Regularity of the density of states for random Dirac operators

Sylvain Zalczer¹

BCAM - Basque Center for Applied Mathematics

Mazarredo, 14. 48009 Bilbao, Spain

(*Electronic mail: szalczer@bcamath.org)

(Dated: 28 June 2023)

We consider the random Dirac operators for which we have proved Anderson localization in [J.-M. Barbaroux, H.D. Cornean, and S. Zalczer. Localization for Gapped Dirac Hamiltonians with Random Perturbations: Application to Graphene Antidot Lattices. *Doc. Math.*, 24:65–93, 2019]. We use the Wegner estimate we have got in that paper to prove Lipschitz regularity of the density of states. We use a method based on the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula to get information on the density of states from the Wegner estimate we have proven in the first article.

Keywords: Dirac operator, random operators, integrated density of states, Helffer-Sjöstrand formula

This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and AIP Publishing. This article appeared in *J. Math. Phys.* 64, 062103 (2023) and may be found at https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0078383

I. INTRODUCTION

The density of states is a major concept in condensed matter physics. It aims at measuring the number of energy levels by unit of volume near a given energy. Since operators used in solid-state physics typically have absolutely continuous or dense pure point spectrum, it is not possible to count the eigenvalues. Instead, we have to use a finite volume operator, the spectrum of which is discrete at least in some region of interest. More information about the general theory of the density of states for random Schrödinger operators can be found in 1 and 2. Note that, in these references, all results are about *Schrödinger* operators, *i.e.* with the energy given by a Laplacian. The density of states of random *Dirac* operators have been studied in a recent paper of Prado, de Oliveira and de Oliveira³; they consider the case of a discrete one-dimensional operator while, in the present paper, we consider a continuous model in arbitrary dimension.

In this paper, we consider the random Dirac-like operators for which we proved Anderson localization in the gap of the unperturbed operator in 4. One important physical meaning of these operators is the study of graphene. Indeed, the dispersion surface of the Hamiltonian describing an electron in graphene has a conical singularity, at a point which is called *Dirac point*⁵. Models with Dirac operators are used by physicists in numerical simulations and give results in accordance with the ones given by discrete models^{6–8}. Mathematically speaking, Fefferman and Weinstein prove that the Dirac equation governs the effective dynamics of electrons in graphene, when the initial data are spectrally concentrated near the singularity⁹. Note that the density of states of graphene models is studied by physicists (see 10 and references therein).

We look again at the model of 4. We assume that a periodic potential creates an energy bandgap, so that the graphene is a semiconductor¹¹. We perturb this gapped Hamiltonian with a random potential, which represents the effect of impurities in the sample. We use the Wegner estimate proven in 4 to get the Lipschitz regularity of the density of states. As we will explain in Remark III.2, several standard methods used for the density of states cannot be directly adapted to the case of Dirac operators. We use the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula to get the result, as it has been used in different settings by Klopp and Raikov¹², Germinet and Klein¹³, or Hislop¹⁴. We prove that this method can be adapted to the case of random Dirac operators, which implies to prove an adapted Combes-Thomas inequality (Property II.4). Such a result is usually called "Lipschitz regularity of the integrated density of states", the integrated density of states being the cumulative distribution function of the density of states measure. Nevertheless, our operator is not bounded from below and, conversely to Schrödinger operators with Gaussian potentials¹⁵¹⁶¹⁷, finite -volume operators have infinitely many eigenvalues below any real number. It is thus not possible to define the cumulative distribution function in the usual way. Hence, our results will be formulated in terms of the density of states measure.

In Section II, we present the model and give the result. In Section III is given the proof of the theorem. In Section IV, we prove that another way to define the density of states gives the same value. In Appendix A, we give a proof of a Combes-Thomas estimate.

II. MODEL AND IMPORTANT PROPERTIES

In this first part, we recall the model we have already used in 4. We use the terminology introduced by Klein and Koines in 18.

Definition II.1. Let $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^d$ be a family of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices where $n, d \ge 1$. We consider the following *first-order linear operator* with constant coefficients:

$$\sigma \cdot (-i\nabla) := \sum_{j=1}^{d} \sigma_j (-i\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}), \tag{1}$$

densely defined in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n)$. It is *elliptic* if there exists C > 0 such that for all $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$ we have

$$\|(\sigma \cdot p)q\|_{\mathbb{C}^n} \geqslant C\|p\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|q\|_{\mathbb{C}^n}. \tag{2}$$

Definition II.2. We say that an operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n)$ is a *coefficient positive operator* if it is a bounded invertible operator given by the multiplication by an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix-valued measurable function S(x) such that there exist two positive constants S_{\pm} such that:

$$0 < S_{-}I_n \leqslant S(x) \leqslant S_{+}I_n, \tag{3}$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

We consider operators of the type

$$H_0 := SD_0S + V_0 \tag{4}$$

where D_0 is a first-order elliptic operator with constant coefficients like in (1), and S is a coefficient positive operator as in (3). We assume that the function $S \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{H}_n(\mathbb{C}))$, where \mathscr{H}_n is the space of $n \times n$ Hermitian matrices, is \mathbb{Z}^d -periodic. We denote

$$D_S := SD_0S$$
.

