

NONLINEAR SCALAR FIELD EQUATION WITH COMPETING NONLOCAL TERMS

PIETRO D'AVENIA, JAROSŁAW MEDERSKI, AND ALESSIO POMPONIO

ABSTRACT. We find radial and nonradial solutions to the following nonlocal problem

$$-\Delta u + \omega u = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u) - (I_\beta * G(u))g(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

under general assumptions, in the spirit of Berestycki and Lions, imposed on f and g , where $N \geq 3$, $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha < N$, $\omega \geq 0$, $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions with corresponding primitives F, G , and I_α, I_β are the Riesz potentials. If $\beta > 0$, then we deal with two competing nonlocal terms modelling attractive and repulsive interaction potentials.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper mainly deals with the following problem

$$(1.1) \quad -\Delta u = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u) - (I_\beta * G(u))g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \geq 3$, $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha < N$, $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions with corresponding primitives

$$F(s) = \int_0^s f(t)dt, \quad G(s) = \int_0^s g(t)dt.$$

Moreover $I_\gamma : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the Riesz potential

$$I_\gamma(x) := \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N-\gamma}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{2})\pi^{N/2}2^\gamma|x|^{N-\gamma}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } \gamma \in (0, N),$$

while we set $I_0 = \delta_0$, namely the identity for the convolution, where δ_0 is the Dirac delta at zero.

If $N = 3$, $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 0$, $F(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|s|^2$ and $G(s) = s$, then (1.1) is the well-known Choquard, or Choquard-Pekar equation

$$-\Delta u + u = (I_2 * |u|^2)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This equation comes, for instance, from an approximation to the Hartree-Fock theory of a plasma [14, 25] or it can be also derived in the framework of the Schrödinger-Newton system, (see [21]). A variational approach for this case was presented by Lieb [14] and Lions [16].

More generally, if $N \geq 3$, $F(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}|s|^p$, for suitable p , $\alpha > 0$ and $G(s) = s$, then weak solutions to (1.1) can be obtained by means of critical points of the associated functional. If, for instance, $\frac{N+\alpha}{N} < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}$ and $\beta = 0$, then, according to the work of Moroz and Van Schaftingen [22], the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality implies that $(I_\alpha * F(u))F(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Date: February 4, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J61; Secondary 35B33, 35B38, 35Q55, 35J20.

Key words and phrases. Elliptic equation, nonlocal problem, Riesz potential, Choquard equation, Hartree equation, Mountain Pass.

P. d'Avenia and A. Pomponio are members of GNAMPA of INdAM and are partially supported by PRIN 2017JPCAPN *Qualitative and quantitative aspects of nonlinear PDEs*. P. d'Avenia is also supported by FRA2019 of Politecnico di Bari. J. Mederski was partially supported by the National Science Centre, Poland, Grant No. 2017/26/E/ST1/00817 and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 258734477 – SFB 1173 during the stay at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology.

Moreover the associated functional is well-defined and of class \mathcal{C}^1 on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and its critical points correspond to solutions to

$$(1.2) \quad -\Delta u + u = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

A ground state solution and its properties were obtained in [22]. The same authors in [23] also studied the existence of solutions with a general nonlinearity F in the spirit of the classical result of Berestycki and Lions [6], namely

$$(1.3) \quad |sf(s)| \leq C(|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + |s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}), \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} F(s)/|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} = \lim_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} F(s)/|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} = 0, \quad F(s_0) \neq 0,$$

for some $s_0 \neq 0$ and $C > 0$, see also the survey [24] and the references therein. Note that, if $\alpha = 0$ in (1.2), since $I_0 * F(u) = F(u)$, (1.3) covers the Berestycki-Lions growth assumptions only for the nonnegative (attractive) nonlinearity $F^2(s) \geq 0$ of the corresponding energy functional (see (3.3) of [6]).

On the other hand, as for instance in the Hartree-Fock theory, the interaction potential could be also repulsive [5, 17], i.e. with $\beta > 0$ and a non-trivial $G(s) \geq 0$. Moreover problems similar to (1.1) may admit some local terms as well, see also [24] and the references therein.

Our aim is to investigate both nonlinear phenomena with both nonlocal terms ($0 < \beta \leq \alpha$) in (1.1), since, in the limiting case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we can fully cover the Berestycki and Lions assumptions [6].

We impose the following assumptions on f and g :

(H₁) there is a constant $C > 0$ and $p \in \left(\frac{2\beta}{N-2}, \frac{N+\beta}{N-2}\right]$ such that $|sf(s)| \leq C|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}$ and $0 \leq$

$$g(s)s \leq C(|s|^p + |s|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$(H_2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}} = \lim_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}} = 0;$$

(H₃) there is $s_0 > 0$ such that $F(s_0) \neq 0$; if $\alpha = \beta$, then we assume also $F(s_0) > G(s_0)$.

Observe that, if $0 \leq \beta < \frac{N-2}{2}$, then, due to the continuity of g , we can take $p = 1 \in \left(\frac{2\beta}{N-2}, \frac{N+\beta}{N-2}\right]$.

We remark that these kinds of assumptions follow naturally from the local case, namely when $\alpha = \beta = 0$, and equation (1.1) becomes simply

$$(1.4) \quad -\Delta u = h(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This problem has been studied in [6] and [26, 27], under general assumptions. In particular, in [26, 27] Struwe considered a continuous and odd function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with primitive $H(s) = \int_0^s h(t) dt$ such that

- (i) $-\infty \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} h(s)s/|s|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \leq 0$;
- (ii) $-\infty \leq \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} h(s)s/|s|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} \leq 0$;
- (iii) there is $s_0 > 0$ such that $H(s_0) > 0$.

Observe that the above assumptions contain those in [6]. As usual, by the maximum principle, it is enough to solve (1.4) when $\limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} h(s)s/|s|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}} = 0$. Now, taking F and G even functions such that

$$F^2(s) = \int_0^s \max\{h(t), 0\} dt \quad \text{and} \quad G^2(s) = \int_0^s \max\{-h(t), 0\} dt, \quad \text{for } s \geq 0,$$

we get $H(s) = F^2(s) - G^2(s)$ and, in the local case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, assumptions (H₂) and (H₃) are clearly satisfied. Moreover F and G satisfy the following condition

(H₁^{*}) there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $|(F^2)'(s)s| \leq C|s|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}$ and $0 \leq (G^2)'(s)s \leq C(|s|^2 + |s|^{\frac{2N}{N-2}})$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

This is a slightly weaker variant of (H_1) , which is essentially designed for the nonlocal problem. In fact, with our argument, one can provide a different proof of the existence of a radial solution under assumptions (i)–(iii) from [26, 27].

Further progress on the Berestycki-Lions problem (1.4) has been made in [12, 18, 19]; see also the references therein.

