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#### Abstract

. In this paper, we will introduce some new tubal-Krylov subspace methods for solving some linear tensor equations. Using the well known tensor T-product, we will in particular define the tensor tubal-global GMRES that could be seen as a generalization of the global GMRES. We also give a new tubal-version of the tensor Golub-Kahan algorithm. To this end, we first introduce some new tensor-tensor products and also some new definitions. The presented numerical tests compare the two methods and show the efficiency of the proposed procedures..
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1. Introduction. We consider the following tensor linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{B} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X}$, and $\mathcal{B}$ are three-way tensors and $\star$ is the T-product introduced in [1, 2].
A tensor is a multidimensional array of data. The number of indices of a tensor is called modes or ways. Notice that a scalar can be regarded as a zero mode tensor, first mode tensors are vectors and matrices are second mode tensor. The order of a tensor is the dimensionality of the array needed to represent it, also known as ways or modes. For a given 3-mode (or order-3) tensor $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$, the notation $x_{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}}$ for the element $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ of the tensor $\mathcal{X}$.
Fibers are the higher-order analogue of matrix rows and columns. A fiber is defined by fixing all the indexes except one. A matrix column is a mode- 1 fiber and a matrix row is a mode- 2 fiber. Third-order tensors have column, row and tube fibers/scalars. An element $\mathrm{c} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n}$ is called a fiber/scalar tube of length $n$. More details are found in [3, 4, 1] .
Tensors have been widely used and applied in different areas and especially in color image, video restoration or compression [5, 6, 2, 1, Other applications of tensors in modern sciences, e.g., signal processing [7], data mining [8, tensor complementarity problems, computer vision, see 9 for more details. Recent tensor approaches were used for numerically solving PDEs in 10.

In the present work, we develop the tensor tubal global GMRES method (TGGMRES) for solving tensor system of equations (1.1) that could be considered as a generalisation of the global GMRES developed in [11] and also a tensor tubal Golub Kahan method. To this end, we introduce some new tensor products with some new related algebraic properties.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give notations and definitions related to the T-product. In Section 3, we develop some new tensor products and give some algebraic properties. After defining a tubal-global QR factorisation algorithm we propose in Section 4, the tensor tubal-global Arnoldi process that allows us to introduce the tubal-global GMRES method. Section 5 is devoted to the tensor tubal Golub Kahan method. Finally, some numerical tests are reported in Section 6.

[^0]2. Definitions and notations. In this section we recall some definitions and properties of the T-product which is based on the Discrete Fourier Transformation (DFT) defined on a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ as follows
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{v}=F_{n} v \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $F_{n}$ is the Fourier complex $n \times n$ matrix whose components are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{n}\right)_{i j}=\omega^{(i-1)(j-1)}, i, j=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=e^{\frac{-2 \pi i}{n}}$ with $i^{2}=-1$. The cost of computing the vector $\tilde{v}$ directly from (2.1) is $O\left(n^{2}\right)$. Using the Fast Fourier Transform, it will cost only $O(n \log (n))$ and this makes the FFT very fast for large problems.

In this part, we briefly review some concepts and notations related to the T-Product, see [12. 1. 2] for more details. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ be a third-order tensor, then the operations bcirc, unfold and fold are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
A_{1} & A_{n_{3}} & A_{n_{3-1}} & \ldots & A_{2} \\
A_{2} & A_{1} & A_{n_{3}} & \ldots & A_{3} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
A_{n_{3}} & A_{n_{3-1}} & \ddots & A_{2} & A_{1}
\end{array}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} n_{3} \times n_{2} n_{3}}, \\
\operatorname{unfold}(\mathcal{A})=\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots A_{n_{3}}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} n_{3} \times n_{2}}, \quad \operatorname{fold}(\operatorname{unfold}(\mathcal{A}))=\mathcal{A} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ be the tensor obtained by applying the DFT on all the 3 -mode tubes of the tensor $\mathcal{A}$. With the Matlab command fft, we have

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3) \text { and } \mathcal{A}=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}},[], 3),
$$

where ifft denotes the Inverse Fast Fourier Transform. The tensor $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ can also be obtained using the 3 -mode product [2], as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{3} F_{n_{3}} \text { and } \mathcal{A}=\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \times{ }_{3} F_{n_{3}}^{-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\times_{3}$ is the 3-mode product defined in [4]. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be the block diagonal matrix

$$
\mathbf{A}=\operatorname{BlockDiag}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
A^{(1)} & & &  \tag{2.4}\\
& A^{(2)} & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & A^{\left(n_{3}\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where the matrices $A^{(i)}$ 's are the frontal slices of the tensor $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$. The block circulant matrix $\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})$ can be block diagonalized by using the DFT and this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{n_{3}} \otimes I_{n_{1}}\right) \operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})\left(F_{n_{3}}^{*} \otimes I_{n_{2}}\right)=\mathbf{A}, \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As noticed in [2, 13], the diagonal blocks of the matrix $\mathbf{A}$ satisfy the following property

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
A^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2}}  \tag{2.6}\\
\operatorname{conj}\left(A^{(i)}\right)=A^{\left(n_{3}-i+2\right)}, i=2, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n_{3}+1}{2}\right\rfloor
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\operatorname{conj}\left(A^{(i)}\right)$ is the complex conjugate of the matrix $A^{(i)}$. Next we recall the definition of the T-product; see 2 .

Definition 2.1. The T-product denoted by $\star$ between two tensors $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m \times n_{3}}$ is the $n_{1} \times m \times n_{3}$ tensor given by:

$$
\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}=\operatorname{fold}(\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A}) \operatorname{unfold}(\mathcal{B}))
$$

Notice that from the relation (2.4), we can show that the product $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{C}=\mathbf{A B}$ where $\mathbf{A}=\operatorname{BlockDiag}(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}})$ given in (2.4) and $\mathbf{B}=\operatorname{BlockDiag}(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$. So, the efficient way to compute the T-product is to use Fast Fourier Transform (FFT).
Using the relation (2.6), the following algorithm allows us to compute in an efficient way the T-product of the tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

```
Algorithm 1 Computing the T-product via FFT
Require: Input \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}\) and \(\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m \times n_{3}}\).
Ensure: : \(\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m \times n_{3}}\).
    Compute \(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\mathrm{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3)\) and \(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}=\mathrm{fft}(\mathcal{B},[], 3)\);
    for \(i=1, \ldots, n_{3}\) do
        Compute each frontal slices of \(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}\)
        \(C^{(i)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}A^{(i)} B^{(i)}, \quad i=1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n_{3}+1}{2}\right\rfloor \\ \operatorname{conj}\left(C^{\left(n_{3}-i+2\right)}\right), \quad i=\left\lfloor\frac{n_{3}+1}{2}\right\rfloor+1, \ldots, n_{3},\end{array}\right.\)
    end for
    Compute \(\mathcal{C}=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{C},[], 3)\).
```

For the T-product, we recall the following definitions; see [2] for more details.
Definition 2.2. .

