arXiv:2010.13435v2 [hep-th] 13 Nov 2020

Loop-Level Double-Copy for Massive Quantum Particles

John Joseph M. Carrasco^{1,2} and Ingrid A. Vazquez-Holm²

¹Department of Physics & Astronomy, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60208, USA

²Institut de Physique Theorique, Universite Paris Saclay, CEA, CNRS, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

(Dated: November 16, 2020)

We find that scattering amplitudes in massive scalar QCD can manifest the duality between color and kinematics at loop-level. Specifically we construct the one-loop integrands for four-point scattering between two distinct massive scalars, and the five-point process encoding the first correction to massive scalar scattering with gluonic radiation. We find that factorization and the color-kinematics duality are sufficient principles to entirely bootstrap these calculations, allowing us to construct all contributions ultimately from the three-point tree-level amplitudes which are themselves entirely constrained by symmetry. Double-copy construction immediately provides the associated predictions for massive scalars scattering in the so called $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

Traditional methods of calculating quantum gravitational scattering amplitudes using Feynman rules quickly run into difficulties. There are two aspects to Feynman rule gravitational calculation that conspire to cause trouble. First, off-shell Feynman rules for gravitation are large and unwieldy, and have contact terms for every multiplicity of interaction. Second, the scattering amplitudes involve a factorially growing number of local graphs – either as multiplicity or loop level increases. The former trouble can be alleviated by using unitarity methods – the idea that one should only ever write down on-shell physical expressions when constructing predictions. The latter can be mitigated by exploiting the double-copy structure to consider smaller building-blocks of physical predictions relevant to gravity, which are easier to calculate in gauge theories. We will take advantage of both of these approaches to calculate *D*-dimensional scattering amplitudes in the N = 0 supergravity theory through the integrand of one-loop five-point scattering between two distinct massive scalars with emitted gravitational radiation. In the classical limit this will describe the gravitationally radiative correction to the scattering of scalar black holes. We will do this by bootstrapping D-dimensional predictions in massive scalar Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD).

Unitarity cuts [1] allow for the integrands of incredibly complicated loop-level predictions to be verified systematically and invariantly with compact on-shell tree-level data. Better yet, they allow for a principled fusing [2, 3] of tree-level data into multi-loop integrands. This is true independent of presence or absence of supersymmetry, independent of number and representation of colors, or flavors, and independent of number or type of massive particles. Ref. [4] inverted the verification of generalized unitarity cuts [5–7] towards a constructive approach that was ansatz free (cf. [8]), an approach called the *method* of maximal cuts. This does not mitigate against the factorial explosion in graphs, but it means every stage of construction need only deal with a subset of graphs, and only their compact on-shell expressions. Double-copy structure is more subtle, but may ultimately prove just as useful for higher order corrections to phenomenological gravitational scattering. We have known since the 1980s, from string theory limits, that color-stripped gauge theory semi-classical (treelevel) amplitudes encode gravitational amplitudes [9, 10]. This realization is often informally referred to as the idea that "Gravity is the square of Yang-Mills." One of the current authors, together with Bern and Johansson, discovered a local graphical story (BCJ) relating these predictions [11], called double-copy construction, one that generalizes straightforwardly to quantum (multi-loop) corrections [12] at the integrand level.

The key idea behind double-copy construction is that for gauge theory predictions (by definition containing both color and kinematic components), one can find representations where term by term kinematic weights obey the same algebraic relations as generic color weights. Such kinematic weights are said to be color-dual. This makes dynamics and charges interchangeable and indeed realizes graviton (spin-2) scattering, in asymptotically flat space, as gluonic (spin-1) predictions whose charges are the kinematics of gluons (spin-1). This offers a profound calculational advantage even for gauge theories. Because of the rigid algebraic rules locking the kinematic weights of each graph, only a small fraction of the graphs need to be dressed functionally, and all other local graphs inherit that dressing. Color-dual loop-level construction has largely occurred only in massless theories (or those where mass can be clearly associated with the dimensional reduction of a massless higher-dimensional theory). Here we make the novel demonstration that loop-level massive integrands in scalar-QCD admit color-dual descriptions. This is a non-trivial step towards color-dual loop-level massive quark amplitudes.

In four dimensions there are two physical states for every gluon, so one should expect four physical states in the double-copy (the outer product of gluonic states). As (in four dimensions) gravitons also have two physical states, this means the natural double-copy in four-dimensions accounts for additional states beyond gravitons. In D dimensions the double copy of gluonic states means tracking $(D-2)^2$ states (see discussions in [13] and references therein). Indeed, besides a graviton ((D(D-3)/2))states), naive double-copy amplitudes generically will have a dilaton (1 state) as well as anti-symmetric tensors ((D-2)(D-3)/2 states) contributing to looppredictions for massless external states. In gravitational double-copy amplitudes for massive external states, even at tree level, one can generically expect the contributions of dilatons [14]. This state counting and attribution naturally fits in with the states that contribute to supergravity theories, which is why the naive double-copy of pure Yang-Mills is often called $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity. This theory involves Einstein gravity coupled to a scalar field (known as the dilaton) as well as a two-form (often called the Kalb-Ramond field)—understandable as an axion in four dimensions. Indeed the amplitudes presented in this paper double-copy to massive scalars coupled to the $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity theory. Although it does not concern us for the purposes of this paper, it is worth pointing out that for pure gravities with less than half-maximal supersymmetry, including pure Einstein-Hilbert gravity, there are various strategies for projecting out such extragravitational double-copy states (see eg. [15–17] and references therein).

Besides inviting a calculational virtuosity in gauge theories, there have been many motivations for studying quantum gravitational scattering amplitudes. One recent driver of the field has been to understand the ultraviolet behavior of supergravity theories. This question is indeed responsible for the discovery of the duality between color and kinematics, as well as the associated double-copy construction, and has benefitted from it in return — see e.g. [12, 18–28]. Even pure gravity manifests many unexpected cancellations beyond naive powercounting [29], suggesting that only a little help in the ultraviolet may be sufficient for perturbative finiteness. The advent of precision gravitational wave observation has provided a new urgency to discovering whether or not the simplicity in quantum gravitational gauge-invariant observable calculation can be applied to classical gravitational observables (see e.g. refs. [17, 30–66]). Recent work has shown that multi-loop scattering amplitudes encode higher-order corrections to classical observables. Indeed the highest order correction in the gravitational coupling, G_N , (often called post-Minkowskian [PM]) to conservative Black Hole binding energy (3 PM) was only made within the past couple of years and centered amplitudes insights (see [17, 58] and references therein). This calculation required the classical remnant of the two-loop fourpoint scattering amplitude between two massive scalars.

Optimizing for the classical result, refs. [17, 58] exploit double-copy construction at tree-level, building the classically relevant gravitational integrand using unitarity methods from double-copied gravitational trees. The approach we present here is complementary. All unitarity construction occurs for the gauge theory only. The subsequent gravitational loop-level integrand arises from double-copy directly. In conjunction with an appropri-



FIG. 1: Color-weights of cubic-vertices. The solid (red) lines represent massive scalars charged potentially under the fundamental representation of the group.

ate classicalization procedure, this approach offers interesting possibilities. By lining up simultaneous classical Yang-Mills and Gravitational gauge-invariant observables where the duality between color and kinematics is manifest, one may hope to resolve ambiguities around applying double-copy directly in classical construction. We leave associated extraction of classical predictions from the quantum integrands presented here to future consideration.

We will review color-kinematic construction in the adjoint and the fundamental in Section II. We will begin our bootstrap at tree-level in Section III where we will find symmetry considerations alone completely fix the threepoint amplitude, and higher multiplicity are entirely constrained by factorization and the color-kinematics duality. Similarly we will only need to exploit these principles to construct our loop level results at four-point one-loop in Section IV B and five-point one-loop in Section IV C. We conclude and present next steps in Section V.

II. REVIEW: COLOR-KINEMATICS DUALITY FROM THE ADJOINT TO THE FUNDAMENTAL

From lining up predictions between Yang-Mills and gravity we find it useful to encode predictions in mappings of cubic (tri-valent) graphs, a lesson that generalizes to the multi-loop level. Contact terms are encoded by allowing the cubic graphs to be dressed with inverse-propagators. This also holds when dealing with massive matter in the fundamental [16, 67–69].

The general form of a *m*-point *L*-loop gauge theory amplitude $\mathcal{A}_m^{(L)}$ in *D* space-time dimensions with gluons and massive particles can be written as

$$\mathcal{A}_{m}^{(L)} = i^{L} g^{m-2+2L} \sum_{i \in \Gamma} \int \prod_{l=1}^{L} \frac{d^{D} p_{l}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{S_{i}} \frac{n_{i} C_{i}}{\prod_{\alpha_{i}} (p_{\alpha_{i}}^{2} - m_{\alpha_{i}}^{2})},$$
(1)

where g is the gauge coupling constant, and $m_{\alpha_i}^2$ is the onshell mass of the particle with momentum p_{α_i} . The sum runs over the complete set Γ of m-point L-loop graphs with only cubic vertices, including all possible permutations of external legs. The integrations are over the independent loop momenta p_l , and each graph is dressed with a kinematic numerator n_i unique to the graph topology, a color factor C_i and the propagator structure of the graph. The kinematic numerators n_i are in general not gauge invariant objects. We take the convention of all external kinematics outgoing. At tree level *color ordered amplitudes* can be constructed from purely kinematic contributions (numerators over propagators) for graphs with the same external leg ordering¹. Indeed these gaugeinvariant expressions emerge naturally when expressing the color-weights in terms of a basis of color-factors in full tree-level amplitudes. The kinematic coefficient of each basis color-weight will be a color-ordered amplitude.

