

A NORMALIZED SOLITARY WAVE SOLUTION OF THE MAXWELL-DIRAC EQUATIONS

MARGHERITA NOLASCO

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of a L^2 -normalized solitary wave solution for the Maxwell-Dirac equations in (3+1)-Minkowski space. In addition, for the Coulomb-Dirac model, describing fermions with attractive Coulomb interactions in the mean-field limit, we prove the existence of the (positive) energy minimizer.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 49S05; 81V10; 35Q60; 35Q51.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

The Lagrangian for a charged, spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ relativistic particle (here $\hbar = c = 1$) interacting with its own electromagnetic field is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}(i\gamma^\mu D_\mu - m)\Psi - \frac{1}{16\pi}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu},$$

where we use the four-vector notations, $\mu, \nu \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and repeated index summation convention, with metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}\{1, -1, -1, -1\}$ used to lower or raise the Lorentz indices. γ^μ are the 4×4 Dirac matrices given by $\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\gamma^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^k \\ -\sigma^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, and σ_k are the 2×2 - Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Ψ is the Dirac spinor taking values in $\mathbb{C}^4$ and $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^\dagger \gamma^0$ is the Dirac adjoint, with $\Psi^\dagger$ the hermitian conjugate of Ψ ; $m > 0$ is the particle's mass, $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieA_\mu$ is the gauge covariant derivative, with e the particle's charge ($e < 0$ for the electron) and A^μ is the electromagnetic 4-vector potential. $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the electromagnetic tensor field.

The Euler-Lagrange equations in the Lorenz gauge ($\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$) are given by the Maxwell-Dirac equations

$$(MD) \quad \begin{cases} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - e\gamma^\mu A_\mu)\Psi - m\Psi = 0 \\ \partial_\nu \partial^\nu A^\mu = 4\pi j^\mu \end{cases}$$

where $j^\mu = e\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\Psi$ is the conserved Dirac current ($\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0$). We look for solutions of (MD) stationary in time, localized and L^2 -normalized in space, called *solitary waves*, and which can be seen as representations of the *extended particles*. Numerical evidence of the existence of solitary wave solutions of (MD) was obtained in [13]. The first proof of the existence of solitary waves is given by using variational methods (a linking argument) by M. Esteban V. Georgiev and E. Séré in [8]. They proved the existence of stationary solutions $\Psi(t, x) = e^{i\omega t}\psi(x)$, for any $\omega \in (0, m)$, with ψ smooth, and exponentially decreasing at infinity together with

Research partially supported by MIUR grant PRIN 2015 2015KB9WPT, "Variational methods, with applications to problems in mathematical physics and geometry".

all its derivatives. This result was later generalized to any $\omega \in (-m, m)$ in [1], using an axial symmetry ansatz on the class of solutions. Recently in [3] the authors prove the existence of solitary waves using a perturbative approach. In fact they prove the existence of a small amplitude stationary solution which bifurcates (via Implicit Function theorem) from the ground state of the Choquard's equation (see [11]). Let us remark that both the variational approach used in [8] (and also in [1]) and the perturbative approach used in [3] do not provide solutions with prescribed L^2 -norm. Aim of this paper is to find one such L^2 -normalized solution. We use a different variational characterization for critical points of the energy functional, inspired by the one used to characterize the first eigenvalue of the Dirac operators with Coulomb-type potentials (see e.g. [7], also [6] for an application in the nonlinear case) and we use of concentration-compactness-type arguments (see [12]). Note that in [6] the presence of an attractive external Coulomb potential (the dominant focusing term) allows one to recover compactness.

Let us also quote the article [2] where the authors study normalized solutions for a different problem which also has a strongly indefinite structure. In that paper the authors use a penalization method in the spirit of [9].

Our main result is the following.

Theorem *There exists $\omega \in (0, m)$ and $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$, with $\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, such that*

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Psi(x^0, x) = e^{i\omega x^0} \psi(x) \\ A^\mu(x^0, x) = A^\mu(x) = e(\gamma^0 \psi, \gamma^\mu \psi)_{\mathbb{C}^4} * \frac{1}{|x|} \end{cases}$$

is a solution of (MD).

As already mentioned we prove this result by using a variational characterization of critical level of the energy functional introduced for the first eigenvalue of Dirac operators. Indeed let $A = (A^0, \mathbf{A})$, with $\mathbf{A} = (A^1, A^2, A^3)$, be the four-vector potential A^μ , clearly $A^0 = A_0$ and $A^k = -A_k$, ($k = 1, 2, 3$), and let denote $\beta = \gamma^0$ and $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \alpha^3)$, with $\alpha^k = \gamma^0 \gamma^k$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$). Then (Ψ, A) is a L^2 -normalized stationary solution of (MD) of the form (1.1) if (ψ, ω) is a solution of the following (nonlinear) eigenvalue problem

$$(E_\omega) \quad \begin{cases} (i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla - m\beta)\psi - eA_0\psi + e\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \mathbf{A}\psi = \omega\psi \\ A_0(x) = e|\psi|^2 * \frac{1}{|x|}; \quad \mathbf{A}(x) = e(\psi, \boldsymbol{\alpha}\psi)_{\mathbb{C}^4} * \frac{1}{|x|} \\ \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

We look for solutions of (E_ω) as (constrained) critical points of the functional

$$\mathcal{I}_{MD}(\psi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\psi, D\psi)_{\mathbb{C}^4} - \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy$$

where $D = i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla - m\beta$ and $\rho_\psi = |\psi|^2$ and $J_\psi = (\psi, \boldsymbol{\alpha}\psi)$, on the manifold

$$\Sigma = \{\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) : \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 = 1\}.$$

Note also that in the units we choose ($\hbar = c = 1$) the coupling constant e^2 is, as a matter of fact, the dimensionless fine structure constant $\frac{e^2}{\hbar c} \approx \frac{1}{137}$. The functional $\mathcal{I}_{MD}$ is strongly indefinite and presents a lack of compactness. Indeed, the operator $D = i\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \nabla - m\beta$ is a first order, self-adjoint operator on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ with purely absolutely continuous spectrum given by

$$\sigma(D) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty).$$

Let $\Lambda_{\pm}(D)$ be the two infinite rank orthogonal projectors on the positive/negative energies subspaces, then

$$D\Lambda_{\pm}(D) = \Lambda_{\pm}(D)D = \pm\sqrt{-\Delta + m}\Lambda_{\pm}(D) = \pm\Lambda_{\pm}(D)\sqrt{-\Delta + m},$$

hence for $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ the operator form is given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\psi, D\psi)_{\mathbb{C}^4} = \|(-\Delta + m)^{1/4}\Lambda_+(D)\psi\|_{L^2}^2 - \|(-\Delta + m)^{1/4}\Lambda_-(D)\psi\|_{L^2}^2$$

and we denote $X_{\pm}(D) = \Lambda_{\pm}(D)H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$.

In fact we prove the existence of the L^2 -normalized solitary wave solution of (MD) by means of the following variational characterization.

Theorem 1.2. *Let define*

$$E = \inf_{\substack{W \subset X_+(D) \\ \dim W=1}} \sup_{\substack{\phi \in W \oplus X_-(D) \\ \|\phi\|_{L^2}=1}} \mathcal{I}_{MD}(\phi)$$

then $E \in (0, m)$ and it is attained, namely there exists $\psi \in \Sigma$ such that $\mathcal{I}_{MD}(\psi) = E$. Moreover, there exists $\omega \in (0, m)$ (Lagrange multiplier) such that

$$d\mathcal{I}_{MD}(\psi)[h] = 2\omega \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi | h \rangle_{L^2}, \quad \forall h \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$$

that is $(\psi, w) \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \times (0, m)$ is a solution of (E_{ω}) and

$$\begin{cases} \Psi(x^0, x) = e^{i\omega x^0} \psi(x) \\ A^{\mu}(x^0, x) = A^{\mu}(x) = e(\gamma^0 \psi, \gamma^{\mu} \psi)_{\mathbb{C}^4} * \frac{1}{|x|} \end{cases}$$

is a L^2 -normalized solitary wave solution of (MD).

In addition, E is the lowest positive critical value of the functional $\mathcal{I}_{MD}$ on Σ .

As a byproduct of the proof of Theorem 1.2, we obtain also an interesting result for the Coulomb-Dirac model, describing fermions with attractive Coulomb interactions and that can be viewed as a semiclassical approximation of the (relativistically invariant) *polaron* model. We refer to [4] for a detailed discussion of this model and its solitary waves and to [1] for a multiplicity results of (not normalized) stationary solutions.

