2}(X)^{\infty}$

We wish to define $L_{N, k}^{2}(X)$ and $p_{N, k}$ as well for $k \in-\mathbb{N}$, and we do that by duality. Given the invariant measure on $X$, the dual of $L_{N}^{2}(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to $L_{-N}^{2}(X)^{\prime}$ via the equivariant bilinear pairing

$$
L_{N}^{2}(X) \times L_{-N}^{2}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C},(f, g) \mapsto \int_{X} f(x) g(x) d z
$$

This leads to the definition

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X):=L_{N, k}^{2}(X)^{\prime} \quad(k \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equipped with the dual norm $p_{-N,-k}$ to $p_{N, k}$, namely:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{-N,-k}(f):=\sup _{\substack{\phi \in L_{N, k}^{2}(X) \\ p_{N, k}(\phi) \leq 1}}\left|\int_{X} f(x) \phi(x) d x\right| . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following slight generalization of Lemma 6.6 of [12], whose proof extends easily since the proof of Lemma 6.2 of l.c. applies to finite multiples of unitary irreducible representations.
4.3 Lemma. Let $\left(\pi, \mathcal{H}_{\pi}\right)$ be a continuous unitary irreducible representation of $G$. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X}$ be a finite dimensional space of $N$-tempered morphisms, i.e. which are continuous $G$-equivariant maps from $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}$ to $C_{N, \text { temp }}^{\infty}(X)$. In particular, for all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}, p_{-N}\left(m_{v, \eta}\right)<\infty$. Then for each $2 k^{\prime}>n^{*} \stackrel{N}{=} \min \{p \in 2 \mathbb{N} \mid 1+\operatorname{dim} G \leq p\}$, there exists a constant $C=C_{k^{\prime}}>0$, independent of $\pi$ and $\mathcal{M}$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{-N}\left(m_{u}\right) \leq C p_{-N,-2 k^{\prime}+n^{*}}\left(m_{\Delta_{1}^{k^{\prime}} u}\right), u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{M} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{X}:=2 \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}+1, k_{X}:=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all integers $N \geq N_{X} k>k_{X}$, it follows from [32], Proposition 9.6 combined with [4], Theorem 1.5 that:
for $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$, the $\pi$-Fourier transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\pi}: C_{c}^{\infty}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

extends continuously to a map $\alpha_{\pi, N, k}: L_{N, k}^{2}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$.
Moreover the corresponding inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{N, k}^{2}(X) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is Hilbert-Schmidt (in the sequel HS for short).
We will also consider the adjoint of $\alpha_{\pi, N, k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{\pi, N, k}: \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \rightarrow L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fact that (4.18) is HS then translates into the a priori bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\hat{G}}\left\|\alpha_{\pi, N, k}\right\|_{H S}^{2} d \mu(\pi)<\infty \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\beta_{\pi N, k}\right\|=\left\|\alpha_{\pi N, k}\right\| \leq\left\|\alpha_{\pi N, k}\right\|_{H S} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel $N$ will denote an integer greater or equal to $N_{X}$. We will
take $k=2 \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}$ and we will write $\alpha_{\pi}, \beta_{\pi}$ instead of $\alpha_{\pi, N, k}, \beta_{\pi, N, k}$.
Then:
For $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$, for all $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{-N,-k}\left(\beta_{\pi}(u)\right) \leq\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\|\|u\|=\left\|\alpha_{\pi}\right\|\|u\| . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [4], before Lemma 3.1, one will deduce:
For $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and all $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}, m_{u}$ is in $L_{-N}^{2}(X)^{\infty}=$
$C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)$ (cf. (4.12)) and the map $u \mapsto m_{u}$ is continuous from $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes$
$\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ to $C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)$. In particular, all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ are $N$-tempered.
The map $\beta_{\pi}$ is a continuous intertwining operator between $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ and $L_{-N}^{2}(X)$. Our claim follows by going to $C^{\infty}$-vectors as, from the definition of $\alpha_{\pi}(\operatorname{cf}(4.2))$, one has $\beta_{\pi}(u)=m_{u}$ for $u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$.
Thus one can apply Lemma 4.3 to the $m_{u}, u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$. One deduces from Lemma 4.3, where we take $k^{\prime}$ such that $2 k^{\prime}=n^{*}+k$ (recall that $k=2 \operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}$ and $n^{*}$ is even), and from (4.22) that:

There exists $C>0$ and $k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{-N}\left(\beta_{\pi}(u)\right) \leq C\left\|\alpha_{\pi}\right\|\left\|\Delta_{1}^{k^{\prime}} u\right\|, u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5 Constant term

### 5.1 First properties of the constant term

Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$. We have similar definitions and results for $X_{C}$ like in the preceding section for $X$.
Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$. Recall (cf. [11], section 4.2) that $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$ is the space of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-finite elements of $C_{\text {temp,N }}^{\infty}(X)$. This space
is equipped with the topology given by the seminorms of $C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}(X)$ (resp. $\left.C_{\text {temp }}^{\infty}(X)\right)$ be the union of the $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)\right), N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. We have similar definitions for $X_{C}$. Recall the following characteristic property of the constant term.
5.1 Theorem. (cf. [11], Theorem 6.9). Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$.
(i) Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}(X)$. Then there exists a unique element $f_{C}$ of $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(X_{C}\right)$ such that for all $\underline{x}$ in the relative interior $C^{0}$ of $C$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{C}$ and $w \in A_{\emptyset, 2}$ one has:

$$
\left.\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t \rho(\underline{x})}(f(g \exp (t \underline{x}) w))-f_{C}\left(g \exp (t \underline{x}) w_{C}\right)\right)=0, g \in G .
$$

(ii) If $f$ is supported on $O \in \mathcal{O}, f_{C}$ is supported on $\cup_{O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{C, O}} O^{\prime}$.
(iii) The map $f \mapsto f_{C}$ is $G$ and $A_{C}^{0}$-equivariant.

Proof. One has to define $f_{C}$ on each $G$-orbit of $X_{C}$. When this orbit is $G / H_{C}$ identified with $G / H_{I}$, one defines $f_{C}$ on $G / H_{C}$ as the map $\left(f_{\mid G / H}\right)_{I}$ of l.c.. It has the right property for $w \in \mathcal{W}$. From l.c., Theorem 6.9 , one can change $\mathcal{W}$ without changing $f_{C}$. Hence it has the right property for $w \in A_{\emptyset, 2} \cap G / H$. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, C}$. To define $f_{C}$ on $G w_{C}$, one proceeds as above by replacing $G / H$ by $G w \subset X, H$ by $w H w^{-1}$ and $H_{C}$ by $w H_{C} w^{-1}$. Then $f_{C}$ satisfies the asymptotic property of (i). Uniqueness follows from the properties of exponential polynomials.
(ii) is immediate from the definitions.
(iii) follows from the uniqueness property in (i).
5.2 Remark. In view of the theorem, as far as the constant term is concerned, one can change the base point by any element of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $A_{\emptyset, 2}$. These will be the only changes of base point that we will do. Although this is not needed, in section 12, we will prove that the constant term map does not depend on any choice. The proof was suggested a long time ago by Y. Sakellaridis.

### 5.2 Uniform estimates and the main inequality

Let $W$ be the Weyl group for the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ or $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} / W$, let $\chi_{\lambda}$ be the character of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ corresponding to $\lambda$ via the Harish-Chandra homomorphism which identifies $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ with the polynomial algebra $S(\mathfrak{a})^{W}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X, \lambda)$ be the space of elements $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$ such that:

$$
L_{u} f=\chi_{\lambda}(u) f, u \in Z(\mathfrak{g}) .
$$

One will say that $f$ is $\lambda$-eigen for $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ or $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-eigen for the eigenvalue (of parameter) $\lambda$. Let $I$ be a subset of $S$. We denote by $N_{I}$ the integer $N_{1}$, which depends on $I$, defined in [11], Theorem 8.10. In view of l.c., Theorem 8.10, and the definition of $f_{C}$ in the proof of Theorem 5.1, one has
5.3 Theorem. (Constant term's uniform approximation)
(i) Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, C \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a compact subset of $C^{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{S}$ and $\Omega$ be a compact subset of $G$. Then there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a continuous seminorm $p$ on $C_{\text {temp,N }}^{\infty}(X)$ such that, for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} / W, \underline{x} \in \mathcal{C}, f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp,N}}(X, \lambda)$ and $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a_{\emptyset} \exp (t \underline{x})\right)^{-\rho}\left|f\left(g a_{\emptyset} \exp (t \underline{x}) w\right)-f_{C}\left(g a_{\emptyset} \exp (t \underline{x}) w_{C}\right)\right| \leq e^{-\varepsilon t}\left(1+\left\|\log a_{\emptyset}\right\|\right)^{N} p(f), \\
a_{\emptyset} \in A_{\emptyset}^{-}, \underline{x} \in \mathcal{C}, g \in \Omega, t \geq 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) Let $I=I(C)$. Let $q$ be a continuous seminorm on $C_{t e m p, N+N_{I}}^{\infty}\left(X_{C}\right)$. Then there exists a continuous seminorm $p$ on $C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)$ such that:

$$
q\left(f_{C}\right) \leq p(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X, \lambda), \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} / W
$$

One is also interested in the decomposition of $f_{C}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{I^{-}}$-generalized eigenvectors which exists by definition of $f_{C}$ or $f_{I}$ (cf. [11], (6.1)). From [11], (8.7), the possible eigenvalues are limited and the norm of the spectral projections are bounded by a polynomial in $\|\lambda\|$ (cf. [11], Proposition 8.6).
We need a Lemma:
5.4 Lemma. There exist some elements $z_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$, and $N_{0} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ such that

$$
p(\lambda):=\sum_{i=1, \ldots, n}\left|z_{i}(\lambda)\right| \approx\|\lambda\|^{N_{0}}, \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} .
$$

Proof. Let $u_{1}, \ldots u_{n}$ be algebraically independent generators of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ identified with $S(\mathfrak{a})^{W}$. We can choose them homogeneous. Take for $z_{i}$ a suitable power of $u_{i}$ in such a way that these powers are of the same degree $N_{0}$. Then

$$
p(\lambda)=\|\lambda\|^{N_{0}} p(\lambda /\|\lambda\|)
$$

But the continuous function $p$ does not vanish on the unit sphere, as its only zero is for $\lambda=0$.
Our claim follows.

Together with the preceding remarks, Theorem 5.3 implies:
5.5 Corollary. Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} / W$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X, \lambda)$. With the notation of Theorem 5.3, if $f_{C}=\sum_{\nu} f_{C, \nu}$ is the decomposition of $f_{C}$ in generalized $A_{I^{-}}$ eigenvectors, one has $f_{C, \nu} \in C_{\text {temp,N+N}}^{\infty}\left(X_{C}\right)$ and, if $q$ is a continuous seminorm on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N+N_{I}}\left(X_{C}\right)$, there exists a continuous seminorm $p^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{N, \text { temp }}(X)$, independent on $\lambda, f$ and $\nu$, such that:

$$
q\left(f_{C, \nu}\right) \leq p^{\prime}(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X, \lambda), \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} / W
$$

### 5.3 Main inequality

Let $C, D \in \mathcal{F}$ with $D \subset C$. If $a \in A_{C}$ we write $a_{C}=a_{C, 0} \exp \left(\log a_{C}\right)$ with $\log a_{C} \in \mathfrak{a}_{C}, a_{C, 0} \in A_{C, 2}$ where $A_{C, 2}$ is the group of elements of $A_{C}$ whose squares are equal to 1 . Let $(C, D)$ be the closed cone generated by $\check{C} \backslash \check{D}$ and let us write $A_{C, D}$, (resp. $\left.\mathfrak{a}_{C, D}\right)$ instead of $A_{(C, D)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathfrak{a}_{(C, D)}\right)$. One has $\mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{D} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{C, D}$. If $a_{C} \in A_{C}, \log a_{C, D}$ will denote the projection of $\log a_{C}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{C, D}$ parallel to $\mathfrak{a}_{D}$. From Lemma 3.2(v), there is a canonical action of $A_{C}^{0}$ and even of $A_{I(C)}^{0}$ on $X_{C}$. We recall the definition of the normalized right action of $A_{I(C)}^{0}$ on $C^{\infty}\left(X_{C}\right)$ denoted by a dot

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a. } f(x):=a^{-\rho} f(a . x), x \in X_{C}, a \in A_{I(C)}^{0} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

5.6 Theorem. (Main inequality). Let $C, D \in \mathcal{F}$ with $D \subset C$. Let $V$ be a relatively compact open neighborhood of 1 in $U(X)$ and $B^{C} \subset A_{C^{c}}^{0}$ be as in (3.20). Then
(i) Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $g \in G$. For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}\left(X_{D}\right)$, the equality:
$f^{C, D}\left(g v a^{C} a_{C} w\right):=\sum_{C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset C^{\prime} \subset C}(-1)^{\text {Card } D-C a r d C^{\prime}} f_{C^{\prime}}\left(g v a^{C} a_{C} w_{C^{\prime}}\right), v \in V, a_{C} \in A_{C}, a^{C} \in B^{C}$
defines a map $f^{C, D}$ on an open neighborhood of gw, namely $g V A_{C} B^{C} w$.
(ii) Let $F$ be a finite subset of $G$. There exists a continuous seminorm $q$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp,N }}\left(X_{D}\right)$ and $\alpha>0$ such that, for all $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}, g \in F, f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}\left(X_{D}\right)$, $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-eigen, which transforms under a unitary character of $A_{D}^{0}$ by the normalized right action of $A_{D}^{0}$, one has:

$$
\left|f^{C, D}\left(g v a^{C} a_{C} w\right)\right| \leq a_{C}^{\rho} e^{-\alpha\left\|\log a_{C, D}\right\|} q(f), v \in V, a^{C} \in B^{C}, a_{C} \in A_{C}^{-}(D)
$$

where $A_{C}^{-}(D)=\left\{a_{C} \in A_{C} \mid \log a_{C, D} \in(C, D)\right\}$.
Proof. (i) By changing $f$ in $L_{g^{-1}} f$, one is reduced to prove (i) for $g=1$. In that case it follows from the bijectivity of exponential mappings (cf (3.18)).
(ii) As the semi norm $f \mapsto q\left(L_{g^{-1}} f\right)$ is bounded by a multiple (depending on $g$ ) of $q$, it is enough to prove the claim for $F$ reduced to $\{1\}$ that we assume now. First if $C=D$, as $f$ transforms by the normalized right action of $A_{D}^{0}=A_{C}^{0}$, one has

$$
\left|f\left(v a_{C} a^{C} w\right)\right| \leq a_{C}^{\rho} S u p_{a_{0} \in A_{C, 2}, a^{C} \in B^{C}}\left|f\left(a_{0} a^{C} w\right)\right|, v \in V, a^{C} \in B^{C}, a_{C} \in A_{C}^{-}(D)
$$

Our claim follows as $f$ is bounded by a seminorm $q_{-N}$ for $X_{D}$ and as $B^{C}$ and $A_{C, 2}$ are bounded.
Let us assume from now on that $D \neq C$. One denotes by $U$ the intersection of the unit sphere of $\mathfrak{a}_{C, D}$ with the closed cone $(C, D)$. Let $\underline{x}_{0} \in U$ and $\check{I}_{0}=\{\check{\alpha} \in$ $\left.\check{C} \backslash \check{D} \mid\left(\underline{x}_{0}\right)_{\check{\alpha}}>0\right\}$. The set $\check{I}_{0}$ is not empty as $\underline{x}_{0}$ is non zero and $\check{C} \backslash \check{D}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{a}_{C, D}$. Let $\check{J}_{0}$ be the complementary set of $\check{I}_{0}$ in $\check{C}$. Let $V\left(\underline{x}_{0}\right)$ be the set $\left\{\underline{x} \in U \mid \underline{x}_{\check{\alpha}} \geq\left(\underline{x}_{0}\right)_{\check{\alpha}} / 2, \alpha \in \check{I}_{0}\right\}$ which is a compact neighborhood of $\underline{x}_{0}$ in $U$.
We will use the fact that for any finite non empty set $E$, one has:

$$
\sum_{A \subset E}(-1)^{\operatorname{CardA}}=0
$$

As $\check{I}_{0}$ is not empty, for $K \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $D \subset K \subset C$ and $\check{I}_{0} \subset \check{K}$ one has:
$\sum_{L \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset L \subset K, \check{L} \cap \check{J}_{0}=\check{K} \cap \check{J}_{0}}(-1)^{\operatorname{Card} \check{D}-\operatorname{CardL\check {L}} f_{K}\left(v a^{C} a_{C} w_{K}\right)=0, v \in V, a^{C} \in B^{C}, a_{C} \in A_{C}^{-}(D) . . . . ~ . ~ . ~}$
Let $C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $D \subset C^{\prime} \subset C$. There exists a unique pair $(K, L)$ such that $L=C^{\prime}$ and $L \subset K \subset C$ with $\check{K}$ containing $\check{I}_{0}$ and $\check{K} \cap \check{J}_{0}=\check{L} \cap \check{J}_{0}$ (in fact $\check{K}=\check{L} \cup \check{I}_{0}$ ). Thus the sum over $C^{\prime}$ in the definition of $f_{C, D}$ can be replaced by the sum over such $K, L$ and we can substract the zero sums of the previous equality to get:

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{C, D}\left(v a^{C} a_{C} w\right)=\sum_{K \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset K \subset C, \check{I}_{0} \subset \check{K}} \sum_{L \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset L \subset K, \check{L} \cap \check{J}_{0}=\check{K} \cap \check{J}_{0}}(-1)^{C a r d \check{D}-\operatorname{Card} \check{L}}\left(f_{L}\left(v a^{C} a_{C} w_{L}\right)-f_{K}\left(v a^{C} a_{C} w_{K}\right)\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

One has $a_{C}=a_{C, 0} \exp \underline{z} \exp \left(\log a_{C, D}\right)$, where $\underline{z} \in \mathfrak{a}_{D}$. As $f_{L}$ and $f_{K}$ transform by the same unitary character of $A_{D}^{0}$ for the normalized action of $A_{D}^{0}$, one has:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|a_{C}^{-\rho}\left(f_{L}\left(v a^{C} a_{C} w_{L}\right)-f_{K}\left(v a^{C} a_{C} w_{K}\right)\right)\right|= \\
\left|e^{-\rho\left(\log a_{C, D}\right)}\left(f_{L}\left(v a^{C} a_{C, 0} \exp \left(\log a_{C, D}\right) w_{L}\right)-f_{K}\left(v a^{C} a_{C, 0} \exp \left(\log a_{C, D}\right) w_{K}\right)\right)\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

One wants to use Theorem 5.3 for $X_{L}$ to get a bound for

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{K, L}\left(v, a^{C}, a_{C, 2}, \underline{x}, t\right):=e^{-t \rho(\underline{x})}\left|f_{L}\left(v a^{C} a_{C, 2} \exp (t \underline{x}) w_{L}\right)-f_{K}\left(v a^{C} a_{C, 0} \exp (t \underline{x}) w_{K}\right)\right|, \\
v \in V, a^{C} \in B^{C}, a_{C, 0} \in A_{C, 2}, \underline{x} \in V\left(\underline{x}_{0}\right) \subset \mathfrak{a}_{C, D}, t>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $S_{L} \subset S$ be the set of simple spherical roots for $X_{L}$. One has $S_{L}=I(L)$ (cf. Lemma $3.2(\mathrm{i})$ ), and $\mathfrak{a}_{I(L)}$ is the orthogonal of $I(L)$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$. Hence $I(L)$ is the set of roots in $S$ orthogonal to $L$. This implies:

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{L} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{S_{L}}
$$

As $\check{I}_{0} \subset \check{K}$, one has:

$$
\underline{x}_{0}=\sum_{\check{\alpha} \in \tilde{I}_{0}} x_{0, \check{\alpha}} \check{\alpha} \in K,
$$

with the coefficients $x_{0, \check{\alpha}}$ strictly positive. Let $\underline{y}=\sum_{\check{\alpha} \in \check{J}} \cap \check{L} y_{\check{\alpha}} \check{\alpha}$ with coefficients strictly positive. Then $\underline{y} \in L \subset \mathfrak{a}_{S_{L}}$. Moreover, as $\breve{J}_{0} \cap \check{L}=\check{J}_{0} \cap \check{K}$ and $\check{K}=$ $\left(\check{J}_{0} \cap \check{K}\right) \cup \check{I}_{0}$, one has $\underline{x}_{0}+\underline{y} \in K^{0}$. Altogether we have shown:

$$
\underline{x}_{0} \in K^{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{S_{L}} .
$$

We have the notion of $A_{\emptyset}^{-}$for $X_{L}$, denoted by $A_{\emptyset, L}^{-}$.
One takes, in Theorem 5.3, $\Omega=(c l V)\left(c l B^{C}\right) A_{C, 2}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{K} \subset K^{0}+\mathfrak{a}_{S_{L}}$ equal to the
set $\left\{\underline{x}_{0} / 2\right\}$. We set $\underline{y}=\underline{x}-\underline{x}_{0} / 2$ which is easily seen to be in $C \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-}$. Let $a_{\emptyset}=\exp \underline{y}$ which is in $A_{\emptyset}^{-} \subset \overline{A_{\emptyset, L}^{-}}$(there are less roots for $X_{L}$ ). Then

$$
\exp t \underline{x}=(\exp t \underline{y})\left(\exp t \underline{x}_{0} / 2\right)
$$

Hence Theorem 5.3 applies to each $f_{L}$, which is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-eigen like $f$ (cf. Theorem 5.1 (iii) ). But $f_{L} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N+N_{L}}\left(X_{L}\right)$ where $N_{L}$ has been defined before Theorem 5.3. From Theorem 5.3 (i), one gets, changing $N$ by $N+N_{L}$, a continuous seminorm $p_{L}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N+N_{L}}\left(X_{L}\right)$ and $\varepsilon>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta_{K, L}\left(v, a^{C}, a_{0, C}, \underline{x}, t\right) \leq e^{-\varepsilon t}(1+t\|\underline{y}\|)^{N+N_{L}} p_{L}\left(f_{L}\right) \\
v \in V, a^{C} \in B^{C}, a_{C, 0} \in A_{C, 2}, \underline{x} \in V\left(\underline{x}_{0}\right) \subset \mathfrak{a}_{C, D}, t>0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then using $\underline{y}=\underline{x}-x_{0} / 2$, hence $\|y\| \leq 2$, the right hand side of the previous inequality is bounded by a constant times $e^{-\varepsilon^{\prime} t} p_{L}\left(f_{L}\right)$ for $0<\varepsilon^{\prime}<\varepsilon$.
We get, from Theorem 5.3 (ii), a continuous seminorm $q$ on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}\left(X_{D}\right)$ such $p_{L}\left(f_{L}\right) \leq q(f)$. Using that $e^{-t}=e^{-t\|\underline{x}\|}$, that a finite number of $V\left(\underline{x}_{0}\right)$ covers $U$ and summing the bounds for all pairs $(K, L)$ as in (5.2), we get (ii).

### 5.4 Invariant differential operators

Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{C}\right)$ be the algebra of $G$-invariant differential operators on $X_{C}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}\left(X_{C}\right)=\oplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}_{C}} \mathbb{D}(O) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{D}(O)$ is the algebra of $G$-invariant differential operators on the $G$-orbit $O$ in $X_{C}$. Notice that, from Lemma 3.2 (i), $X_{C}$ depends only on $I=S \cap C^{\perp}$. Hence we will replace the lower index $C$ by the lower index $I$.
We will first be interested to $O=G / H_{I}$. The other orbits are treated similarly by a change of base point. Let $U_{H_{I}}(\mathfrak{g}):=\left\{u \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \mid A d(h) u-u \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{h}_{I}, h \in H_{I}\right\}$. From [11], Lemma C.1, the right action induces a natural isomorphism

$$
\mathbb{D}\left(G / H_{I}\right) \simeq U_{H_{I}}(\mathfrak{g}) / U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{h}_{I} .
$$

As $H_{I}$ is Zariski dense in $\underline{H}_{I}$ (cf. [7], Corollary V.18.3), $\mathbb{D}\left(G / H_{I}\right)$ identifies with the algebra $\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right)$ of $\underline{G}$-invariant differential operators on $\underline{X}_{I}:=\underline{G}_{I} / \underline{H}_{I}$.
Let $\mathfrak{b}:=\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{u}$, where $\mathfrak{u}$ is the Lie algebra of $U(X)$, and $\mathfrak{b}_{H}:=\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}$. Let $I$ be as above. Let $U_{I}(\mathfrak{b})=\left\{u \in U(\mathfrak{b}) \mid A d(h) u-u \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \mathfrak{h}_{I}, h \in \underline{H}_{I}\right\}$. From [11], (C.8), there is an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{I}: U_{I}(\mathfrak{b}) / U(\mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{b}_{H}\left(\subset U(\mathfrak{b}) / U(\mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{b}_{H}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

given by the right regular action $u \mapsto R_{u}$. In the sequel, we identify $\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right)$ to this subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b}) / U(\mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{b}_{H}$. The later space is a module over $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ for the adjoint action. Then, from Theorem C. 5 of [11], one has:
(i) Let $I$ be as above. For any $u \in \mathbb{D}(\underline{X})$ and $\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$, the limit $\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u):=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{t a d \underline{x}} u$ exists and is independent on the choice of $\underline{x} \in$ $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$. Hence $\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u)$ is of weight 0 under $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$. It defines an injective algebra morphism $\mu_{I}^{\prime}: \mathbb{D}(\underline{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right)$.
(ii) For any nonzero $u \in \mathbb{D}(\underline{X})$, the $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$-weights of $\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u)$ and $u$ are less or equal to zero on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-}$and the $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$-weights of $\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u)-u$ are strictly negative on $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$. Moreover, $\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u)$ is the projection of $u$ on the zero $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-weight space.

As a corollary, one sees that $\mathfrak{a}_{S}$ commutes to $u \in \mathbb{D}(\underline{X})$ : as $\mathfrak{a}_{S} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{\emptyset}}^{-}$, (5.5), for $I=S$, implies that the $\mathfrak{a}_{S}$-weights of $u$ are zero. More generally:

The space $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ is contained in the center of $\mathbb{D}(O), O \in \mathcal{O}_{C}$.
Notice that $\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{\emptyset}\right)$ identifies with $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)$ (cf. [11], Lemma 5.3, taking into account that $G$ is split).
Let us show that:
If $z \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $z^{\prime}$ denotes its image in $\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{\emptyset}\right)$, then $\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}\left(z^{\prime}\right)$ is the restriction to $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ of $\mu^{\prime}(z)$ where $\mu^{\prime}: Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{a})$ is the untwisted HarishChandra homomorphism with respect to $\mathfrak{n}^{-}$, where $\underline{N}^{-}$is the unipotent radical of the opposite Borel to $\underline{P}$.

