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#### Abstract

Planck Galactic Cold Clumps (PGCCs) are contemplated to be the ideal targets to probe the early phases of star formation. We have conducted a survey of 72 young dense cores inside PGCCs in the Orion complex with the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA) at 1.3 mm (band 6 ) using three different configurations (resolutions $\sim 0!35,1^{\prime \prime} 0$, and $7!\prime 0$ ) to statistically investigate their evolutionary stages and sub-structures. We have obtained images of the 1.3 mm continuum and molecular line emission ( ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$, and SiO ) at an angular resolution of $\sim 0.35$ ( $\sim 140 \mathrm{au}$ ) with the combined arrays. We find 70 substructures within 48 detected dense cores with median dust-mass $\sim$ $0.093 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and deconvolved size $\sim 0^{\prime \prime} 27$. Dense substructures are clearly detected within the central 1000 au of four candidate prestellar cores. The sizes and masses of the substructures in continuum emission are found to be significantly reduced with protostellar evolution from Class 0 to Class I. We also study the evolutionary change in the outflow characteristics through the course of protostellar mass accretion. A total of 37 sources exhibit CO outflows, and $20(>50 \%)$ show high-velocity jets in SiO . The CO velocity-extents ( $\Delta \mathrm{Vs}$ ) span from 4 to $110 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}$ with outflow cavity opening angle width at 400 au ranging from $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400} \sim 0^{\prime \prime} 6$ to $3!\prime 9$, which corresponds to $33.4-125^{\circ} .7$. For the majority of the outflow sources, the $\Delta \mathrm{Vs}$ show a positive correlation with $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}$, suggesting that as protostars undergo gravitational collapse, the cavity opening of a protostellar outflow widens and the protostars possibly generate more energetic outflows.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Stars form within dense cores (typical size $\sim 0.1$ pc, density $\sim 10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, and temperature $\sim 10 \mathrm{~K}$ ) in the clumpy and filamentary environment of molecular clouds (Myers \& Benson 1983; Williams et al. 2000). In past decades, observations revealed the presence of embedded protostars within dense cores, which has also led to the classification of "prestellar" and "protostellar" phases of dense cores (Beichman et al. 1986; Bergin \& Tafalla 2007). The puzzle begins with the understanding of how a prestellar core condenses to form a star or multiple system and how a protostar accumulates its central mass from the surrounding medium during its evolution. Studies of extremely young dense cores at different evolutionary phases offer the best opportunity to probe the core formation under diverse environmental conditions, as well as determine the transition phase from prestellar to protostellar cores, protostellar evolution and, investigate the outflow/jet launching scenario and physical changes with the protostellar evolution.
In addition, a significant fraction of stars are found in multiple systems. Thus, our understanding of star formation must account for the formation of multiple systems. In one popular star formation theory, the "turbulent fragmentation" theory, turbulent fluctuations in a dense core become Jeans unstable and collapse faster than the background core (e.g., Padoan \& Nordlund 2002; Fisher 2004; Goodwin et al. 2004), forming multiple systems. Turbulent fragmentation is likely the dominant mechanism for wide-binary systems (Chen et al.

2013; Tobin et al. 2016a; Lee et al. 2017b). Observations indicate that the multiplicity fraction and the companion star fraction are highest in Class 0 protostars and decrease in more evolved protostars (Chen et al. 2013; Tobin et al. 2016a), confirming that multiple systems form in the very early phase.

The "turbulent fragmentation" theory predicts that the fragmentation begins in the starless core stage (Offner et al. 2010). Small scale fragmentation/coalescence processes have been detected within 0.1 pc scale regions of some starless cores in nearby molecular clouds (Ohashi et al. 2018; Tatematsu et al. 2020; Tokuda et al. 2020). To shed light on the formation of multiple stellar systems, however, we ultimately need to study the internal structure and gas motions within the central 1000 AU of starless cores. Over the past few years, several attempts have been made to detect the very central regions and possible substructures of starless cores (e.g., Schnee et al. 2010, 2012; Dunham et al. 2016; Kirk et al. 2017; Caselli et al. 2019a). However, no positive results on the fragmentation within the central 1000 AU of starless cores have been collected so far. Probing substructures of a statistically significant sample of starless cores at the same distance will put this theoretical paradigm ("turbulent fragmentation") to a stringent observational test. If no substructure is detected, this will raise serious questions to our current understanding of this framework. Irrespective of the theoretical framework, these observations will em-
pirically constrain, at high resolution, the starless core structure at or near collapse.

After the onset of star formation, a (Keplerian) rotating disk is formed, feeding a central protostar. However, the detailed process of the disk formation and evolution (growth) is unclear. In theory, material in a collapsing core will be guided by magnetic field lines towards the midplane, forming an infalling-rotating flattened envelope called a "pseudodisk" (Allen et al. 2003). A rotating disk is then formed in the innermost ( $<100 \mathrm{au}$ ) part of the pseudodisk. In the pseudodisk, magnetic braking may be efficient, affecting the formation and growth of the disk (Galli et al. 2006). Therefore, high-resolution ( $\times 10 \mathrm{au}$ ) dust polarization and molecular line observations of Class 0 protostars (the youngest known accreting protostars) and their natal cores are key to constrain theoretical models for the formation of protostellar disks by unveiling their magnetic fields and gas kinematics.

However, disks in young Class 0 protostars have largely remained elusive to date. We have lacked the observational facilities capable of probing this regime in these extremely young objects. As a consequence, we do not know when disks form or what they look like at formation. Recently, large high-resolution continuum surveys have revealed several tens of Class 0 disk candidates (Tobin et al. 2020). So far, however, only several Class 0 protostars (e.g., VLA 1623, HH 212, L 1527, and L $1448-N B$ ) have been suggested to harbor Keplerianlike kinematics at scales $40<r<100 \mathrm{AU}$ (Murillo et al. 2013; Codella et al. 2014; Ohashi et al. 2014; Tobin et al. 2016b). The most convincing case for a resolved Class 0 protostellar disk was found in the HH 212 Class 0 protostar, evidenced by an equatorial dark dust lane with a radius of $\sim 60 \mathrm{AU}$ at submillimeter wavelengths (Lee et al. 2017a). A systematic high-resolution continuum (polarization) and molecular line survey of Class 0 protostars is urgently needed to search for more Class 0 disk candidates and study disk formation. Collimated bipolar outflows together with fattened continuum emission (pseudodisk) can help identify Class 0 disk candidates.
Low-velocity bipolar outflows are nearly ubiquitous in accreting, rotating, and magnetized protostellar systems (Snell et al. 1980; Cabrit \& Bertout 1992; Bontemps et al. 1996; Dunham et al. 2014; Yıldız et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2019). The lower transitions of CO are the most useful tracers of molecular outflows since their low energy levels are easily populated by collisions with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and He molecules at the typical densities and temperatures of molecular clouds (Bally 2016; Lee 2020). The outflows appear as bipolar from the polar regions along the axis of rotation at the early collapsing phase, driven by the first core (Larson 1969), and remain active throughout
the journey of protostellar accretion from the outer pseudodisk region (Bate 1998; Masunaga \& Inutsuka 2000; Tomisaka 2002; Machida et al. 2014; Lee 2020). As protostars evolve, the physical properties of outflow components diversify significantly based on the natal environment. Both numerical simulations and observations have revealed that the opening angle of the outflow cavity widens with time as more material is evacuated from the polar region and the equatorial pseudodisk grows (Arce et al. 2007; Seale \& Looney 2008; Frank et al. 2014; Kuiper et al. 2016). Typically, sources in the Class 0 phase exhibit CO outflow opening angles of $20^{\circ}-50^{\circ}$, which increase for Class I $\left(80^{\circ}-120^{\circ}\right)$ and Class II $\left(100^{\circ}-160^{\circ}\right)$. The outflow velocity is also expected to increase with time as the mass loss increases with accretion rate (Hartigan \& Hillenbrand 2009; Bally 2016).
A significant number of Class 0 , I, and early II protostars are observed to exhibit extremely high velocity (EHV) collimated molecular jets (or typically highdensity knots) within the wide-angle low-velocity outflow cavities. These high-velocity jets mainly originate from the inner edges of the disk and jet velocities increase with the evolutionary stage of the protostars in the range of $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to a few $\sim 100 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the later phases (Anglada et al. 2007; Hartigan et al. 2011; Machida \& Basu 2019). The gas content of the jets also transitions from molecular predominant to mostly atomic (Bally 2016; Lee 2020). The jets in the younger sources, like Class 0 , are mainly detectable in molecular gas, e.g., $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{SiO}$, and SO at (sub)millimeter and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in the infrared wavelength. Conversely, in the older population like evolved Class I and Class II sources, the jets are mainly traceable in atomic and ionized gas e.g., O, $\mathrm{H} \alpha$, and S II (Reipurth \& Bally 2001; Bally 2016; Lee 2020).

To summarize, more high resolution observations are needed to study the fragmentation and structures (e.g., disks, outflows) of dense cores in the earliest phases of star formation, i.e., from prestellar cores to the youngest protostellar (Class 0) cores.

### 1.1. Observations of Planck Galactic Cold Clumps in the Orion complex

The low dust temperatures ( $\sim 14 \mathrm{~K}$ ) of the Planck Galactic Cold Clumps (PGCCs) make them ideal targets for investigating the initial conditions of star formation (Planck Collaboration et al. 2016). Through observations of $\sim 1000$ Planck Galactic Cold Clumps in the JCMT large survey program "SCOPE: SCUBA-2 Continuum Observations of Pre-protostellar Evolution" (PI: Tie Liu), we have cataloged nearly 3500 cold ( $\mathrm{T}_{d} \sim 6$ 20 K ) dense cores, most of which are either starless or
in the earliest phase of star formation (Liu et al. 2018; Eden et al. 2019). This sample of "SCOPE" dense cores represents a real goldmine for investigations of the very early phases of star formation.

The Orion complex contains the nearest giant molecular clouds (GMCs) that harbor high-mass star formation sites. As a part of the SCOPE survey, all the dense PGCCs (average column density $>5 \times 10^{20} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$ ) of the Orion complex (Orion A, B, and $\lambda$ Orionis GMCs) were observed at $850 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ using the SCUBA-2 instrument at the JCMT 15 m telescope (Liu et al. 2018; Yi et al. 2018). A total of 119 dense cores were revealed inside these PGCCs, which includes protostars and gravitationally unstable starless cores (Yi et al. 2018). This sample represents the dense cores of mass spectrum in the range $0.2-14 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ with a median mass of $\sim 1.4 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ and mean radius $\sim 0.05 \mathrm{pc}$ as estimated from SCUBA-2 $850 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ continuum observations (Yi et al. 2018). Their centrally peaked emission features in the SCUBA-2 850 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ continuum attribute them to likely be gravitationally unstable and possible for imminent collapse (WardThompson et al. 2016).
These Orion dense cores were further investigated in multiple molecular lines (e.g., $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}, \mathrm{DCO}^{+}, \mathrm{DNC}$ in $\mathrm{J}=1-0$ transitions) with the NRO $45-\mathrm{m}$ telescope (Kim et al. 2020; Tatematsu et al. 2020). This follow-up molecular line survey toward 113 of these 119 SCUBA-2 objects with the Nobeyama Radio Observatory (NRO) 45 m telescope revealed nearly half of these SCUBA-2 objects showing strong emission from young, cold, and dense gas tracers, such as $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}, \mathrm{DCO}^{+}$, DNC (Kim et al. 2020; Tatematsu et al. 2020).

In particular, high spatial resolution observations with interferometers have already reported very young stellar objects inside some of these SCUBA-2 dense cores. With the Submillimeter Array (SMA), Liu et al. (2016) reported the detection of an extremely young Class 0 protostellar object and a proto-brown dwarf candidate in the bright-rimmed clump PGCC G192.32-11.88 located in the $\lambda$ Orionis cloud. Very recently, Tatematsu et al. (2020) observed a star-forming core (PGCC G210.82-19.47 North1; hereafter, G210) and a starless core (PGCC G211.16-19.33 North3; hereafter, G211) in the Orion A cloud with the 7 m Array of the Atacama Compact Array (ACA) of the Atacama Large Millimeter/submillimeter Array (ALMA). The two cores show a relatively high deuterium fraction in single-pointing observations with the Nobeyama 45 m radio telescope. In ACA observations, the starless core G211 shows a clumpy structure with several sub-cores, which in turn show chemical differences. In contrast, the star-forming core G210 shows an interesting spatial feature of two
$\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}$peaks of similar intensity and radial velocity located symmetrically with respect to the single dust continuum peak, suggesting the existence of an edge-on pseudo-disk.

All of the previous observations indicate that those Orion SCUBA-2 cores inside PGCCs are ideal for investigating the initial conditions of star formation in a GMC environment.

### 1.2. ALMASOP: ALMA Survey of Orion PGCCs

In ALMA cycle 6, we initiated a survey-type project (ALMASOP: ALMA Survey of Orion PGCCs) to systematically investigate the fragmentation of starless cores and young protostellar cores in Orion PGCCs with ALMA. We selected 72 extremely cold young dense cores from Yi et al. (2018), including 23 starless core candidates and 49 protostellar core candidates. We call them candidates because they were classified mainly based on the four WISE bands (3.4-22 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ ) in Yi et al. (2018). In this work, we will further classify them with all available infrared data (e.g. Spitzer, Herschel) as well as our new ALMA data. All 23 starless core candidates of this sample show high-intensity $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}(1-0)$ emission with peak brightness temperature higher than 0.2 K in 45 m NRO observations (Kim et al. 2020; Tatematsu et al. 2020), a signpost for the presence of a dense core on the verge of star formation. Intense $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}$emission was also observed in 21 protostellar core candidates (Kim et al. 2020; Tatematsu et al. 2020). The remaining 28 protostellar core candidates were not detected in $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}$(Kim et al. 2020; Tatematsu et al. 2020), suggesting they are more evolved than those detected in $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}$. These dense cores, therefore, design a unique sample to probe the onset of star formation and the early evolution of dense cores. The observed target names and coordinates are listed in columns 1,2 , and 3 , respectively, in Table 1 and their spatial distribution is shown in Figure 1.

In this paper, we present an overview of the ALMASOP survey including the observations and data products, and mostly qualitative previews of the results from forthcoming papers. We have incorporated some perspectives of detection of multiplicity in protostellar systems and the physical characteristics of their outflow lobes. More detailed quantitative results of multiplicity formation in prestellar to protostellar phase, outflow and jet characteristics, disk formation, astrochemical changes from the prestellar to protostellar phases will be presented in the forthcoming papers. Section 2 discusses the details of the observations in the survey and data analyses. In section 3, the science goals of this survey and early results are described. Section 4 delineates the discussion on the evolution of dense cores and


Figure 1. Spatial distribution of the observed cores (red " + ") on the three-color composite image (red: Planck 857 GHz ; green: IRAS $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; blue: $\mathrm{H}_{\alpha}$ ) of the Orion complex. The images are smoothed with a gaussian kernel. The white contours represent the flux density of Planck 857 GHz continuum emission. The contour levels are 14.8, 29.7, 44.5 and 59.4 MJy/sr.
protostellar outflows. Section 5 deals with the summary and conclusions of this study.

