

Three roads to the energy gap

Marcos Mariño and Tomás Reis

*Département de Physique Théorique et Section de Mathématiques
Université de Genève, Genève, CH-1211 Switzerland*

E-mail: Marcos.Marino@unige.ch, Tomas.Reis@unige.ch

ABSTRACT: We determine analytically the energy gap at weak coupling in the attractive multi-component Gaudin–Yang model, an integrable model which describes interacting fermions in one dimension with κ components. We use three different methods. The first one is based on a direct analysis of the Bethe ansatz equations. The second method uses the theory of resurgence and the large order behavior of the perturbative series for the ground state energy. The third method is based on a renormalization group analysis. The three methods lead to the same answer, providing in this way a non-trivial test of the ideas of resurgence and renormalons as applied to non-relativistic many-body systems.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The multi-component Gaudin–Yang model and its Bethe ansatz solution	3
3	The energy gap from the Bethe ansatz	5
4	The energy gap from large order behavior	9
5	The energy gap from the renormalization group	12
6	Conclusions	18
A	Non-perturbative scale from ring diagrams	19
B	Relation to the chiral Gross–Neveu model	21

1 Introduction

One of the most important non-perturbative effects in quantum theory is the energy gap of many-fermion systems with an attractive interaction. This gap, which is exponentially small in the coupling constant, is a universal feature of these systems, and it is at the origin of conventional superconductivity. However, being a non-perturbative effect, it is not easy to compute. One possibility is to use BCS-like mean field theory, which provides an approximate expression for the gap. Another possibility is to use renormalization group methods. In exactly solvable models, one can often calculate the gap exactly, and this provides a useful test of approximate methods.

Recently, it has been pointed out that the leading behavior of the energy gap at weak coupling can be obtained from the large order behavior of the perturbative expansion for the ground state energy [1–3]. This is an example of the general connection between perturbative series and non-perturbative effects first pointed out in quantum mechanics in [4, 5]. The relationship between perturbative and non-perturbative sectors has evolved into a general framework to understand non-perturbative effects in mathematics and physics, sometimes called the theory of resurgence (see [6–9] for reviews). In the case of many-fermion systems with an attractive interaction, it has been argued in [1, 2] that the energy gap is structurally very similar to a renormalon effect [10] in an asymptotically free theory. Therefore, one can use renormalon techniques, like all-order calculations based on particular families of diagrams, to obtain information on the energy gap. These ideas were tested in two integrable models: the Gaudin–Yang model [1, 2] and the one-dimensional Hubbard model [3], in the case of attractive fermions with two components.

In this paper we consider the Gaudin–Yang model with κ components and $SU(\kappa)$ symmetry, which was briefly addressed in [1]. This model is integrable [11, 12] and has many interesting features. First of all, it might be relevant to the study of ultracold atoms with higher hyperfine spin in one-dimensional traps (see e.g. [13, 14] and references therein). In addition, it displays new qualitative phenomena: the ground state consists of bound states of κ elementary fermions,

which generalize the familiar Cooper pairs occurring when $\kappa = 2$ (when $\kappa = 3$, these bound states are sometimes called “trions”). More generally, one finds bound states of $1 \leq n \leq \kappa$ fermions, leading to a rich phase structure. From a more theoretical point of view, this model might be an interesting testing ground for approximations based on a large number of components (“large N ”).

Here we are interested on the non-perturbative aspects of the model, and for this reason we will focus on its energy gap, in the weak coupling regime. In principle, the energy gap can be determined from the Bethe ansatz solution, as first pointed out in [15] in the case $\kappa = 2$. However, an analytic calculation at weak coupling has not been performed for $\kappa > 2$, since it requires a detailed study of the Bethe ansatz equations similar to what was done in [1]. Our first result is then a formula for the energy gap, at next-to-leading order in the coupling constant, including the precise, κ -dependent prefactor.

According to the conjecture of [1], we expect the energy gap to control the large order behavior of the perturbative series for the ground state energy. Such a connection was established numerically in [1] for $\kappa = 2$, and we check in detail that this connection persists for general κ . This provides a precision test of the ideas of resurgence, since the growth of perturbation theory at next-to-leading order in the number of loops “predicts” the dependence of the gap on the coupling at next-to-leading order. In fact, in the case of general κ , we first found this dependence by looking at the large order behavior of the perturbative series, and only later we verified it with the Bethe ansatz calculation presented here.

As discussed in [1, 3], the non-perturbative scale leading to the energy gap can be regarded as a renormalon effect. It is due to special classes of diagrams which diverge factorially after integration over the momenta. As shown in [1], the ring diagrams dominating at large κ are renormalon diagrams, and they lead to the right value for the leading order dependence of the gap on the coupling constant. However, we check explicitly that they fail to capture the next-to-leading dependence, which is to be expected since this dependence is subleading in the $1/\kappa$ expansion. It is well-known however that, in asymptotically free quantum field theories, the coupling constant dependence of the non-perturbative scale can be determined by a renormalization group (RG) analysis. The leading, exponential dependence of the non-perturbative scale is a one-loop effect, while the next-to-leading dependence requires knowledge of the beta function at two loops (see e.g. [10]). In many-fermion systems, a similar argument linking the energy gap to an RG analysis was presented by Larkin and Sak in [16], again in the case $\kappa = 2$. In view of this connection, the results that we have obtained for the gap predict the form of the two-loop beta function of the Gaudin–Yang model, as a function of κ . We verify this prediction by a direct calculation with RG techniques.

The agreement between these three answers provides a further test of the idea put forward in [1–3] that the energy gap in interacting many-fermion systems can be understood by using the theory of resurgence and the physics of renormalons.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review the multi-component Gaudin–Yang model and its Bethe ansatz solution. In section 3 we calculate the energy gap from the Bethe ansatz equation at weak coupling, extending the results of [15] to the multicomponent case. In section 4 we study the large order behavior of the perturbative series and we show that it reproduces correctly the weak-coupling behavior of the energy gap, in agreement with the conjecture in [1]. In section 5 we compute the beta function of the model by using the RG at two loops, and derive the expression for the gap. Finally, in 6 we present some conclusions and prospects for future work. There are in addition two Appendices. In the first one we show that ring diagrams lead to an approximate expression for the gap which is correct to leading order in

the coupling constant, but not to next-to-leading order. In the second Appendix we show that the relativistic model obtained in section 5 by using the approach of [16, 17] is closely related to the chiral Gross–Neveu model, and in particular leads to the same beta function up to two loops.

2 The multi-component Gaudin–Yang model and its Bethe ansatz solution

The Hamiltonian for the Gaudin–Yang model is given by

$$H = - \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - 2c \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \delta(x_i - x_j). \quad (2.1)$$

We will consider the case of an attractive interaction, which corresponds to a positive coupling constant $c > 0$. We also consider the multicomponent case, so that each fermion has κ possible “internal” states $|1\rangle, \dots, |\kappa\rangle$. The number of fermions in the i -th internal state $|i\rangle$ will be denoted by N^i . We will choose the labels of the states, $i = 1, \dots, \kappa$, in such a way that the numbers of particles are ordered as $N^1 \geq N^2 \geq \dots \geq N^\kappa$.

The eigenvalue problem for this many-body system can be solved with the Bethe ansatz (BA). We consider the system in an interval of length L and we impose periodic boundary conditions. In the case $\kappa = 2$, the solution was obtained by Gaudin [18] and Yang [19]. The generalization to arbitrary $\kappa > 2$ is due to Sutherland [11] and Takahashi [12]. The solution can be characterized by a system of nested Bethe ansatz equations. In order to write down these equations, we introduce

$$M_i = \sum_{j=i}^{\kappa-1} N^{j+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Then, the equations read

$$\begin{aligned} e^{ik_i L} &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{M_1} \frac{k_i - \lambda_\alpha^{(1)} + ic'}{k_i - \lambda_\alpha^{(1)} - ic'}, \quad i = 1, \dots, M_0, \\ \prod_{\eta=1}^{M_l} \frac{\lambda_\alpha^{(l)} - \lambda_\eta^{(l)} + 2ic'}{\lambda_\alpha^{(l)} - \lambda_\eta^{(l)} - 2ic'} &= - \prod_{\beta=1}^{M_{l-1}} \frac{\lambda_\alpha^{(l)} - \lambda_\beta^{(l-1)} + ic'}{\lambda_\alpha^{(l)} - \lambda_\beta^{(l-1)} - ic'} \prod_{\delta=1}^{M_{l+1}} \frac{\lambda_\alpha^{(l)} - \lambda_\delta^{(l+1)} + ic'}{\lambda_\alpha^{(l)} - \lambda_\delta^{(l+1)} - ic'}, \\ \alpha &= 1, \dots, M_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, \kappa - 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $c' = c/2$. The quasi-momenta k_j appearing in (2.3) determine the energy eigenvalues through

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^N k_j^2, \quad (2.4)$$

while the Bethe roots $\lambda_\alpha^{(l)}$ are auxiliary variables.

