

# ABELIAN SUBCATEGORIES OF TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES INDUCED BY SIMPLE MINDED SYSTEMS

PETER JØRGENSEN

**ABSTRACT.** If  $k$  is a field,  $A$  a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra, then the simple  $A$ -modules form a *simple minded collection* in the derived category  $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$ . Their extension closure is  $\text{mod } A$ ; in particular, it is abelian. This situation is emulated by a general simple minded collection  $\mathcal{S}$  in a suitable triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ . In particular, the extension closure  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is abelian, and there is a tilting theory for such abelian subcategories of  $\mathcal{C}$ . These statements follow from  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  being the heart of a bounded  $t$ -structure.

It is a defining characteristic of simple minded collections that their negative self extensions vanish in every degree. Relaxing this to vanishing in degrees  $\{-w+1, \dots, -1\}$  where  $w$  is a positive integer leads to the rich, parallel notion of *w-simple minded systems*, which have recently been the subject of vigorous interest.

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system for some  $w \geq 2$ , then  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is typically not the heart of a  $t$ -structure. Nevertheless, using different methods, we will prove that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is abelian and that there is a tilting theory for such abelian subcategories. Our theory is based on Quillen's notion of exact categories, in particular a theorem by Dyer which provides exact subcategories of triangulated categories.

The theory of simple minded systems can be viewed as “negative cluster tilting theory”. In particular, the result that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is an abelian subcategory is a negative counterpart to the result from (higher) positive cluster tilting theory that if  $\mathcal{T}$  is a cluster tilting subcategory, then  $(\mathcal{T} * \Sigma \mathcal{T})/[\mathcal{T}]$  is an abelian quotient category.

## 0. INTRODUCTION

Let  $k$  be a field,  $A$  a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra, and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a *simple minded collection* in the bounded derived category of finitely generated  $A$ -modules  $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$ , see Definition 0.2. We have  $\text{Ext}^{<0}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$  by definition, and a canonical example is that  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of simple  $A$ -modules. The extension closure  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is abelian and there is a Happel–Reiten–Smalø tilting theory for such abelian subcategories of  $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$ . Indeed, these statements follow from  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  being the heart of a bounded  $t$ -structure, see [27, def. 3.2, prop. 5.4, and sec. 7.2].

This paper will investigate *simple minded systems*, which were introduced in [26, def. 2.1], [9, sec. 1.2], and [10, def. 2.1] as a rich, parallel notion to simple minded collections. Let  $w \geq 2$  be an integer,  $Q$  a finite acyclic quiver, and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $w$ -simple minded system in the negative cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q) = \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ)/\tau \Sigma^{w+1}$ , see Definition 0.2. We have  $\text{Ext}^\ell(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$  for  $\ell \in \{-w+1, \dots, -1\}$  by definition, and a canonical example is that  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of simple  $kQ$ -modules. Among the main results of this paper are that the extension closure  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is abelian and that there is a “simple minded” tilting theory for such abelian subcategories of  $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q)$ , see Theorems A, B, and C. These statements cannot be proven using  $t$ -structures. Indeed,  $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q)$  has no  $t$ -structures with non-zero heart because it is  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau, see [19, sec. 5.1] and [25, sec. 8.4]. Instead, our theory is based on Quillen's notion of exact categories, in particular a theorem by Dyer which provides exact subcategories of triangulated categories, see [16, p. 1] and Section 2.

That  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is an abelian subcategory is a negative cluster analogue of  $(\mathcal{T} * \Sigma \mathcal{T})/[\mathcal{T}]$  being an abelian quotient category when  $\mathcal{T}$  is a cluster tilting subcategory of a positive cluster category. Figure 1 elaborates on this analogy. Items with the same position in different cells correspond to each other. The column titled “Cluster categories” explains that simple minded systems constitute “negative cluster tilting theory”. This viewpoint has recently been explored vigorously, see [7], [9], [10], [12], [14], [15],

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16G10, 16S90, 18E10, 18E40, 18G80.

*Key words and phrases.* Cluster category, derived category, heart, orbit category, simple minded collection, orthogonal collection,  $t$ -structure, tilting.

	Derived categories and homotopy categories	Cluster categories
Simple minded theory	Derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } A)$ Simple minded collection $\mathcal{S}$ $\text{Ext}^{<0}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$ Abelian subcategory $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ Happel–Reiten–Smalø tilting of $\mathcal{S}$ $t$ -structure with heart $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$	Negative cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q)$ for $w \geq 2$ $w$ -simple minded system $\mathcal{S}$ $\text{Ext}^{-w+1, \dots, -1}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) = 0$ Abelian subcategory $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ Simple minded tilting of $\mathcal{S}$ ?
Silting and cluster tilting theory	Homotopy category $\mathcal{K}^b(\text{prj } A)$ Silting subcategory $\mathcal{M}$ $\text{Ext}^{>0}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ Abelian quotient $(\mathcal{M} * \Sigma \mathcal{M})/[\mathcal{M}]$ Silting mutation of $\mathcal{M}$ Co- $t$ -structure with coheart $\mathcal{M}$	Positive cluster category $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q)$ for $w \leq -2$ Cluster tilting subcategory $\mathcal{T}$ $\text{Ext}^{1, \dots, -w-1}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ Abelian quotient $(\mathcal{T} * \Sigma \mathcal{T})/[\mathcal{T}]$ Cluster mutation of $\mathcal{T}$ ?

FIGURE 1. Some main items of “simple minded” theory, cluster tilting theory, and silting theory for different categories. Items with the same position in different cells correspond to each other. The  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau category  $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q)$  is a negative cluster category if  $w \geq 1$  and a positive cluster category if  $w \leq -2$ . Red items represent the main results of this paper.




FIGURE 2. The left and right parts of this figure illustrate left and right tilting. In each case there is a proper abelian subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , shown in grey, with a torsion pair as indicated. Similar schematics have appeared in the literature, see e.g. [34, p. 417] or [36, fig. 1] which is the immediate inspiration for our figure.

[20], [22]. The row titled “Simple minded theory” sums up what we have said about the correspondence between simple minded collections and simple minded systems. The categories  $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q)$  were first studied in [8], and the notion of negative cluster categories was coined in [12, sec. 1.2] based on  $\mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q)$  being  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau.

Note that we will actually develop our theory in a suitable general triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ , and that the results do *not* extend to the case  $w = 1$ , see Remark 3.7.

(0.i). **Setup and a key definition.**

Before formulating the main results of the paper, we give a blanket setup and a key definition, which includes the definition of  $w$ -simple minded systems.

**Setup 0.1.** Throughout the paper,  $k$  is a field,  $\mathcal{C}$  an essentially small  $k$ -linear Hom-finite triangulated category with split idempotents. The suspension functor is denoted by  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition 0.2** ( $w$ -orthogonal collections, simple minded collections, and  $w$ -simple minded systems). Let  $w$  be a positive integer or  $\infty$ .

- A  $w$ -orthogonal collection is a set  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfying the following two conditions, see [9, sec. 1.2] and [33, sec. 5].

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{C}(s_i, s_j) = \begin{cases} \text{a skew field} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

(ii)  $\mathcal{C}(s_i, \Sigma^\ell s_j) = 0$  for any  $i, j$  and  $\ell \in \{-w+1, \dots, -1\}$ . (This condition is void for  $w = 1$ .)

- A *simple minded collection* is an  $\infty$ -orthogonal collection which also satisfies the following condition, see [27, def. 3.2].

(iii) Each object of  $\mathcal{C}$  is finitely built from  $\mathcal{S}$ .

- A  $w$ -simple minded system for a positive integer  $w$  is a  $w$ -orthogonal collection which also satisfies the following condition, see [9, sec. 1.2] and [10, def. 2.1]. Here  $\langle - \rangle$  denotes extension closure and the operation  $*$  is explained in Definition 1.4.

$$(iii)' \quad \mathcal{C} = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle * \Sigma^{-1} \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle * \dots * \Sigma^{-w+1} \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle.$$

**Remark 0.3.** A  $w$ -orthogonal collection is  $w'$ -orthogonal for each  $w' < w$ .

(0.ii). **Abelian subcategories.**

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is an  $\infty$ -orthogonal collection, then it is well known that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is abelian, see [1, cor. 3 and prop. 4], [27, prop. 5.4], [35, thm. 4.4], and [4, rem. 1.3.14]. In this paper,  $\mathcal{S}$  is only a  $w$ -orthogonal collection for a positive integer  $w$ , but we will show that, nevertheless,  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is abelian for  $w \geq 2$ . The following is the first main result of the paper. It follows from Theorem 3.5 and Remark 3.8.

**Theorem A.** Let  $w \geq 2$  be an integer,  $\mathcal{S}$  a  $w$ -orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the extension closure  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a proper abelian subcategory where each object has finite length, and the simple objects are those in  $\mathcal{S}$  up to isomorphism.

Note that the theorem includes the case of  $\mathcal{S}$  being a  $w$ -simple minded system for  $w \geq 2$ . A *proper abelian subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$*  is an abelian subcategory whose short exact sequences are precisely those given by triangles in  $\mathcal{C}$ , see Definition 1.2(ii). The classic example of a proper abelian subcategory is the heart of a  $t$ -structure, see [4, thm. 1.3.6], but as explained at the start of the introduction, in this paper,  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is not the heart of a  $t$ -structure. Note that Theorem A does not extend to 1-orthogonal collections, see Remark 3.7.

The following is the second main result of the paper. It shows that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system, then we can say considerably more about  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . It follows from Theorem 3.6 and Remark 3.8.

**Theorem B.** Let  $w \geq 2$  be an integer,  $\mathcal{S}$  a  $w$ -simple minded system in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \simeq \text{mod } A$  for a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $A$ . If  $k$  is algebraically closed, then the Gabriel quiver of  $A$  has vertices the elements of  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  and  $\dim_k \mathcal{C}(s_j, \Sigma s_i)$  arrows from  $s_i$  to  $s_j$ .

(0.iii). **Simple minded tilting.**

Stating the third main result of the paper requires some preparation.

If  $w \geq 2$  is an integer,  $\mathcal{S}$  a  $w$ -simple minded system,  $s' \in \mathcal{S}$  an object, then  $s'$  is simple in the abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  by Theorem A. The extension closure  $\langle s' \rangle$  is the subcategory of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  consisting of objects

with all composition factors equal to  $s'$ . It is a minimal non-zero torsion class and  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has a torsion pair  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  with  $\mathcal{T} = \langle s' \rangle$ .

If  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  were the heart of a  $t$ -structure, then [18, prop. 2.1] would provide a left tilted proper abelian subcategory which had the torsion pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ . Right tilting would be a mirror version.