The potential V_0 is \mathbb{Z}^d -periodic and belongs to $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{H}_n)$.

With the above definitions and assumptions, the operator H_0 is self-adjoint on $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Assumption 1 (gap assumption). The spectrum of H_0 contains a finite open gap, which will be denoted (B_-, B_+) .

Examples of operators satisfying these conditions can be found in 4, pp. 68–69. They include the free Dirac operator with a positive mass and the periodic operators modelling graphene antidot lattices studied in 19.

For operators fulfilling Assumption 1, we want to study the effect of random perturbations on the spectral gap (B_-, B_+) .

The random matrix-valued perturbation V_{ω} describing local defects is defined by

$$V_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} := \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \lambda_i(\boldsymbol{\omega}) u(\cdot - \xi_i(\boldsymbol{\omega}) - i),$$

for some u, λ_i and ξ_i satisfying Assumption 2 below. The total Hamiltonian is thus

$$H_{\omega} := H_0 + V_{\omega}. \tag{5}$$

Assumption 2. (i) The real-valued random variables $\{\lambda_i(\omega), i \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ are independent and identically distributed. Their common distribution is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, with a density h such that $||h||_{L^{\infty}} < \infty$. We assume that $\sup_{i=1}^{\infty} (-m,M) \neq \{0\}$ for some finite non-negative m and M.

(ii) The variables $\{\xi_i(\omega), i \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ are independent and identically distributed, and they are also independent from the λ_j 's. They take values in B_R with $0 < R < \frac{1}{2}$, where B_R is the ball in \mathbb{R}^d with radius R and centered at the origin.

(iii) The single-site matrix potential u is compactly supported with $supp(u) \subset [-2,2]^d$. In addition, u is assumed to be continuous almost everywhere, with $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathscr{H}_n^+)$, where \mathscr{H}_n^+ is the space of $n \times n$ non-negative Hermitian matrices.

As stated in 4, Remark 2.6(ii), H_{ω} is an ergodic family of operators and then has an almost surely deterministic spectrum. Throughout this article we use the sup norm in \mathbb{R}^d : $|x| := \max\{|x_i| : i = 1, ..., d\}$. By Λ_L we denote the open box of side L > 0 centered at 0:

$$\Lambda_L := \{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d; |y| < \frac{L}{2} \}.$$

Given a box Λ_L , we define the localized operator

$$H_{\omega,L} := H_0 + \sum_{i \in \Lambda_L \cap \mathbb{Z}^d} \lambda_i(\omega) u_i(\cdot - \xi_i(\omega)) = H_0 + V_{\omega,L}, \tag{6}$$

where we denote $u_i := u(\cdot - i)$. This operator is a self-adjoint unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

We can then define $R_{\omega,L}(z) := (H_{\omega,L} - z)^{-1}$ the resolvent of $H_{\omega,L}$ and $E_{\omega,L}(\cdot)$ its spectral projection.

The two most important properties used in the proof are the following. The first one is the Wegner estimate proven in 4, Theorem 4.2.

Property II.3 (Wegner estimate). Suppose Assumptions 1 and 2 hold. For any compact subinterval J of (B_-, B_+) , there exists a constant C_J such that for all $a < b \in J$ and all L > 0

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{tr}(E_{\boldsymbol{\omega},L}([a,b]))\right) \leqslant C_{L}(b-a)L^{d}$$
.

The second property is similar to Lemma 4.6 of 4. Its proof is given in appendix. Recall that, for a positive self-adjoint operator,

$$\operatorname{tr}(A) := \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \langle e_i, Ae_i \rangle, \tag{7}$$

where $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is any orthonormal basis. The value of the trace is independent of the orthonormal basis, see Theorem VI.18 of Reed and Simon²⁰. We say that an operator *A* is trace class if

$$||A||_1 := tr(|A|) < \infty.$$

We denote the ideal of trace class operators by \mathcal{I}_1 .

Property II.4 (Combes-Thomas estimate). Fix E_m and Y > 0. For all E, y such that $|E| \le E_m$, $|y| \le Y$ and any pair of bounded functions χ_1 and χ_2 with $||\chi_i||_{\infty} \le 1$ for i = 1, 2 and χ_1 compactly supported, such that the distance between their supports is $a \ge 10$, the operator $\chi_1(H_{\infty} - E - iy)^{-1}\chi_2$ is trace class.

Furthermore, there exist two constants D>0 and $\alpha>0$ such that for all E, y, χ_1 , χ_2 satisfying these hypotheses and all $\omega\in\Omega$, we have

$$\|\chi_1(H_\omega - E - iy)^{-1}\chi_2\|_1 \le \frac{D}{|y|^{2d+1}} |\operatorname{supp}(\chi_1)| e^{-\alpha|y|a}.$$
 (8)

Our goal being a result on the density of states, we have to define it.