For this, we look to the action of $z^{\prime}$ on $f \in C^{\infty}\left(\underline{X}_{\emptyset}\right)$. The action of $z^{\prime}$ on $f$ is given by $R_{z}$. As $\underline{H}_{\emptyset}=(\underline{L} \cap \underline{H}) \underline{U}^{-}$, the group $\underline{N}^{-}$is contained in $\underline{H}_{\emptyset}$ and $f$ is right $\underline{N}^{-}$-invariant invariant. Hence the action of $z^{\prime}$ is given by the right action of $\mu^{\prime}(z)$. Our claim follows.
The following theorem owes a lot to section 9, essentially due to R. Beuzart-Plessis.
5.7 Theorem. Let $t_{\rho}$ be the automorphism of $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)$ given by the translation of polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ by $\rho$. Then the image of $\mathbb{D}(\underline{X})$ by $\mu_{\emptyset}=t_{\rho} \circ \mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}$ is $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)^{W_{X}}$, where $W_{X}$ is the finite reflection group on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ generated by the reflections with respect to the $\alpha$ 's in $S$. Moreover, $W_{X}$ is a subgroup of $\left\{\mathfrak{w} \in W \mid \mathfrak{w}\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)=\right.$ $\left.\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right\} /\left\{\mathfrak{w} \in W \mid \mathfrak{w}(\underline{x})=\underline{x}, \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right\}$.

Proof. By the comparison in section 9 of $\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}$ with the Harish-Chandra homomorphism of Knop (cf. [21], Theorem 6.5), one sees that the image of $\mu_{\emptyset}$ is the algebra of invariant elements of $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)$ under the group that we will denote $W_{X}^{\prime}$, denoted by $W_{X}$ in l.c. and defined in [19], p.13. As our space $X$ is unimodular, it is quasi-affine (cf. [12], Lemma 12.7), hence also nondegenerate in the sense of [22], Lemma 3.1. Hence from l.c. Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 7.4, $W_{X}^{\prime}$ is the group generated by the reflections along the walls of the valuation cone $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ of central valuations. Then
from [33], Theorem 11.1 and [23], Theorem 9.5, one gets our first claim. The second claim follows from [21], before Theorem 6.5.
5.8 Lemma. Let $u$ be in $\mathbb{D}(X):=\mathbb{D}\left(X_{S}\right)$. There exist $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$ in $U(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

$$
|u f(x)| \leq \sum_{i}\left|L_{u_{i}} f(x)\right|, x \in X, f \in C^{\infty}(X)
$$

Proof. It is enough to prove this for $u \in \mathbb{D}(G / H)=\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{S}\right)$. The other orbits are treated by a change of base point. Recall (cf. [25], Lemma 4.1) the polar decomposition $G=F K A_{\emptyset}{ }^{-} F^{\prime} H$, where $F, F^{\prime}$ are finite and $P f^{\prime} H$ is open for all $f^{\prime} \in F^{\prime}$. Let us denote $F K$ by $K^{\prime}$, which is compact. Let us prove our claim first for $x=k^{\prime} a \in K^{\prime} A_{\emptyset}^{-} H$. We use the notation of (5.4). Express the action of $u$ as the right action of $v:=p_{S}^{-1}(u)$. Then

$$
\left(R_{v} f\right)\left(k^{\prime} a\right)=\left[R_{v}\left(L_{k^{\prime}-1} f\right)\right](a)
$$

Let us decompose $v$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$-weights, $v=\sum_{\lambda} v_{\lambda}$. From (5.5), the weights occuring satisfy $a^{\lambda} \leq 1, a \in A_{\emptyset}^{-}$. Let $\bar{v}_{\lambda}$ be a representative in $U(\mathfrak{b})$ of $v_{\lambda}$ with the same weight. Let $x \mapsto x^{t}$ be the principal antiautomorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. As for $u \in U(\mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{b}_{H}$ and $h \in C^{\infty}(X)$, one has:

$$
\left(L_{u} h\right)(a)=0, a \in A_{\emptyset}
$$

one deduces:

$$
R_{v} f\left(k^{\prime} a\right)=\sum_{\lambda} a^{\lambda}\left[L_{\overline{\bar{\lambda}_{\lambda}}}\left(L_{k^{\prime-1}} f\right)\right](a)
$$

Hence:

$$
R_{v} f\left(k^{\prime} a\right)=\sum_{\lambda} a^{\lambda}\left[L_{k^{\prime-1}} L_{A d\left(k^{\prime}\right) \bar{v}_{\lambda}} f\right](a)
$$

There exist $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{j} \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $c_{\lambda, 1}, \ldots c_{\lambda, j}$, bounded functions on $K^{\prime}$ such that:

$$
\operatorname{Ad}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \bar{v}_{\lambda}=c_{\lambda, 1}\left(k^{\prime}\right) v_{1}+\cdots+c_{\lambda, j}\left(k^{\prime}\right) v_{j}
$$

Hence

$$
R_{v} f\left(k^{\prime} a\right)=\sum_{\lambda, i} a^{\lambda} c_{\lambda, i}\left(k^{\prime}\right) L_{v_{i}} f\left(k^{\prime} a\right)
$$

As $a^{\lambda} \leq 1$, our claim follows. For general $x=k^{\prime} a f^{\prime}$, we use what we has just proved, replacing $H$ by $f^{\prime} H f^{\prime}-1$.
5.9 Proposition. (i) The algebra $\mathbb{D}(X)$ acts continuously on the space $L^{2}(X)^{\infty}$ of $C^{\infty}$-vectors of $L^{2}(X)$.
(ii) The algebra $\mathbb{D}(X)$ acts continuously on $C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the previous lemma.
5.10 Proposition. If $u \in \mathbb{D}(X)$, let $u^{*} \in \mathbb{D}(X)$ be its formal adjoint.
(i) If $u \in \mathbb{D}(X)$ satisfies $u=u^{*}$ then $u$ is essentially self-adjoint with operator core
$L^{2}(X)^{\infty}$. The domain of its closure $D_{u}$ is $\mathcal{D}_{u}:=\left\{f \in L^{2}(X) \mid u f \in L^{2}(X)\right\}$.
(ii) Such elements have mutually commuting spectral decompositions. In particular if $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ are self-adjoint operators of $L^{2}(X)$ corresponding as in (i) to elements of the algebra $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ of self-adjoint elements of $\mathbb{D}(X)$, the 1-parameter groups $U_{1}(t), \ldots U_{n}(t)$ of unitary operators that they generate commute.
(iii) There exists such a finite family, generating the algebra $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ of self-adjoint elements of $\mathbb{D}(X)$. It might be taken to contain a basis of $i \mathfrak{a}_{S}$.
(iv) The restriction map induces a bijection between the set of $*$-characters of $\mathbb{D}(X)$ and the set of real characters of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.

Proof. (i) is proved like Proposition 1.4 of [1] which completes the proof of Lemma 9 in [37]. We prefer to give here a complete proof as the completion of the proof of this Lemma is not precisely given in [1].
Let $u$ be as in (i). We denote $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ by $\mathcal{D}$ and $D_{u}$ by $D$. It is easy to see that $D$ is closed: if $\left(f_{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{D}_{u}$ is such that $\left(f_{n}\right)$ (resp. $\left.\left(u f_{n}\right)\right)$ converges to $f$ (resp. $g$ ) in $L^{2}(X)$, one has $u f=g$ as a distribution, hence $(f, g)$ is in the graph of $D$.
Let $D_{1}$ be the restriction of $D$ to $L^{2}(X)^{\infty}$. The graph of $D_{1}$ is dense in the graph of $D$ : this is seen by using a convolution by a Dirac sequence in $C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$ which commutes with $u$. This shows that $D_{1}$ is a core of $D$. Recall the definition of the adjoint $D^{*}$ of $D$. Its domain $\mathcal{D}_{*}$ is the space of $f \in L^{2}(X)$ such that there exists $f^{*} \in L^{2}(X)$ with $(f, D g)=\left(f^{*}, g\right), g \in \mathcal{D}$. Here (.,.) denotes the $L^{2}$-scalar product. Then $D^{*} f=f^{*}$. By the density above, one has also:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{*}=\left\{f \in L^{2}(X) \mid \exists f^{*} \in L^{2}(X),(f, D g)=\left(f^{*}, g\right), g \in L^{2}(X)^{\infty}\right\} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Restricting to $g \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$, one sees that $f^{*}$ is equal to $u f$ as a distribution, hence $\mathcal{D}_{*} \subset \mathcal{D}$.
In order to prove the reverse inclusion, let us first prove that:
The space $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ is dense in $L^{2}(X)^{\infty}$.
This follows from the theorem of Dixmier-Malliavin on the Garding space: from this theorem, it is enough to express an element $\phi \star f$, where $\phi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$ and $f \in L^{2}(X)$, as a limit in $L^{2}(X)$ of of a sequence of elements of $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$. But this follows from the fact that $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ is dense in $L^{2}(X)$ and stable by convolution by $\phi$.
From Proposition 5.9, $L^{2}(X)^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{D}$ and $D$ acts continuously on $L^{2}(X)^{\infty}$. Let us show:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(D f, g)=(f, D g), f, g \in L^{2}(X)^{\infty} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it is clearly true for $f, g \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$, this follows by continuity and density.
Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and let $f^{*}$ be the element of $L^{2}(X)$ which coincides with $D f$ as a
distribution. Let $\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ be a Dirac sequence in $C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$. Because of the $G$-invariance of $D$, one has, for $h \in C^{\infty}(X): D\left(\phi_{n} \star h\right)=\phi_{n} \star D h$. More generally it is true for distributions. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in L^{2}(X)^{\infty}$. Then one has from (5.10):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\phi_{n} \star f\right. & , D g)=\left(D\left(\phi_{n} \star f\right), g\right) \\
& =\left(\phi_{n} \star D f, g\right) \\
& =\left(\phi_{n} \star f^{*}, g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the left hand side converges to ( $f, D g$ ) while the right hand side converges to $\left(f^{*}, g\right)$, one has

$$
(f, D g)=\left(f^{*}, g\right), g \in L^{2}(X)^{\infty}
$$

It follows from (5.8) that $f \in \mathcal{D}_{*}$. This achieves to prove that $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}_{*}$ and $D=D^{*}$. (i) follows.

The first part of (ii) follows from [34], Corollary 9.2. The second part is clear.
(iii) Let $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ be a set of generators of the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{D}(X)$ which might be taken to contain a basis of $i \mathfrak{a}_{S}$. Let $D_{i}$ (resp. $D_{i}^{\prime}$ ) be the self-adjoint operator corresponding to $u_{i}+u_{i}^{*}$, (resp to $i\left(u_{i}-u_{i}^{*}\right)$ ). The family of $D_{i}, D_{i}^{\prime}$ is easily seen to have the required property.
(iv) From (iii), one sees that the restriction map is injective. The $\mathbb{C}$-linear extension to $\mathbb{D}(X)$ of a real character of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ is easily seen, by direct computation, to be a *-character of $\mathbb{D}(X)$. This proves that the restriction map is bijective.
5.11 Remark. When we will be interested in the spectral decomposition of elements in $\mathbb{D}(X)$, we will introduce a set of generators $D_{1}, \ldots, D_{n}$ of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ as in (iii) of the above Lemma and we will introduce, with the notation of (ii) of this Lemma, the unitary representation of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, given by $\left(t_{1}, \ldots t_{n}\right) \mapsto U_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots U_{n}\left(t_{n}\right)$. The decomposition of this representation into irreducible ones will give the spectral decomposition of $\mathbb{D}(X)$ into $*$-characters.
5.12 Proposition. Let $I \subset S, f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(G x_{0}\right)$ and $u \in \mathbb{D}(\underline{X})$. Then:

$$
(u f)_{I}=\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u) f_{I},
$$

where $\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u) \in \mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right)$ is defined in (5.5).
Proof. Let $u^{\prime}=\mu_{I}^{\prime}(u)$. Let $\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$. We identify $u$ with an element of $U_{S}(\mathfrak{b}) / U(\mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{b}_{H}$ (cf. (5.4)). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u f(\exp t \underline{x})=R_{u} f(\exp t \underline{x})=\left[L_{A d(\exp t \underline{t}) u^{t}} f\right](\exp t \underline{x}) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.5), one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A d(\exp t \underline{x}) u=u^{\prime}+\sum_{\lambda<0} e^{\lambda t} v_{\lambda} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{\lambda} \in U(\mathfrak{b}) / U(\mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{b}_{H}$.
As $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ is in the center of $\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right), \operatorname{Ad}(\exp \underline{t x}) u^{\prime}=u^{\prime}$, hence also $\operatorname{Ad}(\exp \underline{t})\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{t}=\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{t}$ and $\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{t} f_{I}(\exp t \underline{x})=\left(L_{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{t}} f_{I}\right)(\exp t \underline{x})$. Hence using also (5.11) and (5.12), one has:

$$
e^{-t \rho(\underline{x})}\left((u f)(\exp t \underline{x})-\left(u^{\prime} f_{I}\right)(\exp t \underline{x})\right)=A+B
$$

where

$$
A=e^{-t \rho(\underline{x})}\left[\left(L_{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{t}} f\right)(\exp t \underline{x})-\left(L_{\left(u^{\prime}\right) t} f_{I}\right)(\exp t \underline{x})\right]
$$

and

$$
B=\sum_{\lambda<0} e^{\lambda t} e^{-t \rho(\underline{x})}\left(L_{v_{\lambda}^{t}} f\right)(\exp \underline{t} \underline{)},
$$

Let us show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{-t \rho(\underline{x})}\left((u f)(\exp t \underline{x})-\left(u^{\prime} f_{I}\right)(\exp t \underline{x})\right)=0 \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of the temperedness, $B$ tends to zero. Also $A$ tends to zero, by the properties of the constant term of $L_{\left(u^{\prime}\right)^{t}} f$. Then using left translates by $g \in G$ and the $G$-equivariance of the constant term, one can replace $\exp t \underline{x}$ by $g \exp t \underline{x}$ in (5.13). Then $u^{\prime} f_{I}$ has the same asymptotic property than $(u f)_{I}$ (cf. Theorem 5.1). But $\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(X_{I}\right)$ (cf. Lemma 5.9). Hence $u^{\prime} f_{I} \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(G x_{0}\right)$. From Theorem 5.1, one deduces

$$
u^{\prime} f_{I}=(u f)_{I}
$$

We say that $f \in C^{\infty}(G / H)$ is an eigenfunction for $\mathbb{D}(G / H)$, if there is a $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ such that

$$
u f=\mu_{\emptyset}(u)(\lambda) f, u \in \mathbb{D}(G / H)
$$

One says that $f$ is a $\mathbb{D}(G / H)$-eigenfunction for the eigenvalue (of parameter) $\lambda$. If $O$ is a $G$-orbit of an element $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}, O \simeq G / H_{w}$ where $\underline{H}_{w}=w \underline{H} w^{-1}$, let $\underline{X}_{w}=\underline{G} / \underline{H}_{w}$. One shows easily that the corresponding space $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ for $\underline{X}_{w}$ is identical to $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$, the set of simple roots for $X_{w}$ does not depend on $w$, going back to the definition of simple spherical roots (cf. [27], section 3.1) and using $\mathfrak{h}_{w}=A d(w) \mathfrak{h}$. Hence $W_{X_{w}}$ does not depend on $w$, is equal to $W_{X}$ and, from Theorem 5.7:
one has a bijective morphism $\mu_{\emptyset, w}: \mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{w}\right) \rightarrow S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)^{W_{X}}$.
Thus one can define simlarly for a function $C^{\infty}(O)$ to be $\mathbb{D}(O)$-eigen for the eigenvalue (of parameter) $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{\emptyset}, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$. It follows from (5.7):

If $f \in C^{\infty}(O)$ is an eigenfunction for $\mathbb{D}(O)$, for the eigenvalue $\lambda \in$ $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset, \mathbb{C}}^{*} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$, it is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-eigen for the eigenvalue $\lambda$.
If $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$, one says that it is $\mathbb{D}(X)$-eigen for the eigenvalue (of parameter) $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ if its restriction to any $G$-orbit in $X$ has this property. Notice that a $\mathbb{D}(X)$-eigenfunction is in general only the sum of eigenfunctions for an eigenvalue having a parameter as the eigenvalue for the restriction of a $\mathbb{D}(X)$-eigenfunction on each $G$-orbits might differ.

## 6 Review of the Bernstein maps and of the twisted discrete spectrum

### 6.1 Plancherel formula for $X_{I}$ from the one for $X$ and the Maass-Selberg relations

Let $I \subset S$ and $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $I(C)=I$. We will write $X_{I}$ instead of $X_{C}$ with $I(C)=I$, as $X_{C}$ does not depend on $C$ with $I(C)=I$ (cf Lemma 3.2). More generally, we will change the lower index $C$ by the lower index $I$. From (3.11), one can choose $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I}$.
Let $\left(\pi, \mathcal{H}_{\pi}\right)$ be a unitary irreducible representation of $G$ and $\eta$ in the space $\mathcal{H}_{\pi, \text { temp }}^{-\infty X}$ of continuous $G$-equivariant morphisms from $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}(X)$. Its constant term $\eta_{I} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi, \text { temp }}^{-\infty X_{I}}$ is defined as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{I}(v)=\eta(v)_{I}, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\pi} \subset \mathcal{H}_{\pi, \text { temp }}^{-\infty X}$ be as in section 4.1. Recall, from Remark 4.1, the decomposition:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\pi}=\oplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}} \mathcal{M}_{\pi}^{O}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^{O}=\mathcal{M}_{\pi} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty O}
$$

Recall that $A_{I}^{0}$ acts on the right on $X_{I}$ (cf. Lemma $3.2(\mathrm{v})$ ). Using that every element of $A_{I}^{0}$ acts by a scalar on the volume weight of $X_{I}$ and trivially on w (cf. section 4.2), one sees easily that $A_{I}^{0}$ acts on $C_{\text {temp }}^{\infty}\left(X_{I}\right)$, hence on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi, \text { temp }}^{-\infty}$. For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$ in $\hat{G}$ and all $O \in \mathcal{O}$, let $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}^{O}$ be the linear span of the of the $a . \eta_{I}, a \in A_{I}^{0}, \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\pi}^{O}$. From Theorem 5.1 (iii), it is a subspace of $\oplus_{O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{I, O}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi, t e m p}^{-\infty O^{\prime}}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}:=\oplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}^{O} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\alpha_{I}: C_{c}^{\infty}\left(X_{I}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi)
$$

be the map defined as follows:
For $f \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(X_{I}\right), \alpha_{I}(f)=\left(\alpha_{I, \pi}(f)\right)_{\pi \in \hat{G}}$ with $\alpha_{I, \pi}(f) \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ is characterized by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{I, \pi}(f), v \otimes \eta\right)=\int_{X_{I}} f(x) \overline{m_{v, \eta}(x)} d x, v \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

6.1 Theorem. ([12], Theorem 9.5) Let $I \subset S$. For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$ in $\hat{G}$, there exists a scalar product on the finite dimensional space $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ such that $A_{I}^{0}$ acts unitarily on it and such that the map

$$
\alpha_{I}: C_{c}^{\infty}\left(X_{I}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi)
$$

extends to a unitary isomorphism $\bar{\alpha}_{I}$ of representations of $G \times A_{I}^{0}$.
We will denote by $\beta_{I}: \int_{\hat{G}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi, I} d \mu(\pi) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ the adjoint of $\overline{\alpha_{I}}$.
6.2 Remark. Applying Proposition 5.9 (ii) to $X_{I}$, one sees that $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}^{O}$ for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$ in $\hat{G}$.

We denote for historical reasons (cf. [38]) by $j_{I, \pi}^{*}$ the map $\mathcal{M}_{\pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}, \eta \mapsto \eta_{I}$. Using the scalar products on $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$, we denote by $j_{I, \pi}$ the adjoint of $j_{I, \pi}^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{I, \pi}: \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then have the Maass-Selberg relations:
6.3 Theorem. (cf. [12], Theorem 9.6). For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$ in $\hat{G}$, let $\lambda$ be an $\mathfrak{a}_{I^{-}}$ weight of $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$. In particular it is imaginary on $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$. Let $p_{\pi, \lambda}$ be the orthogonal projection onto the $\lambda$-eigenspace $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \lambda}$, hence parallel to the others. Then $p_{\pi, \lambda} j_{I, \pi}^{*}$ is a surjective partial isometry (i.e. an isometry when one goes through the quotient by its kernel) and $j_{I, \pi}$ restricted to $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \lambda}$ is an isometry.

We have the following corollary which follows from the next lemma.
6.4 Corollary. The norm of $j_{I, \pi}$ and $j_{I, \pi}^{*}$ are bounded by the order of $W$.
6.5 Lemma. Let $\left(\pi, \mathcal{H}_{\pi}\right)$ be an irreducible unitary representation of $G$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty H}$ be the space of $H$-fixed distribution vectors of $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$, which identifies with $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty G / H}$ on which $A_{S}^{0}$ acts unitarily hence $\mathfrak{a}_{S}$ acts semisimply. The number of $\mathfrak{a}_{S}$-weights in $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty H}$ is at most CardW.

Proof. The algebra $\mathbb{D}(\underline{G} / \underline{H})$ acts on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty H}$ which is finite dimensional. The possible parameters for the eigenvalues of $\mathbb{D}(G / H)$ acting on this space are related to the parameter of the infinitesimal character of $\pi$ (cf. (5.15)). As $\mathfrak{a}_{S} \subset \mathbb{D}(\underline{G} / \underline{H})$, the possible $\mathfrak{a}_{S}$-weights are of the form $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{a}_{s}}$, where $\Lambda$ is among the parameters of the infinitesimal character and moreover contained in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$. The Lemma follows.

### 6.2 Bernstein maps

For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$ in $\hat{G}$, we define:

$$
i_{I, \pi}: \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}, i_{I, \pi}=I d_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi}} \otimes j_{I, \pi}
$$

Let $i_{I, \pi}^{*}$ be its adjoint. They define measurable families of operators: we give a detail proof for $i_{I, \pi}$ in Proposition 11.3. The result for $i_{I, \pi}^{*}$ follows by adjunction.

Then we have bounded operators (cf [12], Proposition 10.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{I}=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} i_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi): \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjoint $i_{I}^{*}$ of $i_{I}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{I}^{*}=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} i_{I, \pi}^{*} d \mu(\pi) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sequel, if we have two linear maps $a, b$, we will denote ab instead of $a \circ b$, when it is defined. If $I \subset J \subset S$, one can introduce similarly

$$
i_{I}^{J}: \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} i_{I, \pi}^{J} d \mu(\pi): \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{J, \pi} d \mu(\pi) .
$$

Using the transitivity of the constant term (cf. [11], Proposition 7.1) and taking adjoints, one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{I}=i_{J} i_{I}^{J} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $6.3 \quad L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$

Let us recall the definition of a $t d$ (abbreviation for twisted discrete series). If $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, let $\chi_{\nu} \in \hat{A}_{I}^{0}$ be the unitary character of $A_{I}^{0}$ whose differential is equal to $\nu$. Recall our convention to replace the index $C$ by $I$. If $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, I}, w_{I} \in X_{I}$ is defined in (3.14) and the $G$-orbit $G w_{I}$ identifies with $G / H_{I, w}$ where $\underline{H}_{I, w}=w \underline{H}_{I} w^{-1}$ (cf. (3.17)). Let $L^{2}\left(G w_{I}, \nu\right)$ be the space of the induced representation to $G$ of the unitary character of $H_{I, w} A_{I}^{0}$ trivial on $H_{I, w}$ and equal to $\chi_{\nu}$ on $A_{I}^{0}$. Let

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right):=\oplus_{w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, I}} L^{2}\left(G w_{I}, \nu\right)
$$

We define $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$ as the Hilbert sum of the irreducible subrepresentations of $G$ in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)$. Then $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$ is a unitary representation of $G$ which decomposes in a (possibly infinite) Hilbert sum of multiples of unitary irreducible representations of $G$. If $\left(\pi, \mathcal{H}_{\pi}\right) \in \hat{G}$, one has $\operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}, L^{2}\left(G w_{I}, \nu\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty}, L^{2}\left(G w_{I}, \nu\right)^{\infty}\right)$ which by Frobenius reciprocity is contained in $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty, H_{I, w}}$. Hence the multiplicity of $\pi$ in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$ is finite.
For $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, I}$, by inducing by stage from $H_{w, I}$ to $G$ through $H_{w, I} A_{I}^{0}$, one gets:

$$
L^{2}\left(G w_{I}\right) \simeq \int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} L^{2}\left(G w_{I}, \nu\right) d \nu
$$

Summing over $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, I}$, one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right) \simeq \int_{i \mathrm{a}_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right) d \nu \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a proof similar to the proof of Proposition 5.9, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right) \text { acts continuously on } L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)^{\infty}, \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$ as it commutes to the action of $G$.
6.6 Definition. A twisted discrete series (denoted by ${ }_{t d}$ ) is an irreducible subrepresentation of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$, for some $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, such that $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ acts by a character on its space of $C^{\infty}$-vectors. Then $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$ is the Hilbert sum of the $t d$ contained in $i t$.

Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $I \subset S$. Let $X_{w}:=G w$ which identifies with $G / w H w^{-1}$ and $X_{w, I}=G / w H_{I} w^{-1}$. From Theorem 5.7 applied to $\underline{G} / \underline{H}_{w}$, there is an isomorphism $\mu_{\emptyset, w}$, between $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{w}\right)$ and $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)_{X}^{W}$ defined as $\mu_{\emptyset}($ cf. (5.14)). More generally, there is an isomorphism $\mu_{\emptyset, w}^{I}$ from $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{w, I}\right)$ to $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)^{W_{I}}$, where $W_{I}$ is the subgroup of $W_{X}$ generated by the reflections with respect to the roots $\alpha \in I$. Let us show that one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{\emptyset, w}=\mu_{\emptyset, w}^{I} \circ \mu_{I, w}^{\prime}, \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu_{I, w}^{\prime}: \mathbb{D}\left(X_{w}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\left(X_{w, I}\right)$ is defined like $\mu_{I}^{\prime}$ (which is defined in (5.5) (i). We prove this for $w=1$. Otherwise one simply changes the base point. It is enough to prove the equality when $\mu_{\emptyset}$ (resp. $\mu_{\emptyset}^{I}$ ) is replaced by $\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}$ (resp. by the analog $\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime I}$ of $\left.\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}\right)$. From (5.5), $\mathbb{D}(\underline{X})$ (resp. $\mathbb{D}\left(\underline{X}_{I}\right)$ ) identifies with a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{b}) / U(\mathfrak{b}) \mathfrak{b}_{H}$ and the morphism $\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ rep. $\left.\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime I}\right)$ is the projection on the zero $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$-weight space (resp. zero $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-weight space) parallel to the other weight spaces. Our claim follows.
As $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ is a quotient of $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ identifies with a subspace of $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$. We will identify $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ to a subspace of $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ as follows. Let $W_{I}=W_{X_{I}}$ be the subgroup of $W_{X}$ generated by the reflections determined by the elements of $I$. Then $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ is equal to the space of invariant elements of $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ by $W_{I}$. Using a $W_{I}$-invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$, one has

$$
\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}=\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{I} .
$$

Actually one sees easily that $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{\perp}$ is the set of elements in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ whose average under $W_{I}$ is equal to zero, hence does not depend on the scalar product.

The above canonical decomposition allows us to identify $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ with a subspace of $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ hence of $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$.

