arXiv:2010.15017v2 [math.AP] 9 Nov 2020

A Proof of Hélein's Conjecture on Boundedness of Conformal Factors when n = 3

P.I. Plotnikov * and J.F. Toland †

Contents

1 Introduction **2**
1.1 Isothermal Immersions 2
1.2 Hélein's Conjecture $\boldsymbol{n=3}$ 5
1.3 Applications 7
1.4 Organization of the Paper 7

2 Notation and Main Results **8**
2.1 Notation 8
2.2 Main Results 9

3 Hélein's Conjecture when $\boldsymbol{n=3}$ **11**
3.1 Construction of $\boldsymbol{\omega_i}$ 11
3.2 Estimates of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}$ and $\boldsymbol{\omega_i}$, $\boldsymbol{i=1,2}$ 14
3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.2 16
3.4 Proof of Hélein's Conjecture, $\boldsymbol{n=3}$ 17

4 Beyond $\boldsymbol{8\pi}$ **21**
4.1 Preliminaries on Geometric Integration 21
4.2 Regular Points and their Properties 22
4.3 More on $\boldsymbol{\omega_i}$, $\boldsymbol{i=1,2}$ 26
4.4 Proof of Theorem 2.4 30

*Lavrentyev Institute of Hydrodynamics, Lavrentyev pr. 15, Novosibirsk 630090, Russia, email: Plotnikov @ mail.ru

†Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY, UK, email:masjft@bath.ac.uk

5 Examples **33**

5.1 Enneper's Surface 33

5.2 Remark on Theorem 2.2 36

5.3 Remark on Theorem 2.4 38

5.4 Stereographic Projections 39

Abstract

For smooth mappings of the unit disc into the oriented Grassmannian manifold $\mathbb{G}_{n,2}$, Hélein (2002) conjectured the global existence of Coulomb frames with bounded conformal factor provided the integral of $|\boldsymbol{A}|^2$, the squared-length of the second fundamental form, is less than $\gamma_n = 8\pi$. It has since been shown that the optimal bounds on the integral of $|\boldsymbol{A}|^2$ that guarantee this result are: $\gamma_3 = 8\pi$ and $\gamma_n = 4\pi$ for $n \geq 4$. For isothermal immersions, this hypothesis is equivalent to saying the integral of the sum of the squares of the principal curvatures is less than γ_n.

The goal here is to prove that when $n = 3$ the same conclusion holds under weaker hypotheses. In particular, it holds for isothermal immersions when $|\boldsymbol{A}|$ is square-integrable and the integral of $|K|$, K the Gauss curvature, is less than 4π. Since $2|K| \leq |\boldsymbol{A}|^2$ this implies the known result for isothermal immersions, but $|K|$ may be small when $|\boldsymbol{A}|^2$ is large.

That the result under the weaker hypothesis is sharp is shown by Enneper's surface and stereographic projections. The method, which is purely analytic, is then extended to investigate the case when the length of the second fundamental form is square-integrable.

1 Introduction

In applications it is often important to understand the global behaviour of an immersed surface, or even a curve, in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Although the theory of curves is non-trivial, much has been done since the work of Axel Schur [18] (see also Chern [2, §4, §5]) and recently the focus has been on surfaces. For example, an important question in conformal geometry in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is whether or not a surface has bi-Lipschitz isothermal coordinates. The following elementary description of the case $n = 3$ introduces notation and sets the scene for what follows.

1.1 Isothermal Immersions

Let D_1 denote the closed unit disc centered at the origin in $\mathbb{R}^2$. A smooth mapping $\Psi : D_1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is called an isothermal immersion and e^f is its conformal factor if, with $\partial_i = \partial/\partial X_i$,

$$\partial_i \Psi(X) = e^{f(X)} \mathbf{e}_i(X), \quad \mathbf{e}_i(X) \cdot \mathbf{e}_j(X) = \delta_{ij}, \quad X \in D_1. \tag{1.1}$$

Then the coefficients of the first fundamental form [7, §2.2], [15, §6.1.1] of the surface $\Psi(D_1)$ are $E = G = e^{2f}$ and $F = 0$. Since $\partial_{12}\Psi = \partial_{21}\Psi$ and $\partial_k(\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j) =$

0, $i,j,k \in \{1,2\}$, it follows that

$$\partial_1 f = -\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \partial_2 f = \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \text{ in } D_1,$$

and hence

$$-\Delta f(X) = \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 - \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1. \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\mathbf{n}(X) = \mathbf{e}_1(X) \times \mathbf{e}_2(X)$. Then, since $\partial_1\Psi$ and $\partial_2\Psi$ are normal to $\mathbf{n}$, the coefficients of the second fundamental form [7, §2.2], [15, §7.1], of $\Psi(D_1)$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &L := \partial_{11}\Psi \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\partial_1\Psi \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{n}; \quad N := \partial_{22}\Psi \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\partial_2\Psi \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{n}, \\ &-\partial_1\Psi \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{n} = \partial_{21}\Psi \cdot \mathbf{n} =: M := \partial_{12}\Psi \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\partial_2\Psi \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{n}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

and, by (1.1), the Gauss curvature K of $\Psi(D_1) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is given by [7, §2.2], [15, Cor. 8.1.3],

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \frac{LN - M^2}{EG - F^2} = e^{-4f}(LN - M^2) \\ &= e^{-4f}\big\{(\partial_1\Psi \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{n})(\partial_2\Psi \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{n}) - (\partial_1\Psi \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{n})(\partial_2\Psi \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{n})\big\} \\ &= e^{-2f}\big\{(\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{n}) - (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{n})(\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{n})\big\} \\ &= e^{-2f}\big\{(\partial_1\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})(\partial_2\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n}) - (\partial_2\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})(\partial_1\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n})\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Now since $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, $\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = \delta_{ij}$ and $\|\mathbf{e}_j\|^2 = 1$ on D_1, $i,j = 1,2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_j\mathbf{e}_1 &= (\partial_j\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2 + (\partial_j\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}, & \partial_j\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 &= -\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_j\mathbf{e}_2, \\ \partial_j\mathbf{e}_2 &= (\partial_j\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\partial_j\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}, & \partial_j\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} &= -\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \partial_j\mathbf{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\partial_1\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_2 = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{e}_1)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_2) \text{ and } \partial_2\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{e}_2 = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_1)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{e}_2),$$

and so, by (1.2) and (1.4),

$$-\Delta f = \partial_1\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_2 - \partial_1\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_1 = e^{2f}K. \tag{1.5}$$

Note also that $\|\mathbf{n}\|^2 = 1$ implies that $\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_i\mathbf{n} = 0$, and therefore that $\partial_i\mathbf{n} = -(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_i\mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_i\mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1\mathbf{n} \times \partial_2\mathbf{n} &= \big[(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2\big] \times \big[(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2\big] \\ &= \big((\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{e}_1)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_2) - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1\mathbf{e}_2)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_1)\big)\mathbf{n} \\ &= \big(\partial_1\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_2 - \partial_1\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_2\mathbf{e}_1\big)\mathbf{n}, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$-\Delta f = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_1\mathbf{n} \times \partial_2\mathbf{n}).$$

Thus

$$-\Delta f = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} K\, e^{2f} \\ \Phi := \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_1\mathbf{n} \times \partial_2\mathbf{n}) \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{in } D_1, \tag{1.6}$$

where K is the Gauss curvature of the surface $\Psi(D_1)$. To estimate the L^2-norm of $|\nabla f|$ it suffices to show that the right-hand side of (1.6) is in $W^{-1,2}$.

Now the isothermal immersion Ψ of D_1 into $\mathbb{R}^3$ has an associated Gauss map, namely the unit vector $\mathbf{n}(X)$, $X \in D_1$, normal to the immersed surface. Since $\|\mathbf{n}\|^2 = 1$ on D_1, it follows from (1.3) that

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{n} = (\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2 = -e^{-f} L \mathbf{e}_1 - e^{-f} M \mathbf{e}_2,$$
$$\partial_2 \mathbf{n} = (\partial_2 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\partial_2 \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2 = -e^{-f} M \mathbf{e}_1 - e^{-f} N \mathbf{e}_2,$$

and hence

$$|\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 = e^{-2f}\big(L^2 + 2M^2 + N^2\big) = e^{2f}|\boldsymbol{A}|^2 \text{ on } D_1, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$|\boldsymbol{A}|^2 = e^{-4f}\big(L^2 + 2M^2 + N^2\big) = (2H)^2 - 2K$$

and H, the mean curvature of $\Psi(D_1)$, is given by [7, §2.2], [15, Cor. 8.1.3],

$$H = \frac{LG - 2MF + NE}{2(EG - F^2)} = \frac{L + N}{2e^{2f}}.$$

Since $2H = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2$ and $K = \gamma_1\gamma_2$, where γ_1, γ_2 are the principal curvatures of the surface $\Psi(D_1)$, it follows that $|\boldsymbol{A}|^2 = \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2$. Here $|\boldsymbol{A}|^2$, which is referred to as the squared-length of the second fundamental form of the surface, is independent of the parametrization Ψ of $\Psi(D_1)$. (For a general surface, $|\boldsymbol{A}|^2$ is the square of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm [3, Ch. XI] of its Weingarten map [15, §§7.2 & 8.1] on the tangent space.) Moreover,

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n} = e^{-2f}(LN - M^2)\mathbf{n} = K e^{2f} \mathbf{n}.$$

This shows for isothermal imbedding that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D_1} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 dX &= \int_{D_1} |\mathbf{A}|^2 e^{2f}\, dX = \int_{D_1} |\mathbf{A}|^2 d\mu_g \\ &= \int_{\Psi(D_1)} |\mathbf{A}|^2\, dS = \int_{\Psi(D_1)} (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)\, dS,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_g = e^{2f} dX$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D_1} |\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}| dX &= \int_{D_1} |K| e^{2f}\, dX = \int_{D_1} |K| d\mu_g \\ &= \int_{\Psi(D_1)} |K|\, dS = \int_{\Psi(D_1)} |\gamma_1\gamma_2|\, dS\end{aligned}$$

where γ_1, γ_2 are the principal curvatures of $\Psi(D_1)$. In general,

$$2\int_{D_1} |\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}| dX \le \int_{D_1} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 dX \tag{1.8}$$

and equality holds for zero-mean curvature (minimal) surfaces. □

Toro [20, 21] used methods from geometric measure (variform) theory and harmonic analysis to prove striking results that have led to significant developments. Among other things she proved the following.

Theorem. (Toro) *There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, such that any two-dimensional surface S in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, has an isothermal bi-Lipshitz parameterization for which the logarithm of the conformal factor is uniformly bounded if*

(i) S can be approximated in the Hausdorff metric by a sequence of smooth surfaces S_k for which there exists $\rho > 0$, $\beta > 0$ such that the area of the intersection $S_k \cap B(x, \rho)$ is less than β for all $x \in S_k$ and all k.

(ii) For $x \in S_k$,

$$\int_{S_k \cap B(x,\rho)} |\mathbf{A}_k|^2 \, dS < \varepsilon_0, \tag{1.9}$$

where $|\mathbf{A}_k|$ is the length of the second fundamental form of S_k.

Remark 1.1. Obviously an estimate of the optimal value of $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ is essential if results like this are to be useful in applications, see Remark 1.3. □

Motivated by Toro's work , Müller & Sverák [12] investigated properties of immersions of the plane $\mathbb{R}^2$ into Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ when the second fundamental form is square-integrable. To do so they reformulated the problem in terms of the oriented Grassmannian manifold $\mathbb{G}_{n,2}$, of two-dimensional oriented subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$, embedded in complex projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}$, and used compensated-compactness methods from partial-differential-equations theory.

1.2 Hélein's Conjecture $n = 3$

In his monograph, Hélein proved a result [5, Lem. 5.1.4], on mappings from the unit disc D_1 in $\mathbb{R}^2$ into the Grassmannian manifold $\mathbb{G}_{n,2}$, which is widely used when analyzing variational problems in the theory of surfaces with bounded Willmore energy. To do so he did not assume that u, from the disc to the Grassmannian manifold, corresponds to oriented tangent spaces to a surface.

Theorem. (Hélein [5, Lem. 5.1.4]) *For a mapping $u : D_1 \to \mathbb{G}_{n,2}$ with*

$$\|du\|^2_{L^2(D_1)} \leq 8\pi/3 - \delta, \quad \delta > 0, \tag{1.10}$$

there exists $(\mathbf{e}_1(X), \mathbf{e}_2(X)) \in u(X)$, $X \in D_1$, such that

$$\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{e}_j = \delta_{ij}, \quad \|\nabla \mathbf{e}_j\|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq c(\delta),$$

and the frame $\mathbf{e}_i$ forms a so-called Coulomb frame.

He further conjectured [5, Conj. 5.2.3] that the same conclusion should holds when $8\pi/3$ in (1.10) is replaced by 8π. For $n = 3$, $\mathbb{G}_{3,2}$ can be identified with the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ of unit vectors in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and u in (1.10) with $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$. In that case Hélein's conjecture has the following form in which it is not assumed that $\mathbf{n}$ is a field of normals to a surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

Hélein's Conjecture, $n = 3$. Let $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfy

$$\int_{D_1} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 \, dX \leq 8\pi - \delta, \quad \delta > 0. \tag{1.11}$$

Then there exist an orthonormal moving frame $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ and a function f such that the vectors $\mathbf{e}_i(X)$ are orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}(X)$, the frame $(\mathbf{e}_1(X), \mathbf{e}_2(X), \mathbf{n}(X))$ has positive orientation, $\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbf{e}_1 \times \mathbf{e}_2) > 0$, and

$$\|\mathbf{e}_i\|_{W^{1,2}(D_1)} \leq c(\delta), \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\partial_1 f = -\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2, \quad \partial_2 f = \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \text{ in } D_1, \tag{1.13}$$

$$-\Delta f = \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 - \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \text{ in } D_1, \quad f = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_1, \tag{1.14}$$

$$\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq c(\delta), \quad \|f\|_{L^\infty(D_1)} \leq c(\delta). \tag{1.15}$$

Following a Grassmannian approach, Schätzle [16, Appendix A, Prop. 5.1] confirmed the conjecture for all n when he proved the following.

Proposition(Schätze [16, Prop. 5.1]). *Let $f : D_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a conformal immersion with induced metric $g_{ij} = e^{2u}\delta_{ij}$ and square integrable second fundamental form $\boldsymbol{A}$ satisfying*

$$\int_{D_1} |\boldsymbol{A}|^2 d\mu_g \leq \begin{cases} 8\pi - \delta \text{ for } n = 3, \\ 4\pi - \delta \text{ for } n \geq 4, \end{cases} \tag{1.16}$$

for some $\delta > 0$ Then there exists a smooth solution $v : D_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ of

$$\Delta_g v = K_g \text{ on } D_1 \tag{1.17}$$

satisfying

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(D_1)}, \ \|Dv\|_{L^2(D_1)}, \ \|D^2 v\|_{L^1(D_1)} \leq C(n, \delta) \int_{D_1} |\boldsymbol{A}|^2 d\mu_g.$$

The proof is based on Kuwert& Schätzle [10], and on the Müller-Sverák estimates of the Kähler form in complex projective space.

Remark 1.2. Suppose $\Psi : D_1 \to \mathbb{R}^3$ is an isothermal immersion with conformal factor e^f. Then $v - f$ is harmonic by (1.6) and (1.17). It then follows from (1.7) and (1.16) that Hélein's Conjecture for $n = 3$ is a Corollary of Schätzle's proposition above, at least for immersions. That the result is optimal is shown by examples using Enneper's surface following [9, Cor. 5.1]. □

Remark 1.3. By Toro's theorem, singularities occur only if energy in excess of ε_0 in (1.9) concentrates at a finite number of distinct points. So Hélein's Conjecture for $n = 3$ implies $\varepsilon_0 \geq 8\pi$ (see Remark 1.1). □

In what follows, conclusions (1.12) -(1.15) are established under the hypothesis

$$\mathbf{n} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2), \quad \int_{D_1} |\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}| dX \leq 4\pi - \delta, \quad \delta > 0, \tag{1.18}$$

which is implied by, but weaker than, (1.11); see the discussion leading to (1.8).