For any nonnegative bounded measurable compactly supported function ϕ on the real line, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $L \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by χ_L the characteristic function of Λ_L and define

$$v_{\omega,L}(\phi) := \frac{1}{L^d} \operatorname{tr} \left(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega}) \chi_L \right).$$

Lemma II.5. For almost all ω , all L and ϕ satisfying the above conditions, $v_{\omega,L}(\phi)$ is finite. Moreover, we have that

$$\lim_{L\to\infty} v_{\omega,L}(\phi) = \mathbb{E}(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_1\phi(H_{\omega})\chi_1)),$$

where the convergence is both almost surely and in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. We can write χ_L as $\sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \Lambda_I} \chi_{\gamma}$ where χ_{γ} is the characteristic function of $\Lambda_1(\gamma)$, defined as $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y - \gamma| < \frac{1}{2}\}$. Then,

$$\chi_L \phi(H_{oldsymbol{\omega}}) \chi_L = \sum_{\gamma,\gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \Lambda_L} \chi_{\gamma} \phi(H_{oldsymbol{\omega}}) \chi_{\gamma'}.$$

Let us prove that $\chi_{\gamma}\phi(H_{\omega})$ is trace class. Obviously, we have that for $\lambda > 0$

$$\chi_{\gamma}\phi(H_{\omega}) = \chi_{\gamma}(H_{\omega} + i\lambda)^{-2d}(H_{\omega} + i\lambda)^{2d}\phi(H_{\omega}).$$

Since ϕ is compactly supported, it is easy to see that for $\lambda > 0$ the operator $(H_{\omega} + \mathrm{i}\lambda)^{2d}\phi(H_{\omega})$ is bounded. Let T^{-1} be the multiplication by $\langle x \rangle^{-2d}$. We prove as in the proof of (SGEE) in 4, pp. 78-79 that for λ large enough $T^{-1}(H_{\omega} + \mathrm{i}\lambda)^{-2d} \in \mathscr{T}_1$ with a trace norm almost surely independent of ω . Hence, $\chi_{\gamma}\phi(H_{\omega}) \in \mathscr{T}_1$ and its trace norm is almost surely independent of ω .

We can then use the cyclicity of the trace (cf. 20, Theorem VI.25) to write

$$u_{\omega,L}(\phi) = \frac{1}{L^d} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \Lambda_L} \operatorname{tr} \left(\chi_{\gamma} \phi(H_{\omega}) \chi_{\gamma} \right).$$

But ergodicity makes it possible to write $\chi_{\gamma}\phi(H_{\omega})\chi_{\gamma} = \chi_{0}U_{\gamma}^{*}\phi(H_{\omega})U_{\gamma}\chi_{0} = \chi_{0}\phi(H_{\tau_{\gamma}(\omega)})\chi_{0}$ where U_{γ} is the translation operator associated with γ on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{d},\mathbb{C}^{n})$ and τ_{γ} the corresponding operator on Ω . We have then:

$$u_{\pmb{\omega},L}(\pmb{\phi}) = rac{1}{L^d} \sum_{\pmb{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap \Lambda_L} \operatorname{tr} \left(\pmb{\chi}_0 \pmb{\phi}(H_{\pmb{ au}_{\pmb{\gamma}}(\pmb{\omega})}) \pmb{\chi}_0
ight).$$

Since the family $\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\chi_0\phi(H_{\tau_\gamma(\omega)})\chi_0\right)\right)_{\gamma\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$ is ergodic with respect to translations and because $\mathbb{E}\left(\left|\operatorname{tr}\left(\chi_0\phi(H_{\tau_\gamma(\omega)})\chi_0\right)\right|\right)<\infty$, according to Birkhoff's ergodic theorem (*cf.* for example 21, Theorem 1.14 and Corollary 1.14.1), we get the result.

We denote by $v(\phi)$ the almost sure limit of $v_{\omega,L}$ as L tends to infinity, it can be defined for functions which are not nonnegative too. It is easy to see that v is a positive linear form on $\mathscr{C}_c(\mathbb{R})$ and thus, through Riesz-Markov theorem, comes from a Borel measure. This measure will be called the *density of states* and called v too.

Our result is then the following:

Theorem II.6 (Lipschitz continuity). For any compact subinterval $J \subset (B_-, B_+)$, there exists some constant $C_J > 0$ such that for any $a, b \in J$, a < b,

$$v([a,b]) \leqslant C_J(b-a).$$

III. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULT

Since our Wegner estimate concerns $H_{\omega,L}$, we begin by showing that we can use this operator instead of H_{ω} in the density of states.

Lemma III.1. Let $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with support in (B_-, B_+) , as defined in Assumption 1. Then, uniformly in ω ,

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L^d} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_\omega) \chi_L) - \operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega, L+15}) \chi_L) \right) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Proof. According to the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula (*cf.* for example 22, Proposition 4.8, or 23, Section 2.2), there exists $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathscr{C}_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ which is an analytic extension of ϕ , such that $\exists C > 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}, \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{\phi}(z) \right| \leq C |\mathfrak{I}(z)|^{2d+2}$. Moreover, we have for any self-adjoint operator A

$$\phi(A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x + iy) (A - x - iy)^{-1} dx dy. \tag{10}$$