We make the following conjecture, that we use in the sequel, which is a slightly weaker real version of the Discrete Series Conjecture of Sakellaridis and Venkatesh [38], section 9.4.6 in the $p$-adic case:
6.7 Conjecture. Let $i \mathfrak{a}_{I, c o n j}^{*}$ be the set of $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ such that imaginary part of the infinitesimal character of a td contained in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)$ has a Harish-Chandra parameter with imaginary part equal to $\nu$. The conjecture asserts that for all $I \subset S$, the complementary set of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj }}^{*}$ in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ is of Lebesgue measure zero.
6.8 Remark. From Krötz, Kuit, Opdam, Schlichtkrull (cf. [30]), the conjecture is true for $I=S$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{S}=\{0\}$. From the real analogue of [38], 9.4.11, it is true also for factorizable spaces (see l.c. 9.4.1 for the definition). Using the Unfolding (see l.c. section 9.5), it can be seen that it is true also for the real analogue of l.c. Examples 9.5.2, 9.5.3, 9.5.4.

Notice that, in the general case, from [30], one knows that the real part of any Harish-Chandra parameter of $t d$ lies in a union of finitely many $W$-invariant lattices $\mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime}$ of $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ : a finite union might be needed as there are several $G$-orbits in $X$.
6.9 Lemma. There exists a finite union $\mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime}$ of $W$-invariant lattices in $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ such that, for $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj }}^{*}$, the $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$-parameters of any eigen $t d$ of $X_{I}$ transforming under $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ by $\nu$ is of the form $\Lambda+\nu$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}:=\mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{I, C}^{\perp}$, where $\mathfrak{a}_{I, \mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$. Moreover $\mathcal{L}_{I}$ is $W_{I}$-invariant.

Proof. First the action of $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ determines the parameter $\nu$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$. Hence a parameter of the eigenvalue is of the form $\Lambda+\nu$ with $\Lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{I, \mathbb{C}}^{\perp} / W_{I}$, where $W_{I}=W_{X_{I}}$. But, by [30] and the above conjecture, a parameter of the infinitesimal character is of the form $\Lambda^{\prime}+\nu$ with $\Lambda^{\prime}$ in a finite union $\mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime}$ of lattices in $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ invariant by $W$. But from (5.15), $\Lambda+\nu$ is a parameter of the infinitesimal character. Hence $\nu$ and $\nu+\operatorname{Im} \Lambda$ are $W$-conjugate and orthogonal. For reasons of length, $\operatorname{Im} \Lambda=0$ and $\Lambda$ is real. By separating the real parts of parameters, one sees that $\Lambda$ is $W$-conjugated to $\Lambda^{\prime}$. By the $W$-invariance of $\mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime}$, one sees that $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}$. It remains only to prove that $\mathcal{L}_{I}$ is $W_{I}$-invariant. But $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ are $W_{I}$-invariant hence also $\mathfrak{a}_{I, \mathbb{C}}^{\perp}$. Moreover the action of elements of $W_{X}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ comes from elements of $W$ for which $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ is invariant (cf. Theorem 5.7). As $\mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime}$ is $W$-invariant, one has $W_{I} \mathcal{L}_{I} \subset \mathcal{L}_{I}^{\prime} \cap \mathfrak{a}_{I} \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{C}}$. This finishes the proof.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{I, t d}:=\left\{\Lambda+\nu \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}, \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right\}^{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, I}} \subset\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}\right)^{\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, I}}$ that we see as a borelian subset of the set of ${ }^{*}$-characters of $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ : recall that $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ is a direct sum (cf. (5.3)) and $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}^{G, I}$ parametrizes the summands.

Recall that we use Remark 5.11 for the spectral theory of $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ : this spectral theory follows from the spectral theory of a group $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ whose action commutes with the action of $G$.
6.10 Proposition. Let $P_{I, t d}$ be the spectral projection for $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ corresponding to the subset $\mathcal{A}_{I, t d}$ of characters of $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$.
(i) For almost all $\nu$ in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}, P_{I, t d} L^{2}(X, \nu)=L^{2}(X, \nu)_{t d}$. These spaces vary measurably in $\nu$.
(ii) The image $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ of $P_{I, t d}$ is a $G \times A_{I}^{0}$-invariant closed subspace of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ such that the isomorphism (6.8) induces an isomorphism:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d} \simeq \int_{i \mathrm{a}_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d} d \nu \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover its space of smooth vectors is invariant by $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$.
If $O$ is a $G$-orbit in $X_{I}$, we have similar results for $L^{2}(O)_{t d}:=P_{I, t d} L^{2}(O)$.
Proof. One can reduce to prove the proposition for each $G$-orbit in $X_{I}$. Let us give the proof only when this orbit is $G / H_{I}$. Otherwise one has to change the base point.

To simplify notation, we will do as if $X_{I}$ is reduced to a single $G$-orbit.
First let us consider the spectral decomposition of $G \times \mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$. Recall that the characters of $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ are parametrized by $\Omega_{I}+\mathfrak{a}_{I, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ where $\Omega_{I}=\mathfrak{a}_{I, \mathbb{C}}^{\perp} / W_{I}$. As $A_{I}^{0}$ is acting unitarily, the spectrum of $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ is contained in $\Omega_{I}+i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. There is a measure $\mu_{D}$ on $\hat{G} \times\left(\Omega_{I}+i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)$ and, for $\mu_{D}$-almost all $(\pi, \Lambda+\nu) \in \hat{G} \times\left(\Omega_{I}+i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)$, there is a subspace $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu}$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X_{I}}$, whose elements are eigenvectors for $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ for the parameter $\Lambda+\nu$, endowed with a scalar product such that:

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right) \simeq \int_{\hat{G} \times\left(\Omega_{I}+i a_{I}^{*}\right)}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu} d \mu_{D}(\pi, \Lambda+\nu)
$$

If $N \subset i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ has Lebesgue measure 0, from Proposition 10.1 applied to the Hilbert integral (6.8) and the groups $G$ and $A_{I}^{0}$, one sees that the spectral projection for $A_{I}^{0}$ corresponding to $N$ is equal to zero. Hence $\hat{G} \times\left(\Omega_{I}+N\right)$ is of $\mu_{D}$-measure zero and, using the projection of $\hat{G} \times\left(\Omega_{I}+i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right) \simeq \hat{G} \times \Omega_{I} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ to $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, one can disintegrate the measure $\mu_{D}$ into measures $\mu_{\nu}$. Then

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right) \simeq \int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} \int_{\hat{G} \times \Omega_{I}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu} d \mu_{\nu}(\pi, \Lambda) d \nu
$$

and $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)$ identifies with

$$
\int_{\hat{G} \times \Omega_{I}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu} d \mu_{\nu}(\pi, \Lambda)
$$

We associate to $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ a vector group as in Remark 5.11 that we will denote $A_{\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)}$. We fix $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}$ and consider the spectral projection $P_{\Lambda}$ for $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ with respect to the subset $\Lambda+i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ of $\Omega_{I}+i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. On one hand, applying Proposition 10.1 first with $A_{I}^{0}$ and $A_{\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)}$, and then to $G \times A_{\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)}$ and $A_{\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)}$, one gets

$$
P_{\Lambda}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)\right) \simeq \int_{i a_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} \int_{\hat{G} \times\{\Lambda\}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu} d \mu_{\nu}(\pi, \Lambda) d \nu .
$$

Hence for almost all $\nu$, using (5.15), one sees that $\mu_{\nu}$ induces a measure on the finite set of (equivalence classes of) irreducible unitary representations $\pi$ with infinitesimal character of parameter $\Lambda+\nu$ (see e.g. below Lemma 6.14 (i)). On the other hand, applying Proposition 10.1 to (6.8) for $A_{I}^{0}$ and $A_{\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)}$, one gets:

$$
P_{\Lambda}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)\right) \simeq \int_{i a_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} P_{\Lambda}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)\right) d \nu .
$$

Hence for almost all $\nu$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Lambda}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)\right) \simeq \int_{\hat{G} \times\{\Lambda\}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu} d \mu_{\nu}(\pi, \Lambda) \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a sum of irreducible unitary representations of $G$. Hence for almost all $\nu$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\Lambda}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)\right) \subset L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing up over $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}$, one sees that $P_{I, t d} L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right) \subset L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$. To see the reverse inclusion we observe that $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ acts on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)^{\infty}$. Moreover one has the analogue of Proposition 5.10 and one can do the spectral decomposition for $G \times \mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)$. The multiplicities are finite as $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{-\infty X_{I}}$ is finite dimensional (cf section 4.1) and the discrete part of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)$ is a Hilbert sum of eigenspaces for $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$. By Lemma 6.9, for almost all $\nu$, these eigenvalues are of parameter $\Lambda+\nu$, $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}$. This shows the reverse inclusion. Hence $P_{I, t d} L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}=L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}$ and this proves (i).
Applying Proposition 10.1 to (6.8) and to the groups $A_{I}^{0}$ and $A_{\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)}$, one gets the first part of (ii), as $A_{I}^{0}$ commutes with $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$.
Let $f \in L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}^{\infty}$. Then $f \in L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)^{\infty}$ and for $D \in \mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right), D f \in L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)^{\infty}$ (cf. Proposition 5.9). Then, as $P_{I, t d}$ and $D$ commute, $P_{I, t d} D f=D P_{I, t d} f=D f$. Hence $D f \in L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)^{\infty} \cap I m P_{I, t d}$. The later space is easily seen to be equal to $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}^{\infty}$. This gives the second part of the lemma.

We use the notation of Theorem 6.1. Notice that, as $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ commutes to $G$ and $A_{I}^{0}$, for $\mu$-almost all $\pi, \mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$.
6.11 Proposition. (i) For $\Lambda \in \Omega_{I}, \nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{I, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$. let $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu}$ be the $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$-eigenspace of $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ for the eigenvalue $\Lambda+\nu$. For $\nu \in \mathfrak{i} \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, let $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu, t d}:=\left\{\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu} \mid \eta \in\right.$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(\pi, L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)\right\}$. Then for almost all $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, one has:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\pi, \Lambda+\nu}=\mathcal{M}_{\pi, \Lambda+\nu, t d}, \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}
$$

Moreover, for almost all $\nu$ in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, one has:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \Lambda+\nu, t d}=\{0\}, \Lambda \notin \mathcal{L}_{I} .
$$

(ii) Let $O \in \mathcal{O}_{I}$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}^{O}$ ) be equal to $P_{I, t d} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}$ (resp. $\left.P_{I, t d} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi}^{O}\right)$. One has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d} \simeq \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d} d \mu(\pi) \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) One deduces the first part of (i) from Proposition 6.10 (i). The second part follows from Conjecture 6.7.
(ii) One deduces (ii) by using Proposition 6.10 (ii) and applying Proposition 10.1 to the groups $G \times A_{I}^{0}$ and $A_{\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)}$, to the spectral projection $P_{I, t d}$ and the decomposition of Theorem 6.1.
6.12 Lemma. For $I \subset S$, let $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}=\left\{\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d} \mid \eta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\pi}, L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)\right\}\right.$. There exists a subset $\hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$ of $\hat{G}$ whose complementary set is of $\mu$-measure zero such that:
For all $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$, all $I \subset S$ and all $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d} \neq 0$, one has $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj }}^{*}$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For all } \pi \in \hat{G}_{c o n j}, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}=\oplus_{\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us consider the spectral projection in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ for $A_{I}^{0}$ corresponding to $i \mathfrak{a}_{I, c o n j}^{*}$. As $i \mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj }}^{*}$ has a complementary set in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ of measure zero, from Conjecture 6.7, this projection is equal to the identity. From Proposition 10.1 applied to the Hilbert integral (6.15), this implies that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, the spectral projection for $A_{I}^{0}$ on $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ corresponding to $i \mathfrak{a}_{I, c o n j}^{*}$ is the identity. This ensures the first assertion. One does similarly with a subset of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ whose complementary is of measure zero such that the equalities in Proposition 6.11 (i) are true for its elements. The Lemma follows.
6.13 Proposition. (i) There exists a disintegration in multiple of irreducible $G \times A_{I^{-}}^{0}$ represensations of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ :

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\int_{\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu, t d} d \mu^{I}(\pi, \nu),
$$

with disintegrations of measures

$$
\mu^{I}=\int_{\hat{G}} \nu_{\pi} d \mu(\pi), \mu^{I}=\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{T}^{*}} \mu_{\nu} d \nu
$$

such that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, the measure $\nu_{\pi}$ is the counting measure on the finite set of $\nu$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}$ is non zero. Moreover $\mu_{\nu}$ identifies with a measure on the countable set of $\pi$ such hat $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}$ is non zero. If $f$ is a function on $\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, integrable for the measure $\mu^{I}$ we denote

$$
\sum_{\pi, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq\{0\}}^{\prime} f(\pi, \nu):=\mu_{\nu}(f) .
$$

When the dependence on $\nu$ is clear we will write simply $\sum_{\pi}^{\prime} f(\pi, \nu)$ instead of $\sum_{\pi, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq\{0\}}^{\prime} f(\pi, \nu)$.
(ii) The measure $\mu^{I}$ is the measure on $\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ such that for any positive borelian function $\phi$ on $\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}, \pi \mapsto \sum_{\nu, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0} \phi(\pi, \nu)$ is $\mu$-measurable on $\hat{G}, \nu \mapsto \sum_{\pi}^{\prime} \phi(\pi, \nu)$ is Lebesgue measurable on $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ and one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\hat{G}} \sum_{\nu, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0} \phi(\pi, \nu) d \mu(\pi)=\int_{\hat{G} \times i a_{I}^{*}} \phi(\pi, \nu) d \mu^{I}(\pi, \nu)=\int_{i a_{I}^{*}} \sum_{\pi}^{\prime} \phi(\pi, \nu) d \nu \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) From (6.15), one has.

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\int_{\hat{G}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d} d \mu(\pi)
$$

If $\pi \in \hat{G}$, the set of $\nu$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}$ is non zero is finite: from Proposition 6.11, an element $\nu$ of this set is conjugate under $W$ to the imaginary part of a parameter of the infinitesimal character of $\pi$. From Lemma 6.12, for $\mu$-almost all $\pi, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ is the Hilbert finite sum of the $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}$. The first disintegration of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ follows from Proposition 10.2 applied to the groups $G$ and $A_{I}^{0}$, except for the existence of the desintegration of $\mu^{I}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. Using Proposition 10.1 for $G$ and $A_{I}^{0}$ and the decomposition (6.12), one sees that the spectral projection corresponding to a neglectible set, $N$, of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ is zero. This implies that $\hat{G} \times N$ is of $\mu^{I}$-measure zero. This ensures that the desintegration of $\mu^{I}$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure on $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ exists.
(ii) follows from (i).
6.14 Lemma. (i) There exists an integer such that the number of unitary irreducible representations of $G$ with a given infinitesimal character is bounded by this integer independent of the infinitesimal character.
(ii) Let us fix a K-type. There exists a constant such that for any unitary irreducible representations of $G$ containing this $K$-type, the norm of the real part of its infinitesimal character is bounded by this constant.
(iii) Let us fix a K-type. There exists an integer such that, for all $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I, c o n j}^{*}$, the number of $\pi \in \hat{G}$ containing this $K$-type and such that $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0$ is bounded by this integer.
(iv) For all $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$, the number of $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj }}^{*}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0$ is bounded by CardW.

Proof. (i) Let $\pi \in \hat{G}$ with the given infinitesimal character. Using the Vogan classification, there is a standard parabolic subgroup $P^{\prime}$ with Langlands decomposition $P^{\prime}=M^{\prime} A^{\prime} N^{\prime}$, a discrete series for $M^{\prime}, \delta$, with infinitesimal character $\Lambda, \nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ and a minimal $K$-type of the generalized principal series $\pi(\delta, \nu)$ corresponding to these data, such that $\pi$ is the subquotient of $\pi(\delta, \nu)$ containing this $K$-type. Notice that there is a finite number of choices of $P^{\prime}, M^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$. Then $\Lambda+\nu$ is in the orbit of the parameter of the selected infinitesimal character. Hence there is only finite possibilities for $\Lambda$ and $\nu$ whose number is bounded independently of the infinitesimal character. The number of discrete series of the connected component $M^{\prime 0}$ of $M^{\prime}$ with a given infinitesimal character is bounded independently of the infinitesimal character (see [18], Theorems 12.21 and 9.20). To describe the discrete series of $M^{\prime}$, one has to describe the decomposition of a representation induced from a discrete series of $M^{\prime 0}$ to $M^{\prime}$. There is at most $\operatorname{Card}\left(M^{\prime} / M^{\prime 0}\right)$ irreducible subrepresentations. Then one has to choose a minimal $K$-type. The number of these minimal $K$-types is given by
the number of elements of the $R$-group. But the $R$-group is a subgroup of $W\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. This finishes to prove our claim.
(ii) Choose a $K$-type. Let us show that there is a finite number of data $\left(P^{\prime}, \delta\right)$ as above such that there exists $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*}$ such that $\pi(\delta, \nu)$ contains this $K$-type. By Frobenius reciprocity, $\delta$ has to contain an irreducible $K \cap M^{\prime}$-subrepresentation of the given $K$-type. But from [18] Theorems 12.20 and 9.20 c ), the discrete series of $M^{\prime}$ containing a given $K \cap M^{\prime}$-type have a bounded infinitesimal character. Let $\pi$ be a unitary irreducible representation containing this $K$-type. Then the Langlands classification asserts that there is such a $(\delta, \nu)$ such that $\pi$ is a quotient of $\pi(\delta, \nu)$. Then the real part of the infinitesimal character of $\pi$ has a parameter equal to $\Lambda_{\delta}+R e \nu$, where $\Lambda_{\delta}$ is a parameter of the infinitesimal character of $\delta$. Moreover the boundedness of the coefficients of $\pi$ implies that the norm of Rev is bounded independently of $\pi$. This achieves to prove (ii).
(iii) Let $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I, c o n j}^{*}$. By (ii) the real part of the parameter of the infinitesimal character of a $\pi \in \hat{G}$ containing this $K$-type and such that $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0$ is bounded independently of $\nu$. But Conjecture 6.7 implies that $\nu$ is the imaginary part of a parameter of the infinitesimal character. Moreover by [30] its real part is contained in a lattice and, by the above, is bounded. Hence the number of possibilities for the real part of infinitesimal character is bounded independently of $\nu$. Using (i) we get our claim.
(iv) Let $\pi \in \hat{G}_{c o n j}$. If $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0$, then from Lemma 6.12, $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I, c o n j}^{*}$. From Conjecture 6.7, $\nu \in$ is $W$-conjugated to the imaginary part of the infinitesimal character. Our claim follows.
6.15 Lemma. Let $w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}, O=G w_{I} \simeq G / w H_{I} w^{-1}, O^{\prime}=G w \simeq G / w H w^{-1}$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}^{O}$ be $\mathbb{D}(O)$-eigen for the eigenvalue $\Lambda+\nu$, with $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}$ and $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. Then $j_{I, \pi} \eta$ is a $\mathbb{D}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$-eigen element of $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}^{O^{\prime}}$ for the eigenvalue $\Lambda+\nu$.

Proof. First by changing the base point, one can reduce to $w=1$. Let $u \in \mathbb{D}(G / H)$, $v=u^{*}$ and $v_{I}^{\prime}=\mu_{I}^{\prime}(v)$. Using Proposition 5.12, one has:

$$
v_{I}^{\prime} j_{I, \pi}^{*}=j_{I, \pi}^{*} v
$$

Taking adjoints, one gets:

$$
j_{I, \pi} v_{I}^{\prime *}=v^{*} j_{I, \pi}
$$

Applying this to $\eta$ and using $v=u^{*}$, one gets the Lemma from 6.10.
6.16 Lemma. Let $O$ be a $G$-orbit in $X$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\pi, t d}^{O}$. Let us assume that $\eta$ has an eigenvalue under $\mathbb{D}(O)$ of parameter $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$ (cf. (5.16)). With the notation of (3.16) where we replace $C$ by $I=I(C)$, let $O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{I}$. If $O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{I, O}$ then the component $\eta_{I}^{O^{\prime}}$ of $\eta_{I}$, in the decomposition (4.1) for $X_{I}$, is a sum of generalized eigenvectors for $\mathbb{D}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$ with eigenvalues among the set $\left\{w \lambda \mid w \in W_{X}, w \lambda_{\mid \mathfrak{a}_{I}} \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right\}$. Otherwise it is zero.

Proof. From Proposition 5.12, any parameter $\lambda^{\prime}$ of a generalized eigenvalue of $\eta_{I}^{O^{\prime}}$ is such that the corresponding character of $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)^{W_{I}}$ restricts to $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)^{W_{X}}$ to the character of parameter $\lambda$. Hence $\lambda^{\prime}$ and $\lambda$ are $W_{X}$-conjugate. But, as $\eta_{I}$ is tempered, $\lambda_{\mid \mathfrak{a}_{I}}^{\prime} \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. If $O^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{O}_{I, O}$, it is zero by Theorem 5.1 (ii).

The same proof applies to functions and give:
6.17 Corollary. Let $O \in \mathcal{O}, O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{I}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}(O)$ be an eigenfunction for $\mathbb{D}(O)$, for the eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$. Then the restriction $f_{I}^{O^{\prime}}$ to $O^{\prime}$ of $f_{I}$ is zero if $O^{\prime} \notin \mathcal{O}_{I, O}$. Otherwise it is a sum of generalized $\mathbb{D}\left(O^{\prime}\right)$-eigenfunctions for the eigenvalues $w \lambda, w \in W_{X}$ with $w \lambda_{\mid \mathfrak{a}_{I}} \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$.
6.18 Lemma. We use the notation of Proposition 6.11.

For $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$, one has that:
(i) every $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-weight in $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ is regular for the roots in $I$.
(ii) the space $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ is a sum of eigenspaces for $\mathbb{D}(O), O \in \mathcal{O}_{I}$, hence for $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$.

Proof. (i) Simply use the spectral projection corresponding to the set of singular elements in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. It is zero by (6.12). Then apply Proposition 10.1 to the Hilbert integral (6.15) to the groups $A_{I}^{0}$ and $G$.
(ii) follows from the definition of $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ (cf. Proposition 6.11).

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a measurable subset of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. One can consider the spectral projections $P_{\mathfrak{a}_{I}, \mathcal{C}}$ (or simply $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ if there is no ambiguity) corresponding to $\mathcal{C}$, for which we often forget the representation on which it acts. From (6.12), one has:

$$
P_{\mathcal{C}}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}\right)=\int_{i a_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} P_{\mathcal{C}}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}\right) d \nu
$$

Notice that $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ also acts on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ in the Plancherel formula for $X_{I}$ by the identity on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ tensored with a projection still denoted $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ defined on $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$. Recall (cf. (6.11)), that $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ identifies with a subspace of $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$.
6.19 Lemma. (i) There exists a partition of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ by a finite family of measurable cones, $\mathcal{C}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{n}$ in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, each of them being contained in the intersection of $i$ times a Weyl chamber of $W_{X}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ with $i$ times a Weyl chamber for $W$ in $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$. For $j=$ $1, \ldots, m$, let $\mathcal{C}_{j, \text { conj }}:=\mathcal{C}_{j} \cap i \mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj } j}^{*}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ the set of $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j, c o n j}} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ is non zero (cf. Conjecture 6.7 and Lemma 6.12 for notation). Then for all $\pi$ in $\mathcal{A}_{j}, P_{\mathcal{C}_{j, \text { con } j}} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ has exactly one $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-exponent that we denote by $\nu_{\pi, j}$. Moreover $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ is $\mu$-measurable and one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}\right)=\int_{\mathcal{A}_{j}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu_{\pi, j}, t d} d \mu(\pi) . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover $\pi \mapsto \nu_{\pi, j}$ is measurable on $\mathcal{A}_{I}$.
(ii) For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, $i_{I, \pi}$ restricted to $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j, t d}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu_{\pi, j}, \text { td }}$ is an isometry.
(iii) For all $j, i_{I}$ is an isometry when restricted to $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$.

Proof. (i) One uses a covering of $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ by intersections of Weyl chambers for $W$ in $\mathfrak{a}^{*}$ with Weyl chambers for $W_{X}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$. From this, we can construct a partition of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ by measurable cones included in $i$ times these Weyl chambers. Let $\left(\mathcal{C}_{j}\right)$ be the collection of these cones and let $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ be one of these cones. Let $\pi$ be in $\mathcal{A}_{j}$. From Lemma 6.9, the possible $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$-eigenvalues of non zero elements of $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j, \text { conj }}} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ are of the form $\Lambda+\nu$ with $\nu \in \mathcal{C}_{j, \text { conj }}$ and $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}$. From (5.15), $\Lambda+\nu$ is a parameter of the infinitesimal character of $\pi$. As $\Lambda$ is real and $\nu$ imaginary, all such $\nu$ would be $W$-conjugated. As $i \mathcal{C}_{j}$ is contained in a Weyl chamber for $W$, there is at most one such $\nu$. We call it $\nu_{\pi, j}$. Our claim on the measurability of $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ comes from the $\mu$-measurability of $\hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$ (cf. Lemma 6.12) and the $\mu$-measurability in $\pi$ of the projectors $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j, \text { conj }}, \pi}$ as it follows from Proposition 10.1 applied to the Hilbert integral (6.15) and the groups $A_{I}^{0}$ and $G$. The later, the fact that $\hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$ has a complementary set in $\hat{G}$ of $\mu$-measure zero (cf. Lemma 6.12) and (6.16) imply:

$$
P_{\mathcal{C}_{j, c o n j}} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\int_{\mathcal{A}_{j}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu_{\pi, j}, t d} d \mu(\pi)
$$

But as $\mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj }}^{*}$ has a complementary set of measure zero, this equality together with (6.12) imply (6.18). To get the last claim of (i), one remarks that the action of any $\underline{x}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ on this Hilbert integral is given by the multiplication by the function $\pi \mapsto \nu_{\pi, j}(\underline{x})$.
(ii) It follows from Theorem 6.3 and from (i) that $i_{I, \pi}$ restricted to $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j, c o n j}} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes$ $\mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu_{\pi, j}, t d}$ is an isometry for almost all $\pi$. This implies (ii) and (iii).

As $\left(\mathcal{C}_{j}\right)$ is a partition of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, (6.18) implies the equality of $G \times \mathfrak{a}_{I^{-}}$representations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\oplus_{j=1, \ldots, n} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{j} \subset \hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu_{\pi, j}, t d} d \mu(\pi) \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that there is no reason for the $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ to be disjoints.

## $7 \quad$ Scattering operators

### 7.1 Composition of Bernstein maps and their adjoints

Let $i_{I, t d}$ be the restriction of $i_{I}$ (cf. (6.5)) to $\int_{i \mathrm{a}_{I}^{*}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d} d \nu$. Using the isomorphism $\alpha_{I}$ of Theorem 6.1 to identify the source of $i_{I}$ to $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$, and (6.15) to identify the source of $i_{I, t d}$ to $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$, its adjoint $i_{I, t d}^{*}$ is the composition of $i_{I}^{*}$ with the orthogonal projection of $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ onto $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$.
7.1 Proposition. Let $I, J \subset S$. If $I, J$ are of different cardinals, $i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}$ is zero.