## 2. OBSERVATIONS

The ALMA observations of ALMASOP (Project ID:2018.1.00302.S.; PI: Tie Liu) were carried out with ALMA band 6 in Cycle 6 toward the 72 extremely young dense cores, during 2018 October to 2019 January. The observations were executed in four blocks in three differ-
ent array configurations: 12 m C43- 5 (TM1), 12 m C43-2 (TM2) \& 7m ACA. The execution blocks, date of observations, array configurations, number of antennas, exposure times on the targets, and unprojected baselines are listed in Table 2. For observations in the C43-5, C43-2, and compact 7 m ACA, the unprojected baseline lengths range from 15 to 1398,15 to 500 , and 9 to 49 m , respectively. The resulting maximum recoverable scale was $25^{\prime \prime}$.
The ALMA band 6 receivers were utilized to simultaneously capture four spectral windows (SPWs), as summarized by the correlator setup in Table 3. The ALMA correlator was configured to cover several main targeted molecular line transitions (e.g., $\mathrm{J}=2-1$ of CO and $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}$; $\mathrm{J}=3-2$ of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}, \mathrm{DCO}^{+}$and DCN ; and $\mathrm{SiO} \mathrm{J}=5-4$ ) simultaneously. A total bandwidth of 1.875 GHz was set up for all SPWs. The velocity resolution is about 1.5 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Different quasars were observed to calibrate the bandpass, flux, and phase, as tabulated in Table 4 with their flux densities.

In this paper, we present the results of the cold dusty envelope+disk emission tracer 1.3 mm continuum, lowvelocity outflow tracer CO $\mathrm{J}=2-1(230.462 \mathrm{GHz})$ and high-velocity jet tracer $\mathrm{SiO} \mathrm{J}=5-4(217.033 \mathrm{GHz})$ line emission. The acquired visibility data were calibrated using the standard pipeline in CASA 5.4 (McMullin et al. 2007) for different scheduling blocks (SB) separately. We then separated visibilities for all 72 sources, each with their three different observed configurations. For each source, we generated both 1.3 mm continuum and spectral visibilities by selecting all line-free channels, fitting, and subtracting continuum emission in the visibility domain. Imaging of the visibility data was performed with the TCLEAN task in CASA 5.4, using a threshold of $3 \sigma$ theoretical sensitivity, and "hogbom" deconvolver. We applied Briggs weighting with robust +2.0 (natural weighting) to obtain a high sensitivity map to best suit the weak emission at the outer envelope, and it does not degrade the resolution much in comparison with robust +0.5 . We generated two sets of continuum images. One set includes all configurations TM1+TM2+ACA to obtain continuum maps with a synthesized beam of $\sim 0^{\prime \prime} 38 \times 0^{\prime \prime} 33$ and typical sensitivity ranging from 0.01 to 0.2 mJy beam $^{-1}$; where TM1, TM2 configurations contributed to improve resolution, and the compact ACA configuration improves the missing flux problem. For the large scale structures, we also obtained a second set of continuum images from only the $7-\mathrm{m}$ ACA configuration visibilities with a synthesized beam of $7!\prime 6 \times 44^{\prime \prime} 1$ and typical sensitivity of 0.6 to 2.0 mJy beam $^{-1}$. The detections of dense cores are listed in combined configurations (column 5), with
rms (column 6), plus in ACA only (column 7) with rms (column 8) in Table 1.

On the other hand, since $\mathrm{CO} \mathrm{J}=2-1$ and $\mathrm{SiO} \mathrm{J}=5-$ 4 emission are strong, a robust weighting factor of +0.5 was used to generate CO and SiO channel maps using a combination of three visibilities (i.e., TM1 $+\mathrm{TM} 2+\mathrm{ACA}$ ) with typical synthesized beam sizes of $\sim 00^{\prime \prime} 41 \times 0!35$ and $\sim 00^{\prime \prime} 44 \times 00^{\prime \prime} 37$, respectively. We binned the channels with a velocity resolution of $2 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ to improve the signal-to-noise ratio and thus we obtained typical sensitivity ranging 0.02 to 0.2 mJy beam ${ }^{-1}$.

## 3. SCIENCE GOALS AND EARLY RESULTS

### 3.1. Continuum emission at 1.3 mm

The main science goal of the ALMASOP project is to study the fragmentation of these extremely young dense cores with high resolution 1.3 mm continuum data from ALMA. We will investigate the substructures of starless cores and the multiplicities of protostellar cores. In this work, we only present the 1.3 mm continuum images and briefly discuss the properties of the detected cores. We leave the detailed discussions of the substructures of starless cores and the multiplicities of protostellar cores to forthcoming papers.
Figure 2a-d shows some selected examples of the 1.3 mm continuum maps toward the dense cores with a typical resolution of $\sim 0^{\prime \prime} 35(\sim 140 \mathrm{au})$. The continuum maps reveal diverse morphologies of the dense cores. For example, Figure 2(a) displays 1.3 mm continuum emission of G209.29-19.65S1, which is a candidate prestellar core. It shows an extended envelope that contains a dense blob-like structure. In Figure 2(b), the compact core of G191.90-11.21S is likely protostar with a much brighter peak than the candidate prestellar core G209.29-19.65S1 (Figure 2a) as it is surrounded by extended emission, and this source was later classified as Class 0 (section 3.3). Whereas Figure 2(c) contains the compact emission of G205.46-14.56S3 with a relatively fainter surrounding envelope than typical Class 0, and this source was later found to be a Class I source (section 3.3). Some protostellar continuum structures exhibit close multiplicity on the present observed scale, as shown in Figure 2(d).
The full 1.3 mm continuum images for targets in $\lambda$ Orionis, Orion A and Orion B GMCs are presented in Figures A1, A2 and A3, respectively.
Out of 72 targets, 48 have been detected in the combined 3-configurations ( $\sim 66 \%$ ), where a total of 70 compact cores have been revealed including the multiple systems. In the other 24 targets, there is either no emission or only $3 \sigma$ level emission in the combined

TM1+TM2+ACA continuum maps, where the dense cores may have larger sizes than the maximum recoverable size (MRS $\sim 14^{\prime \prime}$ ) of the combined data, although they could be detected in ACA maps (MRS $\sim 25^{\prime \prime}$ ). As an example, Figure 3 (left panel) does not display significant emission in its combined map, although we can see significant emission in ACA only (right panel of Figure $3)$. We, therefore, checked those targeted positions in ACA only (see Figure A4), which reveals an additional 10 detections $(>5 \sigma)$. Thus from the present survey, we are able to detect the emission of $80 \%$ of the targeted sources (58, out of 72 ).

We performed one component two-dimensional Gaussian fitting in TM1+TM2+ACA maps within the 5sigma contour level to those 70 core structures detected in the combined configurations. Here we do not compare the measurement from ACA-only detections due to different resolutions and these results of ACA configurations will be presented in a separate paper. The fitting parameters are listed in the Table 5, which includes deconvolved major axis, minor axis, position angle, integrated flux density $\left(\mathrm{F}_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}}\right)$, and peak flux $\left(\right.$ Peak $\left._{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}}\right)$. The source sizes ${ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{a b}\right)$ were obtained from the geometrical mean of major and minor axes (i.e., $\mathrm{S}_{a b}=\sqrt{\text { major } \times \text { minor })}$.

Assuming optically thin emission, the (gas and dust) mass of the envelope+disk can be roughly estimated using the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{E n v D i s k} \sim \frac{D^{2} F_{\nu}}{B_{\nu}\left(T_{d u s t}\right) \kappa_{\nu}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where D is the distance to the sources, which is $\sim 389$ $\pm 3,404 \pm 5$, and $404 \pm 4 \mathrm{pc}$ for Orion A, Orion B and $\lambda$-Ori sources, respectively (Kounkel et al. 2018). $\mathrm{B}_{\nu}$ is the Planck blackbody function at the dust temperature $\mathrm{T}_{\text {dust }}, \mathrm{F}_{\nu}$ observed flux density, and $\kappa_{\nu}$ is the mass opacity per gram of the dust mass. We assume the dust temperature as 25 K for candidate protostellar disk-envelopes ${ }^{2}$ (Tobin et al. 2020) and $6.5 \mathrm{~K}^{3}$ for can-
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Figure 2. Example images of ALMA 1.3 mm continuum toward selected dense cores. The typical beam sizes $\sim 0$ " 35 are drawn in the lower left of each panel in red ellipse. The contour levels are at $5 \times(1,2,10) \sigma$. The source sequences are (a) starless core G209.29-19.65S1, where $\sigma=5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$, (b) Class 0 system G191.90-11.21S, where $\sigma=4 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$, (c) Class I system G205.46-14.53S3, where $\sigma=6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$ (d) binary system G211.47-19.27N, where $\sigma=12 \times 10^{-5}$ Jy beam ${ }^{-1}$. Notice that the extended emission turns more compact as we evolve from starless, Class 0 to Class I, interestingly the peak emission is also increasing on the same sequence (see text for more details).
didate starless cores (Crapsi et al. 2007; Caselli et al. 2019b). Taking a gas-to-dust mass ratio of 100 , the theoretical dust mass opacity at 1.3 mm is considered as $\kappa_{\nu}=0.00899(\nu / 231 \mathrm{GHz})^{\beta} \mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}$ (Lee et al. 2018) in the early phase for coagulated dust particles with no ice mantles (see also, OH5: column 5 of Ossenkopf \& Henning 1994), where we assume the dust opacity spectral index, $\beta=1.5$ for this size scale. Table 5 lists the estimated masses from these analyses.
Figure 4a-d (black steps) shows the distribution of all the measured $F_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}}, \mathrm{M}_{\text {EnvDisk }}, \mathrm{Peak}_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{a b}$ with median values of $32.10 \mathrm{mJy}, 0.093 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}, 14.33 \mathrm{mJy}$ beam $^{-1}, 0^{\prime \prime} 27$, respectively. More than $80 \%$ of this sample have 1.3 mm flux densities $<100 \mathrm{mJy}$, peak fluxes $<$

50 mJy beam $^{-1}$ and average sizes $<00^{\prime \prime} 6$. Note that the ALMA emission peaks (Table 5) are shifted from JCMT peaks (Table 1), which is mainly due to resolution difference of the two telescopes.

### 3.2. Outflow and Jet profiles

The ALMASOP project will investigate the jet launching mechanisms and the evolution of outflows in the earliest phases, i.e., Class 0 stage, of star formation. Using the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ and $\mathrm{SiO}(5-4)$ transitions at $\sim 0^{\prime \prime} 35$ ( $\sim 140 \mathrm{AU}$ ) angular resolution, we have performed a systematic search for low-velocity outflow components and high-velocity collimated jet components driven by protostellar objects.


Figure 3. Example images of 1.3 mm continuum observations for combined TM1+TM2+ACA in the left panel, and ACA only in the right panel are shown. Typical beam sizes are shown at the lower left in each panel with the red ellipses. The combined resolution resolved out the emission, whether a compact structure is clearly seen in ACA only with contour levels $5 \times(1,2,10) \sigma$, where $\sigma=0.001 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$.

### 3.2.1. Outflow components from $C O$ emission

One common way to distinguish young protostars from a sample of the dense cores embedded in the molecular cloud is to identify the molecular outflowing gas in the lower rotational transition ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$. We have traced such blue- and redshifted outflow wings through visual inspection of velocity channel maps and their spectra. An example of a bipolar ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ outflow total intensity map integrated over the full blueshifted and redshifted velocity range is shown in Figure 5 for the source G205.46-14.53S3. The blue- and redshifted components (grey color and black contours) shows V-shaped structures toward the NE and SW directions, respectively. The 1.3 mm continuum (magenta contours) exhibits a compact continuum with its continuum inner core ( $>$ $20 \sigma$ in Figure 5) nearly elongated in a direction nearly perpendicular to the outflow axis.

The velocity extents of the blue- and redshifted lobes are selected from the channel where it appears for the first time at $3 \sigma$ level, to the channel of disappearance at the same the $3 \sigma$ limit (e.g., Cabrit \& Bertout 1992; Yıldiz et al. 2015). As an example, Figure 6 shows the position-velocity (PV) diagram, derived along the outflow axis. The object systemic velocity is likely $12 \pm 4$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The maximum outflow velocity or extent of the blue component is estimated as $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{B}=114_{-24}^{+8} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, where the redshifted components have a velocity extent of $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{R}=106_{-24}^{+8} \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, without any inclination correction. The average velocity extent $(\Delta \mathrm{V})$ is estimated from both components. We have identified 37 outflow sources with CO emission wings. The extents of both
blue- and redshifted lobes observed in CO are tabulated in Table 5. However, these $\Delta \mathrm{Vs}$ are the lower limits in small field-of-view (FOV) of our combined configuration maps, and we do not know the actual spatial extension of the outflow wings. The CO outflow images for all the protostellar samples are shown in Figure A5.

These velocity extents are different for blue- and redshifted lobes with high uncertainties, which could be due to the missing short velocity-spacing on both ends of the lobes in the present poor velocity-resolution observations, unknown inclination angle, complex gas dynamics of ambient clouds, or global infall in the protostar bearing filaments. In some cases, such as G211.01-19.45S, the outflow is identified as monopolar where the other part could be disregarded due to low velocities, or confused with emission from other sources. Estimated $\Delta$ Vs range from 4 to $110 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with a median value 26.5 $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. In some cases, complex structures are observed, where it is difficult to distinguish the outflow wings from the complex cloud environment (marked "cx" in Table $5)$. These sources can not be ruled out from the outflow candidates, and further investigations are needed at high velocity and spatial resolution with numerical analysis to extract their features from the cloud dynamics.

### 3.2.2. Identification of high velocity knots

The large impact of the Orion cloud kinematics on the outflows makes it difficult to elucidate the original outflow morphology in $\mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ tracer. $\mathrm{SiO}(5-4)$ has been found to provide more insights into the outflow chemistry (Louvet et al. 2016). The excitation conditions of the $\mathrm{SiO}(5-4)$ emission line have a high critical density


Figure 4. Histograms of (a) integrated flux densities (b) envelope+disk mass (c) peak emission and (d) geometrical sizes derived with 2-D Gaussian fitting of 1.3 mm continuum emission for all the sources (black steps), which includes starless (blue), Class 0 (green), Class I (red) and unclassified sources.
of (5-10) $\times 10^{6} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ (Nony et al. 2020), which could be reached in high-density knot components. The collimated jets frequently appear as a series of knots, which are interpreted as made by the internal shocks originated by episodic accretion/ejection at the protostellar massloss rate (Bachiller et al. 1991). An example of blueand redshifted SiO emission is shown in Figure 5. The identification of the jet-components is marked in Table 5 (column 14), and these sources are considered as jet sources throughout the paper.
Out of 37 outflow sources, $18(\sim 50 \%)$ are detected having knots in the SiO line emission within the CO outflow cavities. Additionally, two non CO emitting sources are also identified with SiO emission, where CO emission is possibly non-detectable due to complex cloud


Figure 5. Example of molecular outflow detected at ALMA ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ (grey) is shown for a Class I source G205.4614.56 S 3 . The black contours are at $3 \mathrm{n} \sigma$, where $\mathrm{n}=1,2$, $\ldots .$. and $\sigma=0.14 \mathrm{Jy} / \mathrm{beam} \mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s}$. The blue and red arrows indicate the blueshifted and redshifted emissions, respectively. The magenta contours are 1.3 mm continuum emission at levels $6 \times(1,3,8,16) \sigma$, where $\sigma=6 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{Jy}$ beam $^{-1}$. The blue and red contours are blue- and redshifted integrated $\mathrm{SiO}(5-4)$ emission at $3 \times(1,2,3,6,9) \sigma$, where $\sigma=$ $0.03 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$. The average tangents through the $3 \sigma$ outermost contours at $\sim 1^{\prime \prime}$ and $\sim 2^{\prime \prime}$ from the continuum peak are drawn in cyan dashed lines. The yellow and green double headed arrows indicate the opening angle width $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}$ and $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{800}$, respectively, which are at different distance of $\sim 1^{\prime \prime}$ and $\sim 2^{\prime \prime}$ from the continuum peak, respectively. A schematic of opening angle ( $\alpha$ ) measurement is also shown (see text for details).
environment, as discussed above. High-mass molecular clumps are reported to have $\sim 50-90 \%$ jet detection in low-angular resolution surveys in $\mathrm{SiO}(2-1)$, (3-2), (5-4) emission lines (e.g., Csengeri et al. 2016; Li et al. 2019; Nony et al. 2020). It is to be noted that the high-density shock components could also be detected in more highdensity tracers e.g., SiO (8-7). So the higher transitions of SiO could reveal more knot ejecting sources. Additionally, the knot tracers may vary with the evolution of the protostars (Lee 2020).