The solutions k_j to the BA equations form “strings” in the complex plane, corresponding to bound states of m particles, where $1 \leq m \leq \kappa$. The number of bound states with m particles, N_m , is related to the numbers of particles in the i -th state N^i by

$$N_m = N^m - N^{m+1}, \quad m = 1, \dots, \kappa - 1, \quad (2.5)$$

and $N_\kappa = N^\kappa$. The “strings” of quasi-momenta, corresponding to a bound state of m particles labelled by $j = 1, \dots, N_m$, have the form

$$k_j^{m,q} = \lambda_j^m + i(m+1-2q)c' + \mathcal{O}(e^{-L}), \quad q = 1, \dots, m. \quad (2.6)$$

For each set of these k 's, one has a set of $m-l$ complex roots $\lambda_j^{(l)m,q}$ at the levels $l = 1, \dots, m-1$, with the form

$$\lambda_j^{(l)m,q} = \lambda_j^m + i(m-l+1-2q)c' + \mathcal{O}(e^{-L}), \quad j = 1, \dots, m-l, \quad l = 1, \dots, m-1. \quad (2.7)$$

They also share the same real part λ_j^m , which corresponds to the unique real root at level $m-1$. These roots characterize the eigenstate made out of N_m bound states of size $1 \leq m \leq \kappa$. They can be found from the following approximate version of the BA equations (which is correct up to exponentially small corrections in L) [20]:

$$m\lambda_j^m L = 2\pi K_j^m + \sum_{p=1}^{m-1} \sum_{q=p}^{\kappa} \sum_{l=1}^{N_q} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^m - \lambda_l^q}{(q+m-2p)c'} \right) + \sum_{q=m+1}^{\kappa} \sum_{l=1}^{N_q} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^m - \lambda_l^q}{(q-m)c'} \right),$$

$$m = 1, \dots, \kappa \quad j = 1, \dots, N_m. \quad (2.8)$$

In these equations,

$$K_j^m = -\frac{N_m-1}{2} + j - 1. \quad (2.9)$$

In terms of the roots λ_j^m , the energy of such a state is given by

$$E(N_1, \dots, N_\kappa) = \sum_{m=1}^{\kappa} \sum_{j=1}^{N_m} m \left((\lambda_j^m)^2 - \frac{(m^2-1)c^2}{12} \right). \quad (2.10)$$

The ground state of the system is found when all $N = \kappa N_\kappa$ fermions are in κ bound-states (in the $\kappa = 2$ case, these are the Cooper pairs). In that case, (2.8) reduces to

$$\kappa \lambda_j^\kappa L = 2\pi K_j^\kappa + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\kappa} \sum_{p=1}^{m-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^\kappa - \lambda_l^\kappa}{(2\kappa-2p)c'} \right). \quad (2.11)$$

In the thermodynamic limit

$$L \rightarrow \infty, \quad N \rightarrow \infty, \quad \frac{N}{L} = n, \quad (2.12)$$

the position of the roots becomes a continuous variable $\lambda_j^\kappa \rightarrow \lambda$. The state number $K_j^\kappa \rightarrow K(\lambda)$ gives rise to a state density function $f(\lambda) = L^{-1} dK(\lambda)/d\lambda$. Taking a derivative of (2.11) with respect to λ , we find

$$\frac{\kappa}{2\pi} = f(\lambda) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-Q}^Q d\lambda' f(\lambda') \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \frac{2pc}{c^2 p^2 + (\lambda - \lambda')^2}, \quad (2.13)$$

where Q is implicitly defined through

$$\int_{-Q}^Q f(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{n}{\kappa}. \quad (2.14)$$

The ground state energy per unit length is then given by

$$E = \kappa \int_{-Q}^Q \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{\kappa^2 - 1}{12} c^2 \right) f(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (2.15)$$

It is convenient to change variables as

$$\theta = \frac{\lambda}{c}, \quad B = \frac{Q}{c}, \quad \rho(\theta) = \pi f(\lambda). \quad (2.16)$$

In these variables, the integral equation (2.13) characterizing the ground state reads

$$\rho(\theta) + \int_{-B}^B d\theta' K(\theta - \theta') \rho(\theta') = \frac{\kappa}{2}, \quad (2.17)$$

where the kernel can be written in terms of the digamma function as follows:

$$K(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} (\psi(i\theta - \kappa + 1) + \psi(-i\theta - \kappa + 1) - \psi(-i\theta) - \psi(i\theta)). \quad (2.18)$$

The integral equation (2.17) was studied in [1, 2] with the techniques developed in [21, 22]. Let us introduce the dimensionless coupling

$$\gamma = \frac{c}{n}. \quad (2.19)$$

Then, from the normalization of the ground state distribution function,

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-B}^B \rho(\theta) d\theta = \frac{\kappa}{\gamma}, \quad (2.20)$$

one finds the following weak coupling expansion for B :

$$B = \frac{\pi}{\gamma\kappa} + \frac{\kappa}{2\pi} \log(\kappa) - \frac{\kappa - 1}{2\pi} \left(\log \left(\frac{4\pi^2}{\gamma\kappa} \right) + 1 \right) + \mathcal{O}(\gamma). \quad (2.21)$$

3 The energy gap from the Bethe ansatz

The Bethe ansatz solution summarized in the previous section makes it possible to calculate the energy gap of the model. In the case of the Gaudin–Yang model with $\kappa = 2$ components, the gap was first calculated in this way by Krivnov and Ovchinnikov in [15] (see also [23]). We will now extend this calculation to the case of arbitrary κ .

To find the energy gap one has to identify the first excited state, which involves “breaking” one of the bound states with κ fermions in the ground state. At weak coupling, the most favorable process is to produce a free fermion (i.e. a “1” bound state) and a $\kappa - 1$ bound state, out of a κ bound state. This can be easily tested numerically, but also crudely inspected from (2.10) by taking $L \rightarrow \infty$ with N finite, in which case the energy is approximately

$$E(N_1, \dots, N_\kappa) \approx - \sum_{m=1}^{\kappa} N_m \left(m \frac{(m^2 - 1) c^2}{12} \right). \quad (3.1)$$

Therefore, the energy gap is given by

$$\Delta_\kappa = \Delta_\kappa = E(1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, N_\kappa - 1) - E(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0, N_\kappa), \quad (3.2)$$

which we will compute in the thermodynamic limit (2.12). We can do this by perturbing the ground state problem. In the ground state we have N_κ bound states characterized by the Bethe roots λ_j^κ , which satisfy the equation (2.11). In the first excited state we have

$$\lambda_1^1 \equiv k, \quad \lambda_1^{\kappa-1} \equiv \Lambda, \quad \bar{\lambda}_j^\kappa \equiv \lambda_j^\kappa + \frac{\xi_j}{L}, \quad \bar{K}_j^\kappa = K_j^\kappa + \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.3)$$

The approximate Bethe ansatz equations (2.8) give us

$$\kappa(L\lambda_j^\kappa + \xi_j) = 2\pi K_j^\kappa + \pi + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_j^\kappa - k}{(\kappa-1)c'} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_j^\kappa - \Lambda}{(2p-1)c'} \right) \quad (3.4)$$

$$+ \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N_\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_j^\kappa - \bar{\lambda}_l^\kappa}{2pc'} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

$$Lk = 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{k - \Lambda}{(\kappa-2)c'} \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{k - \bar{\lambda}_l^\kappa}{(\kappa-1)c'} \right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$(\kappa-1)L\Lambda = 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda - k}{(\kappa-2)c'} \right) + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\kappa-1} \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda - \bar{\lambda}_l^\kappa}{(2\kappa-2p-1)c'} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

The last two equations are easy to solve. In the thermodynamic limit, one has

$$k = 2 \int_{-Q}^Q d\lambda f(\lambda) \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{k - \lambda}{(\kappa-1)c'} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{L} \right), \quad (3.8)$$

$$\Lambda = \frac{2}{\kappa} \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \int_{-Q}^Q d\lambda f(\lambda) \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\Lambda - \lambda}{(2\kappa-2p-1)c'} \right) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{L} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Since \tan^{-1} is odd and f is even, $k = \Lambda = 0$ solve these equations.