Proof. Let usfirst show that $a_{J, I}:=i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}$ is equal to 0 when $\operatorname{CardI}>\operatorname{CardJ}$. This implies $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}_{I}<\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{a}_{J}$. One considers the $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-spectral projection $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ corresponding to the set $\mathcal{A}=\left\{\Lambda+\nu \mid \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}, \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right\}$ viewed as a set of characters of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$. As in Remark 5.11, one looks, using selfadjoint generators, to the spectral decomposition for the action of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ as the spectral decomposition for a vector Lie group. From Proposition 6.10, (5.7) and Proposition 10.1, $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the idendity on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathcal{A}} a_{J, I}=a_{I, J} P_{\mathcal{A}}=a_{I, J} . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathcal{A}}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}\right)=0 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this it is enough, from Proposition 10.1 applied to the Hilbert integral (6.12), to prove that for almost all $\nu^{\prime} \in i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}, P_{\mathcal{A}}$ is zero on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{J, \pi, \nu^{\prime}, t d}$. As the complementary set of $i \mathfrak{a}_{J, \text { conj }}^{*}$ in $i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$ is of measure zero, one can reduce to $\nu^{\prime} \in i \mathfrak{a}_{J, \text { conj }}^{*}$. If $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ is nonzero on $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{J, \pi, \nu^{\prime}, t d}$, from Lemma 6.9, one deduces that there exists $\Lambda^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}_{J}$ such that $\pi \in \hat{G}$ has an infinitesimal character of parameter $\Lambda^{\prime}+\nu^{\prime}$ which has to be $W$-conjugated to an element of $\mathcal{A}$. Hence $\Lambda^{\prime}+\nu^{\prime}$ is $W$-conjugated to $\Lambda+\nu$ for some element $\Lambda$ of $\mathcal{L}_{I}$ and some element $\nu$ of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. Hence, by taking the imaginary parts, one has $\nu^{\prime} \in\left(W i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right) \cap i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$ which is a subset of $i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$ of Lebesgue measure zero for reasons of dimension. This proves (7.2). Hence from (7.1), one sees that $a_{I, J}=0$ if $C a r d I>C a r d J$.
It is clear that $a_{I, J}$ is zero if and only if:

$$
\left(i_{I} f, i_{J} g\right)=0, f \in L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}, g \in L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}
$$

Hence $a_{J, I}=0$ if and only if $a_{I, J}=0$. This finishes to prove the proposition.
7.2 Lemma. Let $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$ (cf. Lemma 6.12 for notation). If $\pi \in \hat{G}$, let $i_{I, \pi, t d}$ be the restriction of $i_{I, \pi}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ and let $i_{J, \pi, t d}^{*}$ be the adjoint of $i_{J, \pi, t d}$. One defines similarly $j_{I, \pi, t d}$ and $j_{J, \pi, t d}^{*}$.
(i) One has:

$$
i_{I}=\int_{\pi \in \hat{G}}^{\oplus} i_{I, \pi, t d} d \mu(\pi), i_{J}^{*}=\int_{\pi \in \hat{G}}^{\oplus} i_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} d \mu(\pi)
$$

(ii) Let $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$ (cf. Lemma 6.12 for notation). Let $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-weight $\nu$. Then any $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weight $\nu^{\prime}$ of $\eta^{\prime}:=j_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} j_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$, is of the form $\mathfrak{w} \nu$ for some $\mathfrak{w}$ in $W_{X}$.

Proof. (i) The first equality of (i) follows from (6.15). The second is obtained by adjunction.
(ii) If $\eta^{\prime}=0$, there is nothing to prove. Let us assume $\eta^{\prime}$ is non zero. By linearity we may assume that $\eta$ is $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$-eigen for an eigenvalue with parameter (cf. (5.16)). As
$\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$, one has $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I, \text { conj }}^{*}$ and from Lemma 6.9, $\eta$ is eigen under $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{I}\right)$ for the eigenvalue $\Lambda+\nu$ for some $\Lambda \in \mathcal{L}_{I}$. We want to look to the possible $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weights of $\eta^{\prime}$. As $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$, one has $\nu^{\prime} \in i \mathfrak{a}_{J, \text { conj }}^{*}$. From Lemmas 6.15 and 6.16 , one sees that the possible $\mathbb{D}\left(X_{J}\right)$-generalized eigenvalues of $\eta^{\prime}$ are of parameters among the $\mathfrak{w}(\Lambda+\nu)$, $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{X}$. But as $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$, one deduces from Lemma 6.12, Conjecture 6.7 and Lemma 6.9 that one of these parameters might be of the form $\Lambda^{\prime}+\nu^{\prime}, \Lambda^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}_{J}$. Hence $\nu^{\prime}=\mathfrak{w} \nu$ for some $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{X}$. This achieves the proof of the Lemma.
7.3 Proposition. If $i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}$ is non zero then $I, J$ are $W_{X}$ conjugated.

Proof. From Proposition 7.1, one knows that $I$ and $J$ are of the same cardinal. One introduces the set $\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }} \mid i_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} \neq 0\right\}$ which is a measurable subset of $\hat{G}$.

Let $P_{\mathcal{B}}$ be the corresponding spectral projection for $G$ on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$. Using the definition of $\mathcal{B}$, (6.15) and the definitions of $i_{I}, i_{J}^{*}$ (cf. (6.5), (6.6)), one sees that $P_{\mathcal{B}} i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}=i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}$. If $i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d} \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is not of $\mu$-measure zero. Hence the set $\mathcal{C}$ of $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ such that $P_{\mathcal{B}} L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d} \neq 0$ is not of Lebesgue measure zero. If $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$, there exist $\pi \in \mathcal{B}, \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ of weight $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ such that $\eta^{\prime}:=j_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} j_{I, \pi} \eta$ is non zero.
From the definition of $\mathcal{B}$, one has $\pi \in \hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$. Then from Lemma 7.2 (ii), for all $\nu \in \mathcal{C}$, there exists $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{w} \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$. Hence there exists a subset $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{C}$ with a non zero measure and $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{w} \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$. This means that $\mathfrak{w} \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ is orthogonal to $J$. As $I$ and $J$ are of the same cardinal, $\mathfrak{w \mathcal { C } ^ { \prime }}$ is not of measure zero in $i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$. Hence $\mathfrak{w} i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}=i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$. Let $\langle I\rangle$ and $\langle J\rangle$ be the subroots systems of the system of spherical roots, generated by $I$ and $J$ respectively. Then $<I>$ is the subset of the root system consisting of the roots which are orthogonal to $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ and similarly for $\langle J\rangle$. Then $\mathfrak{w}$ sends $\langle I\rangle$ onto $\langle J\rangle$. Hence it sends a basis of $<I>$ to a basis of $\langle J\rangle$. Using that all basis in a root system are conjugated by an element of the Weyl group, we see that $I$ and $J$ are $W_{X}$-conjugated. This achieves to prove the Proposition.
7.4 Lemma. Let $I, J$ be $W_{X}$-conjugated. Let $W_{I, J}$ be the set of linear maps from $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ to $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$ induced by the elements of $\left\{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{X} \mid \mathfrak{w} \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}=\mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}\right\}$.
(i) The set $\mathcal{N}$ of $\pi \in \hat{G}$ such that there exists $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu, t d}$, non zero, with $\nu$ not regular in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ is of $\mu$-measure zero.
(ii) For all $\pi$ in the complementary set $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ in $\hat{G}_{\text {conj }}$, if $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ is of weight $\nu$ for $\mathfrak{a}_{I}, \nu$ is $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-regular and the $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weights for the decomposition of $j_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} j_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weight vectors are among the $\mathfrak{w} \nu, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$, which are two by two distinct.

Proof. If $\pi \in \mathcal{N}$ appears in $L^{2}\left(X_{I}, \nu\right)_{t d}, \nu$ has to be singular. Applying Proposition 10.1 to the Hilbert integral (6.12) and to the groups $A_{I}^{0}$ and $G$, one gets that the spectral projection $P_{\mathcal{N}}$ is zero on $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$. Hence using the Hilbert integral (6.15)
and the definition of the spectral projection, one sees that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi, \pi$ is not in $\mathcal{N}$. This proves (i).
(ii) Let $\pi \in \mathcal{A}$. If $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ is of weight $\nu$ for $\mathfrak{a}_{I}, \nu$ is $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-regular. By Lemma 7.2 (ii), any $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weight of $j_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} j_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$ is of the form $\mathfrak{w} \nu$ for some $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{X}$.

It is enough to prove that, if $\nu$ is regular in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{X}$ and $\mathfrak{w} \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$, then $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$. In fact, for such $\nu$, the set $E$ of roots in the root system $<S>$ orthogonal to $\nu$ is precisely $<I>$ and the set $E^{\prime}$ of roots orthogonal to $\mathfrak{w} \nu$ contains $<J>$. But $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ are $W$-conjugated hence are of the same cardinal, as well as $\langle I\rangle$ and $\langle J\rangle$ by the hypothesis. Hence the set of roots orthogonal to $w \nu$ is $<J\rangle$. But it is also equal to $\mathfrak{w}<I\rangle$. Hence $\mathfrak{w a} \mathfrak{a}_{I}=\mathfrak{a}_{J}$. This achieves to prove $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$. This proves the Lemma.
7.5 Proposition. (i) Let $I \subset S$ and let $J \subset S$ minimal, if it exists, for the property $i_{J}^{*} i_{I, t d} \neq 0$. Then

$$
i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}=i_{J}^{*} i_{I, t d} \neq 0
$$

(ii) Let $I, J \subset S$ such that $i_{J}^{*} i_{I, t d} \neq 0$. There exists $J^{\prime} \subset J$ such that $i_{J^{\prime}, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}=$ $i_{J}^{*} i_{I, t d} \neq 0$. In particular $I$ and $J^{\prime}$ are $W_{X}$-conjugate to $G$. Moreover CardJ > CardI or $I$ and $J$ are $W_{X}$-conjugated.

Proof. Let $J^{\prime}$ be a strict subset of $J$. Let us use the notation of (6.7). From the hypothesis and the transitivity of the constant term, one has:

$$
\left(i_{J^{\prime}, \pi}^{J}\right)^{*} i_{J, \pi}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d}=i_{J^{\prime}, \pi}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d}=0
$$

for almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$. Then (i) follows from the the characterization of $t d$ (cf. [11], Theorem 6.12).
(ii) Let $J^{\prime}$ be a minimal subset of $J$ such that $i_{J^{\prime}}^{*}\left(i_{I}\right)_{t d} \neq 0$. Then, from (i), $J^{\prime}$ has the required property. Then from Proposition $7.3, J^{\prime}$ is $W_{X}$-conjugated to $I$. The last assertion follows immediately.

Let $I, J \subset S$. We will denote $I \approx J$ if $I$ and $J$ are $W_{X}$-conjugated.
7.6 Proposition. Let $I, J \subset S$. We will denote $W_{I, J}$ the set of maps $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*} \rightarrow i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$ of the form $\nu \mapsto \mathfrak{w} \nu_{\mathfrak{a}_{J}}$, where $\mathfrak{w} \in \cup_{J^{\prime}} W_{I, J^{\prime}}$ with $J^{\prime} \subset J$ and $J^{\prime} \approx I$.
(i) For $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and all $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}, j_{J, \pi}^{*} j_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$ is zero or its $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-exponents are among the $\mathfrak{w} \nu$, where $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$ and $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ is such that $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0$.
(ii) For $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and all $\nu \in$ i $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, if $v_{\pi, \nu} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}, i_{J, \pi}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} v_{\pi, \nu}$ is zero or its $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-exponents are of the form $\mathfrak{w} \nu, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$.
Notice that $W_{I, J}$ is empty unless CardJ $>$ CardI or $I \approx J$.
Proof. (i) Let $J^{\prime} \subset S$. From Lemma 7.2, one has:

$$
i_{J^{\prime}, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} i_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} d \mu(\pi)
$$

It follows from Proposition 7.3 , that the set of $\pi \in \hat{G}$ such that $i_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} \neq 0$ is of $\mu$-measure zero unless $J^{\prime} \approx I$. Hence the set of $\pi \in \hat{G}$ such that $i_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} \neq 0$ for some $J^{\prime} \subset S$ and $J^{\prime} \not \approx I$ is of $\mu$-measure zero. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the intersection of its complimentary set with the set $\mathcal{A}$ of Lemma 7.4 (ii). Let $\pi \in \mathcal{B}, \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}$ such that $j_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{*} j_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$ is non zero. Let $\nu_{1}$ be an $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weight of $j_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} j_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$ and let $\eta_{1}$ be the corresponding weight component. As in the proof of the preceding Proposition, one finds $J^{\prime} \subset J$ such that $\eta^{\prime}=\left(i_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{J}\right)^{*} \eta_{1}$ is non zero. But $\eta^{\prime}$ is the $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weight component for the weight $\nu_{1}$ of $i_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$ as the later is equal to $\left(i_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{J}\right)^{*} i_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$. Then from our choice of $\mathcal{B}$, one has $J^{\prime} \approx I$. Moreover $\nu_{1}$ is the restriction to $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$ of an $\mathfrak{a}_{J^{\prime}}$-weight $\nu^{\prime}$ of $i_{J^{\prime}, \pi, t d}^{*} i_{I, \pi, t d} \eta$. But from Lemma 7.4 and our definition of $\mathcal{B}, \nu^{\prime}$ is of the form $\mathfrak{w} \nu$ for some $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J^{\prime}}$. (i) follows.
(ii) follows from (i).

### 7.2 Definition of scattering operators

7.7 Theorem. Let $I, J \subset S$ which are $W_{X}$-conjugated. There is a decomposition

$$
i_{J}^{*} i_{I, t d}=i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} S_{\mathfrak{w}},
$$

where the $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$ are continuous $G$-morphisms such that, for the normalized right action of $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ denoted $r$, one has:

$$
S_{\mathfrak{w}} r_{\underline{x}} f=r_{\mathfrak{w} \underline{x}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} f, \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}, f \in L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}
$$

This decomposition is unique.
Proof. Let us consider the intersection of a set $\mathcal{C}_{j} \subset i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ of Lemma 6.19 with the set of regular elements in $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. We call again these sets $\mathcal{C}_{j}$. Their union is equal to $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ up to a set of measure zero. For $j$ given, the union $\mathcal{C}_{j}^{\prime}:=\cup_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} \mathfrak{w} \mathcal{C}_{j} \subset i \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{*}$ is disjoint: if $\mathfrak{w} \underline{x}=\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \underline{y}$ with $\underline{x}, \underline{y}$ in $\mathcal{C}_{j}, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \in W_{I, J}$, as $\underline{x}$ and $\underline{y}$ belong to a same Weyl chamber for $W_{X}$ one has $\underline{x}=\underline{y}$. Then $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1} \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I}$ stabilizes $\underline{x}$ and acts trivially on $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ due to the regularity of $\underline{x}$. Hence $\mathfrak{w}=\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$.
Let $j$ be given. Let us introduce the spectral projection $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}}\left(\right.$ resp. $P_{\mathfrak{w} \mathcal{C}_{j}}, P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}^{\prime}}$ ) for $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$ ) corresponding to $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{w} \mathcal{C}_{j}, \mathcal{C}_{j}^{\prime}$ ). One has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\oplus_{j} P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}, P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}^{\prime}} L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}=\oplus_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} P_{\mathfrak{w} \mathcal{C}_{j}} L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d} . \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that

$$
i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d} P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d} \subset P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}^{\prime}} L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}
$$

From Proposition 10.1 applied to the Hilbert integral (6.15) and to the groups $G$ and $A_{I}^{0}$, it is enough to prove that, for $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$, the image of $i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d} P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$
is a subspace of $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}^{\prime}} \mathcal{M}_{J, \pi, t d}$. But this follows from Lemma 7.4 (ii). Then one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d} P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} S_{\mathfrak{w}, j}, \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
S_{\mathfrak{w}, j}=P_{\mathfrak{w} \mathcal{C}_{j}} i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d} P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}}
$$

It is clear that the $S_{\mathfrak{w}, j}$ are continuous $G$-morphisms which disintegrate as $\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} d \mu(\pi)$. Similarly, for $\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}, r_{\underline{x}}$ disintegrates as $\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} r_{\underline{x}, \pi} d \mu(\pi)$.
Let $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ such that the image $\mathcal{M}_{j, I, \pi, \nu, t d}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d}$ by $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}}$ is non zero. If $v_{\pi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{j, I, \pi, \nu, t d}, v_{\pi} \neq 0$, one has

$$
S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} r_{\underline{x}, \pi} v_{\pi}=\nu(\underline{x}) S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} v_{\pi}, \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}
$$

From Lemma 6.19, one knows that $P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}} \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ has at most an exponent which is necessarily equal to $\nu$. Then from Lemma 7.4 (ii), one sees that, for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, $S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} v_{\pi}$ is of weight $\mathfrak{w} \nu$ for $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$. Hence one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathfrak{w} \underline{x}, \pi} S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} v_{\pi}=\mathfrak{w} \nu(\mathfrak{w} \underline{x}) S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} v_{\pi}=\nu(\underline{x}) S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} v_{\pi}=S_{\mathfrak{w}, j, \pi} r_{\underline{x}, \pi} v_{\pi} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this it follows, by integrating over $\pi$, that:

$$
S_{\mathfrak{w}, j} r_{\underline{x}} f=r_{\mathfrak{w} \underline{x}} S_{\mathfrak{w}, j} f, \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}, f \in P_{\mathcal{C}_{j}} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d} .
$$

Notice that one sees easily that the $S_{\mathfrak{w}, j}$ are unique as their disintegration is clearly unique.
Then (7.3) allows us to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathfrak{w}}:=\sum_{j} S_{\mathfrak{w}, j} \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has the covariance property of the Theorem. It is unique because the $S_{\mathfrak{w}, j}$ are unique.

## 8 Scattering theorem

### 8.1 An intermediate technical theorem

Let $I$ be a subset of $S$. We want to define a partition of $\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. First we choose a partition $\left(\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q}\right)_{q \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, up to sets of measure zero, by cubes of size 1 . We use an increasing sequence of $\mu$-measurable subsets $\mathcal{A}_{n}^{\prime}$ of $\hat{G}$ of $\mu$-measure less or equal to $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whose union is equal to $\hat{G}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{0}=\mathcal{A}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathcal{A}_{n+1}=\mathcal{A}_{n+1}^{\prime} \backslash \mathcal{A}_{n}^{\prime}$. Then $\left(\mathcal{A}_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a partition of $\hat{G}$. We may choose $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ such that all $\pi \in \mathcal{A}_{n}$ satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\pi\left(\mathcal{C}_{G}\right)\right| \leq n,\left\|\beta_{\pi, J}\right\|_{H S} \leq n, J \subset S \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define a partition of $\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}, \mathcal{A}_{n} \times\left(\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q} \cap \mathcal{C}\right)$, where $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is an element of the partition of $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ given in Lemma 6.19. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of $(\gamma, n, q, \mathcal{C})$ for $\gamma \in \hat{K}$ and $(n, q, \mathcal{C})$ as above. For $m \in \mathcal{M}$, let $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ be the image of the isotypic component $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}^{\gamma}$ by the spectral projection corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{n} \times\left(\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q} \cap \mathcal{C}\right)$. One has:

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\hat{\oplus}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{H}_{m}
$$

Our goal is to prove that the scattering operators are unitary. For this we first prove, as in [38], the following technical but important intermediate theorem.
8.1 Theorem. Let $I^{\prime} \approx I$. We use the notation of Theorem 7.7. For all $m \in \mathcal{M}$, $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I^{\prime}}$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_{m}$, one has:

$$
\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}}(v)\right\| \geq\|v\|
$$

Suppose it is false. Then:
There exist $m=(\gamma, n, q, \mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{M}$, a non zero $v_{0} \in \mathcal{H}:=\mathcal{H}_{m}, \delta>0$, $I^{\prime} \approx I$ and $\mathfrak{w}_{0} \in W_{I, I^{\prime}}$ such that $\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}\left(v_{0}\right)\right\|^{2}<(1-\delta)\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}$.
For the rest of the proof, we fix such data and try to get a contradiction. We write $f_{0}=\beta\left(i_{I} v_{0}\right)$, which has the same norm than $v_{0}$ as it follows from Lemma 6.19 (ii). The idea is to choose a suitable $a \in A_{I}$ such that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ large enough, $\left\|a^{n} f_{0}\right\|^{2}<\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}$. This will furnish the desired contradiction as $\left\|a^{n} f_{0}\right\|^{2}=\left\|f_{0}\right\|^{2}=$ $\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}$. One will use special coverings $\left(U_{C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}\right)$, but we will have possibly to reduce the $\varepsilon_{C}$, proceeding by downward induction in $\operatorname{Card} \check{C}$ (as the elements of the covering at the next step might change).

### 8.2 Properties of elements of $\mathcal{H}$

Let $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. Let us denote by $p_{\pi, \nu}$ the orthogonal projection of $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi, t d}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu, t d}$ which commutes to the action of $G$.
Before stating the next proposition, we recall that, for $N \in \mathbb{R}$, the semi norm $q_{N}$ has been defined in (4.10) and for $r \in \mathbb{N}, q_{N, r}$ denotes a Sobolev norm. We denote $q_{N}^{I}$ the corresponding seminorm for functions on $X_{I}$. We will use the notation of section 4.1. In particular we recall the maps $\alpha, \beta$ for $L^{2}(X)$ and their analogues $\alpha_{I}$, $\beta_{I}$ for $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$.
8.2 Proposition. We fix in the following $N \in \mathbb{N}$ greater than $N_{X}$ (cf. (4.16) for the definition of $\left.N_{X}\right)$. Let $m \in \mathcal{M}, J \subset S$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}$.
(i) We define

$$
f=\beta\left(i_{I} v\right) \in L^{2}(X)
$$

If $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ and $\pi \in \hat{G}$, let $v_{\pi, \nu}=p_{\pi, \nu} v_{\pi}$ and $f_{\pi, \nu}=\beta_{\pi} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}$.
We use the notation of Proposition 6.13. For $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, let:

$$
f_{\nu}:=\sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0}^{\prime} f_{\pi, \nu}
$$

where the number of non zero terms in the sum is finite due to Lemma 6.14 (iii). The map $(\pi, \nu) \mapsto f_{\pi, \nu}$ (resp. $\nu \mapsto f_{\nu}$ ) is a $\mu^{I}$-measurable function on $\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ (resp. Lebesgue measurable function on $\left.i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)$ with values in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.
Moreover, there exist constants $c_{r}, r \in \mathbb{N}$, independent of $v \in \mathcal{H}$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{i a_{I}^{*}} q_{-N, r}\left(f_{\nu}\right) d \nu<c_{r}\|v\| . \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ensures that, for all $x \in X$, the integral $\int_{i \mathrm{a}_{I}^{*}} f_{\nu}(x) d \nu$ is absolutely convergent. Moreover the function on $X$ that it defines is equal to $f$.
(ii) There exist constants $c_{r}^{\prime}, r \in \mathbb{N}$, independent of $v \in \mathcal{H}$, such that:

$$
\int_{i \mathrm{a}_{I}^{*}} q_{-N, r}^{J}\left(\left(f_{\nu}\right)_{J}\right) d \nu<c_{r}^{\prime}\|v\|, r \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $q_{-N}^{J}$ is the seminorm (4.10) relative to $X_{J}$. One has:

$$
\left(\beta_{J} i_{J}^{*} i_{I} v\right)(x)=\int_{i \mathrm{a}_{I}^{*}}\left(f_{\nu}\right)_{J}(x) d \nu, x \in X_{J}
$$

where the above inequality ensures that these integrals are absolutely convergent.
(iii)
(a) For $\mu$-almost $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and all $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, the $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weights of $g_{\pi, \nu}:=\left(f_{\pi, \nu}\right)_{J}$ and $g_{\nu}:=\left(f_{\nu}\right)_{J}$ are of the form $\mathfrak{w} \nu, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$.
(b) For almost all $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, the $\mathfrak{w} \nu, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$, are distinct.
(c) For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$ and for such $\nu, g_{\pi, \nu}$ (resp. $g_{\nu}$ ) admits a decomposition $\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} g_{\pi, \nu, \mathfrak{w}}$ (resp. $\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} g_{\nu, \mathfrak{w}}$ ) in $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weights vectors for the weights $\mathfrak{w} \nu$.
(d) There exists a square integrable function on $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, $\nu \mapsto \phi(v, \nu)$, with support in $\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q} \cap \mathcal{C}$, and for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $c_{r}^{\prime \prime}>0$ such that, for all $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$, one has for almost all $\nu$ :

$$
q_{-N, r}^{J}\left(g_{\nu, \mathfrak{w}}\right) \leq c_{r}^{\prime \prime} \phi(v, \nu)
$$

and

$$
\int_{i a_{I}^{*}}|\phi(v, \nu)|^{2} d \nu=\|v\|^{2}, \phi(a v, \nu)=\phi(v, \nu), a \in A_{I}^{0}
$$

Then one can define

$$
g_{\mathfrak{w}}(x):=\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} g_{\nu, \mathfrak{w}}(x) d \nu, x \in X_{J}
$$

and one has:

$$
\beta_{J} i_{J}^{*} i_{I} v=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} g_{\mathfrak{w}} .
$$

Proof. (i) From the definition of $\mathcal{H}$, for $\mu^{I}$-almost all $(\pi, \nu), v_{\pi, \nu}$ is of type $\gamma \in \hat{K}$. Hence it is a $C^{\infty}$-vector. Let us first prove that $(\pi, \nu) \mapsto f_{\pi, \nu}$ is a measurable function with values in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$. One has $f_{\pi, \nu}=\beta_{\pi} i_{I, \pi}\left(v_{\pi, \nu}\right)$. Hence from (4.23), $f_{\pi, \nu}$ is in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$. One wants to apply (11.5). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed as in (4.21). Let $f^{\prime} \in L_{N, k}^{2}(X)$, which is an Hilbert space, be of type $\gamma$. One has:

$$
\left(f_{\pi, \nu}, f^{\prime}\right)=\left(i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}, \alpha_{\pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(v_{\pi, \nu}, i_{I, \pi}^{*} \alpha_{\pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(v_{\pi, \nu}, P_{I, t d} i_{I, \pi}^{*} \alpha_{\pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

From the measurability of the fields of operators $i_{I, \pi}^{*}$ (cf. section 6.2), one sees that the field $v_{\pi}^{\prime}=P_{I, t d} i_{I, \pi}^{*} \alpha_{\pi}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu$-measurable. From the definitions, it follows that $(\pi, \nu) \mapsto v_{\pi, \nu}^{\prime}$ and $(\pi, \nu) \mapsto\left(f_{\pi, \nu}, f^{\prime}\right)=\left(v_{\pi, \nu}, v_{\pi, \nu}^{\prime}\right)$ are $\mu^{I}$-measurable. Then from (11.2), it is $\mu^{I}$-measurable as a map in $L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X)$, and from (11.5), it is $\mu^{I_{-}}$ measurable as a map in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.
Now use Fubini's Theorem for disintegration of measures (cf. Proposition 6.17 (ii)) to conclude that $\nu \mapsto\left(f_{\nu}, f^{\prime}\right)$ is mesurable. Hence $\nu \mapsto f_{\nu}$ is weakly measurable hence measurable as a map into $L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X)$, which is reflexive as the dual of an Hilbert space. Then one can apply (11.5) to conclude that $\nu \mapsto f_{\nu}$ is Lebesguemeasurable with values in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.
From the measurability of $\nu \mapsto f_{\nu}$ as a map into $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp,N }}(X)$ and, as evaluation in a point is a continuous linear form on $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$, one sees that for all $x \in X$, $\nu \mapsto f_{\nu}(x)$ is measurable.
Taking into account (4.24), one sees that there exists $k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$ and all $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ and hence for $\mu^{I}$-almost all $(\pi, \nu)$ :

$$
p_{-N}\left(f_{\pi, \nu}\right) \leq\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\|\left\|\Delta_{1}^{k^{\prime}} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}\right\| .
$$