1. The identity tensor $\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}}$ is the tensor whose first frontal slice is the identity matrix $I_{n_{1} n_{1}}$ and the other frontal slices are all zeros.
2. An $n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}$ tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible, if there exists a tensor $\mathcal{X}$ of order $n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}} \quad \text { and } \quad X \star \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}}
$$

In that case, we set $\mathcal{X}=\mathcal{A}^{-1}$. It is clear that $\mathcal{A}$ is invertible if and only if $\operatorname{bcirc}(\mathcal{A})$ is invertible.
3. The transpose of $\mathcal{A}$ is obtained by transposing each of the frontal slices and then reversing the order of transposed frontal slices 2 through $n_{3}$.
4. If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are tensors of appropriate orders, then

$$
(\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}) \star \mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A} \star(\mathcal{B} \star \mathcal{C})
$$

5. Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two tensors such $\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{T} \star \mathcal{A}^{T}$ are defined. Then

$$
(\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{B})^{T}=\mathcal{B}^{T} \star \mathcal{A}^{T} .
$$

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ two tensors in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$. Then

1. The scalar inner product is defined by

$$
\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n_{2}} \sum_{i_{3}=1}^{n_{3}} a_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} b_{i_{1} i_{2} i_{3}} .
$$

2. The associated Frobenius norm is defined by

$$
\|\mathcal{A}\|_{F}=\sqrt{\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\rangle}
$$

REmARK 2.1. Another interesting way for computing the scalar product $\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle$ and the associated Frobenius norm is as follows:

$$
\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle=\frac{1}{n_{3}}\langle\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}\rangle \text { and }\|\mathcal{A}\|_{F}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{3}}}\|\mathbf{A}\|_{F}
$$

where the block diagonal matrix $\mathbf{A}$ is defined by (2.4).
Definition 2.4.

1. An $n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}$ tensor $Q$ is orthogonal if

$$
Q^{T} \star Q=Q \star Q^{T}=\mathcal{J}_{n_{1} n_{1} n_{3}}
$$

2. A tensor is called f-diagonal if its frontal slices are orthogonal matrices. It is called upper triangular if all its frontal slices are upper triangular.
Definition 2.5. 14 Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m_{1} \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m_{1} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{D} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}$ be tensors. The block tensor

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\
\mathcal{C} & \mathcal{D}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}\right) \times\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \times n_{3}}
$$

is defined by compositing the frontal slices of the four tensors.
Proposition 2.6. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times s \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B}_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell \times p \times n_{3}}$, $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{s \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$. Then

1. $\mathcal{F} \star[\mathcal{A} \mathcal{B}]=[\mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{A} \quad \mathcal{F} \star \mathcal{B}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times(s+p) \times n_{3}}$
2. $\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right] \star \mathcal{F}=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \star \mathcal{F} \\ \mathcal{D} \star \mathcal{F}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(s+p) \times n \times n_{3}}$
3. $[\mathcal{A} B] \star\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right]=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B} \star \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$
4. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{A}_{1} & \mathcal{B}_{1} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} & \mathcal{B}_{2}\end{array}\right] \star\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}\mathcal{A}_{1} \star \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}_{1} \star \mathcal{D} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2} \star \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}_{2} \star \mathcal{D}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(\ell+n) \times n \times n_{3}}$

Next, we introduce now the $T$-trace transformation.
Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a tensor in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}$. The tensor $T$-trace of $\mathcal{A}$ is a fibertensor of $\mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ defined such that its $i$-th frontal slice is the trace of $i$-th frontal slice of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$, for $i=1, \ldots, n_{3}$. The T-trace $(\mathcal{A})$ can be computed by the following Algorithm .

```
Algorithm 2 Tensor T-trace
Require: Input \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}\).
Ensure: : \(\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}\)
    Set \(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3)\),
    for \(i=1, \ldots, n_{3}\) do
        \(\mathbf{z}^{(i)}=\operatorname{trace}\left(A^{(i)}\right), \quad(\) trace matrix)
    end for
    \(\mathbf{z}=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{\mathbf{z}},[], 3)\).
```

3. New tensor products. In this section, we introduce some new tensor products, that will be used for simplifying the algebraic computations of the main results.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{B}(i, j,:)=\mathbf{b}_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}$ for $i=$ $1, \ldots, m_{1}, j=1, \ldots, m_{2}$. Then, the product $(\mathbf{a} * \mathcal{B})$ is an $\left(m_{1} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}\right)$ tensor defined by

$$
\mathbf{a} * \mathcal{B}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{b}_{11} & \ldots & \mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{b}_{1 m_{2}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{b}_{m_{1} 1} & \ldots & \mathbf{a} \star \mathbf{b}_{m_{1} m_{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

REMARK 3.1. The $*$ product is a generalisation of the product of a scalar with a matrix where the tubal-fiber plays the role of a scalar
3.1. The T-Kronecker and the Tubal-inner products. In the following we introduce the T-Kronecker product between two tensors as a generalisation of the classical Kronecker product for matrices.

Definition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}$. The $T$ - Rronecker product $\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B}$ between $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is the $n_{1} m_{1} \times n_{2} m_{2} \times n_{3}$ tensor given by :

$$
(\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})=(\widetilde{\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B}}) \times{ }_{3} F_{n_{3}}^{-1}
$$

where the $i$-th frontal slice $\left(i=1, \ldots, n_{3}\right)$ of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B}})$ is given by,

$$
(\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})^{(i)}=\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)} \otimes\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}
$$

where $\otimes$ is the Kronecker product between two matrices. The matrices $\left(\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}$ and $(\mathcal{B} \times 3$ $\left.F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}$ are the $i$-th frontal slices of $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, respectively.
The T-Kronecker product of two tensors can be computed by the following algorithm.

```
Algorithm 3 Tensor T-Kronecker
Require: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}\) and \(\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}\).
Ensure: : \((\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})\) is the tensor of size \(n_{1} m_{1} \times n_{2} m_{2}\).
    Set \(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3)\) and \(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{B},[], 3)\),
    for \(i=1, \ldots, n_{3}\) do
        \((\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})^{(i)}=\left(A^{(i)} \otimes B^{(i)}\right)\).
    end for
    \((\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})=\operatorname{ifft}((\widetilde{\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B}}),[], 3)\).
```

Proposition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1} \times m_{2} \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times r_{1} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{D} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{m_{2} \times r_{2} \times n_{3}}$. Then we have the following properties

1. $(\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})^{T}=\mathcal{A}^{T} \circledast \mathcal{B}^{T}$
2. $(\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B}) \star(\mathcal{C} \circledast \mathcal{D})=(\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{C}) \circledast(\mathcal{B} \star \mathcal{D})$
3. If $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times z}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p \times z}$ are invertible then $(\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})^{-1}$ is invertible and we have

$$
(\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})^{-1}=\mathcal{A}^{-1} \circledast \mathcal{B}^{-1}
$$

Proof. Obviously, the results stems directly from the properties of the matrix-Kronecker. In fact, for $i=1, \ldots, n_{3}$, we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \circledast \mathcal{B}^{T}\right) \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)} & =\left(\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T} \otimes\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T}\right) \\
& =\left(\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)} \otimes\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right)^{T} \\
& =\left((\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})^{T} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $(\mathcal{A} \circledast \mathcal{B})^{T}=\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \circledast \mathcal{B}^{T}\right)$. The two other properties are shown in the same way.
Next, we define a new Tubal-inner product that will be used later.
Definition 3.4. (Tubal-inner product) For $X, y$ two tensors in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}$, we define the Tubal-inner products $\langle., .\rangle_{T}$ is defined by:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}  \tag{3.1}\\
(X, y) & \longrightarrow\langle X, y\rangle_{T}=T-\operatorname{trace}\left(X^{T} \star y\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}$ be a collection of $\ell$ third tensors in $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}$, if

$$
\left\langle X_{i}, X_{j}\right\rangle_{T}= \begin{cases}\alpha_{i} \mathbf{e} & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}$ is a non-zero scalar and $\mathbf{e}$ is the tubal-fiber such that unfold $(\mathbf{e})=(1,0,0 \ldots, 0)^{T}$. Then the set $\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{\ell}\right\}$ is said to be a T-orthogonal collection of tensors. The collection is called T-orthonormal if $\alpha_{i}=1, i=1, \ldots, \ell$.
Notice that the T-trace of $\left(X^{T} \star y\right)$ can be expressed via the 3 -mode product as follows:

$$
\left(\mathrm{T}-\operatorname{trace}\left(X^{T} \star y\right) \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}=\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(X \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T}\left(y \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right), i=1, \ldots, n_{3}
$$

Proposition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be tensors of $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$. Then the Tubal-inner product satisfies the following properties

1. $\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}+\mathcal{C}\rangle_{T}=\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T}+\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\rangle_{T}$.
2. $\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathbf{a} * \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T}=\mathbf{a} \star\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T}$.
3. $\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{X} \star \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T}=\left\langle X^{T} \star \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right\rangle_{T}$, for $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}$.