Let us now consider the first equation in (3.5). In the last term in (3.5) one needs to expand

$$2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}_j^\kappa - \bar{\lambda}_l^\kappa}{2pc'} \right) \sim 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^\kappa - \lambda_l^\kappa}{2pc'} \right) + \frac{4pc'}{L} \frac{\xi_j - \xi_l}{(2pc')^2 + (\lambda_j^\kappa - \lambda_l^\kappa)^2} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{L^2} \right). \quad (3.10)$$

Notice that the sum over l in the last term is of order $N \approx L$. Putting (3.5), (3.10) and (2.11) together we find

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \xi_j = & \pi + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^\kappa - k}{(\kappa-1)c'} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^\kappa - \Lambda}{(2p-1)c'} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda_j^\kappa - \lambda_{N_\kappa}^\kappa}{2pc'} \right) \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^{N_\kappa} \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \frac{4pc'}{L} \frac{\xi_j - \xi_l}{(2pc')^2 + (\lambda_j^\kappa - \lambda_l^\kappa)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

and we can take the continuum limit

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa \xi(\lambda) = & \pi + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{(\kappa-1)c'} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{(2p-1)c'} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda - Q}{2pc'} \right) \\ & + \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \int_{-Q}^Q d\lambda' f(\lambda') \frac{4pc'(\xi(\lambda) - \xi(\lambda'))}{(2pc')^2 + (\lambda - \lambda')^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The last term in the r.h.s. can be simplified by using (2.13), and one finds

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi f(\lambda)\xi(\lambda) = & \pi + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{(\kappa-1)c'} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda}{(2p-1)c'} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\lambda-Q}{2pc'} \right) \\
& - \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \int_{-Q}^Q d\lambda' f(\lambda') \xi(\lambda') \frac{4pc'}{(2pc')^2 + (\lambda - \lambda')^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

We change variables to $\theta = \lambda/c$, $B = Q/c$, and introduce the distribution $\Psi(\theta) = f(\lambda)\xi(\lambda)$. We find the following integral equation for $\Psi(\theta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
2\pi\Psi(\theta) = & \pi + 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2\theta}{\kappa-1} \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2\theta}{2p-1} \right) - \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\theta-B}{p} \right) \\
& - \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \int_{-B}^B d\theta' \Psi(\theta') \frac{2p}{p^2 + (\theta - \theta')^2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

This generalizes a similar equation in [15] for $\kappa = 2$, to arbitrary κ .

The energy gap is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_\kappa = & k^2 + (\kappa-1) \left(\Lambda^2 - \frac{(\kappa-1)^2-1}{12} c^2 \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{N_\kappa-1} \kappa \left((\bar{\lambda}_j^\kappa)^2 - \frac{\kappa^2-1}{12} c^2 \right) \\
& - \sum_{j=1}^{N_\kappa} \kappa \left(\lambda_j^2 - \frac{\kappa^2-1}{12} c^2 \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

and in the thermodynamic limit we find

$$\Delta_\kappa = 2\kappa c^2 \int_{-B}^B \theta \Psi(\theta) d\theta - \kappa c^2 B^2 + \frac{\kappa(\kappa-1)}{4} c^2. \tag{3.16}$$

To tackle the integral equation (3.14), it is convenient to anti-symmetrize it, as in [15]. We define the odd function

$$h(\theta) = \frac{\Psi(\theta) - \Psi(-\theta)}{2} - \frac{\text{sgn}(\theta)}{2}. \tag{3.17}$$

If we take into account that

$$\int_{-B}^B d\theta' \frac{p \text{sgn}(\theta')}{p^2 + (\theta - \theta')^2} = 2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\theta}{p} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\theta+B}{p} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\theta-B}{p} \right), \tag{3.18}$$

we find that the sum of (3.14) with its reflection yields

$$\begin{aligned}
h(\theta) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B K(\theta - \theta') h(\theta') d\theta' &= \tau_0(\theta) - \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(\theta), \\
\tau_0(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2\theta}{\kappa-1} \right) + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2\theta}{2p-1} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{p=1}^{\kappa-1} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\theta}{p} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where the kernel $K(\theta)$ is given in (2.18). The energy gap has a simple expression in terms of the function $h(\theta)$,

$$\frac{\Delta_\kappa}{c^2} = -4 \int_B^\infty \theta h(\theta) d\theta. \quad (3.20)$$

At large B , the integral equation (3.19) can be solved with the techniques first introduced in [24, 25]. First, we solve (3.19) in the strict limit $B \rightarrow \infty$. This can be done by taking the Fourier transform of the equation, leading to

$$\tilde{h}_0(\omega) = -\frac{i}{\omega} \frac{1 - e^{-|\omega|/2}}{1 + e^{-\kappa|\omega|/2}} \left(1 - e^{-(\kappa-1)|\omega|/2}\right) = -\frac{i}{\omega} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)}{n - \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\omega - \frac{4\pi i}{\kappa}\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)}. \quad (3.21)$$

From this representation one can invert the Fourier transform,

$$h_0(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sin(\pi/\kappa)}{\sinh(2\pi\theta/\kappa)} \right), \quad (3.22)$$

and one has, at large B ,

$$h_0(\theta + B) \sim -\frac{2}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}(\theta+B)} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-\frac{4\pi}{\kappa}B}). \quad (3.23)$$

We want to determine now $h(\theta + B)$. At large B , we have $h(\theta + B) \approx r(\theta)$, where $r(\theta)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$r(\theta) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}(\theta+B)} + \int_0^B d\theta' R(\theta - \theta') r(\theta'). \quad (3.24)$$

The first term in the r.h.s. of (3.24) is the approximate form of $h_0(\theta + B)$ found in (3.23), and the kernel is given by

$$R(\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\omega \frac{e^{i\omega\theta} \tilde{K}(\omega)}{1 + \tilde{K}(\omega)}, \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$\tilde{K}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\theta e^{i\omega\theta} K(\theta) = \frac{e^{-|\omega|} - e^{-\kappa|\omega|}}{1 - e^{-|\omega|}}. \quad (3.26)$$

One can now use Wiener-Hopf techniques to obtain the Fourier transform of $r(\theta)$

$$\mathcal{F}_+(\omega) = \int_0^\infty d\omega e^{i\omega\theta} r(\theta) = -\frac{2}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}\right) e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}B} \frac{G_+(\omega) G_+(2\pi i/\kappa)}{\frac{2\pi}{\kappa} - i\omega}, \quad (3.27)$$

where

$$G_+(\omega) = \sqrt{\kappa} \frac{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{i\omega}{2\pi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1 - \frac{i\kappa\omega}{2\pi}\right)} \exp\left(\frac{i\omega \left(\log\left(-\frac{i\omega}{2\pi}\right) - 1\right)}{2\pi} - \frac{i\kappa\omega \left(\log\left(-\frac{i\kappa\omega}{2\pi}\right) - 1\right)}{2\pi}\right). \quad (3.28)$$

In terms of $r(\theta)$, the energy gap at large B , which corresponds to weak coupling, is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta_\kappa}{c^2} &\approx -4B \int_0^\infty r(\theta) d\theta = -4B \mathcal{F}_+(0) \\ &= \frac{8B}{\pi} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{\kappa}\right) \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\kappa}-1} \kappa^{\frac{1}{\kappa}+1} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}B}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

This constant overall factor can be tested numerically. We have done so for $\kappa = 2, 3, 4, 7, 8$, finding a relative error of at most 10^{-2} using only 10 values of B . The result (3.29) generalizes the calculation of [15] to arbitrary κ .

It remains now to express the result (3.29) in terms of γ . This last step is non-trivial, and in the calculation in [15] for $\kappa = 2$ it involved a constant which had to be determined numerically. An analytic expression for this constant, leading to a complete answer for $\kappa = 2$ at next-to-leading order in γ , was obtained in [16] by an indirect argument, and later confirmed in [26] (see [27]). In the case of general κ , the methods developed in [1, 2] lead to the explicit expression (2.21), which make it possible to obtain the analytic form of the answer for arbitrary κ . By using that result, we can finally write

$$\frac{\Delta_\kappa}{E_F} \approx \left(\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}\right)^{2/\kappa} \frac{64}{\kappa^2 \Gamma\left(1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}\right)} \gamma^{1/\kappa} e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\kappa^2} \frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$E_F = \frac{\pi n^2}{4} \quad (3.31)$$

is the Fermi energy of the free one-dimensional Fermi gas. This expression should be understood as the leading asymptotic behavior of the gap as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$. It can be easily checked that the expression (3.30) agrees, when $\kappa = 2$, with the results in [16, 26, 27].

The energy gap determines the fundamental non-perturbative scale of the theory. It is exponentially small in γ , and its prefactor scales with γ like $\gamma^{1/\kappa}$. We will now see how the main features of this result can be obtained from two different approaches: the behavior of perturbation theory at large order, and a RG analysis.