We look for a *weak solution* $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to (1.1), i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \psi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u)) f(u) \psi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u)) g(u) \psi \, dx$$

for any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ stands for the completion of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with respect to the norm $\|\nabla \cdot\|_2$.

At least formally solutions of (1.1) are critical points of the functional $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ defined as

$$\mathcal{I}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u)) F(u) \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) \, dx,$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Since $|F(s)| \leq C|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}$ for some constant $C > 0$, we have that $(I_\alpha * F(u)) F(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. On the other hand $(I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and need not be integrable in \mathbb{R}^N . Therefore \mathcal{I} may be infinite on a dense subset of $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and, thus, cannot be Fréchet-differentiable.

We remark also that scaling properties of the problem play a crucial role, but, in our case, seem to be difficult to apply. Indeed, if $\alpha \neq \beta$, then the nonlinear terms

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u(\lambda \cdot))) F(u(\lambda \cdot)) \, dx &= \lambda^{-(N+\alpha)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u)) F(u) \, dx \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u(\lambda \cdot))) G(u(\lambda \cdot)) \, dx &= \lambda^{-(N+\beta)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

have different scaling coefficients and, in particular, one cannot employ Lagrange multipliers as in [6], rescaling as in [26], or Pohozaev constraint approach as in [18, 19].

Moreover, to recover the lack of compactness due to the fact that we are working in the whole \mathbb{R}^N , we start using the invariance of the functional \mathcal{I} with respect to the orthogonal group action $\mathcal{O}(N)$. Hence we may restrict our considerations to the subspace of radial function $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, however $\mathcal{I}|_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ still preserves the above difficulties and may be infinite.

In this setting, our main result reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that (H_1) – (H_3) hold. Then, there is a nontrivial and radial solution $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to (1.1) such that $(I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Let us describe our variational approach.

We observe that

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{F}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u)) F(u) \, dx$$

is well-defined on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, however \mathcal{I} may be infinite. Therefore we replace

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{G}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) \, dx$$

with

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{G}_n(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x) (I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) \, dx,$$

where $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of $\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ radial functions, decreasing with respect to the radius, such that, for every $n \geq 1$, $\varphi_n(x) = 1$ for $x \in B_n$, $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{2n}$, $0 \leq \varphi_n(x) \leq$

$1, |x||\nabla\varphi_n(x)| \leq c$, and $\varphi_n(x) \leq \varphi_{n+1}(x)$ for $n \geq 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ (B_n stands for the ball of radius n centred at 0). Then \mathcal{G}_n is well-defined on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{I}_n(u) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \mathcal{F}(u) + \mathcal{G}_n(u)$$

is of class \mathcal{C}^1 .

The functional \mathcal{I}_n does not satisfy any variant of Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition [1], hence it is difficult to find a bounded Palais-Smale sequence on a positive level. Inspired by [10, 11] we apply the variational method in [28, Theorem 2.8] to the functional

$$\mathcal{J}_n := (\sigma, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mapsto \mathcal{I}_n(u(e^\sigma \cdot)) \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We require a new nonlocal variant of the Brezis-Lieb Lemma for a general nonlinearity, see Lemma 2.1, and further compactness properties of $\mathcal{F}(u)$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ demonstrated in Section 2. Then, letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$, the careful analysis of the Mountain Pass levels provides a nontrivial radial solution to (1.1). This approach provides also an alternative proof of the existence of a radial solution in the local case considered in [26, 27]. We would like to point out that, contrary to [6, 26, 27], we no longer use the uniform decay at infinity of radial functions from $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [6, Radial Lemma A.III]) and the compactness lemma due to Strauss [6, Lemma A.I].

Therefore more can be said in higher dimensions. Let $N \geq 4$, $N \neq 5$ and similarly as Bartsch and Willem in [3] (cf. [12, 18, 19]), let us fix $\tau \in \mathcal{O}(N)$ such that $\tau(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2, x_1, x_3)$ for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^M$ and $x_3 \in \mathbb{R}^{N-2M}$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^N = \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^{N-2M}$ and $2 \leq M \leq N/2$, with $N - 2M \neq 1$. We define

$$X_\tau := \{u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = -u(\tau x) \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N\}.$$

Clearly, if $u \in X_\tau$ is radial, then $u = 0$. Hence X_τ does not contain nontrivial radial functions. Then let us consider $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}(M) \times \mathcal{O}(M) \times \mathcal{O}(N - 2M) \subset \mathcal{O}(N)$ acting isometrically on $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the subspace of invariant function denoted by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover our functionals are invariant under this action whenever f and g are odd or even.

Our result, in this setting, is

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that (H_1) – (H_3) hold, f and g are odd, $N \geq 4$ and $N \neq 5$. Then, there is a nontrivial and nonradial solution $u \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X_\tau$ to (1.1) such that $(I_\beta * G(u))G(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Observe that in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 we can take $G(s) = s$ and $\beta = 0$ and we obtain solutions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solving the Choquard problem (1.2). In fact, dealing with the operator $-\Delta u + u$, more general assumptions imposed on F can be considered, which fully cover situation in [23].

Actually, our argument can be, quite easily, adapted to the following problem

$$(1.9) \quad -\Delta u + \omega u = (I_\alpha * F(u))f(u) - (I_\beta * G(u))g(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\omega > 0$, assuming that

(H'_1) there is a constant $C > 0$ and $p \in \left(\frac{2\beta}{N-2}, \frac{N+\beta}{N-2}\right]$ such that $|sf(s)| \leq C(|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}} + |s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}})$ and

$$0 \leq g(s)s \leq C(|s|^p + |s|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \text{ for } s \in \mathbb{R};$$

$$(H'_2) \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N}}} = \lim_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(s)}{|s|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}}} = 0;$$

(H'_3) there is $s_0 > 0$ such that $F(s_0) \neq 0$; we assume also $F(s_0) > G(s_0)$, if $\alpha = \beta > 0$, and $F^2(s_0) > G^2(s_0) + \omega s_0^2$, if $\alpha = \beta = 0$.

Observe that the energy functional associated with (1.9) is given by

$$\mathcal{K}_\omega(u) := \mathcal{I}(u) + \omega \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^2 dx, \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

and may be also infinite due to the possible nonintegrable term $(I_\beta * G(u))G(u)$.