As $v \in \mathcal{H}$, one has from (8.1) that $\left|\pi\left(C_{\mathfrak{g}}\right)\right| \leq n$ if $f_{\pi, \nu} \neq 0$ which is of type $\gamma$ under $K$. Hence there exists $C_{1}>0$, independent of $v \in \mathcal{H}$, such that $\pi(\Delta) v_{\pi, \nu}=c_{\pi, \nu} v_{\pi, \nu}$, where $\left|c_{\pi, \nu}\right|$ is bounded by $C_{1}$. Hence one has, for $\mu^{I}$-almost all $(\pi, \nu)$ and for all $r_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$, a bound for $p_{-N}\left(\Delta_{1}^{r_{1}} f_{\pi, \nu}\right)$. Hence from (4.5), one gets a bound for $p_{-N, r}\left(f_{\pi, \nu}\right)$. Then from (4.11), one gets a bound for $q_{-N}\left(\Delta_{1}^{p} f_{\pi, \nu}\right)$. Finally, taking $p$ large enough and using (4.5), one obtains a bound for $q_{-N, r}$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ given. Namely, there exists a constant independent of $v \in \mathcal{H}$, say $c_{1, r}$, such that, for $\mu^{I}$-almost all $(\pi, \nu)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{-N, r}\left(f_{\pi, \nu}\right) \leq c_{1, r}\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\|\left\|i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}\right\| . \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$,

$$
\left\|i_{I, \pi}\right\| \leq\left\|i_{I}\right\|
$$

and

$$
\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\| \leq\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\|_{H S}
$$

One considers the map $\phi(\pi, \nu)=c_{1, r}\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\|_{H S}\left\|i_{\pi}\right\|\left\|v_{\pi, \nu}\right\|$. It is measurable as it is the case for the families of operators $i_{I, \pi}$ (see section 6.2) and $\beta_{\pi}$ (from properties of $\alpha_{\pi}$ ). One has

$$
\int_{i \mathbf{a}_{I}^{*}} q_{-N, r}\left(f_{\nu}\right) d \nu \leq \int_{i \mathbf{a}_{I}^{*}} \sum_{\pi}^{\prime} \phi(\pi, \nu) d \nu
$$

and:

$$
\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} \sum_{\pi}^{\prime} \phi(\pi, \nu) d \nu=\int_{\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} \phi(\pi, \nu) d \mu^{I}(\pi, \nu)=c_{1, r} \int_{\hat{G}}\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\|_{H S}\left\|i_{\pi}\right\|\left(\sum_{\nu, \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0}\left\|v_{\pi, \nu}\right\|\right) d \mu(\pi) .
$$

But by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\sum_{\nu, \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0}\left\|v_{\pi, \nu}\right\| \leq \operatorname{Card}(W)^{1 / 2}\left\|v_{\pi}\right\|,
$$

as the number of $\nu$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{\pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0$ is less or equal to $\operatorname{CardW}$ (cf. Lemma 6.14 (iv)). Then again by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$
\int_{\hat{G}}\left\|\beta_{\pi}\right\|_{H S}\left\|v_{\pi}\right\| d \mu(\pi) \leq\|\beta\|_{H S}\|v\|
$$

The existence of $c_{r}$ follows and one has proved (8.3).
This implies that the integral on $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ of $\nu \mapsto f_{\nu}(x)$ is absolutely convergent for all $x \in X$.
Let $f_{1}$ be the function on $X$ defined by $f_{1}(x)=\int_{i \mathrm{a}_{I}^{*}} f_{\nu}(x) d \nu$. We want to prove that $f=f_{1}$. From the first part of the proof, we have established (8.3). Hence $p_{-N}\left(f_{1}\right)$ is finite. Let $h \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$. We want to prove that

$$
\int_{X} f(x) h(x) d x=\int_{X} f_{1}(x) h(x) d x .
$$

Let $<f, h>=\int_{X} f(x) h(x) d x$. From [4], section 1.4, and the definition of $f$ (cf. (i)), one has:

$$
<f, h>=\int_{\hat{G}}<\beta_{\pi} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}, h>d \mu(\pi)
$$

where $\beta_{\pi} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi} \in L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X)$ is viewed as a distribution on $X$. Decomposing $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, t d}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$-weight spaces, we get

$$
<f, h>=\int_{\hat{G}} \sum_{\nu, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu, t d} \neq 0}<\beta_{\pi} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}, h>d \mu(\pi)
$$

Let $(\pi, \nu) \mapsto \phi^{\prime}(\pi, \nu)=<\beta_{\pi} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}, h>$, which is $\mu^{I}$-measurable as $(\pi, \nu) \mapsto f_{\pi, \nu}$ is $\mu^{I}$-measurable with values in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$. Using (4.9), it is bounded by a constant, depending only on $h$, times $q_{-N}\left(\beta_{\pi} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}\right)$. Proceeding as above and using Fubini's Theorem for disintegration of measures (cf. Proposition 6.13 (ii)), one sees that $\phi^{\prime}$ is $\mu^{I}$-integrable and

$$
<f, h>=\int_{\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} \phi^{\prime}(\pi, \nu) d \mu^{I}(\pi, \nu) .
$$

Using Fubini's Theorem, which is allowed by (8.3), one gets

$$
<f_{1}, h>=\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}}<f_{\nu}, h>d \nu
$$

Using again the Fubini's Theorem for disintegration of measures one gets:

$$
<f_{1}, h>=\int_{\hat{G} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} \phi^{\prime}(\pi, \nu) d \mu^{I}(\pi, \nu) .
$$

Hence $<f, h>=<f_{1}, h>$ for all $h \in C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ and $f=f_{1}$ as wanted.
(ii) The proof is essentially the same than the one of (i). One has to change $i_{I}$ in $i_{J}^{*} i_{I}, \beta$ in $\beta_{J}$ and use the equality:

$$
\beta_{J} i_{J, \pi}^{*}(u)=\left(\beta_{\pi}(u)\right)_{J}, u \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}
$$

which follows from the definition of $i_{J, \pi}^{*}$.
(iii) (a) follows from Proposition 7.6 (ii).
(b) is obvious as two linear maps equal on a set of non zero measure are equal.
(c) The space $\mathcal{M}_{J, \pi}$ is a sum of eigenspaces for $A_{J}^{0}$, as $A_{J}^{0}$ acts unitarily, hence also for $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$. Hence the generalized eigenvectors are eigenvectors.
This implies (c).
(d) To prove that the integral defining $g_{\mathfrak{v}}$ converges, one proceeds as in (ii). One has to introduce first the orthogonal projection $p_{\mathfrak{w}, \pi, \nu}$ on the $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-weight space for the weight $\mathfrak{w} \nu$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{J, \pi}$. Applying [38], Corollary 10.4.3, to the $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$-action on $\mathcal{M}_{J, \pi}$ twisted by $-\mathfrak{w} \nu$, one gets that $p_{\mathfrak{w}, \pi, \nu}$ is measurable in $(\pi, \nu)$. Then replace in (i) $i_{I, \pi}$ by $p_{\mathfrak{w}, \pi, \nu} i_{J, \pi}^{*} i_{I, \pi}$.
One has

$$
g_{\pi, \nu, \mathfrak{w}}:=\beta_{J, \pi} p_{\mathfrak{w}, \pi, \nu} i_{J, \pi}^{*} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}, g_{\nu, \mathfrak{w}}:=\sum_{\pi}^{\prime} g_{\pi, \nu, \mathfrak{w}}
$$

To get the bounds of (d), one proceeds as above, using that $p_{\mathfrak{w}, \pi, \nu}$ is of norm less or equal to 1. Defining $\psi(v, \nu):=\sum_{\pi}^{\prime}\left\|\beta_{J, \pi}\right\|_{H S}\left\|v_{\pi, \nu}\right\|$, one sees as in (i) (cf. (8.3)) that there is a constant $c_{1, r}^{\prime \prime}$ such that

$$
q_{-N, r}^{J}\left(g_{\pi, \nu, \mathfrak{w}}\right) \leq c_{1, r}^{\prime \prime} \psi(v, \nu) .
$$

From Lemma 6.14 (iii) and as $v$ is of type $\gamma$, the number of non zero terms in $\sum_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is bounded independently of $\nu$ and $v$ and, from (8.1), $\left\|\beta_{J, \pi}\right\|_{H S} \leq n$. Hence, applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one sees that there exists $c>0$ such that $\psi(v, \nu) \leq c \phi(v, \nu)$, where

$$
\phi(v, \nu):=\left(\sum_{\pi}^{\prime}\left\|v_{\pi, \nu}\right\|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

From the Fubini's Theorem for the disintegration of measures (cf. Proposition 6.13 (ii)), one sees that this function has the required properties.

To prove the last equality of (d), one has simply to use that for almost all $\nu$ :

$$
g_{\nu}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} g_{\mathfrak{w}, \nu,}
$$

the definition of $g_{\nu}$ and the equality in (ii).

### 8.3 From covering to approximate partitions

If $A$ is a subset of a metric space, and $\varepsilon>0, A_{<\varepsilon}$ will denote the set of elements which are at a distance $<\varepsilon$ to $A$.

### 8.3 Lemma. .

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a differentiable manifold with a metric defining the topology and with a Lebesguian measure $m$. Let $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n},\left(U_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ be two finite families of open relatively compact subsets with boundaries of zero measure and such that $\operatorname{clU}_{i} \subset U_{i}^{\prime}$. For $i=1 \ldots n$ and for every $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, there exists an open relatively compact subset $U_{p, i} \subset U_{i}^{\prime} \cap\left(U_{i}\right)_{1 / p}$ such that $\cup_{i} U_{p, i}$ contains the union of the $U_{i}$ and such that

$$
U_{p, i} \cap U_{p, j} \subset\left(U_{j}\right)_{1 / p} \backslash c l\left(U_{j}\right), i<j
$$

Hence the intersections $U_{p, i} \cap U_{p, j}$, when $p$ varies, are contained in a decreasing sequence of measurable sets whose measure goes to zero when $p$ tends to $\infty$.

Proof. We fix $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.
Let $U_{p, 1,1}:=U_{1} \backslash c l U_{2}$ and let $U_{p, 2,1}:=\left(U_{2}\right)_{<1 / p} \cap U_{2}^{\prime}$ which contains $U_{2}$. Let $U_{p, i, 1}=U_{i}$ for $i>2$. Notice that

$$
U_{p, 1,1} \cap U_{p, 2,1} \subset\left(U_{2}\right)_{<1 / p} \backslash c l\left(U_{2}\right)
$$

We proceed by induction on $k \leq n-1$ to construct for $i=1, \ldots, n, U_{p, i, k} \subset U_{i}^{\prime}$, open relatively compact, such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{p, i, k}=U_{i}, i \geq k+2 \\
U_{p, i, k} \cap U_{p, j, k} \subset\left(U_{j}\right)_{<1 / p} \backslash c l U_{j}, i<j \leq k+1 \\
\cup_{i=1, \ldots, n} U_{i} \subset \cup_{i=1, \ldots, n} U_{p, i, k}
\end{gathered}
$$

The construction has been made for $k=1$. Let us assume that the construction has been made up to rank $k$. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
U_{p, i, k+1}:=U_{p, i, k} \backslash c l U_{k+2}, i<k+2 \\
U_{p, k+2, k+1}:=\left(U_{k+2}\right)_{<1 / p} \cap U_{k+2}^{\prime} \\
U_{p, i, k+1} \\
:=U_{i}, i>k+2
\end{gathered}
$$

On sees easily that it has the required property.
Finally we take $U_{p, i}:=U_{p, i, n-1}$ which has the required property.

We will use a riemannian structure on $\bar{X}$ and the corresponding metric. Let us consider a covering of $X$ as in Lemma 3.4 and use the notation before it, namely (??) (3.4), (3.26). We fix $C \in \mathcal{F}$ and we apply the above Lemma to $\mathcal{X}=\bar{X}$ and, for $\varepsilon_{C}<1$, to the open sets $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}} \subset U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, 2}^{\prime \prime}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}$. The sets given by the Lemma are denoted $U_{p, C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}$. In particular one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}} \subset U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, 2}^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{c} U_{C, 2}^{\prime \prime} \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## $8.4 f_{\mathrm{c}}^{C, D}$ and the main inequality revisited

Let us use the notation of the preceding section. Let $C, D \in \mathcal{F}$ with $D \subset C$. For $C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}$ with $C^{\prime} \subset C$, a function $f$ on $U_{C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}^{C^{\prime}}:=\mathfrak{c} \exp _{C}^{C^{\prime}} U_{C, \varepsilon_{C}}$ might be viewed as a function on $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}=\mathfrak{c} U_{C, \varepsilon}$ via the exponential mappings (cf. (3.18)) and translation by c. Notice that, from (3.19), the identification is measure preserving. With these conventions, if $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(X_{D}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}$, let $f_{\mathfrak{c}}$ (resp. $f_{C^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}}$ ) be the restriction to $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}$ of $f$ (resp. of the constant term $f_{C^{\prime}}$ ).

Let $D \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(X_{D}\right)$. For $D \subset C, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}$, we define a function $f_{\mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}$ on $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathbf{c}}^{C, D}:=\sum_{C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset C^{\prime} \subset C}(-1)^{\text {Card } \check{D}-\text { Card } \check{C}^{\prime}} f_{C^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}} . \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take the notation of Theorem 8.1 and (8.2). Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \in L^{2}(X)$ be as in Proposition 8.2.
Let $J:=I(D)$. We want to apply the Main Inequality (cf. Theorem 5.6) to the function $\left(f_{\pi, \nu}\right)_{D, \mathfrak{w}}$, where $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$, of Proposition 8.2 (iii). From Proposition 7.6, this function is zero for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$ unless $\operatorname{CardJ}>\operatorname{CardI}$ or $I \approx J$. Moreover it is $Z(\mathfrak{g})$-eigen as it is equal, from Proposition 8.2 (ii) and (iii), to $\left(\beta_{J, \pi} i_{J, \pi}^{*} i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi, \nu}\right)_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and all maps involved in here are $G$-equivariant.
We use the notation of (??) and (3.4). Using the Main Inequality (cf. Theorem 5.6) for these functions, Proposition 8.2 (iii) (d) and the equality:

$$
\left(f_{\nu}\right)_{J, \mathfrak{w}}=\sum_{\pi \in \hat{G}, \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \nu} \neq 0}^{\prime}\left(f_{\pi, \nu}\right)_{J, \mathfrak{w}}
$$

one gets that there exists a square integrable function $\nu \mapsto \phi(v, \nu)$ on $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, with support in $\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q}, C_{1}$ and $\alpha>0$ both independent of $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\nu, D, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}\left(\mathfrak{c} \omega a_{C} w\right)\right|^{2} \leq C_{1} a_{C}^{2 \rho} e^{-2 \alpha\left\|\log a_{C, D}\right\|}|\phi(v, \nu)|^{2},\left(\omega, a_{C}\right) \in \Omega_{C} \times c l A_{C}^{-}(D), w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\nu, D, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}:=\left(\left(\left(f_{\nu}\right)_{D, \mathfrak{w}}\right)\right)_{\mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\phi$ is as in Proposition 8.2 (iii) (d).
In particular one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}}|\phi(v, \nu)|^{2}=\|v\|^{2}, \phi(a v, \nu)=\phi(v, \nu), a \in A_{I}^{0} . \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D)}$, using Proposition 8.2 (iii) (d), one can define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{D, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}:=\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} f_{\nu, D, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} d \nu \in C^{\infty}\left(U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}\right) . \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define similarly $f_{\nu, D, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}=\left(\left(f_{\nu}\right)_{D}\right)_{\mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}$ and $f_{D, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}=\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} f_{\nu, D, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} d \nu$.
Let us show that, for all $C \in \mathcal{F}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{c}}=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset C} f_{D, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact

$$
\sum_{D \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset C} f_{\nu, D, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{F}}\left(\sum_{C^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset C^{\prime} \subset C}(-1)^{\text {Card } \check{D}-\text { Card }^{\prime}} f_{\nu, C^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c}}\right)
$$

and for $C^{\prime}$ given, $\sum_{D \subset C^{\prime}}(-1)^{\text {Card }}{ }^{\text {D }}$ is equal to 0 if $C^{\prime}$ is not reduced to 0 and to 1 if $C^{\prime}=\{0\}$. Our claim follows from $f_{\nu, \mathfrak{c}}=f_{\nu,\{0\}, \mathfrak{c}}$ and by integration on $i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$.
Using Proposition 8.2 (iii) (d), one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathfrak{c}}=\sum_{D \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset C}\left(\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D)}} f_{D, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}\right), \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention that an empty sum is zero.

### 8.5 Beginning of the proof of Theorem 8.1: upper bound for $\|f\|_{L^{2}(X)}$

One will use notation and results of section 8.4.
Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f=\beta i_{I} v$.
First it follows from our choice of covering as in Lemma 3.4 and from Lemma 8.3, that, for a given $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, the union for $C \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}$ of the $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}$ when $I(C) \not \approx I$ and of the $U_{p, C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}$ when $I(C) \approx I$ is equal to $X$. Using that, one gets our starting point :

$$
\|f\|_{L^{2}(X)}^{2} \leq \sum_{C \subset \mathcal{F}, I(C) \neq I, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{C, \mathfrak{c}}+\sum_{C \subset \mathcal{F}, I(C) \approx I, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}}, p \in \mathbb{N}^{*},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C, \mathfrak{c}}:=\int_{U_{C, c}, \varepsilon_{C}}|f(x)|^{2} d x, I_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}}:=\int_{U_{p, C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}}|f(x)|^{2} d x \tag{8.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dependence in $v$ is implicit in the notation.
If $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D)}$, we introduce similar notation $I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}$ for $f_{D, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}(c f$. (8.10)).
Let $f_{\mathfrak{c}}$ be equal to $f$ on $U_{C \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}$ if $I(C) \not \approx I$ or on $U_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}$ if $I(C) \approx I$, and zero outside. We will use the fact that:

The square of a sum of $n$ positive numbers is less or equal to $n$-times the sum of their squares

Then, one has from (8.12):
When $I(C) \not \approx I$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C, \mathfrak{c}} \leq k_{0} \sum_{D \subset C, \mathfrak{v} \in W_{I, I(D)}} I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{0}=(\operatorname{CardF} \mathcal{F})\left(\operatorname{Card} W_{X}\right)$ and

For $I(C) \approx I$, let us define

$$
I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}:=\int_{U_{p, C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}}\left|f_{D, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

Hence

$$
I_{p, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, C}=\int_{U_{p, C, C, \varepsilon_{C}}}\left|f_{C, \mathfrak{c}}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

The following inequalities follow from (8.12) when $I(C) \approx I$ :
If $\mathfrak{a}_{C} \neq \mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}} \leq k_{0} \sum_{D \subset C, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I(C), I(D)}} I_{p, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}$,

$$
I_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}} \leq k_{0}\left(I_{p, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, C}+\sum_{D \subset C, D \neq C, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I(C), I(D)}} I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}\right)
$$

One will proceed by downward induction on $C a r d \check{C}$ to control each term in the right hand side of the preceding inequalities when $D \subset C$ varies. It follows from Proposition 7.5 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{D, \mathrm{c}}^{C, D}=0 \text { unless } \operatorname{Card} I(D)>C \operatorname{ardI} \text { or } I(D) \approx I \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

8.4 Lemma. Let $D \subset C$ and $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D)}$. From Proposition 7.6, there exist $J^{\prime} \subset I(D)$ with $J^{\prime} \approx I$ and $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \in W_{I, J^{\prime}}$ such that $\mathfrak{w} \nu=\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \nu_{\mid \mathfrak{a}_{J}}$ for all $\nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. We choose a $W_{X}$-invariant inner product on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}$. Let $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{a}_{D}$ in $\mathfrak{a}_{J^{\prime}}$. One writes $i \mathfrak{a}_{J^{\prime}}^{*}=i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*} \oplus i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp *}$. Recall the definition of $\Omega_{C}, \Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime}$ (cf. (3.4))
There exist constants $c>0$ and $\alpha>0$, such that for all $v \in \mathcal{H}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}$ and all $p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ :
(i) If $I(C) \not \approx I$ and $C \neq D$,

$$
I_{\mathfrak{w}, \boldsymbol{C}}^{C, D} \leq c\left(\int_{A_{C, D}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)} e^{-\alpha\left\|\log a_{C, D}\right\|} d a_{C, D}\right)\|v\|^{2}
$$

(ii) If $I(C) \not \approx I$ and $C=D$,

$$
I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \leq c \int_{\Omega_{C} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}} \int_{i a_{D}^{*}}\left|\left(\int_{i a_{D}^{\perp *}} f_{C, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\mu), \mathfrak{w}}(\mathfrak{c} \omega) d \mu\right)\right|^{2} d \lambda d a_{C, D} d \omega .
$$

(iii) If $I(C) \approx I$ and $C \neq D$,

$$
I_{p, \mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \leq c\left(\int_{A_{C, D}^{-}\left(2 \varepsilon_{C}\right)} e^{-\alpha\left\|\log a_{C, D}\right\|} d a_{C, D}\right)\|v\|^{2}
$$

(iv) If $I(C) \approx I$ and $C=D$,

$$
I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \leq c \int_{\Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}} \int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}}\left|\left(\int_{i a_{D}^{* *}} f_{C, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\mu), \mathfrak{w}}(\mathfrak{c} \omega) d \mu\right)\right|^{2} d \lambda d a_{C, D} d \omega
$$

Proof. First using left translations of $f$ by $\mathfrak{c}^{-1}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}$, it is enough to prove the Lemma when $\mathfrak{C}$ is reduced to $\{1\}$, that we will assume now.
(i) Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$. Let us assume $I(C) \not \approx I$. One has

$$
I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}=\int_{\Omega_{C} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}} \int_{A_{C}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)} a_{C}^{-2 \rho}\left|\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} f_{\nu, D, \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}\left(\mathfrak{c} \omega a_{C}\right) d \nu\right|^{2} d a_{C} d \omega,
$$

where $\Omega_{C} \subset \Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime}=V^{\prime \prime} B^{\prime \prime C}$ is relatively compact in $U(X) A_{C^{c}}$ and the measure $d \omega$ on $\Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the product of $a_{C}^{-2 \rho} d u d a_{C^{c}}$ with the counting measure on $\mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$.
Recall that $A_{C, 2}$ is the set of elements of $A_{C}$ with square equal to 1 . Then $A_{C}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)$ is the set of $a_{D} a_{C, D}$ where $a_{C, D} \in A_{C, 2} A_{C, D}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)$ and $a_{D}$ is in the set $A_{D}^{C-}\left(a_{C, D}, \varepsilon_{C}\right):=$ $\left\{a_{D} \in A_{D}^{0} \mid a_{D} a_{C, D} \in A_{C}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)\right\}$. Using $\left(a_{C, D} a_{D}\right)^{\rho}=a_{C, D}^{\rho} a_{D}^{\rho}$ and the fact that $f_{\nu, C, \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}$ is of weight $\mathfrak{w} \nu$ for the normalized action of $A_{D}^{0}$, hence transforms under $a_{D} \in A_{D}^{0}$ by $a_{D}^{\rho+\mathfrak{w} \nu}$, one gets by Fubini's theorem:

$$
I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}=\int_{\Omega_{C} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}} \int_{A_{C, 2} A_{C, D}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)} a_{C, D}^{-2 \rho} \int_{A_{D}^{C-\left(a_{C, D}, \varepsilon_{C}\right)}}\left|\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}} f_{\nu, C, \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}\left(\mathbf{c} \omega a_{C, D}\right) a_{D}^{\mathfrak{w} \nu} d \nu\right|^{2} d a_{D} d a_{C, D} d \omega .
$$

Replacing integration on $A_{D}^{C-}\left(a_{C, D}, \varepsilon_{C}\right)$ by an integration on $A_{D}^{0}$, we get:

$$
I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \leq \int_{\Omega_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}} \int_{A_{C, 2} A_{C, D}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)} a_{C, D}^{-2 \rho} \int_{A_{D}^{0}}\left|\int_{\mathfrak{i a}_{T}^{*}} f_{\nu, \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}\left(\mathfrak{c} \omega a_{C, D}\right) a_{D}^{\mathfrak{w} \nu} d \nu\right|^{2} d a_{D} d a_{C, D} d \omega
$$

Let $J^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \in W_{I, J^{\prime}}$ be as in the statement of the Lemma. We change $\nu$ by $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \nu \in i \mathfrak{a}_{J^{\prime}}^{*}$ and write $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \nu=\lambda+\mu$ with $\lambda \in i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp *}$. One has:
$I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \leq \int_{\Omega_{C} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}} \int_{A_{C, 2} A_{C, D}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)} a_{C, D}^{-2 \rho} \int_{A_{D}^{0}}\left|\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}}\left(\int_{i a_{D}^{\perp *}} f_{\mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\mu), \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}\left(\mathfrak{c} \omega a_{C, D}\right) d \mu\right) a_{D}^{\lambda} d \lambda\right|^{2} d a_{D} d a_{C, D} d \omega$
By the Plancherel-Parseval formula, if $\varphi$ is a square integrable function on $i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}$, and $\hat{\varphi}$ is its Fourier transform, that we view as a function on $A_{D}^{0}$, one has:

$$
\|\hat{\varphi}\|_{\mid L^{2}\left(A_{D}^{0}\right)}^{2}=\|\varphi\|_{\mid L^{2}\left(i a_{D}^{*}\right)}^{2} .
$$

Applying this to

$$
\varphi(\lambda)=\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp *}} f_{\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}-1}^{C, D}(\lambda+\mu), \mathfrak{w}\left(\mathfrak{c} \omega a_{C, D}\right) d \mu
$$

one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D} \leq \int_{\Omega_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}} \int_{A_{C, 2} A_{C, D}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)} a_{C, D}^{-2 \rho} \int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}}\left|\left(\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp}} f_{\mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\mu), \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}\left(\mathfrak{c} \omega a_{C, D}\right) d \mu\right)\right|^{2} d \lambda d a_{C, D} d \omega \tag{8.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

First we assume $C \neq D$. We apply the main inequality (cf. (8.7)) to $f_{\nu, \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}$, with $\nu=\mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\mu) \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$. Then

$$
\left|f_{\mathfrak{w}^{-1}(\lambda+\mu), \mathfrak{w}}^{C, D}\left(\mathfrak{c} \omega a_{C, D}\right)\right| \leq C_{1} a_{C, D}^{\rho} e^{-\alpha\left\|\log a_{C, D}\right\|} \phi\left(v, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\mu)\right)
$$

This leads to the bound
$I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathrm{c}}^{C, D} \leq C_{1} \operatorname{vol}\left(\Omega_{C}\right) \int_{A_{C, 2} A_{C, D}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)} e^{-\alpha\left\|\log a_{C, D}\right\|} d a_{C, D} \int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}}\left|\int_{i a_{D}^{\perp_{D}^{*}}} \phi\left(v, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\mu)\right) d \mu\right|^{2} d \lambda$.
We refer to Proposition 8.2 (d) for the properties of $\phi$. Using that $\nu \mapsto \phi(v, \nu)$ has a support in $\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q}$, which is of volume 1, we can apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to $\int_{i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp *}} \phi\left(v, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime-1}(\lambda+\nu)\right) d \mu$. Integrating the inequality on $i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}$, we get (i).
(ii) is an immediate consequence of (8.18).