Proof. For $i=1, \ldots, n_{3}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathrm{T}-\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \star(\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{C})\right) \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}= \\
& \operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T}\left(\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}+\left(\mathcal{C} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right)\right)= \\
& \operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\mathcal{A} \times{ }_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T}\left(\mathcal{B} \times{ }_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}+\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T}\left(\mathcal{C} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right)= \\
& \left(\mathrm{T}-\operatorname{trace}\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \star \mathcal{B}+\mathcal{A}^{T} \star \mathcal{C}\right) \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows the first property. The other properties could be easily shown in a similar way.
3.2. The T-Diamond product of third order tensors. In this subsection, we introduce the T-Diamond product between two tensors and give some algebraic properties.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{A}=\left[\mathcal{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_{p}\right]$ where $\mathcal{A}_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$, is an $n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}$ tensor and let $\mathcal{B}=\left[\mathcal{B}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{\ell}\right]$ where $\mathcal{B}_{j}, j=1, \ldots, \ell$ is an $n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}$ tensor. Then the T-diamond product $\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}$ is the tensor of size $p \times \ell \times n_{3}$ given by :

$$
(\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{B})=(\widetilde{\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{B}}) \times{ }_{3} F_{n_{3}}^{-1}
$$

where the i-th frontal slice of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{B})}$ is given by

$$
(\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{B})^{(i)}=\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T} \diamond\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)},
$$

where $\diamond$ is the diamond product between two matrices; for more details about the diamond product between two matrices, see [15]. The T-diamond product can be computed by the following algorithm.

```
Algorithm 4 Tensor T-Diamond product
Require: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times p s \times n_{3}}\) and \(\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times \ell s \times n_{3}}\).
Ensure: : \(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\) is the tensor of size \(p \times \ell \times n_{3}\).
    Set \(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3)\) and \(\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{B},[], 3)\),
    for \(i=1, \ldots, n_{3}\) do
        \(\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\right)^{(i)}=\left(A^{(i) T} \diamond B^{(i)}\right)\),
    end for
    \(\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\right)=\operatorname{ifft}\left(\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}}\right),[], 3\right)\).
```

The next proposition gives some algebraic properties of the T-diamond product.
Proposition 3.7. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times p s \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{L} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p \times n_{3}}$, We have the following proposals:

1. $(\mathcal{A}+\mathcal{B})^{T} \diamond \mathcal{C}=\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{C}+\mathcal{B}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{C}$
2. $\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond(\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}+\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{C}$
3. $\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\right)^{T}=\mathcal{B}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{A}$
4. $(\mathcal{D} \star \mathcal{A})^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond\left(\mathcal{D}^{T} \star \mathcal{B}\right)$
5. $\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond\left(\mathcal{B} \star\left(\mathcal{L} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{\text {ssn }_{3}}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\right) \star \mathcal{L}$

Proof. Obviously, the results are derived directly from the properties of the matrix- $\diamond$ product. For $i=1, \ldots, n_{3}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond\left(\mathcal{B} \star\left(\mathcal{L} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right)\right) \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}= \\
& \left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T} \diamond\left(\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right)\left(\left(\mathcal{L} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)} \otimes\left(\mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right)= \\
& {\left[\left(\left(\mathcal{A} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T} \diamond\left(\mathcal{B} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right)\left(\mathcal{L} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right]=} \\
& \left(\left(\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\right) \star \mathcal{L}\right) \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally we get : $\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond\left(\mathcal{B} \star\left(\mathcal{L} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{\text {ssn }_{3}}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{B}\right) \star \mathcal{L}$. The other results are obtained by following in the same manner.

## 4. The tensor tubal global GMRES method.

4.1. The tubal global QR factorization. Next, we present the tubal-global GramSchmidt process.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$, then the tubal rank of $\mathbf{z}$ is the number of its non-zero Fourier coefficients. If the tubal-rank of $\mathbf{z}$ is equal to $n_{3}$, we say that it is invertible and we denote by $(\mathbf{z})^{-1}$ the inverse of $\mathbf{z}$ iff: $\mathbf{z} \star(\mathbf{z})^{-1}=(\mathbf{z})^{-1} \star \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{e}$, where $\mathbf{e}$ is the tubal-fiber such that unfold $(\mathbf{e})=(1,0,0 \ldots, 0)^{T}$.
First, we need to introduce a normalization algorithm. This means that given a non-zero $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}$ we need to be able to decompose the tensor $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$
\mathcal{A}=\mathbf{a} * Q=Q \star\left(\mathbf{a} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{\text {ssin }_{3}}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{a}$ is invertible and $\langle Q, Q\rangle_{T}=\mathbf{e}$. We consider the following normalization algorithm.

```
Algorithm 5 A normalization algorithm (Normalization \((\mathcal{A})\) )
Require: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}\) and tol \(>0\).
Ensure: : \(Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}\) and \(\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}\) such that \(\langle\mathcal{Q}, Q\rangle_{T}=\mathbf{e}\).
    Set \(\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}=\operatorname{fft}(\mathcal{A},[], 3)\),
    for \(j=1, \ldots, n_{3}\) do
        \(\mathbf{a}^{(j)}=\operatorname{trace}\left(A^{(j) T} A^{(j)}\right)=\left\|A^{(j)}\right\|_{F}\)
            if \(\mathbf{a}^{(j)}<t o l\)
                Stop
            else \(\mathbb{Q}^{(j)}=\frac{A^{(j)}}{\mathbf{a}^{(j)}}\)
            end if
    end for
    \(Q=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}},[], 3), \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{ifft}(\widetilde{\mathbf{a}},[], 3)\).
```

The next algorithm summarizes the different steps defining the tubal-global QR factorisation of a tensor.

```
Algorithm 6 The Tensor Tubal-Global QR decomposition
    \(j=1, \ldots, k, s<n\).
    Set \(\left[\mathfrak{Q}_{1}, \mathbf{r}_{1,1}\right]=\) Normalization \(\left(\mathcal{Z}_{1}\right)\) : using Algorithm \([5\)
    for \(j=2, \ldots, k\) do
        \(\mathcal{W}=Q_{j}\)
        for \(i=1, \ldots, j-1\) do
            \(\mathbf{r}_{i, j}=\left\langle Q_{i}, \mathcal{W}\right\rangle_{T}\)
            \(\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}-\mathbf{r}_{i, j} * Q_{i}\)
        end for
        \(\left[Q_{j}, \mathbf{r}_{j, j}\right]=\) Normalization(W): using Algorithm 5
    end for
```