Denoting $H = -i\alpha \cdot \nabla + m\beta = -D$, note that this is the operator usually called the (free) Dirac operator, clearly $\Lambda_{\pm}(H) = \Lambda_{\mp}(D)$ and $X_{\pm}(H) = X_{\mp}(D)$, then we have the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *There exists $\omega \in (0, m)$ and $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ solution of*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} (-i\alpha \cdot \nabla + m\beta)\psi + eA_0\psi = \omega \psi \\ A_0(x) = -e|\psi|^2 * \frac{1}{|x|} \\ \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{I}_{CD}(\psi) = \inf_{\substack{W \subset X_+(H) \\ \dim W=1}} \sup_{\substack{\phi \in W \oplus X_-(H) \\ \|\phi\|_{L^2}=1}} \mathcal{I}_{CD}(\phi) = E \in (0, m),$$

where

$$\mathcal{I}_{CD}(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\phi, H\phi)_{\mathbb{C}^4} - e^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\phi|^2(x)|\phi|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy.$$

In addition, E is the lowest positive critical value of the energy functional $\mathcal{I}_{CD}$.

Let us mention a related result obtained in [10] where the authors prove the existence and orbital stability for the L^2 -normalized, solitary wave solution, minimizer of the energy functional for the pseudo-relativistic model describing bosons with attractive Coulomb interactions.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

From now on we take $m = 1$. We denote by $\hat{u}$ or $\mathcal{F}(u)$ the Fourier transform of u , defined by extending the formula

$$\hat{u}(p) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-ip \cdot x} u(x) dx, \quad \text{for } u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

We denote

$$\langle f | g \rangle_{H^{1/2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sqrt{|p|^2 + 1} (\hat{f}(p), \hat{g}(p)) dp$$

the scalar product in $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ with $(\cdot, \cdot)$ the hermitian scalar product in $\mathbb{C}^4$.

Let $H = -i\alpha \cdot \nabla + \beta$ be the (free) Dirac operator, in the (momentum) Fourier space we have the multiplication operator $\hat{H}(p) = \mathcal{F}H\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \alpha \cdot p + \beta$ which, for each $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$, is an Hermitian 4×4 -matrix with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(p) = \lambda_2(p) = -\lambda_3(p) = -\lambda_4(p) = \sqrt{|p|^2 + 1} \equiv \lambda(p).$$

The unitary transformation $U(p)$ which diagonalize $\hat{H}(p)$ is given explicitly by

$$\begin{aligned} U(p) &= u_+(p)\mathbb{I}_4 + u_-(p)\beta \frac{\alpha \cdot p}{|p|} \\ U^{-1}(p) &= u_+(p)\mathbb{I}_4 - u_-(p)\beta \frac{\alpha \cdot p}{|p|} = U^\dagger(p) \end{aligned}$$

with $u_\pm(p) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \frac{1}{\lambda(p)})}$. We have

$$U(p)\hat{H}(p)U^{-1}(p) = \lambda(p)\beta = \sqrt{|p|^2 + 1}\beta.$$

Hence the two orthogonal projectors $\Lambda_\pm(H)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ are given by

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda_\pm(H) = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{-1} U(p)^{-1} (\mathbb{I}_4 \pm \beta) U(p) \mathcal{F}.$$

We denote $X_\pm(H) = \Lambda_\pm(H)H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$. Clearly we have $\Lambda_\pm(H) = \Lambda_\mp(D)$ and $X_\pm(H) = X_\mp(D)$.

It may be useful consider the Foldy-Wouthuysen (FW) transformation (see e.g. [14]), namely the unitary transformation $U_{\text{FW}} = \mathcal{F}^{-1}U(p)\mathcal{F}$. Note that under the FW transformation the projectors $\Lambda_\pm(H)$ become simply

$$(2.2) \quad \Lambda(H)_\pm^{(\text{FW})} = U_{\text{FW}} \Lambda_\pm(H) U_{\text{FW}}^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{I}_4 \pm \beta).$$

Note that $\Lambda(D)_\pm^{(\text{FW})} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{I}_4 \mp \beta)$.

We consider the smooth functional $\mathcal{I}: H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathcal{I}(\psi) = \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy$$

where $\psi_\pm = \Lambda_\pm(D)\psi$, $\rho_\psi = |\psi|^2$ and $J_\psi = (\psi, \alpha\psi)$.

The Frechét derivative $d\mathcal{I}(\phi): H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{I}(\psi)[h] &= 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi_+ | h_+ \rangle_{H^{1/2}} - 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi_- | h_- \rangle_{H^{1/2}} \\ &\quad - 2e^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x) \operatorname{Re}(\psi, h)(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\psi, \alpha h)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $h = h_+ + h_- \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$, with $h_\pm \in X_\pm(D)$.

Clearly $(\psi, \omega) \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \times \mathbb{R}$ is a weak solution of (E_ω) if and only if

$$d\mathcal{I}(\psi)[h] = \omega 2 \operatorname{Re} \langle \psi | h \rangle_{L^2}, \quad \forall h \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4).$$

Hence we look for (constrained) critical points of $\mathcal{I}$ on the manifold

$$\Sigma = \{\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) : \|\psi\|_{L^2}^2 = 1\}.$$

Remark 2.3. Let us recall the following Hardy-type inequalities :

$$\text{Hardy: } \||x|^{-1}\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 4\|\nabla\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \text{ for all } \psi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3);$$

$$\text{Kato: } \||x|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \gamma_K \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}\psi\|_{L^2}^2 \text{ for all } \psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3), \text{ with } \gamma_K = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Let us remark that $e^2\gamma_K < \frac{1}{87}$.

In view of Kato's inequality for any $\rho \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\rho * \frac{1}{|x|}) |\psi|^2(y) dy \leq \gamma_K \|\rho\|_{L^1} \|(-\Delta)^{1/4}\psi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Remark 2.5. Since $\mathcal{F}[\frac{1}{|x|}] = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{1}{|p|^2}$, for any $f \in L^1 \cap L^{3/2}$ we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{f(x)\bar{f}(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy = 4\pi \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\hat{f}|^2(p)}{|p|^2} dp \geq 0.$$

Hence in particular

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \geq 0.$$

Moreover since $|J_\psi| \leq \rho_\psi$ for any $\psi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$, we have that

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \geq 0.$$

Moreover we have the following useful result (see the Appendix for the proof).

Lemma 2.8. *For any $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_- \in \Sigma$, let define $w = \frac{\psi_+}{\|\psi_+\|_{L^2}}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &\quad - 8\gamma_K(\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) - 10\gamma_K(\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, with $\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, and $\frac{\psi_+}{\|\psi_+\|_{L^2}} = U_{FW}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_v(x)\rho_v(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &\quad - 8\gamma_K\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 10\gamma_K(\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2\|v\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we recall the following convergence result. Let $v \in H^{1/2}$, f_n, g_n, h_n bounded sequences in $H^{1/2}$ such that one of them converges weakly to zero in $H^{1/2}$, then we have (see for example [5, Lemma 4.1])

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f_n|(x)|g_n|(x)|v|(y)|h_n|(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

3. MAXIMIZATION PROBLEM

We introduce the family of functionals $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}: H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, with $m \in (0, 1]$,

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) = \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy,$$

where $\psi_\pm = \Lambda_\pm(D)\psi$, $\rho_\psi = |\psi|^2$ and $J_\psi = (\psi, \alpha\psi)$. Clearly $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}^{(1)}$.

Our first step will be to maximize the family of functionals $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on the space

$$\mathcal{X}_W = \{ \psi \in \Sigma \mid \psi_+ \in W \},$$

where $W \subset X_+(D)$ is a 1-dimensional vector space.

The tangent space of $\mathcal{X}_W$ at some point $\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W$ is the set

$$T_\psi \mathcal{X}_W = \{ h \in W \oplus X_-(D) \mid \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi | h \rangle_{L^2} = 0 \}$$

and $\nabla_{\mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)$, the projection of the gradient $\nabla \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)$ on $T_\psi \mathcal{X}_W$, is given by

$$\operatorname{Re}\langle \nabla_{\mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) | h \rangle_{H^{1/2}} = d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[h] - 2\omega(\psi) \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi | h \rangle_{L^2}$$

for all $h \in W \oplus X_-(D)$ and $\omega(\psi) \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\nabla_{\mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) \in T_\psi \mathcal{X}_W$.

