

COUGH: A Challenge Dataset and Models for COVID-19 FAQ Retrieval

Xinliang (Frederick) Zhang¹, Heming Sun¹, Xiang Yue¹, Emmett Jesrani¹, Simon Lin², and Huan Sun¹

¹The Ohio State University

²Abigail Wexner Research Institute at Nationwide Children’s Hospital

{zhang.9975, sun.2164, yue.149, jesrani.2, sun.397}@osu.edu
Simon.Lin@nationwidechildrens.org

Abstract

We present a large challenging dataset, COUGH, for COVID-19 FAQ retrieval. Specifically, similar to a standard FAQ dataset, COUGH consists of three parts: FAQ Bank, User Query Bank and Annotated Relevance Set. FAQ Bank contains ~16K FAQ items scraped from 55 credible websites (e.g., CDC and WHO). For evaluation, we introduce User Query Bank and Annotated Relevance Set, where the former contains 1201 human-paraphrased queries while the latter contains ~32 human-annotated FAQ items for each query. We analyze COUGH by testing different FAQ retrieval models built on top of BM25 and BERT, among which the best model achieves 0.29 under P@5, indicating that the dataset presents a great challenge for future research. Our dataset is freely available at <https://github.com/sunlab-osu/covid-faq>.

1 Introduction

Considering that the contagious and often fatal COVID-19 pandemic is still evolving on a daily basis, the need for up-to-date information has never been more urgent than it is today. Institutional websites (e.g., CDC and WHO) provide quality information on COVID-19 and maintain regularly-updated frequently asked questions (FAQ) pages. However, given a user query, there can be multiple websites mentioning similar topics, and users often need to screen through all of them to gather the most relevant.

To advance the COVID-19 information search, we present an FAQ dataset, COUGH¹, consisting of three parts: FAQ Bank, User Query Bank and Annotated Relevance Set, following the criteria of constructing a standard FAQ dataset (Manning et al., 2008). The FAQ Bank contains 15919 FAQ items scraped from 55 authoritative institutional

¹The same pronunciation as “COF”: COVID-19 FAQ.



COUGH: The COVID-19 FAQ Dataset

FAQ Bank		
Question1: Should children wear masks?		
Answer1: <i>In general, children 2 years and older should wear a mask...Appropriate and consistent use of masks...</i>		
Question2: Coping with Self-Quarantine		
Answer2: <i>Remind yourself that difficult emotions are normal during self-quarantine...</i>		
Question3: COVID-19是如何在人与人之间传播的? (How does COVID-19 spread between people?)		
Answer3: <i>...该病毒的人际传播主要通过感染者与他人密切接触...(...mainly when an infected person is in close contact with another person...)</i>		
User Query Bank		
Query1: Is it possible for human beings to get sick with COVID-19 transmitted to them from animals?		
Query2: Is it possible to get infected by COVID 19 if I touch food surface packaging?		
Annotated Relevance Set		
Query	Relevant FAQ in FAQ Bank	Score
Query1	Q: Can wild animals spread the virus that causes COVID-19 to people or pets? A: Currently, there is no evidence to suggest...	3.67
Query1	Q: How is COVID-19 transmitted? A: COVID-19 illness is spread mainly from person to person through respiratory...	2.67
Query2	Q: What are the lab protocols for identifying the virus in food? On surfaces?A: As food hasn't been implicated in transmission	3.67

Figure 1: Examples from the COUGH dataset.

websites (see a full list in Table A1 and A2). COUGH covers a wide spectrum of perspectives on COVID-19, ranging from general information about the virus to specific COVID-19-related instructions for a healthy diet. Examples from our dataset are shown in Figure 1. For evaluation, we further construct User Query Bank and Annotated Relevance Set, which include 1201 crowd-sourced user queries and their relevance to a set of FAQ items judged by human annotators.