The color factors are constructed from two types of terms: structure constants f^{abc} from purely gluonic vertices, and generators T^a_{ij} from massive vertices, dressing the two types of cubic vertices (cf. Figure 1). The structure constants are completely antisymmetric, and therefore the color-weights of adjoint vertices obey a flipping symmetry where exchanging the order of two of the legs in a vertex introduces a relative minus sign. As noted in [68], a convenient antisymmetry for fundamental generators similar to the structure constants can also be realized,

$$f^{abc} = -f^{bac}$$
 and $T^a_{ij} = -T^a_{ji}$. (2)

From the structure of the group, the color factors obey Jacobi and analogous commutation relations associated with four-point subgraphs entirely in the adjoint, and in mixed adjoint-fundamental given by

$$\begin{aligned}
f^{dac}f^{cbe} - f^{dbc}f^{cae} &= f^{abc}f^{dce}, \\
T^a_{ij}T^b_{jk} - T^b_{ij}T^a_{jk} &= f^{abc}T^c_{ik}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

We will introduce an additional type of three-term color identity, corresponding to a four-point sub-graph with all same-mass scalars. One can generalize the SU(N) Fierz identity to optionally require

$$T^{a}_{ij}T^{a}_{kl} = T^{b}_{ik}T^{b}_{jl} + T^{c}_{li}T^{c}_{jk}.$$
 (4)

This allows us the freedom to construct either adjoint scalar amplitudes or fundamental scalar amplitudes at our discretion². We will refer to the set of these threeterm identities as Jacobi-like relations.

These relations are illustrated graphically in Figure 2 for gluons and massive scalar lines, and also represent the subsequent Jacobi-like and antisymmetry relations between color factors

$$c_i - c_j = c_k, \qquad \qquad c_i \to -c_i. \tag{5}$$

The relationship shown in Figure 2 applies to the fourpoint tree sub-graphs shown, but also to any set of three





FIG. 2: Pictorial representation of the relations between color weights and potentially kinematic weights of graphs. The solid (red) lines represent same-mass scalar particles.

graphs that only differ by one internal leg with the three connectivities, where all other legs are held fixed.

In general, due to the cubic-assignment ambiguity of the four-point gluonic contact-term, there is some degree of gauge freedom when choosing the kinematic and color numerator basis. The gauge invariance of an amplitude under different kinematic numerator choices is called *generalized gauge freedom*. A fortuitous choice is a representation that obeys *color kinematics duality*. This means choosing a representation where the kinematic numerators obey the same Jacobi-like relations and flipping symmetry as the color factors

$$\begin{array}{ll}
n_i - n_j = n_k & \Leftrightarrow & c_i - c_j = c_k, \\
n_i \to -n_i & \Leftrightarrow & c_i \to -c_i
\end{array} \tag{6}$$

in analogy to Equation (5). These relations are known to hold up to all multiplicities at tree-level and conjectured to hold off-shell to all multiloop levels. We will confirm this for one-loop at four and five-points.

Once we have found a representation of kinematic numerators that satisfy these relations, we can exchange the color factors in Equation (1) for another set of color-dual kinematic numerators

$$\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(L)} = i^{L+1} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^{m-2+2L} \times \sum_{i\in\Gamma} \int \prod_{l=1}^{L} \frac{d^{D}p_{l}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{1}{S_{i}} \frac{n_{i}\tilde{n}_{i}}{\prod_{\alpha_{i}}(p_{\alpha_{i}}^{2}-m_{\alpha_{i}}^{2})}, \quad (7)$$

where the tilde on \tilde{n} signifies that there can be two distinct gauge theories. Indeed, only one of the numerator sets needs to manifest the color kinematics duality. The expression obtained in Equation (7) is now a gravitational amplitude, and we call this relationship the *double copy construction* of gravitational amplitudes. There are many possible combinations of kinematic numerators from different gauge theories, with varying degrees

 $^{^{1}}$ And therefore the same color factor.

 $^{^2\,}$ The kinematic weights of individual graphs will be applicable to either.

of supersymmetry, which lead to amplitudes in different gravitational theories. However, in this paper we will focus on the double copy of scalar QCD theory to get antisymmetric-tensor-dilaton-gravity coupled to massive scalars, recovering at tree-level amplitudes presented e.g. in [69].

III. BOOTSTRAPPING TREE AMPLITUDES

The calculational setup for arriving at the tree level amplitudes in scalar QCD will be a bootstrapping method that takes advantage of factorization as well as the color-kinematics duality relations to fix kinematic ansatze for the graphs that contribute to a given amplitude. Let us sketch in general how such a calculation proceeds, then consider specific calculation of relevant treelevel amplitudes needed to constrain via factorization the loop-level amplitudes we will eventually construct.

In general there will be some set of graphs Γ contributing to a tree-level amplitude of a given multiplicity with particular external legs. The graphs and color-kinematic relations between the numerators can be generated simultaneously. As is standard in massless theories in the adjoint, one can introduce an operator that takes an edge of a graph, and returns a different graph which is identical to the first graph except for the rearranged connectivity about the originally specified edge, as illustrated in Figure 3. Briefly summarized, a \hat{t} -operation takes an internal edge in a trivalent graph as a Mandelstam schannel subgraph, and rearranges the connectivity to a Mandelstam *t*-channel subgraph, while holding all other legs fixed. Similarly, the \hat{u} -operator rearranges an schannel to a *u*-channel subgraph. So given an edge of one graph, one can understand the potential three-term identities it contributes to by simply considering for each edge: $n(q) = n(\hat{t} \circ q) + n(\hat{u} \circ q)$. By tracking any novel topologies introduced into a graph set by these operators, one can start with one tree-graph and generate all cubic graphs relevant to that amplitude simultaneously with all requisite color-dual kinematic constraints by operating on every edge of every graph until closure.

Once all the graphs of an amplitude are determined. the set of graphs can be arranged into a number of distinct topologies with different labelings. Each topology is assigned with a numerator function $n_i(k_1, ..., k_m)$, which encodes all the kinematics of that specific topology, and is fully determined by the order of the m external legs $(k_1, ..., k_m)$. The generated color-dual kinematic relations then become a system of equations between the numerator functions, which we can solve for in terms of the kinematic weights of basis graph topologies. All numerator functions can then be expressed as linear combinations of the basis graphs relabeled with different arguments. The kinematic weight of every basis graph topology is given an ansatz consisting of kinematic products of momenta and polarization vectors, depending on the amplitude in question. For example, consider the purely gluonic am-



FIG. 3: Graph manipulation operators that rearrange one internal edge's connectivity. This is useful for specifying Jacobi-like relations, e.g. $c(g) = c(\hat{t}g) + c(\hat{u}g)$.

plitude. For such an amplitude there is only one such basis graph at every multiplicity at tree-level, the socalled "half-ladder" or multi-peripheral graph. All other topologies follow from \hat{t} operations (the color-order preserving whitehead move) away from the half-ladder. The \hat{u} operator on a half-ladder simply takes one to a halfladder with permuted leg-label arguments. This means for each multiplicity at tree-level for purely gluonic amplitudes, one need only supply an ansatz to a single topology. We will see in various cases how this may change when we allow a combination of external massive scalars as well as glue.

To constrain the coefficients of the ansatze given to the basis graphs, we consider the relabeling symmetries - or isomorphisms – of each topology. The set of all symmetries of all graphs, along with the kinematic duality relations which are not trivial after solving for the basis graphs, constrain the ansatz coefficients. One should note critically – these are operations simply between functional numerators, not the factorial expanse of entire amplitudes nor even the relative exponential expanse of ordered amplitudes. Any remaining parameters for this theory can be entirely constrained by factorization by considering all cuts of any single-propagator from all of the graph-topologies. This color-kinematic bootstrap begins at the three-point tree-level. For scalar QCD amplitudes, these are entirely-fixed up to the coupling constant by mass dimension and anti-symmetry. It should be noted, this means that for Yang-Mills amplitudes: factorization with color-dual kinematics encodes gaugeinvariance.

A. Three-point trees

Let us consider first the purely-gluonic three-point amplitude. If it has a chance of being gauge invariant, every external polarization must appear in each term once and only once. As all external legs are on-shell, conservation of momentum means any inner product between any two external momenta must vanish. The Yang-Mills mass dimension allows us only three potential monomials in our basis:

$$n_3(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \alpha_1(k_3 \cdot \epsilon_1)(\varepsilon_2 \cdot \varepsilon_3) + \alpha_2(k_3 \cdot \varepsilon_2)(\varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_3) + \alpha_3(k_2 \cdot \varepsilon_3)(\varepsilon_1 \cdot \varepsilon_2).$$
(8)

Antisymmetry immediately constrains our ansatz via: $\alpha_2 \rightarrow -\alpha_3$, and $\alpha_1 \rightarrow \alpha_3$. We are left with only one free parameter α_3 which can be taken to be the gluonic coupling g appropriately scaled, fixed to canonical normalization by comparing with Feynman rules in a particular gauge (c.f. Feynman rules in e.g. refs. [68, 69]), or set to unity, absorbing coupling constants in the definition of full amplitudes, and a phase in the definition of ordered amplitudes. We choose the latter to minimize the complexity of kinematic numerator weights.

Next, to complete the three-point amplitudes for scalar QCD we need only consider the three-point amplitude with one external gluon and two external same-mass scalars. Again we are not allowed any inner-products between external momenta, and we only have one polarization. The mass-dimension means we can only write down a single inner-product, fortunately it itself is antisymmetric via conservation of momenta: $k_1 \cdot \varepsilon_3 = -k_2 \cdot \varepsilon_3$ as $k_3 \cdot \varepsilon_3 = 0$, yielding:

$$n_{3,2}(k_1^m, k_2^m, k_3) = \alpha_1(k_1 \cdot \varepsilon_3).$$
(9)

Here, once again, we can take α_1 to be the coupling constant suitably normalized, or as is our convention we will set $\alpha_1 = -1$, having pulled the coupling constant into the definition of the full amplitude. We have written down all three-point amplitudes purely by considering mass-dimension and anti-symmetry up to normalization convention. Everything else will follow through loop-level by simply considering factorization and the duality between color and kinematics.

B. Four-point trees

At four-point tree level in scalar QCD there are three distinct amplitudes. The two distinct amplitudes that involve external masses: one with one pair and one with two pairs of massive scalars respectively, are illustrated in Figure 4. The third amplitude, the purely gluonic amplitude, is not required for our one-loop construction so we do not report on it here, but it follows by the same bootstrap method we apply to these massive scalar amplitudes.



(b) Massive scalar scattering off gluon

FIG. 4: The four-point tree amplitudes in scalar QCD.