Our results for equation (1.9) read as follows.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that (H'_1) – (H'_3) hold. Then, there is a nontrivial and radial solution u to (1.9) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $(I_\beta * G(u))G(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, if f and g are odd, $N \geq 4$ and $N \neq 5$, there is also a nontrivial and nonradial solution v to (1.9) in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X_\tau$ such that $(I_\beta * G(v))G(v) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

In particular, if

$$(1.10) \quad F(s) := \frac{1}{\sqrt[q]{q}} |s|^q \text{ with } 1 < q < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}, \text{ and } G(s) := \sqrt{\frac{N-2}{N+\beta}} |s|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}},$$

then F and G satisfy (H'_1) – (H'_3) if and only if $\omega \in (0, \omega_0)$, where

$$\omega_0 := \begin{cases} \frac{2^*-2q}{2^*(q-1)} \left(\frac{N(q-1)}{2q} \right)^{\frac{2^*-2}{2^*-2q}} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = 0, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \alpha > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then, finally, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *Suppose that F and G are given by (1.10).*

- (a) *For any $\omega \in (0, \omega_0)$ there is a radially symmetric solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and a nonradial solution in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X_\tau$ to (1.9).*
- (b) *If $\omega \notin (0, \omega_0)$, then (1.9) has only trivial finite energy solution.*

Corollary 1.4 has been known only in the local case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and the problem appears in nonlinear optics as well as in the study of Bose–Einstein condensates [9, 20]. Note that solutions exist only for $0 < \omega < \omega_0 < +\infty$, see e.g. [6, 13, 19]. In the nonlocal case, for instance if $N = 3$, $q = 2$ and $\alpha > \beta = 0$, we solve the nonlocal cubic-quintic problem of the nonlinear optics for all $\omega > 0$, where I_α is a nonlocal response function determined by the details of the physical process responsible for the nonlocality [8].

Through the paper we use the following notation.

We denote by $\|\cdot\|_k$ the usual norm in $L^k(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $k \geq 1$, and by B_R the ball centered in 0 with radius $R > 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N . Recall that $2^* = \frac{2N}{N-2}$. Finally C is a generic positive constant which may vary from line to line.

2. FUNCTIONAL SETTING AND COMPACTNESS PROPERTIES

We prove our results for $\beta > 0$, the most difficult and fully nonlocal situation. Thus, from now on, we assume that $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < N$ and (H_1) – (H_3) hold, with $p = 1$ when $0 < \beta < \frac{N-2}{2}$. The proofs of the paper are simplified when $\beta = 0$ or $\alpha = \beta = 0$ and we skip these cases.

It is standard to see that the functional $\mathcal{F} : L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined in (1.5) is of class \mathcal{C}^1 , cf. [23].

In order to control the convergence of \mathcal{F} , we need the following nonlocal variant of the Brezis–Lieb Lemma [7] for the general nonlinearity. Note that nonlocal variants for particular nonlinearities have already appeared in [4, Lemma 2.2], [22, Lemma 2.4]. The proofs of [4, 22] seem to be difficult to adapt to the general nonlinear term. We provide an independent proof for any continuous f satisfying (H_1) and (H_2) .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_n \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n)) f(u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n - u_0)) f(u_n) u_n dx \right) \\ = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) u_0 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We claim that, passing to a subsequence, for any $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n)) f(u_n - su_0) u_0 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_0)u_0)) f(u_0 - su_0) u_0 dx.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\|u_0 - \psi\|_{2^*} < \varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n)) f(u_n - su_0) u_0 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_0)u_0)) f(u_0 - su_0) u_0 dx \right| \\ & \leq \underbrace{\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n)) f(u_n - su_0) (u_0 - \psi) dx \right|}_{(A)} \\ & \quad + \underbrace{\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n)) (f(u_n - su_0) - f(u_0 - su_0)) \psi dx \right|}_{(B)} \\ & \quad + \underbrace{\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n)) - (I_\alpha * (f(u_0)u_0))) f(u_0 - su_0) \psi dx \right|}_{(C)} \\ & \quad + \underbrace{\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_0)u_0)) f(u_0 - su_0) (\psi - u_0) dx \right|}_{(D)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we deduce by (H₁) that $\{f(u_n)u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, by the continuity, we deduce that $f(u_n)u_n$ converges to $f(u_0)u_0$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N along a subsequence. Therefore $f(u_n)u_n$ tends weakly to $f(u_0)u_0$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. As the Riesz potential defines a linear and continuous map from $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain that $I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n)$ tends weakly to $I_\alpha * (f(u_0)u_0)$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, since $u_n - su_0$ converges to $u_0 - su_0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $1 \leq q < 2^*$, by (H₁) we infer that $f(u_n - su_0)$ converges to $f(u_0 - su_0)$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $1 \leq q < 2N/(\alpha + 2)$.

Then, by the Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev inequality and since $\{f(u_n - su_0)\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{\alpha+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ we obtain

$$(A) \leq C \|f(u_n)u_n\|_{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \|f(u_n - su_0)\|_{\frac{2N}{\alpha+2}} \|u_0 - \psi\|_{2^*} \leq C\varepsilon$$

and analogously, $(D) \leq C\varepsilon$.

Moreover, denoting by $K := \text{supp}(\psi)$, we have

$$(B) \leq C \|f(u_n)u_n\|_{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \|f(u_n - su_0) - f(u_0 - su_0)\|_{L^{\frac{N(N+2\alpha+2)}{(N+\alpha)(\alpha+2)}}(K)} \|\psi\|_{\frac{2N(N+2\alpha+2)}{(N+\alpha)(N-2)}} = o_n(1).$$

Finally, also $(C) = o_n(1)$, since $f(u_0 - su_0)\psi$ belongs to $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, namely the dual space of $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Therefore (2.1) is proved.

Now, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\phi_n(s) = (I_\alpha * F(u_n - su_0))f(u_n)u_n$, for $s \in [0, 1]$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n))f(u_n)u_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n - u_0))f(u_n)u_n dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi_n(0) - \phi_n(1))dx = - \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi'_n(s) dx \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n - su_0)u_0))f(u_n)u_n dx \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n))f(u_n - su_0)u_0 dx \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.1), taking into account the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_n \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n))f(u_n)u_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n - u_0))f(u_n)u_n dx \right) \\ &= \lim_n \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n))f(u_n - su_0)u_0 dx \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_n)u_n))f(u_n - su_0)u_0 dx \right) ds \\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * (f(u_0)u_0))f(u_0 - su_0)u_0 dx \right) ds \\ &= - \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \phi'_0(s) dx \right) ds = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\int_0^1 \phi'_0(s) ds \right) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\phi_0(0) - \phi_0(1))dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0))f(u_0)u_0 dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, let $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O}(N)$, or $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}(M) \times \mathcal{O}(M) \times \mathcal{O}(N - 2M) \subset \mathcal{O}(N)$ provided that $N \geq 4$ and $N \neq 5$ with $2 \leq M \leq N/2$ and $N - 2M \neq 1$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}'}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be the subspace of \mathcal{O}' -invariant functions. Below we demonstrate the compactness properties in the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}'}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then*

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n))f(u_n)u_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0))f(u_0)u_0 dx.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we conclude if we prove that

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n - u_0))f(u_n)u_n dx = 0.$$

Indeed, by the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality and (H₁), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n - u_0))f(u_n)u_n dx \leq C \|F(u_n - u_0)\|_{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \|f(u_n)u_n\|_{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}} \leq C \|F(u_n - u_0)\|_{\frac{2N}{N+\alpha}}.$$