### 3.2.3. Outflow Opening Angle

Among the main characteristics of outflows, opening angle $(\alpha)$ is one of the less-explored observational parameters to date. In the low-velocity regime, the CO delineates two-cavity walls open in the blue and red-


Figure 6. Position-Velocity diagram of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ molecular outflow emission along jet-axis for G205.46-14.56S3. The black contour levels are at $3 \times(1,2,3,4,6,10,15) \sigma$, where $\sigma$ $=0.001 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$. The systemic velocity of the source is $\sim+12 \pm 4 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Prominent nearly-continuous emission can be seen up to -98 and $+108 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ in the blue- and redshifted lobe, respectively. Including the near-source overlapping blue- and resdhifted emission, the velocity extents are obtained as $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{B} \sim 114 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{V}_{R} \sim 106 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for blue- and redshifted lobes, respectively.
shifted directions. Measuring the $\alpha$ is quite complicated for the sources with no well-defined cavity walls throughout the full observed extent due to the presence of a complex cloud environment (e.g., G200.34-10.97N, G205.4614.56S1, G209.55-19.68S1), or secondary outflows (e.g., G209.55-19.68N1) (see Appendix, Figure A5). For both, blue- and redshifted directions, if the conical structures appear to be symmetrical, then one can find the apex by extrapolating the cavity boundaries (e.g., Wang et al. 2014). However, the real complexity of finding the apex position appears for asymmetrical outflow lobes, even if we assume the continuum peak to be the apex position, the tangent will be needed to allow us to trace back to that apex location. Hence we may miss a significant fraction of the cavity-width near the source. In that case, we also do not know the outflow-launching radius for the source, which essentially varies from source to source. Thus, we adopt a consistent approach for all the sources, where the outflow cavity width $\left(\Theta_{o b s}\right)$ is measured perpendicular to the outflow axis.

Firstly, the outflow axis of each lobe is derived from their knot structures in SiO emission (Figure 5). For the sources having no SiO emission, CO-jets are uti-
lized to find the jet-axis from the dense CO-emission near the middle of the outflow cavity walls. Some of the sources show neither SiO knots nor CO jets; in those cases, their outflow axis was assumed to be in the middle of the outflow cavity. Secondly, we draw an average tangent at the outermost $3 \sigma$ contours at the local point of consideration (cyan dashed lines in Figure 5). Now, the width perpendicular to jet-axis of the $3 \sigma$ cavity wall at $1^{\prime \prime}$ (i.e., $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}$ at $\sim 400 \mathrm{au}$; yellow double headed arrow) and $2^{\prime \prime}$ (i.e., $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{800}$ at $\sim 800 \mathrm{au}$; green double headed arrow) distance from continuum peak represents the opening angle at the corresponding distance from the stellar core. As shown in the schematic diagram on top of Figure 5, if the opening angle width is measured as $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{D}$ at a distance D from the continuum peak, from right angle trigonometry the half of opening angle is, $\frac{\alpha}{2}=\tan ^{-1}\left(\frac{\left[\theta_{o b s}\right]_{D} / 2}{D}\right)$. We also measured $\left[\theta_{o b s}\right]_{D}$ at distances $>2^{\prime \prime}$, and found that $\alpha$ measurements are quite consistent for the outflows with well-defined cavity walls. However, we prefer to present $\left[\theta_{o b s}\right]_{D}$ close to the source, i.e. at $1^{\prime \prime}$ and $2^{\prime \prime}$, for all the sources to minimize the environmental effects on the measurements, and as shown in Figure 7a-b, the overall trends of $\left[\theta_{o b s}\right]_{D}$ with $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$ remain the same for both the distances. The exact envelope boundaries and other environment effects towards each of the outflow lobes are also unknown, which could lead to unequal deformation on both the outflow lobes. Thus, we have taken an average of blue- and redshifted opening angles to measure the final $\Theta_{o b s}$ to reduce the unknown contamination. From the present analyses, we are able to estimate $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{D}$ of 22 outflow sources, and the values of the final $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{D}$ are listed in Table 5.
The CO outflow cavities have an opening angle width at $1^{\prime \prime}(\sim 400 \mathrm{AU})$ ranging from $0 . \prime 6-3^{\prime \prime} 9$ (i.e., typically $\alpha=33^{\circ} .4-125^{\circ} .7$ near the source) with a median value $1^{\prime \prime} 64$. The median value for 19 Class 0 sources is $1^{\prime \prime} .60$ and 3 Class I sources is $2^{\prime \prime} 70$ (see section 3.3 for objects classification).

These measured quantities of opening angles are not corrected for inclination angle, i. As in Figure 5, the continuum emission is apparently shifted towards the the blueshifted lobes, which is most probably an inclination effect, and at the same distance from the continuum peaks, the blue lobes appear wider than the red lobes. Measuring inclination angle needs well-defined outflow cavity walls with their full spatial extent. We, therefore, need high-velocity resolution and wide field-of-view for the outflows, which we lack in the present datasets. Note that, we need to define the exact shell structure to estimate the real-age opening angle, for a rotating outflow it is complex to search the corresponding shell cavity in


Figure 7. Opening angle $\Theta_{o p}\left(^{\prime \prime}\right)$, that is the average width of blue- and redshifted outflow cavity (a) at $\sim 400 \mathrm{AU}$ and (b) at $\sim 800 \mathrm{AU}$ from continuum peak, as a function of $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}(\mathrm{~K})$ for the protostars of the survey sample. (c) $\mathrm{L}_{b o l}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{\odot}\right)$ as function of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}(\mathrm{K})$. The blue data points with grey error bars represent all the outflow sources having a good detection in both, blue and redshifted, outflow lobes. The red squares indicate the sources with SiO knot detection (i.e., jet emission). The dotted vertical lines in all three panels are indicating $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}=70 \mathrm{~K}$, a boundary between Class 0 to Class I sources (see text for details).
low-velocity resolution observations. In such cases, we assume the outer boundary as the outflow shell, which introduces error in the $\Theta_{o b s}$. Thus, theoretical models are necessary to reduce the environmental effects of complex cloud dynamics, envelope emission, and interacting outflows. Further high velocity resolution and single dish observations are also very important to determine the envelope boundary and inclination angle.

### 3.3. Protostellar Signatures

### 3.3.1. Multiwavelength catalog

The surrounding envelopes are dissipated during protostellar evolution. They gradually appear from submm , mid-infrared (MIR) to near-infrared (NIR) wavelengths hence they become less sensitive to 1.3 mm emission. Thus, we searched for the sub-mm, MIR and NIR counterpart of each dense core in the archived Two-Micron All-Sky Survey (2MASS; Cutri et al. 2003), UKIRT Infrared Deep Sky Survey (UKIDSS; Lawrence et al. 2007), Spitzer Space Telescope survey of Orion AB (Megeath et al. 2012), Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE; Wright et al. 2010), AKARI (Doi et al. 2015), Herschel Orion Protostellar survey (HOPS; Stutz et al. 2013; Tobin et al. 2015), Atacama Pathfinder Experiment (APEX; Stutz et al. 2013), the $850 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ JCMT (Yi et al. 2018). In addition to these catalogs, we include our present ALMA 1.3 mm emission to estimate a more accurate bolometric temperature ( $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$ ) and luminosity $\left(\mathrm{L}_{b o l}\right)$ than that of Yi et al. (2018).

The final multiwavelength catalogue was obtained by cross-matching all the catalogues described above. Ini-
tially, we adopted a matching radius of $\mathrm{r}_{m} \sim 3^{\prime \prime}$ for all the catalogues (see also Dutta et al. 2015, for details), which best suits the relatively high resolution catalogues, 2MASS, UKIDSS, Spitzer and ALMA. For the relatively poor resolution catalogues, WISE, AKARI, Herschel, APEX, JCMT, we further checked the images within their corresponding resolution limits to consider the counterpart of an object. For the possible close binary in the present analysis, with the available observations, it is difficult to determine the exact source of infrared emission since the binary system is embedded in a common envelope. We therefore assigned the same measurements to both protostars. The final crossmatched catalogue is presented in Table 6. Finally, the objects with good photometric accuracy (signal-to-noise ratio: $\mathrm{SNR}>10$ for 2 MASS , UKIDSS, Spitzer-IRAC and Spitzer-MIPS; SNR $>20$ for WISE and ALMA; SNR > 50 for AKARI, JCMT, Herschel, APEX) were utilized for the further analyses (e.g., Dutta et al. 2018). For the HOPS fluxes, we adopted the uncertainty flags as provided in Furlan et al. (2016).

The $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{b o l}$ were estimated with trapezoid-rule integration over the available fluxes, assuming the distance as $\sim 389 \pm 3,404 \pm 5$, and $404 \pm 4 \mathrm{pc}$ for Orion A, Orion B and $\lambda$-Ori sources, respectively (Kounkel et al. 2018), and the measured values are listed in Table 5. Following Myers \& Ladd (1993), the flux weighted mean frequencies in the observed spectral energy distributions (SEDs) were utilized to obtain $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$. We assume $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}=70 \mathrm{~K}$ as a quantitative transition temperature from Class 0 to Class I (e.g., Chen et al. 1995). Our dis-


Figure 8. Maximum outflow velocity ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ ) for (a) blueshifted, (b) redshifted and (c) average of both velocity components as a function of $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}\left({ }^{(\prime)}\right)$. The symbols are same as Figure 7. The linear regression is shown with brown line in panel (c).
tribution of $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{b o l}$ are close to the measured values of the HOPS catalog (Furlan et al. 2016), the HOPS IDs are marked in column 18 of Table 5. Some differences are expected since we are using [additional] mid infrared data not included in the HOPS catalog. For some sources, the mid-infrared observations (e.g., AKARI and Herschel) are not available, therefore our measurements should give the lower limit for those sources (Kryukova et al. 2012).


Figure 9. Maximum outflow velocity ( $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ ) for (a) blueshifted, (b) redshifted and (c) average of both velocity components as a function of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$. The symbols are same as Figure 7. The majority of the Class 0 sources (i.e., $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}<$ 70 K ) follow an increasing trend in all three panels.

The distribution of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$ can be seen in Figure 7(a), (b) (see also Figure 9 and Figure 10). Figure 7(c) shows the distribution of $\mathrm{L}_{b o l}$ with the $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}$ of our protostellar sample. Two separate wings are prominent in Figure 7 (c), where the nearly horizontal wing represents the increment from Class 0 to Class I sources. The nearly vertical wing possibly originates from the combined lumi-


Figure 10. (a) Flux densities, (b) peak, (c) deconvolved size at 1.3 mm from 2-D gaussian fitting as a function of $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$. The symbols are same as Figure 7. The y-axis error bars are shown at inset-figures in the panels (a) and (b). A typical beam size is shown in panel (c).
nosity of multiple stellar components, since they possess a common envelope and the present available infrared resolution is not enough to distinguish their emission components. We estimated the bolometric temperature of 53 sources, those having 5 or more wavelength detections, which also includes all sources in multiple systems.

### 3.3.2. Outflows in protostellar candidates

The detection of infrared emission could be biased by the high-background emission from the ambient cloud. In addition, Herschel does not have coverage of all the Orion dense cores. Hence, some of the protostars in this ALMASOP sample could not be detected from the infrared only catalog. Outflows are another potential tool to identify protostars. As such, eight sources (G192.32-11.88N, G205.46-14.56M1_B, G205.46-14.56S1_B, G208.6819.20N3_A, G208.89-20.04W, G209.55-19.68N1_A, G211.47-19.27N_B, G215.87-17.62M_A) are not listed in the infrared catalog, however they have bipolar CO outflows. We consider these sources are likely young Class 0 sources. However, the complex cloud dynamics prevent the detection of less extended and evolved outflows in CO (2-1), which are marked as "cx" in Table 5.

Finally, we classify 56 sources based on $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$ estimation and outflow detection. Out of them, 19 are candidate Class I sources, the other 37 sources are candidate Class 0 sources. However, higher resolution multiband infrared observations would more effectively refine the classification. For some sources in multiple systems (e.g., G196.92-10.37_C, G205.46-14.56M2_A and G206.93-16.61E2_A - D), we obtain $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$, but there are no clear signatures of outflows. The infrared emission for those sources are also confusing with others. These sources are not classified in this paper.

### 3.4. Candidates for Class 0 Keplerian-like disks

The ALMASOP project also aims to search for Keplerian-like disks surrounding Class 0 protostars. Figure 11 presents a candidate for Keplerian-like disk surrounding a Class 0 protostar, G192.12-11.10. Its ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ $\mathrm{J}=2-1$ emission reveals a collimated bipolar outflow (see left panel of Figure 11). As shown in the right panel of Figure 11, the 1.3 mm continuum emission of G192.1211.10 shows a flattened structure, that may be a candidate disk. The redshifted and blueshifted $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{J}=2-1$ emission clearly shows a rotation pattern of the disk-like structure. We have identified a handful of disk candidates surrounding Class 0 protostars such as G192.1211.10. The properties of these disk candidates will be discussed in a forthcoming paper (Dutta et al., in preparation).

### 3.5. Chemical Signatures

As illustrated in Table 3, the four spectral windows cover a suite of molecular species and transitions, most of which are of importance for the chemical diagnostics of young star-forming regions. The successful detection and imaging of these tracers enables the analysis of chemical compositions of our diverse sample of objects from starless to young Class 0 and Class I protostellar cores.
It has been suggested that the deuterium fraction increases at the cold starless core phase and then decreases as the protostar warms up the surrounding material in the protostellar phase (e.g., Tobin et al. 2019; Tatematsu et al. 2020). As shown in Figure 12 and 13, $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{DCO}^{+}$are detected toward both starless and protostellar cores. The emission morphology will aid in diagnosing their thermal structure and history, which will


Figure 11. (left panel) ALMA ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}(2-1)$ integrated intensity (moment zero) color-scale map of the source G192.12-11.10. The white contours start from $10 \%$ to $70 \%$ in steps of $10 \%$ of the intensity peak. The CO intensity peak is $2.3 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1} \mathrm{~km}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The synthesized beam size is shown in the bottom left corner in red. (right panel) A zoomed view of the central part. The blueshifted component (blue contours), and the redshifted component (red contours) of $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}(2-1)$ emission are overplotted on top of 1.3 mm continuum images. The blue- and red-contours are at $10,20,30 \sigma$, where the noise level is $\sigma \sim 0.017 \mathrm{Jy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$ $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The gray scale is the 1.3 mm continuum emission with contour levels at $\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}+1\right) \times 50 \sigma$, with $\sigma=0.06 \mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}$. The synthesized beam sizes are shown in the bottom left corner in gray (continuum) and red $\left(\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)$.
be discussed in forthcoming papers (Sahu Dipen et al. in preparation; Liu Sheng-Yuan et al. in preparation).
Some low- to intermediate mass Class $0 / \mathrm{I}$ protostars, dubbed "hot corinos", exhibit considerably abundant saturated complex organic molecules (COMs: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{HCOOCH}_{3}, \mathrm{HCOOH}$ ) in the compact ( $<100$ au) and warm ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{~K}$ ) regions immediately surrounding the YSO (e.g., Kuan et al. 2004; Ceccarelli 2004), as shown in Figure 14. By utilizing our ACA 7 m data, Hsu et al. (2020) has readily identified four new hot corino candidates (G192.12-11.10, G211.47-19.27S, G208.68-19.20N1, and G210.49-19.79W) in the sample. A more detailed study of hot corinos with high resolution $12-\mathrm{m}$ array data will be presented in a forthcoming paper (Hsu Shih-Ying et al. in preparation)
As discussed in section 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, the outflow and jet components and their interaction with the core can be traced both in position and velocity by ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ and SiO line emission. The other molecular species such as CS, $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{OCS}, \mathrm{HCO}^{+}$could be utilized to trace the dense structures underlying protostellar winds (e.g., Maret et al. 2005; Jørgensen et al. 2004; Codella et al. 2005; Arce et al. 2007; Lee 2020). The molecular species available in observed spectra are displayed in Figures 13 and 14 . The shock chemistry with ALMASOP data will be presented in a forthcoming paper (Liu Sheng-Yuan et al. in preparation).