Let us introduce

$$\Sigma_+ = \{ w \in X_+(D) \mid \|w\|_{L^2}^2 = 1 \},$$

then, from now on, we characterize the 1-dimensional vector space $W \subset X_+(D)$ as $W = \operatorname{span}\{w\}$, with $w \in \Sigma_+$.

We begin giving a result on Palais-Smale sequences of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, in particular we prove that the Palais-Smale condition holds on $\mathcal{X}_W$ for $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ at the positive levels.

Proposition 3.1. *For any $w \in \Sigma_+$ and for any $m \in (0, 1]$, let $\{\psi_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}_W$ be a Palais-Smale sequence of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, that is*

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n) \rightarrow c \text{ and } \|\nabla_{\mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n)\|_{H^{1/2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then,

- (i) $\{\psi_n\} \subset \mathcal{X}_W$ is bounded in $H^{1/2}$;
- (ii) $\omega(\psi_n)$ is bounded and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega(\psi_n)(\|(\psi_n)_+\|_{L^2}^2 - \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{L^2}^2) > 0$;
- (iii) If $c > 0$ then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega(\psi_n) > 0$ and $\{\psi_n\}$ is pre-compact in $H^{1/2}$.

Proof. (i) Since $\{(\psi_n)_+\} \subset W$ and $\|(\psi_n)_+\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 1$, we have $\|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}$. In view of (2.7) we have

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n) \leq \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$$

hence we get $\|(\psi_n)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n) \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - c + o(1)$.

(ii) Since

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\psi_n) + o(1) &= \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n)[\psi_n] \\ &= \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n) - m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x)\rho_{\psi_n}(y) - J_{\psi_n}(x) \cdot J_{\psi_n}(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \end{aligned}$$

by (2.4) and (2.7) we have

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n) - m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K \|\psi_n\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq \omega(\psi_n) \leq \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n).$$

Then since $\{\psi_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $H^{1/2}$ we conclude that $\omega(\psi_n)$ is a bounded sequence. Moreover, we have

$$o(1) = d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n)[(\psi_n)_+ - (\psi_n)_-] - 2\omega(\psi_n)(\|(\psi_n)_+\|_{L^2}^2 - \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{L^2}^2)$$

and since $\operatorname{Re}(\psi_+ + \psi_-, \psi_+ - \psi_-) = |\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2$ again by (2.4) and (2.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\psi_n) & (\|(\psi_n)_+\|_{L^2}^2 - \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{L^2}^2) + o(1) = \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\ & - me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x)(|(\psi_n)_+|^2 - |(\psi_n)_-|^2)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ & + me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_{\psi_n}(x) \cdot (\operatorname{Re}((\psi_n)_+, \alpha(\psi_n)_+) - \operatorname{Re}((\psi_n)_-, \alpha(\psi_n)_-))(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ & \geq \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 2me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x)|(\psi_n)_+|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ & \geq (1 - 2e^2\gamma_K) \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 > 1 - 2e^2\gamma_K. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) If $c > 0$ clearly $\|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \geq \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$ for n sufficiently large, and by (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\psi_n) & \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{L^2}^2 + o(1) = \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n)[(\psi_n)_+] \\ & = \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x) \operatorname{Re}(\psi_n, (\psi_n)_+)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ & \quad + me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_{\psi_n}(x) \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\psi_n, \alpha(\psi_n)_+)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ & \geq \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 2e^2\gamma_K \|\psi_n\|_{H^{1/2}} \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}} \\ & \geq \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 4e^2\gamma_K \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \geq (1 - 4e^2\gamma_K) \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get $\omega(\psi_n) \geq (1 - 4e^2\gamma_K) + o(1)$.

Since $\{(\psi_n)_+\} \subset W$ clearly $(\psi_n)_+ \rightarrow \psi_+$ in $H^{1/2}$ (up to subsequence), moreover $(\psi_n)_- \rightarrow \psi_-$ weakly in $H^{1/2}$ (up to subsequence) and since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega(\psi_n) > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) & = -\frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi_n)[(\psi_n)_- - \psi_-] + \omega(\psi_n) \|(\psi_n)_- - \psi_-\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \geq \|(\psi_n)_- - \psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(\rho_{\psi_n} - |J_{\psi_n}|)(x)|(\psi_n)_- - \psi_-|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + o(1) \\ & \geq \|(\psi_n)_- - \psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

and we may conclude that also $(\psi_n)_- \rightarrow \psi_-$ strongly in $H^{1/2}$. $\square$

It turns out that all the critical points of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$ at positive levels are strict local maxima. More precisely we have the following result.

Proposition 3.2. *For any $m \in (0, 1]$ let $\psi^{(m)} \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4)$ be a critical point of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$ at a positive level, that is $d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)})[h] - 2\omega(\psi^{(m)}) \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi^{(m)} | h \rangle_{L^2} = 0$ for any $h \in W \oplus X_-(D)$ and $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}) > 0$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)})[h; h] - 2\omega(\psi^{(m)}) \|h\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\delta \|h\|_{H^{1/2}}^2, \quad \forall h \in T_{\psi^{(m)}} \mathcal{X}_W.$$

Hence in particular $\psi^{(m)}$ is a strict local maximum of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}) > 0$ then by Proposition 3.1-(iii) we have that $\omega(\psi^{(m)}) > 0$. By the $U(1)$ -invariance, a critical point $\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W$ (up to a phase factor) has the following form $\psi = a w + \eta$ with $a = a(\eta) = \sqrt{1 - \|\eta\|_{L^2}^2}$ and $\eta = \psi_-$. Now, any $h \in T_\psi \mathcal{X}_W$ takes the following form: $h = da(\eta)[\xi] w + \xi$ with $\xi \in X_-(D)$, and $da(\eta)[\xi] = -a^{-1} \operatorname{Re}\langle \eta | \xi \rangle_{L^2}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[h; h] &= a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[\psi; (da(\eta)[\xi]w - a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta)] \\ &\quad + 2d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[da(\eta)[\xi]w; \xi] + a^{-2}|da(\eta)[\xi]|^2d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[\eta; \eta] + d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[\xi; \xi]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) : H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \times H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[h; k] &= 2\operatorname{Re}\langle k_+ | h_+ \rangle_{H^{1/2}} - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle k_- | h_- \rangle_{H^{1/2}} \\ &\quad - 2me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\operatorname{Re}(h, k)(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot \operatorname{Re}(h, \alpha k)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ &\quad - 4me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\psi, h)(x)\operatorname{Re}(\psi, k)(y) - \operatorname{Re}(\psi, \alpha h)(x) \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\psi, \alpha k)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

we have in particular

$$\begin{aligned} d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[\psi; h] &= 2d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[h] - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle \psi_+ | h_+ \rangle_{H^{1/2}} + 2\operatorname{Re}\langle \psi_- | h_- \rangle_{H^{1/2}} \\ &\quad - 2me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\operatorname{Re}(\psi, h)(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\psi, \alpha h)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then, since $|da(\eta)[\xi]|^2 = -a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\operatorname{Re}\langle \eta | \xi \rangle_{L^2}$ and $h = da(\eta)[\xi]w + \xi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[\psi; (da(\eta)[\xi]w - a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta)] \\ &= 4\omega(\psi)\|da(\eta)[\xi]w\|_{L^2}^2 - 4\omega(\psi)\|a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad - 2\|da(\eta)[\xi]w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 2\|a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\quad - 2me^2|da(\eta)[\xi]|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_w(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ &\quad + 2me^2a^{-2}|da(\eta)[\xi]|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\eta(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\eta(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ &\leq 2\omega(\psi)\|h\|_{L^2}^2 - 2\|da(\eta)[\xi]w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 2\|a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\quad - 2me^2|da(\eta)[\xi]|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_w(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ &\quad + 2me^2a^{-2}|da(\eta)[\xi]|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\eta(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\eta(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we get

$$\begin{aligned} &d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[h; h] - 2\omega(\psi)\|h\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -2\|da(\eta)[\xi]w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 2\|a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\quad - 2me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_h(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_h(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ &\quad + 8e^2\gamma_K(\|da(\eta)[\xi]w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2) - 2(1 - 2e^2\gamma_K)\|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\quad - 2(1 - 2e^2\gamma_K)\|a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\leq -2(1 - 4e^2\gamma_K)\|da(\eta)[\xi]w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 2(1 - 6e^2\gamma_K)\|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\ &\quad - 4(1 - e^2\gamma_K)\|a^{-1}da(\eta)[\xi]\eta\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq -2(1 - 6e^2\gamma_K)\|h\|_{H^{1/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

For any $w \in \Sigma_+$ and $m \in (0, 1]$ we consider the following maximization problem

$$(3.3) \quad \lambda_W(m) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi).$$

We have the following estimates on $\lambda_W(m)$.