Our dataset poses several new challenges (e.g., the answers being long and noisy, and hard to match) to existing FAQ retrieval models. The diversity of FAQ items, which is reflected in their varying length across websites as well as in their narrative style (since collected from distinct organizational websites), also contributes to these challenges.

The contribution of this work is two-fold. First, we construct a challenging dataset `COUGH` and make it publicly available to aid the development of COVID-19 FAQ retrieval models. Second, we conduct extensive experiments using various SOTA models across different settings, and explore limitations of current FAQ retrieval models and discuss future work along this direction.

2 Related Work

COVID-19 FAQ Datasets. Since the outbreak of COVID-19, the community has witnessed a great number of datasets released to advance the research pertaining to COVID-19. For example, `CORD-19` (Wang et al., 2020), `CODA-19` (Huang et al., 2020), `COVID-Q` (Wei et al., 2020), `Weibo-Cov` (Hu et al., 2020), and `COVID-19 Twitter dataset` (Chen et al., 2020). All of them aim to aggregate resources and efforts to help combat COVID-19.

The most related works to ours are (Sun and Sedoc, 2020) and (Poliak et al., 2020), both of which constructed a collection of COVID-19 FAQs by scraping authoritative websites. However, none of COVID-19 FAQ datasets is publicly available yet as of the release date of `COUGH`. In the open domain, several FAQ datasets appeared recently, such as `FAQIR` (Karan and Šnajder, 2016), `StackFAQ` (Karan and Šnajder, 2018) and `LocalGov` (Sakata et al., 2019). `FAQIR` and `StackFAQ` were constructed upon `Yahoo!` and `Stack-Exchange` forums, respectively, and `LocalGov` was created by scraping official websites. Unfortunately, as shown in Table 1, the scale of existing FAQ datasets is too small, and answer lengths are also much lower compared with those in `COUGH`, which may not represent the real difficulty of the FAQ task in the real-world scenario. Moreover, in contrast to prior datasets, `COUGH` covers multiple query forms (such as question form and query string form) and has sufficiently many annotated FAQs for a particular query, whereas queries in existing FAQ datasets are limited to the question form and only have few annotations.

FAQ Retrieval Methodologies. The standard practice in FAQ retrieval focuses on retrieving the most-matched FAQ items given a user query (Karan and Šnajder, 2018). Many earlier works, such as `FAQ FINDER` (Burke et al., 1997), query expansion (Kim and Seo, 2006) and `BM25` (Robertson and Zaragoza, 2009), resorted to tra-

ditional information retrieval techniques by leveraging lexical mapping and/or semantic similarity. Later on, in the deep learning era, many studies show that Deep Neural Networks models are useful for FAQ retrieval tasks as they are good at learning the semantic relevance between queries and FAQ items. Along this line, Karan and Šnajder (2016) adopted Convolution Neural Networks (CNN), Gupta and Carvalho (2019) utilized Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), and Sakata et al. (2019) leveraged an ensemble of `TSUBAKI` (Shinzato et al., 2012) and `BERT` (Devlin et al., 2019). Recently, Mass et al. (2020) proposed `CombSum` and `PoolRank`, ensembles of `BM25` and `BERT` models, which effectively learn ranking without requiring manual annotations utilizing weak supervision.

3 Dataset Construction

A typical research-oriented FAQ dataset (Manning et al., 2008) consists of three parts: `FAQ Bank`, `User Query Bank` and `Annotated Relevance Set`. In this section, we will describe how we construct each of the three in detail. We provide detailed annotation protocols in Appendix A to facilitate future research.

3.1 FAQ Bank Construction

We developed scrapers based on `JHU-COVID-QA library`² with modifications to enable special features of our `COUGH` dataset.