1. Two massive scalars

The ordered four-point tree-amplitude for two pairs of massive scalars can be easily computed with a simple product of the three-point color-ordered Feynman rules [68, 69]. The result, up to normalization and phase, is given by

$$A_{4,2 \text{ (Feyn.)}}^{\text{tree}}(k_1^{m_1}, k_2^{m_1}, k_3^{m_2}, k_4^{m_2}) = \frac{(k_1 - k_2) \cdot k_3}{2(k_1 + k_2)^2}.$$
 (10)

In this section we will introduce the bootstrapping framework amplitudes to reconstruct this amplitude – overkill in this case, but as we will see this technique is quite generalizable and indeed takes us to loop-level.

2. Bootstrapping the two pairs of massive scalars four-point amplitude

Writing down the graphs that contribute to the two massive scalar pairs amplitude, we see that one graph *topology*, or graph type, appears. The topology has a massless propagator, and for canonical external legs (a, b, c, d) is given the kinematic numerator function



We note that this is the only graph that contributes to the amplitude, but to maintain bose-symmetry of the full-amplitude this kinematic weight must obey the same (anti-)symmetry properties as it's color weight with both massive scalars dressed in the adjoint. We will now give an ansatz to the numerator function in Equation (11). The graph has no external gluons, so the ansatz will consists solely of Lorentz products of momenta,

$$n_{4,2}(a,b,c,d) = \alpha_1 t_{ab} + \alpha_2 t_{bb} + \alpha_3 t_{bc} + \alpha_4 t_{cc}, \quad (12)$$

where $t_{ij} \equiv (k_i \cdot k_j)$, and α_k are the free coefficients of the ansatz.

We determine some of the coefficients in Equation (12) by using the isomorphisms of the graph in Equation (11). The isomorphisms are expressed as relabelings of the numerator function

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{4,2} &= -n_{4,2}(b, a, c, d), \\
n_{4,2} &= -n_{4,2}(a, b, d, c), \\
n_{4,2} &= n_{4,2}(b, a, d, c), \\
n_{4,2} &= n_{4,2}(c, d, a, b), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{4,2} &= -n_{4,2}(c, d, b, a), \\
n_{4,2} &= -n_{4,2}(d, c, a, b), \\
n_{4,2} &= n_{4,2}(d, c, b, a),
\end{aligned}$$
(13)

where we have suppressed the canonical arguments (a, b, c, d) on the left-hand side of each equation. Writing out the symmetry relations in terms of the ansatz in Equation (12) fixes three of the coefficients

$$\alpha_4 = 0, \qquad \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \frac{\alpha_3}{2}, \tag{14}$$

and the numerator function is determined up to an overall factor

$$n_{4,2}(a,b,c,d) = \frac{\alpha_3}{2} (t_{ab} + t_{bb} + 2t_{bc}).$$
(15)

The numerator in Equation (15) now obeys the symmetries of the graph for this amplitude. The overall factor α_3 is completely determined by considering the cut of the only propagator in the ordered amplitude,

$$A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(a^{m_1}, b^{m_1}, c^{m_2}, d^{m_2}) = \frac{n_{4,2}(a, b, c, d)}{(a+b)^2}.$$
 (16)

Satisfying factorization means that the sum over on-shell physical (cut) gluonic states of the three-point amplitudes is equal to $n_{4,2}$ evaluated under the cut condition:

$$\sum_{s \in \text{states}} A_{3,2}(a^{m_1}, b^{m_1}, l^s) A_{3,2}(-l^{\overline{s}}, c^{m_2}, d^{m_2}) = n_{4,2}(a, b, c, d)|_{(a+b)^2=0}^{\text{cut}} \quad (17)$$

The sum runs over the polarization states of the *D*dimensional polarization vectors and is given (e.g. [13, 17, 70] and references therein) by the physical state projector

$$P^{\mu\nu}(p,q) = \sum_{\text{pols.}} \varepsilon^{\mu}(-p)\varepsilon^{\nu}(p) = \eta^{\mu\nu} - \frac{q^{\mu}p^{\nu} + p^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q \cdot p}, \quad (18)$$

where q is an arbitrary null reference momenta. Any such reference momenta must cancel out of any physical expression once the cut conditions and conservation of momenta have been imposed. It turns out for the cuts we consider in this paper, when each side is dressed with color-dual kinematic weights, the above general projector is equivalent to a much simpler gauge-dependent projector,

$$\sum_{\text{pols.}} \varepsilon^{\mu}(-p)\varepsilon^{\nu}(p) \to \eta^{\mu\nu} \,. \tag{19}$$

This projector is a gauge-dependent choice, so if ever used in construction its appropriateness must be ensured (c.f. [70]) or all gauge-compatibility must be verified via comparison with the above general projector (or equivalent constraints) as we have in this paper on a cut-by-cut basis. This completely fixes $\alpha_3 = -1$, matching via bootstrap exactly what is given by Feynman rules in Equation (12). The numerator function is therefore

$$n_{4,2}(a,b,c,d) = -\frac{1}{2} (t_{ab} + t_{bb} + 2t_{bc}).$$
(20)

The functional ordered amplitude for two massive scalar pairs at tree level follows from simply dividing the kinematic numerator by the single graph's massless propagator.

3. One massive scalar

The amplitude for one massive scalar pair scattering with a gluon represents a more lively application of bootstrapping approach, as it requires contributions from two distinct graph topologies whose kinematics are related by a color-dual identity. The relevant topologies are distinguished by whether the propagator is massive , M, or massless, \overline{M} ,

$$\begin{array}{c}
 d \\
 c \\
 c \\
 c \\
 c \\
 b \\
 b \\
 c \\
 b \\
 c \\
 b \\
 c \\
 c \\
 c \\
 b \\
 c \\
 c$$

It suffices to consider only one of the duality equations in order to identify a basis graph:

$$n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}}(a,b,c,d) = n_{4,1}^{M}(a,b,c,d) - n_{4,1}^{M}(b,a,c,d).$$
(23)

As $n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}}$ can be expressed entirely in terms of $n_{4,1}^{M}$, we identify M as the basis graph and proceed to develope a kinematic ansatz for its numerator weight, $n_{4,1}^{M}$.

As shown in Equation (21), two of the external legs are gluons, so the ansatz will contain products of their polarization vectors. Each polarization vector appears once, and only once, in every term. We therefore apply the following ansatz

$$n_{4,1}^{M}(a,b,c,d) = \left(\alpha_{1}t_{ab} + \alpha_{2}t_{bc} + \alpha_{3}t_{cc}\right)t_{\varepsilon_{a}\varepsilon_{b}} + \alpha_{4}t_{b\varepsilon_{a}}t_{a\varepsilon_{b}} + \alpha_{5}t_{c\varepsilon_{a}}t_{a\varepsilon_{b}} + \alpha_{6}t_{b\varepsilon_{a}}t_{c\varepsilon_{b}} + \alpha_{7}t_{c\varepsilon_{a}}t_{c\varepsilon_{b}}, \quad (24)$$

where α_i are the free coefficients of the ansatz, and $\varepsilon_p = \varepsilon(p)$ is the polarization vector of the particle with momenta p.

Similarly to the previous amplitude, we identify the isomorphisms of each of the contributing graphs,

$$n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}}(b, a, c, d), \qquad n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}} = n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}}(b, a, d, c),$$

$$n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{4,1}^{\overline{M}}(a, b, d, c), \qquad n_{4,1}^{M} = n_{4,1}^{M}(b, a, d, c),$$
(25)

where we have again suppressed the canonical arguments (a, b, c, d) on the left-hand side of each equation. Constraining the single ansatz in terms of these relations offers

$$\alpha_5 = \alpha_7 - \alpha_6. \tag{26}$$

To constrain the remaining coefficients of the numerator function one imposes factorization constraints as we did for the two-pairs of mass case considered earlier. This yields,

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 0, \quad \alpha_6 = \alpha_7 = 2, \quad \alpha_2 = -1.$$
 (27)

The basis numerator weight for the scattering of a massive scalar and gluon is then

$$n_{4,1}^M(a,b,c,d) = t_{c\varepsilon_b}(t_{b\varepsilon_a} + t_{c\varepsilon_a}) - \frac{1}{2}t_{bc}t_{\varepsilon_a\varepsilon_b}.$$
 (28)

The resulting ordered amplitude follows,

$$A_{4,1}^{\text{tree}}(a, b, c^{m}, d^{m}) = \frac{n_{4,1}^{M}(a, b, c, d)}{(b+c)^{2} - m^{2}} + \frac{n_{4,1}^{M}(a, b, c, d)}{(a+b)^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{(t_{b\varepsilon_{a}} + t_{c\varepsilon_{a}})t_{c\varepsilon_{b}} - \frac{1}{2}t_{bc}t_{\varepsilon_{a}\varepsilon_{b}}}{(b+c)^{2} - m^{2}} + \frac{t_{b\varepsilon_{a}}t_{c\varepsilon_{b}} - t_{c\varepsilon_{a}}t_{a\varepsilon_{b}} + \frac{1}{2}(t_{ac} - t_{bc})t_{\varepsilon_{a}\varepsilon_{b}}}{(a+b)^{2}}.$$
 (29)

The ordered amplitudes for a massive scalar and gluon scattering are known for explicit helicity configurations in D = 4 spacetime dimensions. It is a useful exercise to compare our *D*-dimensional amplitude with appropriately chosen polarizations with the two independent four-dimensional amplitudes of ref. [71].

C. Five-point trees

At five-point tree level we again consider two distinct amplitudes with one and two massive scalar pairs, each now with an additional emitted gluon as shown in Figure 5. The amplitudes are bootstrapped using precisely the same procedure. The *D*-dimensional form of these tree level amplitudes we arrive at will allow a simple calculation of the unitarity cuts required for loop level.



(a) Massive scalars scattering with emitted gluon



(b) Massive scalar and gluon scattering with emitted gluon

FIG. 5: The five-point tree amplitudes in scalar QCD.

1. Two massive scalars

We consider first the five-point amplitude with two massive scalar pairs. The graph topologies for this amplitude are shown in Figure 6. We now distinguish between the topology with two massless propagators (\overline{M}) , and the graph topology with one of the propagators massive (M).