1.3 Applications

Identifying the best constant in Hélein's Conjecture is particularly important when $n = 3$ because of applications, such as arise when deciding the regularity of solutions of variational problems in biology, elasticity theory, and elsewhere when the unknown variables describe a surface. For example:

In biology, the main component of the Heimlich functional, in the variational theory of problems involving living cell membranes, is the Willmore conformal energy. Hence questions of regularity of surfaces with square-integrable second fundamental forms and the possibility of singularities are important in the mathematical theory of biological membranes, see [11], [6] and references therein. □

In hydroelasticity, the surface shape of steady waves on a three-dimensional expanse of fluid which is at rest at infinite depth and moves irrotationally under gravity, bounded above by a frictionless elastic sheet which has gravitational potential energy, bending energy proportional to the square integral of its mean curvature (its Willmore functional), and stretching energy determined by the position of its particles relative to a reference configuration, is governed by equations that arise as critical points of a natural Lagrangian. The resulting theory [13, 14] must ensure that the wave surface is non-self-intersecting. □

In general relativity, when the universe is defined as $\mathbb{R}^3$ with a metric tensor g_{ij}, the so-called quasi-local Hawking mass energy $m(\Sigma)$ is defined for an arbitrary domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, bounded by a closed surface Σ with mean curvature H, as

$$m(\Sigma) = \frac{(\mathrm{Area}\Sigma)^{1/2}}{(16\pi)^{3/2}}\Big(16\pi - \int_\Sigma H^2\, d\Sigma\Big).$$

See [8] for references and further reading. □

1.4 Organization of the Paper

In the hope of being accessible to mathematicians who need these results for applications but are not professional geometers, this paper deals exclusively with the case $n = 3$ using only analysis techniques and partial differential equations, without reference to complex projective space or Grassmannian manifolds.

Section 2 is a brief description of Theorem 2.2 and 2.4, which are the main results upon which the rest of the discussion relies.

Section 3 is a self-contained yet elementary proof of Theorem 2.2. The notation is set out in Section 3.1, estimates are developed in Section 3.2, and the main part of the proof is in Section 3.3. Then, in Section 3.4, an extension of Hélein's Conjecture for $n = 3$ is established using Theorem 2.2 and the continuation argument in Hélein's book [5].

In Section 4, the theory of Section 3 is extended to uncover what can be said without the hypothesis of Hélein's Conjecture or, more precisely, without hy-

pothesis (2.5) in Theorem 2.2. The proof of Theorem 2.4 relies on constructions from Section 3, augmented by a corollary of Federer's Theorem [4, Thm. 3.2.22] from geometric measure theory.

In Section 5, Enneper's classical minimal surfaces, which when suitably parameterized share their Gauss maps with stereographic projections, provide examples that show the sense in which the results obtained are optimal.

2 Notation and Main Results

2.1 Notation

Let

$$\begin{aligned} D_r &= \{|X| \le r,\, X = (X_1, X_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2\},\ \text{a closed disk in the plane,} \\ D_r^\circ &= \{|X| < r,\, X \in \mathbb{R}^2\},\ \text{an open disk in the plane,} \\ \mathbb{S}^2 &= \{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |\boldsymbol{\xi}| = 1\},\ \text{the unit sphere in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \boldsymbol{k} &= (0,0,1),\ \text{the north pole of } \mathbb{S}^2,\ \text{and } \mathbb{S}^2_0 = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{+\boldsymbol{k}, -\boldsymbol{k}\}, \\ W^{1,2}(D_r, \mathbb{S}^2) &= \Big\{\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(D_r, \mathbb{R}^3) : \mathbf{u}(X) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \text{almost everywhere on } D_r\Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition. A map $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, which has finite energy

$$E(\mathbf{u}) := \int_{D_1} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 \, dX < \infty, \tag{2.1}$$

is said to be smooth, written $\mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, if for some $r > 1$ there is an infinitely differentiable $\mathbf{v} : D_r^\circ \to \mathbb{S}^2$ with $\mathbf{v}(X) = \mathbf{u}(X)$ almost everywhere on D_1. Let $C_0^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2) = \{\mathbf{u} \in C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2) : \mathbf{u}$ has compact support in $D_1^\circ\}$ and let $W_0^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ be the completion of $C_0^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ in $W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$.

Lemma 2.1. *$C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ is dense in $W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$.*

Proof. For $\mathbf{u} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and $r > 1$, let $\mathbf{u}_r(X) = \mathbf{u}(X/r)$, $X \in D_r$. Then $\mathbf{u}_r \in W^{1,2}(D_r, \mathbb{S}^2)$, its restriction, $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_r$, to D_1 is in $W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_r \to \mathbf{u}$ in $W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ as $r \to 1$. Now from [17, §4] (see also [1]) it follows that there is a smooth function

$$\mathbf{v}_r \in C^\infty(D_r, \mathbb{S}^2) \text{ such that } \|\mathbf{v}_r - \mathbf{u}_r\|_{W^{1,2}(D_r, \mathbb{S}^2)} \le r - 1.$$

Hence $\|\hat{\mathbf{v}}_r - \mathbf{u}\|_{W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)} \to 0$ as $r \to 1$ and, since $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_r \in C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, the proof is complete. □

Now when $\mathbf{n} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ put

$$\Phi(X) := \mathbf{n}(X) \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{n}(X) \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}(X)), \tag{2.2}$$

and note that $\Phi \in L^1(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and

$$\int_{D_1} |\Phi| \, dX \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{D_1} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2 \, dX. \tag{2.3}$$

Since $\partial_i \mathbf{n}$, $i = 1, 2$, are orthogonal to the unit vector $\mathbf{n}$ and the vector field $\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}$ is parallel to $\mathbf{n}$, it follows that

$$\Phi = \text{sign } (\Phi) \, |\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}|.$$

Thus the area on $\mathbb{S}^2$ of the image under $\mathbf{n}$ of an area element dX of D_1 is

$$dS = |\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}| \, dX, \text{ and hence } \text{meas}\big(\mathbf{n}(D_1)\big) \leq \int_{D_1} |\Phi| \, dX. \tag{2.4}$$

2.2 Main Results

A corollary (see Section 3.4) of Theorem 2.2, is that (1.12)-(1.15) in Hélein's Conjecture hold when hypothesis (1.11) is replaced (2.5), which is weaker.

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose* $\mathbf{n} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ *satisfies*

$$\int_{D_1} |\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}| \, dX \leq 4\pi - \delta. \tag{2.5}$$

Then there exist $\Omega_i \in L^2(D_1)$ *with* $\|\Omega_i\|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq (2^3\pi/\delta)\|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)}$, $i = 1, 2$, *and for every* $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(D_1)$,

$$\int_{D_1} \Phi \, \zeta \, dX = \int_{D_1} (\Omega_2 \, \partial_1 \zeta - \Omega_1 \, \partial_2 \zeta) \, dX. \tag{2.6}$$

In particular, for δ *in* (2.5) *and an absolute constant* c,

$$\|\Phi\|_{W_0^{-1,2}(D_1)} \leq \frac{c}{\delta} \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Remark 2.3. Since $|\Phi| \leq |\partial_1 \mathbf{n}||\partial_2 \mathbf{n}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2$, condition (2.5) is satisfied if (1.11), the hypothesis of Hélein's Conjecture, holds, but not vice versa. □

To investigate what can be said when there is no restriction on the energy of $\mathbf{n}$ except that it is finite, let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ and $\mathcal{F} := \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}) = \big\{X \in D_1 : \mathbf{n}(X) \in \mathcal{A}\big\}$.

Theorem 2.4. *If* $\mathbf{n} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ *and* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ *is Borel with positive measure* μ, *there exist* $\Omega_i \in L^2(D_1)$, $i = 1, 2$, *such that for all* $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(D_1)$,

$$\int_{D_1} \Phi \, \zeta \, dX = \frac{4\pi}{\mu} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \Phi \, \zeta \, dX + \int_{D_1} (\Omega_2 \, \partial_1 \zeta - \Omega_1 \, \partial_2 \zeta) \, dX, \tag{2.8}$$

and $\|\Omega_i\|_{L^2(D_1)} \leq c \, \mu^{-1/2} \, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)}$, *where* c *is an absolute constant. Thus*

$$\big\|\Phi - \frac{4\pi}{\mu} \chi_{\mathcal{F}} \Phi\big\|_{W^{-1,2}(D_1)} \leq \frac{c}{\mu^{1/2}} \, \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Remark 2.5. If $\{\mathcal{A}_j : 1 \le j \le N\}$ is a family of mutually disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{S}^2$, each with measure μ_j, the corresponding family $\{\mathcal{F}_j : 1 \le j \le N\}$ of their inverse images under $\mathbf{n}$ are mutually disjoint in D_1 and, by (2.8), for each j there exist $\Omega_i^j \in L^2(D_1)$, $i = 1, 2$, such that, for all $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(D_1)$,

$$4\pi \int_{\mathcal{F}_j} \Phi\, \zeta \, dX = \mu_j \left(\int_{D_1} \Phi\, \zeta \, dX - \int_{D_1} (\Omega_2^j\, \partial_1 \zeta - \Omega_1^j\, \partial_2 \zeta)\, dX \right).$$

Now let $\mu = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j$, $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{A}_j$, $\mathcal{F} = \cup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{F}_j$, and sum over j to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 4\pi \int_{\mathcal{F}} \Phi\, \zeta \, dX &= \mu \left(\int_{D_1} \Phi\, \zeta \, dX - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\mu_j}{\mu} \int_{D_1} (\Omega_2^j\, \partial_1 \zeta - \Omega_1^j\, \partial_2 \zeta)\, dX \right) \right) \\ &= \mu \left(\int_{D_1} \Phi\, \zeta \, dX - \int_{D_1} (\widetilde{\Omega}_2\, \partial_1 \zeta - \widetilde{\Omega}_1\, \partial_2 \zeta)\, dX \right), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\mu_j}{\mu} \right) \Omega_i^j, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Thus, $\widetilde{\Omega}_i$ satisfies (2.8) when $\mathcal{A} = \cup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{A}_j$ and $\mathcal{A}_j \cap \mathcal{A}_k = \emptyset$, $j \ne k$. For example,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j \int_{D_1} (\Omega_2^j\, \partial_1 \zeta - \Omega_1^j\, \partial_2 \zeta)\, dX = 0 \text{ for all } \zeta \in C_0^\infty(D_1) \text{ if } \cup_{j=1}^N \mathcal{A}_j = \mathbb{S}^2,$$

since $\mu = 4\pi$ and, by (2.8),

$$\int_{D_1} (\Omega_2\, \partial_1 \zeta - \Omega_1\, \partial_2 \zeta)\, dX = 0 \text{ for all } \zeta \in C_0^\infty(D_1) \text{ when } \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{S}^2. \quad \square$$

Remark 2.6. Theorem 2.4 implies that the pre-image of every subset $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ of positive measure, however small, contains significant information about the singularity of Φ (reminiscent of holography, when any fragment, however small, of a glass plate which contains a holographic image, contains the entire image). To see that Theorem 2.4 is a generalisation of Theorem 2.2, let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \mathbf{n}(D_1)$ so that $\mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{F}} = 0$ on D_1. $\square$

Recall from (2.3) that Φ is bounded in $L^1(D_1)$ in terms of the energy $E(\mathbf{n})$. However, sequences $\{\mathbf{n}_k\}$ of vector fields, with $\{E(\mathbf{n}_k)\}$ bounded but for which the corresponding sequence $\{\Phi_k\}$ is not bounded in $W^{-1,2}(D_1)$, are discussed in Section 5. The first example to illustrate Theorems 2.2 and 2.4 involves the Enneper hyperbolic surface for which singularities arises as a result of branch point formation. The second involves stereographic projection onto a sphere, where singularity are associated with bubble formation. Curiously, the two examples have the same Gauss map, and in that sense there is only one example.

3 Hélein's Conjecture when $n = 3$

The idea underlying the proof of Theorem 2.2 is, for a given $\mathbf{n} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, to write Φ in weak divergence form

$$\Phi = \partial_2\omega_1 - \partial_1\omega_2, \tag{3.1}$$

and establish appropriate estimates. Since such a representation on the whole disc D_1 is not possible, even when the corresponding $\mathbf{n}$ is smooth because the resulting ω_i may have strong singularities, the task is limited to showing that an appropriate representation is possible under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2. Since, by Lemma 2.1, every $\mathbf{n} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ can be approximated in $W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ by a sequence $\{\mathbf{n}_k\}$ of vector fields in $C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, it suffices to prove the theorem for smooth $\mathbf{n}$ satisfying (2.5).

3.1 Construction of $\boldsymbol{\omega}_i$

The representations of a vector field $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ by Cartesian coordinates and spherical coordinates are related as follows:

$$\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3), \quad n_1 = \cos\varphi \sin\vartheta, \quad n_2 = \sin\varphi\sin\vartheta, \quad n_3 = \cos\theta,$$

$\theta \in [0, \pi)$, and $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi]$. Here $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3), \vartheta, \varphi$ are functions of $X \in D_1$. Then formal partial differentiation yields, the relation coordinates

$$\partial_i \mathbf{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta\cos\varphi \\ \cos\vartheta\sin\varphi \\ -\sin\vartheta \end{pmatrix}^\top \partial_i\vartheta + \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\vartheta\sin\varphi \\ \sin\vartheta\cos\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^\top \partial_i\varphi,$$

whence

$$\partial_1\mathbf{n} \times \partial_2\mathbf{n} = (\partial_1\vartheta\,\partial_2\varphi - \partial_2\vartheta\,\partial_1\varphi) \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta\cos\varphi \\ \cos\vartheta\sin\varphi \\ -\sin\vartheta \end{pmatrix}^\top \times \begin{pmatrix} -\sin\vartheta\sin\varphi \\ \sin\vartheta\cos\varphi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}^\top$$

$$= (\partial_1\vartheta\,\partial_2\varphi - \partial_2\vartheta\,\partial_1\varphi) \begin{vmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{j} & \boldsymbol{k} \\ \cos\vartheta\cos\varphi & \cos\vartheta\sin\varphi & -\sin\vartheta \\ -\sin\vartheta\sin\varphi & \sin\vartheta\cos\varphi & 0 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \sin\vartheta\,(\partial_1\vartheta\,\partial_2\varphi - \partial_2\vartheta\,\partial_1\varphi)\,\mathbf{n}.$$

Then since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_1\mathbf{n} \times \partial_2\mathbf{n}) &= \sin\vartheta\,(\partial_1\vartheta\,\partial_2\varphi - \partial_2\vartheta\,\partial_1\varphi) \\ &= \partial_2(\cos\vartheta + 1)\,\partial_1\varphi - \partial_1(\cos\vartheta + 1)\,\partial_2\varphi \\ &= \partial_2\big((\cos\vartheta + 1)\partial_1\varphi\big) - \partial_1\big((\cos\vartheta + 1)\partial_2\varphi\big), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\cos\vartheta + 1 = n_3 + 1, \quad \partial_i\varphi = \frac{n_1\partial_i n_2 - n_2\partial_i n_1}{n_1^2 + n_2^2},$$

there emerges a formula which apparently gives Φ in the divergence form (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{n}\cdot(\partial_1\mathbf{n}\times\partial_2\mathbf{n}) &= \partial_2\Big\{\frac{n_3+1}{n_1^2+n_2^2}(n_1\partial_1 n_2 - n_2\partial_1 n_1)\Big\}\\ &\quad - \partial_1\Big\{\frac{n_3+1}{n_1^2+n_2^2}(n_1\partial_2 n_2 - n_2\partial_2 n_1)\Big\}\\ &= \partial_2\omega_1 - \partial_1\omega_2, \text{ say.}\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

However, since $n_3 = \pm\sqrt{n_1^2+n_2^2}$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$,

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto \frac{n_3+1}{n_1^2+n_2^2}, \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{S}^2, \tag{3.3}$$

is real-analytic on $\mathbb{S}^2$ except where $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (0, 0, 1) =: \boldsymbol{k}$. It follows that when $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is smooth, $\omega_i, i = 1, 2$, in (3.2) is smooth where $\mathbf{n}(X) \neq \boldsymbol{k}$, but there may be singularities where $\mathbf{n}(X) = \boldsymbol{k}$. Thus (3.2) may not hold in the sense of distributions on D_1 if $\mathbf{n}(X) = \boldsymbol{k}, X \in D_1$. The following remarks are key to overcoming this difficulty.

Remark 3.1. (i) Since for $\boldsymbol{s} = (s_1, s_2, s_3) \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $|s_3| \neq 1$,

$$\left|\frac{(s_3+1)s_i}{s_1^2+s_2^2}\right| \leq \frac{2|s_i|}{s_1^2+s_2^2} \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{s_1^2+s_2^2}}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

$\omega_i \in L^p(\mathbb{S}^2)$ for $p < 2$, if $|\nabla\mathbf{n}| \in L^\infty(D_1)$.

(ii) Since rotation of the Cartesian system in which $\mathbb{S}$ is embedded changes the location of the poles of $\mathbb{S}^2$, Müller & Sverák [12] showed that singularities in (3.2) can be dealt with by integrating over a set of rotated coordinates.