## 4. DISCUSSION

### 4.1. Evolution of the dense cores

From the 1.3 mm continuum morphology of the 70 dense cores and their infrared counterpart, we perceived three categories; one, 48 dense cores are relatively compact in 1.3 mm continuum with protostellar signatures, either low-velocity outflow, high-velocity jet, or infrared detections. In the second category, 4 dense starless cores exhibit extended emission and compact blobs (see Table 5). They are likely prestellar cores with substructures, and deserve detailed investigation. The physical and chemical properties of these 4 cores will be further discussed in forthcoming papers (Sahu et al., in preparation; Hirano et al., in preparation). In the third category, another 16 dense cores are not classified due to their complex cloud dynamics and confusing infrared detection. Moreover, out of 72 targeted JCMT positions, 24 show no emission in the combined TM1+TM2+ACA continuum maps. They are likely the starless cores with low density and with sizes larger than the maximum recoverable size, as discussed above (see section 3.1 and Figure 3). However, 10 out of the 24 starless cores are detected with ACA alone. The detailed properties of all the starless cores will be presented in a forthcoming paper (Sahu et al., in preparation).

Figure 4a-d shows the histogram distribution of all types of sources, which includes starless, Class 0, Class I, and unclassified sources. The starless, Class 0, and Class I have median values of $\mathrm{F}_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}} \sim 59.65,46.42$, and 14.96 mJy , respectively, whereas the median values of $\mathrm{M}_{\text {EnvDisk }}$ are $1.34,0.13,0.04 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$, respectively. A similar sequence was observed at 4.1 cm and 6.1 cm fluxes in Tychoniec et al. (2018), where Class 0 sources exhibit larger flux than Class I in both wavelengths. The geometrical sizes, $\mathrm{S}_{a b}$ of the starless cores (deconvolved median size $\sim 4^{\prime \prime} 77$ ) are found to be larger than Class 0 (median deconvolved size $\sim 0^{\prime \prime} 32$ ) and Class I (median deconvolved size $\sim 0!\prime 18$ ). The Gaussian 2-D integrated flux and sizes of the dense cores basically depend on the power-law indexes, which vary from starless, Class 0 to Class I (e.g., Lee et al. 2019). So, the above outcomes could be interpreted as varying density profiles (e.g., Aso et al. 2019). The starless cores have a flat density distribution in the inner regions, so we get larger sizes and hence larger masses. On the other hand, the small sizes from Class 0 to Class I sources suggest that pseudodisk/disks are dominating the 1.3 mm fluxes and the apparent mass-supplying radius of the continuum reduces with the evolution from Class 0 to Class I (see also Figure 10c, section 4.2.3). These decreasing sizes and masses findings from Class 0 to Class I could also indicate the dissipation of the envelope due to accretion and ejection activity of the protostars from Class 0 to Class I evolution. Although, our present analyses of 1component 2D-Gaussian fitting could not infer to the presence of secondary sources within the common envelope. Therefore, the actual envelope size of the individual sources could not be specified, in those cases two or more component 2D-Gaussian fittings are required. It is also not clear only from our present sample consisting of a small fraction of Class I sources whether these are the intrinsic correlations of dense core evolution or biased by the sample selection, more statistical studies may explain this more comprehensively.
Likewise, if we compare the Peak 1.3 mm , the Class 0 sources have larger values of peak emission (median $\sim 28.20 \mathrm{mJy}^{\mathrm{beam}}{ }^{-1}$ ) than Class I (median $\sim 10.41$ mJy beam $^{-1}$ ) and starless cores (median $\sim 0.52 \mathrm{mJy}$ beam ${ }^{-1}$ ). This result suggests a possible evolutionary trend of the dense cores, where the starless cores exhibit a lower peak and as they form a Class 0 system, their emission heats up the surrounding disk-envelope material and making them brightest in this wavelength. On the other hand, as they evolve to the Class I system, their surrounding material may also dissipate and the stellar core becomes more luminous towards the shorter wavelength regime, hence they tend to show a fainter
peak in the 1.3 mm wavelength. However, it could be also an interferometric effect. As starless cores are more diffuse, so the emission is resolved out. Protostellar cores are denser with a different density profile, that can be recovered by the interferometer because they are compact.

Figure 10a-b display the distribution of 1.3 mm flux densities and peak flux, respectively as a function of $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}$. The Class I (i.e., $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}>70 \mathrm{~K}$ ) sources are mostly concentrated at $\log \left(F_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}}\right) \sim 1.3$ to 1.8 mJy and $\log \left(\right.$ Peak $\left._{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}}\right) \sim 1.25$ to 1.70 mJy beam $^{-1}$, whereas the Class 0 flux densities and peaks are wide spread. Figure 10c shows the decreasing size distribution of 2D Gaussian fitting with $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$. Despite of fewer Class I sources and unresolved disk-scale geometry, one can see it is significantly smaller sizes than Class 0 . Figure 10c points towards a transition from Class 0 to Class I at $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}=60-70 \mathrm{~K}$ for envelope+disk size $<0!\prime 2$ (i.e. 80 au ) in this sample, which is also an empirical boundary temperature between Class 0 to Class I sources. These findings also support either the possible density variation according to power-law index or envelope dissipation with protostellar evolution could contribute towards such flux, peak, and size variation from Class 0 to Class I.

### 4.2. Evolution of protostellar outflows

The bolometric temperature and luminosity derived from SED analyses can be somewhat questionable due to inconsistent multiwavelength data catalogs and misidentification due to multiplicity. Rather than exclusively depending on the SED results, we also searched for possible evolutionary trends from the physical appearance of the outflows from their opening angle, and maximum outflow velocity in the ISM.

### 4.2.1. Time Sequence Outflow Opening Angle

Protostellar jets and winds propagate into the envelope as its immediate environment. As the protostars evolve, the collapsing material settles into the equatorial pseudodisk along with the magnetic field lines. With the growing size of pseudodisk, the matter is evacuated by the magnetic field from the polar region. It is to be noted that the envelope mass declines typically a few orders of magnitudes during the evolution from Class 0 to Class I (Bontemps et al. 1996; Arce \& Sargent 2006). The excavated surroundings set off the widening opening of wind-blown outflow lobe with time (e.g., Bachiller \& Tafalla 1999; Arce \& Sargent 2006; Shang et al. 2006).
The outflow opening angle remains narrower than $20^{\circ}$ independent of the launching protostar's properties (e.g., mass of the protostars, ejection to accretion mass ratio) during the early stages (Kuiper et al. 2016), and
the low-velocity outflow appears from the first core (Larson 1969), without any high-velocity component. The high-velocity jet catches up to the outflow after a few hundred years, and the jet speed increases with time (e.g., Machida \& Basu 2019). With the emergence of the jet, a strong radiation pressure pushes the outflow material outward (Kuiper et al. 2016; Machida \& Basu 2019). The observed opening angles are observed to span over $20^{\circ}$ in early accretion phases and up to $160^{\circ}$ at later phases (Beuther \& Shepherd 2005; Frank et al. 2014). For example, HH 211 is among the youngest known Class 0 protostars with narrow opening angle (Bachiller \& Tafalla 1999), while the evolved Class 0 or embedded Class I systems (e.g., HH 46/47; van Kempen et al. 2009) have relatively wider opening angles of their outflow cavity (van Kempen et al. 2009). The older outflow cavities driven by Class I sources, such as L 43, L 1551, and B5 (Richer et al. 2000), appear characteristically with lowvelocity CO outflows from wider opening cavities up to $90^{\circ}$ (Lee et al. 2002; Arce \& Sargent 2006). Observations of a large number of outflows at different evolutionary stages from Class 0 and Class I to Class II, revealed a systematic widening of opening angle with the stellar evolution (Arce \& Sargent 2006; Velusamy et al. 2014; Hsieh et al. 2017).
In Figure 7a-b, the opening angles are plotted as a function of the $\mathrm{T}_{b o l}$. The Class I sources exhibit a higher opening angle range (median $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400} \sim 2^{\prime \prime} 7$ ) than Class $0\left(\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400} \sim 1^{\prime \prime} 6\right)$. However, from the present scattered distribution, a linear regression suggests a minor correlation only, which may be due to a limited number of opening angle measurements at $>70 \mathrm{~K}$ (i.e., only three in Class I and none in Class II), high uncertainty in $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$ estimation, and/or unknown inclination of the outflow axis. Additional observations of more Class I and earlyClass II are required to obtain the evolutionary changes of opening angle accurately, as observed in Arce \& Sargent (2006); Velusamy et al. (2014); Hsieh et al. (2017).

### 4.2.2. Age Dispersal velocity Distribution

Several outflow models have been proposed to demonstrate the formation of molecular outflow driven by protostars and how they propagate in the ambient cloud environment (See review by Arce et al. 2007; Frank et al 2014). The two more broadly accepted are (a) disk-wind model (e.g., Konigl \& Pudritz 2000), where a wind-driven outflow launched from the entire protostellar disk surface and, (b) a two-component protostellar wind model or X-wind model (e.g., Shu et al. 2000), initiated from the innermost region of the disk. In the X wind model, the disk wind could drive a slow wide-angle outflow along with a collimated central fast-moving jet-
component. This model also predicts that the wideopening angle near outflow launching protostar could escalate a large radial velocity extent (Pyo et al. 2006; Hartigan \& Hillenbrand 2009). One potential interesting constraint from Figure 5 is that a fraction of blueshifted emission occurs on the redshifted side, and similarly, a fraction of redshifted emission occurs on the blueshifted side. This could be explained either by the wider line width produced by disk wind (Pesenti et al. 2004) or inclination angle of the outflow axis.

We can infer something about the flow plateau with the velocity extent, assuming that all the outflow wings provide consistent measurements for equal FOV (see also section 3.2.1). The outflow velocity $\mathrm{V}_{\text {real }}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {obs }} / \cos (\mathrm{i})$, where $\mathrm{V}_{\text {obs }}$ is the observed radial velocity. The velocity extent of the outflow caused by the observed opening angle $\left(\Theta_{o b s}\right), \Delta \mathrm{V}=V_{\text {real }} \sin (i) \Theta_{o b s}$; implying $\Delta \mathrm{V}=$ $V_{\text {obs }} \tan (i) \Theta_{o b s}$, where $\Theta_{\text {obs }}=\Theta_{\text {real }} \sin (i)$. Thus, to establish a correlation between $\Delta \mathrm{V}$ and $\Theta_{o b s}$, we need a reliable estimation of inclination angle, which we are lacking. Moreover, if we assume a random distribution of inclination angles, the mean value is given by, $\bar{i}=\int_{0}^{\pi / 2} i \sin (i) \mathrm{d} i=1 \mathrm{rad}=57.3^{\circ}$, it will lead to a homogeneous projection effects. So, we adhere to the observed value of velocity extent and $\Theta_{o b s}$ to search for a correlation.
Figure 8 displays that the $\Delta V_{o b s}$ increases with $\Theta_{o b s}$. A linear regression provides:

$$
\Delta V_{o b s}=25.45( \pm 6.55)\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}-10.45( \pm 11.63)
$$

It can be explained by considering the opening angle as an age indicator (see also section 4.2.1). In the early stages of the protostars, the outflow is detected in small velocity ranges around the systemic velocity. With protostellar evolution, the central mass of the protostars keeps growing, and then higher energetic outflows/jets are likely to originate from a deeper gravitational potential well, thus one can expect a higher $\Delta V_{o b s}$. In Figure 8, two non-jet sources, G192.12-11.10 and G212.1019.15 S , exhibit smaller $\Delta V_{o b s}$ with higher $\Theta_{o b s}$, which are possibly evolved Class 0 sources ejecting weak disk winds. However, they deserve to be probed at evolved outflow tracers and more high-density jet tracers like higher transitions of SiO .

Such a correlation could be largely contributed from the unknown inclination angle of the observable parameters. In absence of proper inclination measurements, we have applied the major-to-minor axis aspect ratio of the 1.3 mm continuum emission as a proxy to the inclination correction, and the above correlation is found to be more scattered although the overall increasing trend remains the same. However, this aspect ratio could also
show larger value for geometrically thick disk-envelope systems (e.g., Lee et al. 2018).

In Figure 9, the $\Delta V_{o b s}$ s for Class 0 sources are found to be distributed from $4-110 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, whereas evolved Class I sources show mostly toward smaller CO $\Delta V_{\text {obs }}$. Additionally, all jet sources have higher values of $\Delta V_{\text {obs }}$ (median $\sim 24 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) than the non-jet sources (median $\sim 16 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ), suggesting more active accretion and mass-loss rate of jet sources in comparison to non-jet sources. One exception occurs for the source G208.8920.04 E , which is located in a complex cloud environment and it also has overlapping blue- and redshifted velocity channels, possibly indicating a high inclination angle to the line-of-sight.

In summary, as the protostar evolves, the outflow cavity opening widens and the protostar ejects more energetic outflowing material, as expected if outflow originates from a deeper gravitational potential well of an evolved protostellar.