Require: $\mathcal{z}=\left[\mathcal{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}_{k}\right]$ be an $n \times k s \times n_{3}$ tensor where $\mathcal{Z}_{j}$ is an $n \times s \times n_{3}$ tensor, for

Proposition 4.2. Let $\underset{Z}{ }=\left[\mathcal{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}_{k}\right]$ be an $n \times k s \times n_{3}$ tensor where $\mathcal{Z}_{j}$ is an $n \times s \times n_{3}$ tensor, for $j=1, \ldots, k$. Then from Algorithm [6] the tensor $\mathcal{Z}$ can be factored as

$$
z=Q \star\left(\mathcal{R} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right),
$$

where $Q=\left[Q_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_{k}\right]$ is an $\left(n \times k s \times n_{3}\right) T$-orthonormal tensor satisfying $Q^{T} \diamond \mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{J}_{k k n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is an upper triangular ( $k \times k \times n_{3}$ ) tensor (each frontal slice of $\mathcal{R}$ is an upper triangular matrix of size $k \times k$ ) given by

$$
\mathcal{R}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{r}_{1,1} & \mathbf{r}_{1,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{r}_{1, k} \\
& \mathbf{r}_{2,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{r}_{2, k} \\
& & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & \mathbf{r}_{k, k}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k \times n_{3}}
$$

Proof. This will be shown by induction on $k$. For $k=1$, we have from Line 2 of Algorithm 6 $\left\langle Q_{1}, Q_{1}\right\rangle_{T}=\mathbf{e}$. Assume now that the result is true for some $k$. Using the results of Proposition [3.5] we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{r}_{k+1, k+1}\right) \star\left\langle\mathcal{Q}_{j}, Q_{k+1}\right\rangle_{T} & =\left\langle Q_{j},\left(\mathcal{W}-\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{r}_{i, k} * \mathcal{Q}_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{T} \\
& =\left(\left\langle Q_{j}, \mathcal{W}\right\rangle_{T}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathbf{r}_{i, k} \star\left\langle Q_{j}, Q_{i}\right\rangle_{T}\right)\right. \\
& =\left(\mathbf{r}_{j, k}-\mathbf{r}_{j, k}\right)=\mathbf{o}, j=1, \ldots, k,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{o}$ denotes de zeros tube fiber of size $\left(1 \times 1 \times n_{3}\right)$ which all his entries are equal to zeros. Then we get $\mathcal{Q}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{J}_{k k n_{3}}$.
Now consider an $n \times k s \times n_{3}$ tensor $\mathcal{Z}=\left[\mathcal{Z}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Z}_{k}\right]$ where $\mathcal{Z}_{j}$ is an $n \times s \times n_{3}$ tensor. Then
from Algorithm 6] we have $z_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j} r_{i, j} * Q_{i}$ and the $j$-th lateral slice of $z$ is given by :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(z)_{j}=z_{j} & =\sum_{i=1}^{j} \mathbf{r}_{i, j} * Q_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{j} Q_{i} \star\left(\left(\mathbf{r}_{i, j}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right) \\
& =\left[Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{j}\right] \star\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{r}_{1, j} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{r}_{j, j}
\end{array}\right] \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{r}_{1, j} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{r}_{j, j} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ be the j-th lateral slice of the $\left(k \times k \times n_{3}\right)$ tensor $\mathcal{R}=\left[\mathcal{R}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_{k}\right]$.
Then we have the decomposition

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{j}=\left[Q_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_{j}\right] \star\left(\mathcal{R}_{j} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right), \quad j=1, \ldots, k
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{Z}$ can be factored as $\mathcal{Z}=Q \star\left(\mathcal{R} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right)$ where $\left[\mathcal{Q}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{Q}_{k}\right]$ is an $\left(n \times k s \times n_{3}\right)$ T-orthonormal tensor $Q^{T} \diamond \mathcal{Q}=\mathcal{J}_{k k n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ is an upper triangular $\left(k \times k \times n_{3}\right)$ tensor. $\square$ Notice that $Q^{T} \diamond Z=Q^{T} \diamond\left(Q \star\left(\mathcal{R} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{\text {ssn }_{3}}\right)\right)$, and by using the result 5) of Proposition 3.7] we get $\mathcal{Q}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{Z}=\left(\mathbb{Q}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{Q}\right) \star \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}$.
4.2. The tensor tubal-global Arnoldi process. In this section, we define the tubalglobal Arnoldi process that could be considered as a generalisation of the global Arnoldi process defined in 11 for matrices. In [5], the authors introduced the T-global Arnoldi process. The main difference between the tubal-global Arnoldi and the T-global Arnoldi is that for tubal global Arnoldi process the tensor Krylov global subspace $\mathcal{K}_{m}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ associated to the T-product is as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{m}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})=\operatorname{Tspan}\left\{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{m-1} \star \mathcal{V}\right\}=\left\{\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{Z}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}^{i-1} \star \mathcal{V}\right)\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1, \ldots, m ; \mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}$.
In the case of the tubal global Arnoldi process, the tensor Tubal global Krylov subspace of order $m$ generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ and denoted by $\mathcal{J}_{m}^{g}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}$ is defined by :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{T K}_{m}^{g}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V}) & =\mathrm{T}-\operatorname{Span}\left\{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{A}^{2} \star \mathcal{V}, \ldots, \mathcal{A}^{m-1} \star \mathcal{V}\right\}  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\left\{\mathcal{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{Z}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{a}_{i} *\left(\mathcal{A}^{i-1} \star \mathcal{V}\right)\right\} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{A}^{i-1} \star \mathcal{V}=\mathcal{A}^{i-2} \star \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}, i=2, \ldots, m$ and $\mathcal{A}^{0}$ is the identity tensor. The following tubal-global Arnoldi process produces a T-orthogonormal basis of $\mathcal{T} \mathcal{K}_{m}^{g}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$. The algorithm is described as follows

```
Algorithm 7 The Tensor Tubal-Global Arnoldi
Require: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}\) and the positive integer m .
    Set \(\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, r_{1,1}\right]=\operatorname{Normalization}(\mathcal{V})\)
    for \(j=1, \ldots, m\) do
        \(\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{j}\)
        for \(i=1, \ldots, j\) do
            \(\mathbf{h}_{i, j}=\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{i}, \mathcal{W}\right\rangle_{T}\)
            \(\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}-\mathbf{h}_{i, j} * \mathcal{V}_{i}\)
        end for
        \(\left[\mathcal{V}_{j+1}, \mathbf{h}_{j+1, j}\right]=\) Normalization \((\mathcal{W})\).
    end for
```

Proposition 4.3. Suppose that $m$ steps of Algorithm 7 have been run. Then, the tensors $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}$, form a T-orthonormal basis of the Tubal-global Krylov subspace $\mathcal{T}_{m}^{g}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$.