The fact that $\|F(u_n - u_0)\|_{2N/(N+\alpha)} \rightarrow 0$ is a consequence of (H₂) and [19, Lemma A.1], where the symmetry \mathcal{O}' plays a crucial rôle. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$(2.2) \quad \lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n))f(u_n)\psi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0))f(u_0)\psi dx.$$

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 and passing to a subsequence, we have that $f(u_n) \rightarrow f(u_0)$ in $L^q_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for $1 \leq q < 2N/(\alpha + 2)$, and $\{I_\alpha * F(u_n)\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and tends weakly to $I_\alpha * F(u_0)$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, since

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n)) f(u_n) \psi \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) \psi \, dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n)) |f(u_n) - f(u_0)| |\psi| \, dx + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n) - I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) \psi \, dx \right|, \end{aligned}$$

using the same arguments as in (B) and (C) in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we get (2.2). \square

For what concerns the term with G , at least formally, we define the functional \mathcal{G} as in (1.6). However, if in (H_1) , $p < \frac{N+\beta}{N-2}$, the situation is quite different from \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} need not be finite. Indeed, in such a case, let us consider the Banach spaces

$$L^\mu(\Omega) + L^\nu(\Omega) := \{u \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega) : u = u_1 + u_2, u_1 \in L^\mu(\Omega), u_2 \in L^\nu(\Omega)\},$$

where $1 \leq \mu \leq \nu < +\infty$, Ω is an arbitrary subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and $\mathcal{M}(\Omega)$ is the set of the real measurable functions defined on Ω , equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mu, \nu} := \inf_{u=u_1+u_2} (\|u_1\|_{L^\mu(\Omega)} + \|u_2\|_{L^\nu(\Omega)})$$

(see e.g. [2, Section 2] for more details about these spaces).

Observe that if $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \subset L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, since $|u|^p \in L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $|u|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}} \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by [2, Proposition 2.3] and (H_1) , we get

$$(2.3) \quad G(u) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Moreover, since

$$I_\beta * G(u) \leq C(I_\beta * (|u|^p + |u|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}})),$$

by [15, Inequality (9), page 107] and [2, Proposition 2.3] we have

$$(2.4) \quad I_\beta * G(u) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

However, this does not seem enough to assure that $\mathcal{G}(u) < +\infty$ for any $u \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and so we need a different approach. We replace $\mathcal{G}(u)$ with $\mathcal{G}_n(u)$ given by (1.7) together with the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ defined there.

We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{G}_n \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We divide the proof in five steps.

Step 1: \mathcal{G}_n is well defined.

Observe that

$$0 \leq \mathcal{G}_n(u) \leq \int_{B_{2n}} (I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) \, dx$$

and, by (2.3) and (2.4), $I_\beta * G(u) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(B_{2n}) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(B_{2n}) \subset L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(B_{2n})$ and $G(u) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(B_{2n}) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(B_{2n}) \subset L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(B_{2n})$. Thus, the Hölder inequality allows us to conclude.

Step 2: if $\{u_m\} \subset L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then, up to a subsequence, $I_\beta * G(u_m) \rightarrow I_\beta * G(u)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , as $m \rightarrow +\infty$.

Since $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then, up to a subsequence, there exist $\Omega_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $|\Omega_1| = 0$ and $w \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $|u_m| \leq w$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_1$.

Since $w^p + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}} \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by [15, Inequality (9), page 107], we have that $I_\beta *$

$(w^p + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and so, there exists $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, with $|\Omega_2| = 0$, such that

$$\frac{w^p(y) + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\beta}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_2.$$

Thus, if we fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_2$, we have that

$$\frac{G(u_m(y))}{|x-y|^{N-\beta}} \rightarrow \frac{G(u(y))}{|x-y|^{N-\beta}}, \quad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega_1$$

and

$$\frac{G(u_m(y))}{|x-y|^{N-\beta}} \leq C \frac{|u_m(y)|^p + |u_m(y)|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}}{|x-y|^{N-\beta}} \leq C \frac{w^p(y) + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}(y)}{|x-y|^{N-\beta}} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Hence, by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we can conclude.

Step 3: \mathcal{G}_n is continuous.

Let $\{u_m\} \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $m \rightarrow +\infty$. Up to a subsequence we have that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_m \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , and there exists $w \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $|u_m| \leq w$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus, since G is continuous, $G(u_m) \rightarrow G(u)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and, by Step 2, $I_\beta * G(u_m) \rightarrow I_\beta * G(u)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Hence

$$\varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * G(u_m))G(u_m) \rightarrow \varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * G(u))G(u) \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Moreover,

$$0 \leq \varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * G(u_m))G(u_m) \leq C\varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * (w^p + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}))(w^p + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

since, arguing as before, $I_\beta * (w^p + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(B_{2n})$ and $w^p + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}} \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(B_{2n})$. Thus, the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem allows us to conclude.

Step 4: \mathcal{G}_n is differentiable and, for any $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\mathcal{G}'_n(u)[v] = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * G(u))g(u)v \, dx.$$

First we prove that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * G(u))g(u)v \, dx \right| < +\infty.$$

Observe that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * G(u))g(u)v \, dx \right| \leq \int_{B_{2n}} (I_\beta * G(u))|g(u)||v| \, dx,$$

and, by assumptions on g ,

$$(2.5) \quad |g(u)| \leq C(|u|^{p-1} + |u|^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}}) \in \begin{cases} L^{\frac{2N}{\beta+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N), & \text{if } 0 < \beta < \frac{N-2}{2}, \\ L^{\frac{2N}{\beta+2}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R}^N), & \text{if } \frac{N-2}{2} \leq \beta < N. \end{cases}$$

In any case we have that $I_\beta * G(u) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(B_{2n})$ and, by (2.5), $g(u) \in L^{\frac{2N}{\beta+2}}(B_{2n})$. Thus, the Hölder inequality allows us to conclude.

Finally, arguing as before, we prove that the map

$$v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x)(I_\beta * G(u))g(u)v \, dx$$

is continuous and this implies also the claim.

Step 5: \mathcal{G}'_n is continuous.