## 5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have conducted a survey toward 72 dense cores in the Orion $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, and $\lambda$ Orionis molecular clouds with ALMA 1.3 mm continuum in three different resolutions (TM1 $\sim 0^{\prime \prime}$ ! 35, TM2 $\sim 1^{\prime \prime} .0$ and ACA $\sim 7^{\prime \prime} 0$ ). This unique combined configuration survey enables us to characterize the dense cores at unprecedented high sensitivity at this high resolution. The main outcomes are as follows:

- We are able to detect emission in 44 protostellar cores and 4 candidate prestellar cores in the combined three configurations, where another 10 starless cores have detection in the individual ACA array configurations. The starless, Class 0 and Class I sources have continuum median deconvolved size of $\sim 44^{\prime \prime} 77,0^{\prime \prime} 32$, and $0^{\prime \prime} 18$, respectively decreasing with dense core evolution. The peak emission of Class 0 , Class I, and starless cores are 28.20, 10.41, 0.52 mJy beam $^{-1}$, respectively, suggesting that with protostellar formation, the envelope is heated up in Class 0 and the envelope loses material while transitioning from Class 0 to Class I.
- A total of 37 sources show CO outflow emission and $18(\sim 50 \%)$ of them also show high velocity jets in SiO . The CO velocity extends from 4 to $110 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, with a median velocity of 26.5 km $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$. The CO outflow cavities have opening angle widths at $1^{\prime \prime}(\sim 400 \mathrm{au})$ ranging from $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}$ $\sim 0!6-3{ }^{\prime \prime} 9$ (i.e., $33.4-125 \circ 7$ near the source) with a median value $1^{\prime \prime} 64$. The median value of $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}$ for 19 Class 0 sources is $1^{\prime \prime} 60$ and 3 Class I sources $2^{\prime \prime} 70$.
- From the present analysis, the outflow opening angle shows a weak correlation with bolometric temperature in our limited sample observations.
- The $\Delta$ Vs exhibit a correlation with $\left[\Theta_{o b s}\right]_{400}$. As the protostar evolves, the envelope depletes from the polar region and the cavity opening widens, the outflow material possibly becomes more energetic.
- The 2D Gaussian fitted 1.3 mm continuum size is found to be reduced in Class I (i.e., beyond the Class 0 to Class I transition region, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}=60-70$ K ), which could be due to either varying density profiles depending on power-law indexes or envelope dissipation with protostellar evolution. The overall mass distribution of Class 0 (median $\sim 0.13$ $\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ) and Class I (median $\sim 0.04 \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ ) also supports the same conclusion.
- Potential pseudo-disks are revealed in 1.3 mm continuum, and $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O}$ line emission in some Class 0 sources (e.g., G192.12-11.10). Further investigation in higher spatial and higher velocity resolutions are required to probe the Keplerian rotation.
- The spectral coverage of this survey incorporates a suit of important diagnostic molecular transitions from the astrochemical perspective. Emission from deuterated species such as $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+}$and $\mathrm{DCO}^{+}$are detected and serves, for example, as a particularly useful tracer for highlighting the transition from starless to protostellar phases. A subset of protostellar objects with rich features of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$, and other COMs like $\mathrm{HCOOCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ signifies the presence of hot corinos. Broad CO and SiO spectral lines seen towards protostellar sources further delineate active outflows and shocked gas.

This survey provides statistical studies performed to explore the correlation between envelope material, outflow opening angle, and outflow velocity extent with the evolution of protostars. The spectral coverage comprise the importance of astrochemical diagnosis molecular species for tracing the transition from starless to protostellar phases. Further high-angular and high-velocity resolutions observations covering different evolutionary stages can apprise these observational findings. In addition, numerical simulations of protostellar outflows launching from variable envelope sizes are definitely required to proceed beyond the qualitative hints given by this analysis.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the anonymous referee for the constructive comments on our paper. This paper makes use of the following ALMA data: ADS/JAO.ALMA\#2018.1.00302.S. ALMA is a partnership of ESO (representing its member states), NSF (USA) and NINS (Japan), together with NRC (Canada), NSC and ASIAA (Taiwan), and KASI (Republic of Korea), in cooperation with the Republic of Chile. The Joint ALMA Observatory is operated by ESO, AUI/NRAO and NAOJ. S.D. and C.-F.L. acknowledge grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan (MoST 107-2119-M- 001- 040-MY3) and the Academia Sinica (Investigator Award AS-IA-108-M01). Tie Liu is supported by international partnership program of Chinese academy of sciences grant No.114231KYSB20200009 and the initial fund of scientific research for high-level talents at Shanghai Astronomical Observatory. DJ is supported by the National Research Council of Canada and by a Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) Discovery Grant. P.S. was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI Number 18H01259) of Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS). L.B. acknowledges support from CONICYT project Basal AFB-170002. J.He thanks the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant Nos. 11873086 and U1631237 and support by the Yunnan Province of China (No.2017HC018). This work is sponsored (in part) by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), through a grant to the CAS South America Center for Astronomy (CASSACA) in Santiago, Chile. CWL is supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2019R1A2C1010851). VMP acknowledges support by the Spanish MINECO under project AYA2017-88754-P. S.L. Qin is supported by the Joint Research Fund in Astronomy (U1631237) under cooperative agreement between the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) and Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS)


Figure 12. Spectra of the starless dense core G209.29-19.65S1 in 4 spectral windows (SPWS; see Table 3) observed in combined TM1 $+\mathrm{TM} 2+7 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{ACA}$ configurations. Various identified lines are marked.


Figure 13. Spectra of the protostellar object G191.90-11.21S. All SPWs, array configuration, line-identification are same as Figure 12


Figure 14. Spectra of a line rich protostellar object G192.12-11.10 ("hot corino") as identified with 7m-ACA configuration. All SPWs, line-identification are same as Figure 12

Table 1. Details of targeted dense cores in the Orion Complex

| ALMA | RA (J2000) | $\operatorname{Dec}(\mathrm{J} 2000)$ | JCMT | Detection | rms |  | rms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targets | (h:m:s) | (d:m:s) | name | $(\mathrm{TM} 1+\mathrm{TM} 2+\mathrm{ACA})$ | $\left(\mathrm{mJy} \text { beam }^{-1}\right)$ | (ACA only) | $\left(\mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}{ }^{-1}\right)$ |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| $\lambda$-Orionis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| G191.90-11.21N | 05:31:28.99 | +12:58:47.16 | G191.90-11.21N | NO | 0.03 | NO (weak?) | 0.24 |
| G191.90-11.21S | 05:31:31.73 | +12:56:14.99 | G191.90-11.21S | YES | 0.04 | YES | 3.3 |
| G192.12-11.10 | 05:32:19.54 | +12:49:40.19 | G192.12-11.10 | YES | 0.06 | YES | 2.1 |
| G192.32-11.88N | 05:29:54.47 | +12:16:56 | G192.32-11.88N | YES | 0.08 | YES | 1.0 |
| G192.32-11.88S | 05:29:54.74 | $+12: 16: 32$ | G192.32-11.88S | YES | 0.03 | YES | 1.0 |
| G196.92-10.37 | 05:44:29.6 | +09:08:54 | G196.92-10.37 | YES | 0.04 | YES | 1.8 |
| G198.69-09.12N1 | 05:52:29.61 | +08:15:37 | G198.69-09.12N1 | NO | 0.06 | NO | 0.3 |
| G198.69-09.12N2 | 05:52:25.3 | +08:15:09 | G198.69-09.12N2 | NO | 0.06 | NO (weak?) | 0.4 |
| G200.34-10.97N | 05:49:03.71 | +05:57:56 | G200.34-10.97N | YES | 0.04 | YES | 1.0 |

Orion A

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G207.36-19.82N1 | $05: 30: 50.94$ | $-04: 10: 35.6$ | G207.36-19.82N1 | YES | 0.06 | YES | 1.2 |
| G207.36-19.82N2 | $05: 30: 50.853$ | $-04: 10: 13.641$ | G207.36-19.82N2 | NO | 0.04 | YES | 1.2 |
| G207.36-19.82N4 | $05: 30: 44.546$ | $-04: 10: 27.384$ | G207.36-19.82N4 | NO (weak?) | 0.035 | YES | 0.5 |
| G207.36-19.82S | $05: 30: 47.199$ | $-04: 12: 29.734$ | G207.36-19.82S | NO | 0.04 | NO | 0.4 |
| G208.68-19.20N1 | $05: 35: 23.486$ | $-05: 01: 31.583$ | G208.68-19.20N1 | YES | 0.45 | YES | 4.0 |
| G208.68-19.20N2 | $05: 35: 20.469$ | $-05: 00: 50.394$ | G208.68-19.20N2 | YES | 0.14 | YES | 6.0 |
| G208.68-19.20N3 | $05: 35: 18.02$ | $-05: 00: 20.7$ | G208.68-19.20N3 | YES | 0.2 | YES | 6.0 |
| G208.68-19.20S | $05: 35: 26.32$ | $-05: 03: 54.393$ | G208.68-19.20S | YES | 0.1 | YES | 7.0 |
| G208.89-20.04E | $05: 32: 48.262$ | $-05: 34: 44.335$ | G208.89-20.04E | YES | 0.1 | YES | 2.5 |
| G208.89-20.04Walma | $05: 32: 28.03$ | $-05: 34: 26.69$ | --- | YES | 0.04 | YES | 1.8 |
| G209.29-19.65N1 | $05: 35: 00.379$ | $-05: 39: 59.741$ | G209.29-19.65N1 | NO (weak?) | 0.04 | YES (weak?) | 2.2 |
| G209.29-19.65S1 | $05: 34: 55.991$ | $-05: 46: 04$ | G209.29-19.65S1 | YES | 0.05 | YES | 3.3 |
| G209.29-19.65S2 | $05: 34: 53.809$ | $-05: 46: 17.627$ | G209.29-19.65S2 | NO (weak?) | 0.04 | NO (weak?) | 1.5 |
| G209.55-19.68N1 | $05: 35: 08.9$ | $-05: 55: 54.4$ | G209.55-19.68N1 | YES | 0.09 | YES | 4.0 |
| G209.55-19.68N2 | $05: 35: 07.5$ | $-05: 56: 42.4$ | G209.55-19.68N2 | NO (weak?) | 0.04 | YES | 0.9 |
| G209.55-19.68S1 | $05: 35: 13.476$ | $-05: 57: 58.646$ | G209.55-19.68S1 | YES | 0.2 | YES | 4.2 |
| G209.55-19.68S2 | $05: 35: 09.076 ~$ | $-05: 58: 27.378$ | G209.55-19.68S3 | YES | 0.08 | YES | 1.9 |
| G209.77-19.40E2 | $05: 36: 31.977$ | $-06: 02: 03.765$ | G209.77-19.40E2 | NO | 0.05 | NO | 0.5 |
| G209.77-19.40E3 | $05: 36: 35.9$ | $-06: 02: 42.165$ | G209.77-19.40E3 | YES | 0.04 | YES | 0.7 |
| G209.79-19.80W | $05: 35: 10.696$ | $-06: 13: 59.318$ | G209.79-19.80W | NO | 0.04 | NO (weak?) | 0.7 |
| G209.94-19.52N | $05: 36: 11.55$ | $-06: 10: 44.76$ | G209.94-19.52N | YES | 0.09 | YES | 2.0 |

Table 1 continued

Table 1 (continued)

| ALMA | RA (J2000) | Dec (J2000) | JCMT | Detection | rms | Detection | rms |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Targets | (h:m:s) | (d:m:s) | name | (TM1 + TM $2+\mathrm{ACA}$ ) | $\left(\mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | (ACA only) | $\left(\mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}{ }^{-1}\right)$ |

$\frac{(1)}{\text { G209.94-19.52S1 }}$ G210.37-19.53N
G210.37-19.53S
G210.49-19.79W
G210.82-19.47N2
G210.82-19.47S
G210.97-19.33S2
G211.01-19.54N
G211.01-19.54S
G211.16-19.33N2
G211.16-19.33N4
G211.16-19.33N5
G211.47-19.27N
G211.47-19.27S
G211.72-19.25S1alma ${ }^{\dagger}$
G212.10-19.15N1
G212.10-19.15N2
G212.10-19.15S
G212.84-19.45N
G215.44-16.38
G215.87-17.62M
G215.87-17.62N
G215.87-17.62S
(2) (3)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { 05:36:24.96 } & -06: 14: 04.71 & \text { G209.94-19.52S1 } \\ \text { 05:36:55.03 } & -06: 34: 33.19 & \text { G210.37-19.53N } \\ \text { 05:37:00.55 } & -06: 37: 10.16 & \text { G210.37-19.53S } \\ \text { 05:36:18.86 } & -06: 45: 28.035 & \text { G210.49-19.79W } \\ \text { 05:37:59.989 } & -06: 57: 15.462 & \text { G210.82-19.47N2 } \\ \text { 05:38:03.677 } & -06: 58: 24.141 & \text { G210.82-19.47S } \\ \text { 05:38:45.3 } & -07: 01: 04.41 & \text { G210.97-19.33S2 } \\ \text { 05:37:57.469 } & -07: 06: 59.068 & \text { G211.01-19.54N } \\ \text { 05:37:59.007 } & -07: 07: 28.772 & \text { G211.01-19.54S } \\ 05: 39: 05.831 & -07: 10: 41.515 & \text { G211.16-19.33N2 } \\ 05: 38: 55.68 & -07: 11: 25.9 & \text { G211.16-19.33N4 } \\ 05: 38: 46 & -07: 10: 41.9 & \text { G211.16-19.33N5 } \\ 05: 39: 57.18 & -07: 29: 36.08 & \text { G211.47-19.27N }\end{array}$
05:39:57.18 -07:29:36.082 G211.47-19.27N
05:39:56.097-07:30:28.403 G211.47-19.27S
${ }^{\dagger}{ }^{\circ} 05$
05:41:21.34 -07:52:26.92 G212.10-19.15N1
05:41:24.03 -07:53:47.51 G212.10-19.15N2
05:41:26.446-07:56:52.547 G212.10-19.15S
05:41:32.146-08:40:10.45 G212.84-19.45N
05:56:58.45 -09:32:42.3 G215.44-16.38
05:53:32.4 -10:25:05.99 G215.87-17.62M
05:53:41.89 -10:24:02 G215.87-17.62N
05:53:26.249-10:27:29.473 G215.87-17.62S

Orion B

| G201.52-11.08 | $05: 50: 59.01$ | $+04: 53: 53.1$ | G201.52-11.08 | YES | 0.03 | YES |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| G203.21-11.20E1 | $05: 53: 51.004$ | $+03: 23: 07.3$ | G203.21-11.20E1 | NO (weak?) | 0.03 | YES | 0.5 |
| G203.21-11.20E2 | $05: 53: 47.483$ | $+03: 23: 11.3$ | G203.21-11.20E2 | NO | 0.04 | NO (weak?) | 0.4 |
| G203.21-11.20W1 | $05: 53: 42.702+03: 22: 35.3$ | G203.21-11.20W1 | YES | 0.04 | YES | 3.0 |  |
| G203.21-11.20W2 | $05: 53: 39.492+03: 22: 24.9$ | G203.21-11.20W2 | YES | 0.04 | YES | 0.3 |  |
| G205.46-14.56M1 | $05: 46: 08.053$ | $-00: 10: 43.712$ | G205.46-14.56N3 * | YES | 0.5 | YES | 2.0 |
| G205.46-14.56M2 | $05: 46: 07.9$ | $-00: 10: 01.82$ | G205.46-14.56N2 | YES | 0.08 | YES | 2.0 |
| G205.46-14.56M3 | $05: 46: 05.66$ | $-00: 09: 33.64$ | G205.46-14.56N1** | YES | 0.05 | YES | 1.0 |
| G205.46-14.56N1 | $05: 46: 09.75$ | $-00: 12: 16.45$ | G205.46-14.56M1 * | YES | 0.15 | YES | 1.0 |
| G205.46-14.56N2 | $05: 46: 07.4$ | $-00: 12: 21.84$ | G205.46-14.56M2 | YES | 0.15 | YES | 2.5 |
| G205.46-14.56S1 | $05: 46: 07.048$ | $-00: 13: 37.777$ | G205.46-14.56S1 | YES | 0.15 | YES | 4.0 |

Table 1 continued

Table 1 (continued)

| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ALMA } \\ & \text { Targets } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RA (J2000) } \\ (\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec (J2000) } \\ (\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | JCMT <br> name | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline \text { Detection } \\ (\mathrm{TM} 1+\mathrm{TM} 2+\mathrm{ACA}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms} \\ \left(\mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | Detection (ACA only) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{rms} \\ \left(\mathrm{mJy} \mathrm{beam}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| G205.46-14.56S2 | 05:46:04.49 | -00:14:18.81 | G205.46-14.56S2 | YES | 0.08 | YES | 1.5 |
| G205.46-14.56S3 | 05:46:03.385 | -00:14:51.715 | G205.46-14.56S3 | YES | 0.06 | YES | 2.0 |
| G206.12-15.76 | 05:42:45.358 | -01:16:13.262 | G206.12-15.76 | YES | 0.3 | YES | 12.0 |
| G206.21-16.17N | 05:41:39.544 | -01:35:52.212 | G206.21-16.17N | NO (weak?) | 0.04 | YES | 1.0 |
| G206.21-16.17S | 05:41:36.373 | -01:37:43.61 | G206.21-16.17S | NO (weak?) | 0.03 | YES | 0.4 |
| G206.93-16.61E2 | 05:41:37.31 | -02:17:18.135 | G206.93-16.61E2 | YES | 0.15 | YES | 4.0 |
| G206.93-16.61W2 | 05:41:25.132 | -02:18:06.455 | G206.93-16.61W3 * | YES | 0.15 | YES | 10.0 |
| G206.93-16.61W4 | 05:41:28.77 | -02:20:04.3 | G206.93-16.61W5 ${ }^{*}$ | NO | 0.04 | NO | 3.0 |

Note-In column $5 \& 7$, weak emission detections are marked, whereas the $\sim 3 \sigma$ level emissions or questionable detections are marked with weak?. These are not included in the final detection count. In few targeted positions, no emission detected around the dense core coordinates but some other compact emission detected. They are marked with other?
${ }^{\dagger}$ In ALMA archive, they are listed as G208.89-20.04W and G211.72-19.25S1, respectively. These objects are different than JCMT dense cores catalog in Yi et al. (2018), with the same names. These objects are selected directly from JCMT images for ALMA observations.