Lemma 3.4. *For any $w \in \Sigma_+$ and $m \in (0, 1]$, we have*

$$(3.5) \quad (1 - \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K) \leq (1 - m\frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K)\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq \lambda_W(m) \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2.$$

Proof. Clearly $\lambda_W(m) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) \geq \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(w)$ and by (2.6), (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(w) &\geq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - m\frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &\geq (1 - m\frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K)\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \geq (1 - \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (2.7) we have $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) \leq \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$ for any $\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W$, that is $\lambda_W(m) \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$. $\square$

In view of all the above results we completely solve the maximization problem (3.3), more precisely we have the following.

Proposition 3.6. *For any $w \in \Sigma_+$ and $m \in (0, 1]$ there exists, unique (up to a phase factor), $\psi^{(m)}(w) \in \mathcal{X}_W$, the strict global maximum of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, namely*

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w)) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) = \lambda_W(m).$$

Moreover, we have

$$d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w))[h] - 2\omega(\psi^{(m)}(w)) \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi^{(m)}(w) | h \rangle_{L^2} = 0 \quad \forall h \in W \oplus X_-(D)$$

and

- (i) $0 < \omega(\psi^{(m)}(w)) \leq \lambda_W(m)$ and $\|\psi_+^{(m)}(w)\|_{L^2}^2 > \|\psi_-^{(m)}(w)\|_{L^2}^2$;
- (ii) $\|\psi_+^{(m)}(w)\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-^{(m)}(w)\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\|\psi_-^{(m)}(w)\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq m\frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$;
- (iv) the map $v \in X_+(D) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \psi^{(m)}(P(v))$, with $P(v) = \|v\|_{L^2}^{-1}v \in \Sigma_+$, is smooth.

Proof. Existence: Since, by Lemma 3.4, $\lambda_W(m) > 0$, by Ekeland's variational principle, there exists a Palais-Smale, maximizing sequence $\{\psi_n^{(m)}\}$ of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, at a positive level. Then, by Proposition 3.1, $\psi_n^{(m)} \rightarrow \psi^{(m)}$ in $H^{1/2}$ (up to subsequence), $\omega(\psi_n^{(m)}) \rightarrow \omega(\psi^{(m)}) > 0$ and $\|\psi_+^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^2 > \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^2$. Therefore we conclude that

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) = \lambda_W(m)$$

and

$$d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)})[h] - 2\omega(\psi^{(m)}) \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi^{(m)} | h \rangle_{L^2} = 0 \quad \forall h \in W \oplus X_-(D).$$

(i) Note that

$$0 < \omega(\psi^{(m)}) = \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)})[\psi^{(m)}] \leq \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}) = \lambda_W(m).$$

(ii) Since by the $U(1)$ -invariance, we can assume that

$$\psi^{(m)} = \psi_+^{(m)} + \psi_-^{(m)} = (1 - \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} w + \psi_-^{(m)}$$

(up to a phase factor), with $w = \frac{\psi_+}{\|\psi_+\|_{L^2}} \in \Sigma_+$ then, since we have

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}) \geq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - m\frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy,$$

by lemma 2.8 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\psi_+^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 1 \geq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& + m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi^{(m)}}(x) \rho_{\psi^{(m)}}(y) - J_{\psi^{(m)}}(x) \cdot J_{\psi^{(m)}}(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& - m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x) \rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \geq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2 - 4me^2 \gamma_K (\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& - 5me^2 \gamma_K (\|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2) \\
& \geq (1 - 9me^2 \gamma_K) (\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) \\
& + 5me^2 \gamma_K (\|\psi_+^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 1)
\end{aligned}$$

since $(1 - 5me^2 \gamma_K) > (1 - 9me^2 \gamma_K) > 0$ we may conclude that

$$\|\psi_+^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 1 \geq 0.$$

(iii) Since

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 & \leq \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}) \leq \|\psi_+^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
& \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

we get also $\|\psi_-^{(m)}\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$.

Uniqueness: Suppose we have two different maximizer $\psi_1^{(m)}, \psi_2^{(m)} \in \mathcal{X}_W$. We use the Mountain Pass Theorem to reach a contradiction. Indeed, we consider the set

$$\Gamma^{(m)} = \{ \gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_W \mid \gamma(0) = \psi_1^{(m)}, \gamma(1) = \psi_2^{(m)} \}$$

and the min-max level

$$c^{(m)} = \sup_{\gamma \in \Gamma^{(m)}} \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\gamma(t))$$

We have $c^{(m)} > 0$, indeed, by the $U(1)$ -invariance, let $\psi_1^{(m)} = a(\eta_1)w + \eta_1$ and $\psi_2^{(m)} = a(\eta_2)w + \eta_2$, with $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in X_-(D)$ and $a(\eta_i) = (1 - \|\eta_i\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ($i = 1, 2$), define $\eta(t) = t\eta_2 + (1-t)\eta_1 \in X_-(D)$, then $g(t) = a(\eta(t))w + \eta(t) \in \Gamma^{(m)}$.

Since $a(\eta_i)^2 > \frac{1}{2}$ and by (iii) we have $\|\eta_i\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$ ($i = 1, 2$), then for any $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(g(t)) & \geq a(\eta(t))^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\eta(t)\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{g(t)}(x) \rho_{g(t)}(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \geq (1 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) a(\eta(t))^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - (1 + m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) \|\eta(t)\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
& \geq (1 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) t a(\eta_2)^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - (1 + m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) t \|\eta_2\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
& \quad + (1 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) (1-t) a(\eta_1)^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - (1 + m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) (1-t) \|\eta_1\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - (1 + m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K) m \frac{e^2}{2} \gamma_K \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2e^2 \gamma_K) \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

hence in particular we get $c^{(m)} \geq \min_{t \in [0, 1]} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(g(t)) > 0$.

By Propositions 3.2 and 3.1-(iii), we may conclude that $c^{(m)}$ is a Mountain pass critical level, and that there is $\phi^{(m)} \in \mathcal{X}_W$, a Mountain pass critical point for $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, with $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\phi^{(m)}) = c^{(m)} > 0$, namely a contradiction with Proposition 3.2, since a Mountain pass critical point cannot be a strict local maximum.

(iv) To prove that the map $v \rightarrow \psi^{(m)}(P(v))$ is smooth We use the Implicit Function Theorem. Fix $w_0 \in \Sigma_+$ and let $\psi^{(m)}(w_0) = a(\eta_0)w_0 + \eta_0$ be the unique maximizer (up to a phase factor) of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$. let $V \subset X_+(D) \setminus \{0\}$ and $U \subset X_-(D)$ be, respectively, the small neighborhoods of w_0 and η_0 , such that for any $(v, \eta) \in V \times U$ and, setting $\psi = a(\eta)P(v) + \eta$, with $a(\eta) = (1 - \|\eta\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}$, we have $\|\eta\|_{L^2}^2 < \frac{1}{2}$, $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) > 0$ and $\|\eta\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq me^2\gamma_K\|P(v)\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$.

We consider the smooth maps $F^{(m)} : V \times U \rightarrow L(X_-(D))$ given by

$$F^{(m)}(v, \eta)[\xi] = d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(a(\eta)P(v) + \eta)[da(\eta)[\xi]P(v) + \xi]$$

for any $\xi \in X_-(D)$. Clearly, we have $P(w_0) = w_0$ and $F^{(m)}(w_0, \eta_0) = 0$.