(iii) For $(\cos\varphi\sin\vartheta, \sin\varphi\sin\vartheta, \cos\theta) = \boldsymbol{s} \in \mathbb{S}^2$, and $\boldsymbol{k} = (0, 0, 1)$,

$$\int_{\boldsymbol{s}\in\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{s}}}{|\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{k}|} = \int_0^\pi \frac{2\pi\sin\theta}{2\sin(\theta/2)}\, d\theta = 2\pi\int_0^\pi \cos(\theta/2)\, d\theta = 4\pi. \qquad \square \tag{3.4}$$

Here the approach is similar to [12], except that in what follows the field $\mathbf{n}$ is rotated instead of the coordinate system. To see that this is possible without changing Φ, let $\mathbf{U}$ be a rotation matrix (a 3×3 orthogonal matrix with determinant 1), the transpose of the columns of which form an orthonormal basis U_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, for $\mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$U_1 = U_2 \times U_3, \quad U_2 = U_3 \times U_1, \quad U_3 = U_1 \times U_2.$$

Then, for a given $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$, let $(\mathbf{U}\mathbf{n})(X) = \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{n}(X)) \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $X \in D_1$, and put

$$\mathbf{m}(X) = (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{n})(X) = n_1(X)U_1 + n_2(X)U_2 + n_3(X)U_3, \quad X \in D_1.$$

It follows that

$$\partial_1\mathbf{m}\times\partial_2\mathbf{m} = (\partial_1 n_2\partial_2 n_3 - \partial_2 n_2\partial_1 n_3)U_1 + (\partial_1 n_3\partial_2 n_1 - \partial_2 n_3\partial_1 n_1)U_2 \\ + (\partial_1 n_1\partial_2 n_2 - \partial_2 n_1\partial_1 n_2)U_3 = \mathbf{U}(\partial_1\mathbf{n}\times\partial_2\mathbf{n}),$$

and therefore, since $\mathbf{U}$ is orthogonal,

$$\mathbf{m}\cdot(\partial_1\mathbf{m}\times\partial_2\mathbf{m}) = (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{n})\cdot\big(\mathbf{U}(\partial_1\mathbf{n}\times\partial_2\mathbf{n})\big) = \mathbf{n}\cdot(\partial_1\mathbf{n}\times\partial_2\mathbf{n}) = \Phi.$$

Therefore, replacing $\mathbf{n}$ with $\mathbf{m}$ in formula (3.2) yields

$$\Phi = \mathbf{n}\cdot(\partial_1\mathbf{n}\times\partial_2\mathbf{n}) = \partial_2 W_1 - \partial_1 W_2, \tag{3.5}$$

where $W_i = W_i(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{U})$ is a function of X given by

$$W_i(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{U}) = \frac{m_3+1}{m_1^2+m_2^2}\Big(m_1\partial_i m_2 - m_2\partial_i m_1\Big). \tag{3.6}$$

Clearly, as with (3.3), the singularities of W_i occur at points of D_1 where $\mathbf{m}(X) = \pm\boldsymbol{k}$, equivalently where $\mathbf{n}(X) = \mathbf{U}^{-1}\boldsymbol{k}$. Therefore (3.5) holds pointwise at $X\in D_1$ only if $\mathbf{m}(X)\neq\boldsymbol{k}$. In (3.6), $(m_1,m_2,m_2) = \mathbf{m} : D_1\to\mathbb{S}^2$ depends on $\mathbf{n} : D_1\to\mathbb{S}^2$ and on the rotation matrix $\mathbf{U}$.

The next step is to parameterize a suitably family of rotation matrices. So for fixed $\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathbb{S}^2_0 = \mathbb{S}^2\setminus\{\boldsymbol{k},-\boldsymbol{k}\}$, let

$$\boldsymbol{n}' = (n_1',n_2',n_3'),\ n_1' = \cos\varphi'\sin\vartheta',\ n_2' = \sin\varphi'\sin\vartheta',\ n_3' = \cos\vartheta', \tag{3.7}$$

where $\cos\vartheta'\neq\pm1$, and let $\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')$ denote the rotation matrix the transpose (equivalently the inverse) of which is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')^\top &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta'\cos\varphi' & -\sin\varphi' & \sin\vartheta'\cos\varphi' \\ \cos\vartheta'\sin\varphi' & \cos\varphi' & \sin\vartheta'\sin\varphi' \\ -\sin\vartheta' & 0 & \cos\vartheta' \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} {\lambda'}^{-1/2}\, n_1'n_3' & -{\lambda'}^{-1/2}\, n_2' & n_1' \\ {\lambda'}^{-1/2}\, n_2'n_3' & {\lambda'}^{-1/2}\, n_1' & n_2' \\ -{\lambda'}^{1/2} & 0 & n_3' \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

when $\lambda' := {n_1'}^2 + {n_2'}^2 = 1 - {n_3'}^2 \neq 0$. Thus $\boldsymbol{n}'\mapsto\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')$ in (3.8) depends real analytically on $(n_1',n_2',n_3') = \boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathbb{S}^2_0$. Now, for $\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathbb{S}^2_0$ and $\boldsymbol{n}\in\mathbb{S}^2$, let

$$\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{m} = (m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{S}^2 \tag{3.9a}$$

and define $\Gamma : \mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2_0\times\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}',\boldsymbol{\xi}) &= \frac{m_3+1}{m_1^2+m_2^2}\Big(m_1\big(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{\xi}\big)_2 - m_2\big(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{\xi}\big)_1\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-m_3}\Big(m_1\big(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{\xi}\big)_2 - m_2\big(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{\xi}\big)_1\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{3.9b}$$

where $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}',\cdot):\mathbb{R}^3\to\mathbb{R}$ is linear for fixed $(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\in\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2_0$.

For fixed $\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathbb{S}^2_0$ and smooth $\mathbf{n}:D_1\to\mathbb{S}^2$, put $\mathbf{m}(X)=\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')(\mathbf{n}(X))$ and note that $\partial_i\mathbf{m}(X))=\partial_i\big(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\mathbf{n}\big)(X)=\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\big(\partial_i\mathbf{n}(X)\big)$. Then, by (3.6) and (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_i(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')(X)&:=W_i(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}'))(X)=\Gamma(\mathbf{n}(X),\boldsymbol{n}',\partial_i\mathbf{n}(X))\\&=\frac{m_3(X)+1}{m_1^2(X)+m_2^2(X)}\Big(m_1(X)\partial_i m_2(X)-m_2(X)\partial_i m_1(X)\Big)\\&=\frac{1}{1-m_3(X)}\Big(m_1(X)\partial_i m_2(X)-m_2(X)\partial_i m_1(X)\Big),\ i=1,2,\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

when $\boldsymbol{m}\neq\pm\boldsymbol{k}$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 depends on estimates of Γ and ω_i in terms on $\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathbb{S}^2_0$ and $\mathbf{n}:D_1\to\mathbb{S}^2$ in the next section.

3.2 Estimates of Γ and ω_i, $i=1,2$

Definition 3.2. *Let*

$$\Sigma=\{(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\in\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2_0:\boldsymbol{n}\neq\boldsymbol{n}'\}.\qquad\square$$

Lemma 3.3. *The function Γ in* (3.9) *is real-analytic on $\Sigma\times\mathbb{R}^3$ and*

$$|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}',\boldsymbol{\xi})|\le\frac{2|\boldsymbol{\xi}|}{|\boldsymbol{n}-\boldsymbol{n}'|}\ \textit{for all}\ (\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\in\Sigma,\ \boldsymbol{\xi}\in\mathbb{R}^3.\tag{3.11}$$

Proof. Since $\boldsymbol{n}'\mapsto\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')$ and $(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\mapsto\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{n}=\boldsymbol{m}=(m_1,m_2,m_3)\in\mathbb{S}^2$ are real analytic on $\mathbb{S}^2\times\mathbb{S}^2_0\times\mathbb{R}^3$, Γ in (3.9) will be real analytic on $\Sigma\times\mathbb{R}^3$ if

$$(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\mapsto\frac{m_3+1}{m_1^2+m_2^2}=\frac{1}{1-m_3},\ \text{since}\ m_1^2+m_2^2+m_3^2=1,$$

is real-analytic on Σ. So it suffices to observe that $m_3\neq1$ when $(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\in\Sigma$. This is because, by (3.7) and (3.8), $\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k})=\boldsymbol{n}'$ and hence, since $\boldsymbol{n}\neq\boldsymbol{n}'$,

$$0<|\boldsymbol{n}'-\boldsymbol{n}|=|\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')^{-1}(\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{n})|=|\boldsymbol{k}-\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{n}|=|\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{m}|.\tag{3.12}$$

Now, when $(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\in\Sigma$ and $\boldsymbol{m}\neq\pm k$, it follows from (3.9)(b),

$$\begin{aligned}\big|\Gamma(\boldsymbol{n},\boldsymbol{n}',\boldsymbol{\xi})\big|&=\left|\frac{m_3+1}{m_1^2+m_2^2}\Big(m_1\big(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{\xi}\big)_2-m_2\big(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{\xi}\big)_1\Big)\right|\\&\le\left(\frac{m_3+1}{m_1^2+m_2^2}\right)\sqrt{m_1^2+m_2^2}\,\big|\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\xi\big|=\frac{m_3+1}{\sqrt{m_1^2+m_2^2}}\,|\boldsymbol{\xi}|\\&=\frac{\cos\theta+1}{\sin\theta}\,|\boldsymbol{\xi}|=\frac{\cos(\theta/2)}{\sin(\theta/2)}|\boldsymbol{\xi}|\le\frac{|\boldsymbol{\xi}|}{\sin(\theta/2)}\\&=\frac{2|\boldsymbol{\xi}|}{|\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{m}|}=\frac{2|\boldsymbol{\xi}|}{|\boldsymbol{n}'-\boldsymbol{n}|},\end{aligned}$$

where, in spherical polar coordinates, $m_1=\cos\phi\sin\theta$, $m_2=\sin\phi\sin\theta$, $m_3=\cos\theta$, $\theta\in(0,\pi)$. This shows (3.11) and completes the proof. $\square$

Definition 3.4. *For $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2_0$ and smooth $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$, let*

$$Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') = \{X \in D_1 : \mathbf{n}(X) \neq \boldsymbol{n}'\} = \{X \in D_1 : (\mathbf{n}(X), \boldsymbol{n}') \in \Sigma\}. \qquad \square$$

Lemma 3.5. *For fixed $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2_0$ and smooth $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$, the functions $\omega_i(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$, $i = 1, 2$, in (3.10) are infinitely differentiable at $X \in Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$ where*

$$\Phi = \partial_2\omega_1(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') - \partial_1\omega_2(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') \text{ and } |\omega_i(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')(X)| \leq \frac{2|\partial_i\mathbf{n}(X)|}{|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'|}. \qquad (3.13)$$

Proof. For fixed $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2_0$, the mapping $X \to \mathbf{n}(X)$ takes $Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$ to $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\boldsymbol{n}'\}$ and since $(\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\boldsymbol{n}'\}) \times \{\boldsymbol{n}'\} \subset \Sigma$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $\Gamma(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}', \boldsymbol{\xi})$ is real analytic with respect to $(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{\xi})$ at $(\mathbf{n}(X), \boldsymbol{\xi}_0)$, when $X \in Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is arbitrary. Therefore, since $\mathbf{n}$ is infinitely differentiable on D_1, it follows from (3.10) that the functions $\omega_i(\cdot, \boldsymbol{n}')$, $i = 1, 2$, are infinitely differentiable on $Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$. Since, by (3.6), $\omega_i(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')(X) = W_i(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}'))(X)$, where $\mathbf{m}(X) = \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\mathbf{n}(X)$ in the definition of W_i, and $\mathbf{m}(X) = \pm\boldsymbol{k}$ if and only if $\mathbf{n}(X) = \pm\boldsymbol{n}'$m, because $\mathbf{U}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{k} = \boldsymbol{n}'$ by (3.8), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(X) &= \partial_2 W_1(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}'))(X) - \partial_1 W_2(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}'))(X) \text{ when } \mathbf{m}(X) \neq \pm\boldsymbol{k}, \\ &= \partial_2\omega_1(X, \,) - \partial_1\omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}), \quad \text{when } \mathbf{n}(X) \neq \pm\boldsymbol{n}'. \end{aligned} \qquad (3.14)$$

Thus the equality in (3.13) follows from (3.5) and (3.6) when $\mathbf{n}(X) \neq \pm\boldsymbol{n}'$ and, since $X \in Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$, it remains only to consider the case when $\mathbf{n}(X) = -\boldsymbol{n}'$.

Let L denote the level set $\{X \in D_1 : \mathbf{n}(X) = -\boldsymbol{n}'\}$, at every point of which $\omega_i(\cdot, \mathbf{n}')$, $i = 1, 2$, is infinitely differentiable because L is a compact subset of $Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$. Moreover, $\omega_i(\cdot, \mathbf{n}')$ is zero almost everywhere on L because $\partial_i\mathbf{n}$ is zero almost everywhere on L. Now recall that if a function is infinitely differentiable in a neighborhood of a set of positive measure and is zero on that set, then the derivative of the function is zero almost everywhere on the set. It follows that $\partial_j\omega_i(X, \mathbf{n}') = 0$, $i, j = 1, 2$, almost everywhere on L, and the same conclusion can be drawn for the function Φ.

Hence the equality (3.14) holds almost everywhere on L. When combined with the original version of (3.14) it follows that (3.14) holds almost everywhere on $Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$. Since both sides of this equality are smooth on this set, (3.14) holds everywhere on $Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$. The inequality (3.13) now follows from (3.11) and the proof is complete. $\square$

Lemma 3.5 concerns smoothness of ω_i at $X \in Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$ for fixed $\boldsymbol{n}'$. The next lemma deals with their joint smoothness with respect to X and $\boldsymbol{n}'$.

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is smooth, that $K \subset \mathbb{S}^2_0$ and $E \subset \Sigma$ are compact, and that $G \subset D_1$ is such that*

$$(\mathbf{n}(X), \boldsymbol{n}') \in E \text{ for all } X \in G \text{ and } \boldsymbol{n}' \in K.$$

Then there is a neighborhood O_K of K such that the functions ω_i, $i = 1, 2$, are infinitely differentiable with respect to $(X, \boldsymbol{n}')$ on $G \times O_K$.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{n}$ is smooth on D_1, which is compact, $|\partial_i \mathbf{n}| \le b$ on D_1 for some $b < \infty$. Let $B = \{\boldsymbol{\xi} : |\boldsymbol{\xi}| \le b,\, \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$ and $V = E \times B$. Since V is compact in $\Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^3$, by Lemma 3.3, the function Γ is real-analytic on a neighborhood O_V of V. Moreover, the mapping

$$(X, \boldsymbol{n}') \to (\mathbf{n}(X), \boldsymbol{n}', \partial_i \mathbf{n}(X))$$

is infinitely differentiable on $D_1 \times \mathbb{S}^2_0$ and maps $G \times K$ into V. The smoothness of ω_i on $G \times O_K$ follows from (3.10). □

3.3 Proof of Theorem 2.2

As noted at the beginning of Section 3, it suffices to consider smooth maps $X \mapsto \mathbf{n}(X)$ which takes the disc D_1 into the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ and, by hypothesis (2.5), satisfy meas $\big(\mathbf{n}(D_1)\big) < 4\pi - \delta$ (see (2.4)).

Let $A = \mathbf{n}(D_1) \cup \{\pm \boldsymbol{k}\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$. Then meas $(\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus A) > \delta$, since meas $(\mathbb{S}^2) = 4\pi$ and meas $(A) < 4\pi - \delta$. Hence there is a compact $K \subset \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus A$ with meas $(K) \ge \delta$. Since D_1 is compact and $\mathbf{n}$ is continuous on D_1, $\mathbf{n}(D_1)$ is compact and, for some $\sigma > 0$ independent of $\boldsymbol{n}' \in K$ and of $X \in D_1$,

$$|\boldsymbol{n}' \pm \boldsymbol{k}| > \sigma \text{ and } |\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'| > \sigma \text{ for all } X \in D_1 \text{ and } \boldsymbol{n}' \in K,$$

since (see Definition 3.4), $Z(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') = D_1$ for all $\boldsymbol{n}' \in K$. From Lemma 3.6 with $G = D_1$ and $E = D_1 \times K$, it follows that there is a neighborhood O_K of K such that $\omega_i(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$, $i = 1, 2$, are infinitely differentiable on $D_1 \times O_K$. Therefore by Lemma 3.5

$$\Phi(X) = \partial_2 \omega_1(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')(X) - \partial_1 \omega_2(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')(X),\ X \in D_1,\ \boldsymbol{n}' \in K, \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$|\omega_i(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')(X)| \le \frac{2}{|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'|} |\partial_i \mathbf{n}(X)|,\ X \in D_1,\ \boldsymbol{n}' \in K,$$

where $|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'| \ge \sigma > 0$, $(X, \boldsymbol{n}') \in D_1 \times K$. Now for $X \in D_1$ let

$$\Omega_i(X) = \frac{1}{\text{meas}\,(K)} \int_K \omega_i(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')\, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'},$$

where the integration over K is with respect to the measure on $\mathbb{S}^2$. Then (3.13) yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\Omega_i(X)| &\le \frac{2}{\text{meas}\,(K)} \left(\int_K \frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}}{|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'|} \right) |\partial_i \mathbf{n}(X)| \\ &\le \frac{2}{\text{meas}\,(K)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}}{|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'|} \right) |\partial_i \mathbf{n}(X)| \\ &= \frac{8\pi}{\text{meas}\,(K)} |\partial_i \mathbf{n}(X)| \le \frac{8\pi}{\delta}\, |\partial_i \mathbf{n}(X)|, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$\|\Omega_i\|_{L^2(D_1)} \le \frac{8\pi}{\delta}\, \|\partial_i \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)}.$$

Multiplying (3.15) by $\zeta \in C_0(D_1)$ and integrating with respect to $\boldsymbol{n}'$ over $K \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ yields (2.6). This completes the proof. □

3.4 Proof of Hélein's Conjecture, $n = 3$

In this section an improved version of Hélein's Conjecture for $n = 3$ (see page 6), with hypothesis (1.11) replaced by (1.18), is deduced from Theorem 2.2 using a continuation argument similar to that in [5].