Proof. This will be shown by induction on $m$. For $m=1$, we have from Line 2 of Algorithm6 the relation $\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{1}\right\rangle_{T}=\mathbf{e}$. Assume now that the result is true for some $m$, then from Algorithm 6) and by using the results of Proposition 3.5, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathbf{h}_{m+1, m}\right) \star\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{j}, \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\right\rangle_{T} & =\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{j},\left(\mathbf{h}_{m+1, m}\right) * \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\right\rangle_{T} \\
& =\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{j},\left(\mathcal{W}-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{h}_{i, m} * \mathcal{V}_{i}\right)\right\rangle_{T} \\
& =\left(\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{j}, \mathcal{W}\right\rangle_{T}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{h}_{i, m} \star\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{j}, \mathcal{V}_{i}\right\rangle_{T}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{h}_{j, m}-\mathbf{h}_{j, m}\right)=\mathbf{o},=1, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

where o denote de zeros tube fiber of size $\left(1 \times 1 \times n_{3}\right)$ which all his entries are equal to zeros. Furthermore, from Line $3(\mathrm{~d})$ of Algorithm 7 , we immediately have $\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{m+1}, \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\right\rangle_{T}=\mathbf{e}$. Therefore, the result is true for $m+1$ which completes the proof. $\square$
Let $\mathbb{V}_{m}$ be the $\left(n \times s m \times n_{3}\right)$ tensor whose frontal slices are $\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}$ and let $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$ the $(m+1) \times m \times n_{3}$ hessenberg tensor defined by Algorithm 7 (Hessemberg tensor mean that every frontal slice of $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$ is a Hesemberg matrix) and by $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ the tensor obtained from $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$ by deleting its last horizontal slice. $\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}$ is the $\left(n \times(s m) \times n_{3}\right)$ tensor whose frontal slices are $\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{m}$, respectively. Using Definition 2.5, we can set

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{V}_{m} & :=\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{m}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s m \times n_{3}}, \\
\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m} & :=\left[\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{m}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s m \times n_{3}} \\
\mathbb{V}_{m+1} & :=\left[\mathbb{V}_{m}, \mathcal{V}_{m+1}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times(m+1) s \times n_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The tensors $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{h}_{1,1} & \mathbf{h}_{1,2} & \cdot & \mathbf{h}_{1, m} \\
\mathbf{h}_{2,1} & \mathbf{h}_{2,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{h}_{2, m} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & \mathbf{h}_{m, m-1} & \mathbf{h}_{m, m} \\
& & & \mathbf{h}_{m+1, m}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{(m+1) \times m \times n_{3}}, \\
& \mathcal{H}_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{h}_{1,1} & \mathbf{h}_{1,2} & \cdot & \mathbf{h}_{1, m} \\
\mathbf{h}_{2,1} & \mathbf{h}_{2,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{h}_{2, m} \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & \mathbf{h}_{m, m-1} & \mathbf{h}_{m, m}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m \times n_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The tesnor $\mathcal{H}_{m}$ can be obtained from $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$ by deleting the horizontal slice

$$
\left[\mathbf{o}, \ldots, \mathbf{o}, \mathbf{h}_{m+1, m}\right]=\mathbf{h}_{m+1, m} \star \mathcal{E}_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m \times n_{3}}
$$

where o denote de zeros tube fiber of size $\left(1 \times 1 \times n_{3}\right)$ which all his entries are equal to zeros, and $\mathcal{E}_{m}=[\mathbf{o}, \ldots, \mathbf{o}, \mathbf{e}] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m \times n_{3}}$ where $\mathbf{e}$ the tube fiber such that unfold $(\mathbf{e})=(1,0,0 \ldots, 0)^{T}$. The tensor $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$ can be written as follows

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{H}_{m} \\
\mathbf{h}_{m+1, m} \star \mathcal{E}_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We can now state the following algebraic properties
Proposition 4.4. Suppose that $m$ steps of Algorithm $\gamma$ have been run. Then, the following statements hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m} & =\mathbb{V}_{m} \star\left(\mathcal{H}_{m} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)+\mathcal{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\left(\mathrm{~h}_{m+1, m} \star \mathcal{E}_{m}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right), \\
\mathbb{V}_{m}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m} & =\mathcal{H}_{m} \\
\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m} & =\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right), \\
\mathbb{V}_{m+1}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m} & =\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \\
\mathbb{V}_{m}^{T} \diamond \mathbb{V}_{m} & =\mathcal{J}_{m m n_{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We give a proof only for the third relation, the other relations could be obtained in the same way. From Algorithm 7 we have $\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \mathbf{h}_{i, j} * \mathcal{V}_{i}$ and by using the fact that $\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}=\left[\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{m}\right]$, the $j$-th frontal slice of $\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}\right)_{j}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{j} & =\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \mathbf{h}_{i, j} * \mathcal{V}_{i} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \mathcal{V}_{i} \star\left(\left(\mathbf{h}_{i, j}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

also expressed as

$$
\left(\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}\right)_{j}=\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{j+1}\right] \star\left(\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{h}_{1, j} \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{h}_{j+1, j}
\end{array}\right] \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right)
$$

Let $\mathcal{H}_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{h}_{1, j} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{h}_{j+1, j} \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ be the j -th lateral slice of of the Hessemberg tensor
$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}=\left[\mathcal{H}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{H}_{m}\right]$. The we have

$$
\left(\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m}\right)_{j}=\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{j+1}\right] \star\left(\mathcal{H}_{j} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right) \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

and the result follows.
4.3. The tensor tubal-global GMRES method. The tensor tubal-global GMRES method is based on tubal-global Arnoldi process to build a T-orthonormal basis of the tensor tubal global Krylov subspace (4.2). First, we need to introduce a new T - $\ell_{2}$ norm defined in $\mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ 。

Definition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}, y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}$, then the $T$ - $\ell_{2}$ inner product of $\mathcal{X}$ and $y$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle X, y\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}=\frac{1}{n_{3}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}}\left(X \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i) T}\left(y \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated $T-\ell_{2}$ norm is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n_{3}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}}\left\|\left(y \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|.\|_{2}$ denotes the usual vector $\ell_{2}$-norm. In the following proposition, we give some algebraic relations associated to $T-\ell_{2}$ inner product.

Proposition 4.6. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then the $T$ - $\ell_{2}$-inner product satisfies the following direct algebraic properties.

1. $\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}+\mathcal{C}\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}=\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}+\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{C}\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}$.
2. $\langle\mathcal{A}, \alpha \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}=\alpha\langle\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}$.
3. $\langle\mathcal{A}, X \star \mathcal{B}\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}=\left\langle\mathcal{X}^{T} \star \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\right\rangle_{T_{\ell_{2}}}$, for $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{1} \times n_{3}}$.

The next property is important for the simplification of some minimisation properties to be used later.

Proposition 4.7. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m s \times n_{3}}$ such that $\mathcal{V}^{T} \diamond \mathcal{V}=\mathcal{J}_{m m n_{3}}$. Then