The operator $d_\eta F^{(m)}(w_0, \eta_0) : X_-(D) \rightarrow L(X_-(D))$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (d_\eta F^{(m)}(w_0, \eta_0)[\xi])[k] &= d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w_0))[da(\eta_0)[\xi]w_0 + \xi; da(\eta_0)[k]w_0 + k] \\ &\quad + d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w_0))[d^2a(\eta_0)[\xi; k]w_0] \quad \forall \xi, k \in X_-(D) \end{aligned}$$

To prove that $d_\eta F^{(m)}(w_0, \eta_0)$ is invertible we apply the Lax- Milgram theorem to the quadratic form $Q^{(m)} : X_-(D) \times X_-(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Q^{(m)}[\xi; k] = -(d_\eta F^{(m)}(w_0, \eta_0)[\xi])[k].$$

Note that, since

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w_0))[d^2a(\eta_0)[\xi; \xi]w_0] &= 2\omega(\psi^{(m)}(w_0))a(\eta_0)d^2a(\eta_0)[\xi; \xi] \\ &= -2\omega(\psi^{(m)}(w_0))(|da(\eta_0)[\xi]|^2 + \|\xi\|_{L^2}^2), \end{aligned}$$

setting $h = da(\eta_0)[\xi]w_0 + \xi \in T_{\psi^{(m)}(w_0)}\mathcal{X}_W$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{(m)}[\xi; \xi] &= -(d_\eta F^{(m)}(w_0, \eta_0)[\xi])[\xi] \\ &= -(d^2\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w_0))[h; h] - 2\omega(\psi^{(m)}(w_0))\|h\|_{L^2}^2). \end{aligned}$$

In view of Proposition 3.2, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $Q^{(m)}[\xi; \xi] \geq \delta\|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$ for any $\xi \in X_-(D)$. Hence, by the Lax-Milgram theorem we may conclude that for any $f \in L(X_-(D))$ there exists unique $k \in X_-(D)$ such that $Q^{(m)}[k; \xi] = f[\xi]$ for any $\xi \in X_-(D)$, namely such that $d_\eta F^{(m)}(w_0, \eta_0)[k] = -f$. Finally, by the Implicit Function theorem, there exist $V_0 \subseteq V$ and $U_0 \subseteq U$, neighborhoods, respectively, of w_0 and η_0 and a smooth map $\eta^{(m)} : V_0 \rightarrow U_0$ such that $F^{(m)}(v, \eta^{(m)}(v)) = 0$ for all $v \in V_0$, that is, $\psi^{(m)}(P(v)) = a(\eta^{(m)}(v))P(v) + \eta^{(m)}(v)$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, with $W = \text{span}\{P(v)\}$, at a positive level. Then, by Proposition 3.2, $\psi^{(m)}(P(v))$ is a strict local maximum of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$.

Again by a contradiction argument, applying the Mountain Pass theorem as above, we may conclude that for any $v \in V_0$, $\psi^{(m)}(P(v))$ is the unique maximizer (up to a phase factor) of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, with $W = \text{span}\{P(v)\}$.

Moreover, we have that for $w \in \Sigma_+$, $d\psi^{(m)}(w) : X_+(D) \rightarrow X_+(D)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} d\psi^{(m)}(w)[h] &= a(\psi_-(w))dP(w)[h] \\ &\quad + da(\psi_-(w))[d\psi_-(w)[dP(w)[h]]w + d\psi_-(w)[dP(w)[h]]. \end{aligned}$$

where $d\psi_-(P(v))[dP(v)[h]] = d\eta^{(m)}(v)[h]$ and

$$d\eta^{(m)}(v)[h] = -(d_\eta F^{(m)}(v, \eta^{(m)}(v)))^{-1}[d_v F^{(m)}(v, \eta^{(m)}(v))[h]], \quad \forall h \in X_+(D).$$

□

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In view of the results of Proposition 3.6 we consider the smooth functionals $\mathcal{E}^{(m)} : X_+(D) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for any $m \in (0, 1]$, given by

$$\mathcal{E}^{(m)}(v) = \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(P(v))) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)$$

where $W = \text{span}\{w\}$, with $w = P(v) \in \Sigma_+$. We set $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{(1)}$ and $\psi(w) = \psi^{(1)}(w)$.

For any $w \in \Sigma_+$ we have

$$d\mathcal{E}(w)[h] = d\mathcal{I}(\psi(w))[d\psi(w)[dP(w)[h]]],$$

and, setting $k = dP(w)[h]$,

$$d\psi(w)[k] = a(\psi_-(w))k + da(\psi_-(w))[d\psi_-(w)[k]]w + d\psi_-(w)[k].$$

Since $da(\psi_-(w))[d\psi_-(w)[k]]w + d\psi_-(w)[k] \in T_{\psi(w)}\mathcal{X}_W$, by Proposition 3.6 we get $d\mathcal{E}(w)[h] = d\mathcal{I}(\psi(w))[a(\psi_-(w))dP(w)[h]]$.

Therefore, since $dP(w)[h] = h - w \text{Re}\langle w|h \rangle_{L^2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathcal{E}(w)[h] &= d\mathcal{I}(\psi(w))[a(\psi_-(w))h] - d\mathcal{I}(\psi(w))[a(\psi_-(w))w] \text{Re}\langle w|h \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= d\mathcal{I}(\psi(w))[a(\psi_-(w))h] - 2\omega(\psi(w))a(\psi_-(w))^2 \text{Re}\langle w|h \rangle_{L^2} \\ &= a(\psi_-(w))(d\mathcal{I}(\psi(w))[h] - 2\omega(\psi(w)) \text{Re}\langle \psi_+(w)|h \rangle_{L^2}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in X_+(D)$. Since the tangent space of Σ_+ at $w \in \Sigma_+$ is the space

$$T_w\Sigma_+ = \{ h \in X_+(D) \mid \text{Re}\langle w|h \rangle_{L^2} = 0 \},$$

and $d\mathcal{E}(w)[w] = 0$, clearly Σ_+ is a natural constraint for $\mathcal{E}$. Therefore we may conclude that if $w \in \Sigma_+$ is a critical point for $\mathcal{E}$ then $\psi(w) = a(\psi_-(w))w + \psi_-(w)$ (as given in Proposition 3.6) is a critical point for $\mathcal{I}$ on Σ , namely

$$d\mathcal{I}(\psi(w))[h] - 2\omega(\psi(w)) \text{Re}\langle \psi(w)|h \rangle_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall h \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4).$$

For any $m \in (0, 1]$, we define the minimization problem

$$(4.1) \quad e(m) = \inf_{\substack{W \subset X_+ \\ \dim W=1}} \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) = \inf_{w \in \Sigma_+} \mathcal{E}^{(m)}(w),$$

and $E(m) = m e(m)$, clearly $E = E(1) = e(1)$.

We have the following estimates on $e(m)$.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $m \in (0, 1]$ we have $0 < e(m) < 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.4 we have $\lambda_W(m) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) \geq (1 - \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K)$, hence in particular we get $e(m) \geq (1 - \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K) > 0$, for any $m \in (0, 1]$.

Now, since $\Lambda_+(D) = \frac{1}{2}U_{\text{FW}}^{-1}(\mathbb{I}_4 - \beta)U_{\text{FW}}$, we consider $w = U_{\text{FW}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma_+$, with $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.8, since $\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 = \|v\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$ and $0 \leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2$, for any $\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) &= \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&\leq \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + 1 \\
&\quad - m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_v(x)\rho_v(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&\quad + 4me^2 \gamma_K \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + 5me^2 \gamma_K (\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2) \\
&\leq 1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + 8me^2 \gamma_K) \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_v(x)\rho_v(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&\quad - (1 - 5me^2 \gamma_K) (\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2 \|v\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for any $\epsilon > 0$ we consider $v_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{3/2}v(\epsilon|x|)$ and $w_\epsilon = U_{\text{FW}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \in \Sigma_+$, setting $W_\epsilon = \text{span } w_\epsilon$, then

$$e(m) - 1 \leq \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{K}_{W_\epsilon}} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) - 1 \leq \epsilon^2 \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - \epsilon \left(m \frac{e^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_v(x)\rho_v(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \right),$$

hence, taking $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we may conclude that $e(m) - 1 < 0$. $\square$

In view of the above Lemma 4.2 and thanks to the estimate in (ii)-Proposition 3.6 we have the following result, essential to the discussion of the minimization problem (4.1) when using a concentration-compactness argument.

Proposition 4.3. *$E(m)$ satisfies the strict subadditivity condition*

$$E(m) < E(m_1) + E(m_2),$$

for any $m \in (0, 1]$ and $m_1, m_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that $m_1 + m_2 = m$.

Proof. For any $\theta > 1$ and $m \in (0, 1)$ such that $\theta m \in (0, 1]$, by Proposition 3.6-(ii), for any $w \in \Sigma_+$ we have

$$\theta(\mathcal{I}^{(\theta m)}(\psi^{(\theta m)}(w)) - 1) \leq \theta^2(\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(\theta m)}(w)) - 1) \leq \theta^2(\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w)) - 1)$$

hence we get $\theta(e(\theta m) - 1) \leq \theta^2(e(m) - 1)$.