To identify a basis graph for this amplitude we write down one of the duality equations,

$$n_{5,2}^{M}(a,b,c,d,e) = n_{5,2}^{M}(a,b,c,d,e) - n_{5,2}^{M}(a,c,b,d,e), \quad (30)$$

from which see the massless propagator graph's kinematic weight, $n_{5,2}^{\overline{M}}$, can be entirely expressed in terms of the M graph's kinematics weight $n_{5,2}^M$. As such, we need only give the basis numerator $n_{5,2}^M$ an ansatz, which we will constrain via color-dual relations and factorization. The rest of the amplitude follows directly. The ansatz is given in terms of Lorentz invariants of all momenta, including the polarization vector of the external gluon, labelled $\varepsilon(a)$ in our canonical expression, which should appear once and only once in every term. We therefore begin with an ansatz of the form,

$$n_{5,2}^{M}(a, b, c, d, e) = \\t_{b\varepsilon_{a}} (\alpha_{1}t_{ab} + \alpha_{4}t_{ac} + \alpha_{7}t_{ad} + \alpha_{10}t_{bc} + \alpha_{13}t_{cc} + \alpha_{16}t_{cd} + \alpha_{19}t_{dd}) \\+ t_{c\varepsilon_{a}} (\alpha_{2}t_{ab} + \alpha_{5}t_{ac} + \alpha_{8}t_{ad} + \alpha_{11}t_{bc} + \alpha_{14}t_{cc} + \alpha_{17}t_{cd} + \alpha_{20}t_{dd}) \\+ t_{d\varepsilon_{a}} (\alpha_{3}t_{ab} + \alpha_{6}t_{ac} + \alpha_{9}t_{ad} + \alpha_{12}t_{bc} + \alpha_{15}t_{cc} + \alpha_{18}t_{cd} + \alpha_{21}t_{dd}) . \quad (31)$$



FIG. 6: Graph topologies contributing to the amplitude for two massive scalars scattering with an emitted gluon.

The isomorphisms of the graphs in Figure 6 are

$$n_{5,2}^{M} = -n_{5,2}^{M}(a, b, c, e, d), n_{5,2}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{5,2}^{\overline{M}}(a, d, e, b, c),$$

$$n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, b, c, e, d), n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, d, e, c, b),$$

$$n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, c, b, d, e), n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, e, d, b, c),$$

$$n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, c, b, e, d), n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, e, d, c, b),$$

$$n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, c, b, e, d), n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}} = -n_{\overline{5},2}^{\overline{M}}(a, e, d, c, b),$$
(32)

where the canonical labeling (a, b, c, d, e) has been suppressed on the left-hand sides of the equations.

After imposing the symmetry constraints, eight coefficients remain to be determined. The five-point ordered amplitude $A_{5,2}^{\text{tree}}(a, b, c, d, e)$ is given,

$$A_{5,2}^{\text{tree}}(a, b^{m_1}, c^{m_1}, d^{m_2}, e^{m_2}) = \frac{n_{5,2}^M(a, b, c, d, e)}{(d+e)^2 \left((a+b)^2 - m_1^2\right)} + \frac{n_{5,2}^M(a, e, d, b, c)}{(b+c)^2 \left((a+e)^2 - m_2^2\right)} + \frac{n_{5,2}^{\overline{M}}(a, b, c, d, e)}{(b+c)^2 (d+e)^2}, \quad (33)$$

where m_1^2 is the square mass of the scalar particle b, c, and m_2^2 is the square mass of the scalar particle d, e. Factorization involving one-particle cuts of both the massive propagator and the massles propagator fixes the remaining coefficients.

The basis numerator function then takes the simple form matching results in the literature [72],

$$n_{5,2}^{M}(a, b, c, d, e) = \frac{1}{4} \left[t_{ab} t_{c\varepsilon_{a}} + 2t_{ab} t_{d\varepsilon_{a}} + (t_{ab} + 2t_{ac} + 2t_{bc} + 2t_{cc} + 4t_{cd}) t_{b\varepsilon_{a}} \right], \quad (34)$$

and the massless numerator function is given

$$n_{5,2}^{\overline{M}}(a, b, c, d, e) = \frac{1}{4} \left[2 \left(t_{bc} + 2t_{cd} + t_{cc} \right) \left(t_{b\varepsilon_a} + t_{c\varepsilon_a} \right) + t_{ab} \left(t_{b\varepsilon_a} + 3t_{c\varepsilon_a} + 2t_{d\varepsilon_a} \right) + t_{ac} \left(t_{b\varepsilon_a} + 3t_{c\varepsilon_a} - 2t_{d\varepsilon_a} \right) + 4t_{ad} t_{c\varepsilon_a} \right].$$
(35)



FIG. 7: Graph topologies contributing to the amplitude for a massive scalar and gluon scattering, with an emitted gluon.

2. One massive scalar

The five-point amplitude with one massive scalar pair has three possible graph topologies, all shown in Figure 7. The topologies are distinguished by whether they have zero (\overline{MM}) , one (\overline{MM}) , or two (MM) massive propagators. To determine the basis graph under the kinematic algebra relations we need consider a subset of two kinematic color-dual relations, suppressing arguments (a, b, c, d, e) on the LHS of the equations,

$$n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}} = n_{5,1}^{M\overline{M}}(a, b, c, d, e) - n_{5,1}^{M\overline{M}}(a, b, c, e, d), \quad (36)$$
$$n_{5,1}^{M\overline{M}} = n_{5,1}^{MM}(a, b, c, d, e) - n_{5,1}^{MM}(a, c, b, d, e).$$

From the duality relations we see that MM can be selected as the basis graph, and therefore proceed to give its numerator function a kinematic ansatz.

The amplitude has three external gluons, each of which should have a polarization vector in every term in the numerator ansatz. We construct an 81 term ansatz for n_5^{MM} from monomials of the forms $t_{i\varepsilon_j}t_{k\varepsilon_l}t_{m\varepsilon_n}$ and $t_{\varepsilon_i\varepsilon_j}t_{k\varepsilon_l}t_{mn}$.

The graphs in Figure 7 have the following isomorphisms

$$n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}} = -n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}}(a, b, c, e, d), \quad n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}} = -n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}}(a, c, b, d, e),$$

$$n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}} = n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}}(a, c, b, e, d), \quad n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}} = -n_{5,1}^{\overline{MM}}(a, c, b, d, e),$$

$$n_{5,1}^{MM} = -n_{5,1}^{MM}(c, b, a, e, d), \quad (37)$$

where the canonical labeling (a, b, c, d, e) has been omitted on the left-hand side of the equations. Imposing the



FIG. 8: The four-point loop amplitude for massive scalar scattering in scalar QCD.

symmetries fixes 38 of the 81 coefficients of the ansatz, the remaining physical 40 parameters are fixed by factorization involving only one-particle cuts. It is a musing to note that three remaining unconstrained coefficients never show up in a physical amplitude, representing residual generalized gauge freedom available to this five-point color-dual representation. The resulting Ddimensional ordered amplitude is given by

$$A_{5,1}^{\text{tree}}(a, b, c, d^m, e^m) = \frac{n_{5,1}^{MM}(a, b, c, d, e)}{((c+d)^2 - m^2)\left((a+e)^2 - m^2\right)} + \frac{n_{5,1}^{M\overline{M}}(a, b, c, d, e)}{((a+e)^2 - m^2)\left((b+c)^2\right)} + \frac{n_{5,1}^{\overline{M}M}(a, b, c, d, e)}{(b+c)^2(d+e)^2} - \frac{n_{5,1}^{M\overline{M}}(c, b, a, e, d)}{((c+d)^2 - m^2)\left(a+b\right)^2} + \frac{n_{5,1}^{\overline{M}M}(c, b, a, d, e)}{(d+e)^2(a+b)^2}.$$
 (38)

This amplitude can be numerically compared with the 4D amplitudes given in $[71]^3$

IV. BOOTSTRAPPING ONE-LOOP INTEGRANDS

A. Loops: just like trees!

Because the bootstrap approach – applied at tree-level in the previous section – is functional in terms of graph topologies, we can apply it directly towards constructing loop integrands. At loop level the role of tree-level oneparticle factorization considerations is replaced by more general multi-leg unitarity cuts. At one-loop the numerator functions now take an additional argument compared to the same-multiplicity tree level case, namely the loop momentum ℓ . The kinematic Jacobi-like relations are used to find a minimal set of basis graphs, which are in turn dressed with ansatze consistent with expected power counting. The ansatze are finally constrained using the remaining kinematic Jacobi-like relations, symmetries of the graph topologies, and a spanning set of generalized unitarity cuts. Recall that the full gauge amplitudes will be given by Equation (1), and the full $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity amplitudes given by Equation (7). The subsequent sections will be concerned with identifying the appropriate colordual kinematic weights, n(g), of each graph topology relevant to one-loop four-point, and one-loop five-point amplitudes respectively.

B. Four-point One-Loop Construction

In this section we determine a color-dual representation of the four-point one-loop amplitude for two pairs of massive scalars. The possible graph topologies of this amplitude are shown in Figure 9, including the snail graph⁴ and excluding tadpoles. By inspecting the kinematic duality relations we find that we have some freedom in choosing the basis graphs for this amplitude. We will take as basis graphs: $n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell)$ and $n_4^3(a, b, c, d, \ell)$. The remaining numerator functions can be written as linear combinations of these,

$$\begin{split} n_4^2 &\equiv n_4^1(a, b, c, d, c+d-\ell) - n_4^1(a, b, d, c, c+d-\ell), \\ n_4^4 &\equiv n_4^1(a, b, c, d, c+d-\ell) + n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell) \\ &- n_4^1(a, b, d, c, c+d-\ell) - n_4^1(a, b, d, c, \ell), \\ n_4^5 &\equiv n_4^3(a, c, d, b, a-\ell) + n_4^3(a, c, d, b, -b+\ell), \\ n_4^6 &\equiv \left(n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell) - d \leftrightarrow c\right) - n_4^3(a, c, d, b, \ell), \end{split}$$
(39)

where all kinematic numerator weights on the LHS of the equalities functionally take arguments: (a, b, c, d, ℓ) .