Let $v \in \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with $\|\nabla v\|_2 \leq 1$ and $\{u_m\} \subset \mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as

$m \rightarrow +\infty$. Up to a subsequence we have that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $u_m \rightarrow u$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , and there exists $w \in L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $|u_m| \leq w$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}'_n(u_m)[v] - \mathcal{G}'_n(u)[v]| &\leq \int_{B_{2n}} \left| (I_\beta * G(u_m))g(u_m) - (I_\beta * G(u))g(u) \right| |v| dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{B_{2n}} \left| (I_\beta * G(u_m))g(u_m) - (I_\beta * G(u))g(u) \right|^{\frac{2N}{N+2}} dx \right)^{\frac{N+2}{2N}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using also Step 2, we have that $(I_\beta * G(u_m))g(u_m) \rightarrow [I_\beta * G(u)]g(u)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , and so, observing that, by (H₁),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq I_\beta * G(u_m) &\leq CI_\beta * (w^p + w^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(B_{2n}), \\ 0 \leq I_\beta * G(u) &\leq CI_\beta * (|u|^p + |u|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(B_{2n}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |g(u_m)| &\leq C(w^{p-1} + w^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{2N}{\beta+2}}(B_{2n}), \\ |g(u)| &\leq C(|u|^{p-1} + |u|^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{2N}{\beta+2}}(B_{2n}), \end{aligned}$$

we can conclude by the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem. \square

Now we prove this further compactness result.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$,*

$$\lim_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x) (I_\beta * G(u_n))g(u_n)\psi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_0))g(u_0)\psi dx.$$

Proof. Of course it is enough to show that

$$\lim_n \int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (I_\beta * G(u_n))g(u_n)\psi dx = \int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (I_\beta * G(u_0))g(u_0)\psi dx,$$

recalling that $\text{supp}(\psi)$ is compact and then, for n large enough, $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset B_n$.

Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, up to a subsequence, $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and so $G(u_n) \rightarrow G(u_0)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Moreover $\{G(u_n)\}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Indeed, by the assumptions on g , the definition of the norm in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and [2, Corollary 2.12], we have

$$\|G(u_n)\|_{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}, \frac{2^*}{p}} \leq C(\|u_n\|_{2^*}^p + \|u_n\|_{2^*}^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \leq C.$$

Thus, the reflexivity of $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see [2, Corollary 2.11]) implies that there exists $\tilde{u} \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, up to a subsequence, $G(u_n) \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We claim that $\tilde{u} = G(u_0)$.

Indeed, using a classical argument, (see e.g. [7, Exercise 3.4]), the weak convergence $G(u_n) \rightharpoonup \tilde{u}$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ implies that there exists a sequence $\{z_n\} \subset L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$z_n \in \text{conv} \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n \{G(u_i)\} \right)$$

and $z_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Thus, by [2, Proposition 2.8], up to a subsequence, we get that $z_n \rightarrow \tilde{u}$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N , that allows us to conclude.

About the sequence $\{I_\beta * G(u_n)\}$, since by (H₁)

$$0 \leq I_\beta * G(u_n) \leq C(I_\beta * |u_n|^p + I_\beta * |u_n|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

using [2, Corollary 2.12], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_\beta * G(u_n)\|_{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}, \frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}} &\leq C(\|I_\beta * |u_n|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}\|_{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}} + \|I_\beta * |u_n|^p\|_{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}) \\ &\leq C(\|u_n\|_{2^*}^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}} + \|u_n\|_{2^*}^p) \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, observe that the linear functional

$$w \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \mapsto I_\beta * w \in L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

is continuous. Indeed, if $w \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $w = w_1 + w_2$ with $w_1 \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $w_2 \in L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, by [15, Inequality (9), page 107] we get

$$\|I_\beta * w\|_{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}, \frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}} \leq \|I_\beta * w_1\|_{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}} + \|I_\beta * w_2\|_{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}} \leq C(\|w_1\|_{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}} + \|w_2\|_{\frac{2^*}{p}})$$

and, passing to the infimum on $w_1 \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $w_2 \in L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we conclude.

This, combined with the weak convergence $G(u_n) \rightharpoonup G(u_0)$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2^*}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, implies that $I_\beta * G(u_n) \rightharpoonup I_\beta * G(u_0)$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

Hence, as done for f in Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (I_\beta * G(u_n)) g(u_n) \psi \, dx - \int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) \psi \, dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (I_\beta * G(u_n)) |g(u_n) - g(u_0)| |\psi| \, dx + \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_n) - I_\alpha * G(u_0)) g(u_0) \psi \, dx \right|. \end{aligned}$$

About the first integral, observe that, the boundedness of $\{u_n\}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ implies also that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^\tau(\mathbb{R}^N)$, for all $1 \leq \tau < 2^*$ and so, for any fixed $1 \leq \tau < 2^*$ and $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, up to a subsequence, there exists $w_K \in L^\tau(K)$ such that $|u_n| \leq w_K$ a.e. in K . Thus, denoting for simplicity $w := w_{\text{supp}(\psi)}$ and taking for instance

$$\tau = \frac{N(N+2\beta+2)}{(N-2)(N+\beta)},$$

by the assumptions on g we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(u_n)| &\leq C(|u_n|^{p-1} + |u_n|^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}}) \leq C(w^{p-1} + w^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{N(N+2\beta+2)}{(N+\beta)(\beta+2)}}(\text{supp}(\psi)), \\ |g(u_0)| &\leq C(|u_0|^{p-1} + |u_0|^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}}) \in L^{\frac{N(N+2\beta+2)}{(N+\beta)(\beta+2)}}(\text{supp}(\psi)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the boundedness of $\{I_\beta * G(u_n)\}$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ implies its boundedness in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\text{supp}(\psi)) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\text{supp}(\psi)) = L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\text{supp}(\psi))$.

Thus, by the Hölder inequality and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (I_\beta * G(u_n)) |g(u_n) - g(u_0)| |\psi| \, dx \leq C \left(\int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} |g(u_n) - g(u_0)|^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}} |\psi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{N+\beta}{2N}}$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} |g(u_n) - g(u_0)|^{\frac{N(N+2\beta+2)}{(N+\beta)(\beta+2)}} dx \right)^{\frac{(N+\beta)(\beta+2)}{N(N+2\beta+2)}} = o_n(1).$$

Finally the second integral goes to 0 due to the weak convergence $I_\beta * G(u_n) \rightharpoonup I_\beta * G(u_0)$ in $L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, since $g(u_0)\psi \in L^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}}(\text{supp}(\psi)) \subset [L^{\frac{2N}{N-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) + L^{\frac{2N}{(N-2)p-2\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)]'$, being

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} |g(u_0)\psi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}} dx &\leq C \int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (|u_0|^{p-1} + |u_0|^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}})^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}} |\psi|^{\frac{2N}{N+\beta}} dx \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{\text{supp}(\psi)} (|u_0|^{p-1} + |u_0|^{\frac{\beta+2}{N-2}})^{\frac{N(N+2\beta+2)}{(N+\beta)(\beta+2)}} dx \right)^{\frac{2(\beta+2)}{N+2\beta+2}} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

3. PROOFS OF OUR MAIN RESULTS

Let $X := \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, or $X := \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X_\tau$ provided that $N \geq 4$ and $N \neq 5$. As observed before, the functional \mathcal{I} could be also $+\infty$ on X . To avoid this problem, for every $n \geq 1$, we introduce the truncated \mathcal{C}^1 -functionals $\mathcal{I}_n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by (1.8).