* Note that, the ALMA archive names are different than the JCMT dense core names in Yi et al. (2018).

Table 2. Log of Observations

| Scheduling | Number of | Date | Array | Number of | Time on |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Block |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Execution |  | Unprojected |  |  |  |  |
| $(9)$ | $(10)$ | $(11)$ | $(12)$ | $(13)$ | $(14)$ | $(15)$ |

Note-This table is organised according to execution block and Array configuration, not with date of observations.

Table 3. Correlator Setup

| Spectral | Central | Main molecular Lines | Bandwidth | Velocity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Window | Frequency |  |  | Resolution |
|  | (GHz) |  | (GHz) | ( $\mathrm{km} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$ ) |
| (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 0 | 231.000000 | ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO} \quad \mathrm{J}=2-1 ; \mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{J}=3-2$ | 1.875 | 1.465 |
| 1 | 233.000000 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ transitions | 1.875 | 1.453 |
| 2 | 218.917871 | $\mathrm{C}^{18} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{J}=2-1 ; \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ transitions | 1.875 | 1.546 |
| 3 | 216.617675 | SiO J=5-4; DCN J=3-2; $\mathrm{DCO}^{+} \mathrm{J}=3-2$ | 1.875 | 1.563 |

Table 4. Calibrators and Their Flux Densities

| Scheduling <br> Block | Date | Bandpass Calibrator (Quasar, Flux Density) | Flux Calibrator (Quasar, Flux Density) | Phase Calibrator (Quasar, Flux Density) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| 1 | 2018 Oct 24 | J0423-0120, 2.68 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.68 Jy | J0607-0834, 0.78 Jy |
|  | 2018 Dec 21 | J0522-3627, 3.65 Jy | J0522-3627, 3.65 Jy | J0542-0913, 0.47 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 19 | J0522-3627, 4.91 Jy | J0522-3627, 4.91 Jy | J0607-0834, 0.78 Jy |
| 2 | 2018 Oct 29 | J0423-0120, 2.53 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.53 Jy | J0541-0211, 0.095 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 01 | J0423-0120, 2.53 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.53 Jy | J0541-0211, 0.095 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 01 | J0423-0120, 2.53 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.53 Jy | J0541-0211, 0.095 Jy |
|  | 2019 Jan 16 | J0522-3627, 3.14 Jy | J0522-3627, 3.14 Jy | J0542-0913, 0.47 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 21 | J0854+2006, 2.77 Jy | J0854+2006, 2.77 Jy | J0607-0834, 0.78 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 27 | J0423-0120, 2.30 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.30 Jy | J0542-0913, 0.47 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 27 | J0522-3627, 4.39 Jy | J0522-3627, 4.39 Jy | J0542-0913, 0.47 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 27 | J0854+2006, 3.06 Jy | J0854+2006, 3.06 Jy | J0607-0834, 0.78 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 28 | J0423-0120, 2.29 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.29 Jy | J0542-0913, 0.47 Jy |
| 3 | 2018 Oct 29 | J0510+1800, 1.40 Jy | J0510+1800, 1.40 Jy | J0530+1331, 0.31 Jy |
|  | 2019 Mar 05 | J0750+1231, 0.65 Jy | J0750+1231, 0.65 Jy | J0530+1331, 0.30 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 21 | J0423-0120, 2.40 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.29 Jy | J0530+1331, 0.30 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 26 | J0423-0120, 2.40 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.29 Jy | J0530+1331, 0.30 Jy |
| 4 | 2018 Oct 25 | J0510+1800, 1.54 Jy | J0510+1800, 1.54 Jy | J0552+0313, 0.35 Jy |
|  | 2019 Jan 24 | J0423-0120, 2.68 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.68 Jy | J0552+0313, 0.35 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 21 | J0522-3627, 5.07 Jy | J0522-3627, 5.07 Jy | J0532+0732, 1.13 Jy |
|  | 2018 Nov 26 | J0423-0120, 2.40 Jy | J0423-0120, 2.40 Jy | J0532+0732, 1.13 Jy |

Table 5. Continuum and and line-emission properties of all objects

| 1.3 mm Continuum (TM1 $+\mathrm{TM} 2+\mathrm{ACA}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CO} \& \mathrm{SiO}$ |  |  |  |  | Infrared |  | Class ${ }{ }_{\text {HOPS }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { RA } \\ (\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ (\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maj } \\ \text { ", } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min } \\ \text { // } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}} \\ (\mathrm{mJy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak }_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}} \\ & (\mathrm{mJy} / \text { beam }) \end{aligned}$ | Mass <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{V}_{B} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{V}_{R} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Theta_{400} \\ \left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Theta_{800} \\ \left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$ <br> (K) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}_{b o l} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~L}_{\odot}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
| G191.90-11.21S | 05:31:31.60 | 12:56:14.1 | . $693 \pm 0.0$ | $0.395 \pm 0.02$ | $79.93 \pm 3.76$ | $27.77 \pm 1.08$ | $10.11 \pm 0.30$ | $0.079 \pm 0.034$ | $22_{-4}^{+10}$ | $24_{-4}^{+8}$ | $1.59 \pm 0.36$ | $2.28 \pm 0.36$ | Y | $69 \pm 17$ | $0.4 \pm 0.2$ | 0 |  |
| G192.12-11.10 | 05:32:19.37 | +12:49:40.92 | $0.792 \pm 0.009$ | $0.276 \pm 0.007$ | $121.96 \pm 0.52$ | $119.24 \pm 1.25$ | $44.32 \pm 0.35$ | $0.340 \pm 0.145$ | $40_{-14}^{+8}$ | $44_{-14}^{+8}$ | $3.56 \pm 0.66$ | $4.46 \pm 0.22$ | N | $44 \pm 15$ | $9.5 \pm 4.0$ | 0 |  |
| G192.32-11.88N | 05:29:54.15 | +12:16:52.99 | $0.276 \pm 0.005$ | $0.237 \pm 0.006$ | $65.27 \pm 5.77$ | $143.22 \pm 0.82$ | $102.72 \pm 0.38$ | 0.408 $\pm 0.174$ | $20_{-4}^{+8}$ | $4_{-2}^{+10}$ | na | na | N | na | na | 0 | -- |
| G192.32-11.88S | 05:29:54.41 | +12:16:29.68 | $5.374 \pm 0.361$ | $4.001 \pm 0.269$ | $23.85 \pm 9.12$ | $34.99 \pm 2.35$ | $0.27 \pm 0.02$ | $0.100 \pm 0.043$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $60 \pm 13$ | $0.1 \pm 0.1$ | 0 |  |
| G196.92-10.37_A | 05:44:29.26 | +09:08:52.18 | $0.459 \pm 0.023$ | $0.375 \pm 0.022$ | $17.68 \pm 13.47$ | $24.06 \pm 0.62$ | $12.72 \pm 0.23$ | $0.069 \pm 0.029$ | $54_{-12}^{+12}$ | $34_{-14}^{+10}$ | $2.71 \pm 0.75$ | $4.75 \pm 1.30$ | N | na | na | 0 | -- |
| G196.92-10.37_B | 05:44:30.02 | +09:08:57.30 | $0.234 \pm 0.0$ | $0.067 \pm 0.042$ | $86.46 \pm 3.96$ | $14.82 \pm 0.16$ | $12.96 \pm 0.08$ | $0.042 \pm 0.018$ | na | na | na | na | N | $143 \pm 28$ | $3.5 \pm 2.0$ | 1 |  |
| G196.92-10.37_C $\mathcal{L}$ | 05:44:29.98 | +09:08:56.25 | . $000 \pm 0.0$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | $1.62 \pm 0.10$ | $1.84 \pm 0.06$ | $0.005 \pm 0.002$ | na | na | na | na | N | $143 \pm 28$ | $3.5 \pm 2.0$ | 1 |  |
| G200.34-10.97N | 05:49:03.35 | +05:57:58.11 | $0.361 \pm 0.011$ | $0.321 \pm 0.016$ | $142.61 \pm 20.97$ | $23.92 \pm 0.42$ | $14.64 \pm 0.17$ | $0.068 \pm 0.029$ | $18_{-6}^{+8}$ | $14_{-4}^{+8}$ | $0.90 \pm 0.14$ | $1.23 \pm 0.20$ | N | $43 \pm 10$ | $1.5 \pm 0.6$ | 0 |  |
| G201.52-11.08 | 05:50:59.15 | +04:53:49.65 | $0.673 \pm 0.0$ | $0.182 \pm 0.014$ | $124.81 \pm 0.80$ | $21.17 \pm 0.28$ | $10.02 \pm 0.09$ | $0.060 \pm 0.026$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $263 \pm 55$ | $0.3 \pm 0.2$ | 1 |  |
| G203.21-11.20W1 | 05:53:42.59 | +03:22:34.97 | $0.395 \pm 0.010$ | $0.176 \pm 0.010$ | $73.37 \pm 1.84$ | $32.09 \pm 0.40$ | $22.33 \pm 0.18$ | $0.091 \pm 0.039$ | $12_{-8}^{+6}$ | $12_{-6}^{+6}$ | $0.98 \pm 0.15$ | $1.33 \pm 0.10$ | N | na | na | 0 |  |
| G203.21-11.20W2 | 05:53:39.51 | +03:22:23.85 | $0.777 \pm 0.051$ | $0.430 \pm 0.032$ | $64.50 \pm 4.50$ | $11.89 \pm 0.64$ | $4.16 \pm 0.17$ | $0.034 \pm 0.015$ | $58_{-10}^{+14}$ | $46_{-12}^{+16}$ | $2.24 \pm 0.13$ | $3.27 \pm 0.40$ | Y | $15 \pm 5$ | $0.5 \pm 0.3$ | 0 |  |
| G205.46-14.56M1_A | 05:46:08.60 | -00:10:38.49 | $0.314 \pm 0.052$ | $0.254 \pm 0.054$ | $123.35 \pm 77.51$ | $22.38 \pm 1.58$ | $15.54 \pm 0.71$ | $0.064 \pm 0.028$ | $46_{-16}^{+16}$ | $30_{-14}^{+14}$ | na | na | Y | $47 \pm 12$ | $4.8 \pm 2.1$ | 0 | 317> |
| G205.46-14.56M1_B | 05:46:08.38 | -00:10:43.54 | $1.268 \pm 0.033$ | $0.582 \pm 0.018$ | $84.49 \pm 1.25$ | $788.03 \pm 19.41$ | $148.79 \pm 3.11$ | $12.245 \pm 0.960$ | $36_{-14}^{+14}$ | $8_{-4}^{+10}$ | na | na | N | na | na | 0 |  |
| G205.46-14.56M2_A | 05:46:07.85 | -00:10:01.30 | $0.147 \pm 0.027$ | $0.098 \pm 0.052$ | $68.01 \pm 30.45$ | $12.93 \pm 0.26$ | $11.84 \pm 0.14$ | $0.037 \pm 0.016$ | na | na | na | na | N | $112 \pm 27$ | $9.4 \pm 3.9$ | 111 |  |
| G205.46-14.56M2_B | 05:46:07.84 | -00:09:59.60 | $0.269 \pm 0.008$ | $0.121 \pm 0.016$ | $40.52 \pm 4.64$ | $43.62 \pm 0.41$ | $34.70 \pm 0.20$ | $0.124 \pm 0.053$ | $2_{-0}^{+4}$ | $14_{-8}^{+12}$ | na | na | N | $112 \pm 28$ | $9.4 \pm 3.9$ | 1 | 387 |
| G205.46-14.56M2_C | 05:46:08.48 | -00:10:03.04 | $0.135 \pm 0.009$ | $0.071 \pm 0.024$ | $49.23 \pm 9.08$ | $31.87 \pm 0.25$ | $29.79 \pm 0.14$ | $0.091 \pm 0.039$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $163 \pm 34$ | $21.0 \pm 8.0$ | 111 | 386 |
| G205.46-14.56M2_D | 05:46:08.43 | -00:10:00.50 | $0.569 \pm 0.052$ | $0.400 \pm 0.051$ | $53.48 \pm 13.21$ | $10.00 \pm 0.67$ | $4.22 \pm 0.21$ | $0.028 \pm 0.012$ | cx | cx | na | na | Y | $163 \pm 34$ | $21.0 \pm 8.0$ | 1 | 386 |
| G205.46-14.56M2_E | 05:46:08.92 | -00:09:56.12 | $0.079 \pm 0.053$ | $0.054 \pm 0.026$ | $125.45 \pm 34.83$ | $3.75 \pm 0.12$ | $3.66 \pm 0.07$ | $0.011 \pm 0.005$ | na | na | na | na | N | na | na | 111 |  |
| G205.46-14.56M3 | 05:46:05.97 | -00:09:32.69 | $5.652 \pm 0.247$ | $4.751 \pm 0.207$ | $108.72 \pm 10.21$ | $55.16 \pm 2.40$ | $0.37 \pm 0.02$ | $1.240 \pm 0.532$ | na | na | na | na | N | na | na | -1 |  |
| G205.46-14.56N1 | 05:46:10.03 | -00:12:16.88 | $0.382 \pm 0.005$ | $0.254 \pm 0.006$ | $57.13 \pm 1.88$ | $166.75 \pm 1.05$ | $103.98 \pm 0.44$ | $40.475 \pm 0.203$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $29 \pm 8$ | $0.6 \pm 0.3$ | 0 | 402 |
| G205.46-14.56N2 | 05:46:07.72 | -00:12:21.27 | $0.445 \pm 0.009$ | $0.332 \pm 0.008$ | $139.79 \pm 3.45$ | $78.31 \pm 1.01$ | $41.79 \pm 0.37$ | $0.223 \pm 0.095$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $32 \pm 8$ | $0.8 \pm 0.3$ | 0 | 401 |
| G205.46-14.56S1_A | 05:46:07.26 | -00:13:30.23 | $0.374 \pm 0.011$ | $0.188 \pm 0.014$ | $77.78 \pm 2.84$ | $53.17 \pm 0.77$ | $36.57 \pm 0.34$ | $0.151 \pm 0.065$ | $42_{-14}^{+8}$ | $20_{-12}^{+12}$ | $1.36 \pm 0.27$ | $1.58 \pm 0.65$ | Y | $44 \pm 19$ | $22.0 \pm 8.0$ | 0 | 358 |
| G205.46-14.56S1_B | 05:46:07.33 | -00:13:43.49 | $0.320 \pm 0.006$ | $0.300 \pm 0.008$ | $35.77 \pm 19.70$ | $137.32 \pm 1.19$ | $89.35 \pm 0.51$ | $0.391 \pm 0.167$ | $16_{-8}^{+8}$ | $8_{-2}^{+8}$ | na | na | N | na | na | 0 | -- |
| G205.46-14.56S2 | 05:46:04.77 | -00:14:16.67 | $0.101 \pm 0.014$ | $0.073 \pm 0.032$ | $16.83 \pm 64.27$ | $24.19 \pm 0.25$ | $23.15 \pm 0.14$ | $0.069 \pm 0.029$ | $46_{-10}^{+10}$ | $42_{-10}^{+10}$ | na | na | N | $381 \pm 60$ | $12.5 \pm 4.7$ | 1 | 385 |
| G205.46-14.56S3 | 05:46:03.63 | -00:14:49.57 | $0.233 \pm 0.015$ | $5.194 \pm 0.014$ | $130.30 \pm 21.59$ | $58.72 \pm 1.02$ | $46.67 \pm 0.50$ | $0.167 \pm 0.072$ | $114_{-20}^{+8}$ | $106_{-24}^{+8}$ | $3.29 \pm 2.09$ | $5.03 \pm 2.33$ | Y | $178 \pm 33$ | $6.4 \pm 2.4$ | 1 | 315 |
| G206.12-15.76 | 05:42:45.26 | -01:16:13.94 | $0.625 \pm 0.01$ | $0.485 \pm 0.012$ | $166.34 \pm 4.18$ | $363.35 \pm 5.66$ | $131.29 \pm 1.56$ | $1.035 \pm 0.442$ | $22_{-8}^{+8}$ | $26_{-8}^{+8}$ | $1.67 \pm 0.06$ | $2.79 \pm 1.37$ | Y | $35 \pm 9$ | $3.0 \pm 1.4$ | 0 | 400 |
| G206.93-16.61E2_A | 05:41:37.19 | -02:17:17.34 | $0.300 \pm 0.038$ | $0.228 \pm 0.045$ | $156.79 \pm 26.01$ | $98.22 \pm 4.40$ | $69.25 \pm 1.99$ | $0.280 \pm 0.120$ | na | na | na | na | N | $198 \pm 60$ | $36.0 \pm 15.0$ | 111 | 298 |
| G206.93-16.61E2_B | 05:41:37.04 | -02:17:17.99 | $0.206 \pm 0.037$ | $70.189 \pm 0.045$ | $137.36 \pm 76.95$ | $39.17 \pm 1.53$ | $31.81 \pm 0.76$ | $0.112 \pm 0.048$ | na | na | na | na | N | $198 \pm 60$ | $36.0 \pm 15.0$ | 111 | 298 |
| G206.93-16.61E2_C | 05:41:37.20 | -02:17:15.97 | $1.186 \pm 0.148$ | $1.063 \pm 0.135$ | $32.30 \pm 49.20$ | $76.91 \pm 8.68$ | $9.05 \pm 0.92$ | $0.219 \pm 0.097$ | na | na | na | na | N | $198 \pm 60$ | $36.0 \pm 15.0$ | 111 | 298 |
| G206.93-16.61E2_D | 05:41:37.15 | -02:17:16.52 | $3.668 \pm 0.319$ | $0.720 \pm 0.068$ | $76.84 \pm 1.35$ | $88.62 \pm 7.23$ | $4.90 \pm 0.38$ | $0.252 \pm 0.110$ | na | na | na | na | N | $198 \pm 60$ | $36.0 \pm 15.0$ | 111 | 298 |
| G206.93-16.61W2 | 05:41:24.93 | -02:18:06.75 | $0.719 \pm 0.056$ | $6.508 \pm 0.043$ | $99.72 \pm 10.31$ | $270.81 \pm 17.70$ | $85.08 \pm 4.35$ | $0.771 \pm 0.333$ | $74_{-8}^{+22}$ | $78_{-8}^{+22}$ | $1.59 \pm 0.28$ | $2.68 \pm 0.56$ | Y | $31 \pm 10$ | $6.3 \pm 3.0$ | 0 | 399 |
| G207.36-19.82N1_A | 05:30:51.23 | -04:10:35.34 | $1.011 \pm 0.026$ | 0.217 $\pm 0.013$ | $101.61 \pm 0.57$ | $39.69 \pm 0.88$ | $14.33 \pm 0.24$ | $0.113 \pm 0.048$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | na | na | 111 | -- |