Step 1. A Priori Bounds.
The first observation is similar to that of Section 1.1.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose, for smooth* $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$*, there exist an orthonormal frame* $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2)$ *were the* $\mathbf{e}_i$ *are smooth on* D_1 *and orthogonal to* $\mathbf{n}$*, and* $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{n})$ *has positive orientation. Suppose also that* f *is smooth and satisfy* (1.13) *and* (1.14)*. Then*

$$-\Delta f = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}) \text{ in } D_1, \quad f = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_1, \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 &= -\partial_2 f\, \mathbf{e}_2 - (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{n})\, \mathbf{n}, \qquad \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1 = \partial_1 f\, \mathbf{e}_2 - (\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{n})\, \mathbf{n}, \\ \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2 &= \partial_2 f\, \mathbf{e}_1 - (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{n})\, \mathbf{n}, \qquad \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 = -\partial_1 f\, \mathbf{e}_1 - (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{n})\, \mathbf{n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{n} = \partial_i (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{e}_j) = 0$ for all i, j, it follows that

$$\partial_i \mathbf{n} = -(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{e}_i)\mathbf{e}_i - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_i \mathbf{e}_j)\mathbf{e}_j,\ i \ne j,$$

and hence that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n} &= \big[(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2\big] \times \big[(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2\big] \\ &= \big((\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2) - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1)\big)\mathbf{n}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Moreover,

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2),$$

since

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 = (\partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2 + (\partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n},\ \ \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 = (\partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n},$$

and similarly

$$\partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2 = (\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1)(\mathbf{n} \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2).$$

Substituting these observations into (3.18) gives

$$(\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2 - \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1,$$

and (3.16) follows from (1.14). Next,

$$\partial_j \mathbf{e}_1 = (\partial_j \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)\mathbf{e}_2 + (\partial_j \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}, \qquad \partial_j \mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 = -\mathbf{e}_1 \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{e}_2,$$
$$\partial_j \mathbf{e}_2 = (\partial_j \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)\mathbf{e}_1 + (\partial_j \mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}, \qquad \partial_j \mathbf{e}_i \cdot \mathbf{n} \ = -\mathbf{e}_i \cdot \partial_j \mathbf{n},$$

and (1.13) imply (3.17). □

Lemma 3.8. *For a smooth $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ which satisfies (2.5), let $(\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{n})$ be an orthonormal moving frame with positive orientation which, together with a smooth function $f : D_1 \to \mathbb{R}$, satisfies (1.13) and (1.14). Then*

$$\|\mathbf{e}_i\|_{C^k(D_1)} + \|f\|_{C^k(D_1)} \le C(k, \mathbf{n}), \quad k \ge 2, \tag{3.19}$$
$$\|\nabla \mathbf{e}_i\|_{L^2(D_1)} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^2(D_1)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(D_1)} \le c(\delta). \tag{3.20}$$

Here $C(k, \mathbf{n})$ depends only on k and $\mathbf{n}$, and $c(\delta)$ only on δ in (2.5).

Proof. By (3.16) of the preceding Lemma,

$$-\Delta f = (\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{n} =: \Phi \text{ in } D_1, \quad f = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_1, \tag{3.21}$$

and it follows from standard estimates of solutions of the Dirichlet problem for Poisson's equation that

$$\|f\|_{C^k(D_1)} \le c(k, \|\mathbf{n}\|_{C^k(D_1)}). \tag{3.22}$$

In particular,

$$\|\nabla f\|_{C^{k-1}(D_1)} \le c(k, \|\mathbf{n}\|_{C^k(D_1)}),$$

from which it follows by (3.17) and induction that

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{e}_i\|_{C^{k-1}(D_1)} \le c(k, \|\mathbf{n}\|_{C^k(D_1)}),$$

which with (3.22) implies (3.19). By (2.5), $\mathbf{n}$ satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, and hence by (2.7),

$$\|\Phi\|_{W_0^{-1,2}(D_1)} \le \frac{c}{\delta} \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)} \le \frac{\sqrt{8\pi} c}{\delta}.$$

This and (3.21) yields that $\|\nabla f\|_{L^2(D_1)} \le c(\delta)$ and, when combined with (3.17),

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{e}_j\|_{L^2(D_1)} \le c(\delta).$$

It now follows (3.21) and the Went-Topping inequality, [19, Thm. 1] and [23], that $\|f\|_{L^\infty(D_1)} \le c(\delta)$. Hence (3.20) holds and the proof is complete. □

Step 2. A Parameterized Family of Normal Vector Fields.
For any smooth $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfying (2.5), consider the family of vector fields

$$\mathbf{n}_\lambda(X) = \mathbf{n}(\lambda X), \quad \lambda \in [0, 1], \quad X \in D_1.$$

Note that $\mathbf{n}_0$ is a constant vector field and that, for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $\mathbf{n}_\lambda$ satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{n}_\lambda\|_{C^k(D_1)} \le \|\mathbf{n}\|_{C^k(D_1)}, \ k \ge 0,$$
$$\int_{D_1} |\partial_1 \mathbf{n}_\lambda \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}_\lambda| dX = \int_{D_\lambda} |\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}| \, dX \le 4\pi - \delta.$$

Corollary 3.9. *Let $f_\lambda, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda,i} \in C^\infty(D_1)$ be a solutions to equations* (1.13)-(1.14) *with* $\mathbf{n}$ *replaced by* $\mathbf{n}_\lambda$. *Then*

$$\|\mathbf{e}_{\lambda,i}\|_{C^k(D_1)} + \|f_\lambda\|_{C^k(D_1)} \le C(k,\mathbf{n}), \quad k \ge 2,$$
$$\|\nabla \mathbf{e}_{\lambda,i}\|_{L^2(D_1)} + \|\nabla f_\lambda\|_{L^2(D_1)} + \|f_\lambda\|_{L^\infty(D_1)} \le c(\delta),$$

where the constant $C(k,\mathbf{n})$ depends on k and $\mathbf{n}$, but is independent of λ, and $c(\delta)$ depends only on δ in (2.5).

Proof. The proof is the same as for $\lambda = 1$ in Lemma 3.8. □

Step 3. Parameter Continuation.
Denote by $\mathcal{L}$ the set of $\lambda \in [0,1]$ for which the system (1.13),(1.14) has an infinitely differentiable solution $\{f_\lambda, \mathbf{e}_{\lambda,i} : i = 1,2\}$, and note that $0 \in \mathcal{L}$. Indeed, since $\mathbf{n}_0 = $ const., the function $f_0 = 0$ and an arbitrary pair of constant vectors $\mathbf{e}_{0,i}$ with

$$\mathbf{e}_{0,i} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{0,j} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \mathbf{e}_{0,i} \cdot \mathbf{n}_0 = 0, \quad i = 1,2,$$

satisfy (1.13) and (1.14) with $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_0$.

To show that $\mathcal{L}$ is closed let $\lambda_n \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\lambda_n \to \lambda$ as $n \to \infty$. Then by Corollary 3.9 there is a sequence $\{n_\ell\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that the solutions, $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda_{n_\ell},i}$, $i = 1,2$, and $f_{\lambda_{n_\ell}}$ to problem (1.13)-(1.14) with $\mathbf{n}$ replaced by $\mathbf{n}_{\lambda_{n_\ell}}$, converge, in $C^k(D_1)$ for all k, to functions denoted by $\mathbf{e}_{\lambda,i}$ and f_λ. Obviously these functions are infinitely differentiable and satisfy equations (1.13)-(1.14) with $\mathbf{n}$ replaced by $\mathbf{n}_\lambda$. Hence $\mathcal{L}$ is closed in $[0,1]$.

Now, following [5], to show $\mathcal{L}$ is open let $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $I_0 \subset [0,1]$ be a segment with endpoints λ_0 and $\lambda_0 + t_0$, $0 < |t_0| < 1$. The goal is to prove that, for sufficiently small t_0 and all $t \in I_0$, equations (1.13) and (1.14) with $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}_{\lambda_0+t}$ have a smooth solution. To simplify notation, let $\mathbf{n}^0$ and $\mathbf{n}^t$ denote $\mathbf{n}_{\lambda_0}$ and $\mathbf{n}_{\lambda_0+t}$, and denote solutions of (1.13) and (1.14) with $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}^t$ by $\mathbf{e}_i^t$ and f^t .

Then, for $t \in I_0$ and $X \in D_1$, define a family of orthogonal projections $\mathbb{P}^t(X) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \{\mathbf{n}^t(X)\}^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ by

$$\mathbb{P}^t(X)\boldsymbol{\xi} = \boldsymbol{\xi} - (\mathbf{n}^t(X) \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi})\, \mathbf{n}^t(X) \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Since $\lambda_0 \in \mathcal{L}$, there exist C^∞ vector fields $\mathbf{e}_i^0$, $i = 1,2$, which satisfy (1.13) and (1.14) with $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}^0$ and, since $\mathbf{n}^0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbb{P}^t(X) - \mathbb{P}^0(X)\| \le 1/8 \text{ for } X \in D_1.$$

Then, for $t \in I_0$, let $\overline{\mathbf{e}}_i^{(t)}(X) = \mathbb{P}^t(X)\, \mathbf{e}_i^0(X)$, $i = 1,2$, and note, since $\mathbb{P}^0 \mathbf{e}_i^0 = \mathbf{e}_i^0$, that for $X \in D_1$, and $|t| \le |t_0|$,

$$3/4 \le |\overline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(t)}| \le 1, \quad |\overline{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(t)} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(t)}| \le 1/4.$$

Therefore, with their dependence on $t \in I_0$ suppressed for convenience of notation, vector fields $\mathbf{e}_i^*$, $i = 1, 2$, are well defined on D_1 by

$$\mathbf{e}_1^* = \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(t)}|}\,\overline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(t)}, \quad \mathbf{e}_2^* = \frac{1}{|\overline{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(t)} - (\overline{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(t)} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(t)})\overline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(t)}|}\,\big(\overline{\mathbf{e}}_2 - (\overline{\mathbf{e}}_2^{(t)} \cdot \overline{\mathbf{e}}_1)\overline{\mathbf{e}}_1^{(t)}\big).$$

Since $\mathbf{n}^t \in C^\infty(D_1)$, it follows that $\mathbf{e}_i^* \in C^\infty(D_1)$, and obviously the orthonormal triplets $(\mathbf{e}_1^*, \mathbf{e}_2^*, \mathbf{n}^t)$ have positive orientation, $t \in I_0$. Now, for any smooth function $\theta : D_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ (which is to be determined), define $\mathbf{e}_i^t$, $i = 1, 2$, by

$$\mathbf{e}_1^t + i\mathbf{e}_2^t = e^{i\theta}(\mathbf{e}_1^* + i\mathbf{e}_2^*). \tag{3.23}$$

Let $\mathbf{e}_i^* = (e_{i1}^*, e_{i2}^*)$. Then, since $\mathbf{e}_i^* \cdot \mathbf{e}_j^* = \delta_{ij}$, $i, j = 1, 2$ and by(3.23),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_1^t &= (e_{11}^* \cos\theta - e_{21}^* \sin\theta,\ e_{12}^* \cos\theta - e_{22}^* \sin\theta), \\ \mathbf{e}_2^t &= (e_{11}^* \sin\theta + e_{21}^* \cos\theta,\ e_{12}^* \sin\theta + e_{22}^* \cos\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

$(\mathbf{e}_1^t, \mathbf{e}_2^t, \mathbf{n}^t)$ is an orthonormal triple with positive orientation. The aim now is, for $t \in I_0$, to find a solution $\mathbf{e}_i^t$ of (1.13) and (1.14) in this form.

To do so, define vector fields on D_1 by

$$\mathbf{e}_1^*\, d\mathbf{e}_2^* := (\mathbf{e}_1^* \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2^*,\ \mathbf{e}_1^* \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2^*), \quad \mathbf{e}_1^t\, d\mathbf{e}_2^t := (\mathbf{e}_1^t \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2^t,\ \mathbf{e}_1^t \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2^t), \tag{3.25}$$

and note from (3.24), since $|\mathbf{e}_1^*(X)| = |\mathbf{e}_2^*(X)| = 1$, $\mathbf{e}_1^*(X) \cdot \mathbf{e}_2^*(X) = 0$ and the $\mathbf{e}_i^*$s are infinitely differentiable on D_1, that

$$\mathbf{e}_1^t\, d\mathbf{e}_2^t = \nabla\theta + \mathbf{e}_1^*\, d\mathbf{e}_2^*. \tag{3.26}$$

Next, as in [5] note that the variational problem

$$\inf_{\theta \in \mathcal{V}} \int_{D_1} \left|\nabla\theta + \mathbf{e}_1^*\, d\mathbf{e}_2^*\right|^2 dX, \quad \mathcal{V} = \Big\{\theta \in W^{1,2}(D_1) : \int_{D_1} \theta\, dX = 0\Big\},$$

has a unique, infinitely differentiable minimiser $\vartheta \in \mathcal{V}$ which satisfies

$$\operatorname{dvi}\big(\nabla\vartheta + \mathbf{e}_1^*\, d\mathbf{e}_2^*\big) = 0 \text{ in } D_1, \quad \boldsymbol{\nu} \cdot (\nabla\vartheta + \mathbf{e}_1^*\, d\mathbf{e}_2^*) = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_1,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nu}$ is the unit normal to ∂D_1. By (3.26) this can be re-written

$$\operatorname{div} \mathbf{e}_1^t\, d\mathbf{e}_2^t = 0 \text{ in } D_1, \quad \mathbf{e}_1^t\, d\mathbf{e}_2^t \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} = 0 \text{ on } \partial D_1. \tag{3.27}$$

For $t \in I_0$, let $\mathbf{e}_1^t\, d\mathbf{e}_2^t(X) = (h_1^t(X), h_2^t(X))$, $X \in D_1$, and put

$$f^t(X) = c - \int_0^1 X \cdot \big(h_2^t(sX), -h_1^t(sX)\big) ds, \text{ where } c \text{ is a constant.}$$

Then $f^t \in C^\infty(D_1)$ and, since $\operatorname{dvi}(h_1^t, h_2^t) = 0$ by (3.27),

$$(h_1^t, h_2^t) = (\partial_2 f^t, -\partial_1 f^t), \text{ or, equivalently, } \mathbf{e}_1^t\, d\mathbf{e}_2^t(X) = \nabla^\perp f^t, \quad X \in D_1.$$

Hence, by (3.25), f^t and $\mathbf{e}_i^t$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfy (1.13). Also, by the second part of (3.27), f^t is constant on ∂D_1, and the constant c can be chosen so that $f^t = 0$ on ∂D_1. Therefore (1.14) follows because

$$\partial_1(\mathbf{e}_1^t \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2^t) - \partial_2(\mathbf{e}_1^t \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2^t) = \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_1^t \cdot \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_2^t - \partial_2 \mathbf{e}_1^t \cdot \partial_1 \mathbf{e}_2^t.$$

Since $(\mathbf{e}_1^t, \mathbf{e}_2^t)$ and f^t satisfy equations (1.13) and (1.14) with $\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n}^t$ for all $|t| \le |t_0|$, $\mathcal{L}$ is open in $[0, 1]$. Since $\mathcal{L} \neq \emptyset$ is also closed and $[0, 1]$ is connected, $\mathcal{L} = [0, 1]$. When $t = 1$ this shows $\mathbf{e}_1^1, \mathbf{e}_2^1, f^1$ satisfy (1.13) (1.14), and (1.15) holds by Lemma 3.8. Thus Hélein's Conjecture for $n = 3$ with hypothesis (2.5) is established, and the proof is complete. □

4 Beyond 8π

By developing the work of previous sections and using some classical integral geometry, the aim is to prove Theorem 2.4 for $\mathbf{n} \in W^{1,2}(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$.

4.1 Preliminaries on Geometric Integration

Let card(E) be the number of points in a finite set E and card(E) $= \infty$ otherwise. Then for $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, card(E) is finite when its 0-Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^0(E)$ is finite, and if E consists of a finite number of points $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, every function g defined on E is $\mathcal{H}^0$-measurable.

For fixed $\mathbf{n} \in C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2$, let

$$Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') = \{X \in D_1 : \mathbf{n}(X) = \boldsymbol{n}'\}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *For $\mathbf{n} \in C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and $g \in L^1(D_1)$,*

$$\int_{D_1} g(X)|\Phi(X)|\, dX = \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Big\{ \sum_{\{A \in Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')\}} g(A) \Big\}\, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\Phi = \mathbf{n} \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{n} \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n})$.