Web scraping: We collect FAQ items from authoritative international organizations, state governments and some other credible websites including reliable encyclopedias and medical forums. Moreover, we scrape three types of FAQs: question form (i.e., an interrogative statement), query string (i.e., a string of words to elicit information) form and forum form (FAQs scrapped from medical forums). Inspired by Manning et al. (2008), we loosen the constraint that queries must be in question form since we want to study a more generic and challenging problem. We also scrape 6768 non-English FAQs to increase language diversity. Overall, we scraped a total of 15919 FAQ items covering all three types and 19 languages. All FAQ items were collected and finalized on Aug. 30th, 2020.

²<https://github.com/JHU-COVID-QA/scraping-qas>

	FAQIR (Karan and Šnajder)	StackFAQ (Karan and Šnajder)	LocalGov (Sakata et al.)	Sun and Sedoc	Poliak et al.	COUGH (ours)
Domain	Yahoo!	StackExchange	Government	COVID-19	COVID-19	COVID-19
# of FAQs	4313	719	1786	690	2115	15919
# of Queries (Q)	1233	1249	784	6495*	24240*	1201
# of annotations per Q	8.22	Not Applicable	< 10	5	5	32.17
Query Length	7.30	13.84	**	**	**	12.97
FAQ-query Length	12.30	10.39	**	**	**	13.00
FAQ-answer Length	33.00	76.54	**	**	**	113.58
Language	English	English	Japanese	English	Multi-lingual	Multi-lingual
# of sources	1	1	1	12	34	55

Table 1: Comparison of COUGH with representative counterparts. *: Extracted from existing resources (e.g., COVID-19 Twitter dataset (Chen et al., 2020)). **: Not Applicable, as either not in English or not publicly available. We resort to crowd-sourcing similarly as open-domain FAQ datasets construction (rationales explained in Section 3.2).

3.2 User Query Bank Construction

Following Karan and Šnajder (2016); Manning et al. (2008), we do not crowdsource queries from scratch, but instead ask annotators to paraphrase our provided query templates. In this way, we can ensure that 1) the collected queries are pertinent to COVID-19; 2) the collected queries are not too simple; 3) the chance of getting (nearly) duplicate user queries is reduced.

Phase 1: Query Template Creation: We sample 5% of FAQ items from each English non-forum source and use the question part as the template.

Phase 2: Paraphrasing for Queries: In this phase, each annotator is expected to give three paraphrases for each query template. Annotators are encouraged to give deep paraphrases (i.e., grammatically different but semantically similar/same) to simulate the noisy and diverse environment in real scenarios. In the end, we obtain 1236 user queries.

3.3 Annotated Relevance Set Construction

Phase 1: Initial Candidate Pool Construction: For each user query, as suggested by previous works (Manning et al., 2008; Karan and Šnajder, 2016; Sakata et al., 2019), we run 4 models³, BM25 (Q-q), BM25 (Q-q+a), BERT (Q-q) and BERT (Q-a) fine-tuned on COUGH, to instantiate a candidate FAQ pool. Each model complements the others and contributes its top-10 relevant FAQ items. We then take the union to remove duplicates, giving an average pool size of 32.2.

Phase 2: Human Annotation: Each annotator gives each ⟨Query, FAQ item⟩ tuple a score based on the annotation scheme (i.e., Matched (4), Useful (3), Useless (2) and Non-relevant (1)) which is adapted from Karan and Šnajder (2016); Sakata

³Explanations of these models are in Section 5.2.

	Type	Number	Q-Length	A-length
# English	Question	4978	14.64	123.89
	Query String	2139	9.18	89.60
	Forum	2034	147.46	90.49
# Non-English	Question	3396	-	-
	Query String	3372	-	-
# Total	-	15919	-	-

Table 2: Basic statistics of FAQ bank in COUGH.

et al. (2019). In order to reduce the variance and bias in annotation, each tuple has at least 3 annotation scores. In our finalized Annotated Relevance Set, we keep all raw scores and include two additional labels: 1) mean of raw annotation scores; 2) binary label (positive/negative). We identify all tuples with mean score greater than 3 as positive examples.