In this paper,  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is not the heart of a  $t$ -structure, but we will show that, nevertheless, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau then tilting still works the same way. To wit, there is a left tilted  $w$ -simple minded system  $L_{s'}(\mathcal{S})$  such that the proper abelian subcategory  $\langle L_{s'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  has the torsion pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ , see Figure 2. Right tilting is a mirror version.

Tilted  $w$ -simple minded systems are defined as follows, see [9, sec. 4], [10, def. 3.1], [14, def. 6.1], [27, def. 7.5], [36, sec. 2.2]. Note that we permit tilting at any subset of  $\mathcal{S}$ , which requires no more work than tilting at  $s'$ .

**Definition 0.4** (Simple minded tilting). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a  $w$ -orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$  and let  $\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}'' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  be subsets.

- (i) We say that *the left tilt  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  at  $\mathcal{S}'$  is defined* if each  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  has a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle)$ -cover  $\Sigma^{-1}t_s \xrightarrow{\tau_s} s$ , which we complete to the following triangle.

$$\Sigma^{-1}t_s \xrightarrow{\tau_s} s \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s) \rightarrow t_s \quad (0.1)$$

In this case  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  is the set consisting of the objects

$$L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s) = \begin{cases} \text{the object defined by (0.1)} & \text{if } s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}', \\ \Sigma^{-1}s & \text{if } s \in \mathcal{S}'. \end{cases}$$

- (ii) We say that *the right tilt  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  at  $\mathcal{S}''$  is defined* if each  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}''$  has a  $(\Sigma\langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle)$ -envelope  $s \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} \Sigma g_s$ , which we complete to the following triangle.

$$g_s \rightarrow R_{\mathcal{S}''}(s) \rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\gamma_s} \Sigma g_s \quad (0.2)$$

In this case  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  is the set consisting of the objects

$$R_{\mathcal{S}''}(s) = \begin{cases} \text{the object defined by (0.2)} & \text{if } s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'', \\ \Sigma s & \text{if } s \in \mathcal{S}''. \end{cases}$$

Note that left and right tilting are inverse operations, see Proposition 6.4, and that if  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system, then left and right tilts are defined because  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle)$ -covers and  $(\Sigma\langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle)$ -envelopes exist by Lemma 1.11.

We will use the notation

$$\mathcal{X}^\perp = \{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}, c) = 0\}, \quad {}^\perp \mathcal{X} = \{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid \mathcal{C}(c, \mathcal{X}) = 0\}$$

when  $\mathcal{X}$  is a class of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ . The following is the third main result of the paper. It follows from Theorems 5.3, 6.3, and Remark 6.5.

**Theorem C.** Let  $w \geq 2$  be an integer,  $\mathcal{S}$  a  $w$ -simple minded system in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau. Let  $\mathcal{S}', \mathcal{S}'' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  be subsets.

The left tilt  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  and the classes  $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle^\perp \cap \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  have the following properties.

- (i)  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system.
- (ii) The abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has the torsion pair  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$ .
- (iii) The abelian category  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  has the torsion pair  $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ .

The right tilt  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  and the classes  $\mathcal{U} = {}^\perp \langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle$  have the following properties.

- (i)  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system.
- (ii) The abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has the torsion pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$ .

(iii) The abelian category  $\langle R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  has the torsion pair  $(\Sigma\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U})$ .

(0.iv). **Structure of the paper.**

The body of the paper proves Theorems 3.5, 3.6, 5.3, and 6.3. It is explained in Remarks 3.8 and 6.5 how they imply Theorems A through C. The theorems in the body of the paper are not restricted to  $w$ -simple minded systems but apply to  $w$ -orthogonal collections under certain assumptions.

Section 1 collects a number of definitions and a lemma. Section 2 concerns exact subcategories of triangulated categories. Section 3 proves Theorems 3.5 and 3.6, which imply Theorems A and B. Section 4 shows several lemmas on torsion pairs in the abelian categories  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . Sections 5 and 6 prove Theorems 5.3 and 6.3, which imply Theorem C. Section 7 applies Theorems A, B, and C to negative cluster categories of Dynkin type  $A$ .

## 1. BACKGROUND

This section collects a number of definitions and a lemma. Recall that throughout the paper,  $k$  is a field,  $\mathcal{C}$  an essentially small  $k$ -linear Hom-finite triangulated category with split idempotents. The suspension functor is denoted by  $\Sigma$ .

**Definition 1.1** (Additive subcategories). If  $\mathcal{X}$  is an additive category, then an *additive subcategory*  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  is a full subcategory closed under isomorphisms, direct sums, and direct summands in  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Short triangles and proper abelian subcategories).

- (i) A diagram  $c' \xrightarrow{\gamma'} c \xrightarrow{\gamma} c''$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *short triangle* if there exists a triangle  $c' \xrightarrow{\gamma'} c \xrightarrow{\gamma} c'' \xrightarrow{\gamma''} \Sigma c$ .
- (ii) An additive subcategory  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a *proper abelian subcategory* if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an abelian category whose short exact sequences are precisely the short triangles in  $\mathcal{C}$  with terms in  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- (iii) If  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an additive subcategory, then we set

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}} = \{ \text{short triangles } a' \rightarrow a \rightarrow a'' \text{ with } a', a, a'' \in \mathcal{A} \}.$$

**Remark 1.3.** *Admissible abelian subcategories* are a classic notion introduced in [4, def. 1.2.5]. They are stronger than proper abelian subcategories, requiring in addition that all their negative self extensions vanish.

*Distinguished abelian subcategories* were recently introduced in [29, def. 1.1]. They are weaker than proper abelian subcategories, requiring only that each short exact sequence is a short triangle.

**Definition 1.4** (The star product of subcategories).

- (i) If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  are full subcategories, then

$$\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} = \{ e \in \mathcal{C} \mid \text{there is a short triangle } a \rightarrow e \rightarrow b \text{ with } a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{B} \}$$

is a full subcategory closed under isomorphisms. If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are closed under direct sums then so is  $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B}$ .

- (ii) If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  are additive subcategories with  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an additive subcategory by [21, prop. 2.1(1)].

**Definition 1.5** (Subcategories closed under extensions). An additive subcategory  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is *closed under extensions* if  $\mathcal{A} * \mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ .

**Definition 1.6** (Extension closure, see [15, sec. 2]). Let  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  be a full subcategory,  $n$  a non-negative integer. Define recursively

$$(\mathcal{S})_n = \begin{cases} \{0\} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (\mathcal{S})_{n-1} * (\mathcal{S} \cup \{0\}) & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{S})_n$$

is the smallest full subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under isomorphisms, direct sums, and extensions and contains  $\mathcal{S}$ ; see [15, lem. 2.3].

If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $w$ -orthogonal collection for an integer  $w \geq 1$ , then  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is the smallest additive subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  which is closed under extensions and contains  $\mathcal{S}$ ; see [15, lem. 2.7].

**Definition 1.7** (Homotopy cartesian squares, see [31, def. 1.4.1]). A *homotopy cartesian square* in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a commutative square in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} c & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & d \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi' \\ c' & \xrightarrow{\gamma'} & d', \end{array}$$

such that  $c \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \gamma \end{pmatrix}} c' \oplus d \xrightarrow{(-\gamma', \varphi')} d'$  is a short triangle.

**Definition 1.8** (Inflations, deflations, and conflations).

- (i) A *kernel-cokernel pair* in an additive category  $\mathcal{X}$  is a diagram  $x' \xrightarrow{\xi'} x \xrightarrow{\xi} x''$  such that  $\xi'$  is a kernel of  $\xi$  and  $\xi$  is a cokernel of  $\xi'$ .
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{E}$  is a fixed class of kernel-cokernel pairs in  $\mathcal{X}$ , then *conflations*, *inflations*, and *deflations* in  $\mathcal{X}$  are defined as follows: The conflations are the diagrams in  $\mathcal{E}$ . The inflations, respectively deflations, are the first, respectively second, morphisms in conflations.

**Definition 1.9** (Exact categories, see [6, def. 2.1], [32, §2]).

- (i) An *exact category* is a pair  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$  where  $\mathcal{X}$  is an additive category,  $\mathcal{E}$  a fixed set of kernel-cokernel pairs in  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfying the axioms [E0], [E0<sup>op</sup>], [E1], [E1<sup>op</sup>], [E2], [E2<sup>op</sup>] of [6, def. 2.1]. We use this version of the definition for practical reasons; it is equivalent to the original definition given in [32, §2], see [6, sec. 2].
- (ii) An exact category  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$  has a fixed class  $\mathcal{E}$  of kernel-cokernel pairs, so the terms conflation, inflation, and deflation in  $\mathcal{X}$  make sense.

**Definition 1.10** (Projective objects). Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$  be an exact category.

- (i) A *projective object* of  $\mathcal{X}$  is an object  $p \in \mathcal{X}$  such that each conflation  $x' \rightarrow x \rightarrow x''$  in  $\mathcal{X}$  induces a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(p, x') \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(p, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(p, x'') \rightarrow 0$ .
- (ii) We say that  $\mathcal{X}$  *has enough projective objects* if each object  $x$  permits a conflation  $k \rightarrow p \rightarrow x$  where  $p$  is projective.

We end the background section with a convenient lemma due to [10] and [15].

**Lemma 1.11.** *If  $w \geq 1$  is an integer,  $\mathcal{S}$  a  $w$ -simple minded system in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  is a subset, then  $\Sigma^i \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$  is functorially finite in  $\mathcal{C}$  for each  $i$ .*

*Proof.* By [10, thm. 2.11] it follows from the proof of [15, thm. 3.3] that  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$  is functorially finite in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , and  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is functorially finite in  $\mathcal{C}$  by [10, cor. 2.9]. Hence  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$  is functorially finite in  $\mathcal{C}$  and since  $\Sigma$  is an automorphism, so is  $\Sigma^i \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ . Note that the methods of [10] apply despite our definition of  $w$ -simple minded system being slightly more general than theirs.  $\square$

## 2. A THEOREM BY DYER ON EXACT SUBCATEGORIES OF TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES

The main item of this section is a theorem by Dyer on exact subcategories of triangulated categories, see [16, p. 1] and Theorem 2.5. We are grateful to Professor Dyer for permitting the proof of Theorem 2.5 to appear in this paper. This section also proves Proposition 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, which appear to be new.