Proof. In Federer's [4, Thm. 3.2.22] with $\nu = 3$ and $n = m = \mu = 2$, let

$$W = D^1, \quad Z = \mathbb{S}^2, \quad w = X, \quad z = \boldsymbol{n}' \text{ and } f = \mathbf{n} \in C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2).$$

Then ap $J_\mu Df(w) = |\Phi|$, 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure coincide with Lebesgue measure on D_1 and $\mathbb{S}^2$, and with $\mathcal{H}^0$ denoting 0-dimensional Hausdorff measure,

$$\int_E g\, d\mathcal{H}^0 = \sum_{A \in E} g(A) \text{ when } \mathcal{H}^0(E) < \infty.$$

Thus (4.1) is the statement of [4, Thm. 3.2.22 (3)] in this context. □

Corollary 4.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, for all Borel sets $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}} g(X)|\Phi(X)|\,dX = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \Big\{ \sum_{A \in Y(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')} g(A) \Big\}\, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}, \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}),$$

Proof. If $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\chi_{\mathcal{A}}$ are the characteristic functions of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{A}$, $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{n}(X))$ and, in particular, $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(X) = \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{n}')$ for all $X \in Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$. It follows from (4.1) with g replaced by $\chi_{\mathcal{F}}\, g$ that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathcal{F}} g(X)|\Phi(X)|\,dX &= \int_{D_1} g(X)\chi_{\mathcal{F}}(X)|\Phi(X)|\,dX \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \Big\{ \sum_{A \in Y(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')} \chi_{\mathcal{A}}(\boldsymbol{n}')g(A) \Big\}\, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} \Big\{ \sum_{A \in Y(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')} g(A) \Big\}\, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'},\end{aligned}$$

which proves the assertion. □

4.2 Regular Points and their Properties

The following lemma shows that, for fixed $\mathbf{n} \in C^\infty(D_1, \mathbb{S}^2)$, the set $Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$ is well behaved for most $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2$. Recall that D_1° is the interior of D_1.

Lemma 4.3. *For $N > 1$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a compact set $\mathcal{Q}_N \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ such that:*

(*a*) *$\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ implies that $Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') \subset D_1^\circ$,* $\mathrm{card}(\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')) \le \mathrm{N}$,

$$\int_{D_1} \frac{dX}{|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'|} \le N \text{ and } |\boldsymbol{n}' \pm \boldsymbol{k}| \ge \frac{1}{N}. \tag{4.2}$$

(*b*) *Each $A \in Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$, $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$, is non-degenerate, meaning that $\Phi(A) \neq 0$, equivalently $\det D\mathbf{n}(A) \neq 0$, or $\partial_i \mathbf{n}(A), i = 1, 2$, are linearly independent.*

(*c*) *For an absolute constant c,*

$$\mathrm{meas}\ (\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \mathcal{Q}_N) \le \frac{c}{N}\Big(\int_{D_1} |\nabla \mathbf{n}|^2\, dX + 1 \Big).$$

Proof. The set $R_0 = \{\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2 : Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') \cap \partial D_1 \neq \emptyset\} \subset \mathbf{n}(\partial D_1)$ has zero measure in $\mathbb{S}^2$, because ∂D_1 has zero measure in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $\mathbf{n} : D_1 \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is smooth. From (4.1) with $g \equiv 1$ and (2.1),

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \mathrm{card}(\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}'))\, \mathrm{dS}_{\boldsymbol{n}'} = \int_{\mathrm{D}_1} |\Phi(\mathrm{X})|\, \mathrm{dX} \le \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathrm{D}_1} |\nabla \mathbf{n}(\mathrm{X})|^2\, \mathrm{dX} = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{n}),$$

whence

$$\mathrm{meas}\,(R_1) \le \frac{E(\mathbf{n})}{2N} \text{ where } R_1 = \{\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2 : \mathrm{card}\,(\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')) > \mathrm{N}\}. \tag{4.3}$$

Since, by Fubini's theorem and (3.4),

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\Big\{\int_{D_1}\frac{dX}{|\mathbf{n}(X)-\boldsymbol{n}'|}\Big\}dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}=\int_{D_1}\Big\{\int_{\mathbb{S}^2}\frac{1}{|\mathbf{n}(X)-\boldsymbol{n}'|}dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}\Big\}dX\le 4\pi^2,$$

it follows that

$$\text{meas}\,(R_2)\le\frac{4\pi^2}{N}\ \text{where}\ R_2=\Big\{\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathbb{S}^2:\int_{D_1}\frac{dX}{|\mathbf{n}(X)-\boldsymbol{n}'|}>N\Big\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Note also from (3.4) that

$$\text{meas}\,(R_3^{\pm})\le\frac{4\pi}{N},\ \text{where}\ R_3^{\pm}=\{\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathbb{S}^2:|\boldsymbol{n}'\pm k|<1/N\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Finally it follows from (4.3)-(4.5) that

$$\text{meas}\,(R^*)\le\frac{E(\mathbf{n})}{2N}+\frac{4\pi^2}{N}+\frac{8\pi}{N}\ \text{where}\ R^*=R_0\cup R_1\cup R_2\cup R_3^+\cup R_3^-.$$

Hence there is an open set $O_N\supset R^*$ such that, for an absolute constant c,

$$\text{meas}\,(O_N)\le\frac{c}{N}\big(E(\mathbf{n})+1\big),$$

and $\mathcal{Q}_N=\mathbb{S}^2\setminus O_N$ satisfies parts (a) and (c).

To prove (b), suppose that some $A\in Y(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')$ is degenerate, i.e., $\mathbf{n}(A)=\boldsymbol{n}'$ and $\partial_i\mathbf{n}(A)$, $i=1,2$, are linearly dependent. Since the mapping $\mathbf{n}(X)$ is infinitely differentiable,

$$\partial_1\mathbf{n}(A)=\alpha\partial_2\mathbf{n}(A)\ \text{or}\ \partial_2\mathbf{n}(A)=\alpha\partial_1\mathbf{n}(A)$$

for some constant α. In the first case (the second is similar) for $X\in D_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathbf{n}(X)-\boldsymbol{n}'|&=\big|\big(\alpha(X_1-A_1)+(X_2-A_2)\big)\partial_2\mathbf{n}(X)+O(|X-A|^2)\big|\\&\le c|\alpha(X_1-A_1)+(X_2-A_2)|+c|X-A|^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $c>0$ is some constant. Hence

$$\int_{D_1}\frac{dX}{|\mathbf{n}(X)-\boldsymbol{n}'|}=\infty,$$

which contradict (4.2). This completes the proof of Lemma 4.3. □

If $Y(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\neq\emptyset$ for $\mathbf{n}\in C^\infty(D_1,\mathbb{S}^2)$ and $\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathcal{Q}_N$, let

$$Y(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')=\{A_1(\boldsymbol{n}'),\cdots,A_n(\boldsymbol{n}')\},\ A_i(\boldsymbol{n}')\neq A_j(\boldsymbol{n}'),\ i\neq j,\ \text{where}\ n\le N.$$

Lemma 4.4. *There exists $r_N>0$ such that, for $\boldsymbol{n}'\in\mathcal{Q}_N$,*

$$|A_i(\boldsymbol{n}')|<1-r_N\ \textit{and}\ |A_i(\boldsymbol{n}')-A_j(\boldsymbol{n}')|>r_N,\quad 1\le i<j\le n. \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. Suppose no $r_N > 0$ satisfies the first inequality (4.6). Then, since $\mathcal{Q}_N$ and D_1 are compact, there exist $i \in \{1, \cdots, N\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{n}'_k\} \subset \mathcal{Q}_N$ with $\boldsymbol{n}'_k \to \boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ and $A_i(\boldsymbol{n}'_k) \to A$ where $|A| = 1$. Since $\mathbf{n}(A_i(\boldsymbol{n}'_k)) = \boldsymbol{n}'_k$, it follows that $\mathbf{n}(A) = \boldsymbol{n}'$. But $A \in \partial D_1$ and $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ is false, by Lemma 4.3.

If the second inequality (4.6) is false, there exist $\{i_k\}, \{j_k\}$, and $\{\boldsymbol{n}'_k\}$ such that

$$i_k \neq j_k, \quad \boldsymbol{n}'_k \in \mathcal{Q}_N \text{ and } A_{i_k}(\boldsymbol{n}'_k) - A_{j_k}(\boldsymbol{n}'_k) \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

Taking subsequence if necessary, assume $A_{i_k}(\boldsymbol{n}'_k) \to A_i$ and $\boldsymbol{n}'_k \to \boldsymbol{n}'$ as $k \to \infty$. Then $\mathbf{n}(A_i) = \boldsymbol{n}'$, since $\mathbf{n}$ is continuous, and $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$, since $\mathcal{Q}_N$ is compact.

Since the mapping $X \mapsto \mathbf{n}(X)$ is non-degenerate at $X = A_i$, the derivative $D\mathbf{n}(A_i) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to T_{\boldsymbol{n}'}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ has a bounded inverse with $\|D\mathbf{n}(A_i)^{-1}\| \neq 0$. Hence, since $\mathbf{n} : X \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is smooth, there exists $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\|D\mathbf{n}(X) - D\mathbf{n}(A_i)\| \leq \frac{1}{3}\|D\mathbf{n}(A_i)^{-1}\|^{-1} \text{ for } |X - A_i| \leq \rho. \tag{4.8}$$

So, for $X', X'' \in D_\rho(A_i)$, the disc of radius ρ about A_i,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{n}(X'') - \mathbf{n}(X') &= \int_0^1 D\mathbf{n}\big(X' + t(X'' - X')\big)(X'' - X')\, dt \\
&= D\mathbf{n}\big(A_i\big)(X'' - X') + \int_0^1 \big\{D\mathbf{n}\big(X' + t(X'' - X')\big) - D\mathbf{n}\big(A_i\big)\big\}(X'' - X')\, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $X' + t(X'' - X') \in D_\rho(A_i)$ it follows from (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{n}(X'') - \mathbf{n}(X')| &\geq \frac{|X' - X''|}{\|D\mathbf{n}(A_i)^{-1}\|} \\
&\quad - \sup_{t\in[0,1]} \big\{\|D\mathbf{n}(X' + t(X'' - X')) - D\mathbf{n}(A_i)\big\}\| \, |X'' - X'| \\
&\geq \frac{2}{3\|D\mathbf{n}(A_i)^{-1}\|}|X' - X''| = c|X'' - X'|, \quad c > 0, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore since $\mathbf{n}(A_{i_k}) = \mathbf{n}(A_{j_k}) = \boldsymbol{n}'_k$ for all k and, by (4.7), for k sufficiently large $A_{i_k}(\boldsymbol{n}'), A_{j_k}(\boldsymbol{n}') \in D_\rho(A_i)$,

$$|A_{i_k}(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_{j_k}(\boldsymbol{n}')| \leq \frac{1}{c}|\boldsymbol{n}'_k - \boldsymbol{n}'_k| = 0 \text{ for all sufficiently large } k.$$

But $A_{i_k}(\boldsymbol{n}') \neq A_{j_k}(\boldsymbol{n}')$ for all k. This contradiction completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.5. *For $\boldsymbol{n}'_0 \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ and $\delta > 0$, let $\mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}'_0) = \{\boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbb{S}^2 : |\boldsymbol{n}'_0 - \boldsymbol{n}'| < \delta\}$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\operatorname{card}(\Upsilon(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')) = \operatorname{card}(\Upsilon(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}'_0))$ for all $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{W}_\delta(\mathbf{n}'_0)$. Moreover, $Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$ can be labelled $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')$, $1 \leq \ell \leq n \leq N$, where*

$$A_\ell \in C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}'_0)), \quad A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') \to A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'_0) \text{ as } \boldsymbol{n}' \to \boldsymbol{n}'_0.$$

Proof. Let $n = \text{card}\,(\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}_0'))$ where $Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}_0') = \{A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0') : 1 \le i \le n\}$, and choose an arbitrary $\ell \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. Since the mapping $X \mapsto \mathbf{n}(X)$ is non-degenerate at $X = A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$, its derivative $D\mathbf{n}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to T_{\boldsymbol{n}_0'}(\mathbb{S}^2)$, has bounded inverse. Hence, by the inverse function theorem, there exist $\delta_\ell > 0$ and $\rho_\ell \in (0, r_N)$ (see Lemma 4.4) such that the equation $\mathbf{n}(X) = \boldsymbol{n}'$ has a unique solution $X = A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')$ in the disc $D_{\rho_\ell}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))$ for every $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{W}_{\delta_\ell}(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$. Moreover, the mappings $\boldsymbol{n}' \mapsto A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')$ are infinitely differentiable on $\mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$, where $\delta = \min\{\delta_\ell : 1 \le \ell \le n\}$, and $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') \to A_\ell$ as $\boldsymbol{n}' \to \boldsymbol{n}_0'$ for all $1 \le \ell \le n$.

It remains to show that, for sufficiently small δ, all the solutions $X \in D_1$ of the equation $\mathbf{n}(X) = \boldsymbol{n}'$, $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$, are given by $\{A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'),\, 1 \le i \le n\}$. If no such $\delta > 0$ exists, then there are sequences

$$\delta_k \to 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \boldsymbol{n}_k' \to \boldsymbol{n}_0' \text{ in } \mathbb{S}^2, \quad \mathbf{n}(B_k) = \boldsymbol{n}_k',\ B_k \in D_1,$$

such that for all ℓ,

$$|B_k - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_k')| > \rho_\ell \ \text{ where } \ A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_k') \to A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0') \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Since $\rho_\ell > 0$ is independent of k, after passing to a subsequence if necessary, $B_k \to B$ as $k \to \infty$ and hence $\mathbf{n}(B) = \boldsymbol{n}_0'$ and $|B - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')| \ge \rho_\ell$, $1 \le \ell \le n_0$. This is false since $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$, $1 \le \ell \le n_0$, are the only solutions of $\mathbf{n}(X) = \boldsymbol{n}_0'$. □

When $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$, the next two lemmas concern the regularity of the functions $\omega_i(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$, $i = 1, 2$, which were defined in (3.10) by

$$\frac{m_3(X) + 1}{m_1(X)^2 + m_2(X)^2}\Big(m_1(X)(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\partial_i\mathbf{n}(X))_2 \ - \ m_2(X)(\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\partial_i\mathbf{n}(X))_1\Big).$$

Here $\mathbf{m}(X) = (m_1(X), m_2(X), m_3(X)) = \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\,\mathbf{n}(X)$, and the rotation matrix $\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')$ is given by (3.8). The notation $\mathcal{Q}_N \subset \mathbb{S}^2$, $r_N > 0$ and $Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}_0')$ are as in Lemma 4.4 and 4.5.

Lemma 4.6. *For $\boldsymbol{n}_0' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$, the functions ω_i, $i = 1, 2$, are infinitely differentiable with respect to $(X, \boldsymbol{n}')$ on $\big(D_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\ell=1}^n D_{r_N/3}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))\big) \times \mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$, for some $\delta > 0$.*

Proof. Consider the compact sets

$$F = D_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\ell=1}^n D^\circ_{r_N/3}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')) \text{ and } E = \mathbf{n}(F) \times \{\boldsymbol{n}_0'\},$$

where $D^\circ_r(A)$ is the open disc with centre $A \in D_1$ and radius r. Since $\boldsymbol{n}_0' \in \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{\pm\boldsymbol{k}\}$ and $\mathbf{n}(X) \ne \boldsymbol{n}_0'$, $X \in F$, it follows that $E \subset \Sigma$ (Definition 3.2) is compact. An application of Lemma 3.6 with $K = \{\boldsymbol{n}_0'\}$ completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.7. *For smooth $\mathbf{n}$ and any $\boldsymbol{n}_0' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$, the functions $\omega_i(\cdot, \boldsymbol{n}_0')$, $i = 1, 2$, are infinitely differentiable on $D_1 \setminus \bigcup_{\ell=1}^n \{A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')\}$, and*

$$\Phi(X) = \partial_2\,\omega_1(X, \boldsymbol{n}_0')\,\big) - \partial_1\omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}_0'), \quad |\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}_0')| \le c\,\frac{|\partial_i\mathbf{n}(X)|}{|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}_0'|} \qquad (4.9)$$

for an absolute constant c. In particular, $\omega_i(\cdot, \boldsymbol{n}_0')$ is integrable on D_1 and

$$\int_{D_1} |\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}_0')|\, dX \le c\, N \|\mathbf{n}\|_{C^1(D_1)} \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. Lemma 3.5 yields (4.9), and (4.10) follows from (4.2) and (4.9). □

4.3 More on ω_i, $i = 1, 2$

For fixed N let $r_N > 0$ be given by Lemma 4.4 and, for $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$, let $Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}_0') = \{A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0') : 1 \le \ell \le n\}\}$. Then for $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(D_1)$ it follows from Lemma 4.7 and the divergence theorem that, for every $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ and $r \in (0, r_N)$,

$$\int_{D_1 \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^n D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))} \Phi(X)\zeta(X)\, dX = I(r, \boldsymbol{n}') + J(r, \boldsymbol{n}'), \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$I(r, \boldsymbol{n}') = \int_{D_1 \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^n D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))} \big(\partial_1\zeta\, \omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}') - \partial_2\zeta\, \omega_1(X, \boldsymbol{n}') \big)\, dX,$$
$$J(r, \boldsymbol{n}') = \sum_\ell^n \int_{\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))} \zeta \big(\omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_1 - \omega_1(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_2 \big)\, ds,$$

and (ν_1, ν_2) is the outward normal to $\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))$.