| 1.3 mm Continuum (TM1+TM2+ACA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{CO} \& \mathrm{SiO}$ |  |  |  |  | Infrared |  | $\text { Class } \epsilon_{\mathrm{HOPS}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { RA } \\ (\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ (\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maj } \\ \text { " } \end{gathered}$ | Min <br> " | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PA } \\ & \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}} \\ (\mathrm{mJy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak }_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}} \\ & (\mathrm{mJy} / \mathrm{beam}) \end{aligned}$ | Mass <br> $\left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{V}_{B} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{V}_{R} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\Theta_{400}$ <br> (") | $\Theta_{800}$ <br> ( ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ) |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{b o l} \\ (\mathrm{~K}) \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{L}_{\text {bol }}$ $\left(\mathrm{L}_{\odot}\right)$ |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
| G207.36-19.82N1_B | 05:30:51.30 | -04:10:32.22 | $0.139 \pm 0.035$ | $0.058 \pm 0.0331$ | $01.42 \pm 29.50$ | $3.74 \pm 0.10$ | $3.54 \pm 0.06$ | $0.011 \pm 0.005$ | na | na | na | na | N | na | na | 111 |  |
| G208.68-19.20N1 | 05:35:23.42 | -05:01:30.60 | $0.563 \pm 0.015$ | $0.522 \pm 0.0161$ | $171.29 \pm$ | 53 | $299.26 \pm 3.15$ | 12 $\pm 0.988$ | na | na | na | na | Y | $38 \pm 13$ | $36.7 \pm 14.5$ | 0 | 87 |
| G208.68-19.20N2_A | 05:35:20.78 | -05:00:55.67 | $14.642 \pm 0.458$ | $2.422 \pm 0.076$ | $118.87 \pm 0.42$ | $212.56 \pm 6.58$ | $1.07 \pm 0.03$ | $4.777 \pm 2.046$ | na | na | na | na | N | na | na | -1 |  |
| G208.68-19.20N2_B ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 05:35:19.98 | -05:01:02.59 | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.000 \pm 0.000$ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | $3.16 \pm 0.23$ | $3.40 \pm 0.14$ | $0.009 \pm 0.004$ | na | na | na | na | N | $112 \pm 10$ | $2.1 \pm 1.3$ | 0 | 89 |
| G208.68-19.20N3_A | 05:35:18.06 | -05:00:18.19 | $3.094 \pm 0.251$ | $2.073 \pm 0.169$ | $149.36 \pm 8.06$ | $152.90 \pm 12.32$ | $3.95 \pm 0.31$ | $0.436 \pm 0.189$ | $48_{-16}^{+12}$ | $38_{-16}^{+12}$ | na | na | Y | na | na | 0 | -- |
| G208.68-19.20N3_B | 05:35:18.34 | -05:00:32.95 | $0.224 \pm 0.023$ | $0.208 \pm 0.031$ | $24.47 \pm 62.39$ | $27.24 \pm 0.78$ | $21.31 \pm 0.38$ | $0.078 \pm 0.033$ | $10_{-4}^{+12}$ | $26_{-8}^{+10}$ | na | na | N | $158 \pm 20$ | $22.0 \pm 8.7$ | 1 | 92 |
| G208.68-19.20N3_C | 05:35:18.27 | -05:00:33.93 | $0.208 \pm 0.023$ | $0.181 \pm 0.030$ | $173.14 \pm 58.56$ | $32.63 \pm 0.76$ | $26.59 \pm 0.38$ | $0.093 \pm 0.040$ | $8_{-2}^{+10}$ | $10_{-2}^{+8}$ | na | na | N | $158 \pm 20$ | $22.0 \pm 8.7$ | 1 | 92 |
| G208.68-19.20S_A | 05:35:26.56 | -05:03:55.11 | $0.251 \pm 0.021$ | $0.124 \pm 0.043$ | $169.90 \pm 9.26$ | $147.84 \pm 3.53$ | $119.82 \pm 1.76$ | $0.421 \pm 0.180$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $96 \pm 25$ | $49.0 \pm 18.0$ | 1 | 84 |
| G208.68-19.20S_B | 05:35:26.54 | -05:03:55.71 | $0.283 \pm 0.602$ | $0.255 \pm 0.531$ | $40.05 \pm 499.81$ | $14.96 \pm 20.53$ | $10.41 \pm 9.24$ | $0.043 \pm 0.061$ | na | na | na | na | N | $96 \pm 25$ | $49.0 \pm 18.0$ | 1 | 84 |
| G208.89-20.04E | 05:32:48.12 | -05:34:41.45 | $0.183 \pm 0.009$ | $0.092 \pm 0.014$ | $139.42 \pm 5.50$ | $25.80 \pm 0.22$ | $23.22 \pm 0.12$ | $0.073 \pm 0.031$ | $18_{-6}^{+6}$ | $6_{-2}^{+8}$ | $1.72 \pm 0.29$ | $2.56 \pm 0.42$ | Y | $108 \pm 25$ | $2.2 \pm 1.0$ | 1 |  |
| G208.89-20.04Walma | 05:32:28.26 | -05:34:19.79 | $0.340 \pm 0.061$ | $0.294 \pm 0.065$ | $102.82 \pm 89.60$ | $9.77 \pm 0.80$ | $6.17 \pm 0.34$ | $0.028 \pm 0.012$ | $4_{-2}^{+6}$ | $6_{-2}^{+6}$ | $0.62 \pm 0.01$ | $0.97 \pm 0.19$ | Y | na | na | 0 | --8 |
| G209.29-19.65S1 | 05:34:55.83 | -05:46:04.75 | $6.581 \pm 0.245$ | $2.585 \pm 0.096$ | $136.90 \pm 1.39$ | $64.14 \pm 2.37$ | $0.66 \pm 0.02$ | $1.442 \pm 0.618$ | na | na | na | na | N | na | na | -1 |  |
| G209.55-19.68N1_A | 05:35:08.95 | -05:55:54.98 | $0.376 \pm 0.020$ | $0.195 \pm 0.029$ | $53.73 \pm 5.70$ | $49.57 \pm 1.37$ | $32.94 \pm 0.59$ | $0.141 \pm 0.060$ | $42_{-10}^{+12}$ | $18_{-8}^{+14}$ | $1.28 \pm 0.57$ | $1.91 \pm 0.86$ | N | na | na | 0 |  |
| G209.55-19.68N1_B | 05:35:08.63 | -05:55:54.65 | $0.489 \pm 0.083$ | $0.352 \pm 0.076$ | $120.42 \pm 71.17$ | $21.31 \pm 2.45$ | $10.59 \pm 0.86$ | $0.061 \pm 0.027$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $47 \pm 13$ | $9.0 \pm 3.7$ | 0 |  |
| G209.55-19.68N1_C | 05:35:08.57 | -05:55:54.54 | $1.603 \pm 0.085$ | $1.262 \pm 0.068$ | $83.11 \pm 9.46$ | $46.30 \pm 2.36$ | $3.62 \pm 0.17$ | $0.132 \pm 0.057$ | na | na | na | na | N | $47 \pm 13$ | $9.0 \pm 3.7$ | 0 |  |
| G209.55-19.68S1 | 05:35:13.43 | -05:57:57.89 | $0.167 \pm 0.013$ | $0.163 \pm 0.015$ | $23.17 \pm 72.00$ | $92.75 \pm 1.13$ | $79.66 \pm 0.59$ | $0.264 \pm 0.113$ | $24_{-8}^{+14}$ | $38_{-8}^{+10}$ | $1.22 \pm 0.21$ | $1.86 \pm 0.20$ | Y | $50 \pm 15$ | $9.1 \pm 3.6$ | 0 |  |
| G209.55-19.68S2 | 05:35:09.05 | -05:58:26.87 | $0.190 \pm 0.012$ | $0.121 \pm 0.012$ | $113.66 \pm 8.35$ | $29.36 \pm 0.40$ | $25.96 \pm 0.21$ | $0.084 \pm 0.036$ | $22_{-8}^{+12}$ | $28_{-6}^{+8}$ | $1.93 \pm 0.76$ | $2.97 \pm 0.01$ | Y | $48 \pm 11$ | $3.4 \pm 1.4$ | 0 |  |
| G210.37-19.53S | 05:37:00.43 | -06:37:10.90 | $0.289 \pm 0.016$ | $0.216 \pm 0.019$ | $152.86 \pm 10.12$ | $46.53 \pm 0.85$ | $33.66 \pm 0.39$ | $0.133 \pm 0.057$ | $34_{-10}^{+8}$ | $22_{-12}^{+14}$ | $1.60 \pm 0.55$ | $2.41 \pm 0.40$ | Y | $39 \pm 10$ | $0.6 \pm 0.3$ | 0 | 164 |
| G210.49-19.79W_A | 05:36:18.94 | -06:45:23.54 | $0.263 \pm 0.015$ | $0.191 \pm 0.017$ | $75.98 \pm 10.05$ | $70.71 \pm 1.16$ | $54.66 \pm 0.56$ | $0.201 \pm 0.086$ | $38_{-8}^{+8}$ | $36_{-8}^{+8}$ | $2.62 \pm 0.85$ | $4.29 \pm 0.47$ | Y | $51 \pm 20$ | $60.0 \pm 24.0$ | 0 | 168 |
| G210.49-19.79W_B | 05:36:18.50 | -06:45:23.97 | $0.435 \pm 0.036$ | $0.097 \pm 0.060$ | $161.03 \pm 5.53$ | $2.77 \pm 0.14$ | $1.85 \pm 0.06$ | $0.008 \pm 0.003$ | na | na | na | na | N | na | na | 111 |  |
| G210.82-19.47S_B ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | 05:38:03.43 | -06:58:15.89 | $0.000 \pm 0.132$ | $0.000 \pm 0.072$ | $0.00 \pm 0.00$ | $3.65 \pm 0.10$ | $3.53 \pm 0.05$ | $0.010 \pm 0.004$ | $4_{-2}^{+4}$ | $4_{-2}^{+4}$ | na | na | N | $74 \pm 12$ | $0.4 \pm 0.2$ | 1 | 156 |
| G210.97-19.33S2_A | 05:38:45.54 | -07:01:02.02 | $0.218 \pm 0.025$ | $0.182 \pm 0.026$ | $101.22 \pm 64.52$ | $7.00 \pm 0.21$ | $5.68 \pm 0.11$ | $0.020 \pm 0.009$ | $10_{-4}^{+8}$ | $14_{-4}^{+8}$ | $1.72 \pm 0.13$ | $2.94 \pm 1.10$ | Y | $53 \pm 15$ | $3.9 \pm 1.5$ | 0 | 377 |
| G210.97-19.33S2_B | 05:38:45.02 | -07:01:01.68 | $0.122 \pm 0.022$ | $0.107 \pm 0.037$ | $20.34 \pm 64.74$ | $6.16 \pm 0.12$ | $5.70 \pm 0.07$ | $0.018 \pm 0.008$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $82 \pm 24$ | $4.1 \pm 1.6$ | 1 | 144 |
| G211.01-19.54N | 05:37:57.02 | -07:06:56.23 | $0.390 \pm 0.005$ | $0.155 \pm 0.009$ | $32.90 \pm 1.19$ | $45.76 \pm 0.35$ | $30.44 \pm 0.15$ | $0.130 \pm 0.056$ | $14_{-6}^{+8}$ | $12_{-6}^{+8}$ | $1.80 \pm 0.58$ | $2.78 \pm 0.27$ | Y | $39 \pm 12$ | $4.5 \pm 1.8$ | 0 | 153 |
| G211.01-19.54S | 05:37:58.75 | -07:07:25.72 | $0.195 \pm 0.020$ | $0.153 \pm 0.019$ | $102.95 \pm 31.20$ | $7.27 \pm 0.16$ | $6.19 \pm 0.08$ | $0.021 \pm 0.009$ | na | $<20_{-8}^{+8}$ | na | na | N | $52 \pm 8$ | $0.9 \pm 0.4$ | 0 | 152 |
| G211.16-19.33N2 | 05:39:05.83 | -07:10:39.29 | $0.172 \pm 0.027$ | $0.140 \pm 0.046$ | $0.94 \pm 89.83$ | $5.70 \pm 0.16$ | $4.97 \pm 0.08$ | $0.016 \pm 0.007$ | $8_{-2}^{+6}$ | $10_{-2}^{+4}$ | na | na | N | $70 \pm 20$ | $3.7 \pm 1.4$ | 0 | 133 |
| G211.16-19.33N5 | 05:38:45.33 | -07:10:56.03 | $0.184 \pm 0.019$ | $0.088 \pm 0.081$ | $31.60 \pm 12.06$ | $5.32 \pm 0.11$ | $4.71 \pm 0.06$ | $0.015 \pm 0.006$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $112 \pm 16$ | $1.3 \pm 0.5$ | 1 | 135 |
| G211.47-19.27N_A | 05:39:57.33 | -07:29:32.73 | $0.599 \pm 0.123$ | $0.210 \pm 0.107$ | $133.25 \pm 15.03$ | $23.65 \pm 3.18$ | $13.04 \pm 1.19$ | $0.067 \pm 0.030$ | $<30_{-8}^{+8}$ | $<30_{-8}^{+8}$ | na | na | N | $48 \pm 10$ | $1.9 \pm 0.8$ | 0 | 290 |
| G211.47-19.27N_B | 05:39:57.37 | -07:29:33.10 | $0.462 \pm 0.227$ | $0.268 \pm 0.137$ | $110.05 \pm 72.89$ | $13.82 \pm 3.15$ | $8.58 \pm 1.29$ | $0.039 \pm 0.019$ | $<30_{-8}^{+8}$ | $<30_{-8}^{+8}$ | na | na | N | $48 \pm 10$ | $2.1 \pm 0.9$ | 0 | 290 |
| G211.47-19.27S | 05:39:56.00 | -07:30:27.61 | $0.616 \pm 0.025$ | $0.264 \pm 0.026$ | $133.52 \pm 3.02$ | $347.68 \pm 9.56$ | $180.85 \pm 3.44$ | 0.990 $\pm 0.424$ | $50_{-10}^{+12}$ | $46_{-10}^{+14}$ | na | na | Y | $49 \pm 21$ | $180.0 \pm 70.0$ | 0 | 288 |
| G212.10-19.15N1 | 05:41:21.29 | -07:52:27.44 | $5.813 \pm 0.371$ | $3.260 \pm 0.210$ | $139.70 \pm 4.25$ | $18.26 \pm 1.16$ | $0.20 \pm 0.01$ | $0.410 \pm 0.177$ | na | na | na | na | N | na | na | -1 | -- |
| G212.10-19.15N2_A | 05:41:23.69 | -07:53:46.74 | $0.193 \pm 0.012$ | $0.176 \pm 0.010$ | $76.00 \pm 74.40$ | $11.84 \pm 0.11$ | $10.17 \pm 0.06$ | $0.034 \pm 0.014$ | cx | cx | na | na | N | $114 \pm 10$ | $1.1 \pm 0.5$ | 1 | 263 |
| G212.10-19.15N2_B | 05:41:23.99 | -07:53:42.22 | $0.119 \pm 0.024$ | $0.044 \pm 0.055$ | $19.53 \pm 29.51$ | $4.75 \pm 0.08$ | $4.54 \pm 0.05$ | $0.014 \pm 0.006$ | na | na | na | na | N | $160 \pm 30$ | $1.1 \pm 0.5$ | 1 | 262 |
| G212.10-19.15S | 05:41:26.19 | -07:56:51.93 | $0.251 \pm 0.013$ | $0.198 \pm 0.020$ | $29.02 \pm 11.74$ | $83.33 \pm 1.06$ | $66.42 \pm 0.52$ | $0.237 \pm 0.101$ | $10_{-4}^{+6}$ | $6_{-4}^{+6}$ | $3.90 \pm 0.33$ | $6.15 \pm 3.30$ | N | $43 \pm 12$ | $3.2 \pm 1.2$ | 0 | 247 |