Among 1236 user queries, we find that there are 35 “unanswerable” queries that have no associated positive FAQ item. In the end, there are 1201 user queries involved for evaluation after removing “unanswerable” queries.

4 Dataset Analysis

Besides the generic features of large size, diversity, and low noise in annotations, we list four additional special features of COUGH.

Varying Query Forms: As indicated in Table 2, there are multiple query forms. In evaluation, we include both question and query string forms. These two distinct forms are significantly different in terms of query format (interrogative v.s. declarative), average answer length (123.89 v.s. 89.60) and topics. Question form is usually related to general information about the virus while query string form is often searching for more specific instructions concerning COVID-19 (e.g., healthy diet during pandemic). In Figure 1, the first FAQ item is in question form while the second one is in query string form.

Answer Nature: Table 1 shows the answer fields in COUGH are much longer than those in any prior dataset. Moreover, we also observe that answers might contain some contents which are not directly pertinent to the query, partially resulting in the long length nature. For example, in COUGH, the answer to a query “What is novel coronavirus” contains extra information about comparisons against other virus in the history, which is not directly germane to the query. Such natures better manifest the difficulty of FAQ retrieval in real scenarios, where FAQs are long and noisy.

Large-scale Relevance Annotation: Many existing FAQ datasets seemed to overlook annotation scale (see Table 1). However, that would hurt the reliability of evaluating since many true positive ⟨Query, FAQ item⟩ tuples are omitted. As suggested in (Manning et al., 2008), for each user query, we constructed a relatively large-scale candidate pool to reduce the chance of missing true positive tuples. Based on this annotation procedure, we obtained 39760 annotated ⟨Query, FAQ item⟩ tuples, each of which is annotated by at least three annotators to reduce annotation bias. Furthermore, we find that there are 7856 (19.76%) positive tuples (i.e., mean score > 3). Besides, from the perspective of FAQ Bank, 6648 FAQ items among 7117 English non-forum items appear at least once in Initial Candidate Pool (as described in Section 3.3), and 3790 of them have at least one “matched” (i.e., positive) user query.

Multilingual: COUGH includes 6768 FAQ items covering 18 non-English languages. However, since we do not include them in evaluation at the current stage (see Section 5.3), we leave the discussion of this multilingual perspective to Appendix B.

Table A1 and A2 in the Appendix show the detailed breakdown of COUGH dataset by sources for English and non-English FAQ items, respectively.

5 Experiment

5.1 Experimental Setup

The FAQ retrieval task is defined as ranking FAQ items $\{(q_i, a_i)\}$ from an FAQ Bank given a user query Q . In the FAQ retrieval literature (Karan and Šnajder, 2016; Sakata et al., 2019), a user query Q can be learned to match with the question field q_i , the answer field a_i or their concatenation (i.e., FAQ tuple) $q_i + a_i$.

In this work, we only focus on the unsuper-

	P@5	MAP	MRR
BM25 (Q-q)	0.27	0.38	0.56
BM25 (Q-a)	0.16	0.23	0.34
BM25 (Q-q+a)	0.25	0.34	0.52
BERT (Q-q) w/o finetune	0.29	0.42	0.59
+ finetune on pseudo Q-q	0.26	0.38	0.60
BERT (Q-a) w/o finetune	0.06	0.12	0.17
+ finetune on FAQ Bank	0.14	0.22	0.34
Ensemble: BERT (Q-q) + BERT (Q-a)	0.23	0.31	0.52
+ finetune on pseudo Q-q	0.26	0.35	0.57
+ finetune on pseudo Q-q and FAQ Bank	0.27	0.39	0.59

Table 3: Automatic Evaluation on COUGH. BERT refers to Sentence-BERT (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019).

vised models since the size of User Query Bank is not sufficiently large for supervised learning, especially for fine-tuning complex language models like BERT. We experiment with commonly-used and SOTA unsupervised baseline models to understand their limitations.