The proof of Theorem 2.4 depends on the following technical lemma and the calculation in Lemma 4.9 which follows it.

Lemma 4.8. *$I(r, \boldsymbol{n}')$ and $J(r, \boldsymbol{n}')$ are continuous with respect to $\boldsymbol{n}'$ on $\mathcal{Q}_N$.*

Proof. Fix $\boldsymbol{n}_0' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$. Then ω_i, $i = 1, 2$, are infinitely differentiable on $\big(D_1 \setminus \bigcup_\ell^n D_{r/3}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))\big) \times \mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$, by Lemma 4.6. Moreover, by Lemma 4.5, for some $\delta > 0$ the function $A_\ell \in C^\infty(\mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))$ and $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') \to A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$ as $\boldsymbol{n}' \to \boldsymbol{n}_0'$ in $\mathcal{Q}_N$. Therefore, for $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, $|A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')| < r/3$ for all $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$. It follows that $\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')) \subset D_1 \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^n D_{r/3}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))$ for all such $\boldsymbol{n}'$. Changing coordinates $X \mapsto X + A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$ yields, for all $1 \le i \le n$ and $j = 1, 2$, that

$$\int_{\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))} \zeta(X)\, \omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_j\, ds$$
$$= \int_{\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))} \zeta(X + A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))\, \omega_i(X + A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'), \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_j ds.$$

Since $X + A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0') \in D_1 \setminus \bigcup_\ell D_{r/3}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))\big)$, the functions $(X, \boldsymbol{n}') \mapsto$

$\omega_i(X + A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'), \boldsymbol{n}')$ are continuous on $\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')) \times \mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$, whence

$$\int_{\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))} \zeta(X + A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0')\, \omega_i(X + A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') - A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'), \boldsymbol{n}'))\nu_j ds \\ \to \int_{\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))} \zeta(X)\, \omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}_0')\nu_j \, ds \text{ as } \boldsymbol{n}' \to \boldsymbol{n}_0'.$$

Therefore $\boldsymbol{n}' \mapsto J(r, \boldsymbol{n}')$ is continuous at every $\boldsymbol{n}_0' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$.

Next set $G(r, \boldsymbol{n}') = D_1 \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^n D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))$. Then

$$|I(r, \boldsymbol{n}') - I(r, \boldsymbol{n}_0')| \le c \int_{G(r,\boldsymbol{n}') \cap G(r,\boldsymbol{n}_0')} |\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}') - \omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}_0')|\, dX \\ + c \int_{G(r,\boldsymbol{n}') \setminus G(r,\boldsymbol{n}_0')} |\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}_0')|\, dX + c \int_{G(r,\boldsymbol{n}_0') \setminus \mathcal{G}(r,\boldsymbol{n}')} |\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}')|\, dX.$$

Since, for $|\boldsymbol{n}' - \boldsymbol{n}_0'| \ge r/3$, the closure of $G(r, \boldsymbol{n}') \cup G(r, \boldsymbol{n}_0')$ is a compact subset of $D_1 \setminus \cup_{\ell=1}^n D_{r/3}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}_0'))$, the functions $\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}')$ are uniformly continuous and uniformly bounded on $G(r, \boldsymbol{n}') \cup G(r, \boldsymbol{n}_0') \times \mathcal{W}_\delta(\boldsymbol{n}_0')$. Moreover

$$\text{meas } G(r, \boldsymbol{n}') \setminus G(r, \boldsymbol{n}_0') + \text{meas } G(r, \boldsymbol{n}_0') \setminus G(r, \boldsymbol{n}') \to 0$$

as $\boldsymbol{n}' \to \boldsymbol{n}_0'$. It follows that $I(r, \boldsymbol{n}')$ is continuous at every point $\boldsymbol{n}_0' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$. □

Lemma 4.9. *For $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ and $Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') = \{A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') : 1 \le i \le n\}$,*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} I(r, \boldsymbol{n}') = \int_{D_1} \big(\partial_1\zeta\omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}') - \partial_2\zeta\omega_1(X, \boldsymbol{n}') \big)\, dX, \tag{4.12}$$

$$\lim_{r\to 0} J(r, \boldsymbol{n}') = 4\pi \sum_{\ell=1}^n \zeta(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))\text{sign}\, \Phi(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')). \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. To prove (4.12) it is suffices to note from (4.2) and Lemma 4.7 that the functions $\omega_i(\cdot, \boldsymbol{n}')$, $i = 1, 2$, are integrable in D_1 for every $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$.

The proof of (4.13) is more complicated. Fix an arbitrary $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$ and recall from (3.10) that

$$\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}') = (m_3 + 1)\frac{m_1\partial_i m_2 - m_2\partial_i m_1}{m_1^2 + m_2^2},$$

where $\mathbf{m}(X) = \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\mathbf{n}(X)$ and the orthogonal matrix $\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')$ is defined by (3.8). Therefore $\mathbf{m}(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')) = \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{n}' = \boldsymbol{k} = (0, 0, 1)$ for $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') \in Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}')$.

Now fix $\ell \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and to simplify notation change the origin of coordinates in D_1 so that $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') = 0$, $\mathbf{m}(0) = \boldsymbol{k}$ and, as $X \to 0$,

$$\mathbf{m}(X) = \boldsymbol{k} + X_1\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + X_2\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + O(|X|^2), \text{ where } \boldsymbol{\mu}_i = \mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\partial_i\mathbf{n}(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \perp \boldsymbol{k}$, because $\mathbf{m}(X) \in \mathbb{S}^2$, it follows that

$$(m_1(X), m_2(X)) = X_1\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + X_2\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 + \mathbf{h}(X), \quad |\mathbf{h}(X)| \le c|X|^2. \tag{4.14}$$

With $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}') = 0$, it follows from Lemma 4.3 (b) that $\partial_i \mathbf{n}(0)$, and hence $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are linearly independent. Therefore

$$\sqrt{m_1^2 + m_2^2} \ge c_1|X| - c_2|X|^2 \ge cr \text{ on } \partial D_r(0),$$

for constants c_1, c_2, and $r > 0$ sufficiently small. It follows that

$$\frac{|m_1\partial_i m_2 - m_2\partial_i m_1|}{m_1^2 + m_2^2} \le \frac{c}{r} \text{ on } \partial D_r.$$

Since $(1 - m_3)(1 + m_3) = m_1^2 + m_2^2$ and $m_3(0) = 1$,

$$|(m_3 + 1) - 2| \le c(m_1^2 + m_2^2) \le cr^2 \text{ on } \partial D_r$$

for all sufficiently small r. Therefore

$$\int_{\partial D_r} \Big|\zeta(X)(m_3 + 1) - 2\zeta(0)\Big| \frac{|m_1\partial_i m_2 - m_2\partial_i m_1|}{m_1^2 + m_2^2} ds \le c \int_{\partial D_r} ds \le cr \to 0$$

as $r \to 0$. Hence, similarly, for $i, j = 1, 2$, $i \ne j$,

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \int_{\partial D_r} \zeta(X)\omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_j ds = 2\zeta(0) \lim_{r\to 0} \int_{\partial D_r} \nu_j \frac{m_1\partial_i m_2 - m_2\partial_i m_1}{m_1^2 + m_2^2} ds. \tag{4.15}$$

Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i \perp \boldsymbol{k}$ in $\mathbb{R}^3$, a linear function $\mathbf{p} : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined by putting

$$\mathbf{p}(X) = (p_1(X), p_2(X)) \text{ where } (p_1(X), p_2(X), 0) = X_1\boldsymbol{\mu}_1 + X_2\boldsymbol{\mu}_2.$$

It follows from (4.14) that

$$\big|(p_1(X), p_2(X)) - (m_1(X), m_2(X))\big| \le c|X|^2,$$

and hence

$$\Big|\frac{m_1\partial_i m_2 - m_2\partial_i m_1}{m_1^2 + m_2^2} - \frac{p_1\partial_i p_2 - p_2\partial_i p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\Big| \le c$$

on ∂D_r for r sufficiently small. It follows that

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \int_{\partial D_r} \nu_j \frac{m_1\partial_i m_2 - m_2\partial_i m_1}{m_1^2 + m_2^2} ds = \lim_{r\to 0} \int_{\partial D_r} \nu_j \frac{p_1\partial_i p_2 - p_2\partial_i p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2} ds. \tag{4.16}$$

Since the integral on the right is independent of r, it can be replaced by the same integral over ∂D_1, and so, combining (4.15) with (4.16), yields

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to 0} &\int_{\partial D_r} \zeta(X)(\omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_1 - \omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_1) ds \\ &= 2\zeta(0) \int_{\partial D_1} \Big\{ \frac{p_1\partial_2 p_2 - p_2\partial_2 p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\nu_1 - \frac{p_1\partial_1 p_2 - p_2\partial_1 p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\nu_2 \Big\} ds. \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

It remains to calculate the right side of (4.17). Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$, $i = 1, 2$, are linearly independent, $\mathbf{p}(\partial D_1)$ is a strictly convex curve with the origin as an interior point and a continuous function

$$\Theta(X) = \text{rag } (p_1(X) + ip_2(X))$$

can be defined on ∂D_1. Now define polar coordinates on ∂D_1 by $X_1 = \cos(\theta + \theta_0)$, $X_2 = \sin(\theta + \theta_0)$ (θ_0 to be chosen later) and let $\Psi(\theta) = \Theta(X(\theta))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{p_1\partial_2 p_2 - p_2\partial_2 p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\nu_1 - \frac{p_1\partial_1 p_2 - p_2\partial_1 p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\nu_2 \\ &\quad = \frac{p_1\partial_2 p_2 - p_2\partial_2 p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\cos(\theta + \theta_0) - \frac{p_1\partial_1 p_2 - p_2\partial_1 p_1}{p_1^2 + p_2^2}\sin(\theta + \theta_0) = \partial_\theta \Psi(\theta).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $ds = d\theta$, it follows from (4.17) that

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\int_{\partial D_r} \zeta(X)(\omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_1 - \omega_2(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\nu_1)ds = 2\zeta(0)\int_{[0,2\pi)} \partial_\theta \Psi(\theta)d\theta. \quad (4.18)$$

To study Ψ, for $i = 1, 2$ let

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i = (\mu_{1i}, \mu_{2i}) := \rho_i(\cos\beta_i, \sin\beta_i), \text{ where } (\mu_{i1}, \mu_{i2}) = \boldsymbol{\mu}_i, \ \beta_i \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Since the $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$ are linearly independent, so are the $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_i$ and $\rho_i > 0$. Hence

$$p_i(X(\theta)) = \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i \cdot X(\theta) = \lambda_{i1}\cos(\theta + \theta_0) + \lambda_{i2}\sin(\theta + \theta_0) = \rho_i \cos(\theta + \theta_0 - \beta_i).$$

Now set $\theta_0 = \beta_1$ to obtain

$$\Psi(\theta) = \text{rag } (\rho_1 \cos\theta + i\rho_2 \cos(\theta - \alpha)), \quad \alpha = \beta_2 - \beta_1 \neq 0.$$

To calculate $\int_{[0,2\pi)} \partial_\theta \Psi(\theta)d\theta = \lim\limits_{\theta\nearrow 2\pi} \Psi(\theta) - \Psi(0)$ in (4.18) let

$$b(\theta) = \frac{\rho_2 \cos(\theta - \alpha)}{\rho_1 \cos\theta} = \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1}\big(\cos\alpha + \tan\theta \sin\alpha\big).$$

Since $b(0) = \tan\Psi(0)$ and $\lim\limits_{\theta\nearrow\pi/2} b(\theta) = \pm\infty$ when $\pm\sin\alpha > 0$,

$$\lim_{\theta\nearrow\pi/2} \Psi(\theta) - \Psi(0) = \text{sign } (\sin\alpha)\frac{\pi}{2} - \arctan b(0). \quad (4.19)$$

Similarly

$$\lim_{\theta\searrow\pi/2} b(\theta) = \mp\infty \text{ if } \pm\sin\alpha > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{\theta\nearrow 3\pi/2} b(\theta) = \pm\infty \text{ if } \pm\sin\alpha > 0,$$

and hence

$$\lim_{\theta\searrow\pi/2} \arctan b(\theta) = -\text{sign } (\sin\alpha)\frac{\pi}{2} \text{ and } \lim_{\theta\nearrow 3\pi/2} \arctan b(\theta) = \text{sign } (\sin\alpha)\frac{\pi}{2}.$$

It follows

$$\lim_{\theta\nearrow 3\pi/2}\Psi(\theta)-\lim_{\theta\searrow\pi/2}\Psi(\theta)-\Psi(0)=\text{sign }(\sin\alpha)\pi.$$

Finally,

$$\lim_{\theta\searrow 3\pi/2} b(\theta)=\mp\infty \text{ if } \pm\sin\alpha>0$$

yields

$$\lim_{\theta\searrow 3\pi/2}\arctan b(\theta)=-\text{sign}(\sin\alpha)\pi/2,$$

and

$$\Psi(2\pi)-\lim_{\theta\searrow 3\pi/2}\Psi(\theta)=\text{sign }(\sin\alpha)\pi/2+\arctan b(0). \tag{4.20}$$

Since $\Psi(\theta)$ is continuous on $[0,2\pi)$, (4.19)-(4.20) lead to

$$\int\limits_{[0,2\pi)}\partial_\theta\Psi(\theta)d\theta=\lim_{\theta\to 2\pi-0}\Psi(\theta)-A(0)=\text{sign }(\sin\alpha)2\pi. \tag{4.21}$$

Since

$$\sin\alpha=\sin(\beta_2-\beta_1)=\det\begin{pmatrix}0&0&1\\ \cos\beta_1&\sin\beta_1&0\\ \cos\beta_2&\sin\beta_2&0\end{pmatrix}$$

the signum of $\sin\alpha$ coincides with the orientation of the triple $(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$. Therefore, since

$$\boldsymbol{k}=\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\boldsymbol{n}',\quad \boldsymbol{\mu}_i=\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')\partial_i\mathbf{n}(0),$$

and $\det\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{n}')=1$, the orientation of the triplet $(\boldsymbol{k},\boldsymbol{\mu}_1,\boldsymbol{\mu}_2)$ is the same that of $(\mathbf{n}(0),\partial_1\mathbf{n}(0),\partial_1\mathbf{n}(0))$ which equals $\text{sign}\left(\mathbf{n}(0)\cdot\partial_1\mathbf{n}(0)\times\partial_2\mathbf{n}(0)\right)=\text{sign}\,\Phi(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))$. It follows that $\text{sign }(\sin\alpha)=\text{sign }\Phi(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))$. Combining these result with (4.18) and (4.21) and recalling that $A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')=0$ leads to the identity

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{r\to 0}\int_{\partial D_r(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))}&\zeta(X)(\omega_2(X,\boldsymbol{n}')\nu_1-\omega_2(X,\boldsymbol{n}')\nu_1)ds\\ &=4\pi\zeta(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))\text{ sign}\,\Phi(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')),\end{aligned}$$

where $Y(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')=\{A_1(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}'),\cdots A_n(\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{n}')\}$, from which (4.13) follows. □

4.4 Proof of Theorem 2.4

Since by Lemma 4.8, $I(r,\boldsymbol{n}')$, $J(r,\boldsymbol{n}')$ are continuous in $\boldsymbol{n}'$ on $\mathcal{Q}_N$, their limits,

$$\begin{aligned}I(0,\boldsymbol{n}')=&\int_{D_1}\left(\partial_1\zeta\omega_2(X,\boldsymbol{n}')-\partial_2\zeta\omega_1(X,\boldsymbol{n}')\right)dX,\\ J(0,\boldsymbol{n}')=&\,4\pi\sum_{\ell}^{n}\zeta(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}'))\text{sign}\,\Phi(A_\ell(\boldsymbol{n}')),\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

are measurable with respect to $\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathcal{Q}_N$. By Lemma 4.7, $|I(0,\boldsymbol{n}')|$ is bounded, and hence integrable, on $\mathcal{Q}_N$ and, since the measurable function ζ sign Φ is bounded, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that $J(0,\boldsymbol{n}')$ is integrable over $\mathcal{Q}_N$.