Since by lemma 4.2 we have $e(m) - 1 < 0$, we get $\theta^2(e(m) - 1) < \theta(e(m) - 1)$, namely $\theta e(\theta m) < \theta e(m)$. Therefore we may conclude that $E(\theta m) < \theta E(m)$.

Then, for any $m_1, m_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that $m_1 + m_2 = m \in (0, 1]$, setting $\theta_i = \frac{m}{m_i}$, then $\theta_i > 1$ and $\theta_i m_i = m \in (0, 1]$, since $\frac{1}{\theta_i} E(\theta_i m_i) < E(m_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$, we may conclude that

$$E(m) = \frac{m_1}{m} E(m) + \frac{m_2}{m} E(m) < E(m_1) + E(m_2).$$

$\square$

Now, by Ekeland's variational principle, there exists a Palais-Smale, minimizing sequence $\{w_n\} \subset \Sigma_+$, namely $\mathcal{E}(w_n) = \mathcal{I}(\psi(w_n)) \rightarrow E$ and $\|d\mathcal{E}(w_n)\| \rightarrow 0$, then by Proposition 3.6, the sequence $\psi_n = \psi(w_n)$ satisfies

$$\sup_{\|h\|_{H^{1/2}}=1} |d\mathcal{I}(\psi_n)[h] - 2\omega(\psi_n) \text{Re}\langle \psi_n | h \rangle_{L^2}| \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $(1 - 4e^2\gamma_K) + o(1) \leq \omega(\psi_n) \leq E + o(1)$ we have that $\omega(\psi_n) \rightarrow \omega \in (0, 1)$ (up to subsequence) and since $\|\psi_-(w_n)\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K\|w_n\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$ by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$1 = \|\psi_n\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\psi_n\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq (1 + \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K)\|w_n\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq \frac{1 + \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K}{1 - \frac{e^2}{2}\gamma_K}(E + o(1)).$$

Therefore $\{\psi_n\}$ is a Palais Smale sequence for the functional

$$\mathcal{I}_\omega(\psi) = \mathcal{I}(\psi) - \omega\|\psi\|_{L^2}^2,$$

satisfying

$$0 < \inf_n \|\psi_n\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq \sup_n \|\psi_n\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 < +\infty.$$

By the classical concentration-compactness principle (see [12]) we have a precise characterization of the lack of compactness of bounded Palais Smale sequences of $\mathcal{I}_\omega$, as given in Proposition 3.6 in [8], namely there exists a finite integer $p \geq 1$, and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$ non trivial critical points of $\mathcal{I}_\omega$, with $\|\phi_i\|_{L^2}^2 = m_i$, and p -sequences $\{x_n^i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ($i = 1, \dots, p$) such that $|x_n^i - x_n^j| \rightarrow +\infty$ (for $i \neq j$), and, up to subsequence,

$$(4.4) \quad \|\psi_n - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(\cdot - x_n^i)\|_{H^{1/2}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

hence, in particular, $1 = \|\psi_n\|_{L^2}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p m_i$.

Moreover, by (4.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_n - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(\cdot - x_n^i) | (\psi_n)_+ - (\psi_n)_- \rangle_{H^{1/2}} &= o(1) \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x)(\psi_n(y), \psi_n(y) - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(y - x_n^i))}{|x - y|} dx dy &= o(1) \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_{\psi_n}(x) \cdot (\alpha \psi_n(y), \psi_n(y) - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(y - x_n^i))}{|x - y|} dx dy &= o(1). \end{aligned}$$

then, since $(\psi_n)_\pm(\cdot + x_n^i) \rightharpoonup (\phi_i)_\pm$ weakly in $H^{1/2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\psi_n)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|(\psi_n)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 &= \langle \psi_n - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(\cdot - x_n^i) | (\psi_n)_+ - (\psi_n)_- \rangle_{H^{1/2}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \langle \phi_i | (\psi_n)_+(\cdot + x_n^i) \rangle_{H^{1/2}} - \sum_{i=1}^p \langle \phi_i | (\psi_n)_-(\cdot + x_n^i) \rangle_{H^{1/2}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p (\|(\phi_i)_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|(\phi_i)_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2) + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

and, by (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x)\rho_{\psi_n}(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x)(\psi_n(y), \psi_n(y) - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(y - x_n^i))}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_n}(x + x_n^i)(\psi_n(y + x_n^i), \phi_i(y))}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\phi_i}(x)\rho_{\phi_i}(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

analogously,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_{\psi_n}(x) \cdot J_{\psi_n}(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_{\psi_n}(x) \cdot (\alpha \psi_n(y), \psi_n(y) - \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i(y - x_n^i))}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_{\psi_n}(x + x_n^i) \cdot (\psi_n(y + x_n^i), \alpha \phi_i(y))}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_{\phi_i}(x) \cdot J_{\phi_i}(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

which implies $\mathcal{I}(\psi_n) = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathcal{I}(\phi_i) + o(1)$ and hence $E = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathcal{I}(\phi_i)$.

For any $i = 1, \dots, p$, we define $\psi_i = \frac{\phi_i}{\|\phi_i\|_{L^2}} = \frac{\phi_i}{\sqrt{m_i}} \in \Sigma$ then we have

$$\mathcal{I}(\phi_i) = \mathcal{I}(\sqrt{m_i} \psi_i) = m_i \mathcal{I}^{(m_i)}(\psi_i)$$

and

$$0 = d\mathcal{I}_\omega(\phi_i)[h] = \sqrt{m_i}(d\mathcal{I}^{(m_i)}(\psi_i)[h] - 2\omega \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi_i | h \rangle_{L^2}), \quad \forall h \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4),$$

namely, for any $i = 1, \dots, p$, $\psi_i \in \Sigma$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{I}^{(m_i)}$ on Σ , with the Lagrange multiplier $\omega \in (0, 1)$.

Now, we have the following result, interesting in itself.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $\psi \in \Sigma$ be such that*

$$d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[h] - 2\omega \operatorname{Re}\langle \psi | h \rangle_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall h \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4),$$

for $m \in (0, 1]$ and $\omega \in (0, 1)$. Setting $w = \frac{\psi_+}{\|\psi_+\|_{L^2}} \in \Sigma_+$, then $\psi = \psi^{(m)}(w)$ is the unique (up to a phase factor) maximizer of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, with $W = \operatorname{span}\{w\}$, namely

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) = \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi^{(m)}(w)) = \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\phi) = \mathcal{E}^{(m)}(w).$$

Proof. Clearly $\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W$ and it is a critical point for $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, moreover

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[\psi] = \omega > 0.$$

Therefore by Proposition 3.2 we have that ψ is a strict local maximum for $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$. Moreover, by (2.4) and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 &\geq \omega(\|\psi_+\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2) = \frac{1}{2} d\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi)[\psi_+ - \psi_-] = \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
&\quad - me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)(|\psi_+|^2 - |\psi_-|^2)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&\quad + me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_\psi(x) \cdot (\operatorname{Re}(\psi_+, \alpha \psi_+) - \operatorname{Re}(\psi_-, \alpha \psi_-))(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&\geq \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 2me^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)|\psi_+|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
&\geq (1 - 2me^2 \gamma_K) \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

that is $\|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \leq 2me^2 \gamma_K \|\psi_+\|_{H^{1/2}}^2$.

Now, suppose that ψ is not the (unique up to a phase factor) maximizer of $\mathcal{I}^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, then, again by a contradiction argument, applying the Mountain

Pass theorem, as in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we find a contradiction, namely $\psi = \psi^{(m)}(w)$ and we may conclude that

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi) = \mathcal{I}(\psi^{(m)}(w)) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}^{(m)}(\psi).$$

□

Now in view of Lemma 4.5, setting $w_i = \frac{(\psi_i)_+}{\|(\psi_i)_+\|_{L^2}}$ and $W_i = \text{span}\{w_i\}$, for any $i = 1, \dots, p$, we have that $\psi_i = \psi^{(m_i)}(w_i)$ (as in Proposition 3.6) and

$$\mathcal{I}^{(m_i)}(\psi_i) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_{W_i}} \mathcal{I}^{(m_i)}(\psi) = \mathcal{E}^{(m)}(w_i) \geq \inf_{w \in \Sigma_+} \mathcal{E}^{(m)}(w) = e(m_i).$$

Therefore we may conclude that

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathcal{I}(\phi_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p m_i \mathcal{I}^{(m_i)}(\psi_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^p m_i e(m_i) = \sum_{i=1}^p E(m_i)$$

a contradiction with the strict subadditivity condition in Proposition 4.3, unless we have $p = 1$, that is $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi_1$ strongly in $H^{1/2}$, hence $\|\psi_1\|_{L^2}^2 = m_1 = 1$ and

$$\mathcal{I}(\psi_1) = E = \inf_{\substack{W \subset X_+(D) \\ \dim W = 1}} \sup_{\substack{\phi \in W \oplus X_-(D) \\ \|\phi\|_{L^2} = 1}} \mathcal{I}(\phi).$$

Moreover

$$d\mathcal{I}(\psi_1)[h] - 2\omega \text{Re}\langle \psi_1 | h \rangle_{L^2} = 0 \quad \forall h \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^4),$$

namely $(\psi_1, \omega) \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4) \times (0, 1)$ is a weak solution of (E_ω) .