The functionals \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{I}_n , $n \geq 1$, satisfy the geometrical assumptions of the Mountain Pass Theorem. Indeed, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *We have:*

- (i) *there exist $\rho, c > 0$ such that $\mathcal{I}(u) \geq c$ and, for every $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{I}_n(u) \geq c$ for all $u \in X$ such that $\|\nabla u\|_2 = \rho$;*
- (ii) *there exists $v_0 \in X$ with $\|\nabla v_0\|_2 > \rho$ such that $\mathcal{I}(v_0) < 0$ and, for every $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{I}_n(v_0) < 0$.*

Proof. We prove this lemma only for \mathcal{I}_n since similar and easier arguments hold also for \mathcal{I} .

The positivity of G and φ_n , (H₁) and (H₂), the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and Sobolev inequalities imply

$$\mathcal{I}_n(u) \geq \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(I_\alpha * |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} \right) |u|^{\frac{N+\alpha}{N-2}} dx \geq \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - C \|u\|_{2^*}^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}} \geq \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - C \|\nabla u\|_2^{\frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}}.$$

Since $2 < \frac{2(N+\alpha)}{N-2}$, we get (i).

Now let us prove (ii).

Case $X = \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{O}(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $w = s_0 \chi_{B_1}$, where s_0 is defined in (H₃), then

$$\mathcal{F}(w) = F^2(s_0) \iint_{B_1 \times B_1} I_\alpha(x-y) dx dy > 0.$$

We take now $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ radial, non-negative, non-increasing with respect to $|x|$, and such that $\psi(x) = s_0$, for $|x| \leq 1$, and $\psi(x) = 0$, for $|x| \geq \bar{r}$, with $\bar{r} > 1$. If \bar{r} is sufficiently close to 1, using the continuity of \mathcal{F} in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we get also

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(\psi) > 0.$$

We consider first the case $\alpha > \beta$.

If we set $\psi_\lambda(x) := \psi(x/\lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$ and since $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq 1$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x) (I_\beta * G(\psi_\lambda)) G(\psi_\lambda) dx \leq \lambda^{N+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(\psi)) G(\psi) dx < +\infty.$$

So we infer that

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\psi_\lambda) \leq \lambda^{N-2} \|\nabla \psi\|_2^2 - \lambda^{N+\alpha} \mathcal{F}(\psi) + \lambda^{N+\beta} \mathcal{G}(\psi)$$

and we can conclude considering $v_0 := \psi_\lambda$ with λ large enough, by (3.1).

We now study the case $\alpha = \beta$.

If $G(s_0) = 0$, being, by (H₁), G non-decreasing on \mathbb{R}_+ , then $G(\psi(x)) = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^N and so we can conclude easily as before.

If, instead, $G(s_0) \neq 0$, by (H₃) we can find $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $(1 - \varepsilon)F^2(s_0) > G^2(s_0) > 0$. Moreover there exists $\bar{r} > 1$ sufficiently close to 1 such that

$$1 < \frac{\iint_{B_{\bar{r}} \times B_{\bar{r}}} I_\alpha(x-y) dx dy}{\iint_{B_1 \times B_1} I_\alpha(x-y) dx dy} < \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)F^2(s_0)}{G^2(s_0)}$$

and, again by the continuity of \mathcal{F} in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\psi) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)F^2(s_0) \iint_{B_1 \times B_1} I_\alpha(x-y) dx dy > 0.$$

Therefore, by the positivity of G , we deduce that

$$\mathcal{F}(\psi) - \mathcal{G}(\psi) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)F^2(s_0) \iint_{B_1 \times B_1} I_\alpha(x-y) dx dy - G^2(s_0) \iint_{B_{\bar{r}} \times B_{\bar{r}}} I_\alpha(x-y) dx dy > 0.$$

Thus we get

$$\mathcal{I}_n(\psi_\lambda) \leq \lambda^{N-2} \|\nabla \psi\|_2^2 - \lambda^{N+\alpha} [\mathcal{F}(\psi) - \mathcal{G}(\psi)],$$

we can conclude again considering $v_0 := \psi_\lambda$ with λ large enough.

Case $X = \mathcal{D}_O^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap X_\tau$.

We take $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2)$ and any odd and smooth function $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s \geq 1/2$ and $\eta(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 1/2 - \varepsilon$. Then we define $\tilde{\psi}(x) = \eta(|x_1| - |x_2|) \psi(x)$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^M \times \mathbb{R}^{N-2M}$, with the same ψ as before. Observe that $\tilde{\psi} \in X$. Moreover, arguing as in the previous case, we can find $\bar{r} > 1$, sufficiently close to 1, and $\varepsilon > 0$, sufficiently close to 0, such that, using the continuity of \mathcal{F} in $L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\psi}) \geq \frac{1}{2} F^2(s_0) \iint_{B_1 \times B_1 \cap \{|x_1| \geq |x_2| + 1/2, |y_1| \geq |y_2| + 1/2\}} I_\alpha(x-y) dx dy > 0.$$

Then we argue similarly as in case $X = \mathcal{D}_{O(N)}^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. □

Let

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], X) : \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(1) = v_0\}$$

and

$$c_{\mathcal{I}_n} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{I}_n(\gamma(t)), \quad c_{\mathcal{I}} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{I}(\gamma(t)).$$

Our aim is to find a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{I}_n(u_n) = c_{\mathcal{I}_n}$ and $\mathcal{I}'_n(u_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. However, due to the general assumptions on F and G , it is not easy to prove the boundedness of such sequence. Therefore, inspired by [10, 11], we introduce the functional $\mathcal{J} : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$

$$\mathcal{J}(\sigma, u) := \mathcal{I}(u(e^{-\sigma} \cdot)) = e^{(N-2)\sigma} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - e^{(N+\alpha)\sigma} \mathcal{F}(u) + e^{(N+\beta)\sigma} \mathcal{G}(u),$$

and, for every $n \geq 1$, the \mathcal{C}^1 -functionals $\mathcal{J}_n : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\mathcal{J}_n(\sigma, u) := \mathcal{I}_n(u(e^{-\sigma} \cdot)) = e^{(N-2)\sigma} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 - e^{(N+\alpha)\sigma} \mathcal{F}(u) + e^{(N+\beta)\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(e^\sigma x) (I_\beta * G(u)) G(u) dx.$$

Since the functional \mathcal{J}_n is non-autonomous, contrary to [10, 11], we have to deal with an additional term which appears in the calculus of the gradient of \mathcal{J}_n , see Proposition 3.2 below.