Now for a Borel set $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ of positive measure, let

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}_N, \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}), \quad \mathcal{F}_N = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\mathcal{A}_N),$$

and note from Lemma 4.3(c) that $\mathcal{A}_N$ has positive measure for all N sufficiently large. Now, for such N, let $\Omega_{N,i} : D_1 \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, be given by

$$\Omega_{N,i}(X) = \frac{1}{\mu_N} \int_{\mathcal{A}_N} \omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}') dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}, \text{ where } \mu_N = \text{meas}\,(\mathcal{A}_N), \tag{4.23a}$$

and note from Lemma 4.7 that

$$|\Omega_{N,i}(X)| \leq \frac{c}{\mu_N} \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}}{|\boldsymbol{n}' - \mathbf{n}(X)|} \right) |\nabla \mathbf{n}(X)|, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{4.23b}$$

Then (4.22) and Corollary 4.2 with $g = 4\pi\zeta(X)\text{sign}\,\Phi(X)$ and $\mathcal{A}$ replaced by $\mathcal{A}_N$ imply that

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_N} J(0,\boldsymbol{n}')\, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'} = 4\pi \int_{\mathcal{F}_N} \zeta\Phi\, dX. \tag{4.24}$$

Letting $r \to 0$ in (4.11), it follows from Lemma 4.9 that

$$\int_{D_1} \Phi(X)\zeta(X)\, dX = I(0,\boldsymbol{n}') + J(0,\boldsymbol{n}').$$

Then integrating both sides over $\mathcal{A}_N$ in the light of (4.22),(4.23) and(4.24) gives

$$\int_{D_1} \zeta\Phi\, dX = \frac{4\pi}{\mu_N} \int_{\mathcal{F}_N} \zeta\Phi\, dX + \int_{D_1} (\partial_1\zeta\, \Omega_{N,2} - \partial_2\zeta\, \Omega_{N,1})\, dX. \tag{4.25}$$

Next, recall that $\mathcal{Q}_N \subset \mathcal{Q}_{N+1} \subset \mathcal{Q}_\infty$ where $\mathcal{Q}_\infty = \bigcup_N \mathcal{Q}_N$ and meas $(\mathcal{E}) = 0$ where $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \mathcal{Q}_\infty$. To let $N \to \infty$ in (4.25), note that $\mu_N \to \mu = \text{meas}\,(\mathcal{A})$,

$$\int_{D_1} (\partial_1\zeta\, \Omega_{N,2} - \partial_2\zeta\, \Omega_{N,1})\, dX \to \int_{D_1} (\partial_1\zeta\, \Omega_2 - \partial_2\zeta\, \Omega_1)\, dX, \tag{4.26}$$

where

$$\Omega_i(X) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \omega_i(X, \boldsymbol{n}')\, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'},$$

and

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_N} \zeta\Phi\, dX \to \int_{\mathcal{F}_\infty} \zeta\Phi\, dX = \int_{\mathcal{F}} \zeta\Phi\, dX - \int_{\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{F}_\infty} \zeta\Phi\, dX \text{ as } N \to \infty,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{Q}_\infty)$, $\quad \mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_\infty = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{A})$. To show that

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}\setminus\mathcal{F}_\infty} \zeta\Phi\, dX = 0, \tag{4.27}$$

let $\{G_n\} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ be a decreasing sequence of open sets such that $\mathcal{E} \subset G_n$, $\operatorname{meas}(G_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and let $F_n = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(G_n)$. Then, since $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_\infty \subset F_n := \mathbf{n}^{-1}(G_n)$, it suffices to prove that

$$\int_{F_n} |\Phi| \, dX \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{4.28}$$

Now from Theorem 4.1,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \operatorname{card} Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') \, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'} = \int_{D_1} |\Phi| \, dX < \infty,$$

and from Corollary 4.2, since $\operatorname{meas}(G_n) \to 0$,

$$\int_{F_n} |\Phi| \, dx = \int_{G_n} \operatorname{card} Y(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{n}') \, dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This yields (4.28) (and hence (4.27)) and it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_N} \zeta \Phi \, dX \to \int_{\mathcal{F}} \zeta \Phi \, dX \text{ as } N \to \infty. \tag{4.29}$$

Hence (4.25), (4.26) and (4.29) imply that

$$\int_{D_1} \zeta \Phi \, dX = \frac{4\pi}{\mu} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \zeta \Phi \, dX + \int_{D_1} (\partial_1 \zeta \, \Omega_2 - \partial_2 \zeta \, \Omega_1) \, dX, \tag{4.30}$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{n}^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mu = \operatorname{meas}(\mathcal{A})$ and, by (4.23),

$$|\Omega_i(X)| \le \frac{1}{\mu} c_A |\nabla \mathbf{n}(X)|, \quad \|\Omega_i\|_{L^2(D_1)} \le c_A \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where, with c an absolute constant,

$$c_{\mathcal{A}} = c \sup_{X \in D_1} \int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}}{|\mathbf{n}(X) - \boldsymbol{n}'|}.$$

Let $\Sigma_\rho = \{\boldsymbol{n}' \in \mathbb{S}^2 : \text{ geodesic distance } (\boldsymbol{n}', \boldsymbol{k}) \le \rho\}$, where $\operatorname{meas}(\Sigma_\rho) = \mu$. Clearly $c^{-1}\sqrt{\mu} \le \rho \le c\sqrt{\mu}$ and

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}}{|\mathbf{n} - \boldsymbol{n}'|} \le \int_{\Sigma_\rho} \frac{dS_{\boldsymbol{n}'}}{|\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{n}'|} \le c\sqrt{\mu},$$

where c is an absolute constant. Thus

$$|\Omega_i(X)| \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{\mu}} |\nabla \mathbf{n}(X)| \text{ and } \|\Omega_i\|_{L^2(D_1)} \le \frac{c}{\sqrt{\mu}} \|\nabla \mathbf{n}\|_{L^2(D_1)}.$$

This and (4.30) yield (2.8), (2.9), which completes proof of Theorem 2.4. □

5 Examples

This section examines two families of immersions with one singularity, both of which show the hypotheses of Theorems 2.2 (see Section 5.2) and 2.4 (see Section 5.3) are optimal. The first family, in Section 5.1, concerns scaled versions of Enneper's minimal surface, in which singularity formation is associated with self-intersection. The second example, in Section 5.4, is a family of stereographic projection for which singularity formation is due to bubbling. However the Gauss maps of these two immersions are the same, and hence the preceding theory applies without change to both, although they arise as Gauss maps of significantly different surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$.

5.1 Enneper's Surface

Let $X = (X_1, X_2)$ be a coordinate in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $Z = X_1 + iX_2 \in \mathbb{C}$. The zero-mean-curvature Enneper surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is defined in parametric form by

$$\mathfrak{E} = \left\{ \Psi(Z) = \frac{1}{2}\Re\Big\{ Z - \frac{1}{3}Z^3,\ i(Z + \frac{1}{3}Z^3),\ Z^2 \Big\} : \quad Z \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Here, as in [9], consider the scaled version defined in complex form by

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(Z) \equiv \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2}\Re\Big\{ \varepsilon^2 Z - \frac{1}{3}Z^3,\ i(\varepsilon^2 Z + \frac{1}{3}Z^3),\ \varepsilon Z^2 \Big\}, \quad Z \in \mathbb{C},$$

or equivalently, in the real form, by

$$\Psi_\varepsilon(X) = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2}\big(\psi_1(X), \psi_2(X), \psi_3(X)\big) = \tag{5.1}$$
$$\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2}\Big(\varepsilon^2 X_1 - \frac{1}{3}(X_1^3 - 3X_1X_2^2),\ -\varepsilon^2 X_2 + \frac{1}{3}(X_2^3 - 3X_1^2X_2),\ \varepsilon X_1^2 - \varepsilon X_2^2\Big).$$

Let $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon = \Psi_\varepsilon(D_1)$. Then equality holds in (1.8), since $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$ is a minimal surface.

Points of Self-intersection on $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$. Veličković [22] observed that in polar coordinates $X = r(\cos\phi, \sin\phi)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_1(X) &= \varepsilon^2 r\cos\phi - (r^3/3)\cos(3\phi),\\
\psi_2(X) &= -\varepsilon^2 r\sin\phi - (r^3/3)\sin(3\phi),\\
\psi_3(X) &= \varepsilon r^2\cos(2\phi),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\psi_1(X)^2 + \psi_2(X)^2 + \frac{4}{3}\psi_3(X)^2 = \left(\frac{|X|^3}{3} + \varepsilon|X|\right)^2, \quad X \in D_1. \tag{5.2}$$

Therefore, at a point of self-intersection of $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$, $\Psi_\varepsilon(\tilde{X}) = \Psi_\varepsilon(\hat{X})$ say, (5.2) implies that $\hat{r} = \tilde{r}$ since $r \mapsto (r^3/3 + \varepsilon^2 r)$ is monotone. If $r > 0$ denote the common

value of $\hat{r}$ and $\tilde{r}$ at this point of intersection, the following equations must be satisfied:

$$\varepsilon^2 \cos\hat{\phi} - (r^2/3)\cos(3\hat{\phi}) = \varepsilon^2 \cos\tilde{\phi} - (r^2/3)\cos(3\tilde{\phi}), \tag{5.3a}$$

$$\varepsilon^2 \sin\hat{\phi} + (r^2/3)\sin(3\hat{\phi}) = \varepsilon^2 r \sin\tilde{\phi} + (r^2/3)\sin(3\tilde{\phi}), \tag{5.3b}$$

$$\cos(2\hat{\phi}) = \cos(2\tilde{\phi}), \quad \hat{\phi}, \tilde{\phi} \in [0, 2\pi),\ \hat{\phi} \neq \tilde{\phi}. \tag{5.3c}$$

Clearly, (5.3c) implies $\cos^2\hat{\phi} = \cos^2\tilde{\phi}$, equivalently, $\cos\hat{\phi} = \pm\cos\tilde{\phi}$. If $\cos\hat{\phi} = \pm\cos\tilde{\phi} = 0$, it may be assumed that $\hat{\phi} = 3\pi/2$ and $\tilde{\phi} = \pi/2$. So (5.3a) and (5.3c) are satisfied, and (5.3b) holds if an only if $\varepsilon^2 = r^2/3$. On the other hand, if $\cos\hat{\phi} = \pm\cos\tilde{\phi} = 1$ it may be assumed that $\hat{\phi} = \pi$ and $\tilde{\phi} = 0$ and (5.3a)-(5.3c) hold if and only if $\varepsilon^2 = r^2/3$.

Of the remaining cases first note that $\cos\hat{\phi} = \cos\tilde{\phi}$ implies $\sin\hat{\phi} = -\sin\tilde{\phi}$ and hence, (5.3) is satisfied if and only if (5.3b) holds, i.e. $\hat{\phi}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfy

$$\varepsilon^2 + r^2(1 - (4/3)\sin^2\phi) = 0,$$

since $\sin(3\phi) = 3\sin\phi - 4\sin^3\phi$. Finally, when $\cos\hat{\phi} = -\cos\tilde{\phi}$ there are two possibilities, $\sin\hat{\phi} = \sin\tilde{\phi}$ and $\sin\hat{\phi} = -\sin\tilde{\phi}$. In the first case, (5.3b) is satisfied if $\sin\hat{\phi} = \sin\tilde{\phi}$, and the system (5.3) is satisfied if and only if

$$\varepsilon^2 + r^2(1 - (4/3)\cos^2\phi) = 0.$$

because since $\cos(3\phi) = 4\cos^3\phi - 3\cos\phi$. In the final case, when $\cos\hat{\phi} = -\cos\tilde{\phi}$ and $\sin\hat{\phi} = -\sin\tilde{\phi}$, (5.3) is satisfied if and only if

$$\varepsilon^2 + r^2(1 - (4/3)\sin^2\phi) = 0 \text{ and } \varepsilon^2 + r^2(1 - (4/3)\cos^2\phi) = 0,$$

and in particular only if $\cos^2\phi = \sin^2\phi$.

Note that in all cases $|X|^2 \geq 3\varepsilon^2$, if $\Psi_\varepsilon(X)$ is a self-intersection point of $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$, and self-intersection curves on $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$ approach the origin in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

Tangent Vectors to $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$. Obviously when $\varepsilon \neq 0$ the vectors

$$\begin{aligned}(1+\varepsilon^2)\partial_1\Psi_\varepsilon(X) &= (\varepsilon^2 - (X_1^2 - X_2^2),\, -2X_1X_2,\, 2\varepsilon X_1) =: \mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X),\\ (1+\varepsilon^2)\partial_2\Psi_\varepsilon(X) &= (2X_1X_2,\, -\varepsilon^2 - (X_1^2 - X_2^2),\, -2\varepsilon X_2) =: \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

are non-zero and tangent to $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$. They are orthogonal to one another because

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X)\cdot\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X) = {}& 2\varepsilon^2X_1X_2 - 2X_1^3X_2 + 2X_1X_2^3\\ &+ 2\varepsilon^2X_1X_2 + 2X_1^3X_2 - 2X_1X_2^3 - 4\varepsilon^2X_1X_2 = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now with

$$\lambda_\varepsilon(X) := \varepsilon^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2 = \varepsilon^2 + |X|^2, \tag{5.5}$$

$$|\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X)|^2 = \varepsilon^4 - 2\varepsilon^2(X_1^2 - X_2^2) + (X_1^2 - X_2^2)^2 \\ + 4X_1^2X_2^2 + 4\varepsilon^2X_1^2 = (\varepsilon^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2)^2 = \lambda_\varepsilon^2(X).$$

and

$$|\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)|^2 = 4X_1^2X_2^2 + \varepsilon^4 + 2\varepsilon^2(X_1^2 - X_2^2) + (X_1^2 - X_2^2)^2 \\ + 4\varepsilon^2X_2^2 = (\varepsilon^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2)^2 = \lambda_\varepsilon^2(X),$$

which in summary says that

$$|\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X)| = |\mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)| = \lambda_\varepsilon(X), \quad \mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \cdot \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X) = 0, \quad X \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{5.6}$$

Since the coefficients g_{ij} of the first fundamental form of the immersion Ψ_ε are

$$g_{11} = \partial_1\Psi_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_1\Psi_\varepsilon, \quad g_{22} = \partial_2\Psi_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2\Psi_\varepsilon, \quad g_{12} = g_{21} = \partial_1\Psi_\varepsilon \cdot \partial_2\Psi_\varepsilon,$$

it follows by (5.4) and (5.6) that

$$g_{11} = g_{22} = \frac{\lambda_\varepsilon^2}{(1+\varepsilon^2)^2} \text{ and } g_{12} = g_{21} = 0.$$

As in the Introduction, the immersion $\Psi_\varepsilon : D_1 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ has a conformal metric $g_{ij} = e^{2f_\varepsilon}\delta_{ij}$ and the conformal factor $\exp(f_\varepsilon(X))$ at $\Psi_\varepsilon(X)$ is given by

$$f_\varepsilon(X) = \log\lambda_\varepsilon(X) - \log(1+\varepsilon^2), \ X \in D_1, \quad f_\varepsilon(\partial D_1) = 0. \tag{5.7}$$

The Normal to $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$. A unit normal $\mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X)$ to $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$ at $\Psi_\varepsilon(X)$ is given by

$$\mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) = \frac{\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \times \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)}{|\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \times \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)|},$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \times \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X) = \begin{vmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{j} & \boldsymbol{k} \\ \varepsilon^2 - (X_1^2 - X_2^2) & -2X_1X_2 & 2\varepsilon X_1 \\ 2X_1X_2 & -\varepsilon^2 - (X_1^2 - X_2^2) & -2\varepsilon X_2 \end{vmatrix},$$

and the $\boldsymbol{i}$ component of which is

$$4\varepsilon X_1X_2^2 + 2\varepsilon^2X_1 - 2\varepsilon X_1X_2^2 + 2\varepsilon X_1^3 = 2\varepsilon^3X_1 + 2\varepsilon X_1(X_1^2 + X_2^1) = 2\lambda_\varepsilon(X)\varepsilon X_1,$$

the $\boldsymbol{j}$ component is

$$4\varepsilon X_1^2X_2 + 2\varepsilon^3X_2 - 2\varepsilon X_2(X_1^2 - X_2^2) = (\varepsilon^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2)2\varepsilon X_2 = 2\lambda_\varepsilon(X)\varepsilon X_2,$$

and the $\boldsymbol{k}$ component is

$$-(\varepsilon^4 - (X_1^2 - X_2^2)^2) + 4X_1^2X_2^2 = -(\varepsilon^4 - (X_1^1 + X_2^2)^2) = \lambda_\varepsilon(X)(X_1^2 + X_2^2 - \varepsilon^2).$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \times \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X) = \lambda_\varepsilon(X)\mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X),$$

where $\lambda_\varepsilon > 0$ is defined by (5.5),

$$\mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X) = \big(\, 2\varepsilon X_1,\ \ 2\varepsilon X_2,\ \ |X|^2 - \varepsilon^2 \,\big), \tag{5.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \times \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)|^2 &= \lambda_\varepsilon^2(4\varepsilon|X|^2 + \varepsilon^4 - 2\varepsilon^2|X|^2 + |X|^4) \\ &= \lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2(\varepsilon^2 + |X|)^2 = \lambda_\varepsilon(X)^4, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) = \frac{\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \times \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)}{|\mathbf{a}_\varepsilon(X) \times \mathbf{b}_\varepsilon(X)|} = \frac{\mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X)}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)}. \tag{5.9}$$