**Setup 2.1.** In this section  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a fixed additive subcategory which is closed under extensions and satisfies  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Each diagram  $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a''$  in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}}$  is a kernel-cokernel pair in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* The diagram in the lemma is a short triangle, so there is a triangle  $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a'' \rightarrow \Sigma a'$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{A}$  it induces the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(x, \Sigma^{-1}a'') &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(x, a') \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(x, a) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(x, a''), \\ \mathcal{C}(\Sigma a', x) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}(a'', x) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(a, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(a', x). \end{aligned}$$

The first term of each sequence is zero because  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}) = 0$  so the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let the following be a homotopy cartesian square in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $a, x, a', x' \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & x \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi' \\ a' & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & x' \end{array} \quad (2.1)$$

*Then (2.1) is a pullback square and a pushout square in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Since (2.1) is a homotopy cartesian square, there is a triangle  $a \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \varphi \\ \alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right)} a' \oplus x \xrightarrow{(-\alpha', \varphi')} x' \rightarrow \Sigma a$  by Definition 1.7 whence the short triangle  $a \xrightarrow{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \varphi \\ \alpha \end{smallmatrix}\right)} a' \oplus x \xrightarrow{(-\alpha', \varphi')} x'$  is a kernel-cokernel pair in  $\mathcal{A}$  by Definition 1.2(iii) and Lemma 2.2. It follows that (2.1) is a pullback square and a pushout square in  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.4.** If  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})$  is an essentially small  $k$ -linear exact category, then there is a bifunctor

$$\text{Ext}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})}(-, -) : \mathcal{X}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{mod } k$$

defined by letting  $\text{Ext}_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{E})}(x'', x')$  be the set of equivalence classes in the usual sense of conflations  $x' \rightarrow x \rightarrow x''$ . Addition of equivalence classes is defined by Baer sum. See [30, exa. 2.13] for details.

**Theorem 2.5** (Dyer). *The category  $\mathcal{A}$  from Setup 2.1 has the following properties.*

(i)  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}})$  is an exact category.

(ii) For  $a', a'' \in \mathcal{A}$  there is a natural isomorphism

$$\text{Ext}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}})}(a'', a') \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{C}(a'', \Sigma a').$$

(iii) Let  $p \in \mathcal{A}$  be given. Then  $p$  is a projective object of  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}(p, \Sigma \mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (i): We will verify that  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}})$  satisfies [6, def. 2.1]. Since  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an additive subcategory,  $\mathcal{A}$  is itself an additive category. The diagrams in  $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}}$  are kernel-cokernel pairs in  $\mathcal{A}$  by Lemma 2.2; in particular, we can talk about conflations, inflations, and deflations in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

We now check the labelled axioms from [6, def. 2.1].

[E0] and [E0<sup>op</sup>]: If  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  then there are short triangles  $a \xrightarrow{\text{id}_a} a \rightarrow 0$  and  $0 \rightarrow a \xrightarrow{\text{id}_a} a$ . They are conflations in  $\mathcal{A}$  so  $\text{id}_a$  is an inflation and a deflation.

[E1]: Let  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  and  $a^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} a^2$  be inflations. Then there are conflations in  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is, short triangles  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1 \rightarrow x^2$  and  $a^1 \xrightarrow{\alpha^1} a^2 \rightarrow x^3$  with  $x^2, x^3 \in \mathcal{A}$ . By the octahedral axiom they can be combined to

the following commutative diagram, where each row and column is a short triangle.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 a^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & a^1 & \longrightarrow & x^2 \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \alpha^1 & & \downarrow \\
 a^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^1 \alpha^0} & a^2 & \longrightarrow & x \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & x^3 & \xlongequal{\quad} & x^3
 \end{array}$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under extensions the third column shows  $x \in \mathcal{A}$ , whence the second row is a conflation in  $\mathcal{A}$  showing that  $\alpha^1 \alpha^0$  is an inflation.

[E1<sup>op</sup>]: This axiom is superfluous by [24, app. A], see [6, rmk. 2.4].

[E2]: Let the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 a & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & x \\
 \downarrow \varphi & & \\
 a' & & 
 \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

be given in  $\mathcal{A}$  where  $\alpha$  is an inflation. This means that there is a conflation in  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is, a short triangle  $a \xrightarrow{\alpha} x \rightarrow y$  with  $y \in \mathcal{A}$ , so there is a triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}y \xrightarrow{\delta} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} x \rightarrow y$ . We can hence construct the solid part of the following commutative diagram with triangles as rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \Sigma^{-1}y & \xrightarrow{\delta} & a & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & x & \longrightarrow & y \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi' & & \parallel \\
 \Sigma^{-1}y & \xrightarrow{\varphi\delta} & a' & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & x' & \longrightarrow & y
 \end{array}$$

By [31, lem. 1.4.3] the morphism  $\varphi'$  can be chosen to complete the diagram such that the middle square is homotopy cartesian in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Since  $a', y$  are in  $\mathcal{A}$  which is closed under extensions, the second row shows that  $x'$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$  and that  $\alpha'$  is an inflation. Lemma 2.3 implies that the middle square is a pushout square in  $\mathcal{A}$ . The square completes (2.2), so we have established [E2].

[E2<sup>op</sup>]: Proved similarly to [E2].

(ii): To define  $\Phi$ , let  $\varepsilon = [a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a'']$  in  $\text{Ext}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}})}(a'', a')$  be given. The conflation  $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a''$  is a short triangle, and we let  $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a'' \xrightarrow{\alpha''} \Sigma a'$  be a triangle and set  $\Phi(\varepsilon) = \alpha''$ . It is straightforward to check that this gives a well defined, natural,  $k$ -linear bijection. It is useful to note that when  $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a \xrightarrow{\alpha} a''$  is given, the morphism  $\alpha''$  is unique by [4, cor. 1.1.10(ii)] because  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma a', a'') = 0$  since  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}) = 0$ .

(iii): Since  $\text{Ext}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}})}$  is defined as in Remark 2.4, it follows from [6, prop. 11.3(iii)] that  $p$  is a projective object of  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if  $\text{Ext}_{(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}})}(p, \mathcal{A}) = 0$ . By part (ii) this is equivalent to  $\mathcal{C}(p, \Sigma\mathcal{A}) = 0$ .  $\square$

The idea of the following proposition is due to [12, thm. 2.1].

**Proposition 2.6.** *Assume that each object of  $\mathcal{A}$  has a  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ -envelope. Then the exact category  $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{A}})$  has enough projective objects.*

*Proof.* Given  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , pick a  $\Sigma\mathcal{A}$ -envelope  $a \rightarrow \Sigma a'$  and complete to a triangle  $a' \rightarrow q \rightarrow a \rightarrow \Sigma a'$ . Then the short triangle  $a' \rightarrow q \rightarrow a$  is a conflation in  $\mathcal{A}$  because  $q \in \mathcal{A}$  since  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed under extensions. Moreover, the triangulated Wakamatsu Lemma, dual to [23, lem. 2.1], says  $\mathcal{C}(q, \Sigma\mathcal{A}) = 0$ , so  $q$  is a projective object of  $\mathcal{A}$  by Theorem 2.5(iii).  $\square$



**Lemma 2.7.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A} * (\Sigma\mathcal{A}) \subseteq (\Sigma\mathcal{A}) * \mathcal{A}$ . Then each categorical monomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  is an inflation in  $\mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  be a categorical monomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  and complete to a triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}c \xrightarrow{\delta} a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1 \rightarrow c$ . We will show  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  whence the triangle gives a conflation  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1 \rightarrow c$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  showing that  $\alpha^0$  is an inflation.

The triangle shows  $c \in \mathcal{A} * (\Sigma\mathcal{A})$ . Hence  $c \in (\Sigma\mathcal{A}) * \mathcal{A}$  so there exists a triangle  $\Sigma a' \xrightarrow{\varphi} c \rightarrow a'' \rightarrow \Sigma^2 a'$  with  $a', a'' \in \mathcal{A}$ . By the octahedral axiom the two triangles can be combined to the following commutative diagram where each row and column is a triangle.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
a' & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-1}\varphi} & \Sigma^{-1}c & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{-1}a'' & \longrightarrow & \Sigma a' \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
a' & \xrightarrow{\delta\Sigma^{-1}\varphi} & a^0 & \longrightarrow & d & \longrightarrow & \Sigma a' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^0 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & a^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & a^1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma a' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & c & \longrightarrow & a'' & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^2 a'
\end{array}$$

The diagram implies  $\alpha^0 \circ \delta\Sigma^{-1}\varphi = 0$ . Since  $\delta\Sigma^{-1}\varphi$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{A}$  while  $\alpha^0$  is a categorical monomorphism in  $\mathcal{A}$ , it follows that  $\delta\Sigma^{-1}\varphi = 0$ . Hence the diagram is isomorphic to the following.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
a' & \xrightarrow{\Sigma^{-1}\varphi} & \Sigma^{-1}c & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{-1}a'' & \longrightarrow & \Sigma a' \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \delta & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
a' & \xrightarrow{0} & a^0 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{id} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} & a^0 \oplus \Sigma a' & \xrightarrow{(0, \text{id})} & \Sigma a' \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha^0 & & \downarrow (\alpha^0, 0) & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & a^1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & a^1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma a' & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & c & \longrightarrow & a'' & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^2 a'
\end{array}$$

The morphism  $a^0 \oplus \Sigma a' \rightarrow a^1$  is indeed  $(\alpha^0, 0)$  since the first component is  $\alpha^0$  by commutativity of the middle square while the second component is zero because  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}) = 0$ . The third column of the diagram implies  $a'' \cong \text{cone}(\alpha^0) \oplus \Sigma^2 a'$ . In particular,  $c \cong \text{cone}(\alpha^0)$  is a direct summand of  $a'' \in \mathcal{A}$  whence  $c \in \mathcal{A}$  as desired.  $\square$

### 3. ABELIAN SUBCATEGORIES OF TRIANGULATED CATEGORIES INDUCED BY $w$ -ORTHOGONAL COLLECTIONS

This section proves Theorems 3.5 and 3.6, which imply Theorems A and B from the introduction.

**Setup 3.1.** In this section  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  is a fixed 2-orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Remark 3.2.** The results of Section 2 apply to  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ ; in particular,  $(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle, \mathcal{E}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle})$  is an exact category by Theorem 2.5(i), and we will use this without further comment a number of times. To see that Section 2 applies, we check Setup 2.1 for  $\mathcal{A} = \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ : It follows from [15, lem. 2.7] that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an additive subcategory which is closed under extensions by construction; see Definition 1.6. Definition 0.2(ii) implies

$$\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle, \Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle) = 0. \quad (3.1)$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  be a morphism in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  such that  $\mathcal{C}(s, \alpha^0)$  is injective for each  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\alpha^0$  is a categorical monomorphism in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* We must show that if  $a \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  then  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle(a, \alpha^0)$  is injective, which is the same as showing that  $\mathcal{C}(a, \alpha^0)$  is injective. (3.2)

By Definition 1.6 we can assume  $a \in (\mathcal{S})_n$  for a non-negative integer  $n$  and will prove (3.2) by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 0$  then  $a = 0$  so (3.2) is trivially true.