| Table 5 (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.3 mm Continuum (TM1+TM2+ACA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CO \& SiO |  |  |  |  | Infrared |  | Class $\hbar_{\text {HOPS }}$ |  |
| Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { RA } \\ (\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } \\ (\mathrm{d}: \mathrm{m}: \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Maj } \\ { }^{\prime \prime} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Min } \\ \text { /" } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PA } \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{F}_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}} \\ (\mathrm{mJy}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peak }_{1.3 \mathrm{~mm}} \\ & (\mathrm{mJy} / \mathrm{beam}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mass } \\ & \left(\mathrm{M}_{\odot}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta V_{B} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{V}_{R} \\ (\mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Theta_{400} \\ \left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Theta_{800} \\ \left({ }^{\prime \prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SiO}^{*} \\ & (\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\text {bol }}$ <br> (K) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L}_{b o l} \\ & \left(\mathrm{~L}_{\odot}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) |
| G212.84-19.45N | 05:41:32.07 | -08:40:09.77 | $0.358 \pm 0.009$ | $0.278 \pm 0.014$ | $171.35 \pm 6.91$ | $95.99 \pm 1.13$ | $63.47 \pm 0.49$ | $0.273 \pm 0.117$ | $20_{-6}^{+6}$ | $14_{-6}^{+6}$ | $1.10 \pm 0.01$ | $1.82 \pm 0.21$ | N | $50 \pm 13$ | $3.0 \pm 1.2$ | 0 | 224 |
| G215.87-17.62M_A | 05:53:32.52 | -10:25:08.18 | $0.352 \pm 0.019$ | $0.222 \pm 0.02$ | $55.93 \pm 6.79$ | $22.97 \pm 0.44$ | $16.35 \pm 0.20$ | $0.065 \pm 0.028$ | $38_{-8}^{+12}$ | $36_{-8}^{+10}$ | $1.21 \pm 0.11$ | $1.46 \pm 0.02$ | Y | na | na | 0 | -- |
| G215.87-17.62N | 05:53:42.56 | -10:24:00.69 | $0.164 \pm 0.032$ | 0.124 $\pm 0.038$ | 116.86 $\pm 44.11$ | $3.30 \pm 0.07$ | $2.99 \pm 0.04$ | $0.009 \pm 0.004$ | na | na | na | na | N | $750 \pm 193$ | $82.0 \pm 40.0$ | 1 | -- |
| G215.87-17.62S_off | 05:53:25.07 | -10:27:30.17 | $0.147 \pm 0.048$ | 0.099 $\pm 0.043$ | $71.87 \pm 89.43$ | $1.21 \pm 0.04$ | $1.12 \pm 0.02$ | $0.003 \pm 0.001$ | na | na | na | na | N | $493 \pm 60$ | $0.9 \pm 0.5$ | 1 | -- |

Table 6. SED Data for the continuum peak

| Source |  | $\mathrm{K}_{2 \text { mass }}$ <br> [2.159] | $\mathrm{eK}_{2 \text { mass }}$ |  | Wise1 $[3.4]$ | eWise1 |  | pacs1 <br> [70] | epacs1 |  | akari09 <br> [09] | e_akari09 |  | JCMT850 <br> [850] | eJCMT850 |  | IRAC1 <br> [3.6] | eIRAC1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| G196.92-10.37_C |  | $7.310 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $8.156 \mathrm{e}-07$ | ... | 1.845e-02 | 4.606e-04 | .. | -- | -- | ... | $1.972 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.200 \mathrm{e}-02$ | ... | $5.145 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $4.991 \mathrm{e}+00$ | ... | -- |  |
| G200.34-10.97N |  | 8.589e-04 | 8.834e-08 | 9 | $9.744 \mathrm{e}-04$ | 2.962e-05 | .. |  |  |  | -- | -- |  | $6.507 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $6.349 \mathrm{e}-01$ |  | -- |  |
| G201.52-11.08 |  | $2.910 \mathrm{e}-03$ | $4.078 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 4 | 4.047e-03 | $1.011 \mathrm{e}-04$ | .. |  | -- |  | -- | -- |  | $1.095 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $2.032 \mathrm{e}-02$ | .. | -- |  |
| G203.21-11.20W1 |  | -- | -- | ... | $1.630 \mathrm{e}-05$ | $6.532 \mathrm{e}-06$ | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | $1.538 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.772 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $\ldots$ | -- | -- |
| G203.21-11.20W2 |  | -- |  | ... | $1.463 \mathrm{e}-04$ | $1.112 \mathrm{e}-05$ | .. |  | -- |  | -- | -- |  | $1.374 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.308 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $\ldots$ | -- |  |
| G205.46-14.56M1_B |  |  |  |  | -- | -- | $\ldots$ |  |  | $\ldots$ |  |  | ... | $3.908 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $2.886 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $\ldots$ |  |  |
| G205.46-14.56M1_A |  | -- | -- | ... | 2.344e-03 | 6.616e-05 | ... | 6.050e+00 | $3.038 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $\ldots$ |  | -- | ... | $3.908 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $2.886 \mathrm{e}-01$ | ... | 3.339e-03 | $1.680 \mathrm{e}-04$ |
| G205.46-14.56M2_A |  | 1.114e-02 | $7.392 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 5 | $5.769 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.819 \mathrm{e}-03$ | ... | $8.588 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $8.588 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $\ldots$ | -- | -- |  | $1.123 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.315 \mathrm{e}-01$ | ... | 3.007e-02 | 1.507e-03 |
| G205.46-14.56M2_B | ... | $1.114 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $7.392 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 5 | $5.769 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $2.819 \mathrm{e}-03$ | ... | $8.588 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $8.588 \mathrm{e}-01$ | ... | -- | -- | ... | $1.123 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $1.315 \mathrm{e}-01$ | ... | 3.007e-02 | 1.507e-03 |
| G205.46-14.56M2_C |  | 2.284e-02 | $7.380 \mathrm{e}-06$ | ... | $1.350 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.225 \mathrm{e}-03$ | ... | $2.455 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $2.455 \mathrm{e}+00$ | ... | $1.432 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $7.350 \mathrm{e}-03$ | ... | $3.022 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $4.168 \mathrm{e}-02$ | ... | $1.620 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 8.115e-03 |
| G205.46-14.56M2_D |  | $2.284 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $7.380 \mathrm{e}-06$ | ... | $1.350 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.225 \mathrm{e}-03$ | ... | $2.455 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $2.455 \mathrm{e}+00$ | ... | $1.432 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $7.350 \mathrm{e}-03$ | ... | $3.022 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $4.168 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $\ldots$ | $1.620 \mathrm{e}-01$ | 8.115e-03 |
| G205.46-14.56M2_E |  | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | 3.022e-01 | $4.168 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $\ldots$ | -- | -- |
| G205.46-14.56M3 |  | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- |  | $2.477 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.847 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $\ldots$ | -- |  |
| G205.46-14.56N1 |  | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | 4.137e-01 | $2.102 \mathrm{e}-02$ | $\ldots$ | -- | -- | ... | $6.534 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $7.695 \mathrm{e}-02$ | ... | 5.672e-06 | -- |
| G205.46-14.56N2 | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | $\ldots$ | $6.514 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $3.293 \mathrm{e}-02$ | ... | -- | -- | ... | $3.022 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $4.168 \mathrm{e}-02$ | ... | 7.795e-06 |  |
| G205.46-14.56S1_A |  | -- | -- | ... | 2.591e-03 | $9.003 \mathrm{e}-05$ | ... | $6.220 \mathrm{e}+01$ | $3.113 \mathrm{e}+00$ | ... | -- | -- |  | $7.140 \mathrm{e}+00$ | $6.893 \mathrm{e}-01$ | $\ldots$ | -- |  |
| G205.46-14.56S1_B | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | - | ... | -- | -- | ... | -- | -- | ... | $7.140 \mathrm{e}+00$ | 6.893e-01 | $\ldots$ | - | -- |

(This table is available in its entirety in machine-readable form.


Figure A1. $\lambda$-Orionis: The combined TM1, TM2 \& 7 m ACA continuum images of non-detected dense cores and Class 0 systems (including multiples). The contours are are at 6 and $30 \sigma$, where the corresponding $\sigma$ s are tabulated in Table 1.

## APPENDIX

## A. TM1 + TM2 + ACA CONTINUUM IMAGES

## REFERENCES

Allen, A., Li, Z.-Y., \& Shu, F. H. 2003, ApJ, 599, 363, doi: 10.1086/379243
Anglada, G., López, R., Estalella, R., et al. 2007, AJ, 133, 2799, doi: 10.1086/517493
Arce, H. G., \& Sargent, A. I. 2006, ApJ, 646, 1070, doi: $10.1086 / 505104$

Arce, H. G., Shepherd, D., Gueth, F., et al. 2007, in
Protostars and Planets V, ed. B. Reipurth, D. Jewitt, \&
K. Keil, 245. https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/0603071

Aso, Y., Hirano, N., Aikawa, Y., et al. 2019, ApJ, 887, 209, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/ab5284



Figure A2. Orion B: The combined TM1, TM2 \& 7m ACA continuum images of non-detected, starless dense cores, Class 0 and Class I systems (including multiples). The contours are are at 6 and $30 \sigma$, where the corresponding $\sigma$ s are tabulated in Table 1.


















Figure A3. Orion A: The combined TM1, TM2 \& 7 m ACA continuum images of non-detected, starless dense cores, Class 0 and Class I systems (including multiples). The contours are are at 6 and $30 \sigma$, where the corresponding $\sigma$ s are tabulated in Table 1.



Figure A4. All the 7 m ACA continuum maps of the non-detected and starless dense cores in combined TM1, TM2 \& 7 m ACA continuum images. The contours are are at $3,6,9$ and $30 \sigma$, where the corresponding $\sigma$ s are tabulated in Table 1
















Figure A5. Velocity-integrated CO maps showing the outflow structures of the protostellar sources. The magenta contours are $4,6,18,50,100 \sigma$ of combined TM1, TM2 $\& 7 \mathrm{~m}$ ACA continuum emission, where the the corresponding $\sigma$ s are tabulated in Table 1.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here, these sizes are analogous to diameters of the sources.
    2 The protostellar systems may show different dust temperatures of the envelope+disk system based on the stellar luminosity. If these sources also have an extended but colder envelope, the mass of the cold envelope will be underestimated by this assumption of warm temperature. For instance, if we vary the temperature from 15 to 100 K of the protostars, the masses will change by a factor of 1.7 to 0.25 times of the present estimated masses at 25 K.
    ${ }^{3}$ Due to the heating effect from the environment, the temperature of the starless core is relatively higher $(\sim 10 \mathrm{~K})$ than the denser inner part (e.g., Bergin \& Tafalla 2007; Sipilä et al. 2019). When the starless cloud collapses and density increases at the central region (as in the prestellar core) then the temperature can reach as low as $\sim 6.5 \mathrm{~K}$ at the central dense portion.