4 The energy gap from large order behavior

The energy gap (3.30) is clearly a non-perturbative effect. It has been known for a long time that non-perturbative effects in quantum physics can be often extracted from the large order behavior of the perturbative series (see e.g. [28] for a textbook exposition, and [29] for a collection of articles on the subject). Let us suppose that we have a perturbative series of the form,

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} a_k z^k. \quad (4.1)$$

Here, z is the (small) coupling constant of the problem. In most examples in quantum theory, the coefficients a_k grow factorially with k . More precisely, we have

$$a_k \sim \frac{\mu_0}{2\pi} A^{-k-b} \Gamma(k+b), \quad k \gg 1, \quad (4.2)$$

where A , b and μ_0 are parameters that characterize the growth of perturbation theory at next-to-leading order in $1/k$. This growth leads to an exponentially small, non-perturbative effect of the form

$$\mu_0 z^{-b} e^{-A/z}, \quad z \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Therefore, the parameters in the factorial growth (4.2) determine the strength of the non-perturbative effect. In real examples, these parameters can be extracted numerically from the growth of the perturbative series, and then compared with expectations about the presence of non-perturbative effects. Particularly important are A and b , since they determine the leading

dependence of the non-perturbative effect on the coupling constant z . In general, there is a “minimal” non-perturbative scale in the problem

$$\Lambda(z) = z^{-b} e^{-A/z} \quad (4.4)$$

and a generic non-perturbative effect scales at small z as $\Lambda^d(z)$, where d is often an integer. We note that (4.4) is often the leading approximation to the full answer, and it multiplies a power series in z .

An illustrative example of the considerations above is the double-well potential in one-dimensional quantum mechanics, of the form

$$V(x) = \frac{x^2}{2} (1 + xg^{1/2})^2. \quad (4.5)$$

Here, g can be regarded as a coupling constant, and the energy levels can be computed as formal power series in g by using standard stationary perturbation theory. In this potential, the energy gap, i.e. the difference between the ground state energy and the first excited state, is purely non-perturbative in g . At leading order, it is given by the scale

$$\Lambda(g) = g^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{6g}}, \quad (4.6)$$

and it is due to tunneling between the two classical vacua (in the language of instantons, this is a one-instanton effect). One way to extract this scale is to look at the larger order behavior of the perturbative series for the ground-state energy. Its coefficients grow as [30, 31]

$$a_k \sim \Gamma(k+1) 3^{k+1}, \quad k \gg 1, \quad (4.7)$$

so they lead to a non perturbative scale which is the *square* $\Lambda^2(g)$ of the “minimal” scale (4.6).

It was conjectured in [1] that precisely this phenomenon occurs in Fermi systems with an attractive interaction: the large order behavior of the perturbative series for the ground-state energy leads to a non-perturbative scale which is the *square* of the scale appearing in the energy gap. This was verified for the Gaudin–Yang model with $\kappa = 2$ components. We will now provide evidence for the same phenomenon in the multi-component case. This in particular will determine a “minimal” non-perturbative scale

$$\Lambda(\gamma) = \gamma^{1/\kappa} e^{-\frac{2\pi^2}{\kappa^2 \gamma}}, \quad (4.8)$$

in agreement with (3.30).

Following [1], it is useful to introduce the ’t Hooft-like coupling

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^2 \gamma \quad (4.9)$$

and the rescaled ground energy density

$$e(\lambda; \kappa) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{E/\kappa}{(n/\kappa)^3}, \quad (4.10)$$

where E is given in (2.15) in terms of the Bethe ansatz solution. This function has the perturbative expansion

$$e(\lambda; \kappa) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} c_\ell(\kappa) \lambda^\ell. \quad (4.11)$$




Figure 1. The figure in the left (respectively, right) shows the sequence (4.16) (in black dots) and its first Richardson transform (in red dots) for the values $\kappa = 3$ (respectively, $\kappa = 5$). The horizontal dashed line is the expected value $b(\kappa) = -2/\kappa$.

The coefficients $c_\ell(\kappa)$ can be computed systematically by using the algorithm presented in [1]. One finds, for the very first orders,

$$c_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{12}, \quad c_1 = \Delta - 1, \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\Delta}{3}, \quad c_3 = \frac{4\Delta(\Delta - 1)\zeta(3)}{\pi^4}, \quad c_4 = -\frac{12\Delta(\Delta - 1)^2\zeta(3)}{\pi^6}, \quad (4.12)$$

where we have denoted

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{\kappa}. \quad (4.13)$$

We have computed the first 45 coefficients in (4.11), which turn out to be sufficient to study numerically the large order behavior of the sequence $c_\ell(\kappa)$. We find,

$$c_\ell(\kappa) \sim A^{-\ell-b(\kappa)}\Gamma(\ell+b(\kappa)), \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$A = \pi^2, \quad b(\kappa) = -\frac{2}{\kappa}. \quad (4.15)$$

The numerical procedure to extract these numbers is standard (see e.g. [32]). For example, to determine $b(\kappa)$, we consider the sequence

$$t_\ell = \frac{Ac_{\ell+1}}{c_\ell} - \ell, \quad \ell \geq 0, \quad (4.16)$$

which should approach $b(\kappa)$ as $\ell \gg 1$. The convergence of the sequence to the expected value can be accelerated with Richardson transforms. Examples of these numerical determinations are shown in Fig. 1. If we now take into account that the expansion (4.11) is done in the coupling λ , and we go back to the coupling γ , we find that the large order growth leads to the non-perturbative scale

$$\Lambda^2(\gamma) = \gamma^{2/\kappa} e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{\kappa^2\gamma}}, \quad (4.17)$$

which is precisely the square of (4.8).

As explained in [1], the factorial growth of perturbation theory is due to a renormalon effect [10], and ring diagrams explain the exponential dependence in (4.17). However, as we check explicitly in Appendix A, the prefactor $\gamma^{2/\kappa}$ is subleading in $1/\kappa$ and cannot be explained by ring diagrams only.

5 The energy gap from the renormalization group

As it is well-known, in relativistic asymptotically free theories, the coupling dependence of the non-perturbative scale can be determined, at weak coupling, by a RG analysis. The argument is very simple. Let us assume that we have a running coupling constant $g(\mu)$, depending on a scale μ , and satisfying a RG equation of the form

$$\mu \frac{dg}{d\mu} = \beta(g) = \beta_0 g^2 + \beta_1 g^3 + \dots, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\beta_0 < 0$. Then, the following quantity

$$\mathcal{I}(g) = \mu g(\mu)^{\beta_1/\beta_0^2} e^{\frac{1}{\beta_0 g(\mu)}} \exp \left\{ - \int_{g_*}^{g(\mu)} \left(\frac{1}{\beta(\bar{g})} - \frac{1}{\beta_0 \bar{g}^2} + \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0^2 \bar{g}} \right) d\bar{g} \right\} \quad (5.2)$$

is invariant under the RG flow, i.e. it is independent of the scale μ . Here g_* is an arbitrary value, which is equivalent to the freedom of multiplying \mathcal{I} by an arbitrary μ -independent constant. Since $\beta_0 < 0$, $\mathcal{I}(g)$ is an exponentially small quantity in the coupling constant, and it can be regarded as the all-orders generalization of the “minimal” non-perturbative scale (4.4) for these theories. We note that A in (4.4) is essentially given by the inverse of the first coefficient of the beta function, while b involves the first two coefficients β_0, β_1 . It was pointed out by Parisi [33] that the non-perturbative ambiguities due to renormalons are given by integer powers of the scale (5.2), and he conjectured that they govern the large order behavior of the corresponding perturbative series.

There are many structural similarities between many-fermion systems with an attractive interaction and asymptotically free field theories. One could then use the RG equations to determine the coupling constant dependence of non-perturbative quantities. In the case of one-dimensional Fermi systems, this was pointed out by Larkin and Sak in [16]. In particular, they determined the energy gap in the Gaudin–Yang model with $\kappa = 2$ from the RG equations of [34].

In this section we determine the RG equations for the Gaudin–Yang model with arbitrary κ and we rederive the non-perturbative scale (4.8). This shows that the connection between non-perturbative effects, RG equations and large order behavior in asymptotically free, relativistic field theories, also holds in this one-dimensional many-body model. As in [16], we will use the RG approach of [34], which we will call multiplicative renormalization (see [17] for a review).