$$
\left\|\mathcal{V} \star\left(y \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right\|_{F}=\|y\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{V} \star\left(y \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right\|_{F}^{2} & =\frac{1}{n_{3}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}}\left\|\left(\mathcal{V} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\left(\left(\mathrm{y} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)} \otimes\left(\mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}} \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right)\right\|_{F}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n_{3}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n_{3}}\left(\left\|\left(y \times_{3} F_{n_{3}}\right)^{(i)}\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\|y\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Next, we will see how to define the tubal-global GMRES. Consider the linear system of tensor equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{B} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}$ assumed to be nonsingular, $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{X} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}$ with $s \ll n$. If $n_{3}=1$ then the problem (4.6) reduces to a multiple linear systems of $s$ equations. Let $X_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}$ be an arbitrary initial guess with the associated residual tensor $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}_{0}$. The aim of the tensor tubal-global GMRES method is to find, at some step $m$, an approximation $X_{m}$ of the solution $X^{*}$ of the problem (4.6) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{m}-X_{0} \in \mathcal{T K}_{m}^{g}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\min _{X-X_{0} \in \mathcal{T X}_{m}^{g}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{R}_{0}\right)}\left\{\|\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}\|_{F}\right\} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.7), $x_{m}$ is expressed as $x_{m}=X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m} \star\left(y \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)$ with $y=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{y}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{y}_{m}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}$.
Therefore the minimisation problem (4.8) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}=\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}}\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m} \star\left(y \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right\|_{F} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 3.3 and Step 2 of Algorithm 7, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{m} \star\left(\mathrm{y} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right\|_{F} \\
& \left.=\| \mathbf{r}_{1,1} * \mathcal{V}_{1}-\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right) \star\left(\mathrm{y} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right) \|_{F} \\
& \left.=\| \mathcal{V}_{1} \star\left(\mathbf{r}_{1,1} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)-\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right) \star\left(\mathrm{y} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right) \|_{F} \\
& =\left\|\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right) \star\left(\mathbf{r}_{1,1} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)-\left(\mathbb{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star \mathrm{y}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right)\right\|_{F} \\
& \left.=\| \mathbb{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s, s, n_{3}}\right)-\left(\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right) \|_{F} \\
& \left.=\| \mathbb{V}_{m+1} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right) \|_{F} \\
& =\left\|\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{o} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{o}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$. Therefore, the tensor $y_{m}$ solving the minimisation problem (4.9) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{m}=\arg \min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}}\left\|\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star r_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The approximate solution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m}=x_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m} \star\left(y_{m} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

4.4. Implementation of tensor tubal-global GMRES method. For the implementation of the tensor tubal-global GMRES algorithm, , we use the tensor QR decomposition to solve the minimization problem (4.10). The Tensor QR decomposition is based on the application of the QR matrix decomposition to each sub-block of the obtained block diagonal matrix in the Fourier domain. In other words, for $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m \times n_{3}}$ we have $\mathcal{F}=Q \star \mathcal{R}$ where $\mathcal{Q}$ is an $n \times n \times n_{3}$ orthogonal tensor $\mathbb{Q}^{T} \star \mathcal{Q}=Q \star \mathbb{Q}^{T}=\mathcal{J}_{n n n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m \times n_{3}}$ triangular tensor. The different steps are summarized as follows

```
Algorithm 8 Tensor T-QR decomposition
Require: \(\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m \times n_{3}}\).
Ensure: Orthogonal tensor \(\mathcal{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}\) and triangular tensor \(\mathcal{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m \times n_{3}}\).
    Set \(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}=\mathrm{fft}(\mathcal{F},[], 3)\),
    for \(i=1, \ldots, n_{3}\) do
        \(\left[Q^{(i)}, R^{(i)}\right]=\mathrm{QR}\left(F^{(i)}\right), \quad\) (matrix QR decomposition )
    end for
    \(Q=\operatorname{ifft}(\tilde{Q},[], 3), \mathcal{R}=\operatorname{ifft}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}},[], 3)\)
```

To solve the problem (4.10), we need the result of the following conservation-norm property.
Proposition 4.8. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ and $Q \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m \times n_{3}}$ such that $Q^{T} \star Q=Q \star Q^{T}=\mathcal{J}_{m m n_{3}}$. Then

$$
\|Q \star y\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}=\|y\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}
$$

Proof. The proof is a direct application of the T- $\ell_{2}$ norm.
Now, we apply the T-QR decomposition to $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$, and by using Proposition 4.8, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star r_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} & =\left\|Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star r_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} \\
& =\left\|Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star r_{1,1}\right)-Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} \\
& \left.=\| \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m}-\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{m} \star y\right) \|_{T_{\ell_{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m}=Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{m}=Q_{m}^{T} \star \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}$.

The next property gives the solution $y_{m}$ of the minimisation problem (4.10).
Proposition 4.9. Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{G}}_{m}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{m}$ given as

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{m}=Q_{m}^{T} \star \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \text {, and } \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m}=Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{g}_{1}  \tag{4.12}\\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{g}_{m+1}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m+1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}
$$

The solution $\left.y_{m}=\arg \min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}} \| \mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right) \|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}$ is given by solving the following triangular tensor problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{m} \star y_{m}=\mathcal{G}_{m} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{m}=\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{m}(1: m,:,:)$ the tensor obtained by deleting the last horizontal slice of $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{g}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{g}_{m}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1 \times n_{3}}$.

Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} & =\left\|Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}-\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} \\
& =\left\|Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(m+1)} \star \mathbf{r}_{1,1}\right)-Q_{m}^{T} \star\left(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m} \star y\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} \\
& \left.=\| \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}_{m}-\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{m} \star y\right) \|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} \\
& \left.=\left\|\mathbf{g}_{m+1}\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}^{2}+\| \mathcal{G}_{m}-\mathcal{R}_{m} \star y\right) \|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming that $\mathcal{R}_{m}$ is invertible, then $y_{m}$ solves the triangular tensor problem (4.13).
In the following, we introduce the tubal-back substitution method for solving the equation (4.13). This method follows the same steps as for matrix backward substitution, where the tube fibers (3-mode fibers), lateral slices and T-product play the role of scalars, vectors and matrix product, respectively. In other words, the solution of the triangular tensor system (4.13) can be obtained as follows

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{r}_{1,1} & \mathbf{r}_{1,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{r}_{1, k} \\
& \mathbf{r}_{2,2} & \cdots & \mathbf{r}_{2, k} \\
& & \ddots & \vdots \\
& & & \mathbf{r}_{m, m}
\end{array}\right] \star\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{y}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{y}_{m}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{g}_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\vdots \\
\mathbf{g}_{m}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $\mathbf{y}_{m}=\left(\mathbf{r}_{m, m}\right)^{-1} \star \mathrm{~g}_{m}$ and

$$
\mathbf{y}_{i}=\left(\mathbf{r}_{i, i}\right)^{-1} \star\left(\mathbf{g}_{i}-\sum_{j=i+1}^{m} \mathbf{r}_{i, j} \star \mathbf{y}_{j}\right) \text { for } i=m-1, m-2, \ldots, 1
$$

where $\left(\mathbf{r}_{i, i}\right)^{-1}$ stands for the inverse of the tube fiber $\mathbf{r}_{i, i}$ (Definition 4.1) for $i=m, m-1, \ldots, 1$.
The whole steps of the tensor tubal-global GMRES algorithm are summarized in the following algorithm.

```
Algorithm 9 The Tensor Tubal-Global GMRES (m)
Require: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{B}, X_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}\), the maximum number of iterations Iter \({ }_{\text {max }}\), the
    restart parameter \(m\) and a tolerance tol \(>0\).
Ensure: \(X_{m} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times s \times n_{3}}\) the approximate solution of (4.6).
    Compute \(\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}_{0}\).
    for \(k=1, \ldots\), Iter \(_{\text {max }}\) do
        Apply Algorithm 7 to compute \(\mathbb{V}_{m}\) and \(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}\).
        Compute the T-QR decomposition of \(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{m}\) using Algorithm [8.
        Compute \(\mathcal{R}_{m}\) and \(\mathcal{G}_{m}\) using the relations (4.12).
        Solve the triangular tensor system (4.13) to obtain \(y_{m}\).
        Compute \(X_{m}=X_{0}+\mathbb{V}_{m} \star\left(y_{m} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s n_{3}}\right)\)
            if \(\left\|\mathcal{R}_{m}\right\|_{F}<t o l\),
                Stop
            else \(X_{0}=X_{m}\) and go to Step 1 .
            end if
    end for
```