Let L > 0. According to this formula and resolvent equation, we have that for all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\left| \frac{1}{L^d} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_\omega) \chi_L) - \operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega,L+15}) \chi_L) \right) \right| \tag{11}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi L^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x+iy)| \| \chi_L(H_{\omega} - x - iy)^{-1} V_{\omega, L+15}^{ext} (H_{\omega, L+15} - x - iy)^{-1} \chi_L \|_1 dxdy \tag{12}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\pi L^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x+iy)| \| \chi_{L}(H_{\omega} - x - iy)^{-1} V_{\omega,L+15}^{ext} \|_{1} \| (H_{\omega,L+15} - x - iy)^{-1} \chi_{L} \| dxdy$$
 (13)

where we have denoted $V_{\omega,L+15}^{\textit{ext}} := V_{\omega} - V_{\omega,L+15} = V_{\omega,L+15}^{\textit{ext}} (1 - \chi_{L+10}).$

The operator norm in the integral is bounded by $|y|^{-1}$. The first factor $|\bar{\partial}\tilde{\phi}(x+iy)|$ is bounded by $C|y|^{2d+2}$. The product is hence bounded by some constant independent of L, x and y multiplied by $|y|^{2d+1}$.

Let us consider the trace-norm factor. We have that

$$\|\chi_{L}(H_{\omega} - x - iy)^{-1}V_{\omega,L+15}^{ext}\|_{1} \leq M_{\infty} \left(\left\| \chi_{L}(H_{\omega} - x - iy)^{-1}(\chi_{L+\sqrt{L}} - \chi_{L+10}) \right\|_{1} \right)$$
(14)

+
$$\left\| \chi_L (H_{\omega} - x - iy)^{-1} (1 - \chi_{L+\sqrt{L}}) \right\|_1$$
 (15)

where M_{∞} denotes the supremum of $|V_{\omega}|$.

Thanks to (8), (14) is bounded by

$$\frac{C}{|y|^{2d+1}}|\text{supp}(\chi_{L+\sqrt{L}} - \chi_{L+12})| \leqslant \frac{C'}{|y|^{2d+1}}L^{d-1/2}$$

and (15) by

$$\frac{C}{|y|^{2d+1}}|\operatorname{supp}(\chi_L)|e^{-\alpha|y|\sqrt{L}} = \frac{C}{|y|^{2d+1}}L^de^{-\alpha|y|\sqrt{L}}.$$

Since there exist a compact set K and M > 0 independent of L such that $supp(\tilde{\phi}) \subset K \times [-M, M]$, we can bound

$$\int_{K} \int_{-M}^{M} Ce^{-|y|\sqrt{L}} \mathrm{d}y \mathrm{d}x$$

by $C'\frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}(1-e^{-M\sqrt{L}})$ for some constant C'. We have then that there exists C such that for all L>0

$$\left| \frac{1}{L^d} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega}) \chi_L) - \operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega,L}) \chi_L) \right) \right| \leqslant \frac{C}{\sqrt{L}}$$

which tends to 0 as L tends to infinity.

Remark III.2. Several methods to make appear the finite volume operator in the calculation, described in 1 and 2, are specific to Schrödinger operators: one of them uses the Feynman-Kac formula and the other one Dirichlet-Neumann bracketing, which is not possible in our case because the Dirac operator is not self-adjoint neither with Dirichlet nor Neumann boundary conditions. Another method, close to ours, is presented in 24 but works only for discrete models since it uses the fact that $(H_{\omega} - z)^{-1}\chi_L$ is trace-class for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and L > 0, which is not the case on the continuum.

Conversely, the method we present here, which had already been used for generalized Anderson Hamiltonians¹³ or perturbed Landau Hamiltonians¹², works for a large class of operators.

Proof of Theorem II.6. Let J be a compact subinterval of (B_-, B_+) . We want to prove that there exists some constant $C_J > 0$ such that for all $a, b \in J$, a < b,

$$\nu(1_{[a,b]}) \leqslant C_J(b-a).$$

Let ϕ be as in Lemma III.1 with $0 \leqslant \phi \leqslant 1_{[a,b]}$. Then, for $L \in \mathbb{N}$ and almost every ω ,

$$\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega,L+15})\chi_L) \leqslant \operatorname{tr}(\chi_L E_{\omega,L+15}([a,b])\chi_L) \leqslant \operatorname{tr}(E_{\omega,L+15}([a,b])).$$

Then, the Wegner estimate (Property II.3) gives us that there exists C_J such that, for all ϕ , $a,b \in J$ and L large enough, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(\chi_{L}\phi(H_{\omega,L+15})\chi_{L}\right)\right) \leqslant \mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{tr}\left(E_{\omega,L+15}([a,b])\right)\right) \leqslant C_{J}(b-a)(L+15)^{d}$$
$$\leqslant C'_{J}(b-a)L^{d}.$$

We have that

$$v(\phi) = \lim_{L \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{1}{L^d} \operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega}) \chi_L)\right)$$
$$= \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L^d} \mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega,L+15}) \chi_L)\right).$$

But we know that for all L

$$\frac{1}{I^d}\mathbb{E}\left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L\phi(H_{\omega,L+15})\chi_L)\right)\leqslant C_J(b-a)$$

so
$$v(\phi) \leqslant C_J(b-a)$$
.