Let

$$\Sigma := \{(\sigma, \gamma) \in \mathcal{C}([0, 1], \mathbb{R} \times X) : (\sigma(0), \gamma(0)) = (0, 0) \text{ and } (\sigma(1), \gamma(1)) = (0, v_0)\}$$

and

$$c_{\mathcal{J}_n} := \inf_{(\sigma, \gamma) \in \Sigma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{J}_n(\sigma(t), \gamma(t)), \quad c_{\mathcal{J}} := \inf_{(\sigma, \gamma) \in \Sigma} \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{J}(\sigma(t), \gamma(t)).$$

As observed in [10, Lemma 4.1], using the relation, respectively, between \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} and \mathcal{I}_n and \mathcal{J}_n , we have that

$$(3.2) \quad c_{\mathcal{I}} = c_{\mathcal{J}}, \quad c_{\mathcal{I}_n} = c_{\mathcal{J}_n}.$$

Since, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{J}_n \leq \mathcal{J}_{n+1} \leq \mathcal{J}$, we have that the sequence $\{c_{\mathcal{J}_n}\}$ is increasing and bounded from above by $c_{\mathcal{J}}$, and so there exists $\bar{c} > 0$ such that $c_{\mathcal{J}_n} \rightarrow \bar{c}$, as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proposition 3.2. *There is a sequence $\{(\sigma_n, u_n)\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times X$ such that*

- (i) $|\mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n) - \bar{c}| = o_n(1)$;
- (ii) $|\sigma_n| = o_n(1)$;
- (iii) $\|\nabla \mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n)\| = o_n(1)$;
- (iv) $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X .

Proof. In view of (3.2), for any $n \geq 1$ we find $\gamma_{k,n} \in \Gamma$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{I}_k(\gamma_{k,n}(t)) \leq c_{\mathcal{J}_k} + \frac{1}{n}$$

and, for sufficiently large k ,

$$|c_{\mathcal{J}_k} - \bar{c}| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$

also holds. Therefore, passing to a subsequence with a diagonalization argument, we may assume that there exists $\gamma_n \in \Gamma$ (hence $(0, \gamma_n) \in \Sigma$) such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{J}_n(0, \gamma_n(t)) \leq c_{\mathcal{J}_n} + o_n(1) \quad \text{and} \quad |c_{\mathcal{J}_n} - \bar{c}| \leq o_n(1).$$

Thus, by [28, Theorem 2.8], for any $n \geq 1$ there is $(\sigma_n, u_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times X$ such that (i)–(iii) hold.

Since $\mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n) = \bar{c} + o_n(1)$ and $\partial_{\sigma} \mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n) = o_n(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{N-2}{N+\alpha}\right) e^{(N-2)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx + \left(1 - \frac{N+\beta}{N+\alpha}\right) e^{(N+\beta)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(e^{\sigma_n} x) (I_{\beta} * G(u_n)) G(u_n) dx \\ & - \frac{1}{N+\alpha} e^{(N+\beta)\sigma_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\nabla \varphi_n(e^{\sigma_n} x) \cdot e^{\sigma_n} x) (I_{\beta} * G(u_n)) G(u_n) dx = \bar{c} + o_n(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since the cut-off functions φ_n are decreasing with respect to the radius, we have that $\nabla \varphi_n(x) \cdot x \leq 0$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and so, being $\alpha \geq \beta$, we infer that $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in X . \square

We can now conclude the proof of our main theorems.

Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Let $\{(\sigma_n, u_n)\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times X$ be the sequence found in Proposition 3.2. Then there exists $u_0 \in X$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u_0$ weakly in X and a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . By Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.5, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla \psi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{\alpha} * F(u_0)) f(u_0) \psi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_{\beta} * G(u_0)) g(u_0) \psi dx.$$

So we have that u_0 is a weak solution of (1.1). We will prove that $u_0 \neq 0$.

Observe that, by Proposition 3.2, since $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in X and $\partial_u \mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n)[u_n] = o_n(1)$, we deduce that there exists $C > 0$ such that, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x) (I_\beta * G(u_n)) g(u_n) u_n dx \leq C.$$

Therefore, by Fatou's Lemma

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) u_0 dx \leq \liminf_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x) (I_\beta * G(u_n)) g(u_n) u_n dx \leq C.$$

For any $m \geq 1$, let

$$\psi_m(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq m, \\ \frac{2m - |x|}{m} & \text{if } m \leq |x| \leq 2m, \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 2m. \end{cases}$$

Observe that, for any $m \geq 1$, we have that $\psi_m u_0$ belongs to X . Note that $\psi_m u_0$ has a compact support and $\partial_u \mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n)[\psi_m u_0] = o_n(1)$. Therefore, arguing as in Lemma 2.3 and in Lemma 2.5, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we have that for any $m \geq 1$

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla (\psi_m u_0) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) \psi_m u_0 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) \psi_m u_0 dx.$$

Being $u_0 \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u_0 \cdot \nabla (\psi_m u_0) dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 |\psi_m - 1| dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0| |u_0| |\nabla \psi_m| dx \\ (3.5) \quad & \leq \int_{B_m^c} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + \left(\int_{A_m} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{A_m} |u_0|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \left(\int_{A_m} |\nabla \psi_m|^N dx \right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \\ & \leq \int_{B_m^c} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx + C \left(\int_{B_m^c} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_m^c} |u_0|^{2^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2^*}} \\ & = o_m(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_m := B_{2m} \setminus B_m$.

Moreover, observe that

$$(I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) \psi_m u_0 \rightarrow (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) u_0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and

$$|(I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) \psi_m u_0| \leq |(I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) u_0| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Thus, by the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have that

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) \psi_m u_0 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) u_0 dx.$$

Analogously, we have also that

$$(I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) \psi_m u_0 \rightarrow (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) u_0, \quad \text{a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N, \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty,$$

and, using (3.3),

$$0 \leq (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) \psi_m u_0 \leq (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Again the Dominated Convergence Theorem implies

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) \psi_m u_0 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) u_0 dx.$$

Therefore, by (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) u_0 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) u_0 dx.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (3.3), since $\partial_u \mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n)[u_n] = o_n(1)$, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_n|^2 dx &= \limsup_n \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_n)) f(u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_n(x) (I_\beta * G(u_n)) g(u_n) u_n dx \right] \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * F(u_0)) f(u_0) u_0 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * G(u_0)) g(u_0) u_0 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u_0|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $u_n \rightarrow u_0$ strongly in X . Thus, since $\mathcal{J}_n(\sigma_n, u_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(u_0)$, we have that $\mathcal{I}(u_0) = \bar{c} > 0$ and so u_0 is a nontrivial weak solution of (1.1). \square

Remark 3.3. By the inspection of the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we deduce that $c_{\mathcal{I}_n} = c_{\mathcal{J}_n}$ are attained, for any $n \geq 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof is a slight modification of our previous arguments. Here we just want to comment (H'_3). The change of assumption in the different cases is due to the scaling properties of the functional \mathcal{K}_ω . Indeed, setting $u_\lambda(x) := u(x/\lambda)$, for $\lambda > 0$, when $\alpha = \beta$ we have

$$\mathcal{K}_\omega(u_\lambda) = \lambda^{N-2} \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \omega \lambda^N \|u\|_2^2 - \lambda^{N+\alpha} (\mathcal{F}(u) - \mathcal{G}(u)).$$

Thus, to show the Mountain Pass geometry, if $\alpha = \beta > 0$, we can proceed as in Lemma 3.1, but if $\alpha = \beta = 0$ (the local case), we need a stronger condition, namely we need to take into account the term ωs_0^2 in order to show that $\mathcal{K}_\omega(u_\lambda) < 0$ for large λ (see also [6]). \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Item (a) follows from Theorem 1.3.