For the induction, assume (3.2) is true for  $a \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  and let  $a \in (\mathcal{S})_n \setminus (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  be given. Definition 1.6 gives a triangle  $a' \rightarrow a \rightarrow s \rightarrow \Sigma a'$  with  $a' \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ . It induces the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{C}(\Sigma a', a^0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(s, a^0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(a, a^0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(a', a^0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(s, \alpha^0) & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(a, \alpha^0) & & \downarrow \mathcal{C}(a', \alpha^0) \\ \mathcal{C}(\Sigma a', a^1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(s, a^1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(a, a^1) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(a', a^1) \end{array}$$

The first term in each row is zero by Equation (3.1), and the map  $\mathcal{C}(s, \alpha^0)$  is injective by the assumption in the lemma. Since  $a' \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$ , by induction  $\mathcal{C}(a', \alpha^0)$  is injective. Hence  $\mathcal{C}(a, \alpha^0)$  is injective by the Four Lemma, proving (3.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Each morphism  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  can be factorised in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  as*

$$a^0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} a \xrightarrow{\iota} a^1 \text{ with } \begin{cases} \sigma \text{ a deflation in } \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle, \\ \iota \text{ a categorical monomorphism in } \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* By Definition 1.6 we can assume  $a^0 \in (\mathcal{S})_n$  for a non-negative integer  $n$  and will prove the lemma by induction on  $n$ . If  $n = 0$  then  $a^0 = 0$  so the factorisation  $a^0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow a^1$  can be used in (3.3).

For the induction, assume the lemma holds when  $a^0 \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  and let  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  with  $a^0 \in (\mathcal{S})_n$  be given. If  $\alpha^0$  is a categorical monomorphism in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , then the factorisation  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\text{id}} a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  can be used in (3.3).

If  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  is not a categorical monomorphism in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , then Lemma 3.3 gives an object  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  and a non-zero morphism  $s \xrightarrow{\varphi} a^0$  such that  $\alpha^0 \varphi = 0$ . We can complete  $\varphi$  to a short triangle  $s \xrightarrow{\varphi} a^0 \xrightarrow{\theta} a'$ , and the dual of [15, lem. 2.6] says  $a' \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  so the short triangle is a conflation in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  whence  $\theta$  is a deflation.

We can also complete  $\alpha^0$  to a short triangle  $c \xrightarrow{\gamma} a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$ , and since  $\alpha^0 \varphi = 0$  we can factorise  $\varphi$  through  $\gamma$ , then use the octahedral axiom to get the following commutative diagram where each row and column is a short triangle.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} s & \xlongequal{\quad} & s & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \\ c & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & a^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & a^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \\ c' & \longrightarrow & a' & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & a^1 \end{array}$$

Since  $a' \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$ , by induction  $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} a^1$  can be factorised as  $a' \xrightarrow{\sigma'} a \xrightarrow{\iota'} a^1$  with  $\sigma'$  a deflation and  $\iota'$  a categorical monomorphism in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . Hence the factorisation  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\sigma' \theta} a \xrightarrow{\iota'} a^1$  can be used in (3.3) because  $\sigma' \theta$  is a deflation in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  since  $\sigma'$  and  $\theta$  are deflations.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *Recall that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a 2-orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$ . The category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has the following properties.*

- (i)  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a proper abelian subcategory.
- (ii) Up to isomorphism the simple objects of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  are the objects in  $\mathcal{S}$ .
- (iii) Each object of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has finite length.
- (iv) For  $a', a'' \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(a'', a') \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{C}(a'', \Sigma a').$$

- (v) The abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has enough projective objects if and only if so does the exact category  $(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle, \mathcal{E}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle})$ .

*Proof.* (i): We have  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle * (\Sigma \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle) \subseteq (\Sigma \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle) * \mathcal{S}$  by [10, lem. 2.7]. Note that the methods of [10] apply despite our notion of  $w$ -orthogonal collections being slightly more general than theirs. It follows by Lemma 2.7 that  $\iota$  in Lemma 3.4 is an inflation, and hence Lemma 3.4 and [6, ex. 8.6(i)] combine to show that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a proper abelian subcategory.

(ii): On the one hand, let  $a$  be a simple object of the abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . In particular  $a$  is non-zero, so Definition 1.6 means that  $a \in (\mathcal{S})_n$  for a positive integer  $n$  and hence that there is a short triangle  $a' \rightarrow a \xrightarrow{\alpha} s$  with  $a' \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$ . This is a short exact sequence in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  by part (i), so  $\alpha$  is an epimorphism in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , hence an isomorphism since  $a$  is simple.

On the other hand, let  $s$  be in  $\mathcal{S}$  and let  $a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} s \xrightarrow{\sigma} a''$  be a short exact sequence in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  with  $\alpha' \neq 0$ . By part (i) it gives a triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}a'' \rightarrow a' \xrightarrow{\alpha'} s \xrightarrow{\sigma} a''$ , and it follows from [15, lem. 2.6] that  $\Sigma^{-1}a'' \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . To show  $s$  simple it is enough to show  $a'' \cong 0$ , which now follows from

$$\mathcal{C}(a'', a'') \cong \mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}a'', \Sigma^{-1}a'') = 0.$$

The equality holds by Equation (3.1) since  $\Sigma^{-1}a'', a'' \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ .

(iii): By Definition 1.6 we can assume  $a \in (\mathcal{S})_n$  for a non-negative integer  $n$  and will prove that  $a$  has finite length by induction on  $n$ , noting that if  $n = 0$  then  $a = 0$  does have finite length. Now assume each  $a \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  has finite length and let  $a \in (\mathcal{S})_n \setminus (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  be given. Definition 1.6 gives a triangle  $a' \rightarrow a \rightarrow s \rightarrow \Sigma a'$  with  $a' \in (\mathcal{S})_{n-1}$  and  $s \in \mathcal{S}$ , hence by part (i) a short exact sequence  $a' \rightarrow a \rightarrow s$  in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  where  $a'$  has finite length by induction while  $s$  is simple by part (ii).

(iv), (v): We know that  $(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle, \mathcal{E}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle})$  is an exact category, see Remark 3.2. Part (i) says that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is an abelian category whose short exact sequences are the diagrams in  $\mathcal{E}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}$ . This immediately implies (v), and it gives a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(a'', a') \cong \mathrm{Ext}_{(\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle, \mathcal{E}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle})}(a'', a')$$

which can be combined with Theorem 2.5(ii) to give (iv).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Recall that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a 2-orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Assume that each object of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has a  $\Sigma \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ -envelope. Then the following hold.*

- (i)  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \simeq \text{mod } A$  for a finite dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $A$ .
- (ii) If  $k$  is algebraically closed, then the Gabriel quiver of  $A$  has vertices the elements of  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  and  $\dim_k \mathcal{C}(s_j, \Sigma s_i)$  arrows from  $s_i$  to  $s_j$ .

*Proof.* (i): Observe that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is a Krull–Schmidt category because it is an additive subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ , see Remark 3.2, while  $\mathcal{C}$  is Krull–Schmidt since it satisfies Setup 0.1. Hence, if  $p$  is an indecomposable projective object of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , then the algebra  $\mathrm{End}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(p)$  is local. It follows by [28, prop. 3.7] that there is a unique maximal non-trivial subobject  $r$  of  $p$ . The reason [28, prop. 3.7] applies is that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is essentially small while each object has finite length by Theorem 3.5(iii). The quotient  $p/r$  is simple, hence isomorphic to some  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  by Theorem 3.5(ii), so there is an epimorphism  $p \rightarrow s$ . It follows that  $p$  is a projective cover of  $s$  by [28, lem. 3.6], hence determined up to isomorphism by  $s$  by [28, cor. 3.5].

The abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has enough projective objects by Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 3.5(v). Combining with the previous paragraph shows that up to isomorphism, the indecomposable projective objects of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  are precisely given by projective covers  $\{p_1, \dots, p_e\}$  of the objects in  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  whence  $g = p_1 \oplus \dots \oplus p_e$  is a projective generator. It follows that  $A = \text{End}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(g)$  satisfies  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \simeq \text{mod } A$  by [2, III.3, proposition].

(ii): Combine part (i) with Theorem 3.5(ii)+(iv) and [3, prop. III.1.14].  $\square$

**Remark 3.7.** Theorems 3.5 and 3.6 do not extend to 1-orthogonal collections. For instance, if  $Q$  is a Dynkin quiver of type  $A_e$ , then the simple modules in  $\text{mod } kQ$  give a 1-orthogonal collection  $\mathcal{S}$  in the negative cluster category  $\mathcal{C}_{-1}(Q) = \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ)/\tau\Sigma^2$ , see [7, lem. 4.2(1)], and we even have  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle = \mathcal{C}_{-1}(Q)$ . However,  $\mathcal{C}_{-1}(Q)$  is not abelian for  $e \geq 2$ .

**Remark 3.8.** The assumption in Theorem A implies Setup 3.1 because a  $w$ -orthogonal collection is 2-orthogonal when  $w \geq 2$ . Hence Theorem A follows from Theorem 3.5(i)-(iii). Similarly, the assumption in Theorem B implies Setup 3.1, and it implies the assumption in Theorem 3.6 by Lemma 1.11. Hence Theorem B follows from Theorem 3.6.

#### 4. LEMMAS ON TORSION PAIRS

This section shows several lemmas on torsion pairs in the abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ .

**Setup 4.1.** In this section  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  is a fixed 2-orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is a torsion pair in the extension closure  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , which is abelian by Theorem 3.5(i).

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1$  be a morphism in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  with kernel  $\text{Ker } \alpha^0$  and cokernel  $\text{Coker } \alpha^0$ . If  $a^0 \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} a^1 \rightarrow c$  is a short triangle, then there is a short triangle  $\Sigma \text{Ker } \alpha^0 \rightarrow c \rightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha^0$ .*

*Proof.* There are short exact sequences  $\text{Ker } \alpha^0 \rightarrow a^0 \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Im } \alpha^0$  and  $\text{Im } \alpha^0 \xrightarrow{\iota} a^1 \rightarrow \text{Coker } \alpha^0$  in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  with  $\alpha^0 = \iota\sigma$ . Since  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a proper abelian subcategory, the short exact sequences are short triangles. By the octahedral axiom they can be combined to the following commutative diagram where each row and column is a short triangle.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Ker } \alpha^0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma \text{Ker } \alpha^0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ a^0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha^0} & a^1 & \longrightarrow & c \\ \downarrow \sigma & & \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \text{Im } \alpha^0 & \xrightarrow{\iota} & a^1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker } \alpha^0 \end{array}$$

The right column is the short triangle claimed in the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $i$  be an integer,  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  an additive subcategory which is closed under extensions in the abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . Then  $\Sigma^i \mathcal{V}$  is closed under extensions in the triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*In particular,  $\Sigma^i \mathcal{T}$  and  $\Sigma^i \mathcal{F}$  are closed under extensions in the triangulated category  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\Sigma$  is an automorphism, it is enough to show that  $\mathcal{V}$  is closed under extensions in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so let  $v' \rightarrow e \rightarrow v''$  be a short triangle with  $v', v'' \in \mathcal{V}$ . In particular,  $v', v'' \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  so we have  $e \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . Hence the short triangle is a short exact sequence in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  whence  $e \in \mathcal{V}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *The following are satisfied.*

- (i)  $(\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{T}) * \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{T})$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an additive subcategory closed under extensions.