The basis graphs $n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell)$ and $n_4^3(a, b, c, d, \ell)$ are given ansatze, based on power counting and the known form of tree level amplitudes in the previous section. All external particles are scalars, and we expect to see a monomial of degree two in Lorentz invariants per term. The number of independent monomials of the form $t_{ab}t_{cd}$ including inner products with loop momenta ℓ give 36 parameter ansatz for n_4^1 . The basis numerator n_4^3 is the same size, so the total number of free coefficients is therefore 72. As with the tree-level amplitudes we impose symmetries and color-kinematic constraints (see, e.g. Appendix 1), which fix 43 of the coefficients. This leaves 29 coefficients to constrain via generalized unitarity cuts.

The unitarity cuts are performed using the tree amplitudes generated in the previous section. Three unitarity cuts will be sufficient to determine the physical part of the numerators⁵, and they are illustrated in Figure 10. The first unitarity cut puts two massless internal legs on-shell, and the second and third cuts put two massive internal legs on-shell with *distinct* and *equal* masses, respectively.

 $^{^4}$ We refer to graphs with a bubble on an external leg as snail graphs.

⁵ The numerators contain some generalized gauge freedom that cannot contribute to any integrated expression.



FIG. 9: Graph topologies that contribute to $\mathcal{A}_4^{1-\text{loop}}$.

The massless ordered cut in Figure 10a gets contributions from n_4^1 , n_4^2 and n_4^4 , and can be written as graphs dressed with numerator functions and corresponding propagator structures

$$\begin{split} A_4^{1\text{-loop}}(a^{m_1}, b^{m_1}, c^{m_2}, d^{m_2}) \Big|_{l_2^2 \to 0}^{\text{cut}} = \\ \frac{n_4^2(a, b, c, d, -a - b - l_1)}{((b + l_1)^2 - m_1^2)(a + b)^2} - \frac{n_4^2(d, c, a, b, a + b + l_1)}{((c - l_1)^2 - m_2^2)(a + b)^2} \\ + \frac{n_4^4(a, b, c, d, l_1)}{((a + b)^2)^2} + \frac{n_4^1(a, b, c, d, l_1)}{((b + l_1)^2 - m_1^2)((c - l_1)^2 - m_2^2)}, \end{split}$$

$$(40)$$

where m_1^2 is the squared mass of the scalar a, b and m_2^2 is the squared mass of the other scalar c, d. To determine coefficients, but also to verify the validity of our numerators so far, this cut should be equal to the product of two four-point trees with one massive scalar. We determined these tree amplitudes in the previous section, allowing us to easily work out,

$$A_{4}^{1-\text{loop}}(a^{m_{1}}, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{2}}, d^{m_{2}})\Big|_{l_{2}^{2} \to 0}^{\text{cut}} = \sum_{s_{1}, s_{2}} A_{4,1}^{\text{tree}}(a^{m_{1}}, b^{m_{1}}, l_{1}^{s_{1}}, l_{2}^{s_{2}}) A_{4,1}^{\text{tree}}(-l_{2}^{\bar{s}_{2}}, -l_{1}^{\bar{s}_{1}}, c^{m_{2}}, d^{m_{2}}),$$

$$(41)$$

where the sum is over the possible states of the gluonic cut legs l_1, l_2 . The sum runs over the polarization states of the *D*-dimensional polarization vectors just as we did when considering factorization at tree-level, so we can employ the same physical projectors (e.g. Eqs. (18) and (19)).

To further determine the coefficients of the amplitude we perform the massive cut in Figure 10b. This cut only gets a contribution from the box graph, which is now dressed in the following way

$$A_4^{1\text{-loop}}(a^{m_1}, b^{m_1}, c^{m_2}, d^{m_2})\Big|_{\substack{l_1^2 \to m_1^2 \\ l_2^2 \to m_2^2}}^{\text{cut}} = \frac{n_4^1(a, b, c, d, l_1 - b)}{(b - l_1)^2(a + l_1)^2}.$$
(42)

On the tree side of this cut, we get a product of the fourpoint trees with two massive scalars from the previous section,

$$\begin{split} A_{4}^{1\text{-loop}}(a^{m_{1}}, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{2}}, d^{m_{2}}) \Big|_{l_{1}^{2} \to m_{1}^{2}}^{\text{cut}} = \\ A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(a^{m_{1}}, l_{1}^{m_{1}}, l_{2}^{m_{2}}, d^{m_{2}}) A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(-l_{2}^{m_{2}}, -l_{1}^{m_{1}}, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{2}}). \end{split}$$

$$\end{split}$$

$$(43)$$

The cut legs are now massive scalars with only one allowed state 6 , so the state sum is trivial and the cut fixes five coefficients.

After the massive and massless cut we are left with 13 undetermined coefficients. Some of these are fixed on the third and final cut shown in Figure 10c. This unitarity cut puts two massive internal lines on shell, now of the same type. There are two possibilities, $l_1^2 = l_2^2 = m_1^2$ and $l_1^2 = l_2^2 = m_2^2$, and we need only consider the latter, e.g. $l_1^2, l_2^2 \rightarrow m_2^2$. Once this set of cuts is satisfied it fixes an additional eight coefficients. Because of the symmetry of the amplitude and the individual graphs, the corresponding unitarity cuts with $l_1^2 = l_2^2 = m_1^2$ is also satisfied but puts no further constraints on the ansatz. Finally the amplitude numerators are determined up to five free coefficients, which represent generalized gauge freedom that cannot contribute to any integrated expression.

It is worth discussing this last cut in a little more detail. What do we mean by an ordered four-point tree when all external scalar masses are the same? This is the only distinction for one-loop four-point that occurs

 $^{^{6}}$ We are free to consider cuts where we fix the mass of the particle crossing the cut.



FIG. 10: Generalized unitarity cuts on the four-point one-loop amplitude.

depending on when we allow the color version of Equation (4) to be satisfied. If we are to allow this, our ordered amplitudes can be thought of as *adjoint-type*, so e.g. we can have two channels contribute to an ordered color-stripped amplitude:

$$A_{4,\mathrm{adj}}^{\mathrm{tree}}(1^{m_1}, 2^{m_1}, 3^{m_1}, 4^{m_1}) = \frac{n_s}{s} + \frac{n_t}{t}.$$
 (44)

where

$$n_s = n_{4,2}(1^{m_1}, 2^{m_1}, 3^{m_1}, 4^{m_1}), \qquad (45)$$

$$n_t = n_{4,2}(4^{m_1}, 1^{m_1}, 2^{m_1}, 3^{m_1}),$$

and s, t are Mandelstam invariants:

$$s = (k_1 + k_2)^2, \qquad (46)$$

$$t = (k_2 + k_3)^2.$$

If on the other hand, we demand that external scalars labeled 1 and 3 are particles distinct from anti-particle legs labeled 2 and 4, all still with the same mass, we have two distinct ordered color-stripped amplitudes with one channel each:

$$A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(1^{m_1}, \bar{2}^{m_1}, 3^{m_1}, \bar{4}^{m_1}) = \frac{n_s}{s}$$

$$A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(1^{m_1}, \bar{4}^{m_1}, 3^{m_1}, \bar{2}^{m_1}) = \frac{n_t}{t}.$$
(47)

We can refer to these types of ordered trees as *fundamental-type*. As is perhaps not so surprising, the same kinematic weights written functionally can be used for both theories, the amplitudes differ simply in which graphs one allows to contribute. Demanding the kinematic analog of Equation (4) for functional numerators:

$$n_{4,2}(1^{m_1}, 2^{m_1}, 3^{m_1}, 4^{m_1}) = n_{4,2}(3^{m_1}, 1^{m_1}, 4^{m_1}, 2^{m_1}) + n_{4,2}(4^{m_1}, 1^{m_1}, 2^{m_1}, 3^{m_1}), \quad (48)$$

is simply an additional constraint that can be imposed on the kinematic dressings. If we could not satisfy these types of conditions, we would be unable to satisfy adjointtype cuts, but it turns out both for four-point one-loop and five-point one-loop there is no problem establishing such constraints. As a result our same kinematic weights satisfy both adjoint-type and fundamental-type cuts, and indeed one can use adjoint-type cuts to constrain all parameters in our-bootstrap. The pattern we see here of adjoint-type ordered amplitudes being sums over individually gauge-invariant fundamental-type ordered amplitudes persists to higher multiplicity.

C. Five-point One-Loop Const ruction

In this section we will consider the five-point one-loop amplitude with two pairs of massive scalars. Compared to the construction of the four-point loop amplitude in the previous section, at five-point the number of graph topologies is much larger and the external gluon contributes with a polarization vector that makes the form of the numerators more complicated.

The five-point one-loop amplitude has 33 possible graph topologies, shown in Figures 11-12, again including snails but not tadpoles. The two massive scalars are illustrated by a blue and red line representing the different masses, and we impose that the numerators be symmetric under the exchange of these lines. Note that some of the graphs with purely massive loops belong to two independent topologies that depend on the mass of the internal loop. For example, n_5^{24} and n_5^{25} are two distinct topologies. This plays a role in determining the Jacobilike relationship between the graphs. Solving for these Jacobi-like relations we find that a possible set of basis graphs is n_5^2 , n_5^2 , n_5^{14} , n_5^{15} and n_5^{33} . Each basis graph is given a non-zero kinematic ansatz.

The ansatze for the kinematic numerators must contain the polarization vector of the external gluon, ε_a , and have the correct power counting. We therefore propose the following form

$$n_5^{\lambda}(a,b,c,d,e,\ell) = \sum_{p=1}^{312} \alpha_p^{\lambda} \ (k_i \cdot k_j)(k_l \cdot k_m)(k_n \cdot \varepsilon_a), \ (49)$$

where α_p^{λ} are the free coefficients, and k_a are the momenta of external particles or loop momenta. Each

ansatz has 312 terms, so the total number of coefficients is 1872 for all six ansatze.

Imposing the symmetries of all graphs, along with the remaining relationships from the Jacobi-like relations, constrains 1190 of the coefficients. After imposing the symmetries, there are then 682 coefficients left to determine using generalized unitarity cuts.