The proof of (iii) (resp. (iv)) is similar to the proof of (i) (resp. (ii)) by replacing $I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}$ by $I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}}^{C, D}, \Omega_{C}$ by $\Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime}$ and $A_{C}^{-}\left(\varepsilon_{C}\right)$ by $A_{C}^{-}\left(2 \varepsilon_{C}\right)$ (cf. (??) for the notation).

We will make a choice of an element $a$ of $A_{I}^{0}$. Recall our choice of $v_{0}, \mathfrak{w}_{0} \in W_{I, I^{\prime}}$ given by (8.2).
Fix $C_{0}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{C_{0}}=\mathfrak{a}_{I^{\prime}}$ (cf. (3.11)). Hence $I\left(C_{0}\right)=I^{\prime}$. We choose $a=\exp \underline{x}$ with $\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}$ and $\mathfrak{w}_{0} \underline{x} \in C_{0}^{0}$. Then $\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{w}_{0}^{-1} \mathfrak{a}_{I^{\prime}}^{--}$.
From [38], beginning of section 7.3, one has:
The union

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{J \subset S, J \approx I, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{J, I}} \mathfrak{w a}_{J}^{--} \subset \mathfrak{a}_{I} \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is disjoint.
Hence there are only one $J \approx I$ and only one $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{J, I}$ such that $\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{w a}_{J}^{--}$, namely $J=I^{\prime}$ and $\mathfrak{w}=\mathfrak{w}_{0}^{-1}$. We rephrase this as:

If $J \approx I, J \neq I^{\prime}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{J, I}$, one has $\mathfrak{w}^{-1} \underline{x} \notin \mathfrak{a}_{J}^{--}$ and if $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I^{\prime}, I}, \mathfrak{w} \neq \mathfrak{w}_{0}^{-1}$, one has $\mathfrak{w}^{-1} \underline{x} \notin \mathfrak{a}_{I^{\prime}}^{--}$.
Let us use the notation of the preceding Lemma, in particular the definition of $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp}$ and $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$. Let us show :

One may and will choose $\underline{x}$ as above such that, for all $C$ and for all $D \subset C$ with $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp} \neq 0$, and all $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D)}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \underline{x} \notin \mathfrak{a}_{D}$.

This is because $\mathfrak{w}_{0}^{-1} C_{0}^{0}$ is open and the set of $\underline{x}$ for which it is false is contained in a union of strict vector subspaces of $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$, hence has an empty interior.
Let $a_{n}=a^{n}, v_{n}=a_{n} v_{0}, f_{n}=\beta\left(i_{I} v_{n}\right)$. Notice that $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=\left\|v_{0}\right\|$. The notation introduced for $v$ will be given by a lower and right index $n$ if $v=v_{n}$.
8.5 Lemma. Let $J^{\prime}$ be as in Lemma 8.4. Let $\Omega=\Omega_{C} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Let $\phi \in L^{2}\left(\Omega \times i \mathfrak{a}_{J^{\prime}}^{*}\right)$ with support in $i \mathfrak{a}_{J^{\prime}}^{*}$, contained in a given cube. Let $a \in\left(A_{D}^{\perp}\right)^{0}, a \neq 1$. Then

$$
I(\phi, n):=\int_{\Omega} \int_{i a_{D}^{*}}\left|\left(\int_{i a_{D}^{\perp *}} \phi(\omega, \lambda+\mu)\left(a^{n}\right)^{\mu} d \mu\right)\right|^{2} d \lambda d \omega .
$$

tends to zero if $n$ tends to $\infty$.
Proof. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality applied to the internal integral, using the hypothesis on the support of $\phi$ and then using Fubini's Theorem, one sees that there exists $c>0$ such that, for all $\phi$ as above,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(\phi, n) \leq c\|\phi\|_{L^{2}}^{2}, n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the Lemma, one first treats the case where $\phi$ is a linear combination of tensor products of a function on $\Omega$ and of a tensor product of characteristic functions of bounded intervals in coordinates of $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp *}$. Then by an explicit computation, one sees that the Lemma is true for such $\phi$. Now take $\phi$ general and let $\varepsilon>0$. One can take a function $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ as above such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi-\phi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{2}}<\varepsilon \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(\phi, n)=I\left(\phi-\phi_{\varepsilon}+\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right) \\
=I\left(\phi-\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right)+I\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right)+ \\
2 R e \int_{\Omega \times i a_{D}^{*}}\left[\int_{i a_{D}^{\perp *}}\left(\phi-\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)(\omega, \lambda+\mu)\left(a^{n}\right)^{\mu} d \mu\right]\left[\int_{i a_{D}^{\perp_{D}^{*}}} \phi_{\varepsilon}(\omega, \lambda+\mu)\left(a^{n}\right)^{\mu} d \mu\right] d \lambda d \omega .
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying Cauchy-Schwarz to the integral on $\Omega_{C} \times i \mathfrak{a}_{D}^{*}$, one gets:

$$
I(\phi, n) \leq I\left(\phi-\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right)+I\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right)+2 I\left(\phi-\phi_{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} I\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Applying (8.22) to $\phi-\phi_{\varepsilon}$ and using (8.23), one gets

$$
I(\phi, n) \leq c \varepsilon^{2}+I\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right)+2 c^{1 / 2} \varepsilon I\left(\phi_{\varepsilon}, n\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Hence, as $\phi_{\varepsilon}$ satisfies the Lemma, $I(\phi, n)$ is less than a constant times $\varepsilon$ for $n$ large. This achieves to prove the Lemma.
8.6 Proposition. If $C \in \mathcal{F}$, let $k_{C}=\left(\operatorname{Card} W_{X}\right)(\operatorname{Card} \mathfrak{C})(\operatorname{Card\mathcal {F}})^{2}$. There exists a special covering $\left(U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}\right)_{C \in \mathcal{F}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}}$ such that for all $C, D \in \mathcal{F}$ with $D \subset C$ and $\mathfrak{w} \in W\left(\mathfrak{a}_{I}, \mathfrak{a}_{I(D)}\right)$, one has, for $n$ large enough:
(i) If $I(C) \not \approx I$ :

$$
I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, D} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(k_{0} k_{C}\right)^{-1} \delta\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}
$$

(ii) If $I(C) \approx I$ and $[D \neq C]$ or $\left[D=C\right.$ and $\left.\mathfrak{a}_{C} \neq \mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}\right]$ :

$$
I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, D} \leq \frac{1}{4}\left(k_{0} k_{C}\right)^{-1} \delta\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}, p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

Proof. We proceed by downward induction on $C a r d \check{C}$.
(i) Let $C$ be given as in (i). If $D \neq C$, we use Lemma 8.4 (i) for $f_{n}$ and we reduce $\varepsilon_{C}$ to make the integral $\int_{A_{C, D}^{-}\left(2 \varepsilon_{C}\right)} e^{-\alpha\left\|\log a_{C, D}\right\|} d a_{C, D}$ small enough. Then using that $\left\|v_{n}\right\|=\|v\|$ the inequality of the Lemma is true for all $n$ in that case.
Now assume $C=D$. As $I(C) \not \approx I$, one has $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp} \neq\{0\}$ : indeed if one has $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp}=\{0\}$, then $\mathfrak{a}_{D}=\mathfrak{a}_{J^{\prime}}$ and $I(D) \approx I$ which is not true. We take into account our choice of $\underline{x}$ (cf. (8.21)). We apply Lemma 8.4 (ii) to $v_{n}$ and one can use the preceding Lemma for $a=\exp \underline{y}$, where $\underline{y}$ is the projection of $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \underline{x}$ on $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp}: \underline{y}$ is non zero due to our choice of $\underline{x}$ (cf. (8.21)). One gets the result.
(ii) One proceeds similarly using Lemma 8.4 replacing $\Omega_{C}$ by $\Omega_{C}^{\prime \prime}$. In the second case, one has also $\mathfrak{a}_{D}^{\perp} \neq 0$.

By summation, one deduces:
8.7 Corollary. One has for $n$ large:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{0} \sum_{C, D \in \mathcal{F}, I(C) \not \approx I, D \subset C, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D)}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, D} \leq \frac{1}{4} \delta\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2} \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)

$$
k_{0} \sum_{C, D \in \mathcal{F}, D \subset C, I(C) \approx I,[C \neq D] \text { or }\left[C=D \text { and } \mathfrak{a}_{C} \neq \mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}\right], \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D), \mathfrak{c}} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, D} \leq \frac{1}{4} \delta\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}, p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

### 8.6 End of the proof of Theorem 8.1

From (8.13), (8.15) and (8.16), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq k_{0}\left(\sum_{C, D \subset C, I(C) \neq I, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D), \mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}}} I_{I_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, D}+}^{C, C \subset D, I(C) \approx I,[C \neq D]} \sum_{\left[C=D \text { ord } a_{C} \neq \mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}\right], \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(D)}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, D}+\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{C, I(C) \approx I, \mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}} I_{p, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, C}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first (resp. the second) term of the right hand side of this inequality is bounded from Corollary 8.7 (i) (resp. (ii)) and one gets for $n$ large enough:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq k_{0} \sum_{C \in \mathcal{F}, I(C) \approx I, \mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}, \mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{p, \mathbf{c}, n}^{C, C}+\frac{1}{2} \delta\|v\|^{2} . \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

One has for $C \in \mathcal{F}$ with $I(C) \approx I$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{C}=\mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}$ :

$$
\sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{p, \boldsymbol{c}, n}^{C, C}=\sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} \int_{U_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}}\left|\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(C)}}\left(f_{n}\right)_{C, \mathfrak{w}}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

Using (8.5) and the identification of $U_{C, 2}^{\prime \prime}$ to a subset of $X_{C}$ by the exponential mapping (cf. (3.18)) together with translation by $\mathfrak{c}, U_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}$ might be viewed as a subset of $X_{C}$. Let:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{p, C, n} & :=\int_{\bigcup_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} U_{p, C, C}, \varepsilon_{C}}\left|\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(C)}}\left(f_{n}\right)_{C, \mathfrak{w}}(x)\right|^{2} d x, \\
K_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, n}^{C} & :=\int_{U_{p, C, c}, \varepsilon_{C} \cap U_{p, C, c^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{C}}}\left|\left(f_{n}\right)_{C, \mathfrak{w}}(x)\right|^{2} d x, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{C} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use that the sum of the characteristic functions of a finite number of sets is less than or equal to the sum of the characteristic function of their union with the sum of the characteristic functions of their 2 by 2 intersections. One gets the following inequality, where $\operatorname{Card} W_{X}$ has to be introduced in view of (8.14):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}} I_{p, \mathfrak{c}, n}^{C, C} \leq J_{p, C, n}+\operatorname{Card} W_{X} \sum_{\mathfrak{c} \neq \mathfrak{c}^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{C} \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(C)}} K_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, n}^{C} \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expressing $\left(f_{n}\right)_{C}$ using scattering operators (cf. Theorem 7.7), one gets:

$$
\left.\left.J_{p, C, n}=\int_{\cup_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{E}} U_{C, c, \varepsilon}, C_{C}, p} \mid \sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, I(C)}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{n}\right)(x)\right)\left.\right|^{2} d x
$$

We will use the following elementary fact (cf. e.g. [10], section 10 p. 74): if $\mathcal{C}$ is a convex simplicial cone in a finite dimensional real vector space $E$ and $e \in E$, then the sequence of characteristic functions of $\mathcal{C}-n e=n(\mathcal{C}-e)$ converges simply to 1 (resp. to 0 ) if $e$ is in the interior of $\mathcal{C}$ (resp. $e$ is not in the closure of $\mathcal{C}$ ). Let $C$ be as above. Then developing the square of the sum in the formula for $J_{p, C, n}$ one sees that:

$$
J_{p, C, n}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \in W_{I, I(C)}} J_{p, C, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, n},
$$

where

$$
J_{p, C, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, n}:=\int_{\cup_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{c}} U_{C, c, \varepsilon}, C, p}\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{n}\right)(x) \overline{\left(\overline{S_{\mathfrak{w}}} v_{n}\right)(x)} d x
$$

Notice that

$$
J_{p, C, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}, n}=\int_{\cup_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{C}} U_{p, C, c}, \varepsilon_{C}}\left|\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{n}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

Recall that, via exponential mappings, $U_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}}$, is viewed as a subset of $X_{C}$. Using the properties of scattering operators, one has $\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{n}\right)(x)=\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{0}\right)\left(x \cdot \mathfrak{w}\left(a^{n}\right)\right)$ and making a change of variables, one gets:

$$
J_{p, C, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}, n}=\int_{\cup_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathbb{e}} U_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon, C} \cdot \mathfrak{w}\left(a^{n}\right)}\left|\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{0}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{X_{C}}\left|\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{0}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

If $\mathfrak{w} \underline{x}$ is in the interior of $C$, one has $\mathfrak{w} \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I(C)}^{--}$. Hence by (8.20), one has $I(C)=$ $I^{\prime}, \mathfrak{w}=\mathfrak{w}_{0}$ and $C=C_{0}$. Using translations of cones and Lebesgue dominated convergence, one sees that $J_{p, C, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}, n}$ tends to zero when $n$ tends to infinity unless $I(C)=I^{\prime}, \mathfrak{w}=\mathfrak{w}_{0}$ and $C=C_{0}$. Moreover this convergence is uniform in $p$ as the $U_{p, C, c, \varepsilon_{C}}$ are all contained in a fixed set $U_{C, \mathfrak{c}, 2}^{\prime \prime}(c f . ~(8.5))$ for which the above argument works also. Moreover one has seen that:

$$
J_{p, C, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}, n} \leq \int_{X_{C}}\left|\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}} v_{0}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x, p, n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}
$$

Hence, by using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one sees that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} J_{p, C, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime}, n}=0, C \neq C_{0} \text { or } \mathfrak{w} \neq \mathfrak{w}_{0} \text { or } \mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \neq \mathfrak{w}_{0}
$$

the limit being uniform in $p$. Hence if $\varepsilon>0$ is given, there exists $n_{0}$ such that for all $p$,

$$
J_{p, C, n_{0}} \leq \varepsilon, C \neq C_{0}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p, C_{0}, n_{0}} \leq \int_{X_{C}}\left|\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{n_{0}}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x+\varepsilon \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

One knows that, when $p$ varies, the sets $U_{p, C, \mathfrak{c}, \varepsilon_{C}} \cap U_{p, C, c^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{C}}$, for $\mathfrak{c} \neq \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}$, are contained in a decreasing sequence of measurable sets whose intersection is of measure zero (cf. (3.21)). Recall that these sets are viewed as subsets of $X_{C}$ via the exponential mappings and translation. Hence the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies that

$$
\lim _{p \rightarrow \infty} K_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, n_{0}}^{C}=0, \mathfrak{c} \neq \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}
$$

where we recall that

$$
K_{p, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, n_{0}}^{C}=\int_{U_{p, C, c}, \varepsilon_{C} \cap U_{p, C, c^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{C}}}\left|\left(S_{w} v_{n_{0}}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

Hence, from our choice of $\mathfrak{w}_{0}$ and $v_{0}$ (cf. (8.2)):
We can find $p_{0}^{\prime}$ such that $K_{p_{0}^{\prime}, I, \mathfrak{w}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, n_{0}}^{C} \leq \varepsilon$, for all $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{c} \neq \mathfrak{c}^{\prime}, \mathfrak{w}$.

Choosing $\varepsilon$ small enough and summarizing, we get from (8.13) for $f_{n}$, (8.24), (8.25) for $f_{n}$ and $v_{n}$, (8.26) and (8.27):

$$
\left\|f_{n_{0}}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{3}{4} \delta\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}+\int_{X_{C_{0}}}\left|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{n_{0}}(x)\right|^{2} d x
$$

But, by the properties of the scattering operators and the unitarity of the $A_{I}^{0}$-action,

$$
\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{n_{0}}\right\|=\left\|S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{0}\right\| .
$$

Hence, from (8.2), one has:

$$
\int_{X_{C}}\left|\left(S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} v_{n_{0}}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x \leq(1-\delta)\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

Moreover (cf. Lemma 6.19 (ii)) one has: $\left\|f_{n_{0}}\right\|^{2}=\left\|v_{n_{0}}\right\|^{2}=\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}$. This leads to

$$
\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{4} \delta\right)\left\|v_{0}\right\|^{2}
$$

As $v_{0}$ is non zero, this is absurd. This proves the theorem.

### 8.7 Scattering Theorem for $X$ and its $G$-orbits

In [38], section 14.3, Theorem 7.3.1 is deduced from Theorem 14.3.1. Theorem 8.1 is the analogous of Theorem 14.3.1 of l.c.. Arguing as in l.c., we will deduce:
8.8 Theorem. (i) If $I, J, K \subset S, I \approx J \approx K$, one has:

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{\mathfrak{w}} \text { is an isometry, } \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J} . \\
i_{J, t d} \circ S_{\mathfrak{w}}=i_{I, t d}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J} . \\
S_{\mathfrak{w}} \circ S_{\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}}=S_{\mathfrak{w w}^{\prime}}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{J, K}, \mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \in W_{I, J} . \\
i_{J, t d}^{*} \circ i_{I, t d}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

(ii) Let $c(I)$ be equal to $\sum_{J \approx I} \operatorname{Card} W_{I, J}$. Then the map

$$
\sum_{I \subset S} \frac{i_{I, t d}^{*}}{\sqrt{c(I)}}: L^{2}(X) \rightarrow \oplus_{I \subset S} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}
$$

is an isometric isomorphism onto the subspace of

$$
\left(f_{I}\right) \in \oplus_{I \subset S} L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)
$$

satysfying :

$$
S_{\mathfrak{w}} f_{I}=f_{J}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J} .
$$

Proof. Let $I, J \subset X$ with $I \approx J$ and let $\mathfrak{w}_{0} \in W_{I, J}$. Then, from Proposition 7.5 and Theorem 7.7, one has

$$
i_{J}^{*} i_{I, t d}=i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}=\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} .
$$

With the notation of Theorem 8.1, let $m=(\gamma, n, k, \mathcal{C})$ be any element of $\mathcal{M}$. Recall that $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ is the image of the isotypic component $L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)^{\gamma}$ by the spectral projection for $G \times A_{I}^{0}$ corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{n} \times\left(\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q} \cap \mathcal{C}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}$ be the image of the isotypic component $L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}^{\gamma}$ by the spectral projection corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{n} \times \mathfrak{w}_{0}\left(\left(i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}\right)_{q} \cap \mathcal{C}\right)$. One has $\mathcal{C}$ equal to some $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ of (7.4). Then, from (7.6), for $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$, the restriction of $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{w}, j}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ are equal. It follows from (7.4):
$\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}$ contains the image of $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0} \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}$.
Let $p$ be the natural inclusion $p: \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}$. Then one can write

$$
\left(i_{J} p\right)^{*} i_{I, t d \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}=p^{*} i_{J}^{*} i_{I, t d \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}=S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0} \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}+\sum_{\mathfrak{w} \neq \mathfrak{w}_{0}} p^{*} S_{\mathfrak{w} \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}} .
$$

One has $p^{*} S_{\mathfrak{w} \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}=0$ if $\mathfrak{w} \neq \mathfrak{w}_{0}$ from (7.4) and the fact that $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ has orthogonal images by the different $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$ : if $\mathfrak{w} \neq \mathfrak{w}_{0}, \mathfrak{w} \mathcal{C} \cap \mathfrak{w}_{0} \mathcal{C}=\emptyset$ as $\mathcal{C}$ is contained in $i$-times a Weyl chamber for $W_{X}$. Therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{J} p\right)^{*} i_{I, t d_{\mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}}=S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0 \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}} \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 6.19, the map $i_{I \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}$ is an isometry as well as $i_{J} p$. Let us show that:
Let $a, b$ be isometries such that $b^{*} a$ is defined and $\left\|b^{*} a v\right\| \geq\|v\|$ for all $v$. Then $b^{*} a$ is an isometry and Ima $\subset I m b$.

First $b^{*}$ is an isometry on the image of $b$ which is the orthogonal of the kernel of $b^{*}$. Moreover $b b^{*}$ is the identity on $\operatorname{Imb}$. Then as $\|a v\|=\|v\|$, one has $\left\|b^{*} a v\right\| \leq\|v\|$ which implies, from our hypothesis, $\left\|b^{*} a v\right\|=\|v\|$ and $a v \in I m b$. This proves our claim.
Using Theorem 8.1 and (8.29), one deduces from this, applied to $a=i_{I, t d_{\mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}}, b=i_{J} p$, that $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0} \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}$ is an isometry,$i_{I}\left(\mathcal{H}_{m}\right) \subset i_{J}\left(\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(i_{J} p\right)\left(p^{*} i_{J}^{*}\right)$ is the identity on $i_{I}\left(\mathcal{H}_{m}\right)$. Using (8.29), one gets, as $p p^{*}=p^{*}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{J} S_{\mathfrak{w o}_{0} \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}=\left(i_{J}\right)\left(p^{*} i_{J}^{*}\right) i_{I \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}=\left(i_{J} p\right)\left(p^{*} i_{J}^{*}\right) i_{I \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}=i_{I \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}} . \tag{8.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing over $m \in \mathcal{M}$ the inclusion $i_{I}\left(\mathcal{H}_{m}\right) \subset i_{J}\left(\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}\right)$, one gets that $i_{I}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}\right)$ is contained in the closure of $i_{J}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}\right)$, which is equal to $i_{J}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}\right)$ by [12], Lemma 11.6: the image of $i_{I, \pi}$ is closed as $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ is finite dimensional and $i_{i, \pi}=$ $I d_{\mathcal{H}_{\pi}} \otimes j_{I, \pi}$.
By symmetry one gets:

$$
i_{I}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}\right)=i_{J}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}\right)
$$

If $m \neq m^{\prime}, \mathcal{H}_{m}$ (resp. $\left.\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathcal{H}_{m^{\prime}}$ (resp. $\mathcal{H}_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ ) are orthogonal and one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\hat{\oplus}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{H}_{m}, L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}=\hat{\oplus}_{m \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime} \tag{8.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

the sums being orthogonal. As $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0} \mid \mathcal{H}_{m}}$ is an isometry with image in $\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}$ (cf. (8.28)), one deduces from this that $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}$ itself is an isometry which sends $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}$ for all $m \in \mathcal{M}$. Taking the adjoint in Theorem 7.7 and using unicity of the scattering operators, one sees that $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}^{*}=S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}^{-1}}$. Hence $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}^{*}$ is also isometric and $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}$ is unitary. Summarizing, one has proved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} \text { is unitary and sends } \mathcal{H}_{m} \text { onto } \mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime} \text { for all } m \in \mathcal{M} \tag{8.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that:
The closure $\mathcal{L}$ of the $A_{J}^{0}$-span of $i_{J, t d}^{*} L^{2}(X)$ is equal to $L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}$.
Let $\mathcal{C}$ be one of the $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ of Lemma 6.19 and $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ be as in this Lemma. Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be the image of $P_{\mathcal{C}}$. Then $\mathcal{L}$ contains the closure of the $A_{J}^{0}$-span of $i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$. As spectral projections preserve subrepresentations, $\mathcal{L}$ contains $P_{\mathfrak{w}_{0} \mathcal{C}} i_{J, t d}^{*} i_{I, t d}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$, which is equal to $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}\left(\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ from the definition of $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}$ (see (7.4) and (7.6)). Writing $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ as the Hilbert sum of the $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ with $m=(\gamma, n, q, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{L}$ contains $S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}} \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$, which, from (8.33), is equal to the Hilbert sum of the corresponding $\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime}$. Summing over $\mathcal{C}$, one gets (8.34).
From Proposition 7.3 one sees that $L^{2}(X)_{I}:=i_{I}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}\right)$, which is closed (see above), is orthogonal to $L^{2}(X)_{J}:=i_{J}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}\right)$ unless $I \approx J$, in which case one has seen that they are equal. Hence we have the refinement of [12], Theorem 11.1:

$$
L^{2}(X)=\oplus_{I / \approx L^{2}(X)_{I},}
$$

the sum being orthogonal.
Let us show

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{J, t d} S_{\mathfrak{w}_{0}}=i_{I, t d} \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is enough to check this on every $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ which follows from (8.31), where one can replace $i_{I}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.i_{J}\right)$ by $i_{I, t d}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.i_{J, t d}\right)$ as $\mathcal{H}_{m} \subset L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}$ and $S_{\mathfrak{w o}_{0}} \mathcal{H}_{m}=\mathcal{H}_{m}^{\prime} \subset$ $L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}$.
Let $I, J, K \subset S, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$ and $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \in W_{J, K}$. By (8.35) applied to $\mathfrak{w}$ instead of $\mathfrak{w}_{0}$, one has:

$$
i_{K}^{*} i_{J, t d} S_{\mathfrak{w}}=i_{K}^{*} i_{I, t d}
$$

where again one can replace $i_{I}$ (resp. $i_{J}$ ) by $i_{I, t d}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.i_{J, t d}\right)$. Hence the $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \mathfrak{w}$ equivariant part of $i_{K}^{*} i_{I, t d}$ coincides with the $\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}$-equivariant part of $i_{K}^{*} i_{J, t d}$ composed with $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$. From this it follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathfrak{w}^{\prime}} S_{\mathfrak{w}}=S_{\mathfrak{w}^{\prime} \mathfrak{w}} \tag{8.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the endomorphism $S$ of $\oplus_{J \approx I} L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}$ by :

$$
S:=\sum_{J_{1} \approx J_{2} \approx I, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{J_{1}, J_{2}}} S_{\mathfrak{w}} .
$$

Let $c(I)=\sum_{J \approx I} \operatorname{Card} W_{I, J}$. Then it is easily seen, using (8.36), that $S^{2}=c(I) S$. In particular $\bar{S}=S / c(I)$ is a projection. Moreover its image is the "space of $\mathfrak{w}$ invariants", i.e the space of the $\left(f_{J}\right) \in \oplus_{J \approx I} L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d}$ such that for all $J_{1}, J_{2} \approx I$ and $\mathfrak{w} \in W_{J_{1}, J_{2}}, S_{\mathfrak{w}} f_{J_{1}}=f_{J_{2}}$ : the image of $\bar{S}$ is easily seen to contained in "the space of $\mathfrak{w}$-invariants" and an element of the $\mathfrak{w}$-invariants is its own image by $\bar{S}$.
Set $T:=\oplus_{J \approx I} i_{J, t d}$ as an operator $\oplus_{J \approx I} L^{2}\left(X_{J}\right)_{t d} \rightarrow L^{2}(X)$. Hence $T^{*}=\oplus_{J \approx I} i_{J, t d}^{*}$ and $T^{*} T=S$. It follows that the image of $T^{*}$ contains "the space of $\mathfrak{w}$-invariants". But, taking the adjoints in (8.35), one sees that $\bar{S} T^{*}=T^{*}$. It follows that the image of $T^{*}$ is precisely " the space" of $\mathfrak{w}$-invariants.
Let $f$ be in the "the space" of $\mathfrak{w}$-invariants, i.e. $\bar{S} f=f$. Hence:

$$
\frac{T^{*}}{\sqrt{c(I)}} \frac{T}{\sqrt{c(I)}} f=\bar{S} f=f
$$

This implies (ii). This achieves to prove the theorem.