5. Tensor tubal-global Golub Kahan algorithm. Instead of using the tensor global Arnoldi process to generate a basis for the projected subspace, we can use the tensor tubal global Lanczos process. Here, we will use the tensor Golub Kahan algorithm related to the T-product. We notice that we already defined in [6] another version of the tensor Golub Kahan algorithm for ill-posed problems with applications to color image processing.
Consider the least squares problem of tensors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{X}\|\mathcal{A} \star X-\mathcal{B}\|_{F} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}$. The tensor tubal-global Golub Kahan bidiagonalization algorithm (Algorithm (10) produces a T-orthogonormal basis $\mathbb{U}_{k}=\left[\mathcal{U}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_{k}, \mathcal{U}_{k+1}\right] \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times(k+1) s \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{k}=\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{k}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times k s \times n_{3}}$ of the tensor Krylov subspace $\mathcal{T}_{k}^{g}(\mathcal{A} \star$ $\left.\mathcal{A}^{T}, \mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{A}^{T} \star \mathcal{B}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{T} \mathcal{K}_{k}^{g}\left(\mathcal{A}^{T} \star \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{T} \star \mathcal{B}\right)$, respectively. The algorithm is given as follows

```
Algorithm 10 The Tensor Tubal-Global Golub-Kahan algorithm
Require: The tensors \(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\), and an integer \(m\).
    Set \(\mathcal{V}_{0}=0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}\) and \(\left[\mathcal{U}_{1}, \mathbf{a}_{1}\right]=\) Normalization(B).
    for \({ }_{\widetilde{V}}=1, \ldots, k\) do
        \(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}=\mathcal{A}^{T} \star \mathcal{U}_{j}-\mathbf{a}_{j} * \mathcal{V}_{j-1}\)
        Set \(\left[\nu_{j}, \mathbf{b}_{j}\right]=\operatorname{Normalization}(\widetilde{\mathcal{V}})\)
        \(\widetilde{U}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{V}_{j}-\mathbf{b}_{j} * U_{j}\)
        \(\left[\mathcal{U}_{j+1}, \mathbf{a}_{j+1}\right]=\operatorname{Normalization}(\widetilde{\mathcal{U}})\)
    end for
```

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k}$ be the upper bidiagonal $(k+1) \times k \times n_{3}$ tensor

$$
\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{k}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{b}_{1} & & & \\
\mathbf{a}_{2} & \mathbf{b}_{2} & \ddots & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & \mathbf{a}_{k} & \mathbf{b}_{k} \\
& & & \mathbf{a}_{k+1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and let $\mathcal{C}_{k}$ be the $\left(k \times k \times n_{3}\right)$ tensor obtain by deleting the last horizontal slice of $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k}$ where the $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ 's and $\mathbf{b}_{i}$ 's are fibers then we have the following results.

Proposition 5.1. The tensors $\mathbb{V}_{k}=\left[\mathcal{V}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_{k}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times m s \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathbb{U}_{k}=\left[\mathcal{U}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{U}_{k}\right] \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times k s \times n_{3}}$ given by Algorithm10, have orthogonal tensors $\mathcal{V}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times s \times n_{3}}$ and $\mathcal{U}_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}$, respectively, i.e.

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{i}, \mathcal{V}_{j}\right\rangle_{T}=\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{i}, \mathcal{U}_{j}\right\rangle_{T}= \begin{cases}\mathbf{e} & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Proof. This will be shown by induction on j. For $j=1$, Algorithm 10 shows that $\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{1}, \mathcal{V}_{1}\right\rangle_{T}=$ $\mathbf{e}$ and $\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{1}, \mathcal{U}_{1}\right\rangle_{T}=\mathbf{e}$. Assume now that the result is true for some j . Then, from Algorithm 10 and using the results of Proposition 3.5, we conclude that

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{V}_{i}, \mathcal{V}_{j}\right\rangle_{T}=\left\langle\mathcal{U}_{i}, \mathcal{U}_{j}\right\rangle_{T}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{e} & i=j \\
0 & i \neq j
\end{array}, j=1, \ldots, k\right.
$$

$\square$
Proposition 5.2. The tensors produced by the tensor tubal-global Golub-Kahan algorithm satisfy the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A} \star \mathbb{V}_{k} & =\mathbb{U}_{k+1} \star\left(\widetilde{\mathfrak{C}}_{k} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
& =\mathbb{U}_{k} \star\left(\mathcal{C}_{k} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s_{s n_{3}}}\right)+U_{k+1} \star\left(\left(\mathrm{a}_{k+1} \star \mathcal{E}_{k}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s_{s n_{3}}}\right), \text { and }  \tag{5.3}\\
\mathcal{A}^{T} \star \mathbb{U}_{k} & =\mathbb{V}_{k} \star\left(\mathcal{C}_{k}^{T} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)  \tag{5.4}\\
\mathcal{B} & =\mathbb{U}_{k+1} \star\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star \mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{\text {ssn }_{3}}\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{k}=[\mathbf{o}, \ldots, \mathbf{o}, \mathbf{e}] \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times k \times n_{3}}, \varepsilon_{1}^{(k+1)}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\mathbf{e} \\ \mathbf{o} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{o}\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1 \times 1 \times n_{3}}$, where $\mathbf{e}$ the tube fiber such that unfold $(\mathbf{e})=(1,0,0 \ldots, 0)^{T}$ and $\mathbf{o}$ denotes de zeros tube fiber of size $\left(1 \times 1 \times n_{3}\right)$ whit all entries are equal to zero.

Proof. The proofs come directly from the different steps of Algorithm 10 Next, we show how to apply the tensor tubal-global Golub-Kahan process to get approximate solutions to the tensor linear system (5.1).

Proposition 5.3. Starting from the zero tensor initial guess $X_{0}$, the approximation $X_{k}=$ $\mathbb{V}_{k} \star\left(y \circledast \mathcal{J}_{\text {ssn }_{3}}\right)$ of the tensor linear system (15.1) where $y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1 \times n_{3}}$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{B}-\mathcal{A} \star X_{k}\right\|_{F}=\left\|\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star \mathbf{a}_{1}-\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k} \star y\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Using the relation (5.2), Proposition4.7 and the fact that $\mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{B}=\mathbb{U}_{k+1} \star\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}-\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}_{k}\right\|_{F} & \left.=\| \mathbb{U}_{k+1} \star\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star \mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)-\mathbb{U}_{k+1} \star\left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{m} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right) \star\left(y \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\right) \|_{F} \\
& \left.=\| \mathbb{U}_{k+1} \star\left(\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star \mathbf{a}_{1}\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)-\left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k} \star y\right)\right) \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}} \|_{F} \\
& \left.=\| \mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star \mathbf{a}_{1}-\left(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k} \star y\right)\right) \|_{T_{\ell_{2}}},
\end{aligned}
$$

which ends the proof. $\square$ The approximate solution $X_{k}$ produced by this process is given by

$$
x_{k}=\mathbb{V}_{k} \star\left(y \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s n_{3}}\right)
$$

where $y_{k}$ solves the low-order minimization problem

$$
\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1 \times n_{3}}}\left\|\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star \mathbf{a}_{1}-\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k} \star y\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}
$$