By taking the supremum on $0 \le \phi \le 1_{[a,b]}$ through monotone convergence, we get the result on the density of states.

ALTERNATIVE DEFINITION

Another way to define the density of states is to spatially cut off the operator before taking a function of it. Typically, if we are interested in the density of states of an operator H, we look at limits such as

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}\frac{1}{L^d}\mathrm{tr}(\phi(H_L))$$

where H_L is the operator H restricted to Λ_L with good boundary conditions.

In our case, we will denote by $H_{\omega,L}^{per}$ the operator H_{ω} restricted to the box Λ_L with periodic boundary condition.

We begin by proving a technical lemma similar to the one of Birman and Solomyak given in 25, Theorem 4.1. Recall that a self-adjoint operator is in the Schatten class \mathcal{T}_p if its pth Schatten norm is finite, namely

$$||A||_p := (\operatorname{tr}(|A|^p))^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Lemma IV.1. Let L > 0 and ∇_L^{per} the gradient with periodic boundary conditions on Λ_L . Let $H = f(x)g(-i\nabla_L^{per})$ on $L^2(\Lambda_L)$ with $f \in L^p(\Lambda_L)$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |g(\frac{2n\pi}{L})|^p < \infty$ for some $2 \le p < \infty$. Then, H is in \mathcal{T}_p and

$$||H||_p \le \frac{1}{L^{d/p}} ||f||_p \left(\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |g(\frac{2n\pi}{L})|^p \right)^{1/p}.$$
 (16)

Proof. Let us begin by the case p = 2. For $\psi \in L^2(\Lambda_L)$, we recall that we can define for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ its Fourier coefficient by

$$c_n(\psi) := \frac{1}{L^d} \int_{\Lambda_L} \psi(x) e^{-\frac{2i\pi n \cdot x}{L}} dx.$$

Standard manipulations on Fourier series make it possible to prove that H is an integral operator with kernel $\frac{1}{I^d}f(x)\check{g}(x-y)$ where \check{g} is the function on Λ_L of which the Fourier coefficients are $(g(\frac{2n\pi}{L}))_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}$. Then, according to Theorem 2.11 of 25, the operator is Hilbert-Schmidt with a norm equal to the L^2 norm of its kernel.

Parseval identity gives the value of this norm.

The general case comes from interpolation as in Theorem 4.1 of 25.

Lemma IV.2. Let ϕ be a bounded, measurable function with compact support. Uniformly in ω , we have:

$$\lim_{L\to\infty}\frac{1}{L^d}\operatorname{tr}(\phi(H^{per}_{\boldsymbol{\omega},L})-\chi_{L-10}\phi(H^{per}_{\boldsymbol{\omega},L})\chi_{L-10})=0.$$

Proof. We can easily see that

$$\phi(H_{\omega,L}^{per}) - \chi_{L-10}\phi(H_{\omega,L}^{per})\chi_{L-10} = \phi(H_{\omega,L}^{per})(\chi_L - \chi_{L-10}) + (\chi_L - \chi_{L-10})\phi(H_{\omega,L}^{per})\chi_{L-10}.$$

Moreover, $\phi(H^{per}_{\omega,L})(\chi_L - \chi_{L-10}) = \phi(H^{per}_{\omega,L})(H^{per}_{\omega,L} - \mathbf{i})^{2d}(H^{per}_{\omega,L} - \mathbf{i})^{-2d}(\chi_L - \chi_{L-10})$. The factor $\phi(H^{per}_{\omega,L})(H^{per}_{\omega,L} - \mathbf{i})^{2d}$ is bounded with a bound depending only on $\sup \phi$ and $\|\phi\|_{\infty}$. According to Lemma IV.1, $(\sigma \cdot (-\mathbf{i}\nabla^{per}_L) - \mathbf{i})^{-1} = (\sigma \cdot (-\mathbf{i}\nabla^{per}_L) - \mathbf{i})^{-1}\chi_L$ is in \mathscr{T}_{2d} with a 2d-norm which is smaller than $\|\chi_L\|_{2d}\left(\frac{1}{L^d}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}^d}|g(\frac{2\pi n}{L})|^{2d}\right)^{1/2d}$ where $g(p):=(\sigma \cdot p-\mathbf{i})^{-1}$. It is easy to see that $\|\chi_L\|_{2d}=\sqrt{L}$ and the second factor tends to $\|g\|_{2d}$ which is independent of L. Then, there exists a constant C such that the product is smaller than $C\sqrt{L}$. Writing the resolvent equation as in Remark 2.6 (iii) of 4, we get the same result for $(H_{\omega,L}^{per}-i)^{-1}$. Then, by Hölder inequality, $(H_{\omega,L}^{per}-i)^{-2d+1}$ is in $\mathcal{T}_{2d/(2d-1)}$ with norm $CL^{(2d-1)/2}$.