The ordered unitarity cuts required to constrain the five-point amplitude are graphically depicted in Figure 13. One new feature at five-point one-loop is that ability to have two-particle cuts (bubble cuts) where one of the cut legs is massive and one is massless (c.f Figure 13b). Here are all the cuts that must be performed expressed in terms of the tree amplitudes that contribute:

$$A_{5}^{1-\text{loop}}(a, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}, d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}})\Big|_{\substack{l_{1}^{2} \to 0 \\ l_{2}^{2} \to 0}}^{\text{cut}} = \sum_{s_{1}, s_{2}} A_{4,1}^{\text{tree}}(d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}}, l_{1}^{s_{1}}, l_{2}^{s_{2}}) A_{5,1}^{\text{tree}}(-l_{2}^{\overline{s_{2}}}, -l_{1}^{\overline{s_{1}}}, b^{m_{1}}, a, c^{m_{1}}),$$
(50)

$$A_{5}^{1-\text{loop}}(a, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}, d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}})\Big|_{\substack{l_{1}^{2} \to m_{1}^{2} \\ l_{2}^{2} \to 0}}^{\text{cut}} = \sum_{s_{2}} A_{4,1}^{\text{tree}}(a, b^{m_{1}}, l_{1}^{m_{1}}, l_{2}^{s_{2}}) A_{5,2}^{\text{tree}}(-l_{2}^{\overline{s_{2}}}, -l_{1}^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}, d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}}),$$
(51)

$$A_{5}^{1-\text{loop}}(a, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}, d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}})\Big|_{\substack{l_{1}^{2} \to m_{2}^{2} \\ l_{2}^{2} \to m_{1}^{2}}}^{\text{cut}} = A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(c^{m_{1}}, d^{m_{2}}, l_{1}^{m_{2}}, l_{2}^{m_{1}})A_{5,2}^{\text{tree}}(-l_{2}^{m_{1}}, -l_{1}^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}}, a, b^{m_{1}}),$$
(52)

$$A_{5}^{1-\text{loop}}(a, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}, d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}})\Big|_{\substack{l_{1}^{2} \to m_{2}^{2} \\ l_{2}^{2} \to m_{2}^{2}}}^{\text{cut}} = A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}}, l_{1}^{m_{2}}, l_{2}^{m_{2}})A_{5,2}^{\text{tree}}(-l_{2}^{m_{2}}, -l_{1}^{m_{2}}, a, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}),$$
(53)

$$A_{5}^{1-\text{loop}}(a, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}, d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}})\Big|_{\substack{l_{1}^{2} \to m_{1}^{2} \\ l_{2}^{2} \to m_{1}^{2}}}^{\text{cut}} = A_{4,2}^{\text{tree}}(d^{m_{2}}, e^{m_{2}}, l_{1}^{m_{1}}, l_{2}^{m_{1}})A_{5,2}^{\text{tree}}(-l_{2}^{m_{1}}, -l_{1}^{m_{1}}, a, b^{m_{1}}, c^{m_{1}}).$$
(54)

After these cuts all but 123 of our original parameters are constrained. This is sufficient to ensure that all physical cuts are satisfied. The resulting integrand with all colordual consistent gauge freedom is included in an ancillary file with the arXiv version of this paper.

Note that the above cuts involve ordered partial amplitudes. It may seem surprising that we require no additional partial-amplitude orderings under the same kinematic constraints. After all at five-point tree-level, for a single pair of massive scalars, there are two independent ordered partial-amplitudes under amplitude relations. We witness here one of the benefits of using functional kinematic graph dressings tightly constrained by algebraic relations. In general one need only consider a smaller set of cuts (or factorization channels as at treelevel) to entirely constrain an integrand. Indeed for the maximally supersymmetric gauge theory at three-loop four-points only one maximal cut of one graph is required to constrain the entire color-dual integrand [73]. If one is concerned that additional ordered cuts may be required one can always examine the full color-dressed cut. Equivalently, for each set of kinematic cut conditions, one can verify that no additional orderings are required by simply considering the associated double-copy gravitational cut and noting the vanishing of any coefficients of remaining ansatz parameters. In any case we verify our final integrand on a set of physical spanning cuts as we discuss in the next section.

D. Verification

For both four-point one-loop and five-point one-loop, we consider the potential reduction to an integral basis by verifying the above integrands on all bubble, box, and triangle cuts with distinct color-orders under the following restrictions:

- Every bubble cut (two-particle cut) must involve a physical tree amplitude (multiplicity four or higher).
- Every triangle cut (three-particle cut) must involve the loop momenta flowing through each tree.
- Every box cut (four-particle cut) must involve the loop-momenta flowing through each tree.

This set of restrictions precludes snail diagrams (e.g. diagrams 18, 19, 20, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, and 33 of Figures 11 and 12) as well as tadpole diagrams from contributing to the cuts. As practical applications of the gravitational double-copy of these amplitudes will involve the $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit, we expect this to be completely sufficient [17]. We verify on this spanning set of cuts that no remaining parameters contribute to physical cuts, and can be taken as pure gauge choice relative to any set of related physical observables.

We should emphasize that there are important questions around how to appropriately consider snail and tadpole contributions to the UV. We refer the interested reader to ref. [3] for analysis handling similar issues in the context of unitarity based approaches.



FIG. 11: One-loop five-point graph topologies (excluding tadpoles) related by Jacobi and commutation relations (1-18).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we frame a constructive bootstrap solely in terms of factorization and color-dual representations for *D*-dimensional massive scalar QCD amplitudes, leading to integrands at one loop in massive scalar QCD. Specifically we found the first loop correction to scattering between two different massive scalar fields, as well as the first loop correction to such scattering with an emitted gluon. Along the way we recalculated a number of tree-level amplitudes in this theory, starting with the three-point amplitudes constrained entirely by massdimension and symmetry. By exploiting the duality between color and kinematics – known to hold at tree-



FIG. 12: One-loop five-point graph topologies (excluding tadpoles) related by Jacobi and commutation relations (19-33).

level, but still conjectural at loop level, we found tremendously simplified representations – requiring a small number of basis graph topologies whose functional kinematic weights encode the entire amplitude. We confirmed the validity of this approach at tree-level by comparing with results in the literature, and at loop level on a spanning set of physical cuts. Besides the computational simplicity in the gauge theory, and further evidence for the conjecture of loop-level color-dual representations, a critical advantage of our current approach is that once the gauge amplitudes have been constructed in this color-dual form, building the corresponding gravity integrand in $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity is trivial: one simply exchanges the colorweights with kinematics weights graph by graph.

The gauge theory representations we constructed in this paper have a number of intriguing properties. Notably these representations land naturally on a gauge that plays well with summing Yang-Mills states over a simplified projector (cf. Equation (19) relative to Equation (18)) which aids in unitarity-based constructions. Additionally color-dual representations propagate cut information to graphs with ambiguous or ill-defined cuts like massive snail or tadpole graphs. While these do not contribute to physical cuts relevant to classical limits, such graphs will be relevant to quantum UV behavior and mass renormalization. This implies a generalized gauge choice. It will be intriguing to apply the approach presented here to massive quark amplitudes in QCD with care to reproduce standard regularization schemes.

There is significant current interest in massive scattering amplitudes in pure Einstein-Hilbert gravity due to relevance to precision gravitational wave physics. A



FIG. 13: Generalized unitarity cuts on the five-point one-loop amplitude.

natural next step will be to take our $\mathcal{N} = 0$ supergravity double-copy results and project out any unwanted massless states. More non-trivial will be to generalize this type of multiloop scattering amplitude methods to massive particles with arbitrary spin. While ambitious, important clarifying progress is already being made both in field theory and scattering communities (see, e.g., [74– 87], and references therein). We expect color-dual methods to play an increasingly vital role in this exploration.

In summary, the combination of unitarity methods and the duality between color and kinematics is incredibly powerful. While originally discovered in the quest of understanding the UV behavior of maximally supersymmetric gravity theory in four-dimensions, the duality between color and kinematics is both dimension-agnostic as well as entirely independent of the presence or absence of supersymmetry. It is gratifying to discover that massive scattering amplitudes at loop-level can be compatible with these principles, and we look forward to continuing to extend our visibility into loop-level prediction now in increasingly more phenomenological theories.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Zvi Bern, Henrik Johansson, David Kosower, Donal O'Connell, Ben Page, Nic Pavao, Sebastian Pögel, Laurentiu Rodina, Radu Roiban, Aslan Seifi, Bogdan Stoica, and Suna Zekioğlu for many useful and interesting related discussions. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 764850 (SAGEX). JJMC was supported for much of this work by the European Research Council under ERC-STG-639729, Strategic Predictions for Quantum Field Theories. IVH is supported by the SAGEX ITN.