*Proof.* (i): Given  $e \in (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) * \mathcal{F}$  there is a short triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}t \rightarrow e \rightarrow f$  with  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , hence a short triangle  $f \xrightarrow{\varphi} t \rightarrow \Sigma e$ . By Lemma 4.2 this gives a short triangle

$$\Sigma \operatorname{Ker} \varphi \rightarrow \Sigma e \rightarrow \operatorname{Coker} \varphi \quad (4.1)$$

where kernel and cokernel are taken in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . But  $\mathcal{F}$  is a torsion free class and  $\mathcal{T}$  a torsion class in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , so the subobject  $\operatorname{Ker} \varphi$  of  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  and the quotient object  $\operatorname{Coker} \varphi$  of  $t$  is in  $\mathcal{T}$ , whence (4.1) shows  $\Sigma e \in (\Sigma \mathcal{F}) * \mathcal{T}$ , that is,  $e \in \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$  as desired.

(ii): Definition 1.4(ii) gives that  $\mathcal{F} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is an additive subcategory since we have  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) = 0$  by Equation (3.1). Closure under extensions can be shown as follows.

$$\mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) * \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) \stackrel{(a)}{\subseteq} \mathcal{F} * \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) \stackrel{(b)}{\subseteq} \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$$

We have used associativity of  $*$  which holds by [4, lem. 1.3.10]. The inclusion (a) is by part (i) of the lemma while (b) is by Lemma 4.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under subobjects in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and that  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is a simple object of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}(f, \mathcal{T}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  be given. A non-zero morphism  $f \rightarrow t$  would be monic in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  since  $f$  is simple in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . Hence  $f$  would be a subobject of  $t$ , but  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under subobjects, so this would show  $f \in \mathcal{T}$  contradicting that  $f$  is a non-zero object of  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under subobjects in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and that*

- $f \in \mathcal{F}$  is a simple object of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ ,
- There is a triangle

$$\Sigma^{-1}t \xrightarrow{\tau} f \xrightarrow{\varphi} g \rightarrow t \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\tau$  is a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ -cover.

Then  $g \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* The triangle (4.2) implies  $g \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  since  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is closed under extensions. The torsion pair  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  provides a short exact sequence  $t' \xrightarrow{\iota} g \xrightarrow{\sigma} f'$  in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  with  $t' \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $f' \in \mathcal{F}$ , hence a triangle

$$t' \xrightarrow{\iota} g \xrightarrow{\sigma} f' \rightarrow \Sigma t'. \quad (4.3)$$

Since  $g \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , the short triangle  $f \xrightarrow{\varphi} g \rightarrow t$  arising from (4.2) is a short exact sequence in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  whence  $\varphi \neq 0$  because  $f \neq 0$ . From  $\varphi \neq 0$  follows  $\sigma\varphi \neq 0$  since if  $\sigma\varphi = 0$  then we could factorise  $f \xrightarrow{\varphi} g$  as  $f \xrightarrow{\theta} t' \xrightarrow{\iota} g$ , but  $\theta = 0$  by Lemma 4.5.

By the octahedral axiom the triangles (4.2) and (4.3) can be combined to the following commutative diagram where each row and column is a triangle.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^{-1}t' & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & t' & \xlongequal{\quad} & t' \\ \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \Sigma\mu \\ \Sigma^{-1}t & \xrightarrow{\tau} & f & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & g & \longrightarrow & t \\ \pi \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow \sigma & & \downarrow \\ \Sigma^{-1}c & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}} & f & \xrightarrow{\sigma\varphi} & f' & \longrightarrow & c \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ t' & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma t' & \xlongequal{\quad} & \Sigma t' \end{array}$$

Since  $f$  is simple in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  we have  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  up to isomorphism by Theorem 3.5(ii). Since  $f' \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and  $\sigma\varphi \neq 0$ , the dual of [15, lem. 2.6] hence shows  $c \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . The last column of the diagram therefore gives a short exact sequence

$$t' \xrightarrow{\Sigma\mu} t \rightarrow c \quad (4.4)$$

in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  whence  $c \in \mathcal{T}$  since  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  while  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under quotients because it is a torsion class.

It follows that  $\Sigma^{-1}c \in \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}$  so the morphism  $\Sigma^{-1}c \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}} f$  can be factorised as  $\Sigma^{-1}c \xrightarrow{\rho} \Sigma^{-1}t \xrightarrow{\tau} f$  through the  $(\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ -cover  $\tau$ . Hence  $\tau\rho\pi = \tilde{\tau}\pi = \tau$ , and this shows that  $\rho\pi$  is an automorphism because  $\tau$  is right minimal since it is a cover. But then  $\rho\pi \circ \mu = \rho \circ \pi\mu = \rho \circ 0 = 0$  implies  $\mu = 0$ . Hence  $\Sigma\mu = 0$  so the short exact sequence (4.4) implies  $t' \cong 0$  whence the triangle (4.3) implies  $g \cong f'$ . But  $f' \in \mathcal{F}$  so  $g \in \mathcal{F}$  as claimed.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under subobjects in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and that*

- $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  are simple objects of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ ,
- There are triangles

$$\Sigma^{-1}t_i \xrightarrow{\tau_i} f_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} g_i \rightarrow t_i$$

for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  where the  $\tau_i$  are  $(\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ -covers.

Then there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{C}(g_1, g_2) \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{C}(f_1, f_2)$ , which respects compositions in the case where  $f_1 = f_2$  and the triangles are identical.

*Proof.* The mode of proof is due to [14, proof of thm. 6.2]. The two triangles in the lemma combine to the following diagram with an exact row and an exact column.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & \mathcal{C}(t_1, g_2) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{C}(g_1, g_2) & & \\ & & & & \downarrow \varphi_1^* & & \\ \mathcal{C}(f_1, \Sigma^{-1}t_2) & \xrightarrow{(\tau_2)_*} & \mathcal{C}(f_1, f_2) & \xrightarrow{(\varphi_2)_*} & \mathcal{C}(f_1, g_2) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}(f_1, t_2) \\ & & & & \downarrow \tau_1^* & & \\ & & & & \mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}t_1, g_2) & & \end{array}$$

We show that the four end terms are zero.

- $\mathcal{C}(f_1, \Sigma^{-1}t_2) = 0$  holds by Equation (3.1).
- $\mathcal{C}(f_1, t_2) = 0$  by Lemma 4.5.
- $\mathcal{C}(t_1, g_2) = 0$  since  $t_1 \in \mathcal{T}$  by assumption while  $g_2 \in \mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 4.6.
- $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}t_1, g_2) = 0$  by the triangulated Wakamatsu Lemma, [23, lem. 2.1], since  $g_2$  is the mapping cone of a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ -cover while  $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is closed under extensions by Lemma 4.3.

The diagram hence shows that  $\varphi_1^*$  and  $(\varphi_2)_*$  are bijective. This means that in the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & g_1 \\ \psi \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma \\ f_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & g_2, \end{array}$$

if one of the vertical morphisms is given, then there is a unique choice of the other making the square commutative. This implies that  $\Psi(\gamma) = \psi$  defines a map  $\Psi$  with the properties claimed in the lemma.  $\square$

For the next proof we remind the reader that by Theorem 3.5(ii)+(iii), the objects of  $\mathcal{S}$  are simple in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and each object of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has finite length.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  be a subset. Then  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is the additive subcategory whose objects are those with all composition factors in  $\mathcal{S}'$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  be the additive subcategory whose objects are those with all composition factors in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . Lemma 4.3 implies that  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is closed under extensions, and it is clear that  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ , so we have  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . To prove the opposite inclusion  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ , we can assume that  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  has length  $n$  for a non-negative integer  $n$  and will prove  $v \in \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$  by induction on  $n$ , noting that if  $n = 0$  then  $v = 0$  is in  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ . Now assume that each  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  of length  $n - 1$  satisfies  $v \in \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$  and let  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  of length  $n$  be given. There is a short exact sequence  $v' \rightarrow v \rightarrow s'$  with  $v' \in \mathcal{V}$  of length  $n - 1$  and  $s' \in \mathcal{S}'$ . This is a short triangle where  $v' \in \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$  by induction so  $v \in \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$  follows.  $\square$

## 5. LEFT TILTING OF $w$ -ORTHOGONAL COLLECTIONS

This section proves Theorem 5.3, which implies the first part of Theorem C from the introduction.

**Setup 5.1.** In this section the following are fixed.

- (i)  $w \geq 2$  is an integer.
- (ii)  $k$  is still a field,  $\mathcal{C}$  an essentially small  $k$ -linear Hom-finite triangulated category with split idempotents, and we now also assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $(-w)$ -Calabi-Yau.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  is a fixed  $w$ -orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  is a subset. We have the extension closures  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ .
- (iv) Each  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  is assumed to have a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle)$ -cover.

**Remark 5.2.** The results of Sections 3 and 4 apply to  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , and Setup 5.1(iv) implies that the left tilt  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  is defined, see Definition 0.4(i).

**Theorem 5.3.** *The left tilt  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  and the classes  $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle^\perp \cap \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  have the following properties.*

- (i)  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  is a  $w$ -orthogonal collection.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system, then so is  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$ .
- (iii)  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is a torsion pair in the abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  is closed under subobjects in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ .
- (iv)  $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$  is a torsion pair in the abelian category  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  and  $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}$  is closed under quotient objects in  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ .

The four parts of the theorem will be proved separately.

*Proof of Theorem 5.3(iii).* Lemma 4.8 says that  $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is the additive subcategory of objects with composition factors isomorphic to objects in  $\mathcal{S}'$ . In particular, it is closed under subobjects. It is also a torsion class because each object of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  has finite length by Theorem 3.5(iii). The corresponding torsion free class is the right perpendicular of  $\mathcal{T}$  taken in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ , which is precisely  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.4.** *The classes  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  from Theorem 5.3 satisfy the following.*

- (i) If  $s \in \mathcal{S}'$  then  $s \in \mathcal{T}$ .
- (ii) If  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  then  $s \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (iii) If  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  then  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof.* (i): This holds since  $\mathcal{T} = \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ .

(ii): If  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  then  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}', s) = 0$  by Definition 0.2(i) whence  $\mathcal{C}(\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle, s) = 0$ , so  $s \in \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle^\perp \cap \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle = \mathcal{F}$ .

(iii): Theorem 3.5(ii), Theorem 5.3(iii), and part (ii) imply that Lemma 4.6 can be applied to the triangle (0.1) to give part (iii).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.3(i).* We will split into four cases to show that  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) = \{L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_1), \dots, L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_e)\}$  satisfies Definition 0.2(i)+(ii), using that by assumption, so does  $\mathcal{S}$ .

- If  $s_i, s_j \in \mathcal{S}'$  then it is sufficient to note that

$$\mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), \Sigma^\ell L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) = \mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s_i, \Sigma^\ell \Sigma^{-1}s_j) \cong \mathcal{C}(s_i, \Sigma^\ell s_j).$$

- If  $s_i, s_j \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  then Theorem 3.5(ii), Theorem 5.3(iii), and Lemma 5.4(ii) imply that Lemma 4.7 can be applied to the triangle (0.1) to get

$$\mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) \cong \mathcal{C}(s_i, s_j).$$

This is sufficient for Definition 0.2(i). For Definition 0.2(ii) note that  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j) \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  by Lemma 5.4(iii), so if  $\ell \in \{-w+1, \dots, -1\}$  then

$$\mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), \Sigma^\ell L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) = 0$$

follows from Definition 0.2(ii) for  $\mathcal{S}$ .

- If  $s_i \in \mathcal{S}', s_j \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  then

$$\mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), \Sigma^\ell L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) \cong \mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s_i, \Sigma^\ell L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) \cong \mathcal{C}(s_i, \Sigma^{\ell+1}L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) = (*).$$

For Definition 0.2(i) note that if  $\ell = 0$  then  $(*) = 0$  by the triangulated Wakamatsu Lemma, [23, lem. 2.1], since  $s_i \in \mathcal{T}$  by Lemma 5.4(i) while  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)$  is the mapping cone of a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ -cover and  $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is closed under extensions by Lemma 4.3. For Definition 0.2(ii) note that  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j) \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  by Lemma 5.4(iii) so if  $\ell \in \{-w+1, \dots, -2\}$  then  $(*) = 0$  follows from Definition 0.2(ii) for  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $\ell = -1$  then  $(*) = \mathcal{C}(s_i, L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) = 0$  since  $s_i \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j) \in \mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 5.4(i)+(iii).

- If  $s_i \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}', s_j \in \mathcal{S}'$  then

$$\mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), \Sigma^\ell L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_j)) \cong \mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), \Sigma^\ell \Sigma^{-1}s_j) \cong \mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), \Sigma^{\ell-1}s_j) = (**).$$

We have  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i) \in \mathcal{F} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  by Lemma 5.4(iii). For Definition 0.2(i) note that if  $\ell = 0$  then  $(**) = 0$  follows from Definition 0.2(i) for  $\mathcal{S}$ . For Definition 0.2(ii), if  $\ell \in \{-w+2, \dots, -1\}$  then  $(**) = 0$  follows from Definition 0.2(ii) for  $\mathcal{S}$ . If  $\ell = -w+1$  then

$$(**) = \mathcal{C}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i), \Sigma^{-w}s_j) \cong \mathcal{D}\mathcal{C}(s_j, L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i)) = 0,$$

where the isomorphism holds because  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau and the last equality holds since  $s_j \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s_i) \in \mathcal{F}$  by Lemma 5.4(i)+(iii).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.3(iv).* The proof will be divided into two steps.

Step 1: This step will prove

$$\mathcal{F} \subseteq \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T} \subseteq \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle. \tag{5.2}$$

To prove Equation (5.2), observe that  $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}' \subseteq L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  by Definition 0.4(i) whence  $\langle \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}' \rangle \subseteq \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ , so  $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T} = \Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle = \langle \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}' \rangle \subseteq \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ .

We will prove Equation (5.1) by showing

$$f \in \mathcal{F} \Rightarrow f \in \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle \tag{5.3}$$

by induction on  $n = \text{length}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(f)$ , which makes sense by Theorem 3.5(i)+(iii) since  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . If  $n = 0$  then  $f = 0$  so (5.3) is trivially true.

For the induction, assume (5.3) is true for  $\text{length}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(f) = n-1$  and let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfy  $\text{length}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(f) = n$ . There is a short exact sequence

$$f' \rightarrow f \rightarrow u \tag{5.4}$$



in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  with  $\text{length}_{\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle}(f') = n - 1$  and  $u$  simple in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . Recall that  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  is a torsion pair in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  by Theorem 5.3(iii). Hence  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  implies  $f' \in \mathcal{F}$  so

$$f' \in \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle \quad (5.5)$$

by induction. By Theorem 3.5(ii) we can assume  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ .

If  $u \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  then Definition 0.4(i) gives a short triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}t_u \rightarrow u \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{S}'}(u)$  with  $t_u \in \mathcal{T}$ . Each end term is in  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ , the first by Equation (5.2) and the second by definition. Hence  $u \in \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  so Equations (5.4) and (5.5) imply  $f \in \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ .

If  $u \in \mathcal{S}' \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  then the short exact sequence (5.4) cannot split because  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence (5.4) induces a triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}u \xrightarrow{\varphi} f' \rightarrow f \rightarrow u$  with  $\varphi \neq 0$ . By Definition 0.4(i) it reads  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(u) \xrightarrow{\varphi} f' \rightarrow f \rightarrow u$ . Hence  $f \in \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  by Equation (5.5) and the dual of [15, lem. 2.6], which applies because  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  is a  $w$ -orthogonal collection by Theorem 5.3(i).

Step 2: This step will complete the proof. Note that  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is a proper abelian subcategory by Theorem 3.5(i) and Theorem 5.3(i).

We have the inclusions (5.1) and (5.2), and  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}$  are full subcategories closed under isomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ . To show that  $(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$  is a torsion pair in  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ , it is hence sufficient to show that it satisfies axioms (IV) and (V) in [13, sec. 1], and axiom (V) says  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}, \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) = 0$  which is true by Equation (3.1).

For axiom (IV), stating the existence of fundamental sequences, note that Definition 0.4(i) and Lemma 5.4(i)+(iii) imply that  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{F} \cup (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ , in particular  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ . Theorem 5.3(iii) and Lemma 4.4(ii) give that  $\mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$  is closed under extensions in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so it follows that  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T})$ . Each  $a \in \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  hence permits a short triangle  $f \rightarrow a \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}t$  with  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\Sigma^{-1}t \in \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}$ . This is a short exact sequence in  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  because of Equations (5.1) and (5.2), showing that axiom (IV) in [13, sec. 1] holds.

Finally, Lemma 4.8 gives that  $\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T} = \Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle = \langle \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}' \rangle = \langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}') \rangle$  is the additive subcategory of  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  consisting of objects with composition factors isomorphic to objects in  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}')$ . In particular, it is closed under quotient objects in  $\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.3(ii).* Assume that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system. Since  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  is a  $w$ -orthogonal collection by Theorem 5.3(i), it remains to prove that it satisfies Definition 0.2(iii)'.

Observe that we have

$$\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle = \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F}, \quad (5.6)$$

$$\langle L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle = \mathcal{F} * \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}. \quad (5.7)$$

In each case, the equation holds because we have a torsion pair in a proper abelian subcategory; see Theorem 5.3(iii)+(iv). Combining Definition 0.2(iii)' for  $\mathcal{S}$  with Equation (5.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C} &= \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle * \Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle * \dots * \Sigma^{-w+1}\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{F}) * \dots * (\Sigma^{-w+1}\mathcal{T}) * (\Sigma^{-w+1}\mathcal{F}) \\ &= \mathcal{T} * \mathcal{Y} \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

with

$$\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{F}) * \dots * (\Sigma^{-w+1}\mathcal{T}) * (\Sigma^{-w+1}\mathcal{F}). \quad (5.9)$$

In this formula, on the right hand side, none of the subcategories have non-zero morphisms to any of the subsequent ones; this follows from  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F})$  being a torsion pair in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and Definition 0.2(ii). Hence  $\mathcal{Y}$  is closed under summands by repeated application of [21, prop. 2.1(1)].

Now let  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  be given. Let

$$c' \rightarrow c \xrightarrow{\gamma} \Sigma^{-w}t \quad (5.10)$$

be a short triangle with  $\gamma$  a  $(\Sigma^{-w}\mathcal{T})$ -envelope, which exists by Lemma 1.11. The triangulated Wakamatsu Lemma, dual to [23, lem. 2.1], says  $\mathcal{C}(c', \Sigma^{-w}\mathcal{T}) = 0$  because  $\Sigma^{-w}\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  is closed under

extensions by Lemma 4.3. Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau, it follows that

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{T}, c') \cong \mathrm{D}\mathcal{C}(c', \Sigma^{-w}\mathcal{T}) = 0. \quad (5.11)$$

By Equation (5.8) there is a short triangle  $t \xrightarrow{\varphi} c' \rightarrow y$  with  $t \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ . Equation (5.11) implies  $\varphi = 0$  so  $c'$  is a direct summand of  $y$ . Since  $\mathcal{Y}$  is closed under direct summands this gives  $c' \in \mathcal{Y}$ . But then Equations (5.10), (5.9), and (5.7) imply

$$\begin{aligned} c &\in \mathcal{Y} * (\Sigma^{-w}\mathcal{T}) \\ &= \mathcal{F} * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{T}) * (\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{F}) * \dots * (\Sigma^{-w+1}\mathcal{T}) * (\Sigma^{-w+1}\mathcal{F}) * (\Sigma^{-w}\mathcal{T}) \\ &= \langle L_{\mathcal{F}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle * \Sigma^{-1} \langle L_{\mathcal{F}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle * \dots * \Sigma^{-w+1} \langle L_{\mathcal{F}'}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

proving that  $L_{\mathcal{F}'}(\mathcal{S})$  satisfies Definition 0.2(iii)'.  $\square$

## 6. RIGHT TILTING OF $w$ -ORTHOGONAL COLLECTIONS

This section states Theorem 6.3, which is the dual of Theorem 5.3 and implies the second part of Theorem C from the introduction. The proof of Theorem 6.3 is omitted as it is dual to the proof of Theorem 5.3.

**Setup 6.1.** In this section the following are fixed.

- (i)  $w \geq 2$  is an integer.
- (ii)  $k$  is still a field,  $\mathcal{C}$  an essentially small  $k$ -linear Hom-finite triangulated category with split idempotents, and we assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{S} = \{s_1, \dots, s_e\}$  is a fixed  $w$ -orthogonal collection in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{S}'' \subseteq \mathcal{S}$  is a subset. We have the extension closures  $\langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ .
- (iv) Each  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}''$  is assumed to have a  $(\Sigma \langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle)$ -envelope.