We have the following corollary of (i) of the Theorem 8.8 and Theorem 7.7, which completes the Maass-Selberg relations (cf. Theorem 6.3):
8.9 Corollary. Let $I, J \subset S$ with $I \approx J$. Then for $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$, the map $j_{J, \pi, t d}^{*} j_{I, \pi, t d}: \mathcal{M}_{\pi, t d}^{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\pi, t d}^{J}$ is a sum of isometries $s_{\mathfrak{w}}, \mathfrak{w} \in W_{I, J}$ such that for all $\lambda \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi, \lambda, t d}$, on has $s_{\mathfrak{w}} \eta \in \mathcal{M}_{J, \pi, \mathfrak{w} \lambda, t d}$.

If $O$ is a $G$-orbit in $X$ and $I \in S$, one has defined (cf. (3.16)) a subset $\mathcal{O}_{I, O}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{I}$ and we define $X_{I, O} \subset X_{I}$ to be the union of the $G$-orbits $O^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{I, O}$. From Lemma $3.2(\mathrm{v})$, the richt action of $A_{I}^{0}$ preserves the $G$-orbits. Hence we have a decomposition in $G \times A_{I}^{0}$-representations:

$$
L^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)_{t d}=\oplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}} L^{2}\left(X_{I, O}\right)_{t d}
$$

One has also a decomposition into $G$-representations:

$$
L^{2}(X)=\oplus_{O \in \mathcal{O}} L^{2}(O)
$$

It follows from Lemma 6.16 that $i_{I, t d}^{*} L^{2}(O) \subset L^{2}\left(X_{I, O}\right)$ and from the definition of $i_{I, t d}$ (cf. (6.4)) that $i_{I, t d}\left(L^{2}\left(X_{I, O}\right)_{t d}\right) \subset L^{2}(O)$. Hence these maps preserve the decompositions above. It is the same for the $S_{\mathfrak{w}}$ as it is already the case for the spectral projections for $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ an $\mathfrak{a}_{J}$ used in the proof of their existences. So one can define operators $i_{I, O, t d}, i_{I, O, t d}^{*}, S_{\mathfrak{w}, O}$ and this leads to a Theorem for $L^{2}(O)$ entirely analogous to Theorem 8.8 for each $O \in \mathcal{O}$.

## 9 On the Harish-Chandra homomorphism

This section is due to discussions with Raphael Beuzart-Plessis. All uncorrections would be due to me.
We use the notation of (5.5). The aim is to prove
9.1 Theorem. Let $t_{\rho}$ be the automorphism of $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)$ given by the translation of polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{*}$ by $\rho$. Then the image of $\mathbb{D}(\underline{X})$ by $\mu_{\emptyset}=t_{\rho} \circ \mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}$ is $S\left(\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}\right)^{W_{X}}$.

From Theorem 6.5 of [21], it is enough to prove:
9.2 Proposition. The morphism $\mu_{\emptyset}$ is equal to the Harish-Chandra homomorphism of Knop.

Proof. We use the notation of the main body of the article in particular of section 3 but we are over $\mathbb{C}$ and we omit to underline $X, \ldots$
The Harish-Chandra homomorphism of Knop is obtained by a twisting of the morphism $i_{h}$ of l.c., proof of Theorem 6.5. Hence it is enough to prove that $i_{h}=\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}$.
First we need, to describe $i_{h}$, a degeneration of our $G$-spherical variety $X$. We have the base point, that we denote here $x_{1}$.
Let $Y=X \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ viewed as $G \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-set. Then $\mathfrak{a}_{Y}^{-}=\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-} \oplus \mathbb{R}$.
We consider the partial toroidal $G \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-compactification of $Y$ attached to the fan with only one non trivial cone $\mathbb{R}^{+}(\underline{x}, 1)$, where $\underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{--}$is in the lattice of cocharacters of $A_{\emptyset}$. We denote it $Z$.
We have a commuting square obtained by fonctoriality of compactifications:

where the right vertical arrow is denoted $p: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
Let $a_{t}$ be the one parameter subgroup in $A_{\emptyset} \subset Y$ corresponding to $\underline{x}$.
Let $s_{1}: \mathbb{C}^{*} \rightarrow Y, t \rightarrow\left(a_{t}, t\right)$. It extends to a regular map $s: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A_{Z}$ where $A_{Z}$ is the closure of $A_{Y}=A_{\emptyset} \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ in the union of the open $P \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$-orbits in the two $G \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ orbits of $Z$ : this follows from the Local Structure Theorem.
Let us prove:
$Z$ is a degeneration of $X$ in the sense of Knop (cf [21], Theorem 1.1).
For $t \in \mathbb{C}$, let $x_{t}=s(t)$ and $X_{t}=p^{-1}(t)$. Then for $t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$ the stabilizer $H_{t}$ of $x_{t}$ in $G$ is equal to the conjugate $a_{t} H a_{t}^{-1}$ of $H$ by $a_{t}$ and $X_{t}=G x_{t}$. It is known that the Lie algebra of $H_{t}$ converges to the Lie algebra of $H_{\emptyset}$ (cf [27] (3.9)). Then the stabilizer in $G$ of $x_{0}$ contains $H_{\emptyset}$. From the properties of compactifications, $p^{-1}(0)$, which is the complimentary set of $Y$ in $Z$, is a $G \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ orbit of dimension $\operatorname{dim} X-1$.

Also, due to the definition of the compactification, the stabilizer of $x_{0}$ in $G \times \mathbb{C}^{*}$ contains the image of $\mathbb{C}^{*}$ by the cocharacter $t \mapsto\left(a_{t}, t\right)$. Hence $G$ acts transitively on $p^{-1}(0)$ and the stabilizer $S$ of $x_{0}$ in $G$ contains $H_{\emptyset}$, hence the unipotent radical of the Borel subgroup $P$. To achieve to prove (9.1) it remains to prove that $p$ is smooth. By $G$-equivariance one can look to this on an open set given by the Local Structure Theorem, $U A_{Z} \approx U \times A_{Z}$, where $U$ is a subgroup of the unipotent radical of $P$. But $A_{Z}$ identifies as a product of the partial toroidal compactification of $A_{\emptyset}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ and such that $p$ is the projection on this factor. Our claim follows.
We need a lemma:
9.3 Lemma. The $G$-variety $Z$ is pseudofree (cf. l.c. section 2, Definition).

Proof. Let $\bar{X}^{\underline{x}}$ the partial toroidal compactification of $X$ associated to the cone $\mathbb{R}^{+} \underline{x}$. By functoriality of compactifications (cf [20], Th. 4.1) we have a commutative diagram:

where horizontal arrows are the natural embeddings and the left (resp. right) vertical arrow is the first projection denoted $p_{1}$ (resp. is denoted $p_{\underline{x}}$ ).
The set $Y$ is the set of points of $Z$ whose $G$-orbits are of maximal dimension. From [21], Lemma 2.4, one has to show that the map $j: Y \rightarrow \operatorname{Grass}_{\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{LieH}}(\mathfrak{g})$, $y \mapsto \operatorname{Lie}_{y}$, extends smoothly to $Z$. But $j$ factors through $p_{1}$ in a map denoted $j^{\prime}$ on $X$. Moreover $j^{\prime}$ extends smoothly to $\bar{X}^{\underline{x}}$ : $G$-equivarance, this might be seen on an open set given by the Local Structure Theorem. Together with (9.2), this achieves to prove the Lemma.

If $Z$ is a smooth $G$-variety, one has a subsheaf of the sheaf of differential operators on $X, \mathfrak{U}_{X}$, as defined in l.c., beginning of section 2 . Let $Z$ be any $G$ variety, $\tilde{Z}$ be a pseudofree smooth $G$-variety and let $\phi: \tilde{Z} \rightarrow Z$ be an equivariant, birational, proper morphism. Following [21], section 3, Definition, one defines a sheaf by:

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{Z}:=\phi_{*} \mathfrak{U}_{\tilde{Z}} \subset \mathcal{D}_{Z}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{Z}$ is the sheaf of differential operators on $Z$. Moreover $\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{Z}$ does not depend on $\phi$ : cf. l.c. after the Definition in section 3.
If $Z$ is smooth and pseudofree, one can take $\tilde{Z}=Z$ and one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{Z}=\mathfrak{U}_{Z} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Z^{\prime} \subset Z$ is open but not necessarily pseudofree, let us show:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{Z \mid Z^{\prime}}=\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{Z^{\prime}} \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For this one looks to the commutative diagram:

and one remarks that $\phi^{-1}\left(Z^{\prime}\right)$ is pseudofree as an open subset of a pseudofree variety. Our claim follows.
For any equivariant completion $Z \rightarrow \bar{Z}$, Knop defines (cf. l.c. Definition in section $3)$ :

$$
\mathfrak{U}(Z)=H^{0}\left(\bar{Z}, \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{\bar{Z}}\right)
$$

which is independent of the completion and might be viewed as a subspace of $\mathcal{D}(Z)$ (cf. l.c.) and one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{U}(Z) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{\bar{Z}}(Z) \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9.4) applied with $Z$ equals to $\bar{Z}$ and $Z^{\prime}$ equals to $Z$, one gets

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{\bar{Z}}(Z)=\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{Z}(Z)
$$

If $Z$ is pseudofree, using (9.3) one gets:

$$
\overline{\mathfrak{U}}_{\bar{Z}}(Z)=\mathfrak{U}_{Z}(Z)
$$

Hence, using (9.5), one gets:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{U}(Z) \subset \mathfrak{U}_{Z}(Z) \tag{9.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We come back to the notation of the beginning of the proof of the Proposition. As $X$ is spherical, one has (cf. l.c. Corollary 6.3) $\mathbb{D}(X) \subset \mathfrak{U}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{U}(X)$ identifies to $\mathfrak{U}(Z)$ (cf l.c Lemma 3.5 and 3.1). Then (9.6) implies that $u \in \mathbb{D}(X)$ extends to a $G$-invariant differential operator $\tilde{u}$ on $Z$ which in $\mathfrak{U}_{Z}(Z)$. Then this operator "restricts" to a differential operator to $G / S=p^{-1}(0) \subset Z$ which is precisely $i_{h}(u)$ (cf. l.c., proof of Theorem 6.5).
Then $i_{h}(u)$ is easily computed. Let $f$ be a regular function on an open affine set of $Z$ containing $x_{0}$.
Then

$$
(\tilde{u} f)\left(x_{0}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0}(\tilde{u} f)\left(x_{t}\right) .
$$

If $t$ is non zero, $(\tilde{u} f)\left(x_{t}\right)=((u \otimes 1) f)\left(x_{t}\right)$. From [11], (C.3), $u$ is given by the right action, $R$, of an element $v$ of the enveloping algebra of the Borel subalgebra, called $\mathfrak{p}$ in the main body of the present article. Then, taking $t=e^{-s}$, with $s$ going to $+\infty$, one has:

$$
(\tilde{u} f)\left(x_{t}\right)=\left(L_{u_{s}} f\right)\left(x_{t}\right),
$$

where $u_{s}=A d(\exp s \underline{x}) u$. Then going to the limit, and using the definition of $\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}$ (cf. (5.5) and [11], Theorem C.5), one gets that:

$$
(\tilde{u} f)\left(x_{0}\right)=\left(L_{\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}(u)}\right) f\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Using the $G$-invariance, one sees that the restriction of $\tilde{u}$ to $X_{0}$ is given by $R_{\mu_{\emptyset}^{\prime}}$ as wanted.

## 10 Spectral projections and Hilbert integrals of unitary representations

Let $G$ be a locally compact group of type I. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Borel subset of $\hat{G}$. Let $\rho$ be a continuous unitary representation of $G$ in a separable Hilbert space $H$. Let us consider a central disintegration of $(\rho, H)$. Then $\rho$ identifies with to $\left(\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \rho_{\pi} d \mu(\pi), \int_{\tilde{G}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi} d \mu(\pi)\right)$, where $\left(\rho_{\pi}, H_{\pi}\right)$ is a representation of $G$ which is a multiple of $\pi$. We define the spectral projector $P_{\mathcal{A}, \rho}$ as the orthogonal projection on $\int_{\mathcal{A}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi} d \mu(\pi)$. This projection does not depend on the choice of the central disintegration.
10.1 Proposition. Let $G, G^{\prime}$ be locally compact groups of type I and let $(\rho, H)$ be a continuous unitary representation of $G \times G^{\prime}$ with central disintegration with respect to $G,\left(\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \rho_{\pi} d \mu(\pi), \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi} d \mu(\pi)\right)$. Then for $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}, G^{\prime}$ acts on $H_{\pi}$ by a representation denoted $\rho_{\pi}^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Borel subset of $\hat{G}^{\prime \prime}$. Then the family of projectors $\pi \mapsto P_{\mathcal{A}, \rho_{\pi}^{\prime}}$ is $\mu$-measurable and $P_{\mathcal{A}, \rho}=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} P_{\mathcal{A}, \rho_{\pi}^{\prime}} d \mu(\pi)$.
Proof. One considers a central disintegration of $\rho$ as a representation of $G \times G^{\prime}$. Hence we can identify $(\rho, H)$ with

$$
\left(\int_{\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}}^{\oplus} \rho_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} d \hat{\mu}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right), \int_{\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} d \hat{\mu}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Let $\mu_{1}$ (resp. $\mu_{1}^{\prime}$ ) be the image of the measure $\hat{\mu}$ by the first projection $p: \hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \hat{G}$ (resp. second projection: $\left.p^{\prime}: \hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime} \rightarrow \hat{G}^{\prime}\right)$ ). Then $\hat{\mu}$ admits a disintegration with respect to $\mu_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mu_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in measures $\mu_{\pi}^{\prime}$ on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}\left(\operatorname{resp} \mu_{\pi^{\prime}}\right.$ on $\left.\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}\right)$. Moreover $\mu_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is zero outside $\{\pi\} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\mu_{\pi^{\prime}}$ is zero outside $\hat{G} \times\left\{\pi^{\prime}\right\}$ ), hence might be viewed as a measure on $\hat{G}^{\prime}$ (resp. $\hat{G}$ ). Then there are natural isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
& i: \int_{\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} d \hat{\mu}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus}\left(\int_{\hat{G}^{\prime}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} d \mu_{\pi}^{\prime}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\right) d \mu_{1}(\pi) .  \tag{10.1}\\
& i^{\prime}: \int_{\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} d \hat{\mu}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \int_{\hat{G}^{\prime}}^{\oplus}\left(\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} d \mu_{\pi^{\prime}}(\pi)\right) d \mu_{1}^{\prime}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) . \tag{10.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the right hand of (10.1) (resp. (10.2)) is a central disintegration of $\rho$ viewed as a representation $G$ (resp. $G^{\prime}$ ) and $\mu_{1}$ is a spectral measure for the representation $\rho$ of $G$. There is no harm to prove the Proposition for this central disintegration of $\rho$ with respect to $G$. Let $v \in H$. Looking to the isomorphism $i^{\prime}$, one sees that $P_{\mathcal{A}, \rho} v$ is equal to $v_{\mathcal{A}}$ defined by $v_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)=v\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ if $\pi^{\prime} \in \mathcal{A}$ and 0 otherwise. Then $i\left(v_{\mathcal{A}}\right)$
is a measurable field and has the required form.
10.2 Proposition. One keeps the notation of the preceding proposition. We assume that, for $\mu$-almost all $\pi, H_{\pi}$, as a representation of $G \times G^{\prime}$, is a representation of the form $\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ is a representation of $G$ unitarily equivalent to $\pi$ and $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ is a finite sum of unitary irreducible representations of $G^{\prime}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}=\oplus_{\pi^{\prime} \in A(\pi)} \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}}$ be its decomposition in multiple of irreducible representations of $G^{\prime}: A(\pi)$ is a finite subset of $\hat{G}^{\prime}$ possibly empty if $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}=\{0\}$. Then there is a central disintegration of the representation $\rho$ of $G \times G^{\prime}$, with corresponding measure $\hat{\mu}^{c}$ on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$, such that, for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, $\left(\hat{\mu}^{c}\right)_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is the counting measure on $A(\pi)$ and $H_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}}=\mathcal{H}_{\pi} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}}$ if $\pi^{\prime} \in A(\pi)$ and zero otherwise.

Proof. One takes a central disintegration of the representation $\rho$ of $G \times G^{\prime}$, as in the proof of the preceding Proposition. We use the notation of this proof. As the right hand side of (10.1) is a central disintegration for the representation $\rho$ of $G$ (see the proof above), $\mu_{1}$ is a spectral measure for this representation. Then $\mu$ and $\mu_{1}$ are equivalent. By multiplying $\hat{\mu}$ by a measurable function of $\pi$, one can assume that $\mu_{1}=\mu$. We will assume this in the sequel. Hence from the unicity of the central disintegration, for $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$ one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\hat{G}^{\prime}}^{\oplus} H_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} d \mu_{\pi}^{\prime}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right) \simeq \oplus_{\pi^{\prime} \in A(\pi)} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{M}_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} \tag{10.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $\hat{G}_{1}$ of $\pi$ such that $A(\pi)$ is not empty is $\mu$-measurable as it is the set of $\pi$ such that $\mu_{\pi}^{\prime} \neq 0$. For $\pi \in \hat{G}_{1}, \mu_{1, \pi}^{\prime}:=\mu_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is an atomic measure with atoms the elements of $A(\pi)$, otherwise it is zero.
As $G^{\prime}$ is of type I, the Borel structure on $\hat{G}^{\prime}$ is given by the Borel structure of a standard Borel set in which the finite sets are closed hence borelian. One wants to modify $\hat{\mu}$ in order that for $\mu$-almost $\pi, \mu_{\pi}^{\prime}$ is the counting measure on $A(\pi)$. If it has this property, it satisfies the statement of the Proposition by uniqueness of the central disintegration of $\mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ and (10.3).
Let us first show that $A(\pi) \subset \hat{G}^{\prime}$ varies weakly measurably in $\pi \in \hat{G}$, i.e. if $U$ is a measurable subset of $\hat{G}^{\prime}$, the set $V:=\{\pi \in \hat{G} \mid U \cap A(\pi) \neq \emptyset\}$ is $\mu$-measurable.
In fact, from the definitions, $V=\left\{\pi \in \hat{G} \mid \mu_{\pi}^{\prime}(\hat{G} \times U) \neq 0\right\}$ which is $\mu$-measurable as the family of measure $\left(\mu_{\pi}^{\prime}\right)$ is $\mu$-measurable by the disintegration theorem.
Then using the measurable selection theorem of Kuratowski-Ryll-Nardzewski, one can define a $\mu$-measurable map $\alpha_{1}: \hat{G}_{1} \rightarrow \hat{G}^{\prime}$ such that $\alpha_{1}(\pi) \in A(\pi)$ for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$.
Let $A$ be a Borel subset of $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$. Let $\nu_{1, \pi}=\delta_{\left(\pi, \alpha_{1}(\pi)\right)}$ be the Dirac measure at $\left(\pi, \alpha_{1}(\pi)\right)$ on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$ and zero if $\pi \in \hat{G} \backslash \hat{G}_{1}$. Then if $\pi \in \hat{G}_{1}$

$$
\nu_{1, \pi}(A)=1_{A}\left(\pi, \alpha_{1}(\pi)\right)
$$

where $1_{A}$ is the characteristic function of $A$ and zero otherwise. Hence, using composition of measurable functions one sees that $\left(\nu_{1, \pi}\right)$ is a $\mu$-measurable family of
measures on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$.
Let us show that the set of $\left(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}\right)_{1}:=\left\{\left(\pi, \alpha_{1}(\pi)\right) \mid \pi \in \hat{G}_{1}\right\}$ is measurable subset of $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$ as a graph measurable map is measurable. Let $d$ be a continuous distance function on the standard Borel set whose Borel structure gives the Borel structure on $\hat{G}^{\prime}$. Then $\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \mapsto d\left(\pi^{\prime}, \alpha_{1}(\pi)\right)$ is measurable on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$ and its zero set is $\left(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}\right)_{1}$.

Then one defines

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{1, \pi} & :=1_{\left(\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}\right)_{1}} \mu_{1, \pi}^{\prime}, \\
\mu_{2, \pi}^{\prime} & =\mu_{1, \pi}^{\prime}-\theta_{1, \pi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These are $\mu$-measurable family of measures on $G \times G^{\prime}$.
The set of atoms of $\mu_{2, \pi}^{\prime}$ is empty if $\pi \notin \hat{G}_{1}$, and contained in $\left\{\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right) \mid \pi^{\prime} \in\right.$ $A(\pi) \backslash\left\{\alpha_{1}(\pi)\right\}$ if $\pi \in \hat{G}_{1}$. Proceeding inductively one finds a finite sequence of $\mu$-measurable families of measures such $\nu_{n, \pi}$ on $\hat{G} \times \hat{G}^{\prime}$ such that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}, \sum_{n} \nu_{n, \pi}$ is the counting measure $\mu_{\pi}^{\prime c}$ on $\{\pi\} \times A(\pi)$. Hence this family of counting measures is $\mu$-measurable.
We choose define

$$
\hat{\mu}^{c}:=\int_{\hat{G}} \mu_{\pi}^{c} d \mu(\pi)
$$

It has the required property of disintegration. Using disintegration of measures and the fact that $\mu_{\pi}^{\prime}$ and $\mu_{\pi}^{c}$ are equivalent one sees that the measures $\hat{\mu}$ and $\hat{\mu}^{c}$ have the same borelian set of measure zero. Hence they are equivalent. Then $\hat{\mu}^{c}$ satisfies the properties of the Proposition.

## 11 A measurability property

Let $(Y, m)$ a measure space. If $F$ is a Fréchet space, we define a step function on $Y$ with values in $F$ as a function which is constant on each of a finite number of disjoint measurable sets whose union is the whole space and a function $f$ on $Y$ with values in $F$ is said measurable if it is the strong limit almost everywhere of a sequence of step functions. Actually one has:

If $F$ is a separable Banach space, $f$ is measurable if and only it is approximated uniformly, outside a set of measure zero, by a sequence of countably valued functions (cf. [36], Corollary 1.12).

There is an obvious definition of weak measurability of a map on $Y$ with values in $F$ : the complex valued function given by composition with any continuous linear form has to be measurable. We will prove:

For functions in separable Fréchet spaces, weak measurability implies measurability.

For Banach spaces, this is due to Pettis (cf. [36], Theorem 1.1).
Let $F$ be a separable Fréchet space whose topology is given by a sequence of norms $p_{n}$ that we can assume to be increasing. Let $F_{n}$ be the Banach completion of $F$ endowed with the norm $p_{n}$ which is separable as $F$ is separable. Let $f$ be a function from $Y$ in $F$ which is weakly-measurable. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is also weakly measurable hence measurable as a function in $F_{n}$ by Pettis' theorem. By (11.1), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a step function on $E, g_{n}$ with values in $F_{n}$, such that outside a set of measure zero, $\left.p_{n}\left(g_{n}(x)\right)-f(x)\right)<1 / 2 n$. Using the density of $F$ in $F_{n}$, one can find for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a step function $f_{n}$ in $F$ such that $p_{n}\left(f_{n}(x)-g_{n}(x)\right)<1 / 2 n$ outside a set of measure zero. Using that $\left(p_{n}\right)$ is increasing, one sees that for $k \leq n$, $p_{k}\left(f_{n}(x)-f(x)\right)<1 / n$ outside a set of measure zero. Hence the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ of functions in $F$ converges strongly almost everywhere to $f$. Hence $f$ is a function in $F$ which is measurable. This proves our claim.
One has:
The space $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ is separable.
This might be seen as follows. Let $\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a dense sequence in $L^{2}(X)$ of compactly supported functions. Let $\left(g_{n}\right)$ be a Dirac sequence in $C_{c}^{\infty}(G)$. Then one sees easily that $\left(f_{n, p}\right)=\left(g_{p} \star f_{n}\right)$ is dense in $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$.
We use the notation of section 4.2.
Let $p, k \in \mathbb{N}, N \in \mathbb{R}$. As $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X) \subset L_{-N}^{2}(X)$, one may view $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)$ as a subspace of $L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X):=\left(L_{N, k}^{2}(X)\right)^{\prime}$. Let us show:

The space $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ is a dense subspace of the continuous dual $L_{N,-p}^{2}(X)$ of $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)$.

Notice that $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)$ is an Hilbert space, hence is reflexive. To prove the density of $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$, one looks to the orthogonal of $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ in $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X) \subset L_{-N}^{2}(X)$ which is clearly reduced to zero. Our claim follows.

Let $p, k \in \mathbb{N}, N \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$. Let $(Y, m)$ be a measured space. Let $f: Y \rightarrow$ $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)\right) \subset L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X)$ be a measurable function as a function into $L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X)$. Then it is measurable as a function into $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)\right)$.

Let us prove the first claim. Let $\left(\phi_{n}\right)$ be a dense sequence in $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ (cf. (11.3)). Viewing each $\phi_{n}$ as an element of the dual of $L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X),<\phi_{n}, f>$ is a measurable scalar function on $Y$. Let $T$ be an element of the dual $L_{N,-p}^{2}(X)$ of $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)$. By (11.4), $T$ can be approximated by a sequence of elements of $C_{c}^{\infty}(X)$ taken among the $\phi_{n}$. Hence $<T, f>$ is measurable as a simple limit of a sequence of measurable scalar functions. Using Pettis theorem for Banach spaces, one gets the first claim. Let us recall (cf (4.12)) that

$$
C_{t e m p, N}^{\infty}(X)=L_{-N}^{2}(X)^{\infty} .
$$

The second claim follows from the first one and from the theorem of Pettis for Fréchet spaces, as any continuous linear form on $L_{-N}^{2}(X)^{\infty}$ extends continuously to $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)$ for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
We use the notation of section 4.1 and we make the choice of $N$ and $k$ as in (4.21).
11.1 Lemma. Let $v=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} v_{\pi} d \mu(\pi) \in \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi)$ be $K$-finite, Then:
(i) For $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, one has $f_{\pi}:=\beta_{\pi}\left(v_{\pi}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.
(ii) $\pi \mapsto f_{\pi}$ is measurable as a map from $\hat{G}$ to $L_{-N, p}^{2}(X)$ for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iii) $\pi \mapsto f_{\pi}$ is measurable as a map from $\hat{G}$ to $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.

Proof. (i) follows from (4.23) and from the fact that $K$-finite elements in $\mathcal{H}_{\pi}$ are $C^{\infty}$-vectors.
(ii) Let us show the map $\pi \mapsto f_{\pi}$ is measurable as a function into $L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X)$. By Pettis Theorem it is enough to prove that it is weakly measurable. As $L_{N, k}^{2}(X)$ is a Hilbert space, the continuous dual of $L_{-N,-k}^{2}(X)$ is $L_{N, k}^{2}(X)$. If $h \in L_{N, k}^{2}(X)$,

$$
<h, f_{\pi}>=<h, \beta_{\pi}\left(v_{\pi}\right)>=<\alpha_{\pi}(h), v_{\pi}>
$$

which is measurable as $\pi \mapsto \alpha_{\pi}(f)$ is a measurable field (cf. (4.18)). Our claim follows. Then (ii) follows from the first claim of (11.5).
(iii) follows from the second claim of (11.5).