Remark 5.1. Note that $\mathbf{n}_\varepsilon$, which is the Gauss map of the surface $\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon$ parameterized by (5.1), is smooth and injective. The smoothness is obvious, and if $X, X' \in D_1$ are such that $\mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X') = \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X)$ then, by (5.8),

$$\frac{X'}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X')} = \frac{X}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|X'|^2 - \varepsilon^2}{|X'|^2 + \varepsilon^2} = \frac{|X|^2 - \varepsilon^2}{|X|^2 + \varepsilon^2}$$

From the first, $X' = kX$ for some $k > 0$ and then, from the second, $k = 1$. □

5.2 Remark on Theorem 2.2

To show the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are sharp the first step is to calculate the norm of the conformal factor and estimate the norm of Φ_ε. Since, by (5.7),

$$\nabla f_\varepsilon(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)}(2X_1,\ 2X_2) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla f_\varepsilon(X)|^2 = \frac{4|X|^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D_1} |\nabla f_\varepsilon|^2\, dX &= 4\int_{D_1} \frac{|X|^2\, dX}{\varepsilon^2 + |X|^2} \\ &= 4\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{r^2\, r dr}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^2} d\theta = 4\pi \int_0^1 \frac{sds}{(s+\varepsilon^2)^2} \\ &= 4\pi\left\{\log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} + 1\right) - \left(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2}\right)\right\}, \\ &= \Delta(\varepsilon)^2, \text{ where } \Delta(\varepsilon)^2 = 4\pi\left(\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} - 1\right) + O(\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Hence

$$\|\nabla f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_1)} \to \infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{5.11}$$

To investigate Φ_ε as $\varepsilon \to 0$ note from (5.27) that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{meas}(\mathfrak{E}_\varepsilon) &= \int_{D_1} |\Phi_\varepsilon|\, dX = 4\varepsilon^2 \int_{D_1} \frac{dX}{(\varepsilon^2 + |X|^2)^2} \\ &= 4\varepsilon^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{r dr}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^2} = \frac{4\pi}{1+\varepsilon^2} \to 4\pi \ \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

To estimate $\|\mathbf{n}\|_{L_2(D_1)}$, note from (5.8) and (5.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_1 \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2}\Big(-4\varepsilon X_1^2, -4\varepsilon X_1 X_2, -2X_1|X|^2 + 2X_1\varepsilon^2\Big) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2}\Big(2\varepsilon(\varepsilon^2 + X_1^2 + X_2^2), 0, 2X_1\varepsilon^2 + 2X_1(X_1^2 + X_2^2)\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2}\Big(2\varepsilon^3 - 2\varepsilon(X_1^2 - X_2^2),\ -4\varepsilon X_1 X_2,\ 4\varepsilon^2 X_1\Big),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial_1 \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon|^2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^4}\Big(4\varepsilon^6 - 8\varepsilon^4(X_1^2 - X_2^2) + \\ &\qquad 4\varepsilon^2(X_1^4 - 2X_1^2X_2^2 + X_2^4) + 16\varepsilon^4 X_1^2 + 16\varepsilon^2 X_1^2 X_2^2\Big) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^4}\Big(4\varepsilon^6 + 8\varepsilon^4|X|^2 + 4\varepsilon^2|X|^4\Big) = \frac{4\varepsilon^2\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^4} = \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2}.\end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation yields

$$|\partial_2 \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X)|^2 = \frac{4\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D_1} |\nabla \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X)|^2\, dX &= 8\int_{D_1} \frac{\varepsilon^2 dX}{(\varepsilon^2 + |X|^2)^2} = 8\int_0^{2\pi}\int_0^1 \frac{\varepsilon^2 r dr\, d\theta}{(\varepsilon^2 + r^2)^2} \\ &= 8\pi\varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(\varepsilon^2 + s)^2} = 8\pi\Big(\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2}\Big) \to 8\pi \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0.\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Finally, recall from (5.7) that $f_\varepsilon = 0$ on ∂D_1, whence $f_\varepsilon \in W_0^{1,2}(D_1)$, and

$$\int_{D_1} \Phi_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon\, dX = \|\nabla f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_1)} \text{ where } g_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon / \|\nabla f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_1)},$$

because $-\Delta f_\varepsilon = \Phi_\varepsilon$. Since $\|\nabla g_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_1)} = 1$, it is immediate from (5.11) that

$$\|\Phi_\varepsilon\|_{W^{-1,2}(D_1)} \to \infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{5.14}$$

Since equality holds in (1.8), by (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14), the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2 are sharp.

5.3 Remark on Theorem 2.4

A consequence of (2.9) in Theorem 2.4 is that for every

$$\zeta \in W_0^{1,2}(D_1), \quad \|\nabla\zeta\|_{L^2(D_1)} = 1, \tag{5.15}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and for every $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ with measure $\mu > 0$,

$$\Big| \int_{D_1} \Phi_\varepsilon \zeta \, dX - \frac{4\pi}{\mu} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \Phi_\varepsilon \zeta \, dX \Big| \le c(\mu), \tag{5.16}$$

even if

$$\Big| \int_{D_1} \Phi_\varepsilon \zeta \, dX \Big| \to \infty \text{ as } \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{5.17}$$

For the significance of this observation, recall Remark 2.6. Here this is illustrated by showing that $\zeta_\varepsilon := g_\varepsilon$ defined above has the property that, for any $\mathcal{A}$ with positive measure, (5.15), (5.16) and (5.17) hold with $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$.

Recall from the argument for (5.14) that with $g_\varepsilon = f_\varepsilon / \|\nabla f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_1)} \in W_0^{1,2}$,

$$\int_{D_1} \Phi_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon \, dX = \|\nabla f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_1)}, \tag{5.18}$$

So let $\zeta_\varepsilon = g_\varepsilon$. Then, from (5.7),

$$\zeta_\varepsilon = \frac{f_\varepsilon}{\|\nabla f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(D_1)}} = \frac{\log\lambda_\varepsilon - \log(1+\varepsilon^2)}{\Delta(\varepsilon)}$$

where $\Delta(\varepsilon)$ is defined by (5.10). It follows from (5.18), (5.10) and (5.11) that ζ_ε satisfies conditions (5.15) and (5.17), and, from (5.27),

$$\Phi_\varepsilon \, \zeta_\varepsilon = -\frac{4\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon^2 \Delta(\varepsilon)} (\log\lambda_\varepsilon - \log(1+\varepsilon^2)).$$

To proceed, is convenient to introduce new independent variables,

$$X = \varepsilon\xi, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2),$$

so that $\lambda_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^2(1+|\xi|^2)$ and $\log\lambda_\varepsilon = \log\varepsilon^2 + \log(1+|\xi|^2)$, and in these variables

$$\Phi_\varepsilon \zeta_\varepsilon = \frac{-4\log\varepsilon^2}{\Delta(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^2(1+|\xi|^2)^2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\mathbf{I}, \tag{5.19}$$

where

$$\mathbf{I}(\xi) = 4\frac{\log(1+\varepsilon^2) - \log(1+|\xi|^2)}{\Delta(\varepsilon)(1+|\xi|^2)^2}. \tag{5.20}$$

By Remark 5.1, $X \to \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X)$ is injective and its Jacobian is $|\Phi_\varepsilon|$. For $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{S}^2$ let $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon^{-1}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mu = \text{meas}\,(\mathcal{A})$. Then, from (5.27),

$$\mu = \int_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon} |\Phi| dX = 4\varepsilon^2 \int_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon} \frac{dX}{(\varepsilon^2+|X|^2)^2} = 4\int_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon/\varepsilon} \frac{d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}. \tag{5.21}$$

Note also that

$$4\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}=4\pi\int_0^\infty\frac{dt}{(1+t)^2}=4\pi. \tag{5.22}$$

Therefore, by (5.19) and (5.21),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{D_1}\Phi_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon\,dX-\frac{4\pi}{\mu}\int_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon}\Phi_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon\,dX\\
&=\frac{-\log\varepsilon^2}{\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big\{\int_{D_{1/\varepsilon}}\frac{4d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}-\frac{4\pi}{\mu}\int_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon/\varepsilon}\frac{4d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}\Big\}+\Big\{\int_{D_{1/\varepsilon}}\mathbf{I}\,d\xi-\int_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon/\varepsilon}\mathbf{I}\,d\xi\Big\}\\
&=\frac{-\log\varepsilon^2}{\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big\{\int_{D_{1/\varepsilon}}\frac{4d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}-4\pi\}\Big\}+\Big\{\int_{D_{1/\varepsilon}}\mathbf{I}\,d\xi-\int_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon/\varepsilon}\mathbf{I}\,d\xi\Big\}\\
&=:J_1+J_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by (5.10), (5.21) and (5.22),

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1&=\frac{-\log\varepsilon^2}{\Delta(\varepsilon)}\Big\{\int_{D_{1/\varepsilon}}\frac{4d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}-4\pi\Big\}=\frac{4\log\varepsilon^2}{\Delta(\varepsilon)}\int_{|\xi|\ge\varepsilon^{-1}}\frac{d\xi}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}\\
&=\frac{4\pi\varepsilon\log\varepsilon^2}{(1+\varepsilon)\Delta(\varepsilon)}\le c\varepsilon\sqrt{|\log\varepsilon|}\text{ as }\varepsilon\to0.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.23}$$

Next, by (5.20),

$$|J_2|\le\frac{c}{\Delta(\varepsilon)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\frac{\log(1+|\xi|^2)+1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^2}\,d\xi\le\frac{c}{\Delta(\varepsilon)}\le\frac{c}{\sqrt{|\log\varepsilon|}}. \tag{5.24}$$

Combining (5.23) and (5.24) leads to

$$\Big|\int_{D_1}\Phi_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon\,dX-\frac{4\pi}{\mu}\int_{\mathcal{F}}\Phi_\varepsilon\zeta_\varepsilon\,dX\Big|\le\frac{c}{\sqrt{|\log\varepsilon|}},$$

which yields desired estimate (5.16), while (5.15) and (5.17) are satisfied.

5.4 Stereographic Projections

Recall the standard stereographic projections,

$$(X_1,X_2)\mapsto\frac{1}{|X|^2+4}\Big(4X_1,\quad 4X_2,\quad\pm(|X|^2-4)\Big),\quad X\in\mathbb{R}^2,$$

each of which maps the horizontal plane onto the unit sphere with one of the poles, $+\boldsymbol{k}$ or $-\boldsymbol{k}$, removed. From them, two families of immersions of D_1 into $\mathbb{R}^3$ are defined by replacing X by $2X/\varepsilon$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^\varepsilon_\pm(X)&=\frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)}\left(2\varepsilon X_1,2\varepsilon X_2,\pm(|X|^2-\varepsilon^2\right),\\
\lambda_\varepsilon(X)&=\varepsilon^2+|X|^2,\quad X\in D_1.
\end{aligned}\tag{5.25}$$

Both $\Psi^\varepsilon_\pm$ map D_1 into $\mathbb{S}^2$ leaving a small round hole, centred at $\pm\boldsymbol{k}$ with diameter that vanishes as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Since $\Psi^\varepsilon_\pm$ coincides with its Gauss map,

$$\mathbf{n}^\varepsilon_\pm(X) = \Psi^\varepsilon_\pm(X), \quad X \in D_1,$$

it is immediate from (5.5), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.25) that

$$\mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) = \mathbf{n}^\varepsilon_+(X), \quad X \in D_1.$$

In other words, the Gauss maps of the Enneper surface parameterized by (5.1), and of the stereographic projections Ψ^ε_+, coincide. (Ψ^1_- was considered without reference to Enneper surfaces in [5, Example 5.2.2].)

Recall from (2.2), (5.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_\varepsilon(X) &= \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^3}\, \mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X) \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X) \times \partial_2 \mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X)),\end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

where

$$\partial_1 \mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X) \times \partial_2 \mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X) = \begin{vmatrix} \boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{j} & \boldsymbol{k} \\ 2\varepsilon & 0 & 2X_1 \\ 0 & 2\varepsilon & 2X_2 \end{vmatrix} = -4\varepsilon X_1 \boldsymbol{i} - 4\varepsilon X_2 \boldsymbol{j} + 4\varepsilon^2 \boldsymbol{k}.$$

and hence

$$\mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X) \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X) \times \partial_2 \mathbf{c}_\varepsilon(X)) = -8\varepsilon^2 |X|^2 + 4\varepsilon^2 |X|^2 - 4\varepsilon^4 = -4\varepsilon^2 \lambda_\varepsilon(X).$$

From this and (5.26),

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(X) = \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) \cdot (\partial_1 \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X) \times \partial_2 \mathbf{n}_\varepsilon(X)) = -\frac{4\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_\varepsilon(X)^2}. \tag{5.27}$$

Remark 5.2. At first glance, formula (5.27) is misleading since it appears to imply that the curvature of the convex sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$, which is proportional to Φ, is negative. However, the immersions Ψ^- and Ψ^+ have the different orientations. The orientation of Ψ^- is positive which means $\Phi = 4\varepsilon^2/\lambda^2$, corresponding to the the curvature of the sphere being positive. By contrast, the orientation of the immersion Ψ^+ is negative and Φ changes the sign. In fact, $\Phi = -Ke^{2f}$ in formula (5.27). Since the orientation of the Enneper immersion Ψ_ε defined by (5.1) is positive, and the corresponding function Φ_ε is negative. This means that the Enneper surface has the negative curvature, and so is hyperbolic. □

References

[1] F. Bethuel and X. Zhang. Density of smooth functions between two manifolds in Sobolev spaces. *J. Func. Anal.* **80** (1988), 60-75.

[2] S.S. Chern. Curves and surfaces in Euclidean space. In *Studies in Global Geometry and Analysis*, Studies in Mathematics, Volume 4, Math. Asoc. Amer, 1967, pp. 16-56.

[3] *Linear Operators II: Spectral Theory.* Wiley Interscience, New York, 1968.

[4] G. Federer. *Geometric Measure Theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.

[5] F. Hélein. *Harmonic Maps, Conservation Laws and Moving Frames.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

[6] L. Keller. A. Mondino and T. Riviere. Embedded surfaces of arbitrary genus minimizing the Willmore energy under isoperimetric constraint. *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **212**, (2014), 645-682.

[7] S. Kobayashi. *Differential Geometry of Curves and Surfaces.* Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series, London, 2019.

[8] T. Koerber. Maximizers of the Hawking mass in asymptotically flat manifolds. *ArXiV*:1810.1286v [math DG]30 Oct 2018

[9] E. Kuwert and Y. Li. $W^{2,2}$-conformal immersion of a closed Riemann surface int $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, **20** (2), (2012), 313-340.

[10] E. Kuwert and R. Schätzle. Closed surfaces with bounds on their Willmore energy. *Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze,* ser.5, **11**, (2012), 605-634.

[11] A. Mondino and C. Scharrer. Existence and Regularity of Spheres Minimising the Canham-Helfrich Energy. *Arch.Rat. Mech. Anal.***236**, (2020) 1455-1485.

[12] S. Müller and V. Sverák. On surfaces of finite total curvature, *J. Diff. Geom.* **42**, n 2, (1995), 229-258.

[13] P.I. Plotnikov and J.F. Toland. *Modelling nonlinear hydroelastic waves. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **369** (2011), no. 1947, 2942-2956.

[14] P.I. Plotnikov and J.F. Toland. *Variational problems in the theory of hydroelastic waves. Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A **376** (2018), no. 2129, http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0343

[15] A. Pressley. *Elementay Differential Geometry.* Second Edition. Springer Undergraduate Mathematics Series, London, 2010.

[16] R. M. Schätzle. Estimation of the conformal factor under bounded Willmore energy. *Math. Zeit.* **274** (2013), 1341-1383.

[17] R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck. Boundary regularity and the Dirichlet problem for harmonic maps. *J. Diff. Geom.* **18** (1983), 258-268.

[18] A. Schur. Über die Schwarzsche Extremaleigenschaft des Kreises unter den Kurven konstanter Krümmung. *Math. Annalen* **83** (1921), 143-148.

[19] P. Topping. The optimal constant in Wente's L^{∞}estimate. *Comment. Math. Helv.* **72** (2) (1997), 316-328

[20] T. Toro. Surfaces with generalized second fundamental form in L^2 are Lipshitz manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **39** (1994) 65-101.

[21] T.Toro. Geometric conditions and existence of bi-Lipschitz parameterisations, *Duke Math. J.*, **77** (1) (1995), 193-227.

[22] V. Veličković. Visualization of Enneper's surface by line graphics. *Filomat* **31** (2) (2017), 387-405.

[23] H. Wente. An existence theorem for surfaces of constant mean curvature. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **26** (1969), 318-344.