To prove (b), observe that, only in the local case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, ω_0 is finite. Thus, in such a case, if $\omega \geq \omega_0$, then $F^2(s) - G^2(s) - \omega_0 s^2 \leq 0$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and there are no nontrivial solutions (see e.g. [6]). If, instead, $\omega \leq 0$, similarly as in [23, Theorem 3], if $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solves (1.9) with (1.10), then we obtain the following Pohozaev identity

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 = -\omega \frac{N}{N-2} \|u\|_2^2 + \frac{N+\alpha}{q(N-2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^q) |u|^q dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * |u|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) |u|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}} dx$$

and, taking into account $\mathcal{K}'_\omega(u)[u] = 0$, i.e.

$$\|\nabla u\|_2^2 = -\omega \|u\|_2^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\alpha * |u|^q) |u|^q dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (I_\beta * |u|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}}) |u|^{\frac{N+\beta}{N-2}} dx,$$

we infer that $u = 0$. \square

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable suggestions and remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, P.H. Rabinowitz, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **14** (1973), 349–381. [4](#)
- [2] M. Badiale, L. Pisani, S. Rolando, *Sum of weighted Lebesgue spaces and nonlinear elliptic equations*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **18** (2011), 369–405. [8](#), [10](#), [11](#)
- [3] T. Bartsch, M. Willem, *Infinitely many nonradial solutions of a Euclidean scalar field equation*, J. Funct. Anal. **117** (1993), 447–460. [4](#)

- [4] J. Bellazzini, R.L. Frank, N. Visciglia, *Maximizers for Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequalities and related non-local problems*, Math. Ann. **360** (2014), 653–673. [5](#)
- [5] R. Benguria, H. Brezis, E.H. Lieb, *The Thomas-Fermi-von Weizsäcker theory of atoms and molecules*, Commun. Math. Phys. **79** (1981), 167–180. [2](#)
- [6] H. Berestycki, P.-L. Lions, *Nonlinear scalar field equations. I. Existence of a ground state*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **82** (1983), 313–345. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [16](#)
- [7] H. Brézis, *Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2011. [5](#), [10](#)
- [8] W. Chen, M. Shen, Q. Kong, J. Shi, Q. Wang, W. Krolikowski, *Interactions of nonlocal dark solitons under competing cubic-quintic nonlinearities*, Optics Letters **39** (2014), 1764–1767. [5](#)
- [9] A. Gammal, T. Frederico, L. Tomio, Ph. Chomaz, *Atomic Bose–Einstein condensation with three-body interactions and collective excitations*, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **33** (2000), 4053–4067. [5](#)
- [10] J. Hirata, N. Ikoma, K. Tanaka, *Nonlinear scalar field equations in \mathbb{R}^N : mountain pass and symmetric mountain pass approaches*, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. **35** (2010), 253–276. [4](#), [13](#), [14](#)
- [11] L. Jeanjean, *Existence of solutions with prescribed norm for semilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **28** (1997), 1633–1659. [4](#), [13](#)
- [12] L. Jeanjean, S.-S. Lu, *Nonlinear scalar field equations with general nonlinearity*, Nonlinear Anal. **190** (2020), 111604, 28 pp. [3](#), [4](#)
- [13] R. Killip, T. Oh, O. Pocovnicu, M. Vişan, *Solitons and Scattering for the Cubic–Quintic Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation on \mathbb{R}^3* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **225** (2017), 469–548. [5](#)
- [14] E.H. Lieb, *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard’s nonlinear equation*, Stud. Appl. Math. **57** (1977), 93–105. [1](#)
- [15] E.H. Lieb, M. Loss, *Analysis*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 14, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. [8](#), [11](#)
- [16] P.-L. Lions, *The Choquard equation and related questions*, Nonlinear Anal. **4** (1980), 1063–1072. [1](#)
- [17] P.-L. Lions, *Solutions of Hartree-Fock equations for Coulomb systems*, Commun. Math. Phys. **109** (1987), 33–97. [2](#)
- [18] J. Mederski, *Nonradial solutions of nonlinear scalar field equations*, Nonlinearity **33** (2020), 6349–6380. [3](#), [4](#)
- [19] J. Mederski, *General class of optimal Sobolev inequalities and nonlinear scalar field equations*, J. Differential Equations **281** (2021), 411–441. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [7](#)
- [20] D. Mihalache, D. Mazilu, L.-C. Crasovan, B. A. Malomed, F. Lederer, *Three-dimensional spinning solitons in the cubic-quintic nonlinear medium*, Phys. Rev. E **61** (2000), 7142–7145. [5](#)
- [21] I.M. Moroz, R. Penrose, P. Tod, *Spherically-symmetric solutions of the Schrödinger-Newton equations*, Classical Quantum Gravity **15** (1998), 2733–2742. [1](#)
- [22] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *Groundstates of nonlinear Choquard equations: existence, qualitative properties and decay asymptotics*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 153–184. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#)
- [23] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *Existence of groundstates for a class of nonlinear Choquard equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), 6557–6579. [2](#), [4](#), [5](#), [16](#)
- [24] V. Moroz, J. Van Schaftingen, *A guide to the Choquard equation*, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **19** (2017), 773–813. [2](#)
- [25] S. Pekar, *Untersuchung über die Elektronentheorie der Kristalle*, Akademie Verlag, Berlin, 1954. [1](#)
- [26] M. Struwe, *Multiple Solutions of Differential Equations Without the Palais-Smale Condition*, Math. Ann. **261** (1982), 399–412. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [27] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods*, Springer, Berlin, 2008. [2](#), [3](#), [4](#)
- [28] M. Willem, *Minimax Theorems*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Boston, 1996. [4](#), [14](#)

(P. d’Avenia and A. Pomponio)

DIPARTIMENTO DI MECCANICA, MATEMATICA E MANAGEMENT
POLITECNICO DI BARI

VIA ORABONA 4, 70125 BARI, ITALY

Email address: pietro.davenia@poliba.it

Email address: alessio.pomponio@poliba.it

(J. Mederski)

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,

POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

UL. ŚNIADECKICH 8, 00-656 WARSAW, POLAND

AND

DEPARTEMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE FOR ANALYSIS,

KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KIT),

D-76128 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY

Email address: jmederski@impan.pl