Similarly, we prove that $(\chi_L - \chi_{L-10})(H_{\omega,L}^{per} - \mathrm{i})^{-1} \in \mathscr{T}_{2d}$ with a 2d-norm $C\|\chi_L - \chi_{L-10}\|_{2d} = CL^{\frac{d-1}{2d}}$. By Hölder inequality, $(\chi_L - \chi_{L-10})(H_{\omega,L}^{per} - i)^{-2d}$ is trace-class and

$$\|(\chi_L - \chi_{L-10})(H_{\omega,L}^{per} - i)^{-2d}\|_1 \leqslant CL^{d-\frac{1}{2d}}.$$

A fortiori, the operator $(\chi_L - \chi_{L-10})\phi(H_{\omega,L}^{per})\chi_{L-10}$ is trace-class too and its norm is bounded by $CL^{d-\frac{1}{2d}}$. This completes the proof.

For L > 0, we will denote by $R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E)$ the resolvent $(H_{\omega,L'}^{per} - E)^{-1}$. Furthermore, we define, for two operators A and B, the commutator [A;B] := AB - BA.

Lemma IV.3 (Geometric resolvent equation). Let $L < L' \leq \infty$ and $\tilde{\chi}_L$ a smooth function with support in Λ_L . Then, for all $E \in \rho(H_{\omega,L'}^{per}) \cap \rho(H_{\omega,L}^{per}),$

$$\tilde{\chi}_L R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E) = R_{\omega,L}^{per}(E) \tilde{\chi}_L + R_{\omega,L}^{per}(E) [H_{\omega,L'}^{per}; \tilde{\chi}_L] R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E). \tag{17}$$

Proof. Let $\psi \in L^2(\Lambda_{L'})$. Then, $R^{per}_{\omega,L'}(E)\psi \in \mathscr{D}(H^{per}_{\omega,L'})$. Moreover, $\tilde{\chi}_L R^{per}_{\omega,L'}(E)\psi \in \mathscr{D}(H^{per}_{\omega,L'})$ and so

$$(H_{\omega,L'}^{per} - E)\tilde{\chi}_L R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E)\psi = \tilde{\chi}_L \psi + [H_{\omega,L'}^{per}; \tilde{\chi}_L] R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E)\psi. \tag{18}$$

We see that $\tilde{\chi_L}R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E)\psi$ has support in Λ_L and, as a function in $L^2(\Lambda_L)$, it is in $\mathcal{D}(H_{\omega,L}^{per})$. Since $[H_{\omega,L'}^{per}; \tilde{\chi_L}]$ has support in Λ_L , we can project (18) on $L^2(\Lambda_L)$ to get

$$(H_{\omega,L}^{per} - E)\tilde{\chi}_L R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E)\psi = \tilde{\chi}_L \psi + [H_{\omega,L'}^{per}; \tilde{\chi}_L] R_{\omega,L'}^{per}(E)\psi. \tag{19}$$

The desired result is found by multiplying by $R_{\omega I}^{per}(E)$.

Lemma IV.4. Let $\phi \in \mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with support in (B_-, B_+) , as defined in Assumption 1. Then, uniformly in ω ,

$$\lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{L^d} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega}) \chi_L) - \operatorname{tr}(\phi(H_{\omega, L+10}^{per})) \right) = 0. \tag{20}$$

Proof. We will use again the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula: there exists $\tilde{\phi} \in \mathscr{C}_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$, its trace on \mathbb{R} being ϕ , such that $\exists C > 0, \forall z \in \mathbb{R}$ $\mathbb{C}, \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \tilde{\phi}(z) \right| \leq C |\Im(z)|^{2d+2}$. Moreover, we have for any self-adjoint operator A

$$\phi(A) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x + iy) (A - x - iy)^{-1} dx dy. \tag{21}$$

Let L>0. Lemma IV.2 will enable us to replace $\phi(H^{per}_{\omega,L+10})$ by $\chi_L\phi(H^{per}_{L+10})\chi_L$. We introduce a function $\tilde{\chi}_{L+10}$ which is smooth and in support in Λ_{L+10} and which satisfies $\tilde{\chi}_{L+10}\chi_L = \chi_L$ and $\|\nabla \tilde{\chi}_{L+10}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. According to Helffer-Sjöstrand formula and our last two lemmas, we have that for all $\omega \in \Omega$

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{1}{L^{d}} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_{L} \phi(H_{\omega}) \chi_{L}) - \operatorname{tr}(\chi_{L} \phi(H_{\omega,L+10}^{per}) \chi_{L}) \right) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi L^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x+\mathrm{i}y) \right| \left\| \chi_{L} (H_{\omega} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} - \chi_{L} (H_{\omega,L+10} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} \right\|_{1} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi L^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x+\mathrm{i}y) \right| \left\| \chi_{L} \tilde{\chi}_{L+10} (H_{\omega} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} - \chi_{L} (H_{\omega,L+10}^{per} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} \right\|_{1} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi L^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x+\mathrm{i}y) \right| \left\| \chi_{L} (H_{\omega,L+10}^{per} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \tilde{\chi}_{L+10} \chi_{L} \right. \\ & \qquad \qquad + \chi_{L} (H_{\omega,L+10}^{per} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \left[H_{\omega}; \tilde{\chi}_{L+10} \right] (H_{\omega} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} \\ & \qquad \qquad - \chi_{L} (H_{\omega,L+10}^{per} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} \right\|_{1} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi L^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x+\mathrm{i}y) \right| \left\| \chi_{L} (H_{\omega,L+10}^{per} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \left[H_{\omega}; \tilde{\chi}_{L+10} \right] (H_{\omega} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} \right\|_{1} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\pi L^{d}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left| \bar{\partial} \tilde{\phi}(x+\mathrm{i}y) \right| \left\| \chi_{L} (H_{\omega,L+10}^{per} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \right\| \left\| \left[H_{\omega}; \tilde{\chi}_{L+10} \right] (H_{\omega} - x - \mathrm{i}y)^{-1} \chi_{L} \right\|_{1} \mathrm{d}x \mathrm{d}y. \end{split}$$