The following algorithm summarizes the main steps to solve the least squares tensor problem (5.1) using the tensor tubal-global Golub Kahan.

```
Algorithm 11 Tensor Tubal-Global Golub Kahan (TTGK)
Require: \(\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times n_{2} \times n_{3}}, \mathcal{B}, \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{1} \times s \times n_{3}}\), kmax the maximum number of iterations, and a
    tolerance tol.
Ensure: \(X_{k} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{2} \times s \times n_{3}}\) the approximate solution of the problem (5.1).
    for \(k=1, \ldots, \operatorname{kmax}\) do
        Apply Algorithm 7 to compute \(\mathbb{V}_{k}\) and \(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{k}\).
        Compute \(y_{k}=\arg \min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times 1 \times n_{3}}}\left\|\left(\mathcal{E}_{1}^{(k+1)} \star \mathbf{a}_{1}-\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_{k} \star y\right)\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}\) and the approximate solution
        \(X_{k}=\mathbb{V}_{k} \star\left(y_{k} \circledast \mathcal{J}_{s s n_{3}}\right)\).
            if \(\left\|\mathcal{R}_{k}\right\|_{F}<\) tol
                Stop.
            end if
    end for
```

6. Numerical experiments . In this section we present some numerical tests for the tensor tubal-global GMRES method when solving linear tensor problems (4.6) and we give some comparisons with the tensor global GMRES method define in [5]. We used only benchmark examples to test the proposed algorithms. All computations were carried out using the MATLAB R2018b environment with an $\operatorname{Intel}(\mathrm{R})$ Core i7-8550U CPU @1.80 GHz and processor 8 GB. The stopping criterion was

$$
\frac{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{k}\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}}{\left\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}}<\epsilon
$$

where $\epsilon=10^{-6}$ is a chosen tolerance and $\mathcal{R}_{k}$ the m-th residual associated to the approximate solution $X_{k}$. In all the presented tables, we reported the obtained residual norms to achieve the desired convergence, the iteration number and the corresponding cpu-time. We compared the required cpu-time (in seconds) to achieve the convergence for Algorithm 9 and T-GGMRES(m), the restarted tensor global GMRES introduced in [5].
6.1. Example1. The tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is constructed using $n_{3}$ frontal slices. In this example, the frontal slices are of size $n \times n$ and given as follows

$$
A_{i}=\operatorname{eye}(n)+\frac{i}{2 \sqrt{n}} \operatorname{rand}(n) \quad i=1, \ldots, n_{3}
$$

The right-hand side tensor $\mathcal{B}$ is constructed such that the exact solution $\mathcal{X}^{*}$ of the tensor linear equation (4.6) is given by $\mathcal{X}^{*}=\operatorname{ones}\left(n, s, n_{3}\right)$.. The integer $m$ denotes the restarted parameter for the restarted tensor tubal-global GMRES and also for the restarted tensor global GMRES.

Table 6.1
Results for Example 1. $\epsilon=10^{-6}, s=5$ and $n_{3}=4$

| Method | n | \# its. | $\frac{\left\\|\mathfrak{R}_{k}\right\\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}}{\left\\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\\|_{T_{\ell_{2}}}}$ | cpu-time in seconds |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm 9 | 500 | 3 | $1.06 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 5}$ |
| T-GGMRES | 500 | 5 | $1.52 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.75 |
| Algorithm 9 | 1000 | 3 | $1.26 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 3}$ |
| T-GGMRES | 1000 | 6 | $2.02 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.92 |
| Algorithm 9 | 1500 | 3 | $3.91 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 6 0}$ |
| T-GGMRES $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1500 | 6 | $2.02 \times 10^{-6}$ | 4.02 |

In Table 6.1, we reported the obtained relative residual norms, the total number of required iterations to achieve the convergence and the corresponding cpu-times for the tensor tubalglobal GMRES(m) as compared to the tensor global GMRES(m) for different values of the restarted parameter $m$. We gave different values of $n$ and used $m=10$ to fix the size of the projected subspace and to restart the two algorithms. As shown in this table, the tensor tubalglobal GMRES return better results and this is due to the fact that it needs lower iterations to achieve the convergence.
6.2. Example 2. In this example, the tensor $\mathcal{A}$ of size $m_{0}^{2} \times m_{0}^{2} \times n_{3}$, is the Laplacian tensor constructed by using the 7 -point discretization of the three-dimensional Poisson equation (6.1) given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
-\nabla^{2} \nu=f, & \Omega=\{(x, y, z)=0<x, y, z<1\}  \tag{6.1}\\
\nu=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\nabla^{2} \nu=\frac{\partial^{2} \nu}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \nu}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \nu}{\partial z^{2}}
$$

The right hand tensor $\mathcal{B}$ was constructed such that $\mathcal{B}=\mathcal{A} \star \mathcal{X}^{*}$ where $\mathcal{X}^{*}=\operatorname{ones}\left(m_{0}^{2}, s, n_{3}\right)$. The mesh step size is given by : $\Delta x=\Delta y=\Delta z=h=\frac{1}{\left(m_{0}^{2}+1\right)}$ where $\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z$ are the step sizes in the x -direction, y -direction and z -direction, respectively. Applying the difference formula obtain by the standard central difference approximation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
6 \nu_{i j k}-\nu_{i-1 j k}-\nu_{i+1 j k}-\nu_{i j-1 k}-\nu_{i j+1 k}-\nu_{i j k-1}-\nu_{i j k+1}=h^{3} f_{i j k} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Laplacian tensor $\mathcal{A}$ can be obtained from the central difference approximations (6.2) in several forms. Here, $\mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as a third order tensor as follows

$$
\operatorname{unfold}(\mathcal{A})=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
A_{1} & A_{2} & \ldots & A_{n_{3}}
\end{array}\right]^{T}
$$

where

$$
A_{i-1}=A_{i+1}=\frac{-1}{h^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & & 1 & 0 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \text { and } A_{i}=\frac{-1}{h^{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 6 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \ldots & -1 & 6 & -1 \\
0 & \ldots & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

TABLE 6.2
Results for Example 2. $n_{3}=m_{0}^{2}, s=3$ and $\epsilon=10^{-6}$

| method | $m$ | Size $\left(m_{0}^{2} \times m_{0}^{2} \times m_{0}^{2}\right)$ | \# Iter. | $\frac{\left\\|\mathcal{R}_{k}\right\\|_{\ell_{2}}}{\left\\|\mathcal{R}_{0}\right\\|_{\ell_{2}}}$ | cpu-time (in seconds) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm 9 | 10 | $100 \times 100 \times 100$ | 2 | $9.73 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 2}$ |
| T-GGMRES | 10 | $100 \times 100 \times 100$ | 8 | $3.85 \times 10^{-6}$ | 0.74 |
| Algorithm 9 | 10 | $144 \times 144 \times 144$ | 3 | $9.73 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 0 9}$ |
| T-GGMRES | 10 | $144 \times 144 \times 144$ | 7 | $1.86 \times 10^{-6}$ | 1.20 |
| Algorithm 9 | 15 | $225 \times 225 \times 225$ | 2 | $1.51 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 5}$ |
| T-GGMRES | 15 | $225 \times 225 \times 225$ | 6 | $3.85 \times 10^{-6}$ | 5.50 |

Table 6.2 reports on the obtained relative residual norms and the corresponding cpu-time to obtain the desired convergence. As can be seen from this table, the tensor tubal-global GMRES method gives good results as compared to the tensor global GMRES. We didn't report here the results for Goulb-Kahan because the algorithm generally performs well when solving leastsquares equations with non square problems.
7. Conlusion. In this paper, we presented some new Krylov subspace methods using the T-product and some new other tensor products. We gave new algebraic properties of these products that allowed us to build new tensor Krylov-subspace based algorithms for solving tensor equations. We focussed on the tubal-global GMRES and the tubal-global Golub-Kahan algorithms. Some numerical tests on simple examples are also reported.
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