**Remark 6.2.** Setup 6.1(iv) implies that the right tilt  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  is defined, see Definition 0.4(ii).

**Theorem 6.3.** *The right tilt  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  and the classes  $\mathcal{U} = {}^\perp \langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle \cap \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{G} = \langle \mathcal{S}'' \rangle$  have the following properties.*

- (i)  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  is a  $w$ -orthogonal collection.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{S}$  is a  $w$ -simple minded system, then so is  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$ .
- (iii)  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G})$  is a torsion pair in the abelian category  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  is closed under quotient objects in  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ .
- (iv)  $(\Sigma \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{U})$  is torsion pair in the abelian category  $\langle R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$  and  $\Sigma \mathcal{G}$  is closed under subobjects in  $\langle R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S}) \rangle$ .

We continue by showing that left and right tilting are inverse operations.

**Proposition 6.4.** *Assume Setups 5.1 and 6.1 whence the left tilt  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S})$  and the right tilt  $R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S})$  are defined. Then the following are satisfied.*

- (i) The right tilt  $R_{\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}'}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(\mathcal{S}))$  is defined and equals  $\mathcal{S}$  up to isomorphism.
- (ii) The left tilt  $L_{\Sigma \mathcal{S}''}(R_{\mathcal{S}''}(\mathcal{S}))$  is defined and equals  $\mathcal{S}$  up to isomorphism.

*Proof.* (i): Given  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}'$  the object  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s)$  is defined by a triangle

$$\Sigma^{-1}t_s \xrightarrow{\tau} s \xrightarrow{\sigma} L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s) \xrightarrow{\gamma} t_s$$

with  $\tau$  a  $\Sigma^{-1} \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ -cover, see Definition 0.4(i). We claim that  $\gamma$  is an  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ -envelope, that is, a  $\Sigma(\Sigma^{-1} \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle)$ -envelope. This shows that the right tilt in part (i) is defined and that

$$R_{\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}'}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s)) \cong s \quad \text{for } s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{S}', \quad (6.1)$$

see Definition 0.4(ii).

To see that  $\gamma$  is an  $\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ -preenvelope, let  $L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s) \xrightarrow{\lambda} t$  be a morphism with  $t \in \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}(s, \langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle) = 0$  follows from Definition 0.2(i), we have  $\lambda\sigma = 0$  so  $\lambda$  can be factorised through  $\gamma$ .

To see that  $\gamma$  is left minimal, it is enough by [17, lem. 3.12(b)] to see that  $\Sigma\tau$  is in the radical, hence enough to see that  $\tau$  is in the radical. If not, then  $\tau$  would have a component that was an isomorphism. This would imply that  $s$  was a direct summand of  $\Sigma^{-1}t_s$ , because  $s$  is indecomposable since  $\mathcal{C}(s, s)$  is a skew field by Definition 0.2(i). Hence we would have  $s \in \Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S}' \rangle \subseteq \Sigma^{-1}\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$ . We also have  $s \in \langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  so Equation (3.1) would give  $\mathcal{C}(s, s) = 0$  which is false since  $\mathcal{C}(s, s)$  is a skew field.

Finally, observe that Definition 0.4 gives

$$R_{\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}'}(L_{\mathcal{S}'}(s')) = R_{\Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{S}'}(\Sigma^{-1}s') = \Sigma\Sigma^{-1}s' = s' \quad \text{for } s' \in \mathcal{S}'.$$

Combining with Equation (6.1) completes the proof of part (i).

(ii): Follows by dual arguments. □

**Remark 6.5.** The assumptions in Theorem C clearly imply Setup 5.1(i)-(iii) and Setup 6.1(i)-(iii), and they imply Setup 5.1(iv) and Setup 6.1(iv) by Lemma 1.11. Hence Theorem C follows from Theorems 5.3(ii)-(iv) and 6.3(ii)-(iv).

## 7. EXAMPLE: NEGATIVE CLUSTER CATEGORIES OF DYNKIN TYPE $A$

This section applies Theorems A, B, and C from the introduction to the negative cluster categories of Dynkin type  $A$  first studied in [8].

**Setup 7.1.** In this section the following are fixed.

- $e \geq 1$  and  $w \geq 2$  are integers.
- $Q$  is a Dynkin quiver of type  $A_e$ .
- $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{-w}(Q) = \mathcal{D}^b(\text{mod } kQ)/\tau\Sigma^{w+1}$  is the  $(-w)$ -cluster category of Dynkin type  $A_e$ .

**Remark 7.2.** The category  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies Setup 0.1, see [5, sec. 1] and [25, sec. 4], and it is  $(-w)$ -Calabi–Yau by [25, sec. 8.4]. In particular, Theorems A through C from the introduction apply.

**Remark 7.3** (A combinatorial model of  $\mathcal{C}$ ). The following combinatorial model of  $\mathcal{C}$  is due to [8]. Set  $N = (w+1)(e+1) - 2$  and let  $P$  be an  $N$ -gon with vertices  $\{0, \dots, N-1\}$  numbered in the clockwise direction. The vertices have a cyclic order defined by their positions on  $P$ , and arithmetic of vertices is done modulo  $N$ . A *diagonal* is a set  $s = \{\dot{s}, \ddot{s}\}$  of two distinct vertices, which are allowed to be consecutive. It has *end points*  $\dot{s}, \ddot{s}$ , and  $s$  is *admissible* if it divides  $P$  into two subpolygons whose numbers of vertices are divisible by  $w+1$ . Diagonals  $s = \{\dot{s}, \ddot{s}\}$  and  $s' = \{\dot{s}', \ddot{s}'\}$  are said to *cross* if  $\dot{s} < \dot{s}' < \ddot{s} < \ddot{s}' < \dot{s}$  or  $\dot{s} < \ddot{s}' < \ddot{s} < \dot{s}' < \dot{s}$ . The combinatorial model has the following key properties.

(i) There is a bijection

$$\{\text{admissible diagonals}\} \longleftrightarrow \{\text{indecomposable objects of } \mathcal{C}\},$$

see [11, sec. 10.1]. We will use “admissible diagonal” as synonymous with “indecomposable object”.

(ii) If  $s, s'$  are admissible diagonals, then the following statements are equivalent, see [11, sec. 10.1].

- There is an irreducible morphism  $s \rightarrow s'$ .
- There are vertices  $\dot{s}, \ddot{s}$  such that  $s = \{\dot{s}, \ddot{s}\}$  and  $s' = \{\dot{s}, \ddot{s} + w + 1\}$ .

(iii) The suspension functor acts on admissible diagonals by

$$\Sigma\{\dot{s}, \ddot{s}\} = \{\dot{s} + 1, \ddot{s} + 1\},$$

see [11, sec. 10.1].




FIGURE 3. The three parts of this figure correspond to Proposition 7.4, parts (i)–(iii). The indecomposable objects  $s'$  and  $s$  permit a triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}s' \xrightarrow{\delta} s \rightarrow e \rightarrow s'$  with  $\delta \neq 0$ . In (i) and (ii) the object  $e$  has indecomposable summands given by the dotted diagonals, and in (iii) we have  $e = 0$  since  $\delta$  is an isomorphism.

(iv) We have

$$\{w\text{-simple minded systems in } \mathcal{C}\} = \left\{ \mathcal{S} \left| \begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S} \text{ is a set of } e \text{ admissible diagonals} \\ \text{which are pairwise non-crossing and} \\ \text{do not share any endpoints} \end{array} \right. \right\},$$

see [8, thm. 6.5], [10, prop. 2.13].

The following result is due to [8, cor. 6.3] and [11, cor. 10.6 and fig. 11].

**Proposition 7.4.** *Let  $s' = \{\dot{s}', \ddot{s}'\}$  and  $s$  be admissible diagonals. Then*

$$\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if (i), (ii), or (iii) below holds,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where (i), (ii), and (iii) are the following conditions.

- (i)  $s$  and  $s'$  do not cross and do not share an end point, but  $s$  has  $\dot{s}' - 1$  or  $\ddot{s}' - 1$  as an end point.
- (ii)  $s$  and  $s'$  cross and  $s = \{\dot{s}' - i(w + 1), \ddot{s}' - j(w + 1)\}$  for certain integers  $i, j$ .
- (iii)  $s = \{\dot{s}' - 1, \ddot{s}' - 1\}$ .

If (i), (ii), or (iii) holds then there is a triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}s' \xrightarrow{\delta} s \rightarrow e \rightarrow s'$  with  $\delta \neq 0$ , and  $e$  has indecomposable summands given by the dotted diagonals in the relevant part of Figure 3.

**Lemma 7.5.** *Let  $s', s$  be indecomposable objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

- (i) *If  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s) \neq 0$  then each non-zero morphism  $\Sigma^{-1}s' \xrightarrow{\delta} s$  is a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle s' \rangle)$ -cover.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s) = 0$  then  $0 \rightarrow s$  is a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle s' \rangle)$ -cover.*

*Proof.* We have  $\mathcal{C}(s', \Sigma s') \cong \mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s') = 0$  where the equality is by Proposition 7.4. Hence  $\text{add}(s')$  is closed under extensions whence  $\langle s' \rangle = \text{add}(s')$ . It follows that  $\Sigma^{-1}\langle s' \rangle = \text{add}(\Sigma^{-1}s')$ , so a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle s' \rangle)$ -cover is the same thing as an  $\text{add}(\Sigma^{-1}s')$ -cover. This implies part (ii) of the lemma immediately, and it implies part (i) because  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s) \neq 0$  means  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s) = k$  by Proposition 7.4.  $\square$




FIGURE 4. The three parts of this figure correspond to Proposition 7.6, parts (i)–(iii). The objects  $s'$ ,  $s$  are elements of a  $w$ -simple minded system  $\mathcal{S}$ . In (i) and (iii) the left tilts  $L_{\{s'\}}(s)$  and  $L_{\{s'\}}(s')$  are given by the dotted diagonals, and in (ii) we have  $L_{\{s'\}}(s) = s$ .




FIGURE 5. This figure is part of Example 7.7 and shows the Auslander–Reiten quiver of  $\mathcal{C}_{-2}(A_3)$ , the  $(-2)$ -cluster category of Dynkin type  $A_3$ . Coloured vertices show the 2-simple minded system  $\mathcal{S}$  from Figure 6. The objects of  $\mathcal{S}$  are red, and the remaining indecomposable objects of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  are green.




FIGURE 6. This figure is part of Example 7.7 and shows a 2-simple minded system  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{-2}(A_3)$ .

The following proposition gives a combinatorial description of left tilting of a  $w$ -simple minded system at an indecomposable object. We leave it to the reader to formulate a dual description of right tilting.