We go from the penultimate to the last line by observing that $\tilde{\chi}_{L+10}\chi_L = \chi_L$. The first factor is bounded by $C|y|^{2d+2}$ and the operator norm by 1/|y|.

Let us consider the trace-norm factor. Since $[H_{\omega}; \chi_{L+10}] = \sigma \cdot (-i\nabla \tilde{\chi}_{L+10})$ is a function with supremum 1 and support in the belt $\Lambda_{L+10} \setminus \Lambda_{L+5}$, equation (8) makes it possible to bound the trace norm of $[H_{\omega}; \tilde{\chi}_{L+10}](H_{\omega} - x - iy)^{-1}\chi_L$ by $\frac{C}{|y|^{2d+1}}L^{d-1}$ with Cindependent of L and (x,y). We have then that there exists C such that for all L>0

$$\frac{1}{L^d} \left(\operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_\omega) \chi_L) - \operatorname{tr}(\chi_L \phi(H_{\omega,L+10}^{per}) \chi_L) \right) \leqslant \frac{C}{L}$$

which tends to 0 as L tends to infinity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks the CPT in the university of Toulon where most of this work has been realized. He is very grateful to H.D. Cornean for having suggested to use the Helffer-Sjöstrand formula. This work is supported the Basque Government through the BERC 2022-2025 program and by the Ministry of Science and Innovation: PID2020-112948GB-I00 funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by "ERDF A way of making Europe".

CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENTS

The author has no conflicts to disclose.

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Appendix A: Combes-Thomas estimate

Proof of Property II.4. Since the proof is similar to the one with real energies in 4, we give only what changes.

The first step is to bound the \mathscr{T}_{2d} norm of the product of the resolvent and a sufficiently decaying function. According to inequality (2), we have that for E and y in the specified intervals, $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $q \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\|(\sigma \cdot p - E - iy)q\|^2 \ge \|(\sigma \cdot p - E)q\|^2 + |y|^2 \|q\|^2 \ge (|y|^2 + \max(C\|p\| - |E|, 0)^2) \|q\|^2. \tag{A1}$$

Then,

$$\left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\sigma \cdot p - E - iy)^{-2d} dp \right\| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|y|^2 + \max(C||p|| - |E|, 0)^2}} dp \tag{A2}$$

$$\leq \int_{\|p\| \leq 2E_m/C} \frac{1}{|y|^{2d}} dp + \int_{\|p\| \geq 2E_m/C} \frac{2^{2d}}{C\|p\|^{2d}} dp \tag{A3}$$

$$\leqslant C' + \frac{C''}{|y|^{2d}} \tag{A4}$$

Using the result of Birman and Solomyak (25, Theorem 4.1) and resolvent identities as in 4, Remark 2.6 (iii), we can prove that, for χ a compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^d , we have for all ω , E, y:

$$||R_{\omega}(E+iy)\chi||_{2d} \le \frac{C}{|y|} ||\chi||_{L^{2d}}$$
 (A5)

for some constant C depending only on E_m , Y, C and d.

We now prove an estimate in operator norm. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define $\langle x - x_0 \rangle_{\varepsilon} := \sqrt{\varepsilon + |x - x_0|^2}$. As in 4, Lemma B.1, for t > 0, we define on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^n)$ the (non self-adjoint) operator

$$H_{t,\varepsilon} := e^{-t\langle x - x_0 \rangle_{\varepsilon}} H e^{t\langle x - x_0 \rangle_{\varepsilon}} = H - tS\sigma \cdot (i\nabla \langle x - x_0 \rangle_{\varepsilon})S.$$

Then, for all $t, \varepsilon > 0$, ψ with norm 1, E and $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$||(H_{t,\varepsilon} - E - iy)\psi|| \ge |\Im(\langle \psi, (H_{t,\varepsilon} - E - iy)\psi\rangle)|$$

$$= |\langle \psi, tS\sigma \cdot (\nabla \langle x - x_0 \rangle_{\varepsilon})S + y)\psi\rangle|$$

$$\ge |y| - |\langle \psi, tS\sigma \cdot (\nabla \langle x - x_0 \rangle_{\varepsilon})S)\psi\rangle|$$