As is well known, the first step in the multiplicative renormalization procedure in one-dimensions is to linearize the dispersion relation near the Fermi surface. We start with a free Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \sum_{k,\alpha} \epsilon_k c_{k,\alpha}^\dagger c_{k,\alpha}, \quad (5.3)$$

where $\alpha = 1, \dots, \kappa$. We focus our attention on energies around $k = \pm k_F$, and integrate out modes with $|k - k_F| \gg k_0$ for some cutoff $k_0 \ll k_F$. This leads to a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H_0 = \sum_{k,\alpha} v_F(k - k_F) a_{k,\alpha}^\dagger a_{k,\alpha} + \sum_{k,\alpha} v_F(-k - k_F) b_{k,\alpha}^\dagger b_{k,\alpha} \quad (5.4)$$

where a and b are annihilation operators for right and left moving particles, respectively, and v_F is the Fermi velocity. The energy bandwidth associated to the cutoff k_0 is given by

$$E_0 = 2v_F k_0. \quad (5.5)$$

We also define the free Green's function for right/left movers as

$$G_{\pm}(k, i\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega \mp k + k_F} \quad (5.6)$$

We can now add interactions which are diagrammatically illustrated in Figure 2,

$$\begin{aligned} H_I = \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4} \sum_{\alpha, \beta}^{\kappa} \delta(k_1 + k_2 - k_3 - k_4) & \left\{ g_1 b_{k_1, \alpha}^{\dagger} a_{k_2, \beta}^{\dagger} a_{k_3, \alpha} b_{k_4, \beta} + g_2 b_{k_1, \alpha}^{\dagger} a_{k_2, \beta}^{\dagger} b_{k_3, \alpha} a_{k_4, \beta} \right. \\ & + g_3 (a_{k_1, \alpha}^{\dagger} a_{k_2, \beta}^{\dagger} b_{k_3, \alpha} b_{k_4, \beta} + b_{k_1, \alpha}^{\dagger} b_{k_2, \beta}^{\dagger} a_{k_3, \alpha} a_{k_4, \beta}) \\ & \left. + g_4 (a_{k_1, \alpha}^{\dagger} a_{k_2, \beta}^{\dagger} a_{k_3, \alpha} a_{k_4, \beta} + b_{k_1, \alpha}^{\dagger} b_{k_2, \beta}^{\dagger} b_{k_3, \alpha} b_{k_4, \beta}) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Very often the couplings g_i are split into $g_{i\perp}$ and $g_{i\parallel}$ for particles with different/identical spin. In the Gaudin–Yang case, the g_3 interaction, which corresponds to Umklapp scattering, is not allowed. In the present scheme of bandwidth cutoff, the g_4 process does not contribute. We will then focus on the couplings $g_{1,2}$.




Figure 2. The allowed couplings g_1 , g_2 , g_3 and g_4 , from left to right. They mix right moving (continuous line) and left moving (dashed line) particles. We only consider g_1 and g_2 , the leftmost couplings. The arrows are taken to be implicit in other diagrams.

The procedure of multiplicative renormalization is based on comparing Green's functions and vertex functions at different values of the cutoffs. The working hypothesis is that, once the coupling constants are appropriately adjusted, these functions differ in a multiplicative factor only. We have, for the Green's functions,

$$G(k, \omega, g'_i, E'_0) = z \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i \right) G(k, \omega, g_i, E_0). \quad (5.8)$$

The vertex or four-point functions are associated to the couplings and related through the equation,

$$\Gamma'_i(\{k, \omega\}, g'_i, E'_0) = z_i^{-1} \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i \right) \Gamma_i(\{k, \omega\}, g_i, E_0), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (5.9)$$

where $\{k, \omega\}$ denote the four different momenta and frequencies appearing in the vertex. This leads to the following renormalization of the coupling constant

$$g'_i = \frac{z_i \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i \right)}{z^2 \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i \right)} g_i, \quad (5.10)$$

and to the beta functions

$$\beta_i = \frac{dg_i(\mu)}{d \log \mu} = \frac{d}{d \log \mu} \left(\frac{z_i(\mu, g_i)}{z^2(\mu, g_i)} \right) \Big|_{\mu=1} g_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (5.11)$$

where $\mu = E'_0/E_0$.

At one loop the procedure is rather simple. Self-energy corrections vanish, so we only need the vertices. In order to compute the scaling of $\Gamma_{1,2}$, we must first assign a set of external momenta and frequency. Since we are ultimately interested in how the couplings vary with the scale, we can choose one of the external parameters to play the role of “probe scale”. As usual in renormalization, we work under the assumption that we probe energies far below the cut off. We choose, following [17], ω , though one could just as well pick k or even the inverse temperature β . A convenient choice of external parameters is proposed in [17]. We set the momenta of right/left movers at the Fermi points $\pm k_F$, respectively. The incoming right moving particle has an energy of $3i\omega/2$ while the incoming left moving particle has an energy of $-i\omega/2$ and both outgoing particles have the energy $i\omega/2$.




Figure 3. One loop correction to g_1 (top) and g_2 (bottom). The top rightmost diagrams have a multiplicity of 2 since we can pick the lines to be ingoing/outgoing in two distinct ways.

At one loop one has the diagrams shown in Fig. 3. There are only two types of loop integrals, which correspond to the so-called Cooper and Peierls channels, denoted by $J_C(\omega)$ and $J_P(\omega)$, respectively. We can write at first order

$$g_1 \Gamma_1(i\omega, g_i, E_0) = g_1 - (2g_1 g_2 J_C(\omega) + (2g_2 g_1 - \kappa g_1^2) J_P(\omega)) + \dots, \quad (5.12)$$

$$g_2 \Gamma_2(i\omega, g_i, E_0) = g_2 - ((g_1^2 + g_2^2) J_C(\omega) + g_2^2 J_P(\omega)) + \dots. \quad (5.13)$$

We take $\omega \ll E_0$ to single out the leading logarithmic dependence, and we find

$$J_C(\omega) = \int_{k_F - k_0}^{k_F + k_0} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} G_+(q, i\omega') G_-(-q, i\omega - i\omega') \approx -\frac{1}{2\pi v_F} \log \left(\frac{\omega}{E_0} \right), \quad (5.14)$$

$$J_P(\omega) = \int_{-k_0}^{+k_0} \frac{dq}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} G_+(q + k_F, i\omega') G_-(q - k_F, i\omega' - i\omega) = -J_C(\omega).$$

The vertices are

$$g_1 \Gamma_1(i\omega, g_i, E_0) = g_1 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} (\kappa g_1^2) \log \left(\frac{\omega}{E_0} \right) + \dots, \quad (5.15)$$

$$g_2 \Gamma_2(i\omega, g_i, E_0) = g_2 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} (g_1^2) \log \left(\frac{\omega}{E_0} \right) + \dots.$$

From the definition (5.9) we read

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i \right) &= \frac{\Gamma_1(\omega, g_i, E_0)}{\Gamma_1(\omega, g'_i, E'_0)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} (\kappa g_1) \log \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0} \right) + \dots \\ z_2 \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i \right) &= \frac{\Gamma_2(\omega, g_i, E_0)}{\Gamma_2(\omega, g'_i, E'_0)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} \left(\frac{g_1^2}{g_2} \right) \log \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0} \right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

where we use $g'_i \approx g_i + \mathcal{O}(g^2)$. These results are independent of ω , as required by the multiplicative renormalization hypothesis. At one loop we find, by using (5.10),

$$g'_1 = g_1 + \frac{g_1^2 \kappa}{2\pi v_F} \log \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0} \right) + \dots, \quad g'_2 = g_2 + \frac{g_1^2}{2\pi v_F} \log \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0} \right) + \dots. \quad (5.17)$$

The calculation at two loops is more involved. For the self-energy we have the diagrams in Fig. 4. We take the inflowing momentum and energy to be $k_F + k$ and $i\omega$, respectively, and we find




Figure 4. Corrections to the right moving self-energy. Note that one-loop contributions are zero. The left moving self-energy is identical.

$$G(k, i\omega, g_i, E_0) = \left\{ 1 + (\kappa g_1^2 + \kappa g_2^2 - 2g_1 g_2) \frac{\log \left(\frac{\omega}{E_0} \right)}{8\pi^2 v_F^2} + \dots \right\} G_+(k, i\omega). \quad (5.18)$$

Due to (5.8), z is given by

$$z \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i \right) = \left(\frac{G(k_F, i\omega, g_i, E_0)}{G(k_F, i\omega, g'_i, E'_0)} \right)^{-1} = 1 - (\kappa g_1^2 + \kappa g_2^2 - 2g_1 g_2) \frac{\log \left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0} \right)}{8\pi^2 v_F^2} + \dots. \quad (5.19)$$

At two loops one finds far more diagrams for the vertices, as detailed in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.




Figure 5. Two loop correction to g_1 . Some diagrams which are distinct over choice of ingoing/outgoing legs have a multiplicity of 2, diagrams with a spin loop have a factor of $-\kappa$.

This gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_1 \Gamma_1(i\omega, g_i, E_0) &= g_1 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} (\kappa g_1^2) \log\left(\frac{\omega}{E_0}\right) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} (2g_1^2 g_2 - \kappa g_2^2 g_1) \log\left(\frac{\omega}{E_0}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi^2 v_F^2} (2\kappa^2 g_1^3) \log^2\left(\frac{\omega}{E_0}\right) + \dots \\
g_2 \Gamma_2(i\omega, g_i, E_0) &= g_2 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} (g_1^2) \log\left(\frac{\omega}{E_0}\right) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} (g_1^3 - \kappa g_1^2 g_2 + 2g_2^2 g_1 - \kappa g_2^3) \log\left(\frac{\omega}{E_0}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi^2 v_F^2} (2\kappa g_1^3) \log^2\left(\frac{\omega}{E_0}\right) + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

When $\kappa = 2$, the result above agrees with the calculation in [17, 34]. From these results we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i\right) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} (\kappa g_1) \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} (2g_1 g_2 - \kappa g_2^2) \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi^2 v_F^2} (2\kappa^2 g_1^2) \log^2\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{5.21}$$

Here we must use the corrections (5.17) to g'_i , since they are crucial to cancel $\log(\omega)$ dependencies at order $\mathcal{O}(g^2)$. For z_2 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
z_2\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}, g_i\right) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} \left(\frac{g_1^2}{g_2}\right) \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} \left(\frac{g_1^3}{g_2} - \kappa g_1^2 - \kappa g_2^2 + 2g_2 g_1\right) \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8\pi^2 v_F^2} \left(2\kappa \frac{g_1^3}{g_2}\right) \log^2\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{5.22}$$

The cancellation of $\log(\omega)$ for z_1, z_2 is a non-trivial check of the diagrammatic calculations.




Figure 6. Two loop corrections to g_2 . Some diagrams which are distinct over choice of ingoing/outgoing legs have a multiplicity of 2; diagrams with a spin loop have a factor of $-\kappa$.

Finally, by assembling the pieces and plugging them in (5.10), we find

$$g'_1 = g_1 + \frac{g_1^2 \kappa}{2\pi v_F} \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \frac{g_1^3 \kappa}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \frac{g_1^3 \kappa^2}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} \log^2\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \dots, \quad (5.23)$$

$$g'_2 = g_2 + \frac{g_1^2}{2\pi v_F} \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \frac{g_1^3}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} \log\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \frac{g_1^3 \kappa}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} \log^2\left(\frac{E'_0}{E_0}\right) + \dots. \quad (5.24)$$

We are now ready to calculate the beta functions for the couplings g_1, g_2 . By using (5.21), (5.22) and (5.19) in (5.11), we obtain

$$\beta_1 = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi v_F} g_1^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} g_1^3 + \dots, \quad (5.25)$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi v_F} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{4\pi^2 v_F^2} g_1^3 + \dots. \quad (5.26)$$

These beta functions agree with a similar calculation in the $SU(\kappa)$ Hubbard model in [35].

With all these results, we can now calculate the gap in the attractive regime $g_1 = -2c < 0$. We introduce

$$\bar{g}_1 = -\frac{g_1}{\pi v_F} = \frac{\kappa \gamma}{\pi^2}, \quad (5.27)$$

and we find that the beta function for \bar{g}_1 is of the form (5.1) with:

$$\beta_0 = -\frac{\kappa}{2}, \quad \beta_1 = \frac{\kappa}{4}. \quad (5.28)$$

By using these values and (5.27), we find that the RG invariant scale (5.2) agrees precisely with (4.8).

As noted in [3], the beta function β_1 coincides with the one of the chiral Gross–Neveu model [36]¹. In fact, it can be shown explicitly that the Hamiltonian $H_0 + H_I$, where only the couplings g_1, g_2 are taken into account, is a particular case of the chiral Gross–Neveu model. This explains the relationship between the beta functions. We give some details of this equivalence in Appendix B.

¹We thank Philippe Lecheminant for pointing out the relation to the chiral Gross–Neveu model.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we have extended and deepened the connection found in [1–3] between the energy gap, the large order behavior of perturbation theory, and renormalons, in one-dimensional models of many-body fermions with an attractive interaction. We have seen that the weak-coupling behavior of the energy gap in the multi-component Gaudin–Yang model can be predicted from the large order behavior of the perturbative series for the ground state energy. This series diverges factorially due to renormalon diagrams. When the number of components is large, the leading renormalon diagrams (which turn out to be ring diagrams) reproduce correctly the exponential term in the energy gap. Moreover, as in asymptotically free theories in two dimensions, the leading and sub-leading terms in the large order behavior can be obtained from the beta function of the theory, as computed in the relativistic approximation near the Fermi points. This also implies a connection between the gap and the beta function, noted long ago in [16]. In order to establish these relationships, we have performed a detailed calculation of the energy gap directly from the Bethe ansatz solution in the multicomponent case, generalizing in this way the results of [15] for $\kappa = 2$.

Although the integrability of the model makes it possible to test our ideas in detail, the connection we have found should be valid more generally. For example, the results of this paper, combined with the ones in [3], suggest that the energy gap in the multi-component Hubbard model (which is not integrable) is given by

$$\Delta \approx u^{1/\kappa} \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa u} \sin\left(\frac{\pi n}{\kappa}\right)\right), \quad u \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.1)$$

where u is the coupling constant and n is the density (see [3] for more details and clarifications on the notation). The exponent appearing in this expression can be interpreted as due to the contribution of renormalon diagrams dominating in the large κ limit. In QCD, renormalons have been instrumental in determining non-perturbative scales [10], and it is gratifying that the same principles shed light on the energy gap of many-fermion systems.

There are various avenues open by this investigation. One important issue would be to understand systematically the corrections to the results presented in this paper. As we have mentioned, the “minimal” scale (4.4) is the leading approximation to a fully-fledged trans-series, and it multiplies a power series in the coupling constant. As emphasized in this paper, these subleading corrections can in principle be computed by following any of the three roads we have considered. We could for example use the Bethe ansatz equations, we could determine them from the subleading contributions to the large order behavior, and we could try to understand them from the beta function, by including higher loops and higher modes. It would be also interesting to connect these corrections to the behavior of diagrams. In fact, this should be done already to reproduce the prefactor $\gamma^{2/\kappa}$ in (4.17). It might be possible to do this by considering diagrams which are subleading in the large κ expansion.

Another interesting avenue is to find a description of the model in the $1/\kappa$ expansion, along the lines of what was done for the principal chiral field in [37–39]. This might require to study a regime of the model in which different bound states are present in a prescribed way, as in [37, 38]. We have found encouraging indications that the Bethe ansatz equations for the multi-component Gaudin–Yang model might simplify in an appropriate large κ regime, but more work is needed.

As mentioned in our previous papers [1–3], a fundamental issue is to find a first-principles procedure to calculate the energy gap from the path integral, by some generalization of perturbation theory that takes into account renormalon physics. In QCD, such a procedure is provided,

for some observables, by the OPE, combined with the existence of non-trivial vacuum condensates. It would be fascinating to extend these methods to non-relativistic models like the one studied in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Thierry Giamarchi, Wilhelm Zwerger and Philippe Lecheminant for useful discussions and correspondence. This work has been supported in part by the Fonds National Suisse, subsidy 200020-175539, by the NCCR 51NF40-182902 “The Mathematics of Physics” (SwissMAP), and by the ERC-SyG project “Recursive and Exact New Quantum Theory” (ReNewQuantum), which received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program, grant agreement No. 810573.

A Non-perturbative scale from ring diagrams

As we have already argued in [1], the large order behavior of the perturbative series (4.14) is due to renormalon diagrams. In order to study these diagrams in a systematic way, it is useful to do a large κ expansion which isolates the most important type of diagrams (in QED or QCD, this is done by taking a large number of flavours [10]). In the case of the multi-component Gaudin–Yang model, the large κ limit selects the so-called ring diagrams (see e.g. [40, 41]). It was shown in [1] that ring diagrams lead to the correct exponential term in (4.17). However, as we will briefly show here, they do not lead to the correct prefactor, which is subleading in the $1/\kappa$ expansion.

