

# Boundary problems for three-dimensional Dirac operators and generalized MIT bag models for unbounded domains

V.S. Rabinovich

Instituto Politécnico Nacional, ESIME Zacatenco, México,  
email: vladimir.rabinovich@gmail.com

## Abstract

We consider the operators of boundary problems

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}\mathbf{u} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}\mathbf{u} & \text{on } \Omega \\ \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

in unbounded domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  where  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  is a  $3-D$  Dirac operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi} &= [\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (i\nabla + \mathbf{A}) + \alpha_0 m + \Phi I_4] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j (i\partial_{x_j} + A_j) \alpha_0 m + \Phi I_4 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

defined on the distributions  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ , where  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  are the Dirac matrices,  $\mathbf{A} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$  and  $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  are the magnetic and electrostatic potentials,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  is the mass of the particle. Let  $\mathbb{C}^4 \ni \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{u}^2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ . We assume that the operator  $\mathfrak{B}$  of the boundary condition is

$$\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1 + \mathfrak{b}_2 \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2 \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathfrak{b}_j, j = 1, 2$  are  $2 \times 2$  matrices,  $\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^j \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2), j = 1, 2$  are restrictions of distributions  $\mathbf{u}^j \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2)$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Class of the boundary condition (3) in particular case contains the boundary conditions of MIT bag model and its generalizations which describe the confinement of the quarks to the domain  $\Omega$ .

We give conditions of self-adjointness of unbounded operators  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  associated with boundary problem (1) and to give a description of the essential spectra of  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  for some unbounded domains applying the limit operators method.

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010):** 35J56, 81Q10.

**Keywords.** Dirac operators, Boundary value problems, Self-adjointness, Essential spectrum

# 1 Introduction and notations

Massive relativistic particles of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  such as electrons and quarks are described by the Dirac operator which is the base of relativistic quantum mechanics (see for instance [18],[32]). The boundary problems for  $3-D$  Dirac operators arise in relativistic quantum mechanics for the descriptions of the particles confined in domains in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . One important model of the confinement of particles is the three-dimensional MIT bag model suggested in the 1970s by physicists (see for instance [16],[17],[21]). In the dimension two, the Dirac operators with special boundary conditions similar to the MIT bag model are used in the description of graphene [8], [9], see also [31].

The rigorous mathematical investigation of the MIT bag model as a boundary value problem for the  $3D$ -Dirac operator in domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with the special boundary conditions has been started in the papers [4],[5],[23] where the authors apply to the study of self-adjointness of the MIT bag model the modern techniques of extension theory of symmetric operators. We note also the very recent paper [10] devoted to the spectral theory of boundary value problems for  $3D$ -Dirac operator with the MIT bag model boundary conditions and some of its generalizations. The approach of this paper is based on abstract boundary triple techniques from extension theory of symmetric operators and a thorough study of certain classes of (boundary) integral operators, that appear in a Krein type resolvent formula. In this paper the authors study also the relations between the  $3D$ -Dirac operators with singular  $\delta$ -shell interactions and the MIT bag model.

It should be noted that there is an extensive literature devoted to the Fredholm theory and index of boundary problems for multi-dimensional Dirac type operators in bounded domains (see for instance [11], [12], [14], [15], [19] and references cited there).

We consider in the paper operators of boundary value problems for the  $3D$ -Dirac operators with variable magnetic and electrostatic potentials, containing in a particular case the operators of MIT bag models and some of its generalizations. Our study the spectral properties of mentioned operators is based on the investigation of the parameter-dependent boundary problems for the Dirac operators and the limit operators method.

We consider the operators of boundary problems

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}\mathbf{u} & \text{on } \Omega \\ \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

in domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $C^2$ -uniformly regular boundary  $\partial\Omega$  (the definition of uniformly regular manifold see in [3]) where  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  is a  $3-D$  Dirac operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi} &= [\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (i\nabla + \mathbf{A}) + \alpha_0 m + \Phi I_4] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j (i\partial_{x_j} + A_j) \alpha_0 m + \Phi I_4 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

defined on the distributions  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) = H^1(\Omega) \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$  where  $H^1(\Omega)$  is the Sobolev space on  $\Omega$  of order 1. We denote by  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$  the Dirac matrices satisfying the relations

$$\alpha_i \alpha_j + \alpha_j \alpha_i = 2\delta_{ij} I_4, i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

$I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  unit matrix,  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^3)$  is a magnetic potential,  $\Phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is an electrostatic potential,  $m \in \mathbb{R}$  is the mass of the particle. We use the system of coordinates for which the Planck constant  $\hbar = 1$ , the light speed  $c = 1$ , and the charge of the particle  $e = 1$ .

We introduce the boundary operators of the form

$$(\mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u})(x') = \mathfrak{b}_1(x')\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1(x') + \mathfrak{b}_2(x')\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2(x'), x' \in \partial\Omega \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathbf{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}^1 \\ \mathbf{u}^2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^4$ ,  $\mathbf{u}^j \in \mathbb{C}^2, j = 1, 2$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^j \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2)$  is the trace of  $\mathbf{u}^j \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2)$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\mathfrak{b}_j = (b_j^{kl})_{k,l=1}^2, l = 1, 2$  are  $2 \times 2$  matrices with elements  $b_j^{kl}$  belonging to the space  $C_b(\partial\Omega)$  of bounded continuous functions on  $\partial\Omega$ .

The class of boundary conditions (6) contains, in particular case, the boundary condition of the *MIT bag model* (see for instance [4], [5], [16], [17], [21]),

$$(I_4 + i\alpha_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}))\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \quad (7)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\nu} = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3)$  is the unit outward normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ . One can see that the rank of the matrix  $I_4 + i\alpha_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})$  is 2, and the boundary condition (7) is equivalent to the condition

$$\mathbf{u}^1 + (i\sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})\mathbf{u}^{(2)} = 0, \sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = \sigma_1\nu_1 + \sigma_2\nu_2 + \sigma_3\nu_3 \quad (8)$$

having the form (6) where  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3$  are the  $2 \times 2$  Pauli matrices. Moreover, the boundary condition

$$\theta(I_4 + i\alpha_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}))\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} = (I_4 + i\alpha_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}))\alpha_0\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} \quad (9)$$

introduced in the paper [10] are equivalent to the condition

$$(\theta - 1)\mathbf{u}^1 + (\theta + 1)i(\sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})\mathbf{u}^2 = \mathbf{0}$$

of form (6).

We associate with boundary value problem (4) a bounded operator

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}\mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}\mathbf{u}(x), & x \in \Omega \\ \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}(x') = \mathfrak{b}_1(x')\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1(x') + \mathfrak{b}_2(x')\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2(x') = \mathbf{0}, & x' \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

acting from  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  into  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ . We also associate with the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  the unbounded in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  generated by the  $3D$ -Dirac operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}$  with the domain

$$H_{\mathfrak{B}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) = \{\mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) : \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\}. \quad (11)$$

The paper has two principal aims: **1)** to obtain conditions for the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  to be a self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  for bounded and unbounded domains  $\Omega$ , and **2)** to study the essential spectrum of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  for unbounded domains  $\Omega$ .

**1)** Our approach to self-adjointness of operators  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  is based on the study of invertibility of the parameter-dependent boundary problems

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) = \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} - i\mu I_4, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

for large value  $|\mu|$  of the parameter  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . We introduce the local parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro conditions for the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$  and prove the following result.

- Let: (i)  $\partial\Omega$  be a  $C^2$ -uniformly regular surface, (ii) the parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro conditions for  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$  be satisfied uniformly on  $\partial\Omega$ , (iii) the unbounded operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  with domain  $H_{\mathfrak{B}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  be a symmetric operator in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  is a self-adjoint operator in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ .

In distinction from the above cited papers [10], [4], [5], [23] where the boundary problems have been considered for domains with compact  $C^2$ -boundary our approach allows to prove self-adjointness of wide class of boundary value problems for  $3D$ -Dirac operators in domains with bounded and unbounded boundary, in particular case, for the operators of the MIT bag models and their generalizations.

**2)** We study also the Fredholm property of operators  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$  and the essential spectrum of associated unbounded operators  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  in unbounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with  $C^2$ -uniformly regular boundary applying the limit operators method [28],[29],[30]. We consider the following cases:

- (a)  $\Omega$  is the exterior of a bounded domain, that is  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega'}$  where  $\Omega'$  is a bounded domain with a  $C^2$ -boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .
- (b)  $\Omega$  is an unbounded domain with  $C^2$ -boundary which has a conic exit at infinity, that is  $\Omega$  coincides with a conic set outside a ball  $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < R\}$ ,  $R > 0$ .

Following to the papers [29],[30] we define a family  $Lim(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}})$  of limit operators  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}^h$  for  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  and obtain the following result.

- Let the standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro conditions for  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  are satisfied uniformly on  $\partial\Omega$ . Then the essential spectrum  $sp_{ess}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  is defined by the formula

$$sp_{ess}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} = \bigcup_{\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}^h \subset Lim(\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}})} sp\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}^h \quad (12)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}^h$  are the unbounded operators associated with  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}^h$ .

Note that in the case (a) the limit operators  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}}^h$  are the Dirac operators on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and for the case (b), the limit operators are operators of boundary value problems for the half-spaces. Under some additional assumptions the spectra of limit operators can be obtained in an explicit form, that is formula (12) gives the complete description of  $sp_{ess}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}}$ .

Let  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega'}$  be an exterior of the bounded domain  $\Omega'$  with  $C^2$ -boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We assume that the potentials  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\Phi$  are real-valued and slowly oscillating at infinity (see Definition 18) then the essential spectrum of the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}}$  of MIT bag model defined by the Dirac operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  with domain

$$dom\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}} = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) : \mathfrak{M}\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1 + (i\sigma \cdot \nu) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^{(2)} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \right\}$$

is given as

$$sp_{ess}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}} = (-\infty, M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - |m|] \cup [M_{\Phi}^{\inf} + |m|, +\infty) \quad (13)$$

where

$$M_{\Phi}^{\sup} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x), M_{\Phi}^{\inf} = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x). \quad (14)$$

Thus  $sp_{ess}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}}$  is independent of the slowly oscillating magnetic potential  $\mathbf{A}$ , and if  $M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - M_{\Phi}^{\inf} < 2|m|$

$$sp_{dis}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}} \subset (M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - |m|, M_{\Phi}^{\inf} + |m|)$$

and if  $M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - M_{\Phi}^{\inf} \geq 2|m|$  then

$$sp\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}} = sp_{ess}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}} = (-\infty, +\infty). \quad (15)$$

If  $\Omega$  is a domain with a conic exit to infinity and the potentials  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\Phi$  are real-valued and slowly oscillating at infinity, then: if  $m > 0$  then the essential spectrum of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}}$  is given by formulas (13),(14), and if  $m \leq 0$  then the  $sp\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}} = sp_{ess}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}} = (-\infty, +\infty)$ .

Note that the approach based on the investigation of parameter-dependent operators and the limit operators has been applied earlier for the investigation of the  $\delta$ -interaction problems for Schrödinger operators in papers [25],[26],[27].

## 1.1 Notations

- If  $X, Y$  are Banach spaces then we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  the Banach space of bounded linear operators acting from  $X$  into  $Y$ , and by  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  its subspace of compact operators. In the case  $X = Y$  we write shortly  $\mathcal{B}(X), \mathcal{K}(X)$ .
- An operator  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  is called a Fredholm operator if

$$ker A = \{x \in X : Ax = 0\} \text{ and } coker A = Y / \text{Im } A$$

are finite dimensional spaces.

- Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a closed unbounded operator in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with a dense in  $\mathcal{H}$  domain  $\text{dom}\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a Fredholm operator if  $\mathcal{A} : \text{dom}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a Fredholm operator as a bounded operator where  $\text{dom}\mathcal{A}$  is equipped by the graph norm

$$\|u\|_{\text{dom}\mathcal{A}} = \left( \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|\mathcal{A}u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

(see for instance [13]).

- The essential spectrum  $\text{sp}_{\text{ess}}\mathcal{A}$  of an unbounded operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathcal{A} - \lambda I$  is not a Fredholm operator as the unbounded operator, and the discrete spectrum  $\text{sp}_{\text{dis}}\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is the set of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. It is well known that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a self-adjoint operator then  $\text{sp}_{\text{dis}}\mathcal{A} = \text{sp}\mathcal{A} \setminus \text{sp}_{\text{ess}}\mathcal{A}$ .
- We denote by  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)$ ,  $L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)$  the Hilbert spaces of  $d$ -dimensional vector-functions  $\mathbf{u}(x) = (u^1(x), \dots, u^d(x))$ ,  $x \in \Omega$  or  $x \in \partial\Omega$  with the scalar products

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)} &= \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u}(x) \cdot \mathbf{v}(x) dx, \\ \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)} &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(x') \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}(x') dx' \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{g} = \sum_{j=1}^d h_j \bar{g}_j$ .

- We denote by  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^d)$  the Sobolev space of distributions  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^d) = \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^d)} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1 + |\xi|^2)^s \|\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\xi)\|_{\mathbb{C}^d}^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty, s \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$  is the Fourier transform of  $\mathbf{u}$  in the sense of distributions. If  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  then  $H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)$  is the space of restrictions of distributions  $\mathbf{u} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^d)$  on  $\Omega$  with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)} = \inf_{l\mathbf{u} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^d)} \|l\mathbf{u}\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^d)}$$

where  $l\mathbf{u}$  is an extension of  $\mathbf{u}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $s > 1/2$  then the distributions in  $H^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)$  have the traces on  $\partial\Omega$ , and we denote by  $H^{s-1/2}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^d)$  the Sobolev space on  $\partial\Omega$  consisting of these traces.

- We denote  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is a sub-algebra of  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consisting of  $k$ -times differentiable functions  $u$  such that  $\partial^\alpha u \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for every multiindex  $\alpha : |\alpha| \leq k$ . If  $\Omega$  is an unbounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $C_b(\Omega)$ ,  $C_b^k(\Omega)$ ,  $C_b(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $C_b^k(\partial\Omega)$  are algebras consisting of the restrictions of functions in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  on  $\Omega$ ,  $\partial\Omega$ , respectively.

- We say that a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has a  $C^2$ -uniformly regular boundary  $\partial\Omega$  if  $\partial\Omega$  is a  $C^2$ -hypersurface, and: (i) for fixed  $r > 0$  and for every point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  there exists a ball  $B_r(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x - x_0| < r\}$  and the homeomorphism  $\varphi_{x_0} : B_r(x_0) \rightarrow B_1(0)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{x_0}(B_r(x_0) \cap \Omega) &= B_1(0) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n, \mathbb{R}_+^n = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_n > 0\}, \\ \varphi_{x_0}(B_r(x_0) \cap \partial\Omega) &= B_1(0) \cap \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : y_n = 0\};\end{aligned}$$

- (ii) if  $\varphi_{x_0}^i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are the coordinate functions of the mappings  $\varphi_{x_0}$  then

$$\sup_{x_0 \in \partial\Omega} \sup_{|\alpha| \leq 2, x \in B_r(x_0)} |\partial_x^\alpha \varphi_{x_0}^i(x)| < \infty, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

- Note if  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with  $C^2$ -boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $\partial\Omega$  is the uniformly regular surface.

## 1.2 Free Dirac operators

We denote by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot i\nabla + \alpha_0 m = \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j D_{x_j} + \alpha_0 m, D_{x_j} = i\partial_{x_j}$$

the free Dirac operator (see for instance [32]) where  $\alpha_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$  are the  $4 \times 4$  Dirac matrices

$$\alpha_0 = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_j \\ \sigma_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, j = 1, 2, 3, \quad (16)$$

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

are the  $2 \times 2$  Pauli matrices satisfying the relations

$$\sigma_j \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_j = 2\delta_{jk} I_2, j, k = 1, 2, 3. \quad (18)$$

Relations (18) yield that

$$\alpha_j \alpha_k + \alpha_k \alpha_j = 2\delta_{jk} I_4; j, k = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (19)$$

where  $I_n$  is the  $n \times n$  unit matrix. Equality (19) implies that

$$(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot i\nabla)^2 = -\Delta I_4$$

where  $\Delta = \partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + \partial_{x_3}^2$  is the  $3D$ -Laplacian. Moreover,

$$\mathfrak{D}^2 = (-\Delta + m^2) I_4.$$

It is well-known that the unbounded in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  operator  $\mathcal{D}$  generated by the free Dirac operator  $\mathfrak{D}$  with domain  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is self-adjoint and

$$sp\mathcal{D} = sp_{ess}\mathcal{D} = (-\infty, -|m|] \cup [|m|, +\infty)$$

(see for instance [32]).

## 2 Parameter-dependent boundary problems for 3 – $D$ Dirac operators

### 2.1 Lopatinsky-Shapiro conditions

We consider the parameter-dependent operator of boundary value problem

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) \mathbf{u} = \begin{cases} (\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} - i\mu I_4) \mathbf{u} = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}(i\mu) \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} & \text{on } \Omega, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \mathfrak{B} \mathbf{u} = \mathfrak{b}_1 \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1 + \mathfrak{b}_2 \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2 = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}, \quad (20)$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a domain with  $C^2$ –uniformly regular boundary, and

$$\mathbf{A} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), \Phi \in L^\infty(\Omega), \mathfrak{b}_k = \left( b_k^{ij} \right)_{i,j=1}^2, b_k^{ij} \in C_b(\partial\Omega), k = 1, 2, \quad (21)$$

$\mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{u}^1, \mathbf{u}^2) \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) = H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2) \oplus H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2)$ ,  $\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^j \in H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2)$  are boundary values of the vector-functions  $\mathbf{u}^j$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . We study the invertibility of the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  for large values of  $|\mu|$ . We follow the well-known paper [1] where the authors consider the invertibility of general parameter-dependent boundary value problems in smooth bounded domains.

We construct the locally inverse operators at every fixed point  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and then we obtain the globally inverse operator for large values of  $|\mu|$  by gluing together the locally inverse operators by means of a countable partition of unity of finite multiplicity.

We need the Sobolev spaces  $H_\mu^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  of distributions  $\mathbf{u} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  with the norm depending on the parameter  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_\mu^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}} = \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 + |\xi|^2 + \mu^2)^s |\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2} < \infty, s \geq 0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{u}}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \mathbf{u}(x) dx$  is the Fourier transform. We denote by  $H_\mu^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  the space of the restrictions on the domain  $\Omega$  the distributions  $\mathbf{u} \in H_\mu^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  with the norm

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_\mu^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} = \inf_{l\mathbf{u} \in H_\mu^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)} \|l\mathbf{u}\|_{H_\mu^s(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)}$$

where  $l\mathbf{u}$  is the extension of  $\mathbf{u} \in H_\mu^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Note that

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_\mu^s(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \leq (1 + \mu^2)^{-\frac{(r-s)}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H_\mu^r(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)}, r \geq s, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \quad (22)$$

and the trace operator  $\gamma_{\partial\Omega}$  is bounded from  $H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  into  $H_\mu^{1/2}(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  if  $\partial\Omega$  is a  $C^l$ –surface,  $l > s$ . We consider the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  as acting from  $H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  into  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{D}(\mu) = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot i \nabla - i\mu I_4$  be the main part of the parameter-dependent operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}(i\mu) = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} - i\mu I_4$ . Since

$$(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + i\mu I_4)(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} - i\mu I_4) = (|\boldsymbol{\xi}|^2 + \mu^2) I_4$$



the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi} - i\mu I_4$  is the uniformly elliptic operator with parameter  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  (see for instance [1],[2]).

For a point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  we fix the local system of orthogonal coordinates  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  where the  $y' = (y_1, y_2)$  belongs to the tangent plane to  $\partial\Omega$  at the point  $x_0$  and the axis  $y_3 = z$  is directed along the inward normal vector  $\boldsymbol{\nu}_{x_0}$  to  $\partial\Omega$  at the point  $x_0$ , and we associate with the point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  the family of  $1-D$  boundary value problems on the half-line  $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{z \in \mathbb{R} : z > 0\}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathfrak{B}_{x_0}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) \boldsymbol{\psi}(z) \\ &= \begin{cases} (\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' + i\alpha_3 \frac{d}{dz} - i\mu I_4) \boldsymbol{\psi}(z), z \in \mathbb{R}_+, \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' = \alpha_1 \xi_1 + \alpha_2 \xi_2 \\ \mathfrak{B}_{x_0} \boldsymbol{\psi}(0) = \mathfrak{b}^1(x_0) \boldsymbol{\psi}^1(+0) + \mathfrak{b}^2(x_0) \boldsymbol{\psi}^2(+0) = \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

acting from  $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^4)$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^4)$ , where  $\boldsymbol{\psi}^j(+0) = \lim_{z \rightarrow +0} \boldsymbol{\psi}^j(z)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

We are looking for the exponentially decreasing solutions of the equation

$$\hat{\mathbb{D}}_{\mathfrak{B}_{x_0}}(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) \boldsymbol{\psi} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (24)$$

Note that

$$\left( \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' + i\alpha_3 \frac{d}{dz} + i\mu I_4 \right) \left( \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' + i\alpha_3 \frac{d}{dz} - i\mu I_4 \right) = \left( |\boldsymbol{\xi}'|^2 + \mu^2 - \frac{d^2}{dz^2} \right) I_4. \quad (25)$$

Hence the equation

$$\left( \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' + i\alpha_3 \frac{d}{dz} - i\mu I_4 \right) \boldsymbol{\psi}(z) = 0 \quad (26)$$

has the exponentially decreasing solutions on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  of the form

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(z) = \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) e^{-\rho z}, \rho = \sqrt{|\boldsymbol{\xi}'|^2 + \mu^2} > 0, z > 0, (\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \quad (27)$$

where the vector  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) \in \mathbb{C}^4$  satisfies the equation

$$(\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' - i\alpha_3 \rho - i\mu I_4) \mathbf{h} = 0. \quad (28)$$

The general solution of equation (28) has the form

$$\mathbf{h}(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) = \Theta(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) \mathbf{f} \quad (29)$$

where  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}^4$  is an arbitrary vector, and

$$\Theta(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) = \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' + i\rho\alpha_3 + i\mu I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} i\mu I_2 & \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) \\ \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) & i\mu I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(\boldsymbol{\xi}', \mu) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' - i\rho\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\rho & \bar{\varsigma} \\ \varsigma & i\rho \end{pmatrix}, \varsigma = \xi_1 + i\xi_2, \quad (30)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}' \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}' = \sigma_1 \xi_1 + \sigma_2 \xi_2.$$

We set

$$\mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}_1 &= \mathbf{h}_1(\xi', \mu) = \Theta(\xi', \mu) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i\mu \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \Lambda(\xi', \mu) \mathbf{e}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathbf{h}_2 &= \mathbf{h}_2(\xi', \mu) = \Theta(\xi', \mu) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(\xi', \mu) \mathbf{e}_2 \\ i\mu \mathbf{e}_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where

$$\Lambda(\xi', \mu) \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\rho \\ \varsigma \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda(\xi', \mu) \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varsigma} \\ i\rho \end{pmatrix}.$$

The vectors  $\mathbf{h}_1(\xi', \mu), \mathbf{h}_2(\xi', \mu)$  are orthogonal and satisfy equation (28). Hence

$$\{\mathbf{h}_1(\xi', \mu)e^{-\rho z}, \mathbf{h}_2(\xi', \mu)e^{-\rho z}\}$$

is a fundamental system of solutions of equation (26) in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^4)$ . Then every solution  $\mathbf{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{C}^4)$  of equation (26) on  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is of the form

$$\psi(z) = C_1 \mathbf{h}_1 e^{-\rho z} + C_2 \mathbf{h}_2 e^{-\rho z}, \rho > 0 \quad (32)$$

where  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ . Substituting  $\psi$  in the boundary condition

$$\mathbf{b}_1(x_0)\psi^1(+0) + \mathbf{b}(x_0)\psi^2(+0) = \mathbf{0}$$

we obtain a system of linear equations

$$(\mathbf{b}_1(x_0)\mathbf{h}_1^1 + \mathbf{b}_2(x_0)\mathbf{h}_1^2)C_1 + (\mathbf{b}_1(x_0)\mathbf{h}_2^1 + \mathbf{b}_2(x_0)\mathbf{h}_2^2)C_2 = \mathbf{0} \quad (33)$$

with respect to  $C_1, C_2$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(x_0, \xi', \mu) = (b_1(x_0, \xi', \mu), b_2(x_0, \xi', \mu))$  be the matrix with columns

$$\begin{aligned} b_1(x_0, \xi', \mu) &= \mathbf{b}_1(x_0)\mathbf{h}_1^1(\xi', \mu) + \mathbf{b}_2(x_0)\mathbf{h}_1^2(\xi', \mu), \\ b_2(x_0, \xi', \mu) &= \mathbf{b}_1(x_0)\mathbf{h}_2^1(\xi', \mu) + \mathbf{b}_2(x_0)\mathbf{h}_2^2(\xi', \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

System (33) has the trivial solution if and only if

$$\det \mathcal{L}(x_0, \xi', \mu) \neq 0$$

**Definition 1** (i) We say that the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$  satisfies the local parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition at  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  if

$$\det \mathcal{L}(x_0, \xi', \mu) \neq 0 \text{ for every } (\xi', \mu) : |\xi'|^2 + \mu^2 = 1. \quad (35)$$

(ii) We say that the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$  satisfies the uniform parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition if

$$\inf_{x \in \partial\Omega, \mu^2 + |\xi'|^2 = 1} |\det \mathcal{L}(x, \xi', \mu)| > 0. \quad (36)$$

Note that if the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is a compact set and the local parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition (35) is satisfied at every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , then the uniform Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition (36) holds.

## 2.2 Standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition

We introduce the standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition for the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  for domains  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with a  $C^2$ -boundary. As above for a fix point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  we introduce the local system of coordinates  $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$  where the axis  $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{T}_{x_0}$  the tangent plane to  $\partial\Omega$  at the point  $x_0$  and the axis  $y_3 = z$  is directed along the outward normal vector  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  to  $\partial\Omega$  at the point  $x_0$ .

Let

$$\psi_1(\xi', z) = \mathbf{h}_1(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|z}, \psi_2(\xi', z) = \mathbf{h}_2(\xi') e^{-|\xi'|z}, z > 0 \quad (37)$$

where

$$\mathbf{h}_1(\xi') = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(\xi') \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{h}_2(\xi') = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \Lambda(\xi') \mathbf{e}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

We introduce the  $2 \times 2$  matrix

$$\mathcal{L}(x_0, \xi') = (\mathbf{b}_1(x_0) \Lambda(\xi') \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{b}_2(x_0) \Lambda(\xi') \mathbf{e}_2)$$

which coincides with the matrix  $\mathcal{L}(x_0, \xi', 0)$ .

**Definition 2** We say that the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  satisfies the local standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition at the point  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  if

$$\det \mathcal{L}(x_0, \xi') \neq 0 \text{ for all } \xi' \in S^1 \quad (39)$$

and the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  satisfies the standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro conditions uniformly if

$$\inf_{x_0 \in \partial\Omega, \xi' \in S^1} |\det \mathcal{L}(x_0, \xi')| > 0. \quad (40)$$

## 2.3 Invertibility of $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$ for large values of $|\mu|$

**Theorem 3** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a domain with a  $C^2$ -uniformly regular boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , the magnetic potential  $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, A_2, A_3) \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ , the electrostatic potential  $\Phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_j \in C_b(\partial\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , and the uniform parameter-dependent Lopatinsky condition (36) for  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  be satisfied. Then there exists  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is invertible for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} : |\mu| > \mu_0$ .

**Proof.** The idea of the proof is similar to given in the paper [1] (see also [2], Sec.3). However, we consider the parameter-dependent boundary problems for unbounded domains, and therefore we need an infinite partition of unity and estimates associated with them.

Since the Dirac operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}(i\mu)$  is the uniformly elliptic parameter-depending operator on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and the uniform Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition (36) holds, and  $\partial\Omega$  is a  $C^2$ -uniformly regular surface, then there exists  $r > 0$  and  $\mu_0 > 0$  such that for every point  $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$  there exist operators

$$L_{x_0}(\mu), R_{x_0}(\mu) \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}, |\mu| \geq \mu_0} \|L_{x_0}(\mu)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))} &= d_L < \infty, \\ \sup_{x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}, |\mu| \geq \mu_0} \|R_{x_0}(\mu)\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))} &= d_R < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

and for every function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(B_r(x_0))$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{x_0}(\mu) \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) \varphi I &= \varphi I, \\ \varphi \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) R_{x_0}(\mu) &= \varphi I. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

We choose from the coverage  $\bigcup_{x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}} B_r(x_0) \supset \bar{\Omega}$  a countable sub-coverage  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_r(x_j) \supset \bar{\Omega}$  of a finite multiplicity  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and we construct the partition of unity

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_j(x) = 1, x \in \bar{\Omega} \quad (43)$$

subordinated to the coverage  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} B_r(x_j)$ , with  $\theta_j \in C_0^\infty(B_r(x_j))$ ,  $0 \leq \theta_j(x) \leq 1$ ,

such that the sum  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_j(x)$  contains for every  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  not more than  $N$  nonzero terms. Let  $\varphi_j \in C_0^\infty(B_r(x_j))$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi_j(x) \leq 1$ , and  $\theta_j \varphi_j = \theta_j$ . We set

$$L(\mu) \mathbf{f} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_j L_{x_j}(\mu) \varphi_j \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^4), \quad (44)$$

$$R(\mu) \mathbf{f} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi_j R_{x_j}(\mu) \theta_j \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^4) \quad (45)$$

where  $C_0^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is the space of restrictions of functions in  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Taking into account that the coverage  $\{B_r(x_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  has the finite multiplicity  $N$  we obtain the estimates

$$\|L(\mu) \mathbf{f}\|_{H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \leq C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|L_j(\mu)\| \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \leq C d_L \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)}, \quad (46)$$

$$\|R(\mu) \mathbf{f}\|_{H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \leq C \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|R_j(\mu)\| \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \leq C d_R \|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \quad (47)$$

for every  $|\mu| \geq \mu_0 > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{f} \in C_0^\infty(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^4)$  with the constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\mathbf{f}$ . Estimates (46), (47) yield that the operators  $L(\mu), R(\mu)$  are continued to bounded operators acting from  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  into  $H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ . Let  $\psi_j \in C_0^\infty(B_r(x_j))$ ,  $0 \leq \psi_j(x) \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi_j \psi_j = \varphi_j$ ,  $\psi_j \in C_0^\infty(B_r(x_j))$ ,  $0 \leq \psi_j(x) \leq 1$  be such that  $\varphi_j \psi_j = \varphi_j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} L(\mu) \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_j L_{x_j}(\mu) \varphi_j \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) \psi_j I = \\ &= I + T_1(\mu), \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where

$$T_1(\mu) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \theta_j L_{x_j}(\mu) [\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu), \varphi_j I] \psi_j,$$

and

$$[\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu), \varphi_j I] = \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(\mu) \varphi_j I - \varphi_j \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(\mu).$$

Applying estimate (22) we obtain that

$$\|[\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu), \varphi_j I]\|_{\mathcal{B}(H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))} \leq \frac{C}{|\mu|}, |\mu| \geq \mu_0 \quad (49)$$

with the constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . Again applying the finite multiplicity of the coverage  $\{B_r(x_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  we obtain from (46), (49) the estimate

$$\|T_1(\mu)\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))} \leq \frac{C}{|\mu|} \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|L_{x_j}(\mu)\| \leq \frac{Cd_L}{|\mu|}, |\mu| \geq \mu_0. \quad (50)$$

Hence there exists  $\mu_1 \geq \mu_0$  such that

$$\sup_{|\mu| \geq \mu_1} \|T_1(\mu)\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))} < 1. \quad (51)$$

Thus the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$  has the left inverse operator  $\mathbb{L}(\mu) = (I + T_1(\mu))^{-1} L(\mu)$  for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} : \mu \geq \mu_1$ . In the same way we prove that there exists a right inverse operator  $\mathbb{R}(\mu)$  of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu)$  for  $|\mu| \geq \mu_2 > \mu_1$ . Hence the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) : H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is invertible for every  $|\mu| \geq \mu_2$ . ■

**Corollary 4** *Let conditions of Theorem 3 be satisfied. Then there exists  $\tilde{\mu} > 0$  such that the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is invertible for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R} : |\mu| \geq \tilde{\mu}$ .*

**Proof.** For every fix  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  the norm in the space  $H_\mu^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is equivalent to the norm in the usual Sobolev spaces  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  without parameter  $\mu$ . It implies the invertibility of the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}(i\mu) : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  for every  $\mu : |\mu| \geq \tilde{\mu}$ . ■

### 3 Self-adjointness of the unbounded operator

$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$

Now we consider the self-adjointness in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  the unbounded operators  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  associated with the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  defined by the Dirac operator

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot (i\nabla + \mathbf{A}) + \alpha_0 m + \Phi I_4$$

where  $\mathbf{A} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ ,  $\Phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  with domain

$$H_{\mathfrak{B}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) = \left\{ \mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) : \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u}(x') = \mathfrak{b}_1(x')\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1(x') + \mathfrak{b}_2(x')\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2(x') = \mathbf{0}, \right. \\ \left. x' \in \partial\Omega, \mathfrak{b}_j \in C_b(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2)). \right\}, \quad (52)$$

**Theorem 5** *Let: (i)  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a domain with the  $C^2$ -uniformly regular boundary, (ii) the vector-valued potential  $\mathbf{A} \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^4)$  and the electrostatic potential  $\Phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  be real-valued, (iii) the uniformly parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition*

$$\inf_{x' \in \partial\Omega, |\xi'|^2 + \mu^2 = 1} |\det \mathcal{L}(x', \xi', \mu)| > 0$$

*hold; (iv)  $\mathbf{b}_j \in C_b(\partial\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  and the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is symmetric in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ . Then the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ .*

**Proof.** Corollary 4 yields that there exists  $|\mu|$  large enough and a constant  $C > 0$  such that for every  $\mathbf{u} \in H_{\mathfrak{B}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \leq C \left( \|\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} + |\mu| \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} \right). \quad (53)$$

It follows from a priori estimate (53) that the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is closed. Moreover, Corollary 4 yields that the deficiency indices of  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  are equal 0. Hence (see for instance [13], page 100) the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is self-adjoint. ■

**Corollary 6** *Let*

$$H_{\mathfrak{B}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) = \{ \mathbf{u} \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) : \mathfrak{B}\mathbf{u}(x') = \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1(x') + \mathbf{b}(x')\mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2(x') = \mathbf{0}, x' \in \partial\Omega \}$$

*where  $\mathbf{b}_j \in C_b(\partial\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , and*

$$\mathbf{b}^* (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) + (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{b} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad (54)$$

*where  $\boldsymbol{\nu}$  is the outward unit normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$ . Then the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is symmetric. Hence, if conditions (i), (ii), (iii) of Theorem 5 hold then the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ .*

**Proof.** Integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} - \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} \mathbf{v} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)} &= \langle (-i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}, \mathbf{v}_{\partial\Omega} \rangle_{L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)}, \\ \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} &\in H_{\mathfrak{B}}^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (54) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\partial\Omega} &= -i (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\partial\Omega}^1 - i (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\partial\Omega}^2 \\ &= (\mathbf{b}^* i (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) + i (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\partial\Omega}^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Hence  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is a symmetric operator and by Theorem 5  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is self-adjoint. ■

### 3.0.1 Self-adjointness of generalized MIT bag model

We consider the operator of generalized *MIT bag model*

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} \mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} \mathbf{u}(x), & x \in \Omega \\ \mathfrak{M}_a(x') \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}(x') = \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1(x') + ia(x') (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2(x'), & x' \in \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (56)$$

where  $a \in C_b(\partial\Omega)$  is a real-value function. Note that if  $a = 1$  we obtain the boundary condition of the MIT bag model (see [4], [6], [7], [10]). Note that the boundary condition given in the paper [10]

$$\theta (I_4 + i\alpha_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} = (I_4 + i\alpha_0(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})) \alpha_0 \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega} \quad (57)$$

with  $\theta \in C_b(\partial\Omega)$  can be written as

$$\begin{cases} (\theta - 1)\mathbf{u}^1 + (\theta + 1)i(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})\mathbf{u}^2 = \mathbf{0} \\ -(\theta - 1)i(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})\mathbf{u}^1 + (\theta + 1)\mathbf{u}^2 = \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \quad (58)$$

The boundary condition (58) is equivalent to the condition  $\mathfrak{M}_a(x') \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}(x') = 0, x' \in \partial\Omega$  where

$$a = \frac{\theta + 1}{\theta - 1} \in C_b(\partial\Omega)$$

if

$$\inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\theta(x) - 1| > 0. \quad (59)$$

Note that the matrix  $\mathfrak{b} = ia(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu})$  satisfies condition (54). Hence the unbounded operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}_a}$  associated with  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}_a}$  is symmetric.

We consider the uniform parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition for the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}_a}(i\mu)$ . Applying formulas (34) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(x, \xi', \mu) &= (\mathbf{h}_1^1 + ia\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}_1^2, \mathbf{h}_2^1 + ia\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}_2^2) \\ &= (i\mu \mathbf{e}_1 + ia\sigma_3 \Lambda \mathbf{e}_1, \Lambda \mathbf{e}_2 + ia\sigma_3 i\mu \mathbf{e}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Formulas (30), (31) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \det \mathcal{L}(x, \xi', \mu) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} i\mu + a(x)\rho & \bar{\zeta} \\ -ia(x)\zeta & i\rho + a(x)\mu \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \mu\rho(a^2(x) - 1) + 2ia(x)\rho^2, x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

It implies that the parameter-dependent Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition is satisfied on  $\partial\Omega$  uniformly if

$$\inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} |a(x)| > 0. \quad (61)$$

Thus Theorem 5 yields the following result.

**Theorem 7** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a domain with  $C^2$ -uniformly regular boundary,  $A_j \in L^\infty(\Omega), j = 1, 2, 3, \Phi \in L^\infty(\Omega), a \in C_b(\partial\Omega)$  be real-valued functions, and condition (61) hold. Then the unbounded operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}_a}$  (56) is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ .*

**Corollary 8** *Theorem 7 yields that the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{M}_a}$  where  $a = \frac{1+\theta(x)}{1-\theta(x)}$  with  $A_j \in L^\infty(\Omega), j = 1, 2, 3, \Phi \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , and*

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \partial\Omega} |a(x)| \leq \sup_{x \in \partial\Omega} |a(x)| < \infty \quad (62)$$

*is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ . In particular case  $a = 1$  we obtain that the operator of MIT bag model is self-adjoint.*

**Remark 9** *Self-adjointness of operators of MIT bag models for domains with bounded  $C^2$ -boundaries  $\partial\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  has been studied in the recent papers [4], [5], [23], [10] by means of the different approach.*

## 4 Fredholm theory and the essential spectrum

### 4.1 Fredholmness of the operator $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$ for bounded domains

**Theorem 10** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain with  $C^2$ -boundary,  $\mathbf{A} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^3), \Phi \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}), \mathfrak{b}_j \in C(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)), j = 1, 2$  and the local standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition hold at every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Then the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is Fredholm.*

**Proof.** Since  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  is the elliptic operator this theorem follows from the standard elliptic theory (see for instance [2], [11], [12],[22].) ■

**Corollary 11** *Let condition of Theorem 5 hold and the domain  $\Omega$  is bounded with  $C^2$ -boundary. Then the operator  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  is self-adjoint with the discrete real spectrum.*

### 4.2 Fredholmness of the operator $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$ for unbounded domains

Let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $0 \leq \chi(x) \leq 1, \chi(x) = 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$ , and  $\chi(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 2$ , and  $\chi_R(x) = \chi(\frac{x}{R}), \psi_R(x) = 1 - \chi_R(x)$ .

**Definition 12** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be unbounded domain. (i) We say that the operator*

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$$

*is a locally Fredholm operator if for every  $R > 0$  there exist operators  $\mathcal{L}_R, \mathcal{R}_R \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))$  such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_R \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} \chi_R I = \chi_R I + T'_R, \chi_R \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{R}_R = \chi_R I + T''_R \quad (63)$$

*where  $T'_R \in \mathcal{K}(H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)), T''_R \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))$ .*

*(ii) We say that the operator*

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$$



is locally invertible at infinity if there exists  $R > 0$  and operators  $\mathcal{L}'_R, \mathcal{R}'_R \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))$  such that

$$\mathcal{L}'_R \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} \psi_R I = \psi_R I, \psi_R \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{R}'_R = \psi_R I. \quad (64)$$

**Proposition 13** [29] *The operator*

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$$

*is Fredholm if and only if  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is locally Fredholm and locally invertible operator at infinity.*

We denote by  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^3}$  the compactification of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  obtained by the joining to the every ray  $l_\omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = t\omega, t > 0, \omega \in S^2\}$  the infinitely distant point  $\vartheta_\omega$ . The topology in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^3}$  is introduced such that  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^3}$  becomes homeomorphic to the unit closed ball  $\bar{B}_1(0)$ . The fundamental system of neighborhoods of the point  $\vartheta_{\omega_0}$  is formed by the conical sets  $U_{\omega_0, R} = F_{\omega_0} \times (R, +\infty)$  where  $R > 0$  and  $F_{\omega_0}$  is a neighborhood of the point  $\omega_0$  on the unit sphere  $S^2$ . We define the cut-off function  $\varphi_{\vartheta_{\omega_0}}$  of the infinitely distant point  $\vartheta_{\omega_0}$  as  $\varphi_{\vartheta_{\omega_0}} = \varphi_{\omega_0}(\frac{x}{|x|})\psi_R(x)$  where  $\varphi_{\omega_0}(\omega) \in C_0^\infty(F_{\omega_0})$  and  $\varphi_{\omega_0}(\omega) = 1$  in a neighborhood  $F'_{\omega_0}$  such that  $\overline{F'_{\omega_0}} \subset F_{\omega_0}$ .

If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is an unbounded domain we denote by  $\tilde{\Omega}, \widetilde{\partial\Omega}$  the closure of  $\Omega, \partial\Omega$  in  $\widetilde{\mathbb{R}^3}$ , and by  $\Omega_\infty, \partial\Omega_\infty$  the associated sets of the infinitely distant points.

**Definition 14** *We say that the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is locally invertible at the infinitely distant point  $\vartheta_\omega$  if there exists a neighborhood  $U_{\vartheta_\omega}$  of the point  $\vartheta_\omega$  and the operators*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vartheta_\omega}, \mathcal{R}_{\vartheta_\omega} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4), H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4))$$

*such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vartheta_\omega} \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} \varphi_{\vartheta_\omega} I = \varphi_{\vartheta_\omega} I, \varphi_{\vartheta_\omega} \mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} \mathcal{R}_{\vartheta_\omega} = \varphi_{\vartheta_\omega} I, \quad (65)$$

*where  $\varphi_{\vartheta_\omega}$  is the cut-off function of the infinitely distant point  $\vartheta_\omega$ .*

**Proposition 15** ([29]) *The operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is a locally Fredholm operator and  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is locally invertible at every infinitely distant point  $\vartheta_\omega \in \Omega_\infty \cup \partial\Omega_\infty$ .*

#### 4.2.1 Fredholm property and essential spectra in the exterior of bounded domain

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an unbounded domain with  $C^2$ -boundary such that  $\Omega' = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}$  is a bounded domain. We consider the Fredholm property of the operator

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$$

We assume as above that  $A_j, \Phi \in C_b^1(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_j \in C^1(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)), j = 1, 2$ .

Following to the book [28] and the paper [29] we describe the Fredholm properties of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  in terms of limit operators.

We give definition of the limit operators. Let  $f \in C_b^1(\bar{\Omega})$  and a sequence  $\mathbb{R}^3 \ni g_m \rightarrow \vartheta_\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^3$ . The family of functions  $\{f(\cdot + g_m)\}$  is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous on  $\Omega$ . Then the Arcela-Ascoli Theorem yields that there exists a subsequence  $h_m$  of  $g_m$  and a limit function  $f^h \in C_b(\bar{\Omega})$  such that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in K} |f(x + h_m) - f^h(x)| = 0 \quad (66)$$

for every compact set  $K \subset \bar{\Omega}$ .

Let  $h_m \rightarrow \vartheta_\omega$  be such sequence that

$$\mathbf{A}(x + h_m) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^h(x), \Phi(x + h_m) \rightarrow \Phi^h(x)$$

in the sense of the convergence defined by formula (66). The operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}^h = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h,\Phi^h}$  is called the limit operator defined by the sequence  $h_m$ , and we denote by  $Lim_{\vartheta_\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  the set of all limit operators defined by the sequences  $h_m \rightarrow \vartheta_\omega$ . We set

$$Lim \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi} = \bigcup_{\vartheta_\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^3} Lim_{\vartheta_\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}.$$

**Theorem 16** *Let  $\mathbf{A} \in C_b^1(\bar{\Omega}, \mathbb{C}^3)$ ,  $\Phi \in C_b^1(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $\mathbf{b}_j \in C_b^1(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ;  $i, j = 1, 2$ , and the local standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition hold at every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Then*

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$$

*is the Fredholm operator if and only if all limit operators  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}^h \in Lim \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  are invertible from  $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  into  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$ .*

**Proof.** Since the boundary  $\partial\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is a compact surface, the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  is elliptic on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and the Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition holds at every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$  the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  is locally Fredholm. Hence by Proposition 13  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  is a locally invertible operator at infinity. The operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}}$  coincides with the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  outside the set  $\bar{\Omega}'$ . Applying the results of the paper [30] we obtain that  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  is locally invertible at infinity if and only if for every  $\vartheta_\omega \in \mathbb{R}_\infty^3$  all limit operators  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}^h \in Lim_{\vartheta_\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}$  are invertible from  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ . ■

**Corollary 17** *Let conditions of Theorem 16 hold. Then*

$$sp_{ess} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi,\mathfrak{B}} = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}^h \in Lim \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A},\Phi}} sp \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h,\Phi^h}. \quad (67)$$

**Definition 18** *We say that a function  $a \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  is slowly oscillating at infinity and belongs to the class  $SO^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  if*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \partial_{x_j} a(x) = 0, j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (68)$$

*If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is an unbounded domain we denote by  $SO^1(\Omega)$  the class of functions being the restrictions on  $\Omega$  of functions in  $SO^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .*

Note that if  $f \in SO^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  and there exists a limit function  $f^h$  in the sense of formula (66), then  $f^h \in \mathbb{C}$  (see for instance [28], p.228).

Let  $A_j, \Phi \in SO^1(\Omega)$ . Then the limit operators  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}^h$  are of the form

$$\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}^h = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h} = \alpha \cdot (i\nabla + \mathbf{A}^h) + \alpha_0 m + \Phi^h I_4 \quad (69)$$

where  $\mathbf{A}^h \in \mathbb{C}^3, \Phi^h \in \mathbb{C}$ . In the case if  $A_j, \Phi$  are real-valued functions the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}^h$  is self-adjoint and

$$sp\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}^h = (-\infty, \Phi^h - |m|] \cup [\Phi^h + |m|, +\infty). \quad (70)$$

Hence formula (67) yields the following result.

**Theorem 19** *Let  $\Omega$  be an exterior of a bounded domain with the  $C^2$ -boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\mathbf{A} \in SO^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3), \Phi \in SO^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  and the local standard Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition is satisfied at every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Then*

$$sp_{ess}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} = (-\infty, M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - |m|] \cup [M_{\Phi}^{\inf} + |m|, +\infty) \quad (71)$$

where

$$M_{\Phi}^{\sup} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x), M_{\Phi}^{\inf} = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x). \quad (72)$$

**Corollary 20** *Under conditions of Theorem 19*

$$sp_{dis}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} \subset (M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - |m|, M_{\Phi}^{\inf} + |m|)$$

*if  $M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - M_{\Phi}^{\inf} < 2|m|$ , and if  $M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - M_{\Phi}^{\inf} \geq 2|m|$  then*

$$sp\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} = sp_{ess}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} = (-\infty, +\infty).$$

### 4.3 Fredholm property and essential spectrum in domains with a conical structure at infinity

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be an connected open domain with  $C^2$ -boundary. We say that  $\Omega$  has a conic exit at infinity if

$$\Omega \cap B'_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = t\omega, t > R, \omega \in \Sigma\}$$

where  $B'_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| > R\}$ ,  $\Sigma \subset S^2$  is an open set with  $C^2$ -boundary  $\partial\Sigma$ . We denote by  $\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\partial\Omega}$  the compactifications of  $\Omega, \partial\Omega$  in the topology of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

We consider the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  in domains with a conic exit at infinity with potentials  $A_j, \Phi \in SO^1(\not\leq)$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_j \in SO^1(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)) = SO^1(\partial\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2), j = 1, 2$ . We define the limit operators of the operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  similarly to how it was done in the articles [29], [30].

- If  $\vartheta_\omega \notin \partial\Omega_\infty$  then the limit operators defined by the sequence  $h_m \rightarrow \vartheta_\omega$  are the Dirac operators  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h}$  with the spectrum given by formula (70).

- Let  $\vartheta_\omega \in \partial\Omega_\infty$ ,  $l_\omega^R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = t\omega, t > R\}$  and  $\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}$  be the tangent plane to  $\partial\Omega$  at the ray  $l_\omega^R$  and  $\boldsymbol{\nu}(\omega)$  is the outgoing normal vector to  $\partial\Omega$  at the points of ray  $l_\omega^R$ . We denote by

$$\mathbb{R}_{+, \vartheta_\omega}^3 = \{y = (y', y_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y' \in \mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}, y_3 = t\boldsymbol{\nu}(\omega), t > 0\}$$

the half-space in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the boundary  $\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}$ . Following to the paper [29] we obtain the limit operators of  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  defined by the sequences  $h_m \rightarrow \vartheta_\omega$  as

$$\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}^h \mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h} \mathbf{u}(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}_{+, \vartheta_\omega}^3, \\ \mathfrak{B}^h \mathbf{u}(s) = \mathfrak{b}_1^h \mathbf{u}_{\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}}^1(s) + \mathfrak{b}_2^h \mathbf{u}_{\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}}^2(s) = \mathbf{0}, & s \in \mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega} \end{cases}, \quad (73)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}^h &\in \mathbb{C}^3, \Phi^h \in \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{b}_j^h \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)), \\ \mathbf{u} &\in H^1(\mathbb{R}_{+, \vartheta_\omega}^3, \mathbb{C}^4), \mathbf{u}_{\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}}^j = \gamma_{\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}} \mathbf{u}^j \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega}, \mathbb{C}^2). \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 21** *Let: (i)  $\partial\Omega$  be a  $C^2$ -surface with a conic exit at infinity, (ii)  $\mathbf{A}_j, \Phi \in SO^1(\not\propto)$  and  $\mathfrak{b}_j \in SO^1(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)) = SO^1(\partial\Omega) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ , (iii) the Lopatinsky-Shapiro condition be satisfied at every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Then the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} : H^1(\not\propto, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if for every  $\vartheta_\omega \in \partial\Omega_\infty$  all limit operators  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h, \mathfrak{B}^h} \in \text{Lim}_{\vartheta_\omega} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  defined by formulas (69), (73) are invertible.*

**Proof.** The operator  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is locally Fredholm since the Dirac operator  $\mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}$  is elliptic and the Lopatinsky condition holds at every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . According Proposition ??  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}$  is locally invertible at every infinitely distant point  $\vartheta_\omega \in \bar{\Omega}_\infty$ . Following to the monograph [28], and the paper [29],[30] we obtain the statement of Theorem 21. ■

**Corollary 22** *Let the conditions of Theorem 21 hold. Then*

$$sp_{ess} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h, \mathfrak{B}^h} \in \text{Lim} \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{B}}} sp \mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h, \mathfrak{B}^h}^h \quad (74)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h, \mathfrak{B}^h}^h$  are unbounded operators associated with the limit operators  $\mathbb{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h, \mathfrak{B}^h}^h$ .

#### 4.4 Essential spectrum of the operator of MIT bag model in domains with conic exit to infinity

We consider the operator of MIT bag model in the domain  $\Omega$  with  $C^2$ -conical at infinity boundary

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}} \mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi} \mathbf{u}(x), & x \in \Omega \\ \mathfrak{M} \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^1 + i(\sigma \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu}) \mathbf{u}_{\partial\Omega}^2 = \mathbf{0} & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}. \quad (75)$$

We assume that the potentials  $\mathbf{A} \in SO^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $\Phi \in SO^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$  are real-valued. Since the conical at infinity  $C^2$ -surface is uniformly regular the unbounded operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}$  associated with  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}} : H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  is self-adjoint in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^4)$  and  $sp_{ess} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}$  is defined by formula (74). That is

$$sp_{ess} \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}} = \bigcup_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}^h \in Lim \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}} sp \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}^h,$$

where  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}^h$  are limit operators of the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}$ .

Let the sequence  $h_m \rightarrow \vartheta_\omega \in \Omega_\infty \setminus \partial\Omega_\infty$ . Then the limit operators are of the form  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}^h = \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h}$  and

$$sp \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}^h = sp \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h} = (-\infty, \Phi^h - |m|] \cup [\Phi^h + |m|, +\infty). \quad (76)$$

Let the sequence  $h_m \rightarrow \vartheta_\omega \in \partial\Omega_\infty$ . Then without loss of generality we assume that  $\mathbb{T}_{\vartheta_\omega} = \mathbb{R}_{x'}^2 = \{x = (x', x_3) : x_3 = 0\}$ . Hence the limit operators of  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi}$  are of the form

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}_{\vartheta_\omega}}^h \mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{D}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h} \mathbf{u}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\vartheta_\omega} \mathbf{u}(x') = \mathbf{u}(x', +0) + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{u}^2(x', +0) = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \mathbb{R}_{x'}^2, \end{cases} \quad (77)$$

The gauge transformation  $x \rightarrow e^{-i\mathbf{A}^h \cdot x} x$  reduces the study the spectrum of  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{G}}^h$  to the spectrum of the operator  $\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{0}, \Phi^h, \mathfrak{G}} = \mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{0}, 0, \mathfrak{G}} + \Phi^h I_4$  where

$$\mathbb{M}_{\mathbf{0}, 0, \mathfrak{G}} \mathbf{u} = \begin{cases} (i\alpha \cdot \nabla + \alpha_0 m) \mathbf{u}(x), x \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 \\ \mathfrak{G} \mathbf{u}(x') = \mathbf{u}(x', +0) + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{u}^2(x', +0) = \mathbf{0}, x' \in \mathbb{R}_{x'}^2 \end{cases} \quad (78)$$

After the Fourier transform in (78) with respect to  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we obtain the family of one-dimensional Dirac operators depending on the parameter  $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\mathbb{L}(\xi') \mathbf{v}(z) = \begin{cases} (\alpha' \cdot \xi' + i\alpha_3 \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_0 m) \mathbf{v}(z), z \in \mathbb{R}_+, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^2 \\ \mathbf{v}^1(+0) + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{v}^2(+0) = \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \quad (79)$$

The operator  $\mathbb{L}(\xi')$  has the essential spectrum

$$sp_{ess} \mathbb{L}(\xi') = \left( -\infty, -\sqrt{|\xi'|^2 + |m|^2} \right] \bigcup \left[ \sqrt{|\xi'|^2 + |m|^2}, +\infty \right) \quad (80)$$

and a possible discrete spectrum

$$sp_{dis} \mathbb{L}(\xi') \subset \left( -\sqrt{|\xi'|^2 + m^2}, \sqrt{|\xi'|^2 + m^2} \right). \quad (81)$$

We are looking for  $sp_{dis} \mathbb{L}(\xi')$  as follows. The equation

$$\left( \alpha' \cdot \xi' + i\alpha_3 \frac{d}{dz} + \alpha_0 m - \lambda I_4 \right) \mathbf{v}(z) = 0, z > 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad (82)$$

has the exponentially decreasing solutions of the form  $\mathbf{v}(z) = \mathbf{h}e^{-\rho z}, \rho = \sqrt{|\xi'|^2 + m^2 - \lambda^2} > 0$  with  $|\lambda| < \sqrt{|\xi'|^2 + m^2}$ , where the vector  $\mathbf{h}$  satisfies the equation

$$\Theta_- (\xi', \lambda) \mathbf{h} = (\alpha' \cdot \xi' - i\rho\alpha_3 + \alpha_0 m - \lambda I_4) \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (83)$$

Equation (83) has the general solution

$$h = \mathbf{h}(\xi', \lambda) = \Theta_+ (\xi', \lambda) \mathbf{f} \quad (84)$$

where  $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{C}^4$  is an arbitrary vector and

$$\Theta_+ (\xi', \lambda) = \alpha' \cdot \xi' - i\rho\alpha_3 + \alpha_0 m + \lambda I_4 = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda + m) I_2 & \Lambda(\xi', \lambda) \\ \Lambda(\xi', \lambda) & (\lambda - m) I_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(\xi', \lambda) = \sigma' \cdot \xi' - i\rho\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\rho & \bar{\varsigma} \\ \varsigma & i\rho \end{pmatrix}, \varsigma = \xi_1 + i\xi_2. \quad (85)$$

Let  $\mathbf{h}_1, \mathbf{h}_2$  be two linear independent solutions of equation (82) of the form

$$\mathbf{h}_1 = \mathbf{h}_1(\xi', \lambda) = \Theta(\xi', \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda + m) \mathbf{e}_1 \\ \Lambda(\xi', \lambda) \mathbf{e}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (86)$$

$$\mathbf{h}_2 = \mathbf{h}_2(\xi', \lambda) = \Theta(\xi', \lambda) \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{e}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda(\xi', \lambda) \mathbf{e}_2 \\ (\lambda - m) \mathbf{e}_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (87)$$

where

$$\Lambda(\xi', \lambda) \mathbf{e}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -i\rho \\ \varsigma \end{pmatrix}, \Lambda(\xi', \lambda) \mathbf{e}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\varsigma} \\ i\rho \end{pmatrix}. \quad (88)$$

Then the general solution of equation (82) is

$$\mathbf{v}(z) = C_1 \mathbf{h}_1 e^{-\rho z} + C_2 \mathbf{h}_2 e^{-\rho z}, z > 0. \quad (89)$$

Substituting (89) in the boundary condition  $\mathbf{v}^1(+0) + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{v}^2(+0) = \mathbf{0}$  we obtain the system of linear equations with respect to  $C_1, C_2$

$$C_1 (\mathbf{h}_1^1 + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}_1^2) + C_2 (\mathbf{h}_2^1 + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}_2^2) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (90)$$

System (90) has a nontrivial solution if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \det (\mathbf{h}_1^1 + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}_1^2, \mathbf{h}_2^1 + i\sigma_3 \mathbf{h}_2^2) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda + m + \rho & \bar{\varsigma} \\ -i\varsigma & i\rho - i(\lambda - m) \end{pmatrix} = 2i\rho(\rho + m) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

We consider two cases:

1) The mass of the particle  $m \geq 0$ . In this case equation (91) does not have positive solutions and  $sp\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{G}}^h$  is given by formula (76). It implies that  $sp_{ess}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{G}}$  is given by formulas (71), (72).

2) Let the mass  $m < 0$ . Then the equation (91) has the positive solutions  $\rho = |m|$ . It follows from equation

$$\rho = \sqrt{|\xi'|^2 + m^2 - \lambda^2} = |m|$$

that the operator  $\mathbb{L}^0(\xi')$  has eigenvalues  $\lambda_{\pm}(\xi') = \pm |\xi'|$ , for every  $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . It yields that

$$sp\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}^h, \Phi^h, \mathfrak{G}} = (-\infty, +\infty), \quad (92)$$

Taking in the account (74),(92) we obtain that

$$sp\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}} = sp_{ess}\mathcal{D}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}} = (-\infty, +\infty).$$

Thus, we established the following result.

**Theorem 23** *Let  $\mathbf{A} \in SO^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ , and  $\Phi \in SO^1(\Omega)$  be the real valued functions and  $\Omega$  is a domain with a  $C^2$ -boundary having a conic exit at infinity. Then the essential spectrum of MIT bag model is defined by the formulas:*

(a) *If  $m \geq 0$*

$$sp_{ess}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}} = (-\infty, M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - |m|] \cup [M_{\Phi}^{\inf} + |m|, +\infty) \quad (93)$$

where

$$M_{\Phi}^{\sup} = \limsup_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x), M_{\Phi}^{\inf} = \liminf_{x \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(x). \quad (94)$$

(b) *If  $m < 0$*

$$sp_{ess}\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}} = (-\infty, +\infty).$$

It follows from Theorem 23 that the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}$  may have the discrete spectrum on the interval  $(M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - |m|, M_{\Phi}^{\inf} + |m|)$  if  $m > 0$  and  $M_{\Phi}^{\sup} - M_{\Phi}^{\inf} < 2m$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{A}, \Phi, \mathfrak{M}}$  does not have discrete spectrum if  $m < 0$ .

**Acknowledgement 24** *This work was supported by the Mexican National System of Investigators (SNI).*

## References

- [1] M.S. Agranovich, M.I.Vishik, Elliptic problems with a parameter and parabolic problems of general type. Russian Mathematical Surveys. 1964; 19(3), 53-157.
- [2] M.S. Agranovich, Elliptic boundary problems. In the book " Partial Differential Equations, IX, M.S. Agranovich, Yu.V. Egorov, M.S. Shubin (editors), Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2010.
- [3] Amann H. Uniformly regular and singular Riemannian manifolds. In Elliptic and parabolic equations, volume 119 of Springer Proc. Math. Stat.,Springer, Cham, (2015), p. 1–43.

- [4] N. Arrizabalaga, L. Le Treust, N. Raymond, On the MIT Bag Model in the Non- relativistic Limit. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 354, (2017) , 641-669.
- [5] N. Arrizabalaga, L. Le Treust, A. Mas; N. Raymond, The MIT Bag Model as an infinite mass limit, *Journal de l'École polytechnique — Mathématiques*, Tome 6 (2019) p. 329-365
- [6] Arrizabalaga, N., Mas, A., Vega, L.: Shell interactions for Dirac operators. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 102(4), 617–639 (2014)
- [7] Arrizabalaga, N., Mas, A., Vega, L.: Shell interactions for Dirac operators: on the point spectrum and the confinement. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 47(2), 1044–1069 (2015)
- [8] R.D.Benguria, S. Fournais, E. Stockmeyer, H. Van Den Bosch: Selfadjointness of two-dimensional Dirac operators on domains. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* 18(4), 1371–1383 (2017)
- [9] Benguria, R.D., Fournais, S., Stockmeyer, E., Van Den Bosch, H.: Spectral gaps of Dirac operators describing graphene quantum dots. *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* 20(2), 12 (2017)
- [10] J.Behrndt, M.Holzmann and A.Mas, Self-Adjoint Dirac Operators on Domains in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Ann. Henri Poincaré Online First* 2020 The Author(s) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-020-00925-1> (2017)
- [11] C. Bör and W. Ballmann. Boundary value problems for elliptic differential operators of first order. In *Surveys in differential geometry. Vol. XVII*, volume 17 of *Surv. Differ. Geom.*, pages, Int. Press, Boston, MA, (2012), 1–78.
- [12] C. Bör and W. Ballmann, *Guide to Elliptic Boundary Value Problems for Dirac-Type Operators*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, (2016), 43–80.
- [13] M.Sh. Birman, M. Solomjak, *Spectral Theory of Selfadjoint Operators in Hilbert Spaces*. Reidel, Dordrecht (1987).
- [14] B. Booß-Bavnbek, M. Lesch, and C. Zhu. The Calderón projection: new definition and applications. *J. of Geom. and Phys.*, 59(7) (2009), 784–826.
- [15] B. Booß-Bavnbek, K. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic Boundary Problems for Dirac Operators*, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, 1993.
- [16] A. Chodos: Field-theoretic Lagrangian with baglike solutions. *Phys. Rev. D* (3) 12(8): 2397–2406, 1975.
- [17] A. Chodos, R.L. Jaffe, K. Johnson, C.B. Thorn, and V.F. Weisskopf: New extended model of hadrons. *Phys. Rev. D* (3) 9(12): 3471–3495, 1974.



- [18] K.T.Hecht, Quantum Mechanics, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, (2000).009.
- [19] P. B. Gilkey, Invariance theory, the heat equation, and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem, seconded., CRC Press, Boca Raton London New York Washington, D.C. FL, (1994).
- [20] N.Grosse, V. Nistor, Uniform Shapiro-Lopatinski Conditions and Boundary Value Problems on Manifolds with Bounded Geometry, Potential Analysis, volume 53, pages, (2020), 407–447
- [21] K. Johnson. The MIT bag model. Acta Phys. Pol., B(6) (1975),865–892.
- [22] Lions, J. L., Magenes E.: Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications, vol.1, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg New York (1972).
- [23] T. Ourmières-Bonafos and L. Vega, A strategy for self-adjointness of Dirac operators: Applications to the MIT bag model and  $\delta$ -shell interactions. Publ. Mat. 62 (2018), 397-437.
- [24] Ourmieres-Bonafos, T., Pizzichillo, F.: Dirac operators and shell interactions: a survey. (2019). Preprint arXiv:1902.03901
- [25] V. Rabinovich, Essential spectrum of Schrödinger operators with  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$ -interactions on systems of unbounded smooth hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- [26] V.Rabinovich, Schrödinger operators with interactions on unbounded hypersurfaces, Math Meth Appl Sci. 2019;42:4981–4998.
- [27] V. Rabinovich, Essential spectrum of Schrödinger operators with  $\delta$ -Interactions on unbounded hypersurfaces, Mathem. Notes, 2017, Vol. 102, No. 5, pp. 698–709, 2017.
- [28] V.Rabibovitch, S. Roch, B. Silbermann, *Limit Operators and Their Applications in Operator Theory*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Boston,Berlin, 2004.
- [29] V. Rabinovich, Boundary problems for domains with conical exits at infinity and limit operators, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations, Volume 60, Issue 3, pp. 293-309, 2015
- [30] V. Rabinovich, Essential spectrum of perturbed pseudodifferential operators. Applications to the Schrödinger, Klein-Gordon, and Dirac operators, Russian Journal of Math. Physics, Vol.12, No.1, p. 62-80, 2005.
- [31] E. Stockmeyer, S. Vugalter, Infinite mass boundary conditions for Dirac operators. J. Spectral Theory 9(2), 569–600 (2019)
- [32] B. Thaller, The Dirac equation, Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1992).