Choosing t so small that $||tS\sigma\cdot(\nabla\langle x-x_0\rangle_{\varepsilon})S\rangle||<\frac{|y|}{2}$, we get that the norm is higher than $\frac{|y|}{2}$. Hence, by a proof similar to Lemma B.1 of 4, we get

$$\|\chi_1(H_\omega - E - iy)^{-1}\chi_2\| \leqslant \frac{2}{|y|}e^{-c|y|(a_2 - a_1)}.$$
 (A6)

To get the estimate in trace norm, we only have to follow the proof of Lemma 4.6 of 4 which gives

$$\|\chi_1(H_{\omega} - E - iy)^{-1}\chi_2\|_1 \leqslant \frac{D}{|y|^{2d+1}} |\operatorname{supp}(\chi_1)| e^{-\alpha|y|a}.$$
 (A7)

- ¹W. Kirsch and B. Metzger, "The Integrated Density of States for Random Schrödinger Operators," in: F. Gesztesy, P. Deift, C. Galvez, P. Perry, W. Schlag (Editors): Spectral Theory and Mathematical Physics: A Festschrift in Honor of Barry Simon's 60th Birthday., 649–696 (2007).
- ²I. Veselić, Existence and Regularity Properties of the Integrated Density of States of Random Schrödinger Operators, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1917 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008).
- ³R. Prado, C. de Oliveira, and E. de Oliveira, "Density of states and Lifshitz tails for discrete 1D random Dirac operators," Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. **24**, 30 (2021).
- ⁴J.-M. Barbaroux, H. Cornean, and S. Zalczer, "Localization for Gapped Dirac Hamiltonians with Random Perturbations: Application to Graphene Antidot Lattices," Doc. Math. 24, 65–93 (2019).
- ⁵A. Castro Neto, F. Guinea, N. Peres, K. Novoselov, and A. Geim, "The electronic properties of graphene," Rev. Mod. Phys. 81, 109–162 (2009).
- ⁶S. Brun, M. Thomsen, and T. Pedersen, "Electronic and optical properties of graphene antidot lattices: comparison of Dirac and tight-binding models," J. Phys. Condens. Matter **26**, 265301 (2014).
- ⁷J. Pedersen and T. Pedersen, "Band gaps in graphene via periodic electrostatic gating," Phys. Rev. B **85**, 235432 (2012).
- ⁸J. Pedersen, T. Gunst, T. Markussen, and T. Pedersen, "Graphene antidot lattice waveguides," Phys. Rev. B 86, 245410 (2012).
- ⁹C. Fefferman and M. Weinstein, "Wave packets in honeycomb structures and two-dimensional Dirac equations," Comm. Math. Phys. 326, 251–286 (2014).
- ¹⁰V. Ananyev and M. Ovchynnikov, "On the density of states of graphene in the nearest-neighbor approximation," Condens. Matter Phys. **20**, 43705 (2017).
- ¹¹M. Dvorak, W. Oswald, and Z. Wu, "Bandgap opening by patterning graphene," Sci. Rep. 3, 2289 (2013).
- ¹²F. Klopp and G. Raikov, "Lifshitz tails in constant magnetic fields," Comm. Math. Phys. **267**, 669 (2006).
- ¹³A. Klein and F. Germinet, "A comprehensive proof of localization for continuous Anderson models with singular random potentials," J. Eur. Math. Soc. 15, 53–143 (2013).
- ¹⁴P. Hislop, "Lectures on random Schrödinger operators," Contemp. Math. 476, 41–131 (2008).
- ¹⁵W. Fischer, H. Leschke, and P. Müller, "Spectral localization by Gaussian random potentials in multi-dimensional continuous space." J. Stat. Phys. 101, 935–985 (2000).
- ¹⁶T. Hupfer, H. Leschke, P. Müller, and S. Warzel, "The absolute continuity of the integrated density of states for magnetic Schrödinger operators with certain unbounded random potentials." Comm. Math. Phys. 221, 229–254 (2001).
- ¹⁷W. Fischer, T. Hupfer, H. Leschke, and P. Müller, "Existence of the density of states for multi-dimensional continuum Schrödinger operators with Gaussian random potentials," Comm. Math. Phys. **190**, 133–141 (1997).
- ¹⁸A. Klein and A. Koines, "A general framework for localization of classical waves. II. Random media," Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 7, 151–185 (2004).
- ¹⁹J.-M. Barbaroux, H. Cornean, and E. Stockmeyer, "Spectral gaps in graphene antidot lattices," Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 89, 631–646 (2017).
- ²⁰M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. I: Functional Analysis (Elsevier Science, 1981).
- ²¹P. Walters, An introduction to ergodic theory, Graduate texts in Mathematics, Vol. 79 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2000).
- ²²C. Fermanian Kammerer, "Chaos en mécanique quantique," (Les Éditions de l'École polytechnique, 2014) Chap. Opérateurs pseudo-différentiels semiclassiques.
- ²³E. Davies, Spectral theory and differential operators (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- ²⁴W. Kirsch, "An invitation to random Schrödinger operators," in *Random Schrödinger Operators*, Panoramas et Synthèses, Vol. 25 (Société Mathématique de France, 2008)
- ²⁵B. Simon, Trace Ideals and Their Applications, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs (American Mathematical Society, 2010).