The ground state energy for the Gaudin–Yang model with κ spin components (4.10) has a $1/\kappa$ expansion of the form

$$e(\lambda; \kappa) = e_0(\lambda) + \frac{1}{\kappa} e_1(\lambda) + \dots \quad (\text{A.1})$$

In this equation, λ is the ’t Hooft parameter (4.9), $e_0(\lambda)$ is the free gas result plus the Hartree term, while $e_1(\lambda)$ is given by a resummation of ring diagrams [1]:

$$e_1(\lambda) = \lambda - \frac{\pi}{4} \int_0^\infty dy y \int_0^\infty d\nu \left[\log \left(1 - \frac{\kappa^2 \gamma}{\pi^2} F(y, \nu) \right) + \frac{\kappa^2 \gamma}{\pi^2} F(y, \nu) \right], \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where

$$F(y, \nu) = \frac{1}{2y} \log \left(\frac{(y/2 + 1)^2 + \nu^2}{(y/2 - 1)^2 + \nu^2} \right). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

As noted in [3, 42] in similar situations, the integral (A.2) has an exponentially small imaginary piece which must be cancelled by non-perturbative effects not captured by the diagrammatic expansion. We can then reconstruct these non-perturbative effects by properly expanding the imaginary part of the integral, which occurs when the argument of the log becomes negative. The condition

$$1 - \frac{\kappa^2 \gamma}{\pi^2} F(y, \nu, \gamma) < 0 \quad (\text{A.4})$$

defines a compact region \mathcal{R} in the first quadrant of the (y, ν) plane. The region is delimited by the curve defined by the equation

$$\nu^2 = \frac{-(y-2)^2 + e^{-2\pi^2 y / \kappa^2 \gamma} (y+2)^2}{4(1 - e^{-2\pi^2 y / \kappa^2 \gamma})}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Since $\nu \geq 0$, we must find the limits of integration $y = y_{\pm}$ where the boundary line crosses the real axis. Let us define the non-perturbative parameter

$$\alpha = e^{-2\pi^2/\kappa^2\gamma} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

and let us change variables from y to u , where

$$y = 2 + 4\alpha u. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The equation for the endpoints u_{\pm} is

$$e^{-2\alpha \log \alpha u_{\pm}} \mp \left(\frac{1}{u_{\pm}} + \alpha \right) = 0, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

which can be easily solved in a power series expansion in the two variables α , $\log \alpha$ (this is a simple example of a trans-series, see [6–8]). For the first few orders we find

$$u_{\pm} = \pm 1 + \alpha(2 \log(\alpha) + 1) \pm \alpha^2 (6 \log^2(\alpha) + 6 \log(\alpha) + 1) + \dots \quad (\text{A.9})$$

To determine the imaginary part of $e_1(\lambda)$, we have to calculate

$$\int_{u_-}^{u_+} 4\alpha(2 + 4\alpha u)\nu(u)du. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

This can be done by expanding the integrand into factors of $(u - u_+)^m(u - u_-)^k$ and $u(u - u_+)^m(u - u_-)^k$ at each order in α before performing the integration, and then resumming at each order in α the resulting polynomials in u_+ and u_- . When all this is done, we obtain the following expansion for the imaginary part of $e_1(\lambda)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } e_1(\lambda) = & 2\pi^2 e^{-\pi^2/\lambda} + \frac{8\pi^2 e^{-2\pi^2/\lambda}}{\lambda^2} \left(\lambda^2 - \frac{3\pi^2}{2}\lambda + \frac{\pi^4}{2} \right) \\ & + \frac{6\pi^2 e^{-3\pi^2/\lambda}}{\lambda^4} \left(3\lambda^4 - 14\pi^2\lambda^3 + 21\pi^4\lambda^2 - 12\pi^6\lambda + \frac{9\pi^8}{4} \right) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The leading, exponentially small effect has the correct exponent to match (4.17), but not the correct prefactor. A similar phenomenon was found in the Hubbard model in [3]. This is due to the fact that ring diagrams capture the diagrammatic structure at the first non-trivial order in the $1/\kappa$ expansion, while the prefactor $\gamma^{1/\kappa}$ is subleading in $1/\kappa$. By considering renormalon diagrams of order $1/\kappa^2$ one might be able to reproduce this prefactor².

An interesting application of the above calculation is a precise formula for the large order behavior of the coefficients $c_{\ell}^{(1)}$ in the perturbative expansion of $e_1(\lambda)$:

$$e_1(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell \geq 0} c_{\ell}^{(1)} \lambda^{\ell}. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

²Non-trivial prefactors appearing in energy gaps can sometimes be reproduced by renormalon calculations. An example of this occurs in the two-dimensional model analyzed in [42]. In this model, the mass gap at large N obtained in [42] by a renormalon calculation around the perturbative vacuum matches precisely a large N calculation at the non-perturbative vacuum [43]. This includes not only the correct prefactor, but also an infinite series of exponentially small corrections given by a Lambert function.

These coefficients appear in the $1/\kappa$ expansion of the coefficients $c_\ell(\kappa)$ of (4.11):

$$c_\ell(\kappa) = c_\ell^{(0)} + \frac{1}{\kappa} c_\ell^{(1)} + \dots \quad (\text{A.13})$$

If we write

$$\text{Im } e_1(\lambda) = \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{2j-2} a_{j,i} \lambda^{-i} e^{-j\pi^2/\lambda} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

we find

$$c_\ell^{(1)} \sim - \sum_{j \geq 1} \sum_{i=0}^{2j-2} \frac{\Gamma(\ell+i)}{(\pi^2 j)^{\ell+i}} a_{j,i}. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

By appropriately truncating the sum over j , we can obtain from (A.15) very accurate values for the perturbative coefficients $c_\ell^{(1)}$.

B Relation to the chiral Gross–Neveu model

The relativistic model we have used in our RG analysis turns out to be closely related to the Thirring model and, more precisely, to the chiral Gross–Neveu model (similar relations have been pointed out in [44, 45]). To see this, we consider the general form of the Thirring Lagrangian, given by

$$\mathcal{L} = i\bar{\Psi}\not{\partial}\Psi - \frac{1}{2}gJ_\mu^\alpha J^{\mu\alpha}, \quad J_\mu^\alpha = \sum_j \bar{\Psi}_j \gamma_\mu T^\alpha \Psi_j, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $\Psi_{\alpha,j}$ ($j = 1, \dots, N_f$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, N_c$) is a N_f dimensional vector of Dirac spinors in an N_c dimensional representation of a compact Lie group G , and T^α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, \dim(G)$ are a basis for the representations of its Lie algebra such that $\text{Tr}[T^\alpha T^\beta] = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{\alpha\beta}$. In this section we reserve the term “spin” for spinor indices and use “colour” for the $SU(N)$ symmetry.

We are interested in the case $N_f = 1$ and $G = SU(N)$, with the Dirac spinor in the fundamental representation ($N_c = N$). This is the matter content of the chiral Gross–Neveu model (see e.g. [46]). We work in 1+1 dimensions with signature $(-, +)$. Explicitly, we use the following Dirac spinor conventions, where the chiral matrix is labelled γ_3 ,

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_+ \\ \psi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad \bar{\Psi} = i\Psi^\dagger \gamma_0, \quad \gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma_3 = \gamma_0 \gamma_1, \quad (\text{B.2})$$

and the colour-space index is suppressed for the spinors. The kinetic term is easily expanded in the above convention as

$$i\bar{\Psi}\not{\partial}\Psi = -\psi_+^*(\partial_0 - \partial_1)\psi_+ - \psi_-^*(\partial_0 + \partial_1)\psi_-, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

and they match the left/right moving modes from the two Fermi points considered in section 5 (up to a rescaling of the spatial direction by v_F).

In order to compare with the interactions in (5.7), we need to expand the vertex. It is useful to use two different Fierz identities. The first one is the Fierz identity in the Clifford algebra of two spacetime dimensions,

$$(\gamma_\mu)_\alpha^\beta (\gamma^\mu)_\gamma^\delta = (\mathbb{I})_\alpha^\delta (\mathbb{I})_\gamma^\beta - (\gamma_3)_\alpha^\delta (\gamma_3)_\gamma^\beta, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

and the second one is the Fierz identity in the $SU(N)$ Lie algebra

$$(T^\alpha)_{ab}(T^\alpha)_{cd} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta_{ad}\delta_{cb} - \frac{1}{N}\delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} \right). \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Using these two together we get, after some simple algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2}gJ_\mu^\alpha J^{\mu\alpha} &= \frac{g}{4} \left((\bar{\Psi} \cdot \Psi)^2 - (\bar{\Psi} \cdot \gamma_3 \Psi)^2 + \frac{1}{N}(\bar{\Psi} \cdot \gamma^\mu \Psi)(\bar{\Psi} \cdot \gamma_\mu \Psi) \right) \\ &= -g(\psi_+^* \cdot \psi_-)(\psi_-^* \cdot \psi_+) - \frac{g}{N}(\psi_+^* \cdot \psi_+)(\psi_-^* \cdot \psi_-), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where the inner products show explicitly the colour index sums. We can already identify the two vertices $g_{1,2}$ in section 5 as $g_1 \propto -g$ and $g_2 \propto -g/N$. Note that, according to our results in section 5, $g_1 - Ng_2$ is RG invariant. Here we find an additional perspective on this fact: this combination is forced to be zero due to Lorentz and $SU(N)$ invariance of the relativistic Lagrangian. (B.6) is also the interaction term for the chiral Gross–Neveu model in [46] with $g' = 0$. By using the results in [47] it is also possible to show in detail that the calculation of the beta function in section 5 is identical to the one for the coupling g in the chiral Gross–Neveu model.