Finally, to prove that E is the lowest positive critical value of the functional $\mathcal{I}$ on Σ , suppose by contrary that there exists $0 < \lambda < E$ and $\phi \in \Sigma$ such that $\mathcal{I}(\phi) = \lambda$ and

$$d\mathcal{I}(\phi)[h] - 2\mu \text{Re}\langle \phi | h \rangle_{L^2} = 0, \quad \forall h \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^4)$$

with $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ the Lagrange multiplier. Since $\lambda > 0$ we have that $\mu > 0$ (see (iii)-Proposition 3.1) and clearly $\mu \leq \lambda < 1$. Then $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and, setting $w = \frac{\phi_+}{\|\phi_+\|_{L^2}} \in \Sigma_+$, we apply Lemma 4.5 to conclude that ϕ is the unique (up to a phase factor) maximizer of $\mathcal{I}$ on $\mathcal{X}_W$, with $W = \text{span}\{w\}$, that is $\lambda = \mathcal{I}(\phi) = \sup_{\psi \in \mathcal{X}_W} \mathcal{I}(\psi) \geq E$, a contradiction.

□

As a byproduct of all the previous results, with some minor changes, we obtain Theorem 1.3. Let us briefly list the differences.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.3 : In the Coulomb-Dirac model the self-interaction is attractive, so that we formulate the (nonlinear) eigenvalue problem using the operator $H = -D$. Clearly

$$\Lambda_\pm(H) = \Lambda_\mp(D) \quad \text{and} \quad X_\pm(H) = X_\mp(D),$$

then one follows the proof of Theorem 1.2 simply by exchanging the role of $\psi_\pm$ with $\psi_\mp$, indeed all the variational arguments and all the lemmata proved can be carried out, with no other changes, to deal with the functional $\mathcal{I}_{CD}$. Note that the term

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy$$

is not present in the functional $\mathcal{I}_{CD}$, this in particular implies that some of the estimates provided are in fact simplified.

□

APPENDIX : PROOF OF LEMMA 2.8

Lemma 2.8

For any $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_- \in \Sigma$, let define $w = \frac{\psi_+}{\|\psi_+\|_{L^2}}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x - z|} dx dy \\ - 8\gamma_K(\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) - 10\gamma_K(\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2).$$

Moreover, if $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$, with $\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, and $\frac{\psi_+}{\|\psi_+\|_{L^2}} = U_{FW}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_v(x)\rho_v(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ - 8\gamma_K\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 - 10\gamma_K(\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2\|v\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 + \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2).$$

Proof. For any $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_- \in \Sigma$ with $\psi_+ = (1 - \|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2)^{1/2}w$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ = (1 - \|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_+}(x)\operatorname{Re}(\psi_+, \psi_-)(y) - J_{\psi_+}(x) \cdot \operatorname{Re}(\psi_+, \alpha\psi_-)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_{\psi_-}(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_{\psi_-}(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_{\psi_-}(x)\rho_{\psi_-}(y) - J_{\psi_-}(x) \cdot J_{\psi_-}(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\psi_+, \psi_-)(x)\operatorname{Re}(\psi_+, \psi_-)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \\ - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\psi_+, \alpha\psi_-)(x)\operatorname{Re}(\psi_+, \alpha\psi_-)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy,$$

hence by (2.4), (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7) we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x - y|} \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x - y|} \\ - 2\gamma_K\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \gamma_K\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2\|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 4\gamma_K\|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}\|\psi_-\|_{L^2}\|w\|_{H^{1/2}} \\ - 4 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\operatorname{Re}(w, \psi_-)(y)}{|x - y|} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{J_w(x) \cdot \operatorname{Re}(w, \alpha\psi_-)(y)}{|x - y|} \right|.$$

Since $\Lambda_\pm(D) = \frac{1}{2}U_{FW}^{-1}(\mathbb{I}_4 \mp \beta)U_{FW}$, we set $w = U_{FW}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ and $\psi_- = U_{FW}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \eta \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ with $v, \eta \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, in view of Remark 2.5 we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\operatorname{Re}(w, \psi_-)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy \right| = (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\hat{\rho}_w(p)\mathcal{F}[\operatorname{Re}(w, \psi_-)](p)}{|p|^2} dp \right| \\ \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|\rho_w\|_{L^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\mathcal{F}[\operatorname{Re}(w, \psi_-)](p)|}{|p|^2} dp \\ \leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|p|^2} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\hat{w}(p - q), \hat{\psi}_-(q))| dq \right) dp.$$

Since $U^{-1}(p) = u_+(p)\mathbb{I}_4 - u_-(p)\beta\frac{\alpha p}{|p|}$ with $u_{\pm}(p) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \frac{1}{\lambda(p)})}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{w}(p-q), \hat{\psi}_-(q)) &= \left(U^{-1}(p-q) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \hat{v}(p-q) \end{pmatrix}, U^{-1}(q) \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\eta}(q) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= -u_+(q)u_-(p-q) \frac{p-q}{|p-q|} \cdot (\sigma \hat{v}(p-q), \hat{\eta}(q)) \\ &\quad + u_+(p-q)u_-(q) \frac{q}{|q|} \cdot (\hat{v}(p-q), \sigma \hat{\eta}(q)) \\ &= u_-(p-q)(u_+(p-q) \frac{q}{|q|} - u_+(q) \frac{p-q}{|p-q|}) \cdot (\sigma \hat{v}(p-q), \hat{\eta}(q)) \\ &\quad + u_+(p-q)(u_-(q) - u_-(p-q)) \frac{q}{|q|} \cdot (\hat{v}(p-q), \sigma \hat{\eta}(q)), \end{aligned}$$

and, since $u_-(q) \geq \frac{|q|}{2\lambda(q)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u_-(q) - u_-(p-q)| &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}|\frac{1}{\lambda(q)} - \frac{1}{\lambda(p-q)}|}{u_-(q) + u_-(p-q)} \leq \frac{|\lambda(p-q) - \lambda(q)|}{|q|\lambda(p-q) + |p-q|\lambda(q)} \\ &= \frac{||q|^2 - |p-q|^2|}{(|q|\lambda(p) + |p-q|\lambda(q))(\lambda(q) + \lambda(p-q))} \\ &\leq \frac{|p|}{(\lambda(p-q) + 1)^{1/2}(\lambda(q) + 1)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$|(\hat{w}(p-q), \hat{\psi}_-(q))| \leq 2u_-(p-q)|\hat{v}(p-q)||\hat{\eta}(q)| + |p| \frac{|\hat{v}(p-q)|}{(\lambda(p-q) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{|\hat{\eta}(q)|}{(\lambda(q) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x) \operatorname{Re}(w, \psi_-)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \right| &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2}{|p|^2} \mathcal{F} [\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)|\hat{v}|] \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\hat{\eta}|]] dp \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|p|} \mathcal{F} \left[\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{v}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{\eta}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \right] dp. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Kato's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2}{|p|^2} \mathcal{F} [\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)|\hat{v}|] \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\hat{\eta}|]] dp &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{2}{|x|} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)|\hat{v}|] \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\hat{\eta}|] dx \\ &\leq 2 \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)|\hat{v}|]}{|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\hat{\eta}|]}{|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \sqrt{2} \gamma_K \|(\lambda(p) - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{v}\|_{L^2} \|\eta\|_{H^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \gamma_K (\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) + \gamma_K \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\|(\lambda(p) - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{v}\|_{L^2}^2 = \|v\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2$.