**Proposition 7.6.** *Let  $s' = \{s', \check{s}'\}$  and  $s$  be elements of a  $w$ -simple minded system  $\mathcal{S}$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Then the left tilt  $L_{\{s'\}}(s)$  is given as follows.*

- (i) *If  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{s'\}$  has  $\check{s}' - 1$  or  $s' - 1$  as an end point, then  $L_{\{s'\}}(s)$  is given by the dotted diagonal in Figure 4(i).*
- (ii) *If  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{s'\}$  does not have  $\check{s}' - 1$  or  $s' - 1$  as an end point, then  $L_{\{s'\}}(s) = s$ , see Figure 4(ii).*
- (iii)  *$L_{\{s'\}}(s') = \Sigma^{-1}s' = \{\check{s}' - 1, s' - 1\}$ , see Figure 4(iii).*




FIGURE 7. This figure is part of Example 7.8. The arrows show the left tilts at indecomposable objects of the 3-simple minded systems in  $\mathcal{C}_{-3}(A_2)$ , the  $(-3)$ -cluster category of Dynkin type  $A_2$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.11 the subcategory  $\Sigma^{-1}\langle s' \rangle$  is functorially finite, so the left tilt is defined; see Definition 0.4(i).

Let  $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus \{s'\}$  be given. Then  $s$  and  $s'$  do not cross and do not share an end point since they are both in the  $w$ -simple minded system  $\mathcal{S}$ ; see Remark 7.3(iv).

For part (i), assume that  $s$  has  $s' - 1$  or  $s' - 1$  as an end point. Proposition 7.4(i) says that  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s) = k$ . The proposition also gives a triangle  $\Sigma^{-1}s' \xrightarrow{\delta} s \rightarrow e \rightarrow s'$  with  $\delta \neq 0$  where  $e$  is given by the dotted diagonal in Figure 3(i). Lemma 7.5(i) says that  $\delta$  is a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle s' \rangle)$ -cover, so  $L_{\{s'\}}(s) = e$  by Definition 0.4(i). Hence  $L_{\{s'\}}(s)$  is given by the dotted diagonal in Figure 4(i).

For part (ii), assume that  $s$  does not have  $s' - 1$  or  $s' - 1$  as an end point. Proposition 7.4(i) says that  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma^{-1}s', s) = 0$ . There is a trivial triangle  $0 \rightarrow s \xrightarrow{\text{id}_s} s \rightarrow 0$ . Lemma 7.5(ii) says that  $0 \rightarrow s$  is a  $(\Sigma^{-1}\langle s' \rangle)$ -cover, so  $L_{\{s'\}}(s) = s$  by Definition 0.4(i), corresponding to Figure 4(ii).

Part (iii) holds by Definition 0.4(i) and Remark 7.3(iii), corresponding to Figure 4(iii).  $\square$

**Example 7.7** (A simple minded system and its extension closure). Suppose  $e = 3$  and  $w = 2$  whence  $N = 10$  and the polygon  $P$  has vertices  $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ . Remark 7.3(i)+(ii) permits to compute the Auslander–Reiten quiver of  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is shown in Figure 5 where  $ij$  is shorthand for the diagonal  $\{i, j\}$ . Remark 7.3(iv) permits writing down the 2-simple minded system  $\mathcal{S}$  shown in red in Figure 6.

Theorems A and B say that the extension closure  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  is a proper abelian subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  and that  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \simeq \text{mod } A$  for a finite dimensional algebra  $A$ . Indeed, in Figure 5 the objects of  $\mathcal{S}$  are red, the remaining indecomposable objects of  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle$  are green, and we have  $\langle \mathcal{S} \rangle \simeq \text{mod } A$  where  $A$  is the algebra  $k(\circ \xrightarrow{\alpha} \circ \xrightarrow{\beta} \circ) / (\alpha\beta)$ .

**Example 7.8** (Left tilting of  $w$ -simple minded systems). Suppose  $e = 2$  and  $w = 3$  whence  $N = 10$  and the polygon  $P$  has vertices  $\{0, 1, \dots, 9\}$ . Remark 7.3(iv) permits writing down the 3-simple minded systems in  $\mathcal{C}$ ; there are 15 of these shown in the small 10-gons in Figure 7. Proposition 7.6 permits determining the left tilt of each 3-simple minded system at each of its two indecomposable objects as shown by the arrows in Figure 7.

**Acknowledgement.** The main item of Section 2 is a theorem by Dyer on exact subcategories of triangulated categories, see [16, p. 1] and Theorem 2.5. We are grateful to Professor Dyer for permitting the proof of Theorem 2.5 to appear in this paper.

We thank the referee for several useful corrections and suggestions. We are grateful to Raquel Coelho Simões and David Pauksztello for patiently answering numerous questions and to Osamu Iyama and Haibo Jin for comments on an earlier version.

This work was supported by a DNRf Chair from the Danish National Research Foundation (grant DNRf156), by a Research Project 2 from the Independent Research Fund Denmark (grant 1026-00050B), by the Aarhus University Research Foundation (grant AUFF-F-2020-7-16), and by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (grant EP/P016014/1).

Thanks to Aleksandr and Sergei the Meerkats for years of inspiration.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Al-Nofayee, *Simple objects in the heart of a  $t$ -structure*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (2009), 54–59.
- [2] M. Auslander, “Representation dimension of Artin algebras”, Queen Mary College Mathematics Notes, Queen Mary College, London, 1971. Reprinted pp. 505–574 in: “Selected works of Maurice Auslander”, Vol. 1 (edited by Reiten, Smalø, and Solberg), American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1999.
- [3] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, and S. Smalø, “Representation theory of Artin algebras”, Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math., Vol. 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982) (proceedings of the conference “Analysis and topology on singular spaces”, Vol. 1, Luminy, 1981).
- [5] A. B. Buan, R. J. Marsh, M. Reineke, I. Reiten, and G. Todorov, *Tilting theory and cluster combinatorics*, Adv. Math. **204** (2006), 572–618.
- [6] T. Bühler, *Exact categories*, Expo. Math. **28** (2010), 1–69.
- [7] R. Coelho Simões, *Hom-configurations and noncrossing partitions*, J. Algebraic Combin. **35** (2012), 313–343.
- [8] R. Coelho Simões, *Hom-configurations in triangulated categories generated by spherical objects*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), 3322–3336.
- [9] R. Coelho Simões, *Mutations of simple-minded systems in Calabi–Yau categories generated by a spherical object*, Forum Math. **29** (2017), 1065–1081.
- [10] R. Coelho Simões and D. Pauksztello, *Simple-minded systems and reduction for negative Calabi–Yau triangulated categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), 2463–2498.
- [11] R. Coelho Simões and D. Pauksztello, *Torsion pairs in a triangulated category generated by a spherical object*, J. Algebra **448** (2016), 1–47.
- [12] R. Coelho Simões, D. Pauksztello, and D. Ploog, *Functorially finite hearts, simple-minded systems in negative cluster categories, and noncrossing partitions*, preprint (2020). [arXiv:2004.00604](https://arxiv.org/abs/2004.00604)
- [13] S. E. Dickson, *A torsion theory for abelian categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 223–235.
- [14] A. Dugas, *Tilting mutation of weakly symmetric algebras and stable equivalence*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **17** (2014), 863–884.
- [15] A. Dugas, *Torsion pairs and simple-minded systems in triangulated categories*, Appl. Categ. Structures **23** (2015), 507–526.
- [16] M. Dyer, *Exact subcategories of triangulated categories*, preprint. <https://www3.nd.edu/~dyer/papers/extri.pdf>

- [17] F. Fedele, *Auslander–Reiten  $(d + 2)$ -angles in subcategories and a  $(d + 2)$ -angulated generalisation of a theorem by Brüning*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **223** (2019), 3554–3580.
- [18] D. Happel, I. Reiten, and S. Smalø, “Tilting in abelian categories and quasitilted algebras”, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1996), no. 575.
- [19] T. Holm, P. Jørgensen, and D. Yang, *Sparseness of  $t$ -structures and negative Calabi–Yau dimension in triangulated categories generated by a spherical object*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **45** (2013), 120–130.
- [20] O. Iyama and H. Jin, Positive Fuss–Catalan numbers and simple-minded systems in negative Calabi–Yau categories, preprint (2020). [arXiv:2002.09952v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.09952v2)
- [21] O. Iyama and Y. Yoshino, *Mutation in triangulated categories and rigid Cohen–Macaulay modules*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), 117–168.
- [22] H. Jin, Reductions of triangulated categories and simple-minded collections, preprint (2019). [arXiv:1907.05114v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1907.05114v2)
- [23] P. Jørgensen, *Auslander–Reiten triangles in subcategories*, J. K-theory **3** (2009), 583–601.
- [24] B. Keller, *Chain complexes and stable categories*, Manuscripta Math. **67** (1990), 379–417.
- [25] B. Keller, *On triangulated orbit categories*, Doc. Math. **10** (2005), 551–581.
- [26] S. König and Y. Liu, *Simple-minded systems in stable module categories*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford **63** (2012), 653–674.
- [27] S. König and D. Yang, *Silting objects, simple-minded collections,  $t$ -structures and co- $t$ -structures for finite-dimensional algebras*, Doc. Math. **19** (2014), 403–438.
- [28] H. Krause, *Krull–Schmidt categories and projective covers*, Expo. Math. **33** (2015), 535–549.
- [29] M. Linckelmann, On abelian subcategories of triangulated categories, preprint (2020). [arXiv:2008.03199v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.03199v1)
- [30] H. Nakaoka and Y. Palu, *Extriangulated categories, Hovey twin cotorsion pairs and model structures*, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég., **60** (2019), 117–193.
- [31] A. Neeman, “Triangulated categories”, Ann. of Math. Stud., Vol. 148, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2001.
- [32] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory: I*, pp. 85–147 in: “Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories” (proceedings of the conference held at the Seattle Research Center of the Battelle Memorial Institute, 28 August to 8 September 1972, edited by Bass), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1973.
- [33] J. Rickard, *Equivalences of derived categories for symmetric algebras*, J. Algebra **257** (2002), 460–481.
- [34] C. M. Ringel, *Appendix: Some remarks concerning tilting modules and tilted algebras. Origin. Relevance. Future.*, pp. 413–472 in “Handbook of tilting theory” (edited by Angeleri-Hügel, Happel, and Krause), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 332, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [35] O. M. Schnürer, Simple-minded subcategories and  $t$ -structures, preprint (2013).
- [36] J. Woolf, *Stability conditions, torsion theories and tilting*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **82** (2010), 663–682.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AARHUS UNIVERSITY, NY MUNKEGADE 118, 8000 AARHUS C, DENMARK

Email address: [peter.jorgensen@math.au.dk](mailto:peter.jorgensen@math.au.dk)

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/peterjorgensen>