References

- [1] M. Mariño and T. Reis, *Resurgence for superconductors*, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2019** (2019) 123102, [[1905.09569](#)].
- [2] M. Mariño and T. Reis, *Exact perturbative results for the Lieb–Liniger and Gaudin–Yang models*, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **177** (2019) 1148–1156, [[1905.09575](#)].
- [3] M. Mariño and T. Reis, *Resurgence and renormalons in the one-dimensional Hubbard model*, [2006.05131](#).
- [4] C. S. Lam, *Behavior of very high order perturbation diagrams*, *Nuovo Cim.* **A55** (1968) 258–274.
- [5] C. M. Bender and T. T. Wu, *Anharmonic oscillator. 2: A Study of perturbation theory in large order*, *Phys. Rev.* **D7** (1973) 1620–1636.
- [6] T. M. Seara and D. Sauzin, *Resumació de Borel i teoria de la ressurgència*, *Butl. Soc. Catalana Mat.* **18** (2003) 131–153.
- [7] M. Mariño, *Lectures on non-perturbative effects in large N gauge theories, matrix models and strings*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **62** (2014) 455–540, [[1206.6272](#)].
- [8] I. Aniceto, G. Basar and R. Schiappa, *A Primer on Resurgent Transseries and Their Asymptotics*, *Phys. Rept.* **809** (2019) 1–135, [[1802.10441](#)].
- [9] G. V. Dunne and M. Unsal, *New Nonperturbative Methods in Quantum Field Theory: From Large- N Orbifold Equivalence to Bions and Resurgence*, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **66** (2016) 245–272, [[1601.03414](#)].
- [10] M. Beneke, *Renormalons*, *Phys. Rept.* **317** (1999) 1–142, [[hep-ph/9807443](#)].
- [11] B. Sutherland, *Further results for the many-body problem in one dimension*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **20** (Jan, 1968) 98–100.
- [12] M. Takahashi, *Many-Body Problem of Attractive Fermions with Arbitrary Spin in One Dimension*, *Progress of Theoretical Physics* **44** (1970) 899–904.
- [13] X.-W. Guan, M. T. Batchelor and C. Lee, *Fermi gases in one dimension: From Bethe ansatz to experiments*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **85** (2013) 1633–1691.
- [14] S. Capponi, P. Lecheminant and K. Totsuka, *Phases of one-dimensional $SU(N)$ cold atomic Fermi gases—From molecular Luttinger liquids to topological phases*, *Annals of Physics* **367** (2016) 50 – 95.

- [15] V. Y. Krivnov and A. Ovchinnikov, *One-dimensional Fermi gas with attraction between the electrons*, *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **40** (1975) 781.
- [16] A. I. Larkin and J. Sak, *Boundary Conditions for Renormalization-Group Equations in One-Dimensional Fermi Gas*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **39** (1977) 1025–1027.
- [17] J. Sólyom, *The Fermi gas model of one-dimensional conductors*, *Advances in Physics* **28** (1979) 201–303.
- [18] M. Gaudin, *Un système à une dimension de fermions en interaction*, *Phys. Lett.* **A24** (1967) 55 – 56.
- [19] C.-N. Yang, *Some exact results for the many body problems in one dimension with repulsive delta function interaction*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **19** (1967) 1312–1314.
- [20] J. Y. Lee, X. W. Guan and M. T. Batchelor, *Yang–Yang method for the thermodynamics of one-dimensional multi-component interacting fermions*, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **44** (2011) 165002.
- [21] D. Volin, *From the mass gap in $O(N)$ to the non-Borel-summability in $O(3)$ and $O(4)$ sigma-models*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (2010) 105008, [[0904.2744](#)].
- [22] D. Volin, *Quantum integrability and functional equations: Applications to the spectral problem of AdS/CFT and two-dimensional sigma models*, *J. Phys.* **A44** (2011) 124003, [[1003.4725](#)].
- [23] L. Zhou, C. Xu and Y. Ma, *Exact studies of ground and excited states of one-dimensional δ -interacting Fermi gases in the BCS-BEC crossover*, *Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment* **2012** (2012) L03002.
- [24] R. B. Griffiths, *Magnetization curve at zero temperature for the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg linear chain*, *Phys. Rev.* **133** (1964) A768–A775.
- [25] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, *One-dimensional chain of anisotropic spin spin interactions. 2. Properties of the ground state energy per lattice site for an infinite system*, *Phys. Rev.* **150** (1966) 327–339.
- [26] F. Woynarovich and K. Penc, *Novel magnetic properties of the Hubbard chain with an attractive interaction*, *Zeitschrift für Physik B Condensed Matter* **85** (1991) 269–280.
- [27] J. N. Fuchs, A. Recati and W. Zwerger, *Exactly Solvable Model of the BCS-BEC Crossover*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 090408.
- [28] M. Mariño, *Instantons and large N . An introduction to non-perturbative methods in quantum field theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [29] J. Le Guillou and J. Zinn-Justin, eds., *Large order behavior of perturbation theory*. North-Holland, 1990.
- [30] E. Brezin, G. Parisi and J. Zinn-Justin, *Perturbation Theory at Large Orders for Potential with Degenerate Minima*, *Phys. Rev.* **D16** (1977) 408–412.
- [31] J. Zinn-Justin and U. D. Jentschura, *Multi-instantons and exact results I: Conjectures, WKB expansions, and instanton interactions*, *Annals Phys.* **313** (2004) 197–267, [[quant-ph/0501136](#)].
- [32] M. Mariño, R. Schiappa and M. Weiss, *Nonperturbative Effects and the Large-Order Behavior of Matrix Models and Topological Strings*, *Commun. Num. Theor. Phys.* **2** (2008) 349–419, [[0711.1954](#)].
- [33] G. Parisi, *On Infrared Divergences*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B150** (1979) 163–172.
- [34] N. Menyhárd and J. Sólyom, *Application of the renormalization group technique to the problem of phase transition in one-dimensional metallic systems. I. Invariant couplings, vertex, and one-particle Green’s function*, *Journal of Low Temperature Physics* **12** (1973) 529–545.
- [35] E. Szirmai and J. Sólyom, *Mott transition in the one-dimensional $SU(n)$ Hubbard model*, *Phys. Rev. B* **71** (2005) 205108.
- [36] D. J. Gross and A. Neveu, *Dynamical Symmetry Breaking in Asymptotically Free Field Theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D10** (1974) 3235.
- [37] V. A. Fateev, P. B. Wiegmann and V. A. Kazakov, *Large N chiral field in two-dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **73** (1994) 1750–1753.

- [38] V. A. Fateev, V. A. Kazakov and P. B. Wiegmann, *Principal chiral field at large N* , *Nucl. Phys.* **B424** (1994) 505–520, [[hep-th/9403099](#)].
- [39] V. Kazakov, E. Sobko and K. Zarembo, *Double-Scaling Limit in the Principal Chiral Model: A New Noncritical String?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124** (2020) 191602, [[1911.12860](#)].
- [40] R. J. Furnstahl and H. W. Hammer, *Effective field theory for Fermi systems in a large N expansion*, *Annals Phys.* **302** (2002) 206–228, [[nucl-th/0208058](#)].
- [41] P. Coleman, *Introduction to Many-Body Physics*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [42] M. Mariño and T. Reis, *A new renormalon in two dimensions*, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 216, [[1912.06228](#)].
- [43] G. Sberveglieri, M. Serone and G. Spada, *Self-Dualities and Renormalization Dependence of the Phase Diagram in 3d $O(N)$ Vector Models*, [2010.09737](#).
- [44] F. Woynarovich and P. Forgacs, *Scaling limit of the one-dimensional attractive Hubbard model: The half-filled band case*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **498** (1997) 565–603, [[cond-mat/9706270](#)].
- [45] F. Woynarovich and P. Forgács, *Scaling limit of the one-dimensional attractive Hubbard model: the non-half-filled band case*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **538** (1999) 701–730, [[cond-mat/9803044](#)].
- [46] P. Forgacs, S. Naik and F. Niedermayer, *The exact mass gap of the chiral Gross-Neveu model*, *Phys. Lett. B* **283** (1992) 282–286.
- [47] C. Destri, *Two-loop β -function for generalized non-abelian Thirring models*, *Physics Letters B* **210** (1988) 173 – 180.