APPENDIX

1. Four-point one-loop: Kinematic Jacobi-like Relations

Here we tabulate the Jacobi relations satisfied by fourpoint one-loop, two pairs of massive external scalars. Five-point one-loop is included in a machine readable ancillary file.

$$\begin{split} n_4^2(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^6(a, b, c, d, -a - b - \ell) + n_4^3(a, c, d, b, -a - b - \ell), \\ n_4^2(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= -n_4^2(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell) + n_4^4(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell), \\ n_4^2(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= -n_4^6(b, a, c, d, \ell) + n_4^3(a, c, d, b, -\ell), \\ n_4^2(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^1(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell) - n_4^1(a, b, d, c, c + d - \ell), \\ n_4^6(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^2(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell) - n_4^3(a, c, d, b, \ell), \\ n_4^3(a, c, d, b, \ell) &= n_4^2(a, b, c, d, -\ell) + n_4^6(b, a, c, d, -\ell), \\ n_4^3(a, c, d, b, \ell) &= -n_4^3(a, c, d, b, a - b - \ell) + n_4^5(a, c, d, b, b + \ell), \\ n_4^3(a, c, d, b, \ell) &= n_4^2(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell) - n_4^2(d, c, a, b, -c - d + \ell), \\ n_4^4(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^2(c, d, a, b, -c - d + \ell) - n_4^2(a, b, c, d, \ell), \\ n_4^4(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^2(c, d, a, b, -\ell) + n_4^1(a, b, d, c, c + d - \ell), \\ n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^2(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell) + n_4^1(b, a, c, d, c + d - \ell), \\ n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^2(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell) + n_4^1(b, a, c, d, c + d - \ell), \\ n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^2(a, b, c, d, c + d - \ell) + n_4^1(b, a, c, d, c + d - \ell), \\ n_4^1(a, b, c, d, \ell) &= n_4^3(a, c, d, b, -b - c - d - \ell) + n_4^3(a, c, d, b, a + c + d + \ell) \end{split}$$

- Zvi Bern, Lance J. Dixon, David C. Dunbar, and David A. Kosower, "One loop *n*-point gauge theory amplitudes, unitarity and collinear limits," Nucl. Phys. B425, 217–260 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9403226 [hep-ph].
- [2] Zvi Bern, Lance J. Dixon, David C. Dunbar, and David A. Kosower, "Fusing gauge theory tree amplitudes into loop amplitudes," Nucl. Phys. B435, 59–101 (1995), arXiv:hep-ph/9409265 [hep-ph].
- [3] Z. Bern and A. G. Morgan, "Massive loop amplitudes from unitarity," Nucl. Phys. B467, 479–509 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9511336 [hep-ph].
- [4] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, Henrik Johansson, and D. A. Kosower, "Maximally supersymmetric planar Yang-Mills amplitudes at five loops," Phys. Rev. D76, 125020 (2007), arXiv:0705.1864 [hep-th].
- [5] Ruth Britto, Freddy Cachazo, and Bo Feng, "Generalized unitarity and one-loop amplitudes in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super-Yang-Mills," Nucl. Phys. **B725**, 275–305 (2005), arXiv:hep-th/0412103 [hep-th].
- [6] Giovanni Ossola, Costas G. Papadopoulos, and Roberto Pittau, "Reducing full one-loop amplitudes to scalar integrals at the integrand level," Nucl. Phys. B 763, 147–169 (2007), arXiv:hep-ph/0609007.
- [7] Darren Forde, "Direct extraction of one-loop integral coefficients," Phys. Rev. D 75, 125019 (2007), arXiv:0704.1835 [hep-ph].
- [8] Zvi Bern, John Joseph M. Carrasco, Wei-Ming Chen, Henrik Johansson, Radu Roiban, and Mao Zeng, "Fiveloop four-point integrand of N = 8 supergravity as a generalized double copy," Phys. Rev. D96, 126012 (2017), arXiv:1708.06807 [hep-th].
- [9] H. Kawai, D. C. Lewellen, and S. H. H. Tye, "A relation between tree amplitudes of closed and open strings," Nucl. Phys. B269, 1–23 (1986).
- [10] Frits A. Berends, W.T. Giele, and H. Kuijf, "On relations between multi - gluon and multigraviton scattering," Phys. Lett. B 211, 91–94 (1988).
- [11] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, and Henrik Johansson, "New Relations for Gauge-Theory Amplitudes," Phys.

Rev. **D78**, 085011 (2008), arXiv:0805.3993 [hep-ph].

- [12] Zvi Bern, John Joseph M. Carrasco, and Henrik Johansson, "Perturbative Quantum Gravity as a Double Copy of Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 061602 (2010), arXiv:1004.0476 [hep-th].
- [13] Zvi Bern, John Joseph Carrasco, Marco Chiodaroli, Henrik Johansson, and Radu Roiban, "The Duality Between Color and Kinematics and its Applications," (2019), arXiv:1909.01358 [hep-th].
- [14] Andrs Luna, Ricardo Monteiro, Isobel Nicholson, Alexander Ochirov, Donal O'Connell, Niclas Westerberg, and Chris D. White, "Perturbative spacetimes from Yang-Mills theory," JHEP 04, 069 (2017), arXiv:1611.07508 [hep-th].
- [15] Henrik Johansson and Alexander Ochirov, "Pure Gravities via Color-Kinematics Duality for Fundamental Matter," JHEP 11, 046 (2015), arXiv:1407.4772 [hep-th].
- [16] Andrs Luna, Isobel Nicholson, Donal O'Connell, and Chris D. White, "Inelastic Black Hole Scattering from Charged Scalar Amplitudes," JHEP 03, 044 (2018), arXiv:1711.03901 [hep-th].
- [17] Zvi Bern, Clifford Cheung, Radu Roiban, Chia-Hsien Shen, Mikhail P. Solon, and Mao Zeng, "Black Hole Binary Dynamics from the Double Copy and Effective Theory," JHEP 10, 206 (2019), arXiv:1908.01493 [hepth].
- [18] Z. Bern, J. J. M. Carrasco, L. J. Dixon, H. Johansson, and R. Roiban, "Simplifying multiloop integrands and ultraviolet divergences of gauge theory and gravity amplitudes," Phys. Rev. D85, 105014 (2012), arXiv:1201.5366 [hep-th].
- [19] Z. Bern, C. Boucher-Veronneau, and H. Johansson, " $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supergravity amplitudes from gauge theory at one loop," Phys. Rev. **D84**, 105035 (2011), arXiv:1107.1935 [hep-th].
- [20] C. Boucher-Veronneau and L. J. Dixon, " $\mathcal{N} \geq 4$ supergravity amplitudes from gauge theory at two Loops," JHEP **12**, 046 (2011), arXiv:1110.1132 [hep-th].
- [21] Zvi Bern, Scott Davies, Tristan Dennen, and Yu-tin

Huang, "Absence of Three-Loop Four-Point Divergences in N=4 Supergravity," Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 201301 (2012), arXiv:1202.3423 [hep-th].

- [22] Zvi Bern, Scott Davies, Tristan Dennen, and Yu-tin Huang, "Ultraviolet cancellations in half-maximal supergravity as a consequence of the double-copy structure," Phys. Rev. **D86**, 105014 (2012), arXiv:1209.2472 [hepth].
- [23] Rutger H. Boels and Reinke Sven Isermann, "On powercounting in perturbative quantum gravity theories through color-kinematic duality," JHEP 06, 017 (2013), arXiv:1212.3473 [hep-th].
- [24] Zvi Bern, Scott Davies, and Tristan Dennen, "The ultraviolet structure of half-maximal supergravity with matter multiplets at two and three loops," Phys. Rev. D88, 065007 (2013), arXiv:1305.4876 [hep-th].
- [25] Zvi Bern, Scott Davies, and Tristan Dennen, "The Ultraviolet Critical Dimension of Half-Maximal Supergravity at Three Loops," (2014), arXiv:1412.2441 [hep-th].
- [26] Zvi Bern, John Joseph Carrasco, Wei-Ming Chen, Alex Edison, Henrik Johansson, Julio Parra-Martinez, Radu Roiban, and Mao Zeng, "Ultraviolet properties of $\mathcal{N} =$ 8 supergravity at five loops," Phys. Rev. **D98**, 086021 (2018), arXiv:1804.09311 [hep-th].
- [27] Enrico Herrmann and Jaroslav Trnka, "Gravity On-shell diagrams," JHEP 11, 136 (2016), arXiv:1604.03479 [hepth].
- [28] Enrico Herrmann and Jaroslav Trnka, "UV cancellations in gravity loop integrands," JHEP 02, 084 (2019), arXiv:1808.10446 [hep-th].
- [29] Z. Bern, J. J. Carrasco, D. Forde, H. Ita, and Henrik Johansson, "Unexpected Cancellations in Gravity Theories," Phys. Rev. D77, 025010 (2008), arXiv:0707.1035 [hep-th].
- [30] Ryo Saotome and Ratindranath Akhoury, "Relationship between gravity and gauge scattering in the high energy limit," JHEP 01, 123 (2013), arXiv:1210.8111 [hep-th].
- [31] Ricardo Monteiro, Donal O'Connell, and Chris D. White, "Black holes and the double copy," JHEP 12, 056 (2014), arXiv:1410.0239 [hep-th].
- [32] Andrés Luna, Ricardo Monteiro, Donal O'Connell, and Chris D. White, "The classical double copy for Taub– NUT spacetime," Phys. Lett. B750, 272–277 (2015), arXiv:1507.01869 [hep-th].
- [33] Alexander K. Ridgway and Mark B. Wise, "Static spherically symmetric Kerr-Schild metrics and implications for the classical double copy," Phys. Rev. D94, 044023 (2016), arXiv:1512.02243 [hep-th].
- [34] Andrés Luna, Ricardo Monteiro, Isobel Nicholson, Donal O'Connell, and Chris D. White, "The double copy: Bremsstrahlung and accelerating black holes," JHEP 06, 023 (2016), arXiv:1603.05737 [hep-th].
- [35] Chris D. White, "Exact solutions for the biadjoint scalar field," Phys. Lett. B763, 365–369 (2016), arXiv:1606.04724 [hep-th].
- [36] Walter D. Goldberger and Alexander K. Ridgway, "Radiation and the classical double copy for color charges," Phys. Rev. D95, 125010 (2017), arXiv:1611.03493 [hepth].
- [37] Gabriel Cardoso, Silvia Nagy, and Suresh Nampuri, "Multi-centered $\mathcal{N} = 2$ BPS black holes: a double copy description," JHEP **04**, 037 (2017), arXiv:1611.04409 [hep-th].
- [38] Andrés Luna, Ricardo Monteiro, Isobel Nicholson,

Alexander Ochirov, Donal O'Connell, Niclas Westerberg, and Chris D. White, "Perturbative spacetimes from Yang-Mills theory," JHEP **04**, 069 (2017), arXiv:1611.07508 [hep-th].