Moreover, by Hardy's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|p|} \mathcal{F} \left[\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{v}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{\eta}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \right] dp \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x|^2} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{v}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{\eta}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \left\| \frac{1}{|x|} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{v}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{1}{|x|} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{|\hat{\eta}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right] \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{8}{\pi} \left\| \frac{|p||\hat{v}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{|p||\hat{\eta}|}{(\lambda(p) + 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2} \leq \gamma_K (\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) + \gamma_K \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

since $\left\| \frac{|p|\hat{v}}{(\lambda(p)+1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2}^2 = \|(\lambda(p)-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{v}\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\gamma_K = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Analogously we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(w, \alpha\psi_-)(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \right| \\ &= (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\mathcal{F}[\operatorname{Re}(w, \alpha\psi_-)](p) \cdot \mathcal{F}[\operatorname{Re}(w, \alpha w)](p)}{|p|^2} dp \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \| (w, \alpha\psi_-) \|_{L^1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\mathcal{F}[\operatorname{Re}(w, \alpha w)](p)|}{|p|^2} dp \\ &\leq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|w\|_{L^2} \|\psi_-\|_{L^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|p|^2} \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |(\hat{w}(p-q), \alpha\hat{w}(q))| dq \right) dp, \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} |(\hat{w}(p-q), \alpha\hat{w}(q))| &\leq (u_+(p-q)u_-(q) + u_+(q)u_-(p-q))|\hat{v}(q)||\hat{v}(p-q)| \\ &\leq (u_-(q) + u_-(p-q))|\hat{v}(q)||\hat{v}(p-q)|, \end{aligned}$$

then by Kato's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(w, \alpha\psi_-)(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \right| \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \|\psi_-\|_{L^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|p|^2} \mathcal{F} [\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)|\hat{v}|] \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\hat{v}|]] dp \\ &\leq 2\|\psi_-\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)|\hat{v}|]}{|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2} \left\| \frac{\mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\hat{v}|]}{|x|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2}\gamma_K \|(\lambda(p)-1)^{\frac{1}{2}}\hat{v}\|_{L^2} \|\psi_-\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H^{1/2}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}\gamma_K (\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) + \gamma_K \|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_\psi(x)\rho_\psi(y) - J_\psi(x) \cdot J_\psi(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\ &\geq -8\gamma_K (\|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|w\|_{L^2}^2) - 10\gamma_K \|\psi_-\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - 10\gamma_K \|\psi_-\|_{L^2}^2 \|w\|_{H^{1/2}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by [8, Lemma 2.1] we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho_w(x)\rho_w(y) - J_w(x) \cdot J_w(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(w, \beta w)(x)(w, \beta w)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy.$$

Now for $w = U_{\text{FW}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$ with $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $\|v\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (w, \beta w)(x) &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)\frac{p}{|p|} \cdot \sigma \hat{v}] \\ \mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_+(p)\hat{v}] \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)\frac{p}{|p|} \cdot \sigma \hat{v}] \\ -\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_+(p)\hat{v}] \end{pmatrix} \right)(x) \\ &= |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)\frac{p}{|p|} \cdot \sigma \hat{v}]|^2(x) - |\mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_+(p)\hat{v}]|^2(x) = |\xi|^2(x) - |f|^2(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $f = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_+(p)\hat{v}]$ and $\xi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[u_-(p)\frac{p}{|p|} \cdot \sigma \hat{v}]$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(w, \beta w)(x)(w, \beta w)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|f|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\xi|^2(x)|\xi|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|\xi|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|f|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|\xi|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|f|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy - 2\gamma_K \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, setting $\chi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(1 - u_+(p))\hat{v}]$, since $f = v - \chi$, by (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|f|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x)|v|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \quad - 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x) \operatorname{Re}(v, \chi)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|\chi|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\chi|^2(x)|\chi|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy + 4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\operatorname{Re}(v, \chi)(x) \operatorname{Re}(v, \chi)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x)|v|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|\chi|^2(x)|\chi|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \quad - 4 \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x) \operatorname{Re}(v, \chi)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since by Hardy's inequality we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x) \operatorname{Re}(v, \chi)(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \right| \leq 2\|v\|_{L^2}^2 \|\chi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2},$$

then, since $\gamma_K = \frac{\pi}{2}$, by (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|f|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x)|v|^2(y)}{|x-y|} dx dy \\
& \quad - \gamma_K \|\chi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\chi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \frac{16}{\pi} \gamma_K \|\chi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 = \|\lambda(p)^{1/2} |u_-(p)| \frac{p}{|p|} \cdot \sigma \hat{v}\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\lambda(p)^{1/2} u_-(p) \hat{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} (\|v\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|v\|_{L^2}^2) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

and $\|f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|v\|_{L^2}^2 = 1$, and since

$$|u_+(p) - 1| = \frac{1 - u_+(p)^2}{1 + u_+(p)} = \frac{u_-(p)^2}{1 + u_+(p)} \leq u_-(p)^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|p|^2}{\lambda(p) + 1} \leq \frac{1}{2} |p|$$

we have $\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|v\|_{L^2}^2 = \frac{1}{4}$, but also

$$\|\chi\|_{L^2}^2 = \|(u_+(p) - 1)\hat{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\chi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 = \|\lambda(p)^{1/2} |(u_+(p) - 1)\hat{v}|\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\lambda(p)^{1/2} u_-(p) \hat{v}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2} (\|v\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 - \|v\|_{L^2}^2) \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{(w, \beta w)(x)(w, \beta w)(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy &\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|f|^2(x)|f|^2(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy - 2\gamma_K \|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x)|v|^2(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy - \gamma_K \|\chi\|_{L^2}^2 \|\chi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{16}{\pi} \gamma_K \|\chi\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} - 2\gamma_K \|\xi\|_{H^{1/2}}^2 \\
&\geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v|^2(x)|v|^2(y)}{|x - y|} dx dy - 4\gamma_K \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is grateful to Vittorio Coti Zelati for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Simonetta Abenda, *Solitary waves for Maxwell-Dirac and Coulomb-Dirac models*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. **68** (1998), no. 2, 229–244.
- [2] Boris Buffoni, Maria J. Esteban, and Eric Séré, *Normalized solutions to strongly indefinite semilinear equations*, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **6** (2006), no. 2, 323–347.
- [3] Andrew Comech and David Stuart, *Small amplitude solitary waves in the Dirac-Maxwell system*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **17** (2018), no. 4, 1349–1370.
- [4] Andrew Comech and Mikhail Zubkov, *Polarons as stable solitary wave solutions to the Dirac-Coulomb system*, J. Phys. A **46** (2013), no. 43, 435201, 21.
- [5] Vittorio Coti Zelati and Margherita Nolasco, *Ground states for pseudo-relativistic Hartree equations of critical type*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **29** (2013), no. 4, 1421–1436.
- [6] ———, *Ground state for the relativistic one electron atom in a self-generated electromagnetic field*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **51** (2019), no. 3, 2206–2230.
- [7] Jean Dolbeault, Maria J. Esteban, and Eric Séré, *Variational characterization for eigenvalues of Dirac operators*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **10** (2000), no. 4, 321–347.
- [8] Maria J. Esteban, Vladimir Georgiev, and Eric Séré, *Stationary solutions of the Maxwell-Dirac and the Klein-Gordon-Dirac equations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **4** (1996), no. 3, 265–281.
- [9] Maria J. Esteban and Eric Séré, *Solutions of the Dirac-Fock equations for atoms and molecules*, Comm. Math. Phys. **203** (1999), no. 3, 499–530.
- [10] Jürg Fröhlich, B. Lars G. Jonsson, and Enno Lenzmann, *Boson stars as solitary waves*, Comm. Math. Phys. **274** (2007), no. 1, 1–30.
- [11] Elliott H. Lieb, *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard’s nonlinear equation*, Studies in Appl. Math. **57** (1976/77), no. 2, 93–105.
- [12] P.-L. Lions, *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The locally compact case. I*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire **1** (1984), no. 2, 109–145.
- [13] A. Garrett Lisi, *A solitary wave solution of the Maxwell-Dirac equations*, J. Phys. A **28** (1995), no. 18, 5385–5392.
- [14] Bernd Thaller, *The Dirac equation*, Texts and Monographs in Physics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

Email address, Nolasco: nolasco@univaq.it

(Nolasco) DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA E SCIENZE DELL’INFORMAZIONE E MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DELL’AQUILA, VIA VETOIO, LOC. COPPITO, 67010 L’AQUILA (AQ) ITALIA