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be as in [11], Lemma 8.2: it is a closed set of characters of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mu$-almost all $\pi \in \hat{G}$ have an infinitesimal character which belongs to $\mathbb{X}$.
11.2 Lemma. If $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X}$, let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X)$ be the set of functions on $X$ which are non zero elements of $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$ and which are eigen for a character of $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ belonging to $\mathbb{Y}$. Then $\mathbb{X}$ is the union of a finite family of borelian subsets of $\mathbb{X}$ such that, for any $\mathbb{Y}$ in this family, $f \mapsto f_{I}$ is continuous from $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X)$, endowed with the topology of $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$, to $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N^{\prime}}\left(X_{I}\right)$ for $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large and independent of $\mathbb{Y}$.

Proof. We start with functions which are zero outside $G / H$. For other orbits, one has only to change the base point.
Let us first show :
Let $\mathbb{Y} \subset \mathbb{X}$ and $\left(f_{n}\right)$ be a converging sequence in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X)$ to $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X)$. If the sequence of the constant terms of the $f_{n},\left(f_{n, I}\right)$, converges simply to $f_{I}$, and similarly for the left derivatives by elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, then it converges also in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N^{\prime}}\left(X_{I}\right)$ for $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large and independent of $\mathbb{Y}$.

Let us recall that one has defined seminorms $q_{-N}, p_{-N}$ for functions on $X$ (cf (4.10)). We denote by $q_{-N}^{I}, p_{-N}^{I}$ the corresponding seminorms for functions on $X_{I}$. As $\left(f_{n}\right)$ converges, it is bounded in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp,N}}(X)$. Then it follows from Theorem 5.3 (ii) that $\left(q_{-N-N_{I}}^{I}\left(f_{n, I}\right)\right)$ is bounded. This is a uniform bound for the functions
$f_{n, I}$. Together with (4.9), this implies that for $N^{\prime}$ sufficiently large, the sequence ( $p_{-N^{\prime}}^{I}\left(f_{n, I}\right)$ ) is bounded. Moreover from our hypothesis of simple convergence of the sequence ( $f_{n, I}$ ) and our uniform bound, one can use again (4.9) and apply Lebesgue dominated convergence to get that the sequence $\left(p_{-N^{\prime}}^{I}\left(f_{n, I}-f_{I}\right)\right)$ tends to zero. Applying this to derivatives, this proves our claim.
One covers $\mathbb{X}$ by the finite family of $\mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}, j=1, \ldots, s$, of [11] Lemma 8.4, which are measurable (cf. l.c. Lemma 8.3). In l.c. Lemma 8.4, for each $\Lambda \in \mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}$ a representation $\rho_{\Lambda}$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ in a fixed space $U_{j}$ is defined. Let $\Gamma_{\Lambda}(\underline{x})={ }^{t} \rho_{\Lambda}(\underline{x}), \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}$. A joint eigenvalue, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{I, \mathbb{C}}^{*}$, of the $\Gamma_{\Lambda}(\underline{x}), \underline{x} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}$ is called an eigenvalue of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$.
Let $\underline{x}_{1}, \ldots, \underline{x}_{p}$, be a basis of $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$. We fix $j$. Then (cf. l.c. Lemma 8.4 (i)) $\Gamma_{\Lambda}\left(\underline{x}_{k}\right)$ (or $\left.\rho_{\Lambda}\left(\underline{x}_{k}\right)\right)$ is varying continuously for $\Lambda \in \mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}$. From l.c. Lemma 8.4 (ii), there exist continuous functions on $\mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}, \lambda_{1}(\Lambda), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(\Lambda)$ such that the set $J(\Lambda)$ of eigenvalues of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right)$ is contained in $I(\Lambda):=\left\{\lambda_{1}(\Lambda), \ldots, \lambda_{n}(\Lambda)\right\}$.
Let $\mathcal{I} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$. The set of $\mathbb{X}_{j, \mathcal{I}}$ of $\Lambda \in \mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}$ such that $J(\Lambda)=\left\{\lambda_{i}(\Lambda) \mid i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$ is closed in $\mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}$, as the set of roots of the characteristic polynomials of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right)$ vary continuously. Moreover the set $\mathbb{X}_{j, \mathcal{I}}^{\prime}$ of $\Lambda \in \mathbb{X}_{j, \mathcal{I}}$ for which the $\lambda_{i}(\Lambda), i \in \mathcal{I}$ are all distincts is open $\mathbb{X}_{j, \mathcal{I}}$. Hence the set $\mathbb{X}_{j, \mathcal{I}}^{\prime}$ is borelian. Then $\Gamma_{\Lambda}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right)$ is continuous in $\Lambda \in \mathbb{X}_{j, \mathcal{I}}^{\prime}$ and the same is true for the spectral projection of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}\left(\underline{x}_{1}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{i}(\Lambda)$, as it is seen from the description of the spectral projections as a contour integral of the resolvent. When $\mathcal{I}$ varies, the $\mathbb{X}_{j, \mathcal{I}}^{\prime}$ 's form a finite covering of $\mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}$ by borelian sets.
Doing the same for every $\underline{x}_{k}$ and taking intersections, we get a covering of $\mathbb{X}_{\Lambda_{j}}$ by borelian subsets on which the spectral projections of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ are continuous.
Let $\mathbb{Y}$ be one of the sets of this covering. Let $\left(f_{n}\right) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X)$ be a convergent sequence to $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.
Let $\chi_{n}$ (resp. $\chi$ ) be the infinitesimal character of $f_{n}$ (resp. $f$ ). Let $z \in Z(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $L_{z} f_{n}=\chi_{n}(z) f_{n}$ converges to $L_{z} f=\chi(z) f$ and $f_{n}$ converges to $f$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$. Hence $\left(\left(\chi_{n}(z)-\chi(z)\right) f_{n}\right)$ converges to zero. Taking the seminorm $q_{-N}$, going to the limit and using that $f$ is non zero, we get that $\left(\chi_{n}(z)\right)$ tends to $\chi(z)$. This implies that the parameters $\Lambda_{n} \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^{*} / W$ of $\chi_{n}$ tend to the parameter $\Lambda$ of $\chi$.
Let us describe how $f_{I}(1)$ is obtained in [11].
One constructs, by some linear combinations of some derivatives of $f$ and for $\underline{x}_{I} \in$ $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$, two functions $\Phi_{f}, \Psi_{f, \underline{x}_{I}}$ on $X$ with values on the space of the representation $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ (cf. l.c.(5.24) and (5.27)). Then (cf. l.c.(5.35) and (5.36)), if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}$ is a unitary eigenvalue of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$, we apply the corresponding spectral projection $E_{\lambda}$ to $\Phi_{f}$ to define $\Phi_{f, \lambda}=E_{\lambda} \Phi_{f}$ and

$$
\Phi_{f, \lambda, \infty}\left(1, \underline{x}_{I}\right):=\Phi_{f, \lambda}(1)+\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{\lambda} \exp ^{-\Gamma_{\Lambda}\left(\underline{x}_{I}\right)} \Psi_{f, \underline{x}_{I}}\left(\exp s \underline{x}_{I}\right) d s
$$

which does not depend on $\underline{x}_{I}$ and is denoted by $\Phi_{f, \lambda, \infty}(1)$ (cf. l.c. before Lemma 5.19) . Then (cf. lc. section 6.1), there is a linear form on the space of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$, denoted
by $l_{\Lambda}$, such that

$$
f_{I}(1)=\sum_{\lambda \in i \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{*}, \lambda \text { eigenvalue of } \Gamma_{\Lambda}}<l_{\Lambda}, \Phi_{f, \lambda, \infty}(1)>
$$

But the space of $\Gamma_{\Lambda}$ is independent of $\Lambda \in \mathbb{X}$ and the same is true for $l_{\Lambda}$.
From the tempered embedding theorem (cf l.c. Theorem 7.2) and [30] (see Lemma 6.9), the real parts of the characters $\Lambda_{n}$ vary in a discrete set. Hence, if $n$ is large enough, these real parts are independent of $n$. From the property of $\mathbb{Y}$, the unitary eigenvalues of $\Gamma_{\Lambda_{n}}$ vary in in families $\left(\lambda_{n}\right)$ converging to some $\lambda$, and the corresponding spectral projections converge, by the properties of $\mathbb{Y}$. Then $\Phi_{f_{n}, \lambda_{n}}(1)$ tends to $\Phi_{f, \lambda}(1)$.
For the remaining term which defines $f_{n, I}(1)$, one has to study the sequence of integrals

$$
I_{n}:=\int_{0}^{\infty} E_{\lambda} e^{-\Gamma_{\Lambda_{n}}\left(\underline{x}_{I}\right)} \Psi_{f_{n}, \underline{x}_{I}}\left(\exp s \underline{x}_{I}\right) d s
$$

The integrands converge simply. A uniform bound is given by the boundedness of the sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)$ in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$ and l.c. Lemma 5.8 (ii). Hence this sequence of integrals converges. Altogether, it follows that $\left(f_{n, I}(1)\right)$ tends to $f_{I}(1)$. By $G$ equivariance, the same is true if one changes 1 into any $x$ in the $G$-orbit $G / H$. One can do the same for the left derivatives of $f$ by elements of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The derivatives of $f$ and of the $f_{n}$ might vanish. But the fact that the $f_{n}$ and $f$ are non zero is used only to prove the convergence of $\Lambda_{n}$ to $\Lambda$, which can now be used.
Then the Lemma follows from (11.6).
11.3 Proposition. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_{I}:=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi)$. Then $\pi \mapsto i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi}$ is a measurable field.
Proof. One can reduce to $v$ in a fixed $K$-isotypic component of $\mathcal{H}_{I}, \mathcal{H}_{I}^{\gamma}:=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\gamma} \otimes$ $\mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi)$, for a $K$-type $\gamma \in \hat{K}$. Let us first assume that $v=\alpha_{I}(f)$ with $f \in$ $L_{N^{\prime}, k}^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)^{\gamma}$. Let $\left(e_{q}\right)$ be a field of orthonormal basis (cf. [14], Part II, Chapter 1, Proposition 1) in the Hilbert integral $\mathcal{H}^{\gamma}:=\int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\gamma} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} d \mu(\pi)$.
Let us show that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \mapsto i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi} \in \mathcal{H}_{\pi} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\pi} \text { is a measurable field. } \tag{11.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is enough to show (cf [14], Part II, Chapter 1, Proposition 2) that, for all $q$, $\pi \mapsto\left(i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}, e_{q, \pi}\right)$ is measurable on a countable family of measurable sets covering $\hat{G}$.

One has:

$$
\left(i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}, e_{q, \pi}\right)=\left(\alpha_{I, \pi}(f), i_{I, \pi}^{*} e_{q, \pi}\right)
$$

Unwinding the definitions we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}, e_{q, \pi}\right)=\left(f,\left(\beta_{\pi}\left(e_{q, \pi}\right)\right)_{I}\right) \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

First $\pi \mapsto\left(i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}, e_{q, \pi}\right)$ is measurable on the set $V_{0}$ of $\pi$ such that $\beta_{\pi}\left(e_{q, \pi}\right)=0$, which is a measurable subset of $\hat{G}$. Let $V_{0}^{c}$ be its complementary set in $\hat{G}$.
Let $\mathbb{Y}$ be one of the sets of the previous Lemma. Let $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ be the set of $\pi \in \hat{G}$ whose infinitesimal character is contained in $\mathbb{Y}$. As $\mathbb{Y}$ is borelian, [3] implies that $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Y}}$ is a borelian subset of $\hat{G}$. Let $N^{\prime}$ be as in the previous Lemma. The map $\pi \mapsto \beta_{\pi}\left(e_{q, \pi}\right)$ on $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Y}} \cap V_{0}^{c}$ has its values in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X)$. Moreover from Lemma 11.1 (iii), it is measurable with values in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{Y}}(X) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$.
Then on each subset $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Y}} \cap V_{0}^{C}$ and for all $q \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\left.\pi \mapsto\left(\beta_{\pi}\left(e_{q, \pi}\right)\right)_{I}\right)$ is measurable with values in $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N^{\prime}}\left(X_{I}\right) \subset L_{-N^{\prime},-k}^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$, by Lemma 11.2, as the composition of a continuous map with a measurable map is measurable. As the embedding of $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp, } N^{\prime}}\left(X_{I}\right)$ in $L_{-N^{\prime},-k}^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$ is continuous, it is also measurable with values in $L_{-N^{\prime},-k}^{2}\left(X_{I}\right)$. Hence, as $\hat{f} \in L_{N^{\prime}, k}^{2}(X), \pi \mapsto\left(f,\left(\beta_{\pi}\left(e_{q, \pi}\right)\right)_{I}\right)$ is measurable on $\hat{G}_{\mathbb{Y}} \cap V_{0}^{c}$. By (11.8), this is also true for $\pi \mapsto\left(i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}, e_{q, \pi}\right)$. Altogether we have proved (11.7) with our hypothesis on $v$.
Now take any $v \in \int_{\hat{G}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\pi}^{\gamma} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{I, \pi} d \mu(\pi)$. It is the limit of some sequence of $v_{n}$ as above. Then, replacing $\left(v_{n}\right)$ by a subsequence and using [14], Part II, Chapter 1, Proposition 5, we can assume also that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi$, $v_{n, \pi}$ converges to $v_{\pi}$. Using the continuity of $i_{I, \pi}$, one sees that for $\mu$-almost all $\pi, i_{I, \pi} v_{n, \pi}$ converges to $i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}$. The measurability of $\pi \mapsto i_{I, \pi} v_{\pi}$ follows. This implies the Proposition

## 12 A property of the constant term

### 12.1 Equivalence of weights

We have the notion of norm on an affine algebraic variety defined over $\mathbb{R}$ defined by R. Kottwitz in [29] section 18.2. If $Y$ is the set of real points of such a variety, one has the notion of log-norm defined in [6] section 1.2: it is a function of the form $1+\log \|\cdot\|$, where $\|$.$\| is a norm on Y$. Notice that, from l.c. they all equivalent in the sense of (2.1). We will choose such a $\log$-norm $\sigma_{Y}$ on $Y$ for each $Y$.
Let $X$ be as in the main body of the article. Then $\sigma_{X}$ is a weight on $X$ : this follows from [29], Proposition 18.1 (7). The proof of the following Lemma was communicated to me by R. Beuzart-Plessis.
12.1 Lemma. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be as in (4.8). The weight $1+\mathbf{w}$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{X}$. In particular its equivalence class does not depend on any choice.

Proof. One has the polar decomposition of $X$ (cf. (4.7)), $X=\Omega A_{\emptyset}^{-} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$, where $\Omega$ is compact. From properties of weights, it is enough to prove the equivalence on $A_{\emptyset}^{-} \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and, by changing the base point, on $A_{\emptyset}^{-}$. From [24], Proposition 3.4 (2) the restriction of $1+\mathrm{w}$ is equivalent to $\sigma_{A_{\emptyset}}$ on $A_{\emptyset}^{-}$. To finish the proof of the Lemma, one has only to prove:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{A_{\emptyset}}(x) \approx \sigma_{X}(x), x \in A_{\emptyset}^{-} . \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{X}_{P}$ (resp. $X_{P}$ ) be the union of the open $P$-orbits in the $G$-orbits of $\bar{X}$ (resp. $X)$. Then $X_{P}=X \cap \bar{X}_{P}$. Let $Y$ be as above. Let us show that if $U, V$ are open in $Y$, one has:

$$
\sigma_{U \cap V}(x) \approx \max \left(\sigma_{U}(x), \sigma_{V}(x)\right), x \in U \cap V .
$$

This follows from [29] Proposition 18.1 (1), (4) and from the fact the natural map $U \cap V \rightarrow U \times V$ is an immersion. Hence

$$
\sigma_{X_{P}}(x) \approx \max \left(\sigma_{X}(x), \sigma_{\bar{X}_{P}}(x)\right), x \in X_{P}
$$

By LST, $A_{\emptyset}^{-}$is relatively compact in $\bar{X}_{P}$, hence $\sigma_{\bar{X}_{P}}$ is bounded on it and this implies:

$$
\sigma_{X_{P}}(x) \approx \sigma_{X}(x), x \in A_{\emptyset}^{-}
$$

But as $A_{\emptyset}$ is closed in $X_{P}$ one has from [29], Proposition 18.1 (2):

$$
\sigma_{A_{\emptyset}}(x) \approx \sigma_{X_{P}}(x), x \in A_{\emptyset} .
$$

Then (12.1) follows. This achieves the proof of the Lemma.
12.2 Remark. The Lemma implies that the definition of $C_{\text {temp }, N}^{\infty}(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }, N}(X)$ (cf. section 4.2) does not depend on any choice: one can replace in its definition $1+\mathbf{w}$ by any log-norm on $X$.

### 12.2 Independence of the constant term of all choices

The following Theorem and its proof were suggested a long time ago by Yiannis Sakellaridis. We thank him warmly for his suggestion.
12.3 Theorem. Let $C \in \mathcal{F}$.

The map $f \mapsto f_{C}$ is the unique $G$-equivariant map $\mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(X_{C}\right)$ such that for all $C^{2}$-path $\gamma:\left[0, \varepsilon\left[\rightarrow \bar{X}\right.\right.$ with $\gamma(t) \in X$ for $t>0, \gamma(0)=y \in Y_{C}$ and with normal derivative in 0 , with respect to $Y_{C}$, equal to $v$ and such that $(y, v) \in X_{C}$, for all $C^{2}$-path $\gamma_{C}:\left[0, \varepsilon\left[\rightarrow N_{Y_{C}}(\bar{X})\right.\right.$ such that $\gamma_{C}(t) \in X_{C}$, for $t>0, \gamma_{C}(0)=(y, 0)$ and which has normal derivative in 0 , with respect to $Y_{C}$, equal to $v$ :

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} a_{0}(t)^{-\rho}\left(\left(f(g \gamma(t))-f_{C}\left(g \gamma_{C}(t)\right)\right)=0, g \in G\right.
$$

Proof. The unicity follows from the unicity in Theorem 5.1 where a base point has been fixed and special paths are used. One has to verify that it satisfies the asymptotic property of the theorem. By $G$-equivariance it is enough to prove the property (i) for $(y, v)$ in a set of representatives of the $G$-orbits in $X_{C}$. Thus from (3.13), we can assume $(y, v)=w x_{C}, w \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We will treat only the case $w=1$, the other cases are analogous by changing the base point. In particular $(y, v)=x_{C}$, hence $y=y_{C}, v=e_{C}$ (cf. Lemma 3.1 (iii) for notation.

Let $\gamma$ and $\gamma_{C}$ be as in the statement of the Theorem with $y=y_{C}$ and $v=e_{C}$.
Let $I=I(C)$. Let $a_{0}(t)=\exp \left(-(\log t) e_{C}\right) \in A_{C}$. Then, let us show that $e_{C} \in \mathfrak{a}_{I}^{--}$. First it is in $\mathfrak{a}_{I}^{-}$as $C$ is contained in $\mathfrak{a}_{I}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{\emptyset}^{-}$. Moreover as $I=I(C)$, if $\alpha \in S \backslash I$, there exists $\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}$ with $\alpha(\check{\alpha})<0$. Our claim follows.
Let $\gamma_{0}(t)=a_{0}(t) x_{0}$ for $t>0$ and $\gamma_{0}(0)=y_{C}$, which is a path in $\bar{X}$. Using the identifications of the open $P$-orbits (cf (3.12), (3.14)), let $\gamma_{0, C}$ be the corresponding path in $X_{C}$.
12.4 Lemma. (i) There exist $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ and a $C^{1}$-function, $p:\left[0, \varepsilon^{\prime}[\rightarrow P\right.$, with $p(0)=$ 1 , such that

$$
\gamma(t)=p(t) \gamma_{0}(t)
$$

In particular for $t>0$ small, $\gamma(t) \in G x_{0}$.
(ii) There exist $\varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ and a $C^{1}$-function $p_{C}:\left[0, \varepsilon^{\prime}[\rightarrow P\right.$, with $p(0)=1$, such that

$$
\gamma_{C}(t)=p_{C}(t) \gamma_{0, C}(t)
$$

In particular, for $t>0$ small, $\gamma(t) \in G x_{C}$.
Proof. (i) Let $C_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$ of maximal dimension and containing $C$ and let $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ be the subfan of $\mathcal{F}$ which consists of the faces of $C_{0}$. It has been shown in the proof of Lemma 3.1 (i) that $\bar{A}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ is open in $\bar{A}_{\emptyset}=\bar{A}_{\emptyset}(\mathcal{F})$. Moreover one has $y_{C} \in \bar{A}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$. Thus for $t$ small one can has $\gamma(t) \in U(X) \bar{A}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$.
Then $A_{\emptyset}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\bar{A}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)\right)$ identifies with $\mathbb{R}^{* C_{0}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{R}^{\check{C}_{0}}\right), x_{0}$ with $(1, \ldots, 1), y_{C}$ with $((0, \ldots 0),(1, \ldots, 1)) \in \mathbb{R}^{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{* C} \backslash \backslash \bar{C}$. The group $A_{\emptyset}^{0}\left(\operatorname{resp} A_{C}^{0}\right)$ identifies with $\mathbb{R}^{*+\check{C}_{0}}$ (resp. $\left.\mathbb{R}^{*+\check{C}} \times\{(1, \ldots, 1)\}\right)$. Notice first that $a_{0}(t)_{\check{\alpha}}=t$ for $\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}$ and 1 for $\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}_{0} \backslash \check{C}$.
Using (3.8), one can write $\gamma(t)=u(t) a_{1}(t)$ for $0<t<\varepsilon^{\prime}$, where $u$ is $C^{2}$ with values in $U(X)$ with $u(0)=1$ and $a_{1}$ is $C^{2}$ with values in $\bar{A}_{\emptyset}, a_{1}(0)=y_{C}$ and its normal derivative in 0 is equal to $e_{C}$. The $\check{C}_{0} \backslash \check{C}$ coordinates of $a_{1}$ go to 1 when $t$ tends to zero and are $C^{2}$ and for $\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}, a_{1}(t)_{\check{\alpha}}$ tends to zero and is $C^{2}$, has derivative 1 in 0 . Hence for $\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}, a_{1}(t)_{\check{\alpha}}=t\left(1+c_{\check{\alpha}} t+o(t)\right)$ for $c_{\check{\alpha}} \in \mathbb{R}$. We deduce from this that $a_{1}(t)=a_{2}(t) \gamma_{0}(t)$ where $a_{2}(t)_{\check{\alpha}}=a_{1}(t)_{\check{\alpha}}$ if $\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}_{0} \backslash \check{C}$ and $a_{2}(t)_{\check{\alpha}}=\left(1+c_{\check{\alpha}} t+o(t)\right)$ if $\check{\alpha} \in \check{C}$, which is $C^{1}$. As $a_{2}(t)_{\check{\alpha}}>0$ for $t>0$ small, one has $a_{2}(t) \in A_{\emptyset}^{0}$ for $t$ small. Using a supplementary space to $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{a}$, one constructs a morphism $s$ of Lie groups from $A_{\emptyset}^{0}$ to $A^{0}$ which is a section of the projection $A^{0} \rightarrow A_{\emptyset}^{0}$. The proof of (i) follows from

$$
\gamma(t)=p(t) \gamma_{0}(t)
$$

where $p(t)=u(t) s\left(a_{2}(t)\right)$.
(ii) With the above identifications, $V_{C}$ identifies with $\{(0, \ldots, 0)\} \times \mathbb{R}^{* \check{C}_{0} \backslash \check{C}}$, $N_{V_{C}} \bar{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ with $\mathbb{R}^{\check{C}} \times \mathbb{R}^{* \check{C}(\backslash \check{C}}$ and $X_{C} \cap \underline{\bar{A}}_{\emptyset}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)$ with $\mathbb{R}^{* \check{C}} \times \mathbb{R}^{* \check{C}_{0} \backslash \check{C}}$. One uses first (3.10) instead of (3.8). Then one proceeds as in (i) using coordinates.
12.5 Lemma. With the notation of the previous Lemma, one has:
(i) For all $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}(X)$

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} a_{0}(t)^{-\rho}\left(f(\gamma(t))-f\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)\right)=0
$$

(ii) For all $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\text {temp }}\left(X_{I}\right)$

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} a_{0}(t)^{-\rho}\left(f_{I}\left(\gamma_{C}(t)\right)-f_{I}\left(\gamma_{0, C}(t)\right)\right)=0
$$

Proof. (i) Write $\gamma(t)=p(t) \gamma_{0}(t)$ as in the above lemma. For $t$ small one can write $p(t)=\exp \underline{x}(t)$ with $\underline{x}$ a $\mathfrak{p}$-valued $C^{1}$ function with value 0 in 0 . Hence there exist $0<\varepsilon^{\prime}<1$ and $C>0$ such that $\|\underline{x}(t)\| \leq C t,\left\|\underline{x}^{\prime}(t)\right\| \leq C, t \in\left[0, \varepsilon^{\prime}[\right.$.
Let $x \in X$. Applying the mean value theorem to the function $t \mapsto f((\exp t \underline{x}) x)$ with $t \in\left[0, \varepsilon^{\prime}[\right.$, one gets:

$$
|f(p(t) x)-f(x)| \leq C t \sup _{\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathfrak{p},\|\underline{\|}\| \leq 1,\|\underline{y}\| \leq C t}\left|\left(L_{\underline{x}} f\right)((\exp \underline{y}) x)\right|, x \in X
$$

Let $I(t)=a_{0}(t)^{-\rho}\left(f(\gamma(t))-f\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)\right.$. Then for $t \in\left[0, \varepsilon^{\prime}[\right.$, one has:

$$
|I(t)| \leq a_{0}(t)^{-\rho}\left|\sup _{\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathfrak{p},\|\underline{x}\| \leq 1,\|\underline{y}\| \leq C \varepsilon^{\prime}}\right| C t\left(L_{\underline{x}}\right) f\left((\exp \underline{y}) \gamma_{0}(t)\right) \mid
$$

Writing the finiteness of the tempered seminorms $q_{-N, 1}(f)$ and using property (4.6) of weights, one gets a constant $C_{1}>0$ such that

$$
|I(t)| \leq C_{1} t a_{0}(t)^{-\rho} \mathbf{v}^{-1 / 2}\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)\left(1+\mathbf{w}\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)\right)^{N}
$$

But from [24], Proposition 4.3, which gives a lower bound for the volume, this implies the existence of $C_{2}>0$ such that:

$$
|I(t)| \leq C_{2} t\left(1+\mathbf{w}\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right)\right)^{N}
$$

From l.c., Proposition 3.4 (2), which gives an upper bound for $\mathbf{w}$, there exists $C_{3}>0$ such that $1+\mathbf{w}\left(\gamma_{0}(t)\right) \leq C_{3}\left(1+\left\|\log \left(a_{0}(t)\right)\right\|\right)$. But $\log a_{0}(t)=-(\log t) e_{C}$. As $t \log t$ tends to zero when $t$ tends to zero, our claim follows. The proof of (ii) is similar.

From Theorem 5.1, the constant term satisfies the asymptotic property of Theorem 5.1 for the paths $\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{0, C}$. Using the two preceding Lemmas, one sees that it satisfies also the property for $\gamma$ and $\gamma_{C}$. this achieves the proof of Theorem 12.3.
12.6 Remark. Theorem 5.1 shows that the constant term map is independent on all choices. Notice that this independence is not used in the main body of the article.
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