- [39] Walter D. Goldberger, Siddharth G. Prabhu, and Jedidiah O. Thompson, "Classical gluon and graviton radiation from the bi-adjoint scalar double copy," Phys. Rev. D96, 065009 (2017), arXiv:1705.09263 [hep-th].
- [40] Tim Adamo, Eduardo Casali, Lionel Mason, and Stefan Nekovar, "Scattering on plane waves and the double copy," Class. Quant. Grav. 35, 015004 (2018), arXiv:1706.08925 [hep-th].
- [41] Pieter-Jan De Smet and Chris D. White, "Extended solutions for the biadjoint scalar field," Phys. Lett. B775, 163–167 (2017), arXiv:1708.01103 [hep-th].
- [42] Nadia Bahjat-Abbas, Andrés Luna, and Chris D. White, "The Kerr-Schild double copy in curved spacetime," JHEP 12, 004 (2017), arXiv:1710.01953 [hep-th].
- [43] Mariana Carrillo-González, Riccardo Penco, and Mark Trodden, "The classical double copy in maximally symmetric spacetimes," JHEP 04, 028 (2018), arXiv:1711.01296 [hep-th].
- [44] Walter D. Goldberger, Jingping Li, and Siddharth G. Prabhu, "Spinning particles, axion radiation, and the classical double copy," Phys. Rev. D97, 105018 (2018), arXiv:1712.09250 [hep-th].
- [45] Jingping Li and Siddharth G. Prabhu, "Gravitational radiation from the classical spinning double copy," Phys. Rev. D97, 105019 (2018), arXiv:1803.02405 [hep-th].
- [46] A. Ilderton, "Screw-symmetric gravitational waves: a double copy of the vortex," Phys. Lett. B782, 22–27 (2018), arXiv:1804.07290 [gr-qc].
- [47] Chia-Hsien Shen, "Gravitational radiation from color-kinematics duality," JHEP 11, 162 (2018), arXiv:1806.07388 [hep-th].
- [48] Kanghoon Lee, "Kerr-Schild double field theory and classical double copy," JHEP 10, 027 (2018), arXiv:1807.08443 [hep-th].
- [49] Jan Plefka, Jan Steinhoff, and Wadim Wormsbecher, "Effective action of dilaton gravity as the classical double copy of Yang-Mills theory," Phys. Rev. D99, 024021 (2019), arXiv:1807.09859 [hep-th].
- [50] Clifford Cheung, Ira Z. Rothstein, and Mikhail P. Solon, "From scattering amplitudes to classical potentials in the post-Minkowskian expansion," Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 251101 (2018), arXiv:1808.02489 [hep-th].
- [51] David S. Berman, Erick Chacón, Andrés Luna, and Chris D. White, "The self-dual classical double copy, and the Eguchi-Hanson instanton," JHEP **01**, 107 (2019), arXiv:1809.04063 [hep-th].
- [52] Metin Gurses and Bayram Tekin, "Classical double copy: Kerr-Schild-Kundt metrics from Yang-Mills theory," Phys. Rev. D98, 126017 (2018), arXiv:1810.03411 [gr-qc].
- [53] Tim Adamo, Eduardo Casali, Lionel Mason, and Stefan Nekovar, "Plane wave backgrounds and colour-kinematics duality," JHEP **02**, 198 (2019), arXiv:1810.05115 [hep-th].
- [54] Nadia Bahjat-Abbas, Ricardo Stark-Muchão, and Chris D. White, "Biadjoint wires," Phys. Lett. B788, 274–279 (2019), arXiv:1810.08118 [hep-th].
- [55] Andrés Luna, Ricardo Monteiro, Isobel Nicholson, and Donal O'Connell, "Type D Spacetimes and the Weyl Double Copy," Class. Quant. Grav. 36, 065003 (2019),

arXiv:1810.08183 [hep-th].

- [56] David A. Kosower, Ben Maybee, and Donal O'Connell, "Amplitudes, Observables, and Classical Scattering," JHEP 02, 137 (2019), arXiv:1811.10950 [hep-th].
- [57] Joseph A. Farrow, Arthur E. Lipstein, and Paul McFadden, "Double copy structure of CFT correlators," JHEP 02, 130 (2019), arXiv:1812.11129 [hep-th].
- [58] Zvi Bern, Clifford Cheung, Radu Roiban, Chia-Hsien Shen, Mikhail P. Solon, and Mao Zeng, "Scattering Amplitudes and the Conservative Hamiltonian for Binary Systems at Third Post-Minkowskian Order," Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 201603 (2019), arXiv:1901.04424 [hep-th].
- [59] Andrea Antonelli, Alessandra Buonanno, Jan Steinhoff, Maarten van de Meent, and Justin Vines, "Energetics of two-body Hamiltonians in post-Minkowskian gravity," Phys. Rev. D 99, 104004 (2019), arXiv:1901.07102 [grqc].
- [60] Mariana Carrillo González, Brandon Melcher, Kenneth Ratliff, Scott Watson, and Chris D. White, "The classical double copy in three spacetime dimensions," JHEP 07, 167 (2019), arXiv:1904.11001 [hep-th].
- [61] Ben Maybee, Donal O'Connell, and Justin Vines, "Observables and amplitudes for spinning particles and black holes," JHEP 12, 156 (2019), arXiv:1906.09260 [hep-th].
- [62] Athira P.V. and A. Manu, "Classical double copy from Color Kinematics duality: A proof in the soft limit," Phys. Rev. D 101, 046014 (2020), arXiv:1907.10021 [hepth].
- [63] Yu-Tin Huang, Uri Kol, and Donal O'Connell, "Double copy of electric-magnetic duality," Phys. Rev. D 102, 046005 (2020), arXiv:1911.06318 [hep-th].
- [64] Rashid Alawadhi, David S. Berman, Bill Spence, and David Peinador Veiga, "S-duality and the double copy," JHEP 03, 059 (2020), arXiv:1911.06797 [hep-th].
- [65] William T. Emond, Yu-tin Huang, Uri Kol, Nathan Moynihan, and Donal O'Connell, "Amplitudes from Coulomb to Kerr-Taub-NUT," (2020), arXiv:2010.07861 [hep-th].
- [66] David S. Berman, Kwangeon Kim, and Kanghoon Lee, "The Classical Double Copy for M-theory from a Kerr-Schild Ansatz for Exceptional Field Theory," (2020), arXiv:2010.08255 [hep-th].
- [67] Stephen G. Naculich, "Scattering equations and BCJ relations for gauge and gravitational amplitudes with massive scalar particles," JHEP 09, 029 (2014), arXiv:1407.7836 [hep-th].
- [68] Henrik Johansson and Alexander Ochirov, "Color-Kinematics Duality for QCD Amplitudes," JHEP 01, 170 (2016), arXiv:1507.00332 [hep-ph].
- [69] Jan Plefka, Canxin Shi, and Tianheng Wang, "Double copy of massive scalar QCD," Phys. Rev. D 101, 066004 (2020), arXiv:1911.06785 [hep-th].
- [70] Dimitrios Kosmopoulos, "Simplifying D-Dimensional Physical-State Sums in Gauge Theory and Gravity," (2020), arXiv:2009.00141 [hep-th].
- [71] S. D. Badger, E. W. Nigel Glover, V. V. Khoze, and P. Svrcek, "Recursion relations for gauge theory amplitudes with massive particles," JHEP 07, 025 (2005),

arXiv:hep-th/0504159 [hep-th].

- [72] Andrés Luna, Isobel Nicholson, Donal O'Connell, and Chris D. White, "Inelastic black hole scattering from charged scalar amplitudes," JHEP 03, 044 (2018), arXiv:1711.03901 [hep-th].
- [73] John Joseph M. Carrasco and Henrik Johansson, "Generic multiloop methods and application to N=4 super-Yang-Mills," J. Phys. A44, 454004 (2011), arXiv:1103.3298 [hep-th].
- [74] Barry R. Holstein and Andreas Ross, "Spin Effects in Long Range Gravitational Scattering," (2008), arXiv:0802.0716 [hep-ph].
- [75] Varun Vaidya, "Gravitational spin Hamiltonians from the S matrix," Phys. Rev. D 91, 024017 (2015), arXiv:1410.5348 [hep-th].
- [76] Alfredo Guevara, "Holomorphic classical limit for spin effects in gravitational and electromagnetic scattering," JHEP 04, 033 (2019), arXiv:1706.02314 [hep-th].
- [77] Donato Bini and Thibault Damour, "Gravitational spinorbit coupling in binary systems, post-Minkowskian approximation and effective one-body theory," Phys. Rev. D 96, 104038 (2017), arXiv:1709.00590 [gr-qc].
- [78] Justin Vines, Jan Steinhoff, and Alessandra Buonanno, "Spinning-black-hole scattering and the test-black-hole limit at second post-Minkowskian order," Phys. Rev. D 99, 064054 (2019), arXiv:1812.00956 [gr-qc].
- [79] Alfredo Guevara, Alexander Ochirov, and Justin Vines, "Scattering of Spinning Black Holes from Exponentiated Soft Factors," JHEP 09, 056 (2019), arXiv:1812.06895 [hep-th].
- [80] Ming-Zhi Chung, Yu-Tin Huang, Jung-Wook Kim, and Sangmin Lee, "The simplest massive S-matrix: from minimal coupling to Black Holes," JHEP 04, 156 (2019), arXiv:1812.08752 [hep-th].
- [81] Alfredo Guevara, Alexander Ochirov, and Justin Vines, "Black-hole scattering with general spin directions from minimal-coupling amplitudes," Phys. Rev. D 100, 104024 (2019), arXiv:1906.10071 [hep-th].
- [82] Henrik Johansson and Alexander Ochirov, "Double copy for massive quantum particles with spin," JHEP 09, 040 (2019), arXiv:1906.12292 [hep-th].
- [83] Ming-Zhi Chung, Yu-Tin Huang, and Jung-Wook Kim, "Classical potential for general spinning bodies," JHEP 09, 074 (2020), arXiv:1908.08463 [hep-th].
- [84] Poul H. Damgaard, Kays Haddad, and Andreas Helset, "Heavy Black Hole Effective Theory," JHEP 11, 070 (2019), arXiv:1908.10308 [hep-ph].
- [85] Yilber Fabian Bautista and Alfredo Guevara, "On the Double Copy for Spinning Matter," (2019), arXiv:1908.11349 [hep-th].
- [86] Rafael Aoude, Kays Haddad, and Andreas Helset, "Onshell heavy particle effective theories," JHEP 05, 051 (2020), arXiv:2001.09164 [hep-th].
- [87] Zvi Bern, Andres Luna, Radu Roiban, Chia-Hsien Shen, and Mao Zeng, "Spinning Black Hole Binary Dynamics, Scattering Amplitudes and Effective Field Theory," (2020), arXiv:2005.03071 [hep-th].