5.2 Methods

In this work, we test three types of baseline models, which are described below. For each baseline model, there are three configurable modes, Q-q, Q-a and Q-q+a, where we match user queries (Q) against the question field (q) and answer field (a) of an FAQ item as well as their concatenation (q+a), respectively.

(1) **BM25** is a nonlinear combination of term frequency, document frequency and document length, and is a commonly adopted IR baseline.

(2) **BERT** (Devlin et al., 2019) is a pretrained language model, which has significantly altered the NLP landscape. We utilize Sentence-BERT⁴ (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) in experiments, an improved version of BERT specialized in generating meaningful sentence-level representations. Sentence-BERT model is pre-trained via a Siamese network built for comparison between sentence-pair embeddings (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) on Multi-NLI (Williams et al., 2018), SNLI (Bowman et al., 2015) and Quora dataset (Iyer et al., 2017).

Fine-tuning: We further fine-tune Sentence-BERT on our FAQ bank using Multiple Negatives Ranking Loss (Henderson et al., 2017)⁵. For the Q-q mode, similar to Mass et al. (2020), we leverage T5 (Raffel et al., 2019) to generate synthetic

⁴https://www.sbert.net/docs/pretrained_models.html

⁵For efficiency and simplicity, Multiple Negatives Ranking Loss is computed using answers of other FAQs in the same training batch of the true FAQ item as negative answers.

questions as positive examples. We further filter out low-quality synthetic questions utilizing Elasticsearch⁶. For the Q-a mode, an FAQ item itself constitutes a positive example. For both modes, negative examples are randomly sampled.

(3) **Ensemble** method, used by Mass et al. (2020)⁷: It first computes three matching scores between the user query and FAQ items via BM25 Q-q+a, a particular BERT Q-q⁸ and a particular BERT Q-a model, respectively. Then the three raw scores are normalized, and combined by averaging.

5.3 Evaluation

Evaluation Setting: For the scope of this work, we only use English non-forum FAQ items in evaluation, and leave non-English and forum FAQ items for future research as we have already observed great challenges under the current setting.

Though forum or non-English items are not included in evaluation, we do encourage investigators who use COUGH for future research consider utilizing these two categories for other potential applications (e.g., multi-lingual IR, transfer learning in IR).

Evaluation Metric: We adopt our binary label (positive/negative) as ground truth labels. Following previous work (Karan and Šnajder, 2016, 2018; Sakata et al., 2019), we adopt the widely-used MAP (Mean Average Precision)⁹, MRR (Mean Reciprocal Rank) and P@5 (Precision at top 5) metrics.

5.4 Results

The results of baseline models are listed in Table 3. Sentence-BERT Q-q achieves the best overall performance across all metrics. Among the three metrics, P@5 is most critical when it comes to giving users the most relevant FAQ items. However, the current best score 0.29 under this metric is far from satisfactory, showing a large room for improvement.

It is not surprising to see that Q-q mode consistently performs better than Q-a mode regardless of underlying models. This is mainly caused by the fact that question fields are more similar to user queries than answer fields, in terms of syntactic

structures and semantic meanings. As discussed in Section 4, the answer nature (lengthy and noisy), albeit well characterizes FAQ retrieval in real scenarios, does bring a great challenge to current FAQ retrieval models.

We observe that fine-tuning in the way we experimented with can only help improve the performance of Q-a mode by a small margin, but might slightly hurt the Q-q mode due to the noises introduced in generating synthetic queries. Moreover, ensemble models don't perform as well as expected, since the particular Q-a model involved is weak (even after fine-tuning), which negatively impacts the performance. In consequence, doing straightforward fine-tuning or ensembling simply by stacking models wouldn't improve the performance significantly, which confirms that COUGH is a challenging dataset. Interesting future work includes developing more advanced techniques to handle long and noisy answer fields

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce COUGH, a large challenging dataset for COVID-19 FAQ retrieval. COUGH features varying query forms, long and noisy answer natures and multilingualism. COUGH will also serve as a better evaluation benchmark since it has large-scale relevance annotations. Results show the limitations of current FAQ retrieval models. Our COUGH dataset is freely available online to encourage more research on FAQ retrieval.

Acknowledgments

This research was sponsored in part by the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute Funding ME-2017C1-6413, the Army Research Office under cooperative agreements W911NF-17-1-0412, NSF Grant IIS1815674, NSF CAREER #1942980, and Ohio Supercomputer Center (Center, 1987). The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the Army Research Office or the U.S. Government. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notice herein.

⁶<https://www.elastic.co/>

⁷We only present CombSum, since PoolRank proposed by Mass et al. (2020) gives inferior results on COUGH.

⁸For example, sentence-BERT (Q-q) w/o finetune

⁹Evaluated on top-100 retrieved FAQ items.

References

- Samuel R. Bowman, Gabor Angeli, Christopher Potts, and Christopher D. Manning. 2015. A large annotated corpus for learning natural language inference. In *EMNLP'15*, pages 632–642.
- Robin Burke, Kristian Hammond, Vladimir Kulyukin, Steven Lytinen, Noriko Tomuro, and Scott Schoenberg. 1997. Question answering from frequently asked question files: Experiences with the faq finder system. *AI Magazine*, pages 57–66.
- Ohio Supercomputer Center. 1987. [Ohio supercomputer center](#).
- Emily Chen, Kristina Lerman, and Emilio Ferrara. 2020. Tracking social media discourse about the covid-19 pandemic: Development of a public coronavirus twitter data set. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. In *NAACL '19*, pages 4171–4186.
- Sparsh Gupta and Vitor R. Carvalho. 2019. Faq retrieval using attentive matching. In *SIGIR'19*, page 929–932.
- Matthew Henderson, Rami Al-Rfou, Brian Strope, Yunhsuan Sung, Laszlo Lukacs, Ruiqi Guo, Sanjiv Kumar, Balint Miklos, and Ray Kurzweil. 2017. Efficient natural language response suggestion for smart reply. *CoRR*, abs/1705.00652.
- Yong Hu, He yan Huang, An fan Chen, and Xian Ling Mao. 2020. Weibo-cov: A large-scale covid-19 social media dataset from weibo. *CoRR*, abs/2005.09174.
- Ting-Hao (Kenneth) Huang, Chieh-Yang Huang, Chien-Kuang Cornelia Ding, Yen-Chia Hsu, and C. Lee Giles. 2020. Coda-19: Using a non-expert crowd to annotate research aspects on 10,000+ abstracts in the covid-19 open research dataset.
- Shankar Iyer, Nikhil Dandekar, and Kornél Csernai. 2017. First quora dataset release: Question pairs. <https://www.quora.com/q/quoradata/First-Quora-Dataset-Release-Question-Pairs>.
- Mladen Karan and Jan Šnajder. 2016. Faqir - a frequently asked questions retrieval test collection. In *Text, Speech, and Dialogue (TSD)*, pages 74–81.
- Mladen Karan and Jan Šnajder. 2018. Paraphrase-focused learning to rank for domain-specific frequently asked questions retrieval. In *Expert Systems with Applications*, pages 418–433.
- Harksoo Kim and Jungyun Seo. 2006. High-performance faq retrieval using an automatic clustering method of query logs. *Information Processing & Management*, pages 650 – 661.
- Christopher D. Manning, Prabhakar Raghavan, and Hinrich Schütze. 2008. *Introduction to Information Retrieval*. Cambridge University Press.
- Yosi Mass, Boaz Carmeli, Haggai Roitman, and David Konopnicki. 2020. Unsupervised faq retrieval with question generation and bert. In *ACL'20*, pages 807–812.
- Adam Poliak, Max Fleming, Cash Costello, Kenton W Murray, Mahsa Yarmohammadi, Shivani Pandya, Darius Irani, Milind Agarwal, Udit Sharma, Shuo Sun, Nicola Ivanov, Lingxi Shang, Kaushik Srinivasan, Seolhwa Lee, Xu Han, Smisha Agarwal, and João Sedoc. 2020. Collecting verified covid-19 question answer pairs.
- Colin Raffel, Noam Shazeer, Adam Roberts, Katherine Lee, Sharan Narang, Michael Matena, Yanqi Zhou, Wei Li, and Peter J. Liu. 2019. Exploring the limits of transfer learning with a unified text-to-text transformer. *CoRR*, abs/1910.10683.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentencebert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. In *EMNLP '19*, pages 3980–3990.
- Stephen Robertson and Hugo Zaragoza. 2009. The probabilistic relevance framework: Bm25 and beyond. *Foundations and Trends® in Information Retrieval*, pages 333–389.
- Wataru Sakata, Tomohide Shibata, Ribeka Tanaka, and Sadao Kurohashi. 2019. FAQ retrieval using query question similarity and bert-based query-answer relevance. In *SIGIR'19*, pages 1113–1116.
- Keiji Shinzato, Tomohide Shibata, Daisuke Kawahara, and Sadao Kurohashi. 2012. Tsubaki: An open search engine infrastructure for developing information access methodology. *Journal of Information Processing*, pages 216–227.
- Shuo Sun and João Sedoc. 2020. An analysis of bert faq retrieval models for covid-19 infobot.
- Lucy Lu Wang, Kyle Lo, Yoganand Chandrasekhar, Russell Reas, Jiangjiang Yang, Doug Burdick, Darin Eide, Kathryn Funk, Yannis Katsis, Rodney Kinney, Yunyao Li, Ziyang Liu, William Merrill, Paul Mooney, Dewey Murdick, Devvret Rishi, Jerry Sheehan, Zhihong Shen, Brandon Stilson, Alex Wade, Kuansan Wang, Nancy Xin Ru Wang, Chris Wilhelm, Boya Xie, Douglas Raymond, Daniel S. Weld, Oren Etzioni, and Sebastian Kohlmeier. 2020. Cord-19: The covid-19 open research dataset. *CoRR*, abs/2004.10706.
- Jerry Wei, Chengyu Huang, Soroush Vosoughi, and Jason Wei. 2020. What are people asking about covid-19? a question classification dataset.
- Adina Williams, Nikita Nangia, and Samuel Bowman. 2018. A broad-coverage challenge corpus for sentence understanding through inference. In *NAACL '18*, pages 1112–1122.

Appendix A Annotation Protocols

We published our annotation batches on Amazon Mechanical Turk platform. Annotation protocols are provided below to facilitate future research in FAQ retrieval:

A.1 Task 1: Query Bank Construction

For this task, you are expected to give one shallow paraphrase and two deep paraphrases for the query template. Note that query can be either in question form or query string form.

- **Shallow paraphrase:** Applying word substitution, sentence reordering and other lexical tricks (e.g. extracting salient phrases from response) to the original query to come up with another query without changing the meaning.
- **Deep paraphrase:** The paraphrased ones should look dramatically (i.e. grammatically) different from the original query which is more than shallow paraphrasing. However, the paraphrased query should share the same (or almost same) semantic meaning as the original query.

A.2 Task 2: Annotated Relevance Set Construction

For this task, you will see a FAQ item retrieved by an automatic tool for a particular user query, and your job is to judge the relevance of the FAQ item based on the annotation scheme shown below.

- **Matched:** The candidate FAQ matches the user query perfectly. (Query part of FAQ is semantically identical to the user query, and answer part of FAQ well answers the user query.)
- **Useful:** The candidate FAQ doesn't perfectly match the user query but may still give some or enough information to help answer the user query. (Query part of FAQ is semantically similar to the user query, and you can either extract or infer some information from the answer which could be useful to the user query. Or alternatively, the candidate FAQ provides too much extra information which is not necessary.)
- **Useless:** The candidate FAQ is topically related to the user query but doesn't provide useful information. (Query part of FAQ is somewhat related to the user query, but you can't get any useful information out of the answer part to confidently answer the user query.)




Figure A1: Language distribution for non-English FAQ items

- **Non-relevant:** The candidate FAQ is completely unrelated to the query.

Appendix B Multilingual Perspective

Figure A1 shows the language distribution (excluding English) of FAQ items in COUGH dataset. Like English FAQ items, non-English FAQ items are also presented in both question and query string forms. Statistics of non-English items can be found in Table 2. The detailed breakdown of our COUGH dataset by sources and languages (other than English) is shown in Table A2.

	# of FAQ Items
Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System	138
Alabama Public Health	89
American Medical Association	14
California Department of Health	28
Government of Canada	131
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	378
Children's Hospital Los Angeles	73
Bloomberg Harvard City Leadership Initiative	186
Cleveland Clinic	15
CNN	112
Government of Colorado	66
Delaware Department of Health	71
U.S. Food and Drug Administration	139
European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control	55
Florida Department of Health	47
Georgia Department of Labor	16
Explore Georgia	13
Government of United Kingdom	53
Harvard Health Publishing	104
Illinois Department of Public Health	37
Inspire	1753
JHU HUB	7
JHU Medicine	14
Kids Health from Nemours	121
King County, Washington	26
Government of Massachusetts	17
Medical News Today	28
MedHelp	282
Government of Michigan	75
Minnesota Department of Health	98
New York Times	100
Government of New Jersey	322
National Institute of Health	105
Government of North Carolina	59
Government of New York	75
New York State Electric and Gas	68
New York Department of Financial Services	45
Pennsylvania Office of Unemployment Compensation	222
Government of Pennsylvania	66
University of Pennsylvania Health System	63
Sante Clara Department of Health	103
San Mateo County Health	47
Texas Health Services	39
Tricare	94
United Nations	40
United States Department of Agriculture	152
United States Department of Labor	43
Virginia Department of Health	435
United States Department of Veterans Affairs	16
Washington Department of Health	137
WHO	29
World Health Organization	395
WikiHow	2371
Total	9151

Table A1: Number of English FAQ-19 items scrapped from each source.

	language	# of FAQ Items
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Spanish	268
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Korean	244
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Vietnamese	244
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Chinese	244
Children's Hospital Los Angeles	Arabic	30
Children's Hospital Los Angeles	Spanish	45
Children's Hospital Los Angeles	Persian	39
Children's Hospital Los Angeles	Armenian	38
Children's Hospital Los Angeles	Kanuri	32
Children's Hospital Los Angeles	Chinese	34
U.S. Food and Drug Administration	Spanish	83
Japan Health	Japanese	226
Japan Labor	Japanese	63
United Nations	Arabic	39
United Nations	Spanish	38
United Nations	French	37
United Nations	Chinese	38
World Health Organization	Arabic	328
World Health Organization	Spanish	356
World Health Organization	French	387
World Health Organization	Russian	301
World Health Organization	Chinese	367
WikiHow	Arabic	144
WikiHow	Czech	22
WikiHow	German	525
WikiHow	Spanish	310
WikiHow	Persian	49
WikiHow	French	301
WikiHow	Hindi	286
WikiHow	Indonesian	166
WikiHow	Italian	263
WikiHow	Japanese	286
WikiHow	Korean	128
WikiHow	Dutch	142
WikiHow	Portuguese	303
WikiHow	Russian	142
WikiHow	Thai	90
WikiHow	Vietnamese	101
WikiHow	Chinese	117
Total	-	6768

Table A2: Number of non-English FAQ-19 items